

Norway

Elisabeth Ivarsflaten, Coordinator, University of Bergen (UiB)

Stefan Dahlberg, Coordinator, UiB

Erla Løvseth, UiB

Sveinung Arnesen, NORCE

Susanne Bygnes, UiB

Endre Tvinnereim, NORCE

Yvette Peters, UiB

Lise Bjånesøy, UiB

Erik Knudsen, UiB

Gisela Böhm, UiB

Thea Gregersen, UiB

Norwegian Citizen Panel 2018

Study Documentation

November 15, 2018

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Asle Høgestøl , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Olav Bjørnebekk , ideas2evidence , Project Team Member Jostein Ryssevik , ideas2evidence , Quality Assurance
Production Date	November 5, 2018
Identification	NMP-18-3

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	4
Sampling	4
Data Collection	5
Files Description	7
w1-w13	7
Variables List	8
w1-w13	8
Variables Description	359
w1-w13	360

Norwegian Citizen Panel 2018

Overview

Identification	NMP-18-3
-----------------------	----------

Abstract

The Norwegian Citizen Panel is a platform for internet surveys of public opinion in important areas of society and politics in Norway. Participants are randomly recruited from the Norwegian population register, and they are encouraged to participate over time. The panel was fielded for the first time the fall of 2013 and as of 2017 the survey is carried out three times a year. The University of Bergen owns and is responsible for the Citizen panel. The company Ideas 2 Evidence recruits respondents, produces the survey, and provides documentation of the data. Data is stored and shared by the Norwegian Social Science Data services (NSD). The Norwegian Citizen Panel welcomes research proposals for survey content. More information about calls and other updates is available at www.medborger.uib.no <<http://www.medborger.uib.no>>

Unit of Analysis	Individual
-------------------------	------------

Scope & Coverage

Countries	Norway
------------------	--------

Geographic Coverage

National geographic coverage. Counties as geographic coding.

Universe

Norwegian Citizens above the age of 18.

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Elisabeth Ivarsflaten, Coordinator, University of Bergen (UiB) Stefan Dahlberg, Coordinator, UiB Erla Løvseth, UiB Sveinung Arnesen, NORCE Susanne Bygnes, UiB Endre Tvinnereim, NORCE Yvette Peters, UiB Lise Bjånesøy, UiB Erik Knudsen, UiB Gisela Böhm, UiB Thea Gregersen, UiB
--------------------------------	---

Other Producer(s)	Asle Høgestøl , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Olav Bjørnebekk , ideas2evidence , Project Team Member Jostein Ryssevik , ideas2evidence , Quality Assurance
--------------------------	---

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

Members of the Norwegian Citizen Panel have been recruited in four waves, wave 1, wave 3, wave 8 and wave 11. In wave 1, 4.870 panel members were recruited (see documentation from wave 1). In wave 3, 5.623 members were recruited (see documentation from wave 3). In wave 8, 4245 new members were recruited (see documentation from wave 8). In wave 11, 2069 panel members were recruited (see documentation from wave 11).

In wave 1 and 3 a gross sample of 25 000 individuals was randomly drawn from the Norwegian National Population Registry. The sampling procedure of wave 8 equals that of wave 1 and 3, but with a gross sample of 22 000 individuals. In wave 11, a corresponding gross sample of 14 000 people was drawn.

This National Population Registry includes everyone born in Norway as well as former and current inhabitants. The Norwegian Tax Administration is responsible for the register, but the administration is partly outsourced to the private IT-company Evry. Evry drew the sample on behalf of the Citizen Panel after the necessary permissions were acquired from the Norwegian Tax Administration.

In wave 11, the list from the Norwegian Tax Administration consisted of the following data: a) last name, b) first name, c) address, d) gender, e) age, and f) phone number. The sample excluded people with no current home address in Norway.

Weighting

To compensate for the observed bias, a set of weights has been calculated. The weights equal the relation between a given strata in the population and the total population, divided by the relation between a given strata in the net sample and the total net sample. This procedure returns values around 1, but above 0. Respondents who are underrepresented will receive a weight above 1 and respondents who are overrepresented a weight below 1. The weights of the different stratum are listed in the documentation report.

When calculating the weights, the information regarding the respondent's geographical location, gender and age are based on registry data. These attributes were included in the sample file we received from the Norwegian Population Register. Information regarding the level of education is provided by the respondents when answering the questionnaire.

Two different weights have been calculated:

- Weight 1 based on demographic variables (age, gender and geography)
- Weight 2 combining the demographic variables with education. Respondents with missing data on the education variable are only weighted on demography (the education component of the weight is set to 1 in these cases).

When applied, both weights will provide a weighted N equal to the number of cases in the dataset.

We will strongly recommend using weight 2 in any statistical analysis, as this weight provides the most accurate compensation for the various sources of bias in the net sample.

Note: In 2018 NCP changed the age variables in the datasets in order to make the respondents less identifiable. The weights are calculated with the old age variables, which no longer are publically available.

Data Collection

Data Collection Mode The survey is based on a web-based questionnaire with postal recruitment. Please refer to the Documentation Report from Wave 11. The report is available here: <http://digsscore.uib.no/download-data-and-documentation>

The field periods of the different waves of the Citizen Panel are as following:

- Wave 1: 6th of November 2013 - 05th of January 2014
- Wave 2: 10th of March 2014 - 31st of March 2014
- Wave 3: 13th of October 2014 - 27th of November 2014
- Wave 4: 09th of March 2015 - 08th of April 2015
- Wave 5: 28th of October 2015 - 23rd of November 2015
- Wave 6: 01st of March 2016 - 19th of March 2016
- Wave 7: 01st of November 2016 - 01st of December 2016
- Wave 8: 06th of March 2017 - 09th of April 2017
- Wave 9: 11th of May 2017 - 06th of June 2017
- Wave 10: 31th of October 2017 - 23rd of November 2017
- Wave 11: 02nd of March 2018 - 06th of April 2018

Wave 12: 6th of June 2018 - 25th of June 2018 Wave 13: 17th of October 2018 - 5th of November 2018

Depositor(s)	
---------------------	--

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

w1-w13	
# Cases	17011
# Variable(s)	5589

Variables List

Dataset contains 5589 variable(s)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	responseid	responseid	discrete	numeric-8.0	17010	1	-
2	w01_u	Randomizes the respondents into two groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	-
3	w01_k1	Interest in politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	In general, how interested are you in politics?
4	w01_k2	Voted in the elections	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Did you vote at the parliamentary elections this autumn?
5	w01_k3	Voted for following party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?
6	w01_k3_1..	Text: Voted for following party	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?
7	w01_k4	Time of choosing party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	When did you decide to vote for the party or list you chose?
8	w01_k5_1	Reasons not to vote in elections: too small differences between parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The differences between the parties are too minor
9	w01_k5_2	Reasons not to vote in elections: not committed to important issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I am not committed to any important issues
10	w01_k5_3	Reasons not to vote in elections: not familiar with issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I am not familiar enough with what the parties represent
11	w01_k5_4	Reasons not to vote in elections: lacking confidence in politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I lack confidence in politicians
12	w01_k5_5	Reasons not to vote in elections: lack of time	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I didn't have the time or opportunity
13	w01_k6_1	Like or dislike party: Christian Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Christian Democratic Party
14	w01_k6_2	Like or dislike party: Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Conservative Party
15	w01_k6_3	Like or dislike party: Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Progress Party
16	w01_k6_4	Like or dislike party: Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Liberal Party
17	w01_k6_5	Like or dislike party: Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Socialist Left Party
18	w01_k6_6	Like or dislike party: Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Centre Party
19	w01_k6_7	Like or dislike party: Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Green Party
20	w01_k6_8	Like or dislike party: Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Labour Party
21	w01_k6_9	Like or dislike party: Red	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Red

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	w01_k7	Satisfied with new Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How satisfied are you with the new Government of Norway?
23	w01_dt19	Anticipated red-green victory	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	If you consider the period prior to the parliamentary elections in September, did you expect the red-green government to continue after the election?
24	w01_dt20	Government coalition considered most likely	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	In your opinion, which government did you consider to be most likely?
25	w01_dt20..	Text: Government coalition considered most likely	discrete	character-150	0	0	In your opinion, which government did you consider to be most likely?
26	w01_dt21	Would vote differently if premonition of outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Now that you know that a Conservative Party and Progress Party government was the outcome of the election, would you have changed your vote if you had known this in advance?
27	w01_dt22	Would vote for following party if knowledge of outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Which party would have voted for if this is the case?
28	w01_dt22..	Text: Would vote for following party if knowledge of outcome	discrete	character-150	0	0	Which party would have voted for if this is the case?
29	w01_k8_1	Placement on the political spectrum	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
30	w01_k9_1	1. Text: Most important political issues	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
31	w01_k9_1..	1. Most important political issues - recoded	discrete	numeric-11.0	4292	12719	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
32	w01_k9_2	2. Text: Most important political issues	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
33	w01_k9_2..	2. Most important political issues - recoded	discrete	numeric-11.0	4279	12732	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
34	w01_k9_3	3. Text: Most important political issues	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
35	w01_k9_3..	3. Most important political issues - recoded	discrete	numeric-11.0	4290	12721	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
36	w01_dt16_1	Place party on political spectrum: Christian Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Christian Democratic Party
37	w01_dt16_2	Place party on political spectrum: Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Conservative Party
38	w01_dt16_3	Place party on political spectrum: Progress Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Progress Party
39	w01_dt16_4	Place party on political spectrum: Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Liberal Party
40	w01_dt16_5	Place party on political spectrum: Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Socialist Left Party
41	w01_dt16_6	Place party on political spectrum: Centre Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Centre Party
42	w01_dt16_7	Place party on political spectrum: Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Green Party

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
43	w01_dt16_8	Place party on political spectrum: Labour Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	The Labour Party
44	w01_dt16_9	Place party on political spectrum: Red	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	Red
45	w01_k10	Perception of current economic situation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How do you perceive the current economic situation in Norway? Do you believe it is:
46	w01_k11	Satisfaction with the Norwegian democracy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	All things considered, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
47	w01_k12_1	Trust in institution or player: Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Government
48	w01_k12_2	Trust in institution or player: Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Parliament
49	w01_k12_3	Trust in institution or player: Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Politicians
50	w01_k12_4	Trust in institution or player: Parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Parties
51	w01_k12_5	Trust in institution or player: The Sameting	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Sameting
52	w01_k12_6	Trust in institution or player: The County Council	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The County Council
53	w01_k12_7	Trust in institution or player: The Municipal Council	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The municipal council
54	w01_k12_8	Trust in institution or player: EU	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The EU
55	w01_k12_9	Trust in institution or player: UN	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The UN
56	w01_dt5_1	Trust in institution or player: Courts	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Courts/Judiciary
57	w01_dt5_2	Trust in institution or player: Police	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Police
58	w01_dt5_3	Trust in institution or player: Media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Media
59	w01_dt5_4	Trust in institution or player: Scientists	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Scientists
60	w01_dt5_5	Trust in institution or player: NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	NAV
61	w01_dt5_6	Trust in institution or player: The banking sector	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The banking sector
62	w01_dt5_7	Trust in institution or player: The health services	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The health services
63	w01_k31_1	Statement: The state should reduce income inequality	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The state should contribute to reducing inequalities in income in society
64	w01_k31_2	Statement: Better with diversity of religions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and views on life.
65	w01_k31_3	Statement: Emission reductions should be made abroad	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Most of the emission reductions Norway is committed to should be made abroad.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
66	w01_k14_1	Statement: Politics is complicated	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Sometimes politics is so complicated that people like me have no understanding of what it's about.
67	w01_k14_2	Statement: Can vote, not much else to influence	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	People like me can vote, but there is nothing else we can do to influence politics.
68	w01_k15_99	Trust people	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	Would you agree that most people in general can be trusted, or do you believe that one can't be careful enough when dealing with others?
69	w01_k16_1	Has an opinion on most issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I have an opinion on most things
70	w01_k16_2	Strong opinions, also regarding new issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I have strong opinions, either positive or negative, including on issues that are new to me
71	w01_ran1	Randomizes independently from U	discrete	numeric-1.0	4780	12231	-
72	w01_km1	Heard the argument: Climate change a threat, but we should continue to extract oil as usual	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Climate change is a very serious threat, and a lot more must be done to prevent it. Norway should nevertheless continue to produce as much oil as we currently do, because the world needs energy.
73	w01_km2	Heard the argument: Climate change a threat and we should reduce oil extraction	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Climate change is a very serious threat, and a lot more must be done to prevent it. This means that Norway should produce less oil than we currently do, because a large share of the world's fossil fuel must remain unused.
74	w01_km3	Heard the argument: Climate change is not a threat and we should continue to extract oil as usual	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Climate change is a not a serious threat, and too much is being done to prevent it. This means that Norway should continue to produce as much oil as we currently do, because the world needs energy.
75	w01_km36	Concerned about climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How concerned are you about climate change?
76	w01_km37	Agreement with statement	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Which of the below statements do you most agree with?
77	w01_km4	Statement fits view on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your view on climate change?
78	w01_km35	How many share your view on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The last question you answered was about your view on climate change. Which proportion of the Norwegian population do you believe shares your views on the issue?
79	w01_ran2	Randomizes independently from U	discrete	numeric-1.0	4775	12236	-
80	w01_km5	How serious is climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How serious a threat is climate change overall?
81	w01_km6	Climate change is serious to me personally	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How serious a threat is climate change to you personally?
82	w01_km7	Possible to do something about climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Let's assume that climate change may become a major threat to the world in the future if no action is taken. To which extent do you believe that it

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							is possible to do anything to prevent harmful climate changes?
83	w01_ran3_1	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_1	discrete	numeric-1.0	1189	15822	-
84	w01_ran3_2	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1211	15800	-
85	w01_ran3_3	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_3	discrete	numeric-1.0	1204	15807	-
86	w01_ran3_4	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_4	discrete	numeric-1.0	1196	15815	-
87	w01_ran3_5	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1197	15814	-
88	w01_ran3_6	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_6	discrete	numeric-1.0	1203	15808	-
89	w01_ran3_7	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_7	discrete	numeric-1.0	1225	15786	-
90	w01_ran3_8	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1175	15836	-
91	w01_ran3_9	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_9	discrete	numeric-1.0	2400	14611	-
92	w01_km13_1	Measure: Tighten rules on CO2 emissions by quotoa reductions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Tighten the rules for how much CO2 industry in Norway and Europe may discharge by cutting the total number of quotas these may use.
93	w01_km13_2	Measure: Tighten rules on CO2 emissions by limiting amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Tighten the rules for how much CO2 industry in Norway and Europe may discharge overall.
94	w01_km13_3	Measure: Capture and store CO2	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.
95	w01_km13_4	Measure: Capture and store CO2, like on Mognstad	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground, such as the so-called "mission to the moon" project at Mongstad
96	w01_km13_5	Measure: Reduce tax incentives regarding oilfield exploration	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Reduce tax incentives for oil exploration on the Norwegian continental shelf.
97	w01_km13_6	Measure: Tighten tax regulation regarding oilfield exploration	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Tighten the tax regulations for oil exploration on the Norwegian continental shelf.
98	w01_km13_7	Measure: Prevent harmful effects of storms and precipitation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Strengthen efforts to prevent the harmful effects of storms and precipitation.
99	w01_km13_8	Measure: Prevent harmful effects of storms and precipitation, even at the cost of other issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Strengthen efforts to prevent the harmful effects of storms and precipitation, even if this is at the cost of other important causes.
100	w01_km13_9	Measure: Change the climate by blocking the sunlight	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Attempt to alter the weather and climate by e.g. launching sulphur particles that block sunlight

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
101	w01_km17	If nothing is done about climate change weather will be more extreme	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	If nothing is done to prevent it, do you believe global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years, or do you believe global warming will not lead to this?
102	w01_km20	Moral obligation to prevent climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	To which degree do you view it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change?
103	w01_km22	Knowledge about climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	In your opinion, how good is your knowledge on climate change?
104	w01_km22k	Comment: Knowledge about climate change [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	If you have any comments to the question, you may enter them here: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
105	w01_km23	Discussion on climate change is characterized by conflict and disagreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	To which degree do you think discussion concerning climate change is characterized by conflict and disagreement?
106	w01_km26	Diversity of opinion on climate change in the media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	In your opinion, how great is the diversity in opinion on climate change that emerges in the media?
107	w01_ran4	Randomiserer dersom U=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	2389	14622	-
108	w01_km27	Scientists agree on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	To which degree do you have the impression that scientists agree on climate change?
109	w01_km28..	Proportion of scientist that agree on climate change	discrete	numeric-3.0	4905	12106	In your opinion, which proportion of climate scientists agree that global warming is a direct consequence of humans using coal, oil and gas?
110	w01_km28k	Comment: Proportion of scientist that agree on climate change [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	If you have comments to the question, you may enter them here: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
111	w01_ran5	Randomizes if U=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	2387	14624	-
112	w01_km29	Norway committed through international agreements to cut emissions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	As you may know, government leaders from all over the world met in November to negotiate a new international agreement to limit the emissions of greenhouse gases. To which extent do you agree that Norway commits itself in such international agreements?
113	w01_km30	Norway committed through international agreements to cut emissions, even if China does not	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	w01_km30 question details
114	w01_dt1_1	Authorities have a right to: indefinite detainment	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Keep individuals in custody as long as they want without a judicial process
115	w01_dt1_2	Authorities have a right to: tapping phone calls	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Tap people's phone calls
116	w01_dt1_3	Authorities have a right to: randomly stop and search people on the street	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Randomly stop and search people on the street

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
117	w01_dt1_4	Authorities have a right to: arrest people without suspicion	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Arrest people without specific suspicion
118	w01_dt1_5	Authorities have a right to: monitor electronic communication	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Monitor electronic communication (beyond telephones)
119	w01_dt1_6	Authorities have a right to: monitor/bug room	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Monitor/bug rooms
120	w01_dt1_7	Authorities have a right to: cordon off large part of the city	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Cordon off large parts of the city
121	w01_dt1_8	Authorities have a right to: patrol the streets with armed military or police	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Allow armed police or military personnel to patrol the streets
122	w01_dt1k	Comment: Authorities have a right to [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-150	0	0	If you have any comments to the question, enter them here: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
123	w01_dt2	Willing to accept that maintaining the safety of society must be at the cost of civil liberties	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How willing are you to accept that maintaining the safety of society must be at the cost of the freedom of individuals (such as privacy)?
124	w01_ran7	Randomizes independently from U	discrete	numeric-1.0	4750	12261	-
125	w01_dt3_1	Trust in central government to handle: natural disasters	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Natural disasters such as landslides, floods and hurricanes
126	w01_dt3_2	Trust in central government to handle: transportation accident	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Accidents in connection with aviation, railroads, roads and shipping
127	w01_dt3_3	Trust in central government to handle: contagious disease	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contagion in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)
128	w01_dt3_4	Trust in central government to handle: failure in critical infrastructure	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Failure in critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supply)
129	w01_dt3_5	Trust in central government to handle: terrorist attack	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Terrorist attacks
130	w01_dt4_1	Trust in local government to handle: natural disaster	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Natural disasters such as landslides, floods and hurricanes
131	w01_dt4_2	Trust in local government to handle: transportation accident	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Accidents in connection with aviation, railroads, roads and shipping
132	w01_dt4_3	Trust in local government to handle: contagious disease	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contagion in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)
133	w01_dt4_4	Trust in local government to handle: failure in critical infrastructure	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Failure in critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supply)
134	w01_dt4_5	Trust in local government to handle: terrorist attack	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Terrorist attacks
135	w01_dt6_1	People are considerate and helpful	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	Would you say that people usually try to be considerate to others and

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							helpful, or that they mainly look out for themselves? Indicate your opinion using the scale below.
136	w01_dt7_1	People are exploitative	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	Do you believe that most people would try to take advantage of you if they had the chance, or do you think they would try to be kind? Indicate your opinion below.
137	w01_dv30_1	Statement: lower taxes even if it means cuts to welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Tax rates should be lowered, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
138	w01_dv30_2	Statement: allow commercialized private schools	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Commercial private schools should be permitted.
139	w01_dv30_3	Statement: public services cheaper and better if left to private actors	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Many public services could be carried out both better and cheaper if they were left to private parties.
140	w01_dt8_1	Has in the last 12 months: contacted local politician	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contacted a local politician
141	w01_dt8_2	Has in the last 12 months: contacted national politician	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contacted a national politician
142	w01_dt8_3	Has in the last 12 months: contacted voluntary organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contacted a voluntary organization or association
143	w01_dt8_4	Has in the last 12 months: contacted a public official at the national level	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contacted a public official at the national level
144	w01_dt8_5	Has in the last 12 months: contacted a public official at the local level	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contacted a public official at the local level
145	w01_dt8_6	Has in the last 12 months: participated in work in a political party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated in work in a political party
146	w01_dt8_7	Has in the last 12 months: participated in work for protest group	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated in work in a political action group, local protest group or similar
147	w01_dt8_8	Has in the last 12 months: participated in work for voluntary organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated in work in a voluntary organization or association
148	w01_dt8_9	Has in the last 12 months: worn or posted campaign sticker or emblem	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Worn or posted a campaign sticker or emblem
149	w01_dt8_10	Has in the last 12 months: signed a petition	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Signed an appeal, a petition, action list or similar
150	w01_dt8_11	Has in the last 12 months: participated in public protest	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated in a public demonstration
151	w01_dt8_12	Has in the last 12 months: boycotted certain products	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Boycotted specific products
152	w01_dt8_13	Has in the last 12 months: consciously purchased specific products for political reasons	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or environmental reasons
153	w01_dt8_14	Has in the last 12 months: provided financial support	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Provided financial support

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
154	w01_dt8_15	Has in the last 12 months: collected money	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Collected money
155	w01_dt8_16	Has in the last 12 months: contacted or appeared in media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contacted or appeared in the media
156	w01_dt8_17	Has in the last 12 months: contacted lawyer	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Contacted a lawyer or legal advisor
157	w01_dt8_18	Has in the last 12 months: participated in public meeting	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated in a public meeting
158	w01_dt8_19	Has in the last 12 months: participated in political meeting	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated at a political meeting or event
159	w01_dt9_1	Has in the last 12 months: in community: participated in public meeting	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated in or taken the initiative to a public meeting to discuss issues related to conditions in the community
160	w01_dt9_2	Has in the last 12 months: in community: participated in voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Participated in or taken the initiative for voluntary work to fix or improve something in the community
161	w01_dt9_3	Has in the last 12 months: in community: been involved in association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Become involved in a residents' association, neighbourhood association or similar organizations in the community
162	w01_dt10_1	Membership: Sports or outdoor organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Sports or outdoor organization
163	w01_dt10_2	Membership: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Humanitarian aid organization
164	w01_dt10_3	Membership: Religious or other belief organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Religious or other belief organization
165	w01_dt10_4	Membership: Political party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Political party
166	w01_dt10_5	Membership: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Temperance organization
167	w01_dt10_6	Membership: Language organization or similar	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Language organization or similar
168	w01_dt10_7	Membership: Environmental protection/animal welfare organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Environmental protection/animal welfare organization
169	w01_dt10_8	Membership: Residents' association/neighbourhood association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Residents' association/neighbourhood association/community association
170	w01_dt10_9	Membership: Housing cooperative	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Housing cooperative
171	w01_dt10_..	Membership: House owner association and land owner association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	House owner association and land owner association
172	w01_dt10_..	Membership: Cultural association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Cultural association (music, dance, theatre, hobby, etc.)
173	w01_dt10_..	Membership: Lodge	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Lodge
174	w01_dt10_..	Membership: Consumer organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Consumer organization
175	w01_dt10_..	Membership: Car association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Car association

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
176	w01_dt10..	Membership: Patient association or interest organization for the disabled	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Patient association or interest organization for the disabled
177	w01_dt10..	Membership: Pensioner association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Pensioner association
178	w01_dt10..	Membership: Parents' association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Parents' association
179	w01_dt10..	Membership: Immigrant association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Immigrant association
180	w01_dt10..	Membership: Employee organization/trade union	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Employee organization/trade union
181	w01_dt10..	Membership: Business and employer organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Business and employer organization
182	w01_dt10..	Membership: Agricultural or fisheries organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Agricultural or fisheries organization
183	w01_dt10..	Membership: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Other organizations/associations
184	w01_dt11_1	Position of trust: Sports or outdoor organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Sports or outdoor organization
185	w01_dt11_2	Position of trust: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Humanitarian aid organization
186	w01_dt11_3	Position of trust: Religious or other belief organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Religious or other belief organization
187	w01_dt11_4	Position of trust: Political party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Political party
188	w01_dt11_5	Position of trust: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Temperance organization
189	w01_dt11_6	Position of trust: Language organization or similar	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Language organization or similar
190	w01_dt11_7	Position of trust: Environmental protection/animal welfare organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Environmental protection/animal welfare organization
191	w01_dt11_8	Position of trust: Residents' association/neighbourhood association/community association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Residents' association/neighbourhood association/community association
192	w01_dt11_9	Position of trust: Housing cooperative	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Housing cooperative
193	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: House owner association and land owner association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	House owner association and land owner association
194	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Cultural association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Cultural association (music, dance, theatre, hobby, etc.)
195	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Lodge	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Lodge
196	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Consumer organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Consumer organization
197	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Car association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Car association
198	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Patient association or interest organization for the disabled	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Patient association or interest organization for the disabled

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
199	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Pensioner association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Pensioner association
200	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Parents' association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Parents' association
201	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Immigrant association	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Immigrant association
202	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Employee organization/trade union	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Employee organization/trade union
203	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Business and employer organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Business and employer organization
204	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Agricultural or fisheries organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Agricultural or fisheries organization
205	w01_dt11..	Position of trust: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Other organizations/associations
206	w01_dt12	Would attempt to influence political process regarding controversial measure	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Let's assume that the Storting is discussing a new measure that you consider to be completely unreasonable. How likely is it that you would attempt to do something to affect the outcome?
207	w01_dt13	Means to influence political process	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	If you wanted to affect the outcome of an issue, what would be your favoured procedure?
208	w01_km34	Norway morally obligated to reduce emissions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "Rich countries like Norway have a moral obligation to show international leadership by reducing their greenhouse gas emissions."
209	w01_grup	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	2344	14667	-
210	w01_dv1	Benefit for Norway that people from other countries settles down here	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How great an advantage or disadvantage would you say it is for Norway that people from other countries come to live here?
211	w01_dv2	Benefit for Norway that immigrants settles down here	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How great an advantage or disadvantage would you say it is for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
212	w01_dv3	Policies aimed at people from other countries that settle down personally important	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How important are policies aimed at people from other countries who come to live in Norway to you personally?
213	w01_dv4	Immigration policy imporant personally	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
214	w01_dv5	Party with best policy regarding settlement of foreigners	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	Which political party do you believe has the best policy aimed at people who come from other countries to live in Norway?
215	w01_dv5..	Other: Party with best policy regarding settlement of foreigners	discrete	character-100	0	0	Which political party do you believe has the best policy aimed at people who come from other countries to live in Norway?
216	w01_dv6	Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
217	w01_dv6..	Other: Party with best immigration policy	discrete	character-150	0	0	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
218	w01_dv7	Share of foreigners in community	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	If you consider people in your community or school district, around how many have come from a different country to live there or have at least one parent who has come from another country?
219	w01_dv8	Share of immigrants in community	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	If you consider people in your community or school district, around how many have immigrated or have at least one parent who has immigrated?
220	w01_dv9	Encounters with foreigners in daily life	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Imagine people you randomly encounter in your daily life, for example on the bus, at the shop or in your neighbourhood. How often would you say that you encounter people who have come from other countries to live here?
221	w01_dv10	Encounters with immigrants in daily life	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Imagine people you randomly encounter in your daily life, for example on the bus, at the shop or in your neighbourhood. How often would you say you encounter immigrants?
222	w01_dv11	Experience of meeting foreigners	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How good or bad an experience have these short, random encounters been?
223	w01_dv12	Experience of meeting immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How good or bad an experience have these short, random encounters been?
224	w01_dv13	Share of friends that are foreigners	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How many of your close friends have come from another country to live in Norway or have parents (mother, father or both parents) who have come from another country to live in Norway?
225	w01_dv14	Share of friends that are immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	How many of your close friends have immigrated to Norway or have parents (mother, father or both parents) who have immigrated to Norway?
226	w01_grup2	Randomizes independently from U	discrete	numeric-1.0	4709	12302	-
227	w01_dv15	Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to 1800 job applications	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Research based on 1,800 job applications concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?
228	w01_dv16	Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to 42 interviews	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Research based on 42 interviews with employers concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?
229	w01_dv17	Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to research	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Research concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?
230	w01_dv18	Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	A governmental ministry concludes that Norwegians with immigrant

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Norwegians, according to a governmental ministry					backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?
231	w01_dv19	Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to The Norwegian Centre against Racism	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The Norwegian Centre against Racism concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?
232	w01_dv20	Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to public debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Social debate participants conclude that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?
233	w01_grup3	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	2338	14673	-
234	w01_dv21	Allow Muslim schools	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Some Muslims in Norway wish to send their children to Islamic schools that follow the Norwegian curriculum but additionally teach Islam. To which extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway should be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?
235	w01_dv22	Allow Muslim schools, even though it may disrupt integration, according to public debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	w01_dv22 question details
236	w01_dv23	Allow Muslim schools, even though it may disrupt integration, according to Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	w01_dv23 question details
237	w01_dv24	Allow Muslim schools, even though it may disrupt integration, according to Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	w01_dv24 question details
238	w01_grup4	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	2335	14676	-
239	w01_dv25	Claim: Muslim culture should be respected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Muslims in Norway are entitled to respect for their traditions and culture.
240	w01_dv26	Claim: Muslim culture should be protected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Muslims in Norway are entitled to protection of their traditions and culture.
241	w01_dv27	Claim: Immigrant culture should be respected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Immigrants in Norway are entitled to respect for their traditions and culture.
242	w01_dv28	Claim: Immigrant culture should be protected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Immigrants in Norway are entitled to protection of their traditions and culture.
243	w01_dv29_1	Statement: Refugees should be entitled to social services	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Refugees should have the same right to social services as Norwegians, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
244	w01_dv29_2	Statement: Begging should be banned	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Begging should be banned in Norway.
245	w01_dv29_3	Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
246	w01_dv29_4	Statement: Norwegian muslims more loyal to other muslims	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Norwegian Muslims are more loyal to other Muslims in the world than to the people of this country.
247	w01_dv29_5	Statement: Better for a country if most people share the same traditions and customs	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	It is better for a country if nearly everyone shares the same traditions and customs.
248	w01_dv33	Foreigners are better off compared to people like me	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	In your opinion, how much better or worse off are the majority of people who have come to live in Norway compared to people like you?
249	w01_dv34	Immigrants are better off compared to people like me	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	In your opinion, how much better or worse off are the majority of people who have immigrated to Norway compared to people like you?
250	w01_grup5	Randomizes independently from U	discrete	numeric-1.0	4693	12318	-
251	w01_dv35_1	Fitting description: Important not to not have prejudices towards immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	It is important to me personally to be unprejudiced towards immigrants.
252	w01_dv35_2	Fitting description: Don't want to appear racist	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I would not like to be perceived as racist, not even unto myself.
253	w01_dv35_3	Fitting description: Feel guilty about negative feeling towards immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I feel guilty if I have negative feelings towards immigrants.
254	w01_dv35_4	Fitting description: Trying not to not have prejudices towards immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I try to be unprejudiced towards immigrants due to my own conviction.
255	w01_dv36_..	Directly affected by July 22nd	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	w01_dv36_recode question details
256	w01_dv36_..	Other: Directly affected by July 22nd [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Other: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
257	w01_dv37_1	Reaction: Remember where I was when the news came	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I remember where I was when I got the news
258	w01_dv37_2	Reaction: Remember who I was with when the news came	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I remember who I was with when I got the news
259	w01_dv37_3	Reaction: Paid close attention to the news	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I followed the news with extra interest in the time following the events
260	w01_dv37_4	Reaction: Was saddend	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I was sad
261	w01_dv37_5	Reaction: Got angry	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I was angry
262	w01_dv37_6	Reaction: Discussed events with friends and relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I discussed 22. July with friends and acquaintances
263	w01_dv37_8	Reaction: Became more interested in politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I became more interested in politics
264	w01_dv37_..	Reaction: The attacks made no impression	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	The attacks didn't affect me much
265	w01_dv37_..	Other: Reaction [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Other: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
266	w01_dv371	Participated in remembrance services in the period following the attacks	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Did you participate in the remembrance services in the period following the attacks?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
267	w01_dv372	Joined political party or association in the period following the attacks	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Did you become a member of a political party or an association in the period after the terrorist attacks?
268	w01_dv37..	Text: Joined political party or association in the period following the attacks	discrete	character-195	0	0	Did you become a member of a political party or an association in the period after the terrorist attacks?
269	w01_dv39..	Think, read or talk about July 22nd	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	More than two years have passed since the attacks. As for you personally, how often would you say that you think, read or talk about things that have to do with 22 July nowadays?
270	w01_dv38_1	Fitting description: Important not to not have prejudices towards immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	It is important to me personally to be unprejudiced towards immigrants.
271	w01_dv38_2	Fitting description: Don't want to appear racist	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I would not like to be perceived as racist, not even unto myself.
272	w01_dv38_3	Fitting description: Feel guilty about negative feeling towards immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I feel guilty if I have negative feelings towards immigrants.
273	w01_dv38_4	Fitting description: Trying not to not have prejudices towards immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	I try to be unprejudiced towards immigrants due to my own conviction.
274	w01_k17_1	Usage of media channels for news: TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	TV
275	w01_k17_2	Usage of media channels for news: Radio	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Radio
276	w01_k17_3	Usage of media channels for news: Newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Printed newspapers
277	w01_k17_4	Usage of media channels for news: Online newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Online newspapers
278	w01_k17_5	Usage of media channels for news: Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Facebook
279	w01_k17_6	Usage of media channels for news: Twitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Twitter
280	w01_k17_7	Usage of media channels for news: Other websites	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Other websites
281	w01_k18_1	Interested in media content: International news	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	International news
282	w01_k18_2	Interested in media content: Domestic news	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Domestic news
283	w01_k18_3	Interested in media content: Local news	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Local news
284	w01_k18_4	Interested in media content: Health/ consumer/ lifestyle	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Health/ consumer/ lifestyle
285	w01_k18_5	Interested in media content: Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Debate
286	w01_k18_6	Interested in media content: Culture and feature	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Culture and feature
287	w01_k18_7	Interested in media content: Entertainment and celebrity news	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Entertainment and celebrity news

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
288	w01_k18_8	Interested in media content: Sports	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Sports
289	w01_k19_1	Profile on social network: Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Facebook
290	w01_k19_2	Profile on social network: Twitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Twitter
291	w01_k19_3	Profile on social network: Instagram	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Instagram
292	w01_k19_4	Profile on social network: Youtube	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	YouTube
293	w01_k19_5	Profile on social network: Flickr	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Flickr
294	w01_k19_6	Profile on social network: LinkedIn	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	LinkedIn
295	w01_k19_7	Profile on social network: Myspace	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Myspace
296	w01_k19_8	Profile on social network: Origo	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Origo
297	w01_k19_9	Profile on social network: Biip	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Biip
298	w01_k19_10	Profile on social network: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Other
299	w01_k19_11	Profile on social network: None	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	None
300	w01_k20	Description of employment	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Which of the descriptions fits best with what you have done for the past 7 days?
301	w01_k21	Type of business	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Which type of business do you work in?
302	w01_k21_..	Other: Type of business	discrete	character-150	0	0	Which type of business do you work in?
303	w01_k23	Text: Description of profession [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	What kind of work do you primarily do in your main profession? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
304	w01_k23a	Text: Name or title of profession [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	What is the name or title of your main profession? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
305	w01_k23b	Responsible for supervising employees	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Are you responsible for supervising the work of other employees in your main work?
306	w01_k24	Work in gas or oil manufacturing	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Is your workplace in the oil and gas sector, or closely related to it?
307	w01_k25_1	Gross annual income	continuous	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	Gross annual income is:
308	w01_k26	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	4905	12106	What is your highest completed education?
309	w01_k27	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Marital status. Are/have you:
310	w01_k27_..	Other: Marital status	discrete	character-150	0	0	Marital status. Are/have you:
311	w01_k28	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Citizenship. Are you:
312	w01_k28_..	Text: Citizenship in other country	discrete	character-150	0	0	Please indicate which country

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
313	w01_k29	Parents immigrated to Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both) immigrated to Norway?
314	w01_k30_1	Text: Home country before immigration [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Which country did you live in before immigrating? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
315	w01_k30_..	Text: Parents home country, mother [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Mother: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
316	w01_k30_...	Text: Parents home country, father [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Father: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
317	w01_k30_...	Text: Mothers last home country [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Which country did your mother live in before she immigrated? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
318	w01_k30_...	Text: Fathers last home country [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Which country did your father live in before he immigrated? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
319	w01_ran6	Randomizes if U=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	2349	14662	-
320	w01_dt14	Who should get 5000 kr, the participants have no say	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	w01_dt14 question details
321	w01_dt15	Who should get 5000 kr, you as a participant have a say	discrete	numeric-1.0	4905	12106	w01_dt15 question details
322	w01_km31	Text: Thoughts on climate change [anonymised]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear the words "climate change"? Please note the first thing that springs to mind. We appreciate all kinds of answers. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
323	w01_comm_..	Text: Comments or suggestions for the survey [anonymised]	discrete	character-150	0	0	If you have any comments or suggestions for the survey and the Citizen Panel, please write them here. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
324	w01_P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
325	w01_P2	Region	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
326	w01_P3	County	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
327	w01_P4	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
328	w01_P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
329	w01_P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
330	w01_Weig_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
331	w01_Weig_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-11.0	4905	12106	-
332	w02_u	u	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	-
333	w02_k201	Satisfaction with current government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	First we will ask some general questions on your own opinion of politics and society in Norway today. How satisfied are you with the current government?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
334	w02_k202	Perception of current economic situation	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How do you perceive the current economic situation in Norway? Do you believe it is
335	w02_k203	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	All things considered, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
336	w02_k204	Party preference if elections tomorrow	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	What would you vote if there were an election to the Storting tomorrow?
337	w02_k204..	Other: Party preference if elections tomorrow	discrete	character-255	0	0	What would you vote if there were an election to the Storting tomorrow?
338	w02_k205..	Statement: The state should contribute to reducing income inequalities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The state should contribute to reducing inequalities in income in society.
339	w02_k205..	Statement: Better if there is a diversity of different religions and views on life	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and views on life.
340	w02_k205..	Statement: Emission reductions should be made abroad.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Most of the emission reductions Norway is committed to should be made abroad.
341	w02_k206_1	1. Most important political issues - text	discrete	character-255	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
342	w02_k206..	1. Most important political issues - coded	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
343	w02_k206_2	2. Most important political issues - text	discrete	character-255	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
344	w02_k206..	2. Most important political issues - coded	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
345	w02_k206_3	3. Most important political issues - text	discrete	character-255	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
346	w02_k206..	3. Most important political issues - coded	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
347	w02_k207_1	Placement on political scale	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
348	w02_k6_1	Like or dislike party: The Christian Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Christian Democratic Party
349	w02_k6_2	Like or dislike party: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Conservative Party
350	w02_k6_3	Like or dislike party: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Progress Party
351	w02_k6_4	Like or dislike party: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Liberal Party
352	w02_k6_5	Like or dislike party: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Socialist Left Party
353	w02_k6_6	Like or dislike party: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Centre Party
354	w02_k6_7	Like or dislike party: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Green Party
355	w02_k6_8	Like or dislike party: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Labour Party
356	w02_k6_9	Like or dislike party: Red	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Red

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
357	w02_k208	Statements describes view on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your view on climate change?
358	w02_u1_s	Randomizes if U=1 into two groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	1719	15292	-
359	w02_km235	Proportion of Norway's population that shares opinion on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How great a proportion of Norway's population do you think shares your opinion on this matter?
360	w02_km222	Party with the best climate policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	We would like to ask some more questions regarding the climate before proceeding to other topics. In your opinion, which party has the best climate policy?
361	w02_km22_.	Other: Party with the best climate policy	discrete	character-255	0	0	We would like to ask some more questions regarding the climate before proceeding to other topics. In your opinion, which party has the best climate policy?
362	w02_km236	Concerned are you about climate change [anonymised]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How concerned are you about climate change? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
363	w02_u1_r_.	Randomizes if U=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	1719	15292	-
364	w02_km202a	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in Norway immediately	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202a question details
365	w02_km202b	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world immediately	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202b question details
366	w02_km202c	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in Norway in the next 50 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202c question details
367	w02_km202d	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world in the next 50 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202d question details
368	w02_km202e	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in Norway immediately	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202e question details
369	w02_km202f	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in the world immediately	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202f question details
370	w02_km202g	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in Norway in the next 50 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202g question details
371	w02_km202h	Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in the world in the next 50 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km202h question details
372	w02_km2017	Will global warming lead to more harmful extreme	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	If nothing is done to prevent it, do you believe global warming will lead to

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		weather over the next 100 years					more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years, or do you believe global warming will not lead to this?
373	w02_km212	Decision not to carry out an impact assessment of petroleum activity outside Lofoten and Vesterålen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	As you may know, after the election the Solberg government decided that it would not carry out an impact assessment of petroleum activity outside Lofoten and Vesterålen in the next four years. In your opinion, was this a good or bad decision?
374	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV
375	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Radio	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Radio
376	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: National newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	National newspapers (online and printed)
377	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Regional or local newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Regional or local newspapers (online and printed)
378	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)
379	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Blogs or other websites	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Blogs or other websites
380	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Publications from environmental organizations	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Publications from environmental organizations
381	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Reports from the research communities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Reports from the research communities
382	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Reports from the authorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Reports from the authorities
383	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Other, please specify
384	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: Other	discrete	character-255	0	0	Other, please specify
385	w02_km20..	Source of information on climate change: None	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	None
386	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	876	16135	-
387	w02_km216	Temperature last winter	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Has the winter (2013-14) where you live been warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal?
388	w02_km217	Temperature last summer	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Was the last summer (2013) where you live warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal?
389	w02_km218	Amount of precipitation last winter	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Was there more precipitation, less precipitation or normal precipitation last winter (2013-14) where you live?
390	w02_km219	Amount of precipitation last summer	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	When you consider last summer (2013), was there more precipitation, less

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							precipitation or normal precipitation where you live?
391	w02_km220	Average temperature the past ten years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Would you say that the temperature on average has become warmer, colder or remained about the same in the past ten years?
392	w02_km221	Statement: I have myself noticed the consequences of global warming	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree with the statement: "I have myself noticed the consequences of global warming"
393	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	875	16136	-
394	w02_km2236	Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does not believe it is man-made	discrete	character-1	0	0	w02_km2236 question details
395	w02_km2237	Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does believe it is man-made	discrete	character-1	0	0	w02_km2237 question details
396	w02_km2238	Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does believe the climate is about to change	discrete	character-1	0	0	w02_km2238 question details
397	w02_km2239	Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does not believe the climate is about to change	discrete	character-1	0	0	w02_km2239 question details
398	w02_km251	If the Progress Party supports measures that increase the costs of emitting greenhouse gases	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	In your opinion, does the Progress Party support measures that increase the costs of emitting greenhouse gases in Norway?
399	w02_km252	Heard of the CO2 compensation scheme for Norwegian industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Have you heard of the CO2 compensation scheme for Norwegian industry?
400	w02_km253	Supports the CO2 compensation scheme	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	Do you support the CO2 compensation scheme?
401	w02_u1_k..	A value of 1 for respondents who are registered in one of the following municipalities: Oslo, Ski, Nesodden, Oppegård, Enebakk, Bærum, Rælingen, Lørenskog, Skedsmo, Nittedal, Marker, Rømskog, Trøgstad, Spydeberg, Askim, Eidsberg, Skiptvet, Hobøl, Vestby, Ås, Frogn, Asker, Røyken, Hurum, Aurskog-Høland, Sørum, Fet, Gjerdrum, Ullensaker, Nes, Eidsvoll, Nannestad, Hurdal, Lunner, Moss, Råde, Rygge, Våler, Drammen, Øvre Eiker, Nedre Eiker, Lier, Holmestrand, Svelvik, Sande, Hof, Sandnes, Stavanger, Hå, Klepp, Time, Gjesdal, Sola, Randaberg, Forsand, Strand, Finnøy, Rennesøy, Kvitsøy, Bergen, Fusa, Samnanger,	discrete	numeric-1.0	3370	13641	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Os, Sund, Fjell, Askøy, Vaksdal, Osterøy, Meland, Øygarden, Radøy, Lindås, Austrheim					
402	w02_dt201	Opinion of funding public facilities with aid of advertising [if w02_u1_kommvalg]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Several Norwegian municipalities have in recent years entered into contracts with companies that provide and maintain bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories in return for the companies being allowed to advertise in connection with these. What is your opinion of this?
403	w02_u1_r..	Randomizes if U=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	845	16166	-
404	w02_dt20..	Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?
405	w02_dt20..	Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?
406	w02_u1_r..	Randomizes if U=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	825	16186	-
407	w02_dt20..	Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?
408	w02_dt20..	Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?
409	w02_ocgb9	Duty or choice to vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Some vote mainly based on duty. They believe that one should use one's vote, even though one doesn't agree with any of the parties. Others believe that one can freely choose not to vote if one cannot find a party one agrees with. What is your opinion about voting, is it primarily:
410	w02_ocgb10	To which extent is it a duty to vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you believe it is a duty?
411	w02_ocgb..	Likelihood of voting at the next election to the Storting	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	What is the likelihood of you voting at the next election to the Storting? Indicate the likelihood using the scale below.
412	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	871	16140	-
413	w02_ocacm1	Satisfied with NAV services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	We want to know how you rate the services of Nav (Labour and welfare administration). All in all, how satisfied are you with the quality of the services?
414	w02_ocacm2	Satisfied with NAV services, given Aftenposten article	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_ocacm2 question details
415	w02_ocacm3	Satisfied with NAV services, given Dagbladet article	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_ocacm3 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
416	w02_ocacm4	Satisfied with NAV services, given thousands of complaints	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_ocacm4 question details
417	w02_ocacm5	Experience/contact with Nav in the past 12 months	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Which experience/contact have you had with Nav in the past 12 months?
418	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	871	16140	-
419	w02_ta1a	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
420	w02_ta2a	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
421	w02_ta3a	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
422	w02_ta1b	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
423	w02_ta2b	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
424	w02_ta3b	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
425	w02_ta1c	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
426	w02_ta2c	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
427	w02_ta3c	Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.
428	w02_tadv1	Time spent watching, NRK-TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How much time do you spend watching NRK TV on any given day?
429	w02_tadv2	Time spent watching, TV 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How much time do you spend watching TV2 on any given day?
430	w02_tadv3	Time spent watching, nyhetssendinger på NRK-TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How much time do you spend watching news broadcasts on NRK TV on any given day?
431	w02_tadv4	Time spent watching, nyhetssendinger på TV2	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How much time do you spend watching news broadcasts on TV2 on any given day?
432	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Labour Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Labour Party

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
433	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Progress Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Progress Party
434	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Conservative Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Conservative Party
435	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Christian Democratic Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Christian Democratic Party
436	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Green Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Green Party
437	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: Red	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Red
438	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Centre Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Centre Party
439	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Socialist Left Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Socialist Left Party
440	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Liberal Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Liberal Party
441	w02_tadv..	No political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	NRK TV doesn't favour any parties
442	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Labour Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Labour Party
443	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Progress Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Progress Party
444	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Conservative Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Conservative Party
445	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Christian Democratic Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Christian Democratic Party
446	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Green Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Green Party
447	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: Red	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Red
448	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Centre Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Centre Party
449	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Socialist Left Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Socialist Left Party
450	w02_tadv..	Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Liberal Party	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The Liberal Party
451	w02_tadv..	No political favoritism in TV2's news coverage	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV2 doesn't favour any parties

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
452	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	856	16155	-
453	w02_ocgb..	Statement: By not voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has been a poor role model for her children [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By not voting at elections, this woman has been a poor role model for her children.
454	w02_ocgb..	Statement: By voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has taught her children what is the right thing to do	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By voting at elections, this woman has taught her children what is the right thing to do.
455	w02_ocgb..	Statement: By not voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown her neighbours that she doesn't care about the local community [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By not voting at elections, this woman has shown her neighbours that she doesn't care about the local community.
456	w02_ocgb..	Statement: By voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown her neighbours that she cares about the local community. [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By voting at elections, this woman has shown her neighbours that she cares about the local community.
457	w02_ocgb..	Statement: By not voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown that she doesn't care about her country [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By not voting at elections, this woman has shown that she doesn't care about her country.
458	w02_ocgb..	Statement: By voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown that she cares about her country [see screenshot provided in codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By voting at elections, this woman has shown that she cares about her country.
459	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	856	16155	-
460	w02_dv29a	Statement: School books should be written such that they reflect the new diversity in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? School books should be written such that they reflect the new diversity in Norway.
461	w02_dv29b	Statement: School books should be rewritten such that they reflect the new diversity in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? School books should be rewritten such that they reflect the new diversity in Norway.
462	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	856	16155	-
463	w02_dv210a	Statement: It is important to recognize the new diversity in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? It is important to recognize the new diversity in Norway.
464	w02_dv210b	Statement: It is important to celebrate the new diversity in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							It is important to celebrate the new diversity in Norway.
465	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	856	16155	-
466	w02_ocsn1	Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many were not born in this country	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many do you think were not born in this country?
467	w02_ocsn2	Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many are divorced	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many do you think are divorced?
468	w02_ocsn3	Were you aware that 12 out of 100 people who live in Norway were not born in this country?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Were you aware that 12 out of 100 people who live in Norway were not born in this country?
469	w02_ocsn4	If the government should implement measures to reduce differences in income levels	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree that the government should implement measures to reduce differences in income levels?
470	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	854	16157	-
471	w02_ocam..	Like or dislike: Norwegians	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Norwegians
472	w02_ocam..	Like or dislike: Swedes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Swedes
473	w02_ocam..	Like or dislike: Jews	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Jews
474	w02_ocam..	Like or dislike: Muslims	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Muslims
475	w02_ocam..	Like or dislike: Protestants	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Protestants
476	w02_ocam..	Like or dislike: Catholics	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Catholics
477	w02_ocam1	Thought about human rights violations past week	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	About how many days in the past week have you thought about human rights violations in some part of the world?
478	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1, S=1 and V=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	446	16565	-
479	w02_ocam3	Proportion of blame on Israel in Palestinian conflict	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Now we will ask you some questions related to the conflict between Israel and the Palestinians. In this conflict, how great a proportion of the blame do you believe Israel has?
480	w02_ocam..	Proportion of blame on Israeli authorities in Palestinian conflict	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Israeli authorities have?
481	w02_ocam..	Proportion of blame on Israeli people in Palestinian conflict	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	the Israeli people has?
482	w02_ocam5	Opinion regarding boycott of Israeli products	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_ocam5 question details
483	w02_ocam6	Opinion regarding academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Certain universities and academic organizations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To which extent do you support or oppose such an academic boycott of Israel?
484	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1, S=1 and V=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	408	16603	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
485	w02_oct1	Preferanse regarding awarding for participation in the Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_oct1 question details
486	w02_oct2	Preferanse regarding awarding for participation in the Citizen Panel, split prize	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_oct2 question details
487	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: News	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	News
488	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: Documentaries	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Documentaries
489	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Debate
490	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: Consumer/health/lifestyle programmes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Consumer/health/lifestyle programmes
491	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: Documentary soap/reality	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Documentary soap/reality
492	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: Films	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Films
493	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: TV series	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV series
494	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: Entertainment/ humour	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Entertainment/ humour
495	w02_dt20..	Watch the following programme types on TV: Sports	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Sports
496	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers: International news	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	International news
497	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers: Domestic news	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Domestic news
498	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers: Local news	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Local news
499	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers: Health/ consumer/ lifestyle	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Health/ consumer/ lifestyle
500	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers: Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Debate
501	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers: Culture and feature	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Culture and feature
502	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Entertainment and celebrity news

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Entertainment and celebrity news					
503	w02_dt20..	Read the following types of material in newspapers: Sports	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Sports
504	w02_dt20..	Use social media: Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Facebook
505	w02_dt20..	Use social media: Twitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Twitter
506	w02_dt20..	Use social media: Own blog	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Own blog
507	w02_dt20..	Use social media: Other people's blogs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Other people's blogs
508	w02_dt20..	Use social media: Comment fields in online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Comment fields in online newspapers
509	w02_dt20..	Use social media: Online fora	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Online fora
510	w02_dt20..	Use social media: Other social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Other social media
511	w02_dt207	Use of smartphone or tablet to get news updates	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How frequently do you use a smartphone or tablet to get news updates?
512	w02_dt208	If the household subscribes to newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Does your household subscribe to newspapers?
513	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: NRK	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	NRK
514	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: P4	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	P4
515	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Radio Norge	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Radio Norge
516	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Local radio	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Local radio
517	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Others
518	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: NRK	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	NRK
519	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: TV 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV 2
520	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Others
521	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Facebook
522	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Twitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Twitter
523	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Blogs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Blogs
524	w02_dt20..	Important source of news: Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Others
525	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: VG	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	VG
526	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Dagbladet
527	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Dagens Næringsliv	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Dagens Næringsliv

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
528	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Klassekampen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Klassekampen
529	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Morgenbladet	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Morgenbladet
530	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Dagsavisen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Dagsavisen
531	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Nationen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Nationen
532	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Vårt Land	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Vårt Land
533	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Others
534	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Aftenposten	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Aftenposten
535	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Bergens Tidende	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Bergens Tidende
536	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Adresseavisen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Adresseavisen
537	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Stavanger Aftenblad	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Stavanger Aftenblad
538	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Fædrelandsvennen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Fædrelandsvennen
539	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Nordlys	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Nordlys
540	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Avisa Nordland	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Avisa Nordland
541	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Sunnmørsposten	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Sunnmørsposten
542	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Others
543	w02_dt21..	Important source of news: Local newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Local newspapers
544	w02_dt21..	Statement: In Norway we have open and democratic social debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	In Norway we have open and democratic social debate.
545	w02_dt21..	Statement: In general, Norwegian media is of high quality	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	In general, Norwegian media is of high quality.
546	w02_dt21..	Statement: The task of the media is not to criticize, but to report	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The task of the media is not to criticize, but to report.
547	w02_dt21..	Statement: The task of the media is to defend the individual against the system	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The task of the media is to defend the individual against the system.
548	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media should examine society's powers that be	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media should examine society's powers that be.
549	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media must influence the political agenda	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media must influence the political agenda.
550	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media must give ordinary people a voice	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media must give ordinary people a voice.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
551	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media are not critical enough of authorities and institutions	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media are not critical enough of authorities and institutions.
552	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media reports too little material that concerns the everyday life of people	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media reports too little material that concerns the everyday life of people.
553	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media has too much focus on individual cases	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media has too much focus on individual cases.
554	w02_dt21..	Statement: Many media are too inaccurate with facts and quotes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Many media are too inaccurate with facts and quotes.
555	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media provide important information on the Norwegian welfare state	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media provide important information on the Norwegian welfare state.
556	w02_dt21..	Statement: Health journalism is too focused on individuals	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Health journalism is too focused on individuals.
557	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media is too critical of NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media is too critical of NAV.
558	w02_dt21..	Statement: The media is too critical of the health services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The media is too critical of the health services.
559	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: NRK news	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	NRK news
560	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: TV 2 news	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV 2 news
561	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: VG	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	VG
562	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Dagbladet
563	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: Aftenposten	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Aftenposten
564	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: Dagens Næringsliv	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Dagens Næringsliv
565	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: Klassekampen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Klassekampen
566	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: Regional newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Regional newspapers
567	w02_dt21..	Confidence in news media: Local newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Local newspapers
568	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: Health and welfare policy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Health and welfare policy
569	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: The future of the Norwegian welfare state	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The future of the Norwegian welfare state
570	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: The restructuring of the hospital sector	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The restructuring of the hospital sector

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
571	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: Police and contingency	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Police and contingency
572	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: The pension reform	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The pension reform
573	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: Dental health services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Dental health services
574	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: Day-care centre development	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Day-care centre development
575	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: School policy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	School policy
576	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: Care for the elderly	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Care for the elderly
577	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	NAV
578	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: Closure of local hospitals	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Closure of local hospitals
579	w02_dt21..	Interested in following news coverage: Merging of hospitals in the Oslo area	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Merging of hospitals in the Oslo area
580	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Visit a NAV office	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Visit a NAV office
581	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Visit other public agencies	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Visit other public agencies
582	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Use NAV's website	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Use NAV's website
583	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Call NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Call NAV
584	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Search the web	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Search the web
585	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Newspapers
586	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV
587	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Periodicals and magazines	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Periodicals and magazines
588	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Social media
589	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Family	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family
590	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
591	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Colleagues	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Colleagues
592	w02_dt21..	Seek information about welfare services: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Other
593	w02_dt216	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?
594	w02_dt21..	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Other	discrete	character-255	0	0	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?
595	w02_q516_1	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Family	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family
596	w02_q516_2	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Friends	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends
597	w02_q516_3	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Colleagues	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Colleagues
598	w02_q516_4	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Facebook	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Facebook
599	w02_q516_5	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Twitter	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Twitter
600	w02_q516_6	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Blog	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Blog
601	w02_q516_7	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Comment fields in online newspapers	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Comment fields in online newspapers
602	w02_q516_8	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Politicians	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Politicians
603	w02_q516_9	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Media	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Media
604	w02_q516..	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Other	continuous	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Other
605	w02_q516..	Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service	discrete	character-255	0	0	Other
606	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Discuss news with others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Discuss news with others
607	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Discuss politics with others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Discuss politics with others
608	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Are asked for advice on politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Are asked for advice on politics

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
609	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Share news stories in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Share news stories in social media
610	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Share political debate contributions in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Share political debate contributions in social media
611	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Comment news stories in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Comment news stories in social media
612	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Comment political debate contributions in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Comment political debate contributions in social media
613	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Write letters to the editor in newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Write letters to the editor in newspapers
614	w02_dt21..	Do or experience the following: Are interviewed by the media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Are interviewed by the media
615	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Family	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family
616	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends
617	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Colleagues	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Colleagues
618	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Politicians
619	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Media commentators	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Media commentators
620	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Newspapers
621	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV
622	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Social media
623	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence choice of political party: Family	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family
624	w02_dt21..	The following actors influence choice of political party: Friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
625	w02_dt21 ..	The following actors influence choice of political party: Colleagues	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Colleagues
626	w02_dt21 ..	The following actors influence choice of political party: Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Politicians
627	w02_dt21 ..	The following actors influence choice of political party: Media commentators	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Media commentators
628	w02_dt21 ..	The following actors influence choice of political party: Newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Newspapers
629	w02_dt21 ..	The following actors influence choice of political party: TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV
630	w02_dt21 ..	The following actors influence choice of political party: Social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Social media
631	w02_dt226	Impression of NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?
632	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Personal experience as user of services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Personal experience as user of services
633	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Personal experience from employment at NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Personal experience from employment at NAV
634	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Family members' experiences as users of services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family members' experiences as users of services
635	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Family members' experiences from employment at NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family members' experiences from employment at NAV
636	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Friends' experience as users of services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends' experience as users of services
637	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Friends' experiences from employment at NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends' experiences from employment at NAV
638	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Political debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Political debate
639	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: TV news	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV news
640	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: National newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	National newspapers
641	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Local and regional newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Local and regional newspapers
642	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Facebook
643	w02_dt22 ..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Twitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Twitter

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
644	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Blogs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Blogs
645	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Comment fields in online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Comment fields in online newspapers
646	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence view of NAV: Discussion forums	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Discussion forums
647	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Personal experience as a patient	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Personal experience as a patient
648	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Personal experience from employment at the hospital	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Personal experience from employment at the hospital
649	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Family members' experience as patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family members' experience as patients
650	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Family members' experience from employment at the hospital	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Family members' experience from employment at the hospital
651	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Friends' experience as patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends' experience as patients
652	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Friends' experience from employment at the hospital	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Friends' experience from employment at the hospital
653	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Political debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Political debate
654	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: TV news	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	TV news
655	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: National newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	National newspapers
656	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Local and regional newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Local and regional newspapers
657	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Facebook
658	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Twitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Twitter
659	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Blogs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Blogs

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
660	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Local and regional newspapersKommentarfelt på nettavisser	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Local and regional newspapersKommentarfelt på nettavisser
661	w02_dt22..	Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Discussion forums	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Discussion forums
662	w02_dt22..	How strong is the influence of the media on political opinion in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How strong the influence is
663	w02_dt22..	How strong should the influence of the media on political opinion in Norway be	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How strong it should be
664	w02_u1_s..	Randomizes if U=1 and S=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	831	16180	-
665	w02_dt223a	258 300 patients in health queues, Norwegian health service efficiency service in terms of treatment time	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Around 258,300 patients are in health queues in the Norwegian health service. How efficient do you think the Norwegian health service is in terms of treatment time?
666	w02_dt223b	258 300 patients waiting for treatment, Norwegian health service efficiency service in terms of treatment time	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Around 258,300 patients are waiting for treatment by the Norwegian health service. How efficient do you think the Norwegian health service is in terms of treatment time?
667	w02_dt223c	Norwegian health service efficiency service in terms of treatment time	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How efficient do you think the Norwegian health service is in terms of treatment time?
668	w02_dt224	Experience with the Norwegian health service	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Do you have experience with the Norwegian health service?
669	w02_dt225	Longest waiting time for treatment	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	What would you estimate is the longest you have waited for treatment? (e.g. waited for an operation at a hospital.
670	w02_km22..	Statement: Technological innovations will solve the climate issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Technological innovations will solve the climate issues.
671	w02_km22..	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas exploitation in the area around Lofoten and Vesterålen	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	We should not allow oil and gas exploitation in the area around Lofoten and Vesterålen.
672	w02_km22..	Statement: Inhabitants in rich countries such as Norway should reduce their consumption as a part of solving the climate challenge	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Inhabitants in rich countries such as Norway should reduce their consumption as a part of solving the climate challenge.
673	w02_dv20..	Statement: Tax rates should be lowered, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Tax rates should be lowered, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
674	w02_dv20..	Statement: Commercial private schools should be permitted	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Commercial private schools should be permitted.
675	w02_dv20..	Statement: Many public services could be carried out both better and cheaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Many public services could be carried out both better and cheaper if they were left to private parties.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		if they were left to private parties					
676	w02_dv20..	Statement: Refugees should have the same right to social services as Norwegians, even if they are not Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Refugees should have the same right to social services as Norwegians, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
677	w02_dv20..	Statement: Begging should be banned in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Begging should be banned in Norway.
678	w02_dv20..	Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here.
679	w02_u2_s	Randomizes if U=2 into two groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	1650	15361	-
680	w02_u2_s..	Randomiserer dersom U=2 og S=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	825	16186	-
681	w02_dv20..	Public responsibility to reduce income inequality between rich and poor	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	Some believe that the authorities should do everything they can to reduce income inequalities between the rich and poor. Others believe that this is not the responsibility of the authorities, but that each individual must take responsibility for themselves. What is your opinion?
682	w02_dv20..	Public responsibility to reduce income inequality between the majority population and minority groups	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	w02_dv205b_1 question details
683	w02_u2_s..	Randomizes if U=2 and S=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	825	16186	-
684	w02_dv206a	Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on poor families	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on poor families?
685	w02_dv206b	Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on minority families	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on ethnic minority families?
686	w02_dv206c	Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families?
687	w02_dv206d	Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families who have become Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families who have become Norwegian citizens?
688	w02_dv222	Political party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	In your opinion, which party has the best immigration policy?
689	w02_dv22..	Other: Political party with the best immigration policy	discrete	character-255	0	0	In your opinion, which party has the best immigration policy?
690	w02_u2_ran	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1650	15361	-
691	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
692	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		evenings: for a local sports association					
693	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
694	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.
695	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
696	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for a local sports association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.
697	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
698	w02_dt20..	Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.
699	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
700	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.
701	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
702	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.
703	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
704	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		next year: for a local sports association					
705	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
706	w02_dt20..	Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.
707	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
708	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.
709	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
710	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.
711	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
712	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a local sports association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.
713	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
714	w02_dt20..	Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		year: for a municipal service for the elderly					
715	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
716	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.
717	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
718	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.
719	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for the local Red Cross.
720	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a local sports association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a local sports association.
721	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for children and young people.
722	w02_dt20..	Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	for a municipal service for the elderly.
723	w02_hp4a..	Statement: The resources we spend on health in Norway are sufficient	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	The resources we spend on health in Norway are sufficient to ensure full preparedness and to perform all useful examinations, treatment and preventive measures.
724	w02_hp4a..	Statement: Offering more extensive health services would take too much of a toll on other important public responsibilities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	If the health service is to provide all useful health measures, it will take too much of a toll on other important public responsibilities, for example education, police and transport.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
725	w02_hp4a..	Statement: Sensible that health service takes into consideration costs when selecting treatments if it benefits other patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	It is sensible that the health service takes into consideration costs when selecting treatments, if this liberates resources for patients who otherwise would not receive treatment.
726	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	-
727	w02_hp7a	Waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_hp7a question details
728	w02_hp7b	Someone close is on waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_hp7b question details
729	w02_hp7c	You personally on the waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_hp7c question details
730	w02_hp7d	Waiting list for knee surgery in patients with equally severe disease, those who will benefit most from treatment should advance in line	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Imagine that there is a waiting list for knee surgery among patients with equally serious illness. To which extent do you agree or disagree that those who would benefit most from the treatment as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means that others would have to wait longer?
731	w02_hp7e	Someone close is on waiting list for knee surgery among patients with equally serious illness, those who will benefit most from treatment should advance in line	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_hp7e question details
732	w02_hp7f	You personally on the waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line even if you have to wait longer	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Imagine that you are on a waiting list for knee surgery among patients with equally serious illness. To which extent do you agree or disagree that those who would benefit most from the treatment as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means you would have to wait longer?
733	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1644	15367	-
734	w02_hp8a	Statement: The authorities should use more resources than at present to prevent illness, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The authorities should use more resources than at present to prevent illness, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness.
735	w02_hp8b	Statement: In those cases where many more lives can be saved by preventing illness, the authorities should use more resources than at present for prevention, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? In those cases where many more lives can be saved by preventing illness, the authorities should use more resources than at present for prevention, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness.
736	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1643	15368	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
737	w02_hp9a	Statement: Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they are in risk of dropping out of the labour market	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	And what is your opinion of this statement? Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they are in risk of dropping out of the labour market. Do you...
738	w02_hp9b	Statement: Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they due to their illness are a great burden to their next of kin	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	And what is your opinion of this statement? Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they due to their illness are a great burden to their next of kin. Do you...
739	w02_hp9c	Statement: Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they care for small children	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	And what is your opinion of this statement? Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they care for small children. Do you...
740	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1642	15369	-
741	w02_hp10a	Statement: Patients with serious illness who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Patients with serious illness who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients.
742	w02_hp10b	Statement: Patients with minor illnesses who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Patients with minor illnesses who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients.
743	w02_hp10c	Statement: Patients who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Patients who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients.
744	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1642	15369	-
745	w02_hp11a	Hospitals say no to a treatment that the patient wants, the patient receives a different treatment which is almost as good and which frees up resources for other patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	What do you feel about hospitals saying no to treatment the patient himself wants, if the patient is given other treatment that is almost as good and which frees up resources for other patients? Would you say that it is...
746	w02_hp11b	Hospitals say no to a treatment that the patient wants, the patient receives a different treatment that scientific studies have considered better for patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	What do you feel about hospitals saying no to treatment the patient himself wants, if the patient is given other treatment that scientific studies have shown to better for patients? Would you say that it is...
747	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1641	15370	-
748	w02_hp12a	Reasonable: Patient with livable disease hear about a treatment abroad, but the	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_hp12a question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		doctor decides that it can not be offered					
749	w02_hp12b	Reasonable: Patient with livable disease hear about a treatment abroad, but professional expertise determines that it can not be offered	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_hp12b question details
750	w02_hp12c	Reasonable: Patient with livable disease hear about a treatment abroad, but professional expertise and patient organizations determines that it will not be offered	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_hp12c question details
751	w02_hp2	Examined or treated by the health service in the past 12 months	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How frequently have you been examined or treated by the health service (e.g. by doctor or at a hospital) in the past 12 months?
752	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1638	15373	-
753	w02_hp3a	Not given examination or treatment because of limited resources in health services	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	Have you experienced not receiving the examination or treatment that would have been best for you due to limited resources in the health service?
754	w02_hp3b	Received examination or treatment later due to limited resources in health services	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	Have you experienced receiving examination or treatment later than would have been best for you due to limited resources in the health service?
755	w02_dv211	Opplevelse av medbestemmelse i valg av behandling og helsetiltak	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Public authorities emphasize user-participation, i.e. the population's opportunity to influence the design of public services. To which extent do you experience co-determination in the choice of treatment and health measures?
756	w02_dv212	Experience of co-determination in the choice of treatment and health measures	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	And to which extent do you desire co-determination in the choice of treatment and health measures?
757	w02_u2_s..	Randomizes if U=2 and S=1	discrete	numeric-1.0	822	16189	-
758	w02_km26_1	Three reasons people want to prevent climate change	discrete	character-1	0	0	Why do people want to prevent climate change? Note up to three reasons in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
759	w02_km26_2	Three reasons people want to prevent climate change	discrete	character-1	0	0	Why do people want to prevent climate change? Note up to three reasons in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
760	w02_km26_3	Three reasons people want to prevent climate change	discrete	character-1	0	0	Why do people want to prevent climate change? Note up to three reasons in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
761	w02_km27_1	Three ways people are trying to prevent climate change	discrete	character-1	0	0	How do people try to prevent climate change? Note up to three ways in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
762	w02_km27_2	Three ways people are trying to prevent climate change	discrete	character-1	0	0	How do people try to prevent climate change? Note up to three ways in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
763	w02_km27_3	Three ways people are trying to prevent climate change	discrete	character-1	0	0	How do people try to prevent climate change? Note up to three ways in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
764	w02_km35	Climate change as a specific or abstract concept	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	When you consider climate change and everything you relate to it, how specific or abstract does the thought seem to you?
765	w02_km241	Assuming climate change may become a huge problem, what can be done to prevent it	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Let's assume that climate change may become a major threat to the world in the future if no action is taken. To which extent do you believe that it is possible to do anything to prevent harmful climate changes? Select the statement that is closest to your view:
766	w02_km242	The seriousness of climate change as a threat overall [Question KM242 and KM243 is set in randomized order]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How serious a threat is climate change overall?
767	w02_km243	The seriousness of climate change as a threat personally [Question KM242 and KM243 is set in randomized order]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How serious a threat is climate change to you personally?
768	w02_km244	How specific or abstract costs associated with climate change appear	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	When you consider what it may cost to do everything needed to prevent hazardous climate changes, how specific or abstract do such costs appear to you?
769	w02_km24_..	Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Hope	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Hope
770	w02_km24_..	Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Anxiety	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Anxiety
771	w02_km24_..	Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Sadness	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Sadness
772	w02_km24_..	Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Anger
773	w02_km24_..	Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Guilt	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Guilt
774	w02_km24_..	Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Interest	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Interest
775	w02_km24_..	Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Compassion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Compassion

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
776	w02_km246	Moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	To which degree do you view it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change?
777	w02_km47..	Measures to reduce climate change: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground
778	w02_km47..	Measures to reduce climate change: Increase funding for research into, and the development of renewable energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Increase funding for research into, and the development of renewable energy
779	w02_km47..	Measures to reduce climate change: Introduce punitive duty on goods from countries the emit more greenhouse gases that they are permitted to under the Kyoto Protocol	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Introduce punitive duty on goods from countries the emit more greenhouse gases that they are permitted to under the Kyoto Protocol
780	w02_km47..	Measures to reduce climate change: Plant more trees and reduce deforestation	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Plant more trees and reduce deforestation
781	w02_u2_s..	Randomizes if U=2 and S=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	812	16199	-
782	w02_km213a	Emissions creates climate change, but Norwegian oil production is cleaner: should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km213a question details
783	w02_km213b	Norwegian oil production is cleaner, but emissions creates climate change: should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	w02_km213b question details
784	w02_u2_r..	Randomizes if U=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1631	15380	-
785	w02_dv207a	Opinion regarding party programme: less power to the EU, more money for the elderly and less immigration [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Party A: Programme The EU has too much influence on Norwegian society. Power must be transferred back from Brussels to Norway. More money should be spent on care for the elderly. Immigration from non-western countries should be dramatically reduced.
786	w02_dv20..	Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following scale where 0 means "not likely at all" and 10 means "very likely":
787	w02_dv207b	Opinion regarding party programme: less power to the EU, more money for the elderly and less immigration [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Party A: Programme The EU has too much influence on Norwegian society. Power must be transferred back from Brussels to Norway. More money should be spent on care for the elderly. Immigration from non-western countries should be dramatically reduced.
788	w02_dv20..	Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							scale where 0 means “not likely at all” and 10 means “very likely”:
789	w02_dv207c	Opinion regarding party programme: Higher taxes on businesses, products and cars that pollute, freedom of the internet, referendum on new laws [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Party A: Programme The environment should be protected through higher taxes for corporations, products and vehicles that pollute The government should do more to ensure full online freedom There should be referendums on new, important laws.
790	w02_dv20..	Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following scale where 0 means “not likely at all” and 10 means “very likely”:
791	w02_dv207d	Opinion regarding party programme: Higher taxes on businesses, products and cars that pollute, freedom of the internet, referendum on new laws [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Party A: Programme The environment should be protected through higher taxes for corporations, products and vehicles that pollute The government should do more to ensure full online freedom There should be referendums on new, important laws.
792	w02_dv20..	Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3372	13639	If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following scale where 0 means “not likely at all” and 10 means “very likely”:
793	w02_u2_s..	Randomizes if U=2 and S=2	discrete	numeric-1.0	812	16199	-
794	w02_dv203a	Acceptable that political activists physically attack their political opponents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists physically attack their political opponents?
795	w02_dv203b	Acceptable that political activists threaten to use violence against their political opponents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists threaten their political opponents with violence?
796	w02_dv203c	Acceptable that political activists shout offensive words to political opponents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists orally abuse their political opponents?
797	w02_dv203d	Acceptable that political activists organize demonstrations against its political opponents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists organize demonstrations against their political opponents?
798	w02_dv204	How many do you think agree with your assessment	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	How many do you think agree with your assessment?
799	w02_hp1	About health condition	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	13639	Finally, we would like to ask you a few questions about yourself: In general, would you say your health is:
800	w02_km2012	Identification with trade union or trade union confederation [Question asked if dt10_19=1 in Medborgerpanelet round 1.] [anonymised]	discrete	character-255	0	0	Which trade union or trade union confederation do you most closely identify with? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
801	w02_P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
802	w02_P2	Region	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	-
803	w02_P3	County	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	-
804	w02_P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-8.0	3359	13652	-
805	W02_P4_2	Highest level of completed education [obtained from w01_k26]	discrete	numeric-8.0	3359	13652	-
806	w02_P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	-
807	w02_P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	-
808	w02_k3	Voted following party at the elections [see codebook from Round 1]	discrete	numeric-8.0	3359	13652	-
809	w02_k2	Voted in elections [see codebook from Round 1]	discrete	numeric-8.0	3359	13652	-
810	w02_weig..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	-
811	w02_weig..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.0	3372	13639	-
812	w03_u	[Randomizes the respondents in five groups]	discrete	numeric-8.0	8515	8496	-
813	w03start..	Date and time for when the questionnaire was opened	discrete	character-30	8515	0	-
814	w03compl..	Date and time for when the questionnaire was completed	discrete	character-30	8223	0	-
815	w03recru..	= 1 if the respondent was recruited in w03	discrete	numeric-20.0	8515	8496	-
816	w03recru..	= 1 if the respondent was recruited in w01	discrete	numeric-19.0	8515	8496	-
817	w03respo..	=1 if the the respondent participated in w01	discrete	numeric-19.0	8515	8496	-
818	w03respo..	=1 if the respondent participated in w02	discrete	numeric-19.0	8515	8496	-
819	w03respo..	=1 if the respondent participates in w03	discrete	numeric-19.0	8515	8496	-
820	w03_r3k1	General interest in politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In general, how interested in politics?
821	w03_ran1	[Randomizes if the respondent is newly recruited, and selects the pair of R3K3_1 or R3K204_1 in that order, or R3K204_2 and R3K3_2 in that order.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	5588	11423	-
822	w03_r3k3_1	Voted for following party last parliamentary election	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?
823	w03_r3k3..	Other: Voted for following party last parliamentary election	discrete	character-50	0	0	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?
824	w03_r3k2..	Would vote for following party if there were a	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		parliamentary election tomorrow					
825	w03_r3k2_..	Other: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	character-60	0	0	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
826	w03_r3k2_..	Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
827	w03_r3k2_..	Other: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	character-100	0	0	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
828	w03_r3k3_2	Voted for following party last parliamentary election	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?
829	w03_r3k3_..	Other: Voted for following party last parliamentary election	discrete	character-50	0	0	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?
830	w03_r3k202	Satisfaction with current government	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How satisfied are you with the current government?
831	w03_r3k6_1	Like or dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Christian Democrats
832	w03_r3k6_2	Like or dislike: The Conservative Party of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Conservative Party of Norway
833	w03_r3k6_3	Like or dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Progress Party
834	w03_r3k6_4	Like or dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Liberal Party
835	w03_r3k6_5	Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Socialist Left Party
836	w03_r3k6_6	Like or dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Centre Party
837	w03_r3k6_7	Like or dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Green Party
838	w03_r3k6_8	Like or dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Labour Party
839	w03_r3k6_9	Like or dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Red Party
840	w03_r3k8_1	Placement on political left-right scale	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those that are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those that are politically on the far right.
841	w03_r3k9_1	1. Text: Most important political issues [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
842	w03_r3k9_2	2. Text: Most important political issues [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
843	w03_r3k9_3	3. Text: Most important political issues [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
844	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Christian Democrats
845	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Conservative Party of Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Conservative Party of Norway
846	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Progress Party
847	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Liberal Party
848	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Socialist Left Party
849	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Centre Party
850	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Green Party
851	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Labour Party
852	w03_r3dt..	Placement on political scale: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The Red Party
853	w03_r3k10	Perception of current economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
854	w03_r3k11	Satisfaction with the way democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	All in all, how satisfied are you about the way in which democracy works in Norway?
855	w03_r3k1..	Statement: Politics is sometimes so convoluted that people like me do not understand what it is about	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Politics is sometimes so convoluted that people like me do not understand what it is about.
856	w03_r3k1..	Statement: People like me can probably vote, but we cannot do anything else to influence politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	People like me can probably vote, but we cannot do anything else to influence politics.
857	w03_r3k15	Most people can be trusted	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	And now a more general question. Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
858	w03_r3k1..	Statement: I have an opinion about most things	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I have an opinion about most things
859	w03_r3k1..	Statement: I have strong opinions, either positive or negative, also about issues that are new to me	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I have strong opinions, either positive or negative, also about issues that are new to me.
860	w03_r3k1..	Use of media to get news: TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	TV
861	w03_r3k1..	Use of media to get news: Radio	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Radio

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
862	w03_r3k1..	Use of media to get news: Printed newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Printed newspaper
863	w03_r3k1..	Use of media to get news: Online newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Online newspaper
864	w03_r3k1..	Use of media to get news: Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Facebook
865	w03_r3k1..	Use of media to get news: Twitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Twitter
866	w03_r3k1..	Use of media to get news: Other websites	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other websites
867	w03_km36	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?
868	w03_r3dv..	Statement: The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
869	w03_r3dv..	Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Commercial private schools should be allowed.
870	w03_r3dv..	Statement: Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.
871	w03_r3k3..	Statement: The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.
872	w03_r3k3..	Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8514	8497	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.
873	w03_r3k3..	Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.
874	w03_r3dv..	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.
875	w03_r3dv..	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
876	w03_r3dv..	Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
877	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Art and culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
878	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
879	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
880	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3fr1_4 question details
881	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
882	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
883	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
884	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
885	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
886	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
887	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Political parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Political parties [Youth political parties]
888	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]
889	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Vocational,	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		industry and trade unions and associations					organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]
890	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
891	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
892	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	1681	15330	Other, specify
893	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: No	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	No
894	w03_r3fr..	Work for voluntary organisations: Other, specify [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other, specify [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
895	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Art and culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
896	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
897	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
898	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3fr2_4 question details
899	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
900	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
901	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
902	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
903	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
904	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
905	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Political parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Political parties [Youth political parties]
906	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]
907	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]
908	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
909	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
910	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	1680	15331	Other, specify
911	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: No	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	No
912	w03_r3fr..	Member of voluntary organisations: Other, specify [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other, specify [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
913	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Art and culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
914	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
915	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation:	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity					and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
916	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3fr3 4 question details
917	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
918	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
919	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
920	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
921	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
922	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
923	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Political parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Political parties [Youth political parties]
924	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]
925	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]
926	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Religion and beliefs	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		including the Church of Norway					Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
927	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
928	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	1680	15331	Other, specify
929	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: No	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	No
930	w03_r3fr..	Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Other, specify [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other, specify [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
931	w03_r3fr4	Why don't you participate in voluntary work? [anonymisert]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Why don't you participate in voluntary work? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
932	w03_ran75	[Randomizes if U=1, a organization is selected in R3FR1, and selects one of the following questions: R3FR5 or R3FR6]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1680	15331	-
933	w03_r3fr5	Time spent on voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work in an ordinary week?
934	w03_r3fr6	Time spent participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation?
935	w03_r3fr..	Asked to: Be a member of an organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Be a member of an organisation
936	w03_r3fr..	Asked to: Sit on the board of an organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Sit on the board of an organisation
937	w03_r3fr..	Asked to: Do voluntary work for an organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do voluntary work for an organisation
938	w03_r3fr..	Asked to: Give money to a voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Give money to a voluntary organisation
939	w03_ran2	[Randomizes if U=1, and selects one of the following questions: R3FR8 or R3FR28]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1680	15331	-
940	w03_r3fr8	Opportunities are to participate in voluntary work in your local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How good or bad do you think the opportunities are to participate in voluntary work in your local neighbourhood?
941	w03_r3fr..	Statement: One has a moral duty to participate in voluntary work at some point in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	One has a moral duty to participate in voluntary work at some point in life
942	w03_r3fr..	Statement: One has a moral duty to donate money to important causes if one can afford it	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	One has a moral duty to donate money to important causes if one can afford it

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
943	w03_r3fr..	Statement: One becomes happy from voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	One becomes happy from voluntary work
944	w03_r3fr..	Statement: One becomes happy from donating money to important causes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	One becomes happy from donating money to important causes
945	w03_r3fr..	Scarcity: Time	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Time
946	w03_r3fr..	Scarcity: Money	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Money
947	w03_ran3	[Randomizes if U=1 and ran75=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1037	15974	-
948	w03_r3fr..	Reasons for doing voluntary work: As a voluntary worker I show compassion for those who are worse off than I am	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	As a voluntary worker I show compassion for those who are worse off than I am
949	w03_r3fr..	Reasons for doing voluntary work: It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work
950	w03_r3fr..	Reasons for doing voluntary work: It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work
951	w03_r3fr..	Reasons for doing voluntary work: As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience
952	w03_r3fr..	Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, certificate, meaningful, practical experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3fr11_2_1 question details
953	w03_r3fr..	Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Certificate, meaningful, practical experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3fr11_3_1 question details
954	w03_r3fr..	Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, meaningful, practical experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How many of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you? You do not need to state what reasons are important, just how many. It is important for me to help others It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience
955	w03_r3fr..	Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, certificate, practical experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3fr11_5_1 question details
956	w03_r3fr..	Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, certificate, meaningful	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How many of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you? You do not need to state what reasons are important, just how many. It is important for me to help others It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work
957	w03_r3fr:12	Stopped doing voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If you think back over the last five years, have you stopped doing voluntary work for an organisation you previously did voluntary work for?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
958	w03_r3fr13	Stopped doing voluntary work, what organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	If you think about the organisation that you most recently stopped doing voluntary work for, what type of organisation was it?
959	w03_r3fr..	Stopped doing voluntary work, what organization: Other, specify	discrete	character-50	0	0	If you think about the organisation that you most recently stopped doing voluntary work for, what type of organisation was it?
960	w03_r3fr14	How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?
961	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work: Changed life situation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children being older etc.)
962	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work: Changed interest or motivation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Changed interest or motivation
963	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work: Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work
964	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Something else, please specify
965	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify	discrete	character-150	0	0	Something else, please specify
966	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Not enough time	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Not enough time
967	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Moved away from the area	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Moved away from the area
968	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Children stopped doing the activities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Children stopped doing the activities
969	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Health problems or old age	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Health problems or old age
970	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
971	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify	discrete	character-100	0	0	Other, specify
972	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time at home	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Wanted/had to spend more time at home
973	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time at work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Wanted/had to spend more time at work

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
974	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time participating in another organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Wanted/had to spend more time participating in another organisation
975	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time at other recreational activities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Wanted/had to spend more time at other recreational activities
976	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
977	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other, specify
978	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Lost interest	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Lost interest
979	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Received too little recognition	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Received too little recognition
980	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Could not do what I enjoy doing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Could not do what I enjoy doing
981	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: The activity was too tiresome or boring	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The activity was too tiresome or boring
982	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Did not experience it as being useful enough	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Did not experience it as being useful enough
983	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Did not experience it as being interesting or challenging enough	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Did not experience it as being interesting or challenging enough
984	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Was given too much work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Was given too much work
985	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Was given too little work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Was given too little work
986	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
987	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify	discrete	character-150	0	0	Other, specify
988	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The organisation was closed down or was not relevant any more	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The organisation was closed down or was not relevant any more
989	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation:	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Could not do what I enjoyed doing

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Could not do what I enjoyed doing					
990	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too bureaucratic organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Too bureaucratic organisation
991	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not structured enough	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Not structured enough
992	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too much responsibility on too few people	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Too much responsibility on too few people
993	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not enough training or guidance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Not enough training or guidance
994	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with employees	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Conflicts with employees
995	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with other volunteers	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Conflicts with other volunteers
996	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Poor social environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Poor social environment
997	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Received too little recognition	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Received too little recognition
998	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too tiresome or boring	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Too tiresome or boring
999	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too expensive	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Too expensive
1000	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence
1001	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
1002	w03_r3fr..	Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify	discrete	character-150	0	0	Other, specify
1003	w03_r3fr20	Sometimes consider stopping doing voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you sometimes consider stopping doing voluntary work for one or more organisations where you are currently active?
1004	w03_r3fr21	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1005	w03_r3fr..	Other, specify: What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?	discrete	character-50	0	0	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?
1006	w03_r3fr22	How long have you been doing voluntary work within this organisation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How long have you been doing voluntary work within this organisation?
1007	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work: Changed life situation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children being older etc.)
1008	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work: Changed interest or motivation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Changed interest or motivation
1009	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work: Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work
1010	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Something else, please specify
1011	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify	discrete	character-100	0	0	Something else, please specify
1012	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Not enough time	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Not enough time
1013	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Moving away from the area	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Moving away from the area
1014	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Children stopping doing the activities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Children stopping doing the activities
1015	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Children stopping doing the activities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Children stopping doing the activities
1016	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
1017	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other, specify
1018	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at home	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Want/have to spend more time at home
1019	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Want/have to spend more time at work
1020	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Want/have to spend more time participating in another organisation

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		time participating in another organisation					
1021	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at other recreational activities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Want/have to spend more time at other recreational activities
1022	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
1023	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify	discrete	character-60	0	0	Other, specify
1024	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Lost interest	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Lost interest
1025	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Receiving too little recognition	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Receiving too little recognition
1026	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Cannot do what I enjoy doing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cannot do what I enjoy doing
1027	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: The activity is too tiresome or boring	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The activity is too tiresome or boring
1028	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Don't feel it is useful enough	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Don't feel it is useful enough
1029	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Don't feel it is interesting or challenging enough	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Don't feel it is interesting or challenging enough
1030	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Given too much work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Given too much work
1031	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Given too little work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Given too little work
1032	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
1033	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify	discrete	character-60	0	0	Other, specify
1034	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The organisation is being closed down or is not relevant any more	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The organisation is being closed down or is not relevant any more
1035	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Cannot do what I enjoyed doing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cannot do what I enjoyed doing

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1036	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too bureaucratic organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Too bureaucratic organisation
1037	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not structured enough	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Not structured enough
1038	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too much responsibility on too few people	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Too much responsibility on too few people
1039	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not good enough training or guidance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Not good enough training or guidance
1040	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with employees	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Conflicts with employees
1041	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with other volunteers	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Conflicts with other volunteers
1042	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Poor social environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Poor social environment
1043	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Receiving too little recognition	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Receiving too little recognition
1044	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Is too tiresome or boring	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Is too tiresome or boring
1045	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Is too expensive	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Is too expensive
1046	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence
1047	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Other, specify
1048	w03_r3fr..	Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify	discrete	character-75	0	0	Other, specify
1049	w03_r3fr28	Opportunities to participate in voluntary work in local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How good or bad do you think the opportunities are to participate in voluntary work in your local neighbourhood?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1050	w03_r3fr29	Parents active within associations and organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If you think back to your childhood, to what extent were your parents active within associations and organisations?
1051	w03_r3fr..	Statement: In my local neighbourhood most people participate with voluntary work when it is needed	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In my local neighbourhood most people participate with voluntary work when it is needed
1052	w03_r3fr..	Statement: Voluntary work makes little contribution to solving problems in society	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Voluntary work makes little contribution to solving problems in society
1053	w03_r3fr..	Statement: Voluntary work interests me to a small extent	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Voluntary work interests me to a small extent
1054	w03_r3fr..	Statement: I want to do more voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I want to do more voluntary work
1055	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Satisfying	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Satisfying
1056	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Rewarding	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Rewarding
1057	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Exciting	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Exciting
1058	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Important	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Important
1059	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Fun	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Fun
1060	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Challenging	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Challenging
1061	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Disappointing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Disappointing
1062	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Emotionally exhausting	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Emotionally exhausting
1063	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Physically tiring	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Physically tiring
1064	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Frustrating	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Frustrating
1065	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Depressing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Depressing
1066	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Time consuming	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Time consuming
1067	w03_r3fr..	Description of voluntary work: Easy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Easy
1068	w03_r3fr32	Satisfaction with life	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?
1069	w03_r3fr33	How meaningful life is	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
1070	w03_r3fr..	Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Happy	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Happy
1071	w03_r3fr..	Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Worried	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Worried

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1072	w03_r3fr..	Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Depressed	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Depressed
1073	w03_r3fr..	Statement: In most ways my life is close to ideal	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In most ways my life is close to ideal
1074	w03_r3fr..	Statement: My circumstances are excellent	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	My circumstances are excellent
1075	w03_r3fr..	Statement: So far I have got what I most wanted in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	So far I have got what I most wanted in life
1076	w03_r3fr..	Statement: So far I have got what I most wanted in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	So far I have got what I most wanted in life
1077	w03_r3fr..	Statement: If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything
1078	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: Your standard of living?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Your standard of living?
1079	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: Your health?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Your health?
1080	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: What you are achieving in life?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	What you are achieving in life?
1081	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: Your personal relationships?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Your personal relationships?
1082	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: How safe you feel?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How safe you feel?
1083	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?
1084	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: The prospects for safety in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The prospects for safety in the future?
1085	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: The amount of time you have to do the things you like to do?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The amount of time you have to do the things you like to do?
1086	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: The quality of nature and the environment in your local area?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area?
1087	w03_r3fr..	Happy with: Your job?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Your job?
1088	w03_r3fr37	Life situation in five years' time	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If you think about your life situation in five years' time, do you think it will be ...
1089	w03_ran5	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1711	15300	-
1090	w03_r3km1	Text: What do you think when you hear or read the word "climate change"? [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the word "climate change"? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1091	w03_r3km2	Text: What do you think when you hear or read the words "global warming"? [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the words "global warming"? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1092	w03_r3km22	Possible to prevent harmful climate change?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's now assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it. To what extent do you think it is possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1093	w03_u2_r..	Statement that best describes own viewpoint on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your viewpoint on climate change?
1094	w03_r3km25	Overall, how serious a threat is climate change?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Overall, how serious a threat is climate change?
1095	w03_u2_r..	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?
1096	w03_r3km27	To what extent do we follow the Constitution regarding environmental protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3km27 question details
1097	w03_r3km..	Statement: Humans will eventually find out enough about how nature works to be able to control it.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Humans will eventually find out enough about how nature works to be able to control it.
1098	w03_r3km..	Statement: All ecological systems, regardless of how small and insignificant they are, have the right to exist.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	All ecological systems, regardless of how small and insignificant they are, have the right to exist.
1099	w03_r3km..	Statement: Nature primarily has value when it can be utilised to satisfy humans' material needs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Nature primarily has value when it can be utilised to satisfy humans' material needs.
1100	w03_r3km29	How broad is the diversity of opinions on climate change that is conveyed by the media?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How broad is the diversity of opinions on climate change that is conveyed by the media?
1101	w03_r3km30	To what extent do you see it as a moral duty for the individual to contribute to preventing climate change?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you see it as a moral duty for the individual to contribute to preventing climate change?
1102	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Hope	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Hope
1103	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Worry	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Worry
1104	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Gloom	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Gloom
1105	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Anger
1106	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Guilt	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Guilt
1107	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Interest	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Interest
1108	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Sympathy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Sympathy
1109	w03_r3km..	Feelings related to climate change: Tired of it	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Tired of it
1110	w03_ran6	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1696	15315	-
1111	w03_r3km32	Right or wrong not conduct an impact assessment study on the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen: Possible job creation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3km32 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1112	w03_r3km33	Right or wrong not conduct an impact assessment study on the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen: Increased emissions, endanger fishing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3km33 question details
1113	w03_r3km36	Largest source of greenhouse gas emissions in the world? [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	As you see it, what is the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions in the world? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1114	w03_ran7	ran7	discrete	numeric-1.0	1693	15318	-
1115	w03_ran7a	ran7a	discrete	numeric-1.0	841	16170	-
1116	w03_ran7b	ran7b	discrete	numeric-1.0	852	16159	-
1117	w03_klim..	1 (klimamulti)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1693	15318	-
1118	w03_klim..	2 (klimamulti)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1693	15318	-
1119	w03_klim..	3 (klimamulti)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1693	15318	-
1120	w03_klim..	4 (klimamulti)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1693	15318	-
1121	w03_r3km..	Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing wind power on land	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Increased government support for developing wind power on land.
1122	w03_r3km..	Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing wind power at sea	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Increased government support for developing wind power at sea (offshore wind power).
1123	w03_r3km..	Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing biofuel plants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Increased government support for developing biofuel plants (e.g. wood, pellets and biogas).
1124	w03_r3km..	Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing geothermal energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Increased government support for developing geothermal energy where one drills down to the geothermal energy under the Earth's surface.
1125	w03_r3km..	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
1126	w03_r3km..	Statement: Citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
1127	w03_ran8	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1687	15324	-
1128	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1129	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1130	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1131	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1132	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1133	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1134	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1135	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1136	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1137	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1138	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1139	w03_u2_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1140	w03_ran9	[Randomizes if U=2, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1412	15599	-
1141	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1a2a question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		participant, cannot affect outcome					
1142	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1b2a question details
1143	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1c2a question details
1144	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1d2a question details
1145	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1e2a question details
1146	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1f2a question details
1147	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1a2b question details
1148	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation:: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1b2b question details
1149	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1c2b question details
1150	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1d2b question details
1151	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1e2b question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants					
1152	w03_u2_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u2_pad312_1f2b question details
1153	w03_ran10	[Randomizes if U=2, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1411	15600	-
1154	w03_u2_p..	Acceptable decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?
1155	w03_u2_p..	Acceptable decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?
1156	w03_u2_p..	Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. How willing are you to accept this decision?
1157	w03_u2_p..	Willing to accept decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. How willing are you to accept this decision?
1158	w03_r3cc..	Upsetting: Labour migrants from EU countries have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Does it upset you that labour migrants from EU countries have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians do?
1159	w03_r3cc..	Upsetting: Those who are ill due to smoking receive treatment that is equally good and expensive as non-smoking patients	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Does it upset you that those who are ill due to smoking receive treatment that is equally good and expensive as non-smoking patients?
1160	w03_r3cc7	Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3cc7 question details
1161	w03_ran11	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1673	15338	-
1162	w03_ro1a	Prediction about oil consumption: Plenty of oil in 25 years or used up in 15 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Some people say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now. Others say that at the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years. Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?
1163	w03_ro1b	Prediction about oil consumption: Used up all oil in 15 years or plenty in 25 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Some people say that that at the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years. Others say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?
1164	w03_ro1c	Prediction about oil consumption: Plenty of oil in 25 years or used up in 15 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? We will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now, or at the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years.
1165	w03_ro1d	Prediction about oil consumption: Used up all oil in 15 years or plenty in 25 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? At the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years, or we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now.
1166	w03_ran12	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1673	15338	-
1167	w03_ro2a	Determining gasoline and oil prices: Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you agree or disagree with this idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.
1168	w03_ro2b	Determining gasoline and oil prices: The oil companies get together and set prices for their products.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you agree or disagree with this idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? The oil companies get together and set prices for their products.
1169	w03_ro2c	Determining gasoline and oil prices: Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition, or the oil companies get together and set prices for their products.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which of these statements comes closest to your own idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition, or the oil companies get together and set prices for their products.
1170	w03_ro2d	Determining gasoline and oil prices: The oil companies get together and set prices for their products, or each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which of these statements comes closest to your own idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? The oil companies get together and set prices for their products, or each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.
1171	w03_ran13	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1672	15339	-
1172	w03_ro3a	Public housing: Government should provide adequate housing or each person should provide for it themselves	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Some people feel the government should see to it that all people have adequate housing, while others feel each person should provide for his or her own housing. Which comes closest to how you feel about this?
1173	w03_ro3b	Public housing: Each person should provide for it themselves or the government should provide adequate housing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Some people feel each person should provide for his or her own housing, while others feel the government should see to it that all people have adequate housing. Which comes closest to how you feel about this?
1174	w03_ro3c	Public housing: Government should provide adequate housing or each person should provide for it themselves	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which comes closest to how you feel about this? The government should see to it that all people have adequate housing, or each person should provide for his or her own housing.
1175	w03_ro3d	Public housing: Each person should provide for it themselves or the government should provide adequate housing	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which comes closest to how you feel about this? Each person should provide for his or her own housing, or the government should see to it that all people have adequate housing.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1176	w03_pad3..	Confidence in institutions: The law courts	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The law courts
1177	w03_pad3..	Confidence in institutions: The police	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The police
1178	w03_pad3..	Confidence in institutions: Media	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Media
1179	w03_pad3..	Confidence in institutions: Researchers	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Researchers
1180	w03_pad3..	Confidence in institutions: NAV	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	NAV
1181	w03_pad3..	Confidence in institutions: The banking industry	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The banking industry
1182	w03_pad3..	Confidence in institutions: The national health service	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	The national health service
1183	w03_ran14	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1653	15358	-
1184	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the national level: Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes
1185	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the national level: Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic
1186	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the national level: Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)
1187	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the national level: Failure of critical infrastructure	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Failure of critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supplies)
1188	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the national level: Terrorist attack	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Terrorist attack
1189	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the local level: Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes
1190	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the local level: Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic
1191	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the local level: Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)
1192	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the local level: Failure of critical infrastructure	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Failure of critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supplies)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1193	w03_pad3..	Confidence in authorities at the local level: Terrorist attack	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Terrorist attack
1194	w03_pad307	Parliament discussing a new unreasonable measure, likelihood of trying to influence the outcome?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that Parliament was discussing a new measure that you thought was completely unreasonable. How likely is it that you would try to do something to influence the outcome?
1195	w03_pad308	Preferred approach to political influence	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If you wanted to influence the outcome of an issue, what would be your preferred approach?
1196	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Contacted a politician at the local level	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Contacted a politician at the local level
1197	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Contacted a politician at the national level	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Contacted a politician at the national level
1198	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Shown a political viewpoint in social media or other websites	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Shown a political viewpoint in social media or other websites
1199	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Participated in work in a voluntary organisation or association	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Participated in work in a voluntary organisation or association
1200	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Worn or hung up a campaign message or emblem	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Worn or hung up a campaign message or emblem
1201	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Signed a petition, a formal written request, an action list or similar	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Signed a petition, a formal written request, an action list or similar.
1202	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Participated in a public demonstration	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Participated in a public demonstration
1203	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Boycotted specific products	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Boycotted specific products
1204	w03_pad3..	Influenced a political decision: Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or eco-friendly reasons	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or eco-friendly reasons
1205	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: The same level of education as you have	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The same level of education as you have
1206	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: From the same part of the country as you are	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	From the same part of the country as you are
1207	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: The same gender as you are	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The same gender as you are

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1208	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: The same religious belief as you have	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The same religious belief as you have
1209	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: About the same age as you	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	About the same age as you
1210	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: The same ethnic background as you have	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The same ethnic background as you have
1211	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: The same political viewpoint as you have	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The same political viewpoint as you have
1212	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: The same type of work experience as you have	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The same type of work experience as you have
1213	w03_pad3..	Personal qualities in a national representative: The same sexual orientation as you have	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The same sexual orientation as you have
1214	w03_ran15	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1652	15359	-
1215	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1216	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1217	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1218	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1219	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1220	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: Against personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1221	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens,	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes					
1222	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1223	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1224	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1225	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1226	w03_u3_p..	Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How willing are you to accept this decision?
1227	w03_ran16	[Randomizes if U=3, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1368	15643	-
1228	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1a2a question details
1229	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1b2a question details
1230	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1c2a question details
1231	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1d2a question details
1232	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1e2a question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		outcome, is among 10 participants					
1233	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1f2a question details
1234	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1a2b question details
1235	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1b2b question details
1236	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1c2b question details
1237	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1d2b question details
1238	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1e2b question details
1239	w03_u3_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u3_pad312_1f2b question details
1240	w03_ran17	[Randomizes if U=3, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1368	15643	-
1241	w03_u3_p..	Acceptable decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1242	w03_u3_p..	Acceptable decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?
1243	w03_u3_p..	Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. How willing are you to accept this decision?
1244	w03_u3_p..	Willing to accept decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. How willing are you to accept this decision?
1245	w03_pad315	Number of public officials in Norway involved in corruption	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In your opinion, how many public officials in Norway are involved in corruption?
1246	w03_pad316	Number of politicians in Norway involved in corruption	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In your opinion, how many politicians in Norway are involved in corruption?
1247	w03_pad318	Time spent reading VG online, on a mobile phone, or a tablet computer on an ordinary day	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How much time do you spend reading VG online, on a mobile phone, or a tablet computer on an ordinary day?
1248	w03_ran18	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1648	15363	-
1249	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1250	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1251	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1252	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1253	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1254	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1255	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1256	w03_pad3..	«See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.
1257	w03_pad320	Positive or negative impression of NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?
1258	w03_pad321	Been in personal contact with NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Have you personally been in contact with NAV?
1259	w03_pad3..	Proposal: The authorities propose merging your municipality of residence with one or more neighbouring municipalities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Imagine that the authorities propose merging your municipality of residence with one or more neighbouring municipalities.
1260	w03_pad3..	Proposal: Your municipality proposes to supply, to a greater extent than it does currently, the municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Imagine that your municipality proposes to supply, to a greater extent than it does currently, the municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities.
1261	w03_pad323	Willing to pay an extra NOK 1,000 in tax per year to maintain the current municipal structure	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Some estimates suggest that the current municipal structure is causing society extra expense. If you could choose, are you willing to pay an extra NOK 1,000 in tax per year to maintain the current municipal structure?
1262	w03_pad3..	Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation increases the quality of public services	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cooperation between the municipalities on the supply of services (inter-municipal cooperation) gives better quality than if individual municipalities supply the services themselves
1263	w03_pad3..	Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible for the contents of the policies that are implemented	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible for the contents of the policies that are implemented

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1264	w03_pad327	What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?
1265	w03_ran19	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1643	15368	-
1266	w03_aq3a	Statement: Most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.
1267	w03_aq3b	Statement: Most men are not better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Most men are not better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.
1268	w03_aq3c	Men and women, who is better suited emotionally for politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Would you say that most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women, that men and women are equally suited, or that women are better suited than men in this area?
1269	w03_aq3d	Women and men, who is better suited emotionally for politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Would you say that most women are better suited emotionally for politics than are most men, that men and women are equally suited, or that men are better suited than women in this area?
1270	w03_ran20	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1638	15373	-
1271	w03_qw1a	Person with anti-religious attitudes, allow the freedom to speak	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	There are some people who are against all churches and religion. If such a person wanted to make a speech in your (city/town/community) against churches and religion, should he be allowed the freedom to speak, or not?
1272	w03_qw1b	Person with anti-religious attitudes, allowed to speak	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	There are some people who are against all churches and religion. If such a person wanted to make a speech in your (city/town/community) against churches and religion, should he be allowed to speak, or not?
1273	w03_ran21	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1636	15375	-
1274	w03_ro4a	Average temperatures around the world higher in the last three years than before that, lower, or about the same	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	As far as you know, would you say that average temperatures around the world have been higher in the last three years than before that, lower, or about the same?
1275	w03_ro4b	Average temperatures around the world lower in the last three years than before that, higher, or about the same	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	As far as you know, would you say that average temperatures around the world have been lower in the last three years than before that, higher, or about the same?
1276	w03_ran22	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1636	15375	-
1277	w03_qb1a	Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or oppose such law	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you think there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or do you oppose such law?
1278	w03_qb1b	Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you think there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1279	w03_qb1c	Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or oppose such a law because it would be too difficult to enforce	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you think there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or do you oppose such a law because it would be too difficult to enforce?
1280	w03_qb1d	Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, oppose or should there be such a law	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you oppose that there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or do you think there should be such law?
1281	w03_ran23	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1634	15377	-
1282	w03_qo11a	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?
1283	w03_qo12a	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?
1284	w03_qo12b	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?
1285	w03_qo11b	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?
1286	w03_ran24	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1633	15378	-
1287	w03_ro6a	Agreee or disagree: Inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you agree or disagree that inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful? Would you say...
1288	w03_ro6b	Disagree or agree: Inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you disagree or agree that inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful? Would you say...
1289	w03_fin1	Should child benefit be increased?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	There has recently been a discussion about if child benefit should be increased. What is your position on a proposal to increase child benefit? Should child benefit be increased?
1290	w03_ran25	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1631	15380	-
1291	w03_fin1a	Increasing child benefit: Immigrant families are entitled to the same child benefit as Norwegian families	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Do you still think that child benefit should be increased?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1292	w03_fin1b	Increasing child benefit: Labour migrants from the EEA are entitled to the same child benefit as Norwegian families	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	Do you still think that child benefit should be increased?
1293	w03_fin2a	Increasing child benefit: Only for children of Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Would you have supported the proposal to increase child benefit if the scheme only applied to children of Norwegian citizens?
1294	w03_fin2b	Increasing child benefit: Only for children living in Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Would you have supported the proposal to increase child benefit if the scheme only applied to children living in Norway?
1295	w03_ran30	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1631	15380	-
1296	w03_r3cc5	Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3cc5 question details
1297	w03_r3cc6	Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3cc6 question details
1298	w03_r3dv1	Advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants settle here	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Now we will ask some questions on immigration and integration. In your opinion, how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants settle here?
1299	w03_r3dv4	How important is immigration policy to you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
1300	w03_r3dv6	Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What party do you think has the best immigration policy?
1301	w03_r3dv...	Other: Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	character-150	17	-	What party do you think has the best immigration policy?
1302	w03_r3d7	Number of immigrants in the local neighbourhood or school district	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If you think about the people in the local neighbourhood or school district where you live, roughly how many have immigrated or have at least one parent who has immigrated?
1303	w03_r3dv10	Number of immigrants encountered on an ordinary day	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Think about people you meet by chance on an ordinary day, for example on the bus, in the shops or in the local neighbourhood. How often would you say that you tend to meet immigrants?
1304	w03_r3dv12	Good or bad experience encountered on an ordinary day	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How good or bad an experience have these brief, chance meetings been?
1305	w03_r3dv14	Number of immigrants in social circle	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How many in your social circle have immigrated to Norway or have parents (mother, father or both parents) who have immigrated to Norway?
1306	w03_r3dv...	Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
1307	w03_r3dv...	Statement: Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims come to live here	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims come to live here.
1308	w03_r3dv...	Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country					
1309	w03_ran26	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1706	15305	-
1310	w03_r3dv..	Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected.
1311	w03_r3dv..	Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected.
1312	w03_r3dv..	Personal description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.
1313	w03_r3dv..	Personal description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
1314	w03_r3dv..	Personal description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.
1315	w03_r3dv..	Personal description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.
1316	w03_r3dv36	Was directly affected ny attack on July 22th [anonymised]	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	w03_r3dv36 question details
1317	w03_r3dv..	Other: Was directly affected ny attack on July 22th [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	w03_r3dv36_5_other question details
1318	w03_r3dv..	Reaction: I remember where I was when I heard the news	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I remember where I was when I heard the news.
1319	w03_r3dv..	Reaction: I remember who I was with when I heard the news	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I remember who I was with when I heard the news.
1320	w03_r3dv..	Reaction: I discussed 22 July with friends and acquaintances	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	I discussed 22 July with friends and acquaintances.
1321	w03_r3dv..	Participated in commemorations in the wake of the terrorist attacks	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Did you participate in commemorations in the wake of the terrorist attacks?
1322	w03_r3dv39	Currently think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	It's now been more than three years since the attacks. When it comes to you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
1323	w03_ran27	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1706	15305	-
1324	w03_r3cc..	Statement: Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1325	w03_r3cc_..	Statement: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits
1326	w03_r3cc_..	Statement: Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do
1327	w03_r3cc_..	Statement: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The government should use less money on national insurance benefits
1328	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the negativ press: Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy
1329	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the negativ press: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits
1330	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the negativ press: Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians have
1331	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the negativ press: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The government should use less money on national insurance benefits
1332	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the positive press: Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy
1333	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the positive press: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits
1334	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the positive press: Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians have
1335	w03_r3cc_..	Statement, given the positive press: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The government should use less money on national insurance benefits
1336	w03_ran28	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1703	15308	-
1337	w03_u4_r_..	National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security: How much money should be used on the welfare state?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
1338	w03_u4_r_..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Social assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social assistance
1339	w03_u4_r_..	The government should use more or less money on	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Unemployment benefit

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		the following social goals: Unemployment benefit					
1340	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Disability benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Disability benefit
1341	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Cash-for-care benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cash-for-care benefit
1342	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Child benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1343	w03_u4_r..	National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, labour migrants from the EEA get the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. Labour migrants from the European Economic Area get the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
1344	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social assistance
1345	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social assistance
1346	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Disability benefit
1347	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cash-for-care benefit
1348	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1349	w03_u4_r..	National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, asylum seekers have the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. Asylum seekers have the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do as soon as they are granted asylum. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
1350	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social assistance
1351	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Unemployment benefit

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1352	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Disability benefit
1353	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cash-for-care benefit
1354	w03_u4_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1355	w03_ran29	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1702	15309	-
1356	w03_r3cc4b	Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3cc4b question details
1357	w03_r3cc4c	Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3cc4c question details
1358	w03_r3cc..	Statement: Refugees exploit our welfare system	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Refugees exploit our welfare system
1359	w03_r3cc..	Statement: Labour migrants from the European Economic Area exploit our welfare system	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants from the European Economic Area exploit our welfare system
1360	w03_r3cc..	Statement: Refugees contribute to maintaining our welfare system	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Refugees contribute to maintaining our welfare system
1361	w03_r3cc..	Statement: Labour migrants from the European Economic Area contribute to maintaining our welfare system	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Labour migrants from the European Economic Area contribute to maintaining our welfare system
1362	w03_r3cc..	Statement: It is fair that refugees have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	It is fair that refugees have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.
1363	w03_r3cc..	Statement: It is fair that labour migrants from the European Economic Area have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	It is fair that labour migrants from the European Economic Area have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.
1364	w03_ran31	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1698	15313	-
1365	w03_r3ib1a	Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who live in Norway, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who live in Norway, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?
1366	w03_r3ib1b	Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last year, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last year, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1367	w03_r3ib1c	Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last three years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last three years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?
1368	w03_r3ib1d	Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last seven years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last seven years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?
1369	w03_ran33	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1697	15314	-
1370	w03_r3lb1a	What you think when you read or hear the word "immigrant"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you read or hear the word "immigrant"? Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
1371	w03_r3lb1b	What you think when you read or hear the word "asylum seeker"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you read or hear the word "asylum seeker"? Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
1372	w03_r3lb1c	What you think when you read or hear the word "labour migrants"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you read or hear the word "labour migrants"? Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
1373	w03_ran34	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1696	15315	-
1374	w03_r3gi1a	Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The person has continued to live in Norway for 3 years without being legally resident because he or she sees the situation in their home country as difficult	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3gi1a question details
1375	w03_r3gi1b	Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The person has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident because he or she sees the situation in their home country as difficult	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3gi1b question details
1376	w03_r3gi1c	Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The family has continued to live in Norway for 3 years without being legally resident because they see the situation in their home country as difficult	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3gi1c question details
1377	w03_r3gi1d	Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The family has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3gi1d question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		because they see the situation in their home country as difficult					
1378	w03_ran35	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1694	15317	-
1379	w03_r3bf..	Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according to people in the social debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?
1380	w03_r3bf..	Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according to people in the social debate. Others think that it a ban is discriminatory	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?
1381	w03_r3bf..	Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according the Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?
1382	w03_r3bf..	Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according the Progress Party. Others think that it a ban is discriminatory	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?
1383	w03_ran36	[Randomizes if U=4, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1413	15598	-
1384	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1a2a question details
1385	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1b2a question details
1386	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1c2a question details
1387	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1d2a question details
1388	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1e2a question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		outcome, is among 10 participants					
1389	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1f2a question details
1390	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1a2b question details
1391	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1b2b question details
1392	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1c2b question details
1393	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1d2b question details
1394	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1e2b question details
1395	w03_u4_p..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_u4_pad312_1f2b question details
1396	w03_ran37	[Randomizes if U=4, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1411	15600	-
1397	w03_u4_p..	Acceptable decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1398	w03_u4_p..	Acceptable decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?
1399	w03_u4_p..	Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. How willing are you to accept this decision?
1400	w03_u4_p..	Willing to accept decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. How willing are you to accept this decision?
1401	w03_ran38	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1690	15321	-
1402	w03_wt1a	New power transmission line through your municipality	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?
1403	w03_wt1b	New power transmission line through your municipality, visible in up to several other nearby municipalities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?
1404	w03_wt1c	New power transmission line through your municipality, the government would offer money to your municipality to compensate for the negative effects	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?
1405	w03_wt1d	New power transmission line through your municipality, visible in up to several other nearby municipalities, the government would offer money to your municipality to compensate for the negative effects	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?
1406	w03_wt2	How worried are you about the reliability of your electricity supply?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How worried are you about the reliability of your electricity supply?
1407	w03_wt3	Do you feel a duty as a citizen to help to ensure the reliable supply of electricity in Norway?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you feel a duty as a citizen to help to ensure the reliable supply of electricity in Norway?
1408	w03_wt4	Would you think it is fair if your municipality was selected as a location for a new power transmission line?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Would you think it is fair if your municipality was selected as a location for a new power transmission line?
1409	w03_ran39	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1680	15331	-
1410	w03_r3km34	Reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate: Emissions of CO2 from burning oil are a major contributor to climate change caused by human activities, but the world needs energy and Norwegian	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In your opinion, should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		oil production is cleaner than in many other countries					
1411	w03_r3km35	Reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate: The world needs energy and Norwegian oil production is cleaner than in many other countries, but CO2 emissions from burning oil are a major contributor to climate change caused by human activities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In your opinion, should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate?
1412	w03_ran47	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1678	15333	-
1413	w03_no3a	The amount of corruption in government, no opinion	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, hardly any of them are corrupt, or do you not have an opinion on that?
1414	w03_no3b	The amount of corruption in government, not have enough information	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, hardly any of them are corrupt, or do you not have enough information about the government to say?
1415	w03_no3c	The amount of corruption in government, don't know	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, or hardly any of them are corrupt, or don't you know?
1416	w03_no3d	The amount of corruption in government	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, or hardly any of them are corrupt?
1417	w03_pra1	Norway's role in international politics: Active or reduced role	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think it is best for Norway in the future to play an active role in international politics, or should Norway preferably have a reduced role?
1418	w03_mobi..	Tests if the respondent answers on a mobile phone	discrete	numeric-1.0	1690	15321	-
1419	w03_ran40	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1530	15481	-
1420	w03_vign..	1 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_1 question details
1421	w03_vign..	2 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_2 question details
1422	w03_vign..	3 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_3 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1423	w03_vign..	4 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_4 question details
1424	w03_vign..	5 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_5 question details
1425	w03_vign..	6 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_6 question details
1426	w03_vign..	7 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_7 question details
1427	w03_vign..	8 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.	discrete	numeric-11.0	8515	8496	w03_vignett_8 question details
1428	w03_r3km..	What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says "it is entirely possible" that the sea level will rise as estimated?	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says "it is entirely possible" that the sea level will rise as estimated?
1429	w03_r3km..	What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says "it is not entirely certain" that the sea level will rise as estimated?	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says "it is not entirely certain" that the sea level will rise as estimated?
1430	w03_r3km..	How probable do YOU think it is that the sea level will rise as estimated?	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	How probable do YOU think it is that the sea level will rise as estimated?
1431	w03_r3km14	If the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level turn out to be wrong, do you think that the rise will be higher or lower than he has estimated?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	If the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level turn out to be wrong, do you think that the rise will be higher or lower than he has estimated?
1432	w03_r3km15	To what extent do you trust the expert?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent do you trust the expert?
1433	w03_r3km16	How correct do you think the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level are?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How correct do you think the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level are?
1434	w03_r3km17	How likely is it that human activity is causing the rise in sea level?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How likely is it that human activity is causing the rise in sea level?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1435	w03_r3km18	Urgency for reducing greenhouse gas emissions	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	What is the level of urgency for reducing greenhouse gas emissions?
1436	w03_r3km19	Willingness to change current lifestyle to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	In general, how willing are you to change your current lifestyle to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases?
1437	w03_u5_r..	Statement that best describes viewpoint on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your viewpoint on climate change?
1438	w03_ran41	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1689	15322	-
1439	w03_r3km23	How concerned about climate change are you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How concerned about climate change are you?
1440	w03_r3km24	How concerned about global warming are you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How concerned about global warming are you?
1441	w03_ran42	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1401	15610	-
1442	w03_pad3..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_pad314a question details
1443	w03_pad3..	5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_pad314b question details
1444	w03_ran48	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1689	15322	-
1445	w03_ran32	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	827	16184	-
1446	w03_u5_r..	National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security: How much money should be used on the welfare state?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
1447	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Social assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Social assistance
1448	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Unemployment benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Unemployment benefit
1449	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Disability benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Disability benefit
1450	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Cash-for-care benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cash-for-care benefit
1451	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Child benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1452	w03_u5_r..	National insurance scheme aims to contribute to	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		financial security, labour migrants from the EEA get the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?					security. Labour migrants from the European Economic Area get the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
1453	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1454	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Unemployment benefit
1455	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Disability benefit
1456	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cash-for-care benefit
1457	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1458	w03_u5_r..	National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, asylum seekers have the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. Asylum seekers have the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do as soon as they are granted asylum. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
1459	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1460	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Unemployment benefit
1461	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Disability benefit
1462	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Cash-for-care benefit
1463	w03_u5_r..	The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Child benefit
1464	w03_r3cc4a	Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 6]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_r3cc4a question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1465	w03_r3cc..	Does it upset you that refugees who have been granted asylum have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians do?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Does it upset you that refugees who have been granted asylum have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians do?
1466	w03_r3cc..	Does it upset you that begging is legal in Norway?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Does it upset you that begging is legal in Norway?
1467	w03_r3cc..	Does it upset you that the government has now reduced the paternal quota ("paternity leave")?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Does it upset you that the government has now reduced the paternal quota ("paternity leave")?
1468	w03_ran46	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1683	15328	-
1469	w03_pra1a	Increase, maintain or reduce the amount spent on financial assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_pra1a question details
1470	w03_pra1aa	Increase the amount spent on financial assistance, quite a bit or just a little	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think the Norwegian government should increase spending on financial assistance quite a bit or just a little?
1471	w03_pra1ab	Reduce the amount spent on financial assistance, quite a bit or just a little	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think the Norwegian government should decrease spending on financial assistance quite a bit or just a little?
1472	w03_pra2..	Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to give aid to the poor in other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian government has a moral obligation to provide financial assistance to the poor in other countries?
1473	w03_pra2ba	Whom should receive economic assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To whom do you believe the Norwegian government has a greater moral obligation to provide economic assistance?
1474	w03_pra3a	The effectiveness of financial assistance to reduce poverty in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How effective do you think Norway's financial assistance programs have been at reducing poverty in Norway?
1475	w03_pra4a	The reason that most recipients of financial assistance are poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Why do you think most recipients of financial assistance are poor?
1476	w03_pra5a	Probability that recipients of financial assistance lacked basic necessities before they got help from the Norwegian authorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How likely is it that the recipients of financial assistance lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before receiving assistance from the Norwegian government?
1477	w03_pra1b	Increase, maintain or reduce amount spent on foreign aid	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	w03_pra1b question details
1478	w03_pra1ba	Increase the amount spent on foreign aid a good deal, or just a little	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think the Norwegian government should increase spending on foreign aid quite a bit or just a little?
1479	w03_pra1bb	Reduce amount spent on foreign aid a good deal, or just a little	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you think the Norwegian government should decrease spending on foreign aid quite a bit or just a little?
1480	w03_pra2..	Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to give aid to the poor in other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian government has a moral obligation to provide financial assistance to the poor in other countries?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1481	w03_pra2bb	Whom should receive economic assistance	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To whom do you believe the Norwegian government has a greater moral obligation to provide economic assistance?
1482	w03_pra3b	The effectiveness of aid programs to reduce poverty in other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How effective do you think Norway's foreign aid programs have been at reducing poverty in other countries?
1483	w03_pra4b	The reason that most recipients of aid are poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Why do you think most recipients of foreign aid are poor? Use the scale below where 1 is "Because they don't work hard enough" and 7 is "Because of circumstances beyond their control."
1484	w03_pra5b	Probability that recipients of financial assistance lacked basic necessities before they got help from the Norwegian authorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How likely is it that the recipients of foreign aid lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before receiving assistance from the Norwegian government?
1485	w03_ran43	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1676	15335	-
1486	w03_wsj1..	Suspected terrorist attacks from the extreme right, the authorities entitled to: Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial?
1487	w03_wsj1..	Suspected terrorist attacks from the extreme right, the authorities entitled to: Randomly stop and search people on the street	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Randomly stop and search people on the street?
1488	w03_wsj2..	Suspected terrorist attacks from Islamic extremism, the authorities entitled to: Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial?
1489	w03_wsj2..	Suspected terrorist attacks from Islamic extremism, the authorities entitled to: Randomly stop and search people on the street	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Randomly stop and search people on the street?
1490	w03_wsj3..	Suspected terrorist attacks, authorities entitled to: Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial?
1491	w03_wsj3..	Suspected terrorist attacks, authorities entitled to: Randomly stop and search people on the street	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	Randomly stop and search people on the street?
1492	w03_wsj2_1	Concern that there will be new terrorist attacks in Norway in the near future	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How concerned are you that there will be new terrorist attacks in Norway in the near future?
1493	w03_wsj2_2	Concern that future terrorist acts will affect you, your family or your friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	To what extent are you concerned that future terrorist actions will affect you, your family or your friends?
1494	w03_ran44	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1673	15338	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1495	w03_ran45	[Randomizes if U=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	835	16176	-
1496	w03_mat1a	For or against control to increase government control of food safety, severe symptoms	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How strongly do you favor or oppose increasing government regulation of food safety?
1497	w03_mat1aa	Concern over how safe the food is	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How concerned are you about how safe food is?
1498	w03_mat1b	For or against control to increase government control of food safety, severe symptoms including abdominal cramps, bloody diarrhea, nausea and vomiting	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How strongly do you favor or oppose increasing government regulation of food safety?
1499	w03_mat1bb	Concern over how safe the food is	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How concerned are you about how safe food is?
1500	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Seeing some mold on old leftovers in your refrigerator	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Seeing some mold on old leftovers in your refrigerator.
1501	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Standing close to a person who has body odor	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Standing close to a person who has body odor.
1502	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty palms	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty palms.
1503	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Stepping on dog poop	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Stepping on dog poop.
1504	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Accidentally touching a person's bloody cut	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Accidentally touching a person's bloody cut.
1505	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Seeing a cockroach run across the floor	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Seeing a cockroach run across the floor.
1506	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Sitting next to someone who has red sores on their arm	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Sitting next to someone who has red sores on their arm.
1507	w03_mat4	Concern that vaccines can cause serious side effects in children	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	How concerned are you that vaccines can lead to serious side effects in children?
1508	w03_mat5_1	Support for the following guidelines: Ban on the use of growth hormones for fish, poultry and cattle	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Ban on the use of growth hormones for fish, poultry and cattle.
1509	w03_mat5_2	Support for the following guidelines: Ban on genetically modified commodities, for example fruit and vegetables	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Ban on genetically modified commodities, for example fruit and vegetables.
1510	w03_mat5_3	Support for the following guidelines: Providing government support to farmers who cultivate certified ecological foodstuffs	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Providing government support to farmers who cultivate certified ecological foodstuffs.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1511	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Seeing some mold on old leftovers in your refrigerator	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Discovering mould on old leftovers in your own refrigerator.
1512	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Standing close to a person who has body odor	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Standing close to a person with unpleasant body odour.
1513	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty palms	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty hands.
1514	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Stepping on dog poop	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Stepping in dog faeces.
1515	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Accidentally touching a person's bloody cut	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Stepping in dog faeces.
1516	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Seeing a cockroach run across the floor	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Seeing a cockroach run across the floor.
1517	w03_mat3..	Disgusting conditions: Sitting next to someone who has red sores on their arm	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Sitting next to a person who has red sores on the arm.
1518	w03_r3k20	Respondents background	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which of the descriptions fits best with what you have done for the past 7 days?
1519	w03_r3k21	Respondents business	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Which type of business do you work in?
1520	w03_r3k2..	Other: Respondents business [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Which type of business do you work in? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1521	w03_r3k323	The name or title of the main occupation [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What is the name or title of your main job? Please write your full job title. Be as specific as you can. [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1522	w03_r3k3..	Main tasks or duties in the main occupation [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are your main tasks or duties in your main job? Please be as detailed as possible in your description. [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1523	w03_r3k23b	Responsible for directing other employees in the main job	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Are you responsible for supervising the work of other employees in your main work?
1524	w03_r3k24	Workplace in oil or gas industry, or closely linked to it	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Is your workplace in the oil and gas sector, or closely related to it?
1525	w03_r3k25	Current income	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	What is your current income?
1526	w03_r3k26	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	8515	8496	What is your highest completed education?
1527	w03_r3k27	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Marital status. Click on what is most suitable to you:
1528	w03_r3k2..	Other: Marital status [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Marital status. Click on what is most suitable to you: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1529	w03_r3k28	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Citizenship. Are you:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1530	w03_r3k2..	Citizenship: Other country [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Citizenship. Are you: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1531	w03_r3k29	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both) immigrated to Norway?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both) immigrated to Norway?
1532	w03_r3k3..	Homeland before immigration to Norway [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Which country did you live in before immigrating? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1533	w03_r3k3..	Mother: Homeland before immigration to Norway [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Mother: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1534	w03_r3k3..	Father: Homeland before immigration to Norway [anonymised]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Father: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
1535	w03_r3hp1	Health condition	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	Generally speaking, would you say that your health is:
1536	w03_P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	-
1537	w03_P2	Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	-
1538	w03_P3	County	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	-
1539	w03_P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	-
1540	w03_P4_2	Highest level of completed education [obtained from w01_k26]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8515	8496	-
1541	w03_P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	8514	8497	-
1542	w03_P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	8514	8497	-
1543	w03_Weig..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.5	8515	8496	-
1544	w03_Weig..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.5	8515	8496	-
1545	r4Recrui..	Time of recruitment	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
1546	r4_u	[Respondents are randomized in four groups]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
1547	r4_Answ..	Date and time for survey response	discrete	character-11	6297	-	-
1548	r4k11	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	All in all, how satisfied are you about the way in which democracy works in Norway?
1549	r4k204	Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?
1550	r4k204_1..	Other: Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?	discrete	character-100	0	0	Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?
1551	r4k43_1	Would vote for following party in municipal elections	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Municipal and county elections will be held during the autumn. If you are going

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							to vote, which parties or lists will you vote for?
1552	r4k43_2	Would vote for following party in county elections	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Municipal and county elections will be held during the autumn. If you are going to vote, which parties or lists will you vote for?
1553	r4k202	How satisfied are you with the current government?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How satisfied are you with the current government?
1554	r4k6_1	Like or dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Christian Democrats
1555	r4k6_2	Like or dislike: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Conservative Party
1556	r4k6_3	Like or dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Progress Party
1557	r4k6_4	Like or dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Liberal Party
1558	r4k6_5	Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Socialist Left Party
1559	r4k6_6	Like or dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Centre Party
1560	r4k6_7	Like or dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Green Party
1561	r4k6_8	Like or dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Labour Party
1562	r4k6_9	Like or dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Red Party
1563	r4k8_1	Placement on political scale	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those who are politically on the far right. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
1564	r4k9_1	1. Most important political issues personally [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
1565	r4k9_2	2. Most important political issues personally [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
1566	r4k9_3	3. Most important political issues personally [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
1567	r4k10	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
1568	r4dv30_1	Statement: The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
1569	r4dv30_2	Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Commercial private schools should be allowed.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1570	r4dv30_3	Statement: Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at a lower cost, if they were run by the private sector.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at a lower cost, if they were run by the private sector.
1571	r4dv31_1	Statement: The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.
1572	r4dv31_2	Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.
1573	r4dv31_3	Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.
1574	r4dvh_1	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.
1575	r4dvh_2	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens
1576	r4dvh_3	Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
1577	r4dt16_1	Place parties on political scale: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Christian Democrats
1578	r4dt16_2	Place parties on political scale: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Conservative Party
1579	r4dt16_3	Place parties on political scale: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Progress Party
1580	r4dt16_4	Place parties on political scale: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Liberal Party
1581	r4dt16_5	Place parties on political scale: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Socialist Left Party
1582	r4dt16_6	Place parties on political scale: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Centre Party
1583	r4dt16_7	Place parties on political scale: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Green Party
1584	r4dt16_8	Place parties on political scale: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Labour Party
1585	r4dt16_9	Place parties on political scale: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	The Red Party
1586	r4dv29_1	Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1587	r4dv29_2	Statement: Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.
1588	r4dv29_3	Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.
1589	r4dv29_4	Statement: It is better for a country if most people share the same traditions and customs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is better for a country if most people share the same traditions and customs.
1590	r4ran2	[Randomizes if U=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1558	15453	-
1591	r4fri1a	Voluntary work: carried out any voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	During the last 12 months have you carried out any voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations?
1592	r4fri1b	Voluntary work: made any voluntary contributions to voluntary organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	During the last 12 months have you made any voluntary contributions to voluntary organisations?
1593	r4fri1c	Voluntary work: carried out any voluntary work for voluntary organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	During the last 12 months have you carried out any voluntary work for voluntary organisations?
1594	r4fri1d	Voluntary work: undertaken any voluntary contracts for voluntary organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	During the last 12 months have you undertaken any voluntary contracts for voluntary organisations?
1595	r4fri2	Voluntary work: time spent on voluntary work during an ordinary week	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?
1596	r4fri3	Voluntary work: time spent for an average Norwegian	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Roughly speaking how much time do you think an average Norwegian spends on voluntary work during an ordinary week?
1597	r4fri4_1	Voluntary work: I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work
1598	r4fri4_2	Voluntary work: I always want to engage in voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I always want to engage in voluntary work
1599	r4fri4_3	Voluntary work: Time flies when I am doing voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Time flies when I am doing voluntary work
1600	r4fri4_4	Voluntary work: I feel forced to participate more than I want to	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel forced to participate more than I want to
1601	r4fri4_5	Voluntary work: I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things
1602	r4fri4_6	Voluntary work: I feel that my involvement is not appreciated	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel that my involvement is not appreciated
1603	r4fri5	I hvor stor grad er du tilfreds med livet nå om dagen?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1604	r4fri6	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
1605	r4fri7_1	0-10: HAPPY	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	HAPPY
1606	r4fri7_2	0-10: WORRIED	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	WORRIED
1607	r4fri7_3	0-10: DEPRESSED	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	DEPRESSED
1608	r4fri8_1	Statement: In most ways my life is almost ideal	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In most ways my life is almost ideal
1609	r4fri8_2	Statement: My circumstances are excellent	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	My circumstances are excellent
1610	r4fri8_3	Statement: So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life
1611	r4fri8_4	Statement: I am satisfied with my life	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I am satisfied with my life
1612	r4fri8_5	Statement: If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything
1613	r4fri9_1	Satisfied with: Your standard of living	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Your standard of living?
1614	r4fri9_2	Satisfied with: Your health	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Your health?
1615	r4fri9_3	Satisfied with: What you are achieving in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	What you are achieving in life?
1616	r4fri9_4	Satisfied with: Your personal relationships	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Your personal relationships?
1617	r4fri9_5	Satisfied with: How safe you feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How safe you feel?
1618	r4fri9_6	Satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?
1619	r4fri9_7	Satisfied with: The prospects for safety in the future	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The prospects for safety in the future?
1620	r4fri9_8	Satisfied with: The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing?
1621	r4fri9_9	Satisfied with: The quality of nature and the environment in your local area	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area?
1622	r4fri9_10	Satisfied with: Your job	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Your job?
1623	r4fri10	Your life, five years in the future	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If you think about your life situation in five years' time, do you think it will be ...
1624	r4fri11	Generally speaking, how good is your health?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Generally speaking, how good is your health?
1625	r4fri12	Suffer from chronic illnesses or health problem	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems which are expected to last for at least six months or more?
1626	r4fri13	Have been prevented from undertaking any activities due to health problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	During the last six months have you been prevented from undertaking any activities that people usually do due to health problems?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1627	r4fri14	Have participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Have you participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year in an attempt to bring about improvements in society?
1628	r4fri15	Who organized the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If you cast your mind back to the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in, who was it organised by?
1629	r4fri16	Type of organisation, union or party	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	What type of organisation, union or party was it?
1630	r4fri16_..	Other: Type of organisation, union or party	discrete	character-50	0	0	What type of organisation, union or party was it?
1631	r4fri17	How did you first hear about this protest?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How did you first hear about this protest?
1632	r4fri18	In what way did you first hear about this protest?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	In what way did you first hear about this protest?
1633	r4fri19	Active within non-profit organizations in youth	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If you think back to your youth, to what extent were you actively involved with associations and organisations?
1634	r4fri20	Which memberships are important	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	You have previously stated that you are a member of one or more of the voluntary organisations listed below. Which membership category do you consider to be the most important one for you?
1635	r4fri21_1	Text: Reason for joining [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	You have previously stated that you are a member of a voluntary organisation operating in the following area: " w03_FR2 ". What was the most important reason for your decision to join this organisation?
1636	r4fri21_2	Text: Reason for joining [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What was the most important reason for your decision to join this organisation?
1637	r4fri22	Category of voluntary work [anonymized]	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	You have previously stated that you have undertaken voluntary work one or more of the voluntary organisations listed below. Which category encompasses the organisation for which you have done most voluntary work during the past year?
1638	r4fri23_1	Voluntary work, duration	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	You have previously stated that you have undertaken voluntary work for a voluntary organisation operating in the following area: " w03_FR2 ". How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?
1639	r4fri23_2	Voluntary work, duration	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?
1640	r4fri24_1	Statement: I feel better about myself or more meaningful	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel better about myself or more meaningful
1641	r4fri24_2	Statement: I feel that I learn new and interesting things	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel that I learn new and interesting things
1642	r4fri24_3	Statement: I obtain experiences which could be	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I obtain experiences which could be useful for undertaking non-voluntary work

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		useful for undertaking non-voluntary work					
1643	r4fri24_4	Statement: I have the opportunity to do something tangible about an issue which interests me	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I have the opportunity to do something tangible about an issue which interests me
1644	r4fri24_5	Statement: I have the opportunity to spend time with friends or acquaintances	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I have the opportunity to spend time with friends or acquaintances
1645	r4fri24_6	Statement: I forget any problems that otherwise affect my life	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I forget any problems that otherwise affect my life
1646	r4fri25_1	Statement: The work I do has clearly defined targets	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The work I do has clearly defined targets
1647	r4fri25_2	Statement: It is easy for me to find out if I am doing my work well	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is easy for me to find out if I am doing my work well
1648	r4fri25_3	Statement: The work I do is extremely useful	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The work I do is extremely useful
1649	r4fri25_4	Statement: I am interested in the future of the organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I am interested in the future of the organisation
1650	r4fri25_5	Statement: The organisation's values are very similar to my own values	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The organisation's values are very similar to my own values
1651	r4fri25_6	Statement: I am proud of being able to say that I am associated with this organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I am proud of being able to say that I am associated with this organisation
1652	r4fri26_1	Satisfied with organization: manages its volunteers	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	manages its volunteers
1653	r4fri26_2	Satisfied with organization: provide volunteers with training	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	provide volunteers with training
1654	r4fri26_3	Satisfied with organization: solves problems which arise in connection with voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	solves problems which arise in connection with voluntary work
1655	r4fri27	Satisfied with organization, overall	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	All in all, how satisfied are you with your experience of being a volunteer with this organisation?
1656	r4fri28	How likely is it that you will still be working as a volunteer for this organisation two years from now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How likely is it that you will still be working as a volunteer for this organisation two years from now?
1657	r4ran3	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1607	15404	-
1658	r4km2_1	Statement: The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries.
1659	r4km2_2	Statement: Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1660	r4km2_3	Statement: I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.
1661	r4km2_4	Statement: Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.
1662	r4km2_5	Statement: Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.
1663	r4km2_6	Statement: If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.
1664	r4ran4	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	808	16203	-
1665	R4KM3a	Vignette 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	176	16835	R4KM3a question details
1666	R4KM3b	Vignette 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	147	16864	R4KM3b question details
1667	R4KM3c	Vignette 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	140	16871	R4KM3c question details
1668	R4KM3d	Vignette 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	184	16827	R4KM3d question details
1669	R4KM3e	Vignette 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	161	16850	R4KM3e question details
1670	r4km4a_1	Response to text: In your opinion, how much of a threat does the text think that climate change poses?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	In your opinion, how much of a threat does the text think that climate change poses?
1671	r4km4b_1	Response to text: How much confidence do have about the veracity of the scenarios presented in the text?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How much confidence do have about the veracity of the scenarios presented in the text?
1672	r4km4c_1	Response to text: What is your overall reaction to the text you have read?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	What is your overall reaction to the text you have read?
1673	r4km4d_1	How much of a threat do you think climate change will pose to you personally during the next 50 years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How much of a threat do you think climate change will pose to you personally during the next 50 years?
1674	r4km4e_1	Important for Norway to reduce climate gas emissions	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How important do you think it is for Norway to reduce climate gas emissions?
1675	r4km4f_1	How much of a problem do you think climate change will be for Norway during the next 50 years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How much of a problem do you think climate change will be for Norway during the next 50 years?
1676	r4km4g_1	Reaction to organization: Grønn Verden	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Grønn Verden
1677	r4km4g_2	Reaction to organization: Norsk Næringsliv	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Norsk Næringsliv
1678	r4km5_1_1	Statement: Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1679	r4km5_1_2	Statement: Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.
1680	r4km5_1_3	Statement: If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.
1681	r4km5_1_4	Statement: The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries.
1682	r4km5_1_5	Statement: Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.
1683	r4km5_1_6	Statement: I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.
1684	r4km5_2_1	Statement: The best way of looking after future generations is to keep our customs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The best way of looking after future generations is to keep our customs.
1685	r4km5_2_2	Statement: Society works best when people strictly obey all the laws and regulations.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Society works best when people strictly obey all the laws and regulations.
1686	r4km5_2_3	Statement: Having respect for authority is one of the most important things that children can learn.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Having respect for authority is one of the most important things that children can learn.
1687	r4km5_2_4	Statement: Cooperation with other people rarely works.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Cooperation with other people rarely works.
1688	r4km5_2_5	Statement: The future is too uncertain to allow us to make proper plans.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The future is too uncertain to allow us to make proper plans.
1689	r4km5_2_6	Statement: I feel that life is a lottery.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel that life is a lottery.
1690	r4mobil_..	[Tester om respondenter benytter en mobil enhet. Tester dersom U=2 og ran3=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
1691	r4km6	Image experiment: Which of these images best illustrates your understanding of how the climate system works.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4km6 question details
1692	r4km7	Where would you place your views about the relationship between humans and nature on this scale?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4km7 question details
1693	r4km8_1	Statement: Humans are entitled to change their natural environment in order to satisfy their requirements .	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Humans are entitled to change their natural environment in order to satisfy their requirements .
1694	r4km8_2	Statement: The so-called organic crisis" facing	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The so-called organic crisis" facing humanity has been highly exaggerated.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		humanity has been highly exaggerated.					
1695	r4km8_3	Statement: The balance of nature is extremely sensitive and easy to disturb.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The balance of nature is extremely sensitive and easy to disturb.
1696	r4km8_4	Statement: Norway needs economic growth in order to be able to protect the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Norway needs economic growth in order to be able to protect the environment
1697	r4km9_1	Trust: Climate researchers	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Climate researchers
1698	r4km9_2	Trust: Journalists	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Journalists
1699	r4km9_3	Trust: Social debaters	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Social debaters
1700	r4km9_4	Trust: Environmentalists	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Environmentalists
1701	r4km9_5	Trust: Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Politicians
1702	r4km9_6	Trust: Friends and family	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Friends and family
1703	r4km9_7	Trust: Others, please specify who	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Others, please specify who
1704	r4km9_7_..	Trust: Others, please specify who	discrete	character-200	0	0	Others, please specify who
1705	r4km12	What do you think should be done about climate change?	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think should be done about climate change?
1706	r4ran22	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1586	15425	-
1707	r4km13a_1	Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions, quotas	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the total number of quotas available to industry
1708	r4km13a_2	Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground
1709	r4km13a_3	Positive towards: Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
1710	r4km13a_4	Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of, renewable energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Increase funding for research on, and the development of, renewable energy
1711	r4km13b_1	Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the total number of quotas available to industry
1712	r4km13b_2	Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground
1713	r4km13b_3	Positive towards: Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
1714	r4km13b_4	Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1715	r4km13c_1	Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe
1716	r4km13c_2	Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground
1717	r4km13c_3	Positive towards: Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
1718	r4km13c_4	Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy
1719	r4km13d_1	Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2, total emissions	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe
1720	r4km13d_2	Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground
1721	r4km13d_3	Positive towards: Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
1722	r4km13d_4	Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy
1723	r4ran5	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1578	15433	-
1724	r4km14a	For or against climate agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4km14a question details
1725	r4km14b	For or against climate agreement, China is in	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4km14b question details
1726	r4km14c	For or against climate agreement, China is not in	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4km14c question details
1727	r4km15	Does the Progress Party support measures that increase the cost of emitting greenhouse gases in Norway?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In your opinion, does the Progress Party support measures that increase the cost of emitting greenhouse gases in Norway?
1728	r4oc1	Should Norway play an active or reduced role in international politics?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think it is best for Norway in the future to play an active role in international politics, or should Norway preferably have a reduced role?
1729	r4oc25	Statement: Local news more interesting than national or international news	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements "I often feel that the news about what is taking place in my local area is more interesting than national and international news items."
1730	r4pad1	Attitude towards advertising on bus stops	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4pad1 question details
1731	r4pad10_1	Proposal: The authorities propose merging the municipality in which you	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The authorities propose merging the municipality in which you reside with one or more neighbouring municipalities

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		reside with one or more neighbouring municipalities					
1732	r4pad10_2	Proposal: Your municipality proposes providing more municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities than it does at the moment.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Your municipality proposes providing more municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities than it does at the moment.
1733	r4pad11	Willing to pay more in taxes to retain current municipal structure	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some estimates suggest that the current municipal structure is costing society more. If you could choose, would you be willing to pay an extra NOK 1,000 in tax per year to maintain the current municipal structure?
1734	r4pad12_1	Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation produces better services	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Cooperation between the municipalities on the supply of services (inter-municipal cooperation) gives better quality than if individual municipalities supply the services themselves.
1735	r4pad12_2	Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible for the contents of the policies that are implemented
1736	r4pad13	What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?
1737	r4pad14_1	Like or dislike party leaders: Erna Solberg (Cons.)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Erna Solberg (Cons.)
1738	r4pad14_2	Like or dislike party leaders: Jonas Gahr Støre (Lab.)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Jonas Gahr Støre (Lab.)
1739	r4pad14_3	Like or dislike party leaders: Siv Jensen (Progress Party)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Siv Jensen (Progress Party)
1740	r4pad15	Local election in the fall, tight race?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	As regards the local government elections this autumn, to what extent do you think that there will be close competition between the parties standing for election in respect of who will be elected to the Municipal Council where you live?
1741	r4pad155_1	Local election in the fall, chosen a party yet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Some people have very definite ideas about which party they will vote for during the local government elections in the autumn. Others have not made a decision yet. To what extent are you sure about which party you will end up voting for?
1742	r4ran7	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1542	15469	-
1743	r4pad16a	Article about NAV: Positive or negative impression?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?
1744	r4pad16b	Article about NAV: Positive or negative impression?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?
1745	r4pad16c	Article about NAV: Positive or negative impression?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?
1746	r4pad16d	Article about NAV: Positive or negative impression?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?
1747	r4pad16e	Positive or negative impression of NAV?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?
1748	r4pad17	Use more or less money on NAV	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that the government should spend more or less money on running NAV during the forthcoming years?
1749	r4pad18_1	NAV in the media, what effects: you opinion of NAV?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	... your views about NAV?
1750	r4pad18_2	NAV in the media, what effects: Norwegians opinion of NAV?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	... other Norwegians' views about NAV?
1751	r4pad19	Have you personally been in contact with NAV?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Have you personally been in contact with NAV?
1752	r4ran8	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1539	15472	-
1753	r4pad20a	Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4pad20a question details
1754	r4pad20b	Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4pad20b question details
1755	r4pad20c	Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4pad20c question details
1756	r4pad20d	Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4pad20d question details
1757	r4pad20e	Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4pad20e question details
1758	r4pad20f	Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4pad20f question details
1759	r4pad21	Article in online newspaper: Confidence in information	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	This is also an example which shows the introduction to a hypothetical case which could appear in online Norwegian papers. How much confidence do you have in the information provided in cases of this type?
1760	r4ran9	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1536	15475	-
1761	r4pad22	Prize, 1000 NOK or 1800 NOK	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are being included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. If you win you can choose to receive NOK 1,000 or NOK 1,800. Which would you choose?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1762	r4pad23a	Text: Chose 1000 NOK over 1800 NOK	discrete	character-1	0	0	You said yes to receiving NOK 1,000 instead of receiving NOK 1,800. Can you state briefly why you selected this option?
1763	r4pad23b	Text: Chose 1800 NOK over 1000 NOK	discrete	character-1	0	0	You said yes to receiving NOK 1,800 instead of receiving NOK 1,000. Can you state briefly why you selected this option?
1764	r4ran11	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1535	15476	-
1765	r4oc17_1	Economic aid: Increase, keep or reduce	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4oc17_1 question details
1766	r4oc17_1a	Economic aid: Increase	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should increase allocations for economic aid quite substantially, or just slightly?
1767	r4oc17_1b	Economic aid: Reduce	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should reduce allocations for economic aid quite substantially, or just slightly?
1768	r4oc18_1a	Economic aid: Moral obligation to offer economic aid to the poor in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to offer economic aid to the poor in Norway?
1769	r4oc18_1b	Economic aid: Moral obligation to offer economic aid to the poor in Norway or other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Who do you believe the Norwegian authorities have the greatest moral obligation to provide economic aid to?
1770	r4oc19_1	Economic aid: Effectiveness for the poor in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How effective do you think Norway's economic aid programmes have been for reducing poverty in Norway?
1771	r4oc20_1	Economic aid: Why are recipients poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Why do you think most recipients of economic aid are poor?
1772	r4oc21_1	Economic aid: The poor lacked basic necessities before they got aid	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How likely is it that the recipients of economic aid lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before they received aid from Norwegian authorities?
1773	r4oc17_2	Development aid: Increase, keep or reduce	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4oc17_2 question details
1774	r4oc17_2a	Development aid: Increase	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should increase allocations for development aid quite substantially, or just slightly?
1775	r4oc17_2b	Development aid: Reduce	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should reduce allocations for development aid quite substantially, or just slightly?
1776	r4oc18_2a	Development aid: Moral obligation to offer aid to the poor in other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to offer development aid to the poor in other countries?
1777	r4oc18_2b	Development aid: Moral obligation to offer aid to the poor in Norway or other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Who do you believe the Norwegian authorities have the greatest moral obligation to provide development aid to?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1778	r4oc19_2	Development aid: Effectiveness for the poor in other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How effective do you think Norway's development aid programmes have been for reducing poverty in other countries?
1779	r4oc20_2	Development aid: Why are recipients poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Why do you think that most recipients of development aid are poor?
1780	r4oc21_2	Development aid: The poor lacked basic necessities before they got aid	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How likely is it that the recipients of development aid lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before they received aid from Norwegian authorities?
1781	r4dv45d_1	Statement: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle
1782	r4dv45d_2	Statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	A patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle
1783	r4dv45d_3	Statement: Taxes on booze and cigarettes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. Such products should therefore be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour
1784	r4dv45d_4	Statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	People with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles
1785	r4dv45d_5	Statement: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv45d_5 question details
1786	r4dv45d_6	Statement: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If a specific agreement has been entered into between a doctor and a patient about changing the patient's lifestyle and the patient fails to comply with the agreement, should future treatment of the patient be given lower priority than that given to patients who comply with such agreements?
1787	r4ran21	[Randomizes if U=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1533	15478	-
1788	r4dv51a	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1789	r4dv51b	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1790	r4dv51c	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1791	r4dv51d	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv51d question details
1792	r4dv51e	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1793	r4dv51f	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1794	r4dv51g	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1795	r4dv51h	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv51h question details
1796	r4dv52a	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?
1797	r4dv52b	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?
1798	r4dv1	Pro and con regarding immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
1799	r4dv4	How important is immigration policy to you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
1800	r4dv6	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
1801	r4dv6_10_..	Other: Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?	discrete	character-250	0	0	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
1802	r4dv41	Statement: Men are more entitled to jobs than women	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Men are

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		during a downturn in the labour market.					more entitled to jobs than women during a downturn in the labour market.
1803	r4ran13	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1583	15428	-
1804	r4dv42a	Allow stand at local shopping centre: An anti-Islamic group	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that an anti-Islamic group has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a group should be allowed to do this?
1805	r4dv42b	Allow stand at local shopping centre: A right wing extremist group	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that a right wing extremist group has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a group should be allowed to do this?
1806	r4dv42c	Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that the Progress Party has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this party should be allowed to do this?
1807	r4dv42d	Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that the Liberal Party has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this party should be allowed to do this?
1808	r4dv42e_1	Allow stand at local shopping centre: An anti-Islamic group	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	An anti-Islamic group
1809	r4dv42e_2	Allow stand at local shopping centre: A right wing extremist group	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	A right wing extremist group
1810	r4dv42e_3	Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Progress Party
1811	r4dv42e_4	Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The Liberal Party
1812	r4ran14	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1581	15430	-
1813	r4dv43a	Allow rental of local meeting room: Prophet's Ummah	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that the Muslim fundamentalist group, Prophet's Ummah, has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Prophet's Ummah should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
1814	r4dv43b	Allow rental of local meeting room: SIAN	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that the anti-Islamic group, Stop the Islamisation of Norway (SIAN), has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that SIAN should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
1815	r4dv43c	Allow rental of local meeting room: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that the Progress Party has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							agree or disagree that the Progress Party should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
1816	r4dv43d	Allow rental of local meeting room: Muslims	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that a Muslim congregation has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Muslim congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
1817	r4dv43e	Allow rental of local meeting room: Free Church	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that a Free Church congregation has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Free Church congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
1818	r4dv43f	Allow rental of local meeting room: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that the Liberal Party has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Liberal Party should be allowed to do this?
1819	r4ran16	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1580	15431	-
1820	r4dv47a	Limit work immigration: Some of the poorest are from Romania	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv47a question details
1821	r4dv47b	Limit work immigration: Some of the poorest are from Spain	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv47b question details
1822	r4dv47c	Limit work immigration: Some of the poorest are from Poland	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv47c question details
1823	r4dv47d	Limit immigration for beggars: Some of the poorest are from Romania	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv47d question details
1824	r4dv47e	Limit immigration for beggars: Some of the poorest are from Spain	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are from Spain and some of them come to Norway to beg so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?
1825	r4dv47f	Limit immigration for beggars: Some of the poorest are from Poland	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are from Poland and some of them come to Norway to beg so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?
1826	r4ran18	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1576	15435	-
1827	r4dv48a	Statement: Schools should allow Muslim pupils to	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Schools should allow Muslim pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?					districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
1828	r4dv48b	Statement: Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
1829	r4dv48c	Statement: Schools should encourage muslim pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Schools should encourage Muslim pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
1830	r4dv48d	Statement: Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
1831	r4dv48e	Statement: Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.
1832	r4dv48f	Statement: Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.
1833	r4ran19	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1576	15435	-
1834	r4dv49a	How long should immigrants live in Norway for before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How long should immigrants live in Norway for before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?
1835	r4dv49b	How long should immigrants work for in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How long should immigrants work for in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?
1836	r4dv49c	How long should immigrants work for and pay tax in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How long should immigrants work for and pay tax in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?
1837	r4dv49d	How long should immigrants work for in Norway and contribute towards Norwegian society before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	How long should immigrants work for in Norway and contribute towards Norwegian society before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?
1838	r4ran20	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1576	15435	-
1839	r4dv50a	Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	...people have different views, but Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.
1840	r4dv50b	Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	...people have different views, but Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.
1841	r4dv50c	Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	...Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1842	r4dv50d	Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	...Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.
1843	r4ran28	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1575	15436	-
1844	r4dv51a_2	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1845	r4dv51b_2	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1846	r4dv51c_2	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1847	r4dv51d_2	Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv51d_2 question details
1848	r4dv51e_2	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1849	r4dv51f_2	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1850	r4dv51g_2	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
1851	r4dv51h_2	Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv51h_2 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1852	r4dv52a_2	Opinion about asylum seekers being granted residence	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?
1853	r4dv52b_2	Opinion about asylum seekers not being granted residence	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?
1854	r4ran27	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1574	15437	-
1855	r4dv53a	Caricatures poking fun: Muslims	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which poke fun at the religious beliefs of Muslims. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...
1856	r4dv53b	Caricatures poking fun: Jews	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which poke fun at the religious beliefs of Jews. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...
1857	r4dv53c	Caricatures poking fun: Religious beliefs	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which poke fun at the religious beliefs of people with deeply held beliefs. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...
1858	r4dv53d	Caricatures mocking: Muslims	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which mock the religious beliefs of Muslims. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...
1859	r4dv53e	Caricatures mocking: Jews	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which mock the religious beliefs of Jews. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...
1860	r4dv53f	Caricatures mocking: Religious beliefs	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which mock the religious beliefs of people with deeply held beliefs. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...
1861	r4ran23	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1574	15437	-
1862	r4mi5a	Ban on sexual services: For or against	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual services. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...
1863	r4mi5b	Ban on sexual services: Makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4mi5b question details
1864	r4mi5c	Ban on sexual services: ECHR may invalidate ban	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							services. The European Court of Human Rights may declare the ban to be invalid. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...
1865	r4mi5d	Ban on sexual services: ECHR may invalidate ban, makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4mi5d question details
1866	r4mi5e	Ban on sexual services: Supreme Court of Canada declared a similar ban to be invalid	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4mi5e question details
1867	r4mi5f	Ban on sexual services: The Norwegian Supreme Court may declare the ban to be invalid	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4mi5f question details
1868	r4ran17	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1573	15438	-
1869	r4dv45a_1	Statement: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle
1870	r4dv45a_2	Statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	A patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle
1871	r4dv45a_3	Statement: Taxes on booze and cigarettes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. Such products should therefore be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour
1872	r4dv45a_4	Statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	People with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles
1873	r4dv45a_5	Statement: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv45a_5 question details
1874	r4dv45a_6	Statement: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If a specific agreement has been entered into between a doctor and a patient about changing the patient's lifestyle and the patient fails to comply with the agreement, should future treatment of the patient be given lower priority than that given to patients who comply with such agreements?
1875	r4dv45b_1	Just statement: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is fair that access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle
1876	r4dv45b_2	Just statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is fair that a patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1877	r4dv45b_3	Just statement: Taxes on booze and cigarettes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. It is therefore fair that such products should be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour
1878	r4dv45b_4	Just statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is fair that people with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles
1879	r4dv45b_5	Just statement: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv45b_5 question details
1880	r4dv45b_6	Just statement: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv45b_6 question details
1881	r4dv45c_1	Unertain about cause of illness: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is fair that access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle
1882	r4dv45c_2	Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is fair that a patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle
1883	r4dv45c_3	Unertain about cause of illness: Taxes on booze and cigarettes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. It is therefore fair that such products should be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour
1884	r4dv45c_4	Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is fair that people with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles
1885	r4dv45c_5	Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv45c_5 question details
1886	r4dv45c_6	Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	r4dv45c_6 question details
1887	r4ran15	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1568	15443	-
1888	r4dv46a_2	Lower priority of health care: Overweight/obesity	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Overweight/obesity
1889	r4dv46a_3	Lower priority of health care: Smoking	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Smoking
1890	r4dv46a_4	Lower priority of health care: High alcohol consumption	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	High alcohol consumption

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1891	r4dv46a_5	Lower priority of health care: Abuse of medication/drugs	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Abuse of medication/drugs
1892	r4dv46a_6	Lower priority of health care: Lack of physical activity	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Lack of physical activity
1893	r4dv46a_7	Lower priority of health care: Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury
1894	r4dv46a_8	Lower priority of health care: Poor diet	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Poor diet
1895	r4dv46a_9	Lower priority of health care: A combination of these	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	A combination of these
1896	r4dv46a_10	Lower priority of health care: Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle
1897	r4dv46b_1	Lower priority of personal health care: Overweight/obesity	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Overweight/obesity
1898	r4dv46b_2	Lower priority of personal health care: Smoking	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Smoking
1899	r4dv46b_3	Lower priority of personal health care: High alcohol consumption	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	High alcohol consumption
1900	r4dv46b_4	Lower priority of personal health care: Abuse of medication/drugs	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Abuse of medication/drugs
1901	r4dv46b_5	Lower priority of personal health care: Lack of physical activity	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Lack of physical activity
1902	r4dv46b_6	Lower priority of personal health care: Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury
1903	r4dv46b_7	Lower priority of personal health care: Poor diet	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Poor diet
1904	r4dv46b_8	Lower priority of personal health care: A combination of these	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	A combination of these
1905	r4dv46b_9	Lower priority of personal health care: Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle
1906	r4ran24	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1565	15446	-
1907	r4dv55a	Allow religious schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's children to religious schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?
1908	r4dv55b	Allow Christian schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's children to Christian schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?
1909	r4dv55c	Allow Muslim schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							children to Muslim schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?
1910	r4dv55d	Allow private schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's children to private schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?
1911	r4ran25	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1564	15447	-
1912	r4dv56a	Do you think that begging should be made illegal?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that begging should be made illegal?
1913	r4dv56b	Picture of beggar: Do you think that begging should be made illegal?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that begging should be made illegal?
1914	r4dv56c	Picture of beggar: Do you think that begging should be made illegal?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Do you think that begging should be made illegal?
1915	r4ran45	[Randomizes if U=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1564	15447	-
1916	r4dv35a_1	Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.
1917	r4dv35a_2	Description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.
1918	r4dv35a_3	Description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.
1919	r4dv35a_4	Description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
1920	r4dv35b_1	Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people.
1921	r4dv35b_2	Description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about Roma people.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel guilty if I think negatively about Roma people.
1922	r4dv35b_3	Description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people due to my own convictions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people due to my own convictions.
1923	r4dv35b_4	Description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
1924	r4dv35c_1	Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims.
1925	r4dv35c_2	Description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims.
1926	r4dv35c_3	Description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims due to my own convictions.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Muslims due to my own convictions.					
1927	r4dv35c_4	Description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
1928	r4dv40	Most important measure for preventing or punishing foreign fighters	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In order to prevent Norwegians from participating in war on behalf of other countries some people think that it is important to have stricter penalties for foreign fighters. Others think that it is important to prevent radicalisation at an early stage. What do you think is most important?
1929	r4ran26	[Randomizes if U=0]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1564	15447	-
1930	r4oc24a	Statement: The government should introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The government should introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.
1931	r4oc24b	Statement: The government should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The government should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.
1932	r4oc24c	Do you think that the government should or should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that the government should or should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity?
1933	r4km1	How concerned are you about climate change?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	How concerned are you about climate change?
1934	r4km10	Global warming and consequences for the weather	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If nothing is done to prevent it, do you believe global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years, or do you believe global warming will not lead to this?
1935	r4km11_1	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems.
1936	r4km11_2	Statement: The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the challenging climate changes facing us.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the challenging climate changes facing us.
1937	r4oc2	View on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your views on climate change?
1938	r4oc3	Winter (2014-15): warmer, colder or normal?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Has the winter (2014-15) where you live been warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal?
1939	r4oc4	Winter (2013-14): warmer, colder or normal?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	When you consider the previous winter (2013-14), was it warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal where you live?
1940	r4oc5	Winter (2014-15): More or less precipitation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Was there more precipitation, less precipitation or normal precipitation this winter (2014-15) where you live?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1941	r4oc6	Winter (2013–14): More or less precipitation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	When you consider the previous winter (2013-14), was there more precipitation, less precipitation or normal precipitation where you live?
1942	r4oc7_1	Experienced extreme weather: Extreme snow storm	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Extreme snow storm
1943	r4oc7_2	Experienced extreme weather: Extreme cold	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Extreme cold
1944	r4oc7_3	Experienced extreme weather: Extreme high winds	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Extreme high winds
1945	r4oc7_4	Experienced extreme weather: Extreme rainstorm	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Extreme rainstorm
1946	r4oc7_5	Experienced extreme weather: Extreme heat wave	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Extreme heat wave
1947	r4oc7_6	Experienced extreme weather: Flood	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Flood
1948	r4oc7_7	Experienced extreme weather: Wildfire	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Wildfire
1949	r4oc7_8	Experienced extreme weather: Drought	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Drought
1950	r4oc7_9	Experienced extreme weather: Other unusual weather	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Other unusual weather
1951	r4oc7_9...	Experienced extreme weather: Other unusual weather	discrete	character-100	0	0	Other unusual weather
1952	r4ran6	[Randomizes if U=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1576	15435	-
1953	r4oc22a	Woman working with people, tired and depressed: Sick-leave fine?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Gunn is 40 years old. In her job she meets many people, but she also works quite a lot on a computer. When she visits her doctor she says that she feels tired and depressed. Do you think that it would be reasonable for Gunn to be signed off sick for a couple of weeks?
1954	r4oc22b	Man working with computers, tired and depressed: Sick-leave fine?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Lars is 40 years old. In his job he meets many people, but he also works quite a lot on a computer. When he visits his doctor he says that he feels tired and depressed. Do you think that is would be reasonable for Lars to be signed off sick for a couple of weeks?
1955	r4oc23_1	Statement: People suffering from depression can pull themselves together if they want to	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	People suffering from depression can pull themselves together if they want to
1956	r4oc23_2	Statement: Depression is a sign of weakness	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Depression is a sign of weakness
1957	r4oc23_3	Statement: Depression is not a proper medical condition	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Depression is not a proper medical condition
1958	r4oc23_4	Statement: People suffering from depression are dangerous	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	People suffering from depression are dangerous

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1959	r4oc23_5	Statement: It is best to avoid people who are suffering from depression	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	It is best to avoid people who are suffering from depression in order to prevent oneself from becoming depressed too
1960	r4oc23_6	Statement: People suffering from depression are unpredictable	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	People suffering from depression are unpredictable
1961	r4oc23_7	Statement: If I was suffering from depression I would not tell anyone	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If I was suffering from depression I would not tell anyone
1962	r4oc23_8	Statement: I would not employ anyone who I knew had been depressed	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I would not employ anyone who I knew had been depressed
1963	r4oc23_9	Statement: I would not vote for a politician who I knew had been depressed	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	I would not vote for a politician who I knew had been depressed
1964	r4mobil_bw	[Selecting non-mobile users for Bandwagon experiment (PAD2 and PAD6)]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6206	10805	-
1965	r4ran30	[Randomizes non-mobile users to either PAD2 or PAD6]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4992	12019	-
1966	r4qcount_1	[Stores aggregated distribution for each groups defined in BW_groupsPAD2. Stores if ran30=1Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	character-10	2519	0	-
1967	r4bw_gro_..	[Allocates respondents in groups. First 15 respondents gets the value 0. Subsequent respondents are chronologically defined in groups of 425. Defines groups if ran30=1.Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2534	14477	-
1968	r4pad2st_..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	15	16996	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1969	r4pad2st_..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	14	16997	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1970	r4bw_ran1	[Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	16585	-
1971	r4pad2a1	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	190	16821	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1972	r4pad2a2	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	235	16776	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1973	r4pad2a1 ..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	182	16829	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1974	r4bw_ran2	[Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	16589	-
1975	r4pad2b1	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	216	16795	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1976	r4pad2b2	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	205	16806	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1977	r4pad2b1 ..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	208	16803	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1978	r4bw_ran3	Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=3. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	16585	-
1979	r4pad2c1	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	216	16795	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1980	r4pad2c2	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	207	16804	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1981	r4pad2c1 ..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	208	16803	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1982	r4bw_ran4	Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=4. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	16587	-
1983	r4pad2d1	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	197	16814	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1984	r4pad2d2	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	226	16785	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1985	r4pad2d1..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	183	16828	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1986	r4bw_ran5	[Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=5. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	16588	-
1987	r4pad2e1	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	192	16819	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1988	r4pad2e2	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	231	16780	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1989	r4pad2e1..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	181	16830	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1990	r4bw_ran6	[Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=6. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	398	16613	-
1991	r4pad2f1	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	192	16819	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1992	r4pad2f2	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	201	16810	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1993	r4pad2f1..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	176	16835	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1994	r4bw_ran7	[Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=7. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	-
1995	r4pad2g1	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1996	r4pad2g2	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1997	r4pad2g1..	Mandatory measles vaccine for all children	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
1998	r4pad3	How strong is your opinion on the vaccine issue?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2504	14507	How strong are your views about this question?
1999	r4pad4	How good do you feel that your knowledge about the vaccine-topic is?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2481	14530	How good do you feel that your knowledge about this subject is?
2000	r4pad5	Estimates of Norwegians attitude mandatory vaccinations	discrete	numeric-1.0	2504	14507	If you had to make a guess, how many citizens in Norway do you think would agree that it should be compulsory for all children to be vaccinated against measles.
2001	r4qcoun..	[Stores aggregated distribution for each groups defined in BW_groupsPAD6. Stores if ran30=2Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	character-10	2443	0	-
2002	r4bw_gro..	[Allocates respondents in groups. First 15 respondents gets the value 0. Subsequent respondents are chronologically defined in groups of 425. Defines groups if ran30=1.Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2458	14553	-
2003	r4pad6st..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	15	16996	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2004	r4pad6st ..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	7	17004	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2005	r4bw_ran ..	[Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	16589	-
2006	r4pad6a1	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	199	16812	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2007	r4pad6a2	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	221	16790	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2008	r4pad6a1 ..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	164	16847	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2009	r4bw_ran ..	[Randomiserer dersom ran30=2 og BW_groupsPAD6=2. En del av Bogwagon eksperimentet. Se skjerm bilde i appendiks]	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	16589	-
2010	r4pad6b1	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	221	16790	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2011	r4pad6b2	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	196	16815	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2012	r4pad6b1 ..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	174	16837	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2013	r4bw_ran ..	[Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix] [Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=2. Part of	discrete	numeric-1.0	425	16586	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]					
2014	r4pad6c1	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	199	16812	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2015	r4pad6c2	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	220	16791	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2016	r4pad6c1..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	160	16851	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2017	r4bw_ran..	[Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=4. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	16592	-
2018	r4pad6d1	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	197	16814	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2019	r4pad6d2	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	216	16795	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2020	r4pad6d1..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	162	16849	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2021	r4bw_ran..	[Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=5. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	16589	-
2022	r4pad6e1	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	202	16809	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2023	r4pad6e2	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	212	16799	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2024	r4pad6e1..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	158	16853	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2025	r4bw_ran..	[Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=6. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	333	16678	-
2026	r4pad6f1	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	160	16851	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2027	r4pad6f2	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	168	16843	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2028	r4pad6f1..	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	129	16882	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2029	r4bw_ran..	[Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=7. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	-
2030	r4pad6g1	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2031	r4pad6g2	Support for academic boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
2032	r4pad7	How strong is your opinion on the question of boycott?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2408	14603	How strong are your views about this question?
2033	r4pad8	How good do you feel that your knowledge about the issue of boycott?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2404	14607	How good do you feel that your knowledge about this subject is?
2034	r4pad9	Estimates of Norwegians attitude towards boycott of Israel	discrete	numeric-1.0	2394	14617	If you had to make a guess, how many citizens in Norway do you think would agree with such an academic boycott of Israel?
2035	r4ran1	[Randomizes]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6201	10810	-
2036	r4ran1_1	[Randomizes if ran1=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3109	13902	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2037	r4dv44a	Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young muslim	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young Muslim calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?
2038	r4dv44b	Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young Roma	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young Roma person calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?
2039	r4dv44c	Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young Progress Party politician	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young Progress Party politician calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?
2040	r4dv44d	Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young member of the Hells Angels	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young member of the Hells Angels calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?
2041	r4dv44e	Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young member of the Prophet's Ummah	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young member of the fundamentalist group, the Prophet's Ummah, calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?
2042	r4dv44f	Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a Roma person	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A Roma person calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?
2043	r4dv44g	Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young nursing student	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young nursing student calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?
2044	r4ran32	[Randomizes]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3539	13472	-
2045	r4ran33	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1220	15791	-
2046	r4oc8a	Enough oil for about 25 years or depleted in 15 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now. Others say that at the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years. Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?
2047	r4oc8b	Exhausted oil reserves in about 15 years or enough oil in 25 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Some people say that that at the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years. Others say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now. Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?
2048	r4oc8c	Enough oil for about 25 years or depleted in 15 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? We will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now, or at

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years.
2049	r4oc8d	Exhausted oil reserves for about 15 years or enough oil in 25 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? At the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years, or we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now.
2050	r4ran34	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1220	15791	-
2051	r4oc9a	Cause of crime: individuals or social conditions	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Which in your opinion is more to blame for crime and lawlessness in this country - individuals or social conditions?
2052	r4oc9b	Cause of crime: social factors or individuals	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Which in your opinion is more to blame for crime and lawlessness in this country - social conditions or individuals?
2053	r4oc9c	Statement: Individuals are more to blame than social conditions for crime and lawlessness in this country.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Individuals are more to blame than social conditions for crime and lawlessness in this country.
2054	r4oc9d	Statement: Social conditions are more to blame than individuals for crime and lawlessness in this country.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Social conditions are more to blame than individuals for crime and lawlessness in this country.
2055	r4ran35	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1220	15791	-
2056	r4oc10a	Do you think the government should or should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think the government should or should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?
2057	r4oc10b	Statement: The government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? The government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.
2058	r4oc10c	Statement: The government should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? The government should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.
2059	r4oc10d	Do you think the government should not or should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think the government should not or should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?
2060	r4ran36	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1219	15792	-
2061	r4oc11a	Statements: Politics is complicated	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
2062	r4oc11b	Politics is so complicated that you can not understand what is happening	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Would you say that sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like you can't really understand what's going on, or that you really can understand what's going on?
2063	r4ran37	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	15793	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2064	r4oc12a	Courts: Strict enough towards criminals, no perception	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or do you not have an opinion on that?
2065	r4oc12b	Courts: Strict enough towards criminals, missing information	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or don't you have enough information about the courts to say?
2066	r4oc12c	Courts: Strict enough towards criminals, do not know	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or don't you know?
2067	r4oc12d	Courts: Strict enough towards criminals	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or don't you know?
2068	r4ran38	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1217	15794	-
2069	r4oc13a	Those who work in government are smart people or people who do not know what they are doing, no opinion	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people, or do you think that quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing, or do you not have an opinion on that?
2070	r4oc13b	Those who work in government are people who do not know what they are doing or smart people	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government don't seem to know what they are doing, or do you feel that almost all of them are smart people?
2071	r4oc13c	Those who work in government are smart people or people who do not know what they're doing, do not know	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people, or do you think that quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing, or don't you know?
2072	r4oc13d	Those who work in government are smart people or people who do not know what they're doing	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people, or do you think that quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing?
2073	r4ran39	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1217	15794	-
2074	r4oc14a	Mandatory labor union membership, or against	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If there is a union at a particular company or business, do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members, or are you opposed to this?
2075	r4oc14b	Mandatory labor union membership	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If there is a union at a particular company or business, do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members?
2076	r4oc14c	Mandatory labor union membership, or up to each individual to decide	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If there is a union at a particular company or business, do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members, or should it be left to the individuals to decide whether or not he wants to be in the union?
2077	r4oc14d	Against mandatory labor union membership, or for it	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	If there is a union at a particular company or business, are you opposed

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							to all the workers there being required to be union members, or do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members?
2078	r4ran40	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1215	15796	-
2079	r4oc15aa	Allow labor unions to spend money in support to select or beat candidates for political positions	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think labor unions should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?
2080	r4oc15ab	Allow businesses to spend money to support select or beat candidates for political positions	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think businesses should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?
2081	r4oc15ba	Allow businesses to spend money to support select or beat candidates for political positions	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think businesses should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?
2082	r4oc15bb	Allow labor unions to spend money in support to select or beat candidates for political positions	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Do you think labor unions should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?
2083	r4ran41	[Randomizes if ran32=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1213	15798	-
2084	r4oc16a	Can trust others, or cant't be too careful	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?
2085	r4oc16b	Can't be too careful, or can trust others	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Generally speaking, would you say that you can't be too careful in dealing with people or that most people can be trusted?
2086	r4k20	Description of life situation or occupation	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	We will now ask you some questions about your background. Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
2087	r4k21	Works in following business	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	What type of company do you work for?
2088	r4k21_6_...	Other: Works in following business	discrete	character-50	0	0	What type of company do you work for?
2089	r4k323	Title of main occupation [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What is the name or title of your main profession? Please enter your full job title. Please be as specific as possible.
2090	r4k323a	Main tasks or duties in main occupation [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What are your main tasks or duties in your main profession? Please provide as many details as possible.
2091	r4k23b	Responsible for directing other employees in the main job	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Are you responsible for managing the work of other employees in your main job?
2092	r4k24	Workplace in oil or gas industry, or closely linked to it	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Is your workplace in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?
2093	r4k25	Current income	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	What is your current income?
2094	r4k26	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	What is your highest level of completed education?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2095	r4k27	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
2096	r4k27_9_..	Other: Marital status	discrete	character-50	0	0	Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
2097	r4k28	Nationality	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Nationality: Are you:
2098	r4k28_2_..	Citizen of another country [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Statsborger i annet land. Vennligst skriv hvilket
2099	r4k29	Mother or father immigrated to Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both parents) immigrated to Norway?
2100	r4k30_1	Country before immigration [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Which country did you live in before you immigrated to Norway?
2101	r4k30_2_1	Mothers country of origin [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Mother:
2102	r4k30_2_2	Fathers country of origin [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Father:
2103	r4k40	Belongs to a religion or a religious denomination	discrete	numeric-2.0	6297	10714	Do you belong to a religion or a religious community?
2104	r4k41	Attending religious meetings	discrete	numeric-1.0	6155	10856	Apart from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, how often would you say that you attend religions meetings at the moment?
2105	r4k42	Living with children under 16 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	6126	10885	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?
2106	r4P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2107	r4P2	Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2108	r4P3	County	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2109	r4P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2110	r4P4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2111	r4P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2112	r4P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2113	r4_Weigh_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2114	r4_Weigh_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-1.0	6297	10714	-
2115	r5u	Sub-group	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2116	r5rekrut_..	Time of recruitment	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2117	r5k1	Interest in politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	In general, how interested are you in politics?
2118	r5timesp_..	Time spent on r5k1	continuous	numeric-20.0	5451	11560	-
2119	r5k2	Voted in local elections	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Did you vote in the local government elections this autumn?
2120	r5k43_1	Voted for following party at local elections	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Municipal council election

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2121	r5k43_2	Voted for following party at county council election	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	County council election
2122	r5k204	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
2123	r5k204_1..	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
2124	r5pad15_1	Likelihood of voting for: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Christian Democrats
2125	r5pad15_2	Likelihood of voting for: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Conservative Party
2126	r5pad15_3	Likelihood of voting for: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Progress Party
2127	r5pad15_4	Likelihood of voting for: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Liberal Party
2128	r5pad15_5	Likelihood of voting for: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Socialist Left Party
2129	r5pad15_6	Likelihood of voting for: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Centre Party
2130	r5pad15_7	Likelihood of voting for: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Green Party
2131	r5pad15_8	Likelihood of voting for: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Labour Party
2132	r5pad15_9	Likelihood of voting for: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Red Party
2133	r5timesp..	Time spent on r5pad15	continuous	numeric-20.0	1277	15734	-
2134	r5ran20	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1277	15734	-
2135	r5ocrecs..	Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
2136	r5ocrecs..	Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
2137	r5ocrecs..	Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
2138	r5ocrecs..	Satisfaction with the government of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
2139	r5ocrecs..	Satisfaction with the government of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
2140	r5ocrecs..	Satisfaction with the government of Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
2141	r5ocrecs..	Satisfaction with democracy in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2142	r5ocreca..	Satisfaction with democracy in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
2143	r5ocreca..	Satisfaction with democracy in Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
2144	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The parliament
2145	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The county council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The county council
2146	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The municipal council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The municipal council
2147	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The EU	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The EU
2148	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The judiciary	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The judiciary
2149	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Politicians
2150	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The police	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The police
2151	r5timesp..	Time spent on r5ocreca4a	continuous	numeric-20.0	1277	15734	-
2152	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The parliament
2153	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The county council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The county council
2154	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The municipal council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The municipal council
2155	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The EU	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The EU
2156	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The judiciary	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The judiciary
2157	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Politicians
2158	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions: The police	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The police
2159	r5timesp..	Time spent on r5ocreca4b	continuous	numeric-20.0	1277	15734	-
2160	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions on a scale: The parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The parliament
2161	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions on a scale: The judiciary	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The judiciary
2162	r5ocreca..	Trust in institutions on a scale: The police	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The police
2163	r5timesp..	Time spent on r5ocreca4c	continuous	numeric-20.0	1277	15734	-
2164	r5k10	Perception of economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway? Do you think it is:
2165	r5k202	Satisfaction with the current government	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How satisfied are you with the current government?
2166	r5k11	Satisfaction with the way democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	All in all, how satisfied are you about the way in which democracy works in Norway?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2167	r5pad1	What do you associate with the word democracy	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you connect with the word democracy?
2168	r5timesp..	Time spent on r5pad1	continuous	numeric-20.0	1431	15580	-
2169	r5k12_1	Trust in institutions: The parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Norwegian parliament
2170	r5k12_2	Trust in institutions: The county council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The county council
2171	r5k12_3	Trust in institutions: The municipal council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The municipal council
2172	r5k12_4	Trust in institutions: The EU	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The EU
2173	r5k12_5	Trust in institutions: The judiciary	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The law courts
2174	r5k12_6	Trust in institutions: Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Politicians
2175	r5k12_7	Trust in institutions: The police	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The police
2176	r5timesp..	Time spent on r5k12	continuous	numeric-20.0	4174	12837	-
2177	r5k15_1	Statement: Most people can be trusted	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
2178	r5k6_1	Like or dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Christian Democrats
2179	r5k6_2	Like or dislike: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Conservative Party
2180	r5k6_3	Like or dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Progress Party
2181	r5k6_4	Like or dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Liberal Party
2182	r5k6_5	Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Socialist Left Party
2183	r5k6_6	Like or dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Centre Party
2184	r5k6_7	Like or dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Green Party
2185	r5k6_8	Like or dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Labour Party
2186	r5k6_9	Like or dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The Red Party
2187	r5k8_1	Placement on political scale	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Where would you position yourself on such a scale?
2188	r5k9_1	[1] most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? Please Write down the three most important issues, where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
2189	r5k9_2	[2] most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? Please Write down the three most important issues, where 1 is the most

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
2190	r5k9_3	[3] most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? Please Write down the three most important issues, where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
2191	r5dt16_1	Placement on political scale: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Christian Democrats
2192	r5dt16_2	Placement on political scale: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Conservative Party
2193	r5dt16_3	Placement on political scale: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Progress Party
2194	r5dt16_4	Placement on political scale: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Liberal Party
2195	r5dt16_5	Placement on political scale: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Socialist Left Party
2196	r5dt16_6	Placement on political scale: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Centre Party
2197	r5dt16_7	Placement on political scale: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Green Party
2198	r5dt16_8	Placement on political scale: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Labour Party
2199	r5dt16_9	Placement on political scale: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The Red Party
2200	r5dv30_1	Statement: The tax burden should be lowered	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
2201	r5dv30_2	Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Commercial private schools should be allowed.
2202	r5dv30_3	Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at a lower cost if they were run by the private sector.
2203	r5timesp_..	Time spent on r5dv30	continuous	numeric-20.0	5451	11560	-
2204	r5dv31_1	Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.
2205	r5dv31_2	Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.
2206	r5dv31_3	Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad
2207	r5dvh_1	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2208	r5dvh_2	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
2209	r5dvh_3	Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
2210	r5nfc_1	Statement: I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks
2211	r5nfc_2	Statement: I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions.
2212	r5nfc_3	Statement: I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought.
2213	r5nte_1	Statement: I have an opinion about most things	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I have an opinion about most things
2214	r5nte_2	Statement: I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong
2215	r5km1	Climate change: What should be done	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think should be done about climate change?
2216	r5timesp..	Time spent on r5km1	continuous	numeric-20.0	4007	13004	-
2217	r5km5	To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Let us assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it. To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change? Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint:
2218	r5fr1_1	Volunteering: Arts and Culture, bands and choirs	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
2219	r5fr1_2	Volunteering: Athletics and sport	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
2220	r5fr1_3	Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
2221	r5fr1_4	Volunteering: Education, training and research	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5fr1_4 question details
2222	r5fr1_5	Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
2223	r5fr1_6	Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
2224	r5fr1_7	Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
2225	r5fr1_8	Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
2226	r5fr1_9	Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
2227	r5fr1_10	Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
2228	r5fr1_11	Volunteering: Political parties	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Political parties [Youth political parties]
2229	r5fr1_12	Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]
2230	r5fr1_13	Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]
2231	r5fr1_14	Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association] (14)
2232	r5fr1_15	Volunteering: Immigrant organisations	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
2233	r5fr1_16	Volunteering: Other, specify	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Other, specify
2234	r5fr1_17	No, not volunteering	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	No
2235	r5fr1_16..	Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]	discrete	character-27	0	0	Other, specify
2236	r5utfort..	Done voluntary work?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2237	r5flereorg	Done voluntary work for more then one organization?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2238	r5fr17	How much time spent volunteering	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you think about the last four weeks, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work?
2239	r5fr17_1..	How much time spent volunteering, hours	discrete	character-11	554	0	If you think about the last four weeks, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work?
2240	r5fri31a..	Describing volunteer work: Satisfactory	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Satisfactory
2241	r5fri31a..	Describing volunteer work: Rewarding	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Rewarding
2242	r5fri31a..	Describing volunteer work: Exciting	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Exciting
2243	r5fri31a..	Describing volunteer work: Important	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Important
2244	r5fri31a..	Describing volunteer work: Fun	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Fun
2245	r5fri31a..	Describing volunteer work: Challenging	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Challenging
2246	r5fri31a..	Describing volunteer work: Disappointing	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Disappointing
2247	r5fr31hm_1	Describing volunteer work: Emotionally exhausting	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Emotionally exhausting
2248	r5fr31hm_2	Describing volunteer work: Physically tiring	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Physically tiring
2249	r5fr31hm_3	Describing volunteer work: Frustrating	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Frustrating
2250	r5fr31hm_4	Describing volunteer work: Depressing	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Depressing
2251	r5fr31hm_5	Describing volunteer work: Time consuming	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Time consuming
2252	r5fr31hm_6	Describing volunteer work: Easy	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Easy
2253	fr30_4	I want to do more voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I want to do more voluntary work
2254	r5fr19	Could you imagine doing voluntary work?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Could you imagine doing voluntary work?
2255	r5fr20	Considering quitting with volunteering	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you sometimes consider stopping doing voluntary work for one or more organisations where you are currently active?
2256	r5fr21	Considering quitting in the following organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?
2257	r5fr21_1..	Considering quitting in the following organization, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?
2258	r5fr22	How long have you been doing volunteer work within this organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How long have you been doing voluntary work within this organisation?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2259	r5fr23_1	Considering quitting volunteer work: Changed life situation	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children becoming older etc.)
2260	r5fr23_2	Considering quitting volunteer work: Changed interest or motivation	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Changed interest or motivation
2261	r5fr23_3	Considering quitting volunteer work: Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work
2262	r5fr23_4	Considering quitting volunteer work: Something else	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Something else (please specify)
2263	r5fr23_4..	Considering quitting volunteer work: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other
2264	r5fr24_1	Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Not enough time	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Not enough time
2265	r5fr24_2	Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Moving away from the area	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Moving away from the area
2266	r5fr24_3	Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Children stopping the activities	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Children stopping the activities
2267	r5fr24_4	Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Health problems or old age	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Health problems or old age
2268	r5fr24_5	Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Other	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Other
2269	r5fr24_5..	Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-15	0	0	Other
2270	r5fr32	Satisfied with life	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?
2271	r5fr33	Think that what you're doing in life is meaningful	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
2272	r5fr34_1	0-10: scale for happy	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Happy
2273	r5fr34_2	0-10: scale for concerned	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Concerned
2274	r5fr34_3	0-10: scale for depressed	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Depressed
2275	r5fr35_1	Statement: In most ways my life is almost ideal	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	In most ways my life is almost ideal
2276	r5fr35_2	Statement: My circumstances are excellent	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	My circumstances are excellent

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2277	r5fr35_3	Statement: So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life
2278	r5fr35_4	Statement: I am satisfied with my life	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I am satisfied with my life
2279	r5fr35_5	Statement: If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything
2280	r5fr36_1	Satisfied with: Standard of living	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Your standard of living?
2281	r5fr36_2	Satisfied with: Health	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Your health?
2282	r5fr36_3	Satisfied with: What you are achieving in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	What you are achieving in life?
2283	r5fr36_4	Satisfied with: Personal relationships	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Your personal relationships?
2284	r5fr36_5	Satisfied with: How safe you feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How safe you feel?
2285	r5fr36_6	Satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighborhood community	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?
2286	r5fr36_7	Satisfied with: Prospect of safety	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The prospects for safety in the future?
2287	r5fr36_8	Satisfied with: The amount of time to do the things you like	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing?
2288	r5fr36_9	Satisfied with: Quality of nature and the environment in the natural area	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The quality of nature and the environment in your local nature?
2289	r5fr36_10	Satisfied with: Your job	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Your job
2290	r5fr37	Life situation in five years	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you think about your life situation in five years' time, do you think it will be ...
2291	fri11	In general, how good is your health?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Generally speaking, how good is your health?
2292	fri12	Have chronic illness or health problem expected to persist at least 6 months	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems that are expected to last for at least six months or more?
2293	fri13	Prevented from performing activity due to health problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	During the last six months have you been prevented from undertaking any activities that people usually do due to health problems?
2294	r5friend	Number of friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	About how many friends do you have at the moment? These are people you can relax with, talk to about private topics or ask for help.
2295	r5frmet_1	People that you know who: Could help you find a job	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?
2296	r5frmet_2	People that you know who: Could lend you a large sum of money	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?
2297	r5frmet_3	People that you know who: Is in a high position in business,	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		public administration or politics					
2298	r5frnet_4	People that you know who: No such people	continuous	numeric-1.0	1307	15704	There are no such people among the people I know personally
2299	mobil_bw	mobile_bw	discrete	numeric-1.0	5420	11591	-
2300	qcount_1	1 ()	discrete	character-1	2083	0	-
2301	bw_group_..	BWgroupsPAD2	discrete	numeric-1.0	2094	14917	-
2302	r5pad2st_..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2303	r5pad2st_..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2304	bw_ran1	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	16588	-
2305	r5pad2a1	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2306	r5pad2a2	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2307	r5pad2a1_..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2308	bw_ran2	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	16585	-
2309	r5pad2b1	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2310	r5pad2b2	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2311	r5pad2b1_..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2312	bw_ran3	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	16588	-
2313	r5pad2c1	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2314	r5pad2c2	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2315	r5pad2c1_..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	[Rekoder R5PAD2C1. Lagrer gruppeverdi [uenig, enig] i qcount_1. Rekoder hvis u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=3 og BW_ran3=1]

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2316	bw_ran4	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	16589	-
2317	r5pad2d1	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2318	r5pad2d2	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2319	r5pad2d1..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2320	bw_ran5	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	389	16622	-
2321	r5pad2e1	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2322	r5pad2e2	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2323	r5pad2e1..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2324	bw_ran6	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=6]	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	-
2325	r5pad2f1	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2326	r5pad2f2	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2327	r5pad2f1..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2328	bw_ran7	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=7]	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	17011	-
2329	r5pad2g1	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2330	r5pad2g2	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2331	r5pad2g1..	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2332	r5pad3	The extent to which one has a strong opinion on the refugee issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent would you say that you have a strong opinion on this question?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2333	r5pad4	Knowledge about the number of refugees Norway has decided to accept	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much knowledge do you think you have about how many refugees Norway has agreed to accept in the next three years?
2334	r5pad5	Guess the number of residents who agreed to accept more Syrian refugees than has been agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you were to guess, how many of Norway's citizens do you think would agree that the country should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2335	r5pad3aa	Reason for the answer about Syrian refugees	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you write the reason for your answer about Syrian refugees?
2336	r5ran24	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1427	15584	-
2337	r5pad6a	PST has requested wiretapping: Muslim fundamentalist group	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?
2338	r5pad6b	PST has requested wiretapping: Muslim community	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?
2339	r5pad6c	PST has requested wiretapping: Right-wing group	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?
2340	r5pad6d	PST has requested wiretapping: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?
2341	r5pad6e	PST has requested wiretapping: Social group	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?
2342	r5ran2	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1270	15741	-
2343	r5oc3a	Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send to the neighboring municipality, determined by referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Tenk deg at du skal gi din personlige stemme i en offentlig folkeavstemning om dette forslaget. Ville du umiddelbart stemt for eller imot dette forslaget?
2344	r5oc3b	Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send to the neighboring municipality, determined by municipal council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Tenk deg at kommunestyret stemmer over dette forslaget. Ville du at kommunestyret skulle stemme for eller imot forslaget på dine vegne?
2345	r5oc3c	Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send out of the country, determined by referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Imagine that you are personally voting in a public referendum on this proposal. Would you like to directly vote for or against this proposal?
2346	r5oc3d	Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send out of the country, determined by municipal council	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Imagine that your municipal council is voting on this proposal. Would you like your municipal council to vote for or against the proposal on your behalf?
2347	r5ran25	[Randomizes if u=2 OR u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2692	14319	-
2348	r5km2a_1	Statement, only own municipality: The wind	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will have negative effects locally

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		turbines will have negative effects locally					
2349	r5km2a_2	Statement, only own municipality: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable
2350	r5km2a_3	Statement, only own municipality: The proposal is reasonable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The proposal is reasonable
2351	r5km2a_4	Statement, only own municipality: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply
2352	r5km2b_1	Statement, own and other municipality: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will have negative effects locally
2353	r5km2b_2	Statement, own and other municipality: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable
2354	r5km2b_3	Statement, own and other municipality: The proposal is reasonable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The proposal is reasonable
2355	r5km2b_4	Statement, own and other municipality: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply
2356	r5km2c_1	Statement, only own municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will have negative effects locally
2357	r5km2c_2	Statement, only own municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable
2358	r5km2c_3	Statement, only own municipality, compensation: The proposal is reasonable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The proposal is reasonable
2359	r5km2c_4	Statement, only own municipality, compensation: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply
2360	r5km2d_1	Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will have negative effects locally
2361	r5km2d_2	Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2362	r5km2d_3	Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The proposal is reasonable	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The proposal is reasonable
2363	r5km2d_4	Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply
2364	r5km3	Vote if there were a referendum on windmills	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Let us say that the proposal that you just read about will be subject to a referendum. Which way would you vote?
2365	r5km4_1	Cause of global warming: Deforestation	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Deforestation
2366	r5km4_2	Cause of global warming: CO2 from industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2) from industry
2367	r5km4_3	Cause of global warming: Driving	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Car driving
2368	r5km4_4	Cause of global warming: Oil furnaces for heating	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Oil-fired heating for buildings
2369	r5km4_5	Cause of global warming: Gas-fired power stations	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Gas-fired power stations
2370	r5km4_6	Cause of global warming: Chemical insecticides	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Chemical insecticides
2371	r5km4_7	Cause of global warming: Nuclear power stations	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Nuclear power stations
2372	r5km4_8	Cause of global warming: Thinner ozone layer in the upper atmosphere	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	A thinner ozone layer in the upper atmosphere
2373	r5km4_9	Cause of global warming: Use of spray cans	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The use of spray cans
2374	r5km4_10	Cause of global warming: Extraction of oil and gas	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Extraction of oil and gas
2375	r5km4_11	Cause of global warming: Production of hydropower in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Production of hydro-electric power in Norway
2376	r5km4_12	Cause of global warming: Particle emissions when coal, oil or gas is burned	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Particle emissions when coal, oil or gas is burned
2377	r5ran26	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1413	15598	-
2378	r5km7a	Do you think your own home will be exposed to future damage due to sea-level rise / high rainfall	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you think your own home will be exposed to future damage because of rising sea level or heavy precipitation (floods, landslides etc.)?
2379	r5km7b	Would you emphasized the danger of damage by sea-level rise / high rainfall in the design of your own home today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you were choosing or designing your own home today, would you attach importance to the risk of damage because of rising sea level or heavy precipitation (floods, landslides etc.)?
2380	r5ran27	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1413	15598	-
2381	r5km8a	Agree-disagree: The government should spend more money in the country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you agree or disagree that the authorities should spend more money in the country as a whole to prevent

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		to prevent damage from rising sea levels, floods or landslides					damage from rising sea level, flooding or landslides?
2382	r5km8b	Agree-disagree: The government should spend more money in your municipality to prevent damage from rising sea levels, floods or landslides	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you agree or disagree that the authorities should spend more money in your municipality to prevent damage from rising sea level, flooding or landslides?
2383	r5km9_1	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems.
2384	r5km9_2	Statement: Citizens in rich countries like Norway should reduce consumption to solve the climate challenge	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the challenging climate changes facing us.
2385	r5km11	Would you say that your workplace is in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Would you say that your workplace is in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?
2386	r5km6	How concerned are you about climate change?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How concerned are you about climate change?
2387	r5km10	Statements that describes your view on climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your views on climate change?
2388	r5ran1	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1411	15600	-
2389	r5oc4a_n..	Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4a_norm	continuous	numeric-1.0	1411	15600	-
2390	r5oc4a_p..	Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4a_pos	continuous	numeric-1.0	1411	15600	-
2391	r5oc4a_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment?
2392	r5oc4a_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment if the government reduced other taxes by the same amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government reduced other taxes you pay by the same amount?
2393	r5oc4a_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government used extra revenues on environmental protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment?
2394	r5oc4a_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if authorities used extra revenues on environmental protection and other taxes were reduced by the same amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment and reduced other taxes you pay by the same amount
2395	r5oc4a_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra revenues on environmental protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment?
2396	r5oc4a_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		to spend extra income on environmental protection and reduced other taxes by the same amount					it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment and reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
2397	r5oc4a_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to reduce other taxes by the same amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government promised to reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
2398	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?
2399	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?
2400	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?
2401	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm the health of people in Norway in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm the health of people in Norway in the future?
2402	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?
2403	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?
2404	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?
2405	r5oc4a_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?
2406	r5oc4b_p..	Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4b_pos	continuous	numeric-1.0	1411	15600	-
2407	r5oc4b_n..	Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4b_norm	continuous	numeric-1.0	1411	15600	-
2408	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?
2409	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?
2410	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?
2411	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm the health	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm the health of people in Norway in the future?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		of people in Norway in the future?					
2412	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?
2413	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?
2414	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?
2415	r5oc4b_p..	How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?
2416	r5oc4b_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment?
2417	r5oc4b_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment if the government reduced other taxes by the same amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government reduced other taxes you pay by the same amount?
2418	r5oc4b_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government used extra revenues on environmental protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment?
2419	r5oc4b_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if authorities used extra revenues on environmental protection and other taxes were reduced by the same amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment?
2420	r5oc4b_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra revenues on environmental protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment?
2421	r5oc4b_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra income on environmental protection and reduced other taxes by the same amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment and reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
2422	r5oc4b_n..	Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to reduce other taxes by the same amount	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government promised to reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
2423	r5km12	Thought Experiment: 900 kr for the other and 100 kr for you	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5km12 question details
2424	r5ran5	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1408	15603	-
2425	r5oc6	Mauritius: Difficult economic conditions mean that people can be compared	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Because of difficult economic circumstances, people in Mauritius are like extremely sick or paralyzed patients. They ARE COMPLETELY

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		to very sick or paralyzed patients					UNABLE to help themselves / Despite difficult economic circumstances, individuals in Mauritius ARE ABLE to do things that can help themselves get richer
2426	r5oc7	Mauritius: Regarding welfare, the individuals are victims of circumstances beyond their control	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	When it comes to their well-being, individuals in Mauritius are victims of circumstances beyond their control / Individuals in Mauritius are capable of taking planned actions that improve their economic well-being
2427	r5oc8	Mauritius: There is a lot of Mauritius and its inhabitants themselves can do to change their situation	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	There is A LOT that Mauritius and its citizens can do by themselves to improve their own livelihoods / There is LITTLE that Mauritius and its citizens can do by themselves to improve their own livelihoods
2428	r5oc9	How close do you feel culturally to the people of Mauritius?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How close do you feel culturally to the people of Mauritius?
2429	r5oc10	How much would it bother you if an immigrant from Mauritius married a relative of yours?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much would it bother you if an immigrant from Mauritius married a relative of yours?
2430	r5oc11	How much per capita Norwegian do you think the government should spend on foreign aid?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5oc11 question details
2431	r5oc12	Should Norway's foreign aid to Mauritius increased, reduced or kept equal	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	What about aid to Mauritius specifically? Last year, foreign aid from wealthy countries to Mauritius was about 1% of that country's total income. Do you think that Norwegian spending on foreign aid to Mauritius should increase, decrease or be kept about the same?
2432	r5oc13	Statement: Norway has a moral obligation to provide financial assistance to poor countries like Mauritius	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Norway DOES have a moral obligation to financially assist foreign poor countries like Mauritius
2433	r5oc14	What percentage of Mauritius' population think you are black?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What percent of people in Mauritius are black?
2434	r5oc15_1	News about African countries: Violence, war and terrorism	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Violence, war, and terrorism
2435	r5oc15_2	News about African countries: Business, markets, and trade	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Business, markets, and trade
2436	r5oc15_3	News about African countries: Growing economies	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Growing economies
2437	r5oc15_4	News about African countries: Poverty, hunger, and famine	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Poverty, hunger, and famine

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2438	r5oc15_5	News about African countries: HIV/AIDS, Ebola, and other diseases	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	HIV/AIDS, Ebola, and other diseases
2439	r5oc15_6	News about African countries: Entertainment and sports	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Entertainment and sports
2440	r5oc15_7	News about African countries: Other	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Other (fill in the blank)
2441	r5oc15_8	News about African countries: I do not hear news about African countries	continuous	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I do not hear news about African countries
2442	r5oc15_7..	News about African countries: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	-
2443	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
2444	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Very: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
2445	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Very: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
2446	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the way the government is doing its job	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
2447	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Very: satisfied with the way the government is doing its job	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
2448	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Very: satisfied with the way the government is doing its job	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
2449	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the way democracy works	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
2450	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Very: satisfied with the way democracy works	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
2451	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Very: satisfied with the way democracy works	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
2452	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Trust: The parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The parliament
2453	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Trust: The judiciary	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The judiciary
2454	r5ocrecs ..	1-10, Trust: The police	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	The police
2455	r5ocrecs ..	1-5, Trust: The parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The parliament
2456	r5ocrecs ..	1-5, Trust: The judiciary	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The judiciary
2457	r5ocrecs ..	1-5, Trust: The police	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The police
2458	r5ocrecs ..	1-5, Trust: The parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The parliament
2459	r5ocrecs ..	1-5, Trust: The judiciary	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The judiciary
2460	r5ocrecs ..	1-5, Trust: The police	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The police

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2461	r5dv1	Advantage / disadvantage for Norway that immigrants are coming to live here	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
2462	r5dv4	How important is immigration policy to you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
2463	r5dv6	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
2464	r5dv6_10_..	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-19	0	0	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
2465	r5ran6	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1410	15601	-
2466	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent express a general negative attitude?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2467	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent express a general positive attitude?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2468	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent express a general neutral attitude?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2469	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention 'Roma people' or similar?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2470	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention foreign countries or foreign citizens?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2471	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention 'homeless' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2472	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent express sympathy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2473	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention poverty?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2474	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent relate this word to immigration policies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2475	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent relate this word to welfare policies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2476	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent question the reason for begging?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2477	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention the word organized or similar?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
2478	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention 'criminal' or criminal activities?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2479	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention work attitude or similar?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word “beggar”?
2480	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention the word 'lazy' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word “beggar”?
2481	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention the beggars cup?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word “beggar”?
2482	r5rom1a_..	Beggars: Does the respondent mention the word gypsy or 'sigøyner'?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the word “beggar”?
2483	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent express a general negative attitude?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2484	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent express a general positive attitude?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2485	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent express a general neutral attitude?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2486	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention the word beggar or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2487	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'poverty' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2488	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent express sympathy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2489	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention discrimination or similar terms?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2490	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention integration work or similar?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2491	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention the word 'lazy' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2492	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention work attitude or similar?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2493	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent relate this word to immigration policies or global issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2494	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent relate this word to welfare policies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?
2495	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'organized' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2496	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'criminal' or other criminal activities?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2497	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention the word 'gypsy' or 'sigøyner'?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2498	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention violence?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2499	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention affiliation-issue or similar?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2500	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention family or similar words describing a network or Mafia-tendencies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2501	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'traveling' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2502	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'freedom' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2503	r5rom1b_..	Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'dirty' or synonymous words?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
2504	r5rom2_1	Statement: Roma people are particularly gifted artistically	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Roma people are particularly gifted artistically
2505	r5rom2_2	Statement: Roma people do not want to work	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Roma people do not want to work
2506	r5rom2_3	Statement: Roma people are criminals	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Roma people are criminals
2507	r5rom2_4	Statement: Roma people love their families	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Roma people love their families
2508	r5rom2_5	Statement: Roma people are honest	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Roma people are honest
2509	r5rom2_6	Statement: Roma people have always created problems in the country they live in	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Roma people have always created problems in the country they live in
2510	r5ran7	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1408	15603	-
2511	r5rom3a_1	Like/dislike Spanish people: becoming your neighbours	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming your neighbours
2512	r5rom3a_2	Like/dislike Spanish people: coming to Norway as immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...coming to Norway as immigrants
2513	r5rom3a_3	Like/dislike Spanish people: becoming part of your family	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming part of your family
2514	r5rom3b_1	Like/dislike Roma people: becoming your neighbours	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming your neighbours

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2515	r5rom3b_2	Like/dislike Roma people: coming to Norway as immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...coming to Norway as immigrants
2516	r5rom3b_3	Like/dislike Roma people: becoming part of your family	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming part of your family
2517	r5rom3c_1	Like/dislike Polish people: becoming your neighbours	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming your neighbours
2518	r5rom3c_2	Like/dislike Polish people: coming to Norway as immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...coming to Norway as immigrants
2519	r5rom3c_3	Like/dislike Polish people: becoming part of your family	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming part of your family
2520	r5rom3d_1	Like/dislike Muslims: becoming your neighbours	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming your neighbours
2521	r5rom3d_2	Like/dislike Muslims: coming to Norway as immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...coming to Norway as immigrants
2522	r5rom3d_3	Like/dislike Muslims: becoming part of your family	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	...becoming part of your family
2523	r5rom4	How widespread do you think negative attitudes to Roma people are in Norway today?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How widespread do you think negative attitudes to Roma people are in Norway today?
2524	r5dv29_1	Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
2525	r5dv29_2	Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.
2526	r5dv29_3	Statement: Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.
2527	r5pad2_dv	Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
2528	r5ran8	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1404	15607	-
2529	r5dv8a	Anti-Islamic group wants to meet politician	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv8a question details
2530	r5dv8b	Anti-Islamic group wants to meet a politician, a politician has agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv8b question details
2531	r5dv8c	Extreme right wing group wants to meet politician	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv8c question details
2532	r5dv8d	Extreme right wing group wants to meet politician, a politician has agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv8d question details
2533	r5dv8e	Muslim fundamentalist group wants to meet politician	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv8e question details
2534	r5dv8f	Muslim fundamentalist group wants to meet politician, a politician has agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv8f question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2535	r5ran9	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1403	15608	-
2536	r5dv9a	Allow demonstration: PEGIDA Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?
2537	r5dv9b	Allow demonstration: PEGIDA Norway, 4500 followers on Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?
2538	r5dv9c	Allow demonstration: PEGIDA Norway, close international ties	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?
2539	r5dv9d	Allow demonstration: "No to a Mosque in Larvik"	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?
2540	r5dv9e	Allow demonstration: "No to a Mosque in Larvik", 28 followers on Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?
2541	r5dv9f	Allow demonstration: "No to a Mosque in Larvik", without close links to others	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?
2542	r5ran10	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1403	15608	-
2543	r5dv10a	Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters that threaten immigrants in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that threaten immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?
2544	r5dv10b	Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters expressing hatred towards immigrants in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that express hatred towards immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?
2545	r5dv10c	Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters expressing prejudice against immigrants in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that express prejudice towards immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?
2546	r5dv10d	Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters expressing a negative view of immigrants in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that express a negative view towards immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?
2547	r5ran11	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1401	15610	-
2548	r5dv11a_1	Allow: Politician threatens immigrants in Norway on Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv11a_1 question details
2549	r5dv11b_1	Allow: Politician expresses hatred towards immigrants in Norway on Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv11b_1 question details
2550	r5dv11c_1	Allow: Politician express prejudice against immigrants in Norway on Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv11c_1 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2551	r5dv11d_1	Allow: Politician expressing a negative view of immigrants in Norway on Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv11d_1 question details
2552	r5dv11_2	Allow: Likely to vote for candidates who write such on Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How likely is it that you might vote at some time for candidates who have written such things on Facebook?
2553	r5ran12	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1395	15616	-
2554	r5dv12a	Allow posters that are: critical of Islam	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv12a question details
2555	r5dv12b	Allow posters that are: offensive to Muslims	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv12b question details
2556	r5dv12c	Allow posters that: expresses hatred towards Muslims in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv12c question details
2557	r5dv12d	Allow posters that: threaten Muslims in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	r5dv12d question details
2558	r5ran13	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1394	15617	-
2559	r5dv14a	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?
2560	r5dv14b	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?
2561	r5dv14c	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?
2562	r5dv14d	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?
2563	r5dv14e	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?
2564	r5dv14f	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?
2565	r5dv15	How strong are your views about this matter	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How strong are your views about this matter:
2566	r5ran14	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1394	15617	-
2567	r5dv16a	Publish cartoons that: Pokes fun of Muslims and their religious values	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							poke fun at Muslims and their religious values?
2568	r5dv16b	Publish cartoons that: Pokes fun of traditional religious values	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that poke fun at traditional religious values?
2569	r5dv16c	Publish cartoons that: Ridicule Muslims and their religious values	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that ridicule Muslims and their religious values?
2570	r5dv16d	Publish cartoons that: Ridicules traditional religious values	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that ridicule traditional religious values?
2571	r5dv16e	Publish cartoons that: Show contempt toward Muslims and their religious values	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that show contempt for Muslims and their religious values?
2572	r5dv16f	Publish cartoons that: Show contempt towards traditional religious values	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that show contempt for traditional religious values?
2573	r5ran15	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1394	15617	-
2574	r5dv17a	Support/oppose: Some women choose to quit their job when they have children in order to get more time with the kids	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some women choose to stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2575	r5dv17b	Support/oppose: Some women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2576	r5dv17c	Support/oppose: Some women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2577	r5dv17d	Support/oppose: Some women stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some women stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2578	r5dv17e	Support/oppose: Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2579	r5dv17f	Support/oppose: Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2580	r5dv17g	Support/oppose: Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2581	r5dv17h	Support/oppose: Some Muslim women stop working	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, because the

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		when they have children, because the family wishes this					family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
2582	r5dv18	Do you agree or disagree that Muslim girls should be allowed to wear a veil at school?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you agree or disagree that Muslim girls should be allowed to wear a veil at school?
2583	r5ran16_1	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	375	16636	-
2584	r5ran16_2	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	983	16028	-
2585	r5dv19a	Consideration: Veil makes girls stand out from fellow pupils	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you take into consideration that this means that they are set apart from their fellow pupils, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were not allowed to wear a veil at school?
2586	r5dv19b	Consideration: The use of veil in schools is a complex issue, not allowed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you take into consideration how complex these questions are, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were not allowed to wear a veil at school?
2587	r5dv20a	Consideration: Cultural and religious traditions are important	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you take into consideration how important cultural and religious traditions are in people's lives, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were not allowed to wear a veil at school?
2588	r5dv20b	Consideration: The use of veil in schools is a complex issue, allowed	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	If you take into consideration how complex these questions are, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were allowed to wear a veil at school?
2589	r5dv21_1	Statement: Muslims have a great many positive things to bring to Norwegian culture	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Muslims have a great many positive things to bring to Norwegian culture.
2590	r5dv21_2	Statement: Muslim men dominate the women	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Muslim men dominate the women.
2591	r5dv21_3	Statement: The western European mode of living is not consistent with the Muslim mode of living	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	The western European mode of living is not consistent with the Muslim mode of living.
2592	r5dv21_4	Statement: Most Muslims in Norway respect other people's culture and mode of living	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Most Muslims in Norway respect other people's culture and mode of living.
2593	r5dv21_5	Statement: Muslims in Norway bring their children up in an authoritarian way	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Muslims in Norway bring their children up in an authoritarian way.
2594	r5dv22	Would you turned back time in Norway with 20-30 years, or do you prefer the present-day Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Let us suppose that it is possible to turn the clock back to Norway as it was 20-30 years ago. Would you do it, or do you prefer present-day Norway on the whole?
2595	r5dv23_1	Do you think people care most about their own private lives or what is best for society as a whole	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you think that people these days are most concerned about their own private lives or about what is best for society as a whole?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2596	r5dv24	Statement: Violent crime should be punished much more heavily than it is today	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Violent crime should be punished much more heavily than it is today.
2597	r5ran17	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1391	15620	-
2598	r5dv26a	Agree/disagree: Even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government may consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
2599	r5dv26b	Agree/disagree: The European Court of Human Rights has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
2600	r5dv26c	Agree/disagree: The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child states that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
2601	r5dv26d	Agree/disagree: The Canadian Supreme Court has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
2602	r5dv26e	Agree/disagree: The Norwegian Supreme Court has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
2603	r5ran18	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1389	15622	-
2604	r5dv27a	Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?
2605	r5dv27b	Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services, Amnesty International has gone out against ban	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2606	r5dv27c	Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services, WHO has gone out against ban	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?
2607	r5dv27d	Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services, The UN Special Rapporteur opposes such a ban	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?
2608	r5dv28_1	Statement: Real Norwegian culture is now disappearing so fast that we must do everything in our power to save it	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Real Norwegian culture is now disappearing so fast that we must do everything in our power to save it.
2609	r5dv28_2	Statement: I am afraid that ordinary people in Norway will not have much freedom left in a few year's time	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	I am afraid that ordinary people in Norway will not have much freedom left in a few year's time.
2610	r5dv28_3	Statement: Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about.
2611	r5dv28_4	Statement: Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about.
2612	r5dv28_5	Statement: There are forces in society that could change Norway in a way that makes the country worse to live in	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	There are forces in society that could change Norway in a way that makes the country worse to live in.
2613	r5dv28_6	Statement: As soon as immigrants come to Norway they try to undermine society by refusing to follow our laws	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	As soon as immigrants come to Norway they try to undermine society by refusing to follow our laws.
2614	r5dv28_f..	Feedback and comments on statements [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	Use the text box below if you have any feedback or comments about the statements.
2615	r5dv39	How often do you think, read or talk about July 22nd	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	It's now been more than four years since the attacks of 22 July 2011. When it comes to you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
2616	r5ran3	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1390	15621	-
2617	r5ran3_1	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	656	16355	-
2618	r5ran3_2	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	734	16277	-
2619	r5pad10a_1	Dictator: Choose to give the following amount to the other person	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
2620	timespen ..	Time spent on r5pad10a	continuous	numeric-20.0	1390	15621	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2621	r5pad10b_1	Dictator: Choose to give the following amount to the other person	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
2622	timespen..	Time spent on r5pad10b	continuous	numeric-20.0	1389	15622	-
2623	r5pad7_8..	Background variable for r5pad7 and r5pad8	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2624	r5pad7	Extra prize of 1000 or 1800 kroner, explain your choice	discrete	character-1	0	0	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. If you win you must choose to receive either 1,000 kroner or 1,800 kroner. Before you indicate your choice on the next page, can you briefly explain why you made this choice?
2625	r5pad8	Extra prize of 1000 or 1800 kroner, what do you choose	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are being included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. If you win you must choose between receiving 1,000 kroner or 1,800 kroner. Which would you choose?
2626	timespen..	Time spent on r5pad8	continuous	numeric-20.0	2565	14446	-
2627	r5dictat..	Dictator Game: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
2628	timespen..	Time spent on dictatorgame	continuous	numeric-20.0	5336	11675	-
2629	r5pad12a_1	10 seconds to answer: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
2630	timespen..	Time spent on r5pad12a	continuous	numeric-20.0	1388	15623	-
2631	r5pad12b_1	Wait 10 seconds to answer: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
2632	timespen..	Time spent on r5pad12b	continuous	numeric-20.0	1388	15623	-
2633	r5ran4	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1259	15752	-
2634	r5pad13a_1	Joint contribution: The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group and loses his or her 1,000 kroner	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
2635	r5pad13b_1	Joint contribution: The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group, but keeps his or her 1,000 kroner	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
2636	r5pad13c_1	Joint contribution: A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group and loses his or her 1,000 kroner	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
2637	r5pad13d_1	Joint contribution: A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group, but keeps his or her 1,000 kroner	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2638	r5k20	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
2639	r5k21	What type of company do you work for?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	What type of company do you work for?
2640	r5k21_6_...	What type of company do you work for, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What type of company do you work for?
2641	r5k323	Name/title of main profession [anonymized]	discrete	character-18	0	0	What is the name or title of your main profession?
2642	r5k323a	Main tasks and responsibilities in main profession [anonymized]	discrete	character-24	0	0	What are your main tasks or duties in your main profession?
2643	r5k23b	Are you responsible for managing the work of other employees in your main job?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Are you responsible for managing the work of other employees in your main job?
2644	r5k25	What is your current income?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2645	r5k26	What is your highest level of completed education?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	What is your highest level of completed education?
2646	r5k27	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
2647	r5k27_9_...	Marital status, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Marital status
2648	r5k28	Nationality	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Nationality: Are you:
2649	r5k28_2_...	Citizen of another country [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Nationality: Are you:
2650	r5k29	Have you or your parents immigrated to Norway?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both parents) immigrated to Norway?
2651	r5k30_1	What country did you live in before you immigrated? [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which country did you live in before you immigrated to Norway?
2652	r5k30_2_1	Mothers country of origin [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Mother
2653	r5k30_2_2	Fathers country of origin [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Father
2654	r5k40	Religious affiliation	discrete	numeric-2.0	5451	11560	Do you belong to a religion or a religious community?
2655	r5k41	Attend religious meetings	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Apart from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, how often would you say that you attend religions meetings at the moment?
2656	r5k42	Living with children under 16	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?
2657	r5p1	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2658	r5p2	Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2659	r5p3	County	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2660	r5p4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2661	r5p4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2662	r5p5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2663	r5p5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	5451	11560	-
2664	r5_Weigh_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	continuous	numeric-8.10	5451	11560	-
2665	r5_Weigh_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report	continuous	numeric-8.10	5451	11560	-
2666	r6u	Sub-group	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	-
2667	r6rekrut_..	Time of recruitment	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	-
2668	r6k13	How often do you discuss politics?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How often would you say that you discuss politics with others?
2669	r6tilfre_..	Satisfied with: Life at the moment	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Life at the moment?
2670	r6tilfre_..	Satisfied with: Your personal economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Your personal economy?
2671	r6tilfre_..	Satisfied with: The government in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The present government in Norway?
2672	r6tilfre_..	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The way in which democracy works in Norway?
2673	r6k10	Perception of the economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
2674	r6k204	Would vote for following party if parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
2675	r6k204_1_..	Would vote for following party if parliamentary election tomorrow, other	discrete	character-100	0	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
2676	r6k6_1	Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Christian Democrats
2677	r6k6_2	Like/dislike: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Conservative Party of Norway
2678	r6k6_3	Like/dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Progress Party
2679	r6k6_4	Like/dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Liberal Party
2680	r6k6_5	Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Socialist Left Party
2681	r6k6_6	Like/dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Centre Party
2682	r6k6_7	Like/dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Green Party
2683	r6k6_8	Like/dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Labour Party
2684	r6k6_9	Like/dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The Red Party
2685	r6k9_1	[1] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2686	r6k9_2	[2] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
2687	r6k9_3	[3] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
2688	r6ran3	[Randomizes if u=1 or u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2354	14657	-
2689	r6km61a	Thoughts when you hear/read the words climate change [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the words climate change?
2690	r6km61b	Thoughts when you hear/read the words environmental problems	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the words environmental problems?
2691	r6km5	To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change?
2692	r6km12	Climate change: what should be done	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think should be done about climate change?
2693	r6dv30_1	Statement: The tax burden should be reduced	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
2694	r6dv30_2	Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Commercial private schools should be allowed.
2695	r6dv30_3	Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.
2696	r6dv31_1	Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.
2697	r6dv31_2	Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.
2698	r6dv31_3	Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.
2699	r6dvh_1	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.
2700	r6dvh_2	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
2701	r6dvh_3	Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
2702	r6oc_1	Statement: The difference between men's and women's behaviour is determined by genetic conditions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I think that the difference between men's and women's behaviour and personality is largely determined by genetic conditions.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2703	r6oc_2	Statement: Intelligence is determined by genetic conditions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I find that intelligence is a property that is largely determined by genetic conditions.
2704	r6oc_3	Statement: Genetic differences between Asians and Europeans a reason for the differences in abilities between individuals in these groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I think that genetic differences between Asians and Europeans are an important reason for the differences in abilities between individuals from these groups.
2705	r6oc_4	Statement: Parents and children have similar behaviour and character traits because they share genetic heredity	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I think that the main reason why parents and children have such similar behaviour and such similar character traits is that they share genetic heredity.
2706	r6ran1	[Randomizes if u=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1182	15829	-
2707	r6fr1_1	Volunteering: Art and Culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
2708	r6fr1_2	Volunteering: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
2709	r6fr1_3	Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
2710	r6fr1_4	Volunteering: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6fr1_4 question details
2711	r6fr1_5	Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
2712	r6fr1_6	Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
2713	r6fr1_7	Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
2714	r6fr1_8	Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2715	r6fr1_9	Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
2716	r6fr1_10	Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
2717	r6fr1_11	Volunteering: Political parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Political parties [Youth political parties]
2718	r6fr1_12	Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]
2719	r6fr1_13	Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]
2720	r6fr1_14	Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
2721	r6fr1_15	Volunteering: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
2722	r6fr1_16	Volunteering: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other, specify
2723	r6fr1_16..	Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]	discrete	character-16	0	0	Other, specify
2724	r6fr1_17	No, not volunteering	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	No
2725	r6fri40	Done voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations last 12 months	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	During the last 12 months have you carried out any voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations?
2726	r6frii2_1	Volunteering: Art and Culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
2727	r6frii2_2	Volunteering: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
2728	r6frii2_3	Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
2729	r6frii2_4	Volunteering: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6frii2_4 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2730	r6frii2_5	Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
2731	r6frii2_6	Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
2732	r6frii2_7	Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
2733	r6frii2_8	Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
2734	r6frii2_9	Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
2735	r6frii2_10	Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
2736	r6frii2_11	Volunteering: Political parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Political parties [Youth political parties]
2737	r6frii2_12	Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]
2738	r6frii2_13	Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]
2739	r6frii2_14	Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
2740	r6frii2_15	Volunteering: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
2741	r6frii2_16	Volunteering: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other, specify

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2742	r6frii2_..	Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]	discrete	character-139	0	0	Other, specify
2743	r6frii2_17	No, not volunteering	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	No
2744	r6frii1	How much time spent volunteering during an ordinary week, last year	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?
2745	r6frii2_1	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Art and Culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Art and culture, band and choir
2746	r6frii2_2	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Athletics and sport
2747	r6frii2_3	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity
2748	r6frii2_4	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Education, training and research
2749	r6frii2_5	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Health, nursing and rescue work
2750	r6frii2_6	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Social services and substance abuse care
2751	r6frii2_7	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Nature, environmental and animal protection
2752	r6frii2_8	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood
2753	r6frii2_9	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Housing co-operatives and housing associations
2754	r6frii2_..	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Rights, support and advocacy work
2755	r6frii2_..	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Political parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Political parties
2756	r6frii2_..	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: International	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work					
2757	r6frii2_..	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/ social media: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations
2758	r6frii2_..	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway
2759	r6frii2_..	How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/ social media: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Immigrant organisations
2760	r6fri42_1	Statement: Volunteers provide something different from what professionals offer	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Volunteers provide something different from what professionals offer, such as a different type of commitment or experience of life
2761	r6fri42_2	Statement: Would be no need for voluntary work if the public sector took full responsibility	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If the public sector took full responsibility, there would be no need for voluntary work
2762	r6fri42_3	Statement: Moral responsibility to do voluntary work during your life	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Doing voluntary work during your life is a moral responsibility that everyone has
2763	r6fri42_4	Statement: Voluntary work a threat to paid work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Voluntary work is a threat to paid work and is used so as to be able to cut society's expenses
2764	r6fri42_5	Statement: Voluntary work helps people to have an active role in a democratic society	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Voluntary work helps people to have an active role in a democratic society
2765	r6ran2	[Randomizes if u=1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1179	15832	-
2766	r6friva_1	Statement: I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work
2767	r6friva_2	Statement: Always want to engage in voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I always want to engage in voluntary work
2768	r6friva_3	Statement: Time flies when I am doing voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Time flies when I am doing voluntary work
2769	r6friva_4	Statement: I feel forced to participate more than I want to	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I feel forced to participate more than I want to
2770	r6friva_5	Statement: Doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things
2771	r6friva_6	Statement: My involvement is not appreciated	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I feel that my involvement is not appreciated
2772	r6friiv1_1	Contribution to the refugee situation: Donation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/ equipment)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2773	r6friiv1_2	Contribution to the refugee situation: Physical contribution	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)
2774	r6friiv1_3	Contribution to the refugee situation: Administrative contribution	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)
2775	r6friiv1_4	Contribution to the refugee situation: Other form of contribution	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other form of contribution; specify:
2776	r6friiv1_..	Contribution to the refugee situation: Other form of contribution [anonymized]	discrete	character-76	0	0	Other form of contribution; specify:
2777	r6friiv1_5	Contribution to the refugee situation: No	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	No, none of these
2778	r6friiv2_1	Contribution in Norway or abroad? Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Norway
2779	r6friiv2_2	Contribution in Norway or abroad? Abroad	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Abroad; specify country:
2780	r6friiv2_..	Contribution in Norway or abroad? Abroad [anonymized]	discrete	character-56	0	0	Abroad; specify country:
2781	r6friiv3_1	What kind of contribution: Gave money	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Gave money
2782	r6friiv3_2	What kind of contribution: Gave clothing/equipment/ food	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Gave clothing/equipment/food
2783	r6friiv3_3	What kind of contribution: Gave out clothing/equipment/ food directly to refugees	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees
2784	r6friiv3_4	What kind of contribution: Acted as visiting friend/ social contact	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Acted as visiting friend/social contact
2785	r6friiv3_5	What kind of contribution: Helped with homework/ lessons	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Helped with homework/lessons
2786	r6friiv3_6	What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Organised gatherings
2787	r6friiv3_7	What kind of contribution: Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching
2788	r6friiv3_8	What kind of contribution: Helped with organisation and activities	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)
2789	r6friiv3_9	What kind of contribution: Housed refugees at home	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Housed refugees at home
2790	r6friiv3_..	What kind of contribution: Administered/coordinated volunteers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Administered/coordinated volunteers
2791	r6friiv3_..	What kind of contribution: Information work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Information work
2792	r6friiv3_..	What kind of contribution: Editing/moderating websites	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2793	r6friiv3 ..	What kind of contribution: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other, specify:
2794	r6friiv3 ..	What kind of contribution: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-46	0	0	Other, specify:
2795	r6friiv4 1	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A traditional voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)
2796	r6friiv4 2	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A newly-formed initiative/organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)
2797	r6friiv4 3	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A public body	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)
2798	r6friiv4 4	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A private body	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	A private body
2799	r6friiv4 5	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: An asylum seekers' centre	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)
2800	r6friiv4 6	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: On own initiative/together with friends and family	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Organised work on own initiative/together with friends and family
2801	r6friiv4 7	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other, specify:
2802	r6friiv4 ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-47	0	0	Other, specify:
2803	r6friiv5 1	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by an organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited by an organisation
2804	r6friiv5 2	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via a Facebook group	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:
2805	r6friiv5 ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via a Facebook group [anonymized]	discrete	character-16	0	0	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:
2806	r6friiv5 3	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via the municipality	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited via the municipality in which I live
2807	r6friiv5 4	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via an asylum seekers' centre	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre
2808	r6friiv5 5	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via a private company	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited via a private company
2809	r6friiv5 6	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by my own workplace/place of study	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study
2810	r6friiv5 7	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by close friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited by close friends

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2811	r6friiv5_8	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited by acquaintances
2812	r6friiv5_9	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by other persons	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Was invited by other persons
2813	r6friiv5_..	How did you become a volunteer: Took the initiative myself	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Took the initiative myself
2814	r6friiv5_..	How did you become a volunteer: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other, specify:
2815	r6friiv5_..	How did you become a volunteer: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-85	0	0	Other, specify:
2816	r6friiv6_1	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Through a voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Through a voluntary organisation
2817	r6friiv6_2	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio
2818	r6friiv6_3	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By e-mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	By e-mail
2819	r6friiv6_4	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On Facebook	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	On Facebook
2820	r6friiv6_5	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	On other social media (e.g. Twitter)
2821	r6friiv6_6	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other websites	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	On other websites
2822	r6friiv6_7	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By SMS text	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	By SMS text
2823	r6friiv6_8	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By telephone	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	By telephone
2824	r6friiv6_9	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By face-to-face contact	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	By face-to-face contact
2825	r6friiv6_..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other places; specify:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2826	r6friiv6_.	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places [anonymized]	discrete	character-117	0	0	Other places; specify:
2827	r6frivb_1	Statement: I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work
2828	r6frivb_2	Statement: Always want to engage in voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I always want to engage in voluntary work
2829	r6frivb_3	Statement: Time flies when I am doing voluntary work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Time flies when I am doing voluntary work
2830	r6frivb_4	Statement: I feel forced to participate more than I want to	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I feel forced to participate more than I want to
2831	r6frivb_5	Statement: Doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things
2832	r6frivb_6	Statement: My involvement is not appreciated	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I feel that my involvement is not appreciated
2833	r6ran4	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1242	15769	-
2834	r6km62a	Thoughts when you hear/read the word antibiotics?	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the word antibiotics?
2835	r6km62b	Thoughts when you hear/read the words antibiotics-resistant bacteria?	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the words antibiotics-resistant bacteria?
2836	r6km236	Concern about climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How concerned are you about climate change?
2837	r6km9_1	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
2838	r6km9_2	Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
2839	r6km63	Climate change, statement closest to your views	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which of the following statements comes closest to your views regarding the reasons for climate change:
2840	r6km64	Climate change, positive/negative for Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How positive or negative do you think climate change will be for Norway?
2841	r6km21_1	Feelings when it comes to climate change: Hope	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Hope
2842	r6km21_2	Feelings when it comes to climate change: Sadness	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Sadness
2843	r6km21_3	Feelings when it comes to climate change: Fear	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Fear
2844	r6km21_4	Feelings when it comes to climate change: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Anger
2845	r6km21_5	Feelings when it comes to climate change: Guilt	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Guilt
2846	r6km65	For or against: Norway being part of Paris Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Are you for or against Norway being part of this agreement?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2847	rankm66	[Randomizes if u=2. A randomization variable that determines whether the answerlist in R6KM66 is displayed in order or in reversed order.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1242	15769	-
2848	r6km66	Attitude: Development in the petroleum industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which of the following alternatives is closest to your view?
2849	r6km66_o..	Thoughts about Norwegian oil and gas industry	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you would like to share some completely anonymous thoughts about the Norwegian oil and gas industry, you are welcome to do so here:
2850	r6ran5_1	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1237	15774	-
2851	r6ran5_2	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1237	15774	-
2852	r6ran5_3	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1237	15774	-
2853	r6ran5_4	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1237	15774	-
2854	r6km67_1	Positive/negative about: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the number of quotas available to industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the number of quotas available to industry.
2855	r6km67_2	Positive/negative about: Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Europe	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe.
2856	r6km67_3	Positive/negative about: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.
2857	r6km67_4	Positive/negative about: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground, such as the "moon landing" project at Mongstad	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground, such as the so-called "moon landing" project at Mongstad.
2858	r6km67_5	Positive/negative about: Increase funding for renewable energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy
2859	r6km67_6	Positive/negative about: Increase funding for renewable energy, even though this means less money for other purposes	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy, even if this means that less money is available for other important purposes.
2860	r6km67_7	Positive/negative about: Increased government support for geothermal energy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Increased government support for developing geothermal energy where one drills down to the geothermal energy under the Earth's surface.
2861	r6km67_8	Positive/negative about: Attempt to change weather and climate	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Attempt to change the weather and climate by, for example, sending up sulphur particles to block the sunlight. This measure would probably reduce the temperature on Earth for a certain time, but would not help with problems such as ocean acidification

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2862	r6km67_9	Positive/negative about: Attempt to change weather and climate, artificial volcano	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6km67_9 question details
2863	r6km68	Heard about this proposal to let part of the world's coal, oil and gas stay in the ground/under the seabed?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Have you heard about this proposal to let part of the world's coal, oil and gas stay in the ground or under the seabed?
2864	r6km69	Good/bad decision that the Oil Fund should sell its investments in companies involved in coal	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	As you see it, was this a good or a bad decision?
2865	r6trans1	Thoughts when you read/hear the words 'transgender persons'?	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you read or hear the words transgender person?
2866	r6ran15	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1228	15783	-
2867	r6trans2a	Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?
2868	r6trans2b	Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?
2869	r6trans2c	Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply (Norway included)	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?
2870	r6trans2d	Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply (Norway included) + changing the rules in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?
2871	r6ran16	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1225	15786	-
2872	r6trans3a	Agree/disagree: Should introduce the possibility of putting "A" in passport	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce the possibility of putting "A" (for annet - other) as a third gender alternative in the passport, in addition to "M" (man) and "K" (kvinne - woman)?
2873	r6trans3b	Agree/disagree: Should introduce the possibility of putting "A" in passport, working to secure a change	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce the possibility of putting "A" (for annet - other) as a third gender alternative in the passport, in addition to "M" (man) and "K" (kvinne - woman)?
2874	r6trans3c	Agree/disagree: Should introduce the possibility of putting "A" in passport, working to secure a change, number of countries have introduced it	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce the possibility of putting "A" (for annet - other) as a third gender alternative in the passport, in addition to "M" (man) and "K" (kvinne - woman)?
2875	r6ran17	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1222	15789	-
2876	r6leg1a	Agree/disagree: Risk of persecution because of sexual orientation should be a basis for a residence permit	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that the risk of persecution because of sexual orientation or gender identity should be a basis for a residence permit in Norway?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2877	r6leg1b	Agree/disagree: Risk of persecution because of sexual orientation should be a basis for a residence permit, accordance with current Norwegian law	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that the risk of persecution because of sexual orientation or gender identity should be a basis for a residence permit in Norway?
2878	r6leg1c	Agree/disagree: Risk of persecution because of sexual orientation should be a basis for a residence permit, accordance with current Norwegian law + similiar laws in other European countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that the risk of persecution because of sexual orientation or gender identity should be a basis for a residence permit in Norway?
2879	r6leg2_1	Statement: Should prioritise asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/transgender	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Norway should prioritise accepting asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/bisexual/transgender because they are particularly vulnerable to maltreatment and discrimination in refugee camps in their neighbouring countries.
2880	r6leg2_2	Statement: Should be possible to send asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/transgender if they can avoid persecution and discrimination by hiding their orientation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	It should be possible to send asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/bisexual/transgender back to their home countries if they can avoid persecution and discrimination there by hiding their orientation.
2881	r6leg2_3	Statement: Those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left are telling the truth	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	There is reason to believe that most of those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
2882	r6ran18	[Randomizes if u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1222	15789	-
2883	r6dv73a	Opinion of: Right of reservation from abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What is your opinion of this?
2884	r6dv73b	Opinion of: Right of reservation from abortion, anti-abortion-activists wants to make it hard for women to obtain legal abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What is your opinion of this?
2885	r6dv73c	Opinion of: Right of reservation from abortion, campaigners for a strong right of reservation concerned about doctors being compelled to act counter to their religious/ethical convictions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What is your opinion of this?
2886	r6ran6	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1163	15848	-
2887	r6pad1a	Likelihood of taking vaccine	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How likely is it that you would take the vaccine in such a situation?
2888	r6pad1b	Likelihood of taking vaccine, part of the population not vaccinated and is dependent on most of the population taking it	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How likely is it that you would take the vaccine in such a situation?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2889	r6pad1c	Likelihood of taking vaccine, your own body stimulates rapid mobilisation against disease by taking the vaccine	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How likely is it that you would take the vaccine in such a situation?
2890	r6ran7	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1163	15848	-
2891	r6pad2a_1	Statement: Interested to read about issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.
2892	r6pad2a_2	Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.
2893	r6pad2b_1	Statement: Interested to read about issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.
2894	r6pad2b_2	Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.
2895	r6pad2c_1	Statement: Interested to read about issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.
2896	r6pad2c_2	Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.
2897	r6pad2d_1	Statement: Interested to read about issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.
2898	r6pad2d_2	Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.
2899	r6pad3a_1	Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.
2900	r6pad3a_2	Statement: Trusts the journalists' work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the journalists' work in general.
2901	r6pad3a_3	Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.
2902	r6pad3a_4	Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.
2903	r6pad3a_5	Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.
2904	r6pad3b_1	Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.
2905	r6pad3b_2	Statement: Trusts the journalists' work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the journalists' work in general.
2906	r6pad3b_3	Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.
2907	r6pad3b_4	Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2908	r6pad3b_5	Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.
2909	r6pad3c_1	Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.
2910	r6pad3c_2	Statement: Trusts the journalists' work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the journalists' work in general.
2911	r6pad3c_3	Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.
2912	r6pad3c_4	Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.
2913	r6pad3c_5	Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.
2914	r6pad3d_1	Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.
2915	r6pad3d_2	Statement: Trusts the journalists' work	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the journalists' work in general.
2916	r6pad3d_3	Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.
2917	r6pad3d_4	Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.
2918	r6pad3d_5	Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.
2919	r6pad8a_..	Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8A is asked first, second, or third.	discrete	numeric-3.0	1159	15852	-
2920	r6pad8b_..	Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8B is asked first, second, or third.	discrete	numeric-3.0	1159	15852	-
2921	r6pad8c_..	Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8C is asked first, second, or third.	discrete	numeric-3.0	1159	15852	-
2922	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: age	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-
2923	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		representative 1 in r6pad8a: gender					
2924	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: region	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-
2925	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: relationship	discrete	numeric-9.0	1159	15852	-
2926	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: education	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-
2927	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: religion	discrete	numeric-10.0	1159	15852	-
2928	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: work	discrete	numeric-28.0	1159	15852	-
2929	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: age	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-
2930	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: gender	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-
2931	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: region	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-
2932	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: relationship	discrete	numeric-9.0	1159	15852	-
2933	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: education	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-
2934	r6pad8a_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: religion	discrete	numeric-10.0	1159	15852	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2935	r6pad8a ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: work	discrete	numeric-28.0	1159	15852	-
2936	r6pad8b ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8b: stance 1	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2937	r6pad8b ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8b: stance 2	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2938	r6pad8b ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8b: stance 3	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2939	r6pad8b ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8b: stance 1	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2940	r6pad8b ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8b: stance 2	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2941	r6pad8b ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8b: stance 3	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2942	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: age	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-
2943	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: gender	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-
2944	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: region	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-
2945	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: relationship	discrete	numeric-9.0	1159	15852	-
2946	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		representative 1 in r6pad8c: education					
2947	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: religion	discrete	numeric-10.0	1159	15852	-
2948	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: work	discrete	numeric-28.0	1159	15852	-
2949	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: stance 1	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2950	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: stance 2	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2951	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: stance 3	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2952	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: age	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-
2953	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: gender	discrete	numeric-6.0	1159	15852	-
2954	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: region	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-
2955	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: relationship	discrete	numeric-9.0	1159	15852	-
2956	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: education	discrete	numeric-13.0	1159	15852	-
2957	r6pad8c_..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: religion	discrete	numeric-10.0	1159	15852	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2958	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: work	discrete	numeric-28.0	1159	15852	-
2959	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: stance 1	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2960	r6pad8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: stance 2	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2961	r6pac8c ..	Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: stance 3	discrete	numeric-23.0	1159	15852	-
2962	r6pad8a	Choice of hypothetical political representatives with demographic characteristics.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6pad8a question details
2963	r6pad8b	Choice of hypothetical political representatives with political characteristics.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6pad8b question details
2964	r6pad8c	Choice of hypothetical political representatives with demographic and political characteristics.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6pad8c question details
2965	r6ran11	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1152	15859	-
2966	r6pad9a	Agree/disagree: Accept more Syrian refugees	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees?
2967	r6pad9b	Agree/disagree: Accept more Syrian refugees than what has been agreed	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next two years than has been agreed?
2968	r6ran12	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1152	15859	-
2969	r6pad10a	Reasoning for answer about Syrian refugees [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you write the reason for your answer about Syrian refugees?
2970	r6pad11	To what extent do you have a strong opinion on this question?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent would you say that you have a strong opinion on this question?
2971	r6pad12	How good is your knowledge about the refugee question?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How good do you feel that your knowledge about the refugee question is?
2972	r6ran32	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1150	15861	-
2973	r6pad13a	How many of Norway's citizens: Agrees that the country should accept more Syrian refugees	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If you were to guess, how many of Norway's citizens do you think would agree that the country should accept more Syrian refugees?
2974	r6pad13b	How many of the people you know: Agrees that the	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	If you were to guess, how many of the people you know do you think would

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		country should accept more Syrian refugees					agree that the country should accept more Syrian refugees?
2975	r6pad10b	Reasoning for answer about Syrian refugees [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you write the reason for your answer about Syrian refugees?
2976	r6pad14	Opinion of: Municipalities that have entered into contracts with companies that provide and maintain for example bus shelters in return for the companies being allowed to advertise	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What is your opinion of this?
2977	r6ran9	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1147	15864	-
2978	r6pad4a	Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: How much do you want to give to a random person?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?
2979	r6pad4a_..	Drawn out to win cash prize. Wish/doesn't wish to go to decision 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	-
2980	r6pad4a1_1	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2981	r6pad4a1_2	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2982	r6pad4a1_3	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2983	r6pad4a1_4	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2984	r6pad4a1_5	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2985	r6pad4b	Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?
2986	r6pad4b_..	Drawn out to win cash prize. Wish/doesn't wish to go to decision 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	-
2987	r6pad4b1_1	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2988	r6pad4b1_2	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2989	r6pad4b1_3	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2990	r6pad4b1_4	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2991	r6pad4b1_5	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2992	r6pad4c	Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?
2993	r6pad4c1_1	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2994	r6pad4c1_2	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2995	r6pad4c1_3	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2996	r6pad4c1_4	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2997	r6pad4c1_5	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
2998	r6pad4d	Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?
2999	r6pad4d1_1	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
3000	r6pad4d1_2	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
3001	r6pad4d1_3	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
3002	r6pad4d1_4	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
3003	r6pad4d1_5	Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:	discrete	numeric-12.3	4859	12152	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
3004	r6ran10	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1145	15866	-
3005	r6pad5a1	Take a decision	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Please take a decision.
3006	r6pad5b1	Before the next question, take a decision	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Before you go on to the next question, please take a decision.
3007	r6pad6_b..	R6PAD6 background variable: age	discrete	numeric-1.0	2317	14694	-
3008	r6pad6_b..	R6PAD6 background variable: gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2317	14694	-
3009	r6pad6_b..	R6PAD6 background variable: region	discrete	numeric-1.0	2317	14694	-
3010	r6pad6_b..	R6PAD6 background variable: education	discrete	numeric-1.0	2317	14694	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3011	r6pad6_b_.	R6PAD6 background variable: wage	discrete	numeric-1.0	2317	14694	-
3012	r6pad6_b_.	R6PAD6 background variable: choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	2317	14694	-
3013	r6pad6	To what extent is this choice acceptable?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you think that this choice is acceptable?
3014	r6pad7	Reasoning for your answer about how acceptable this choice was [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you give a reason for your answer about how acceptable this choice was?
3015	r6dv26	How should the government tighten up on various social security schemes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	There are three main ways of tightening up and we ask you to put a cross against the alternative that you think the government should choose:
3016	r65dv5	How great an advantage/disadvantage that immigrants come here?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
3017	r6dv6	How important is immigration policy to you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
3018	r6dv7	Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
3019	r6dv7_10_.	Party with the best immigration policy, other	discrete	character-13	0	0	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
3020	r6dv29_1	Statement: Begging should be prohibited	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Begging should be prohibited in Norway
3021	r6dv29_2	Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country
3022	r6dv29_3	Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here
3023	r6ran19	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1254	15757	-
3024	r6dv60a	Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: Formal apology	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the party's reaction?
3025	r6dv60b	Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: A fine of 1 000 kr	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the party's reaction?
3026	r6dv60c	Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: A fine of 100 000 kr	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the party's reaction?
3027	r6dv60d	Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: Exclusion from the party for life	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the party's reaction?
3028	r6dv61_1	Feeling about the party's reaction: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Anger
3029	r6dv61_2	Feeling about the party's reaction: Frustration	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Frustration
3030	r6dv61_3	Feeling about the party's reaction: Enthusiasm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Enthusiasm
3031	r6ran20	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	15761	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3032	r6dv63a	Reasonable that No to the EU receives support	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?
3033	r6dv63a_...	Yes, reasonable that No to the EU receives the following amount yearly:	discrete	character-110	0	0	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:
3034	r6dv63b	Reasonable that HRS receives support	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?
3035	r6dv63b_...	Yes, reasonable that HRS receives the following amount yearly:	discrete	character-100	0	0	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:
3036	r6dv63c	Reasonable that SIAN receives support	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?
3037	r6dv63c_...	Yes, reasonable that SIAN receives the following amount yearly:	discrete	character-20	0	0	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:
3038	r6dv63d	Reasonable that PEGIDA Norway receives support	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?
3039	r6dv63d_...	Yes, reasonable that PEGIDA Norway receives the following amount yearly:	discrete	character-50	0	0	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:
3040	r6dv63e	Reasonable that The Norwegian Resistance Movement receives support	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?
3041	r6dv63e_...	Yes, reasonable that The Norwegian Resistance Movement receives the following amount yearly:	discrete	character-15	0	0	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:
3042	r6lb1b	Thoughts when you read/hear the words 'asylum seeker'?	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you read or hear the words asylum seeker?
3043	r6dv64	Does it worry you that last year, more asylum seekers came than anticipated?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Is this something that worries you?
3044	r6dv65	Norwegian authorities' handling of the asylum situation	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How do you feel that the Norwegian authorities have handled the asylum situation so far?
3045	r6dv66	Do you have an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you have an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?
3046	r6dv67aja	Opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What is your opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?
3047	r6dv67anej	Opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What is your opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?
3048	r6dv67b	How strong are your views about this?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How strong are your views about this?
3049	r6dv68ja_1	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Followed matters on TV, radio etc.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Followed matters concerning the asylum seekers' centre on TV, radio, newspapers etc.
3050	r6dv68ja_2	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by:	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Followed discussions about the asylum seekers' centre in social media, such as Facebook

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Followed discussions in social media					
3051	r6dv68ja_3	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Attended information meetings	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Attended information meetings about the asylum seekers' centre
3052	r6dv68ja_4	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar
3053	r6dv68ja_5	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar
3054	r6dv68ja_6	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
3055	r6dv68ja_7	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
3056	r6dv68ja_8	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in protests to stop it being set up	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Participated in protests to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up
3057	r6dv68ja_9	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative to protest to stop it being set up	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Taken the initiative to protest to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up
3058	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers
3059	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers
3060	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Said hello to some of the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers
3061	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Become friends with some of the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Become friends with some of the asylum seekers
3062	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by:	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre					
3063	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers as far as possible
3064	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Tolerated the establishment	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Tolerated the establishment of the asylum seekers' centre
3065	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other:
3066	r6dv68ja..	Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other:
3067	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Followed matters on TV, radio etc.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Followed matters concerning the asylum seekers' centre on TV, radio, newspapers etc.
3068	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Followed discussions in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Followed discussions about the asylum seekers' centre in social media, such as Facebook
3069	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Attended information meetings	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Attended information meetings about the asylum seekers' centre
3070	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar
3071	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar
3072	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
3073	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
3074	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in protests to stop it being set up	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Participated in protests to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up
3075	r6dv68ne..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Taken the initiative to protest to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		by: Taken the initiative to protest to stop it being set up					
3076	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers
3077	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers
3078	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Said hello to some of the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers
3079	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Become friends with some of the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Become friends with some of the asylum seekers
3080	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre
3081	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers as far as possible
3082	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Tolerated the establishment	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Tolerated the establishment of the asylum seekers' centre
3083	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Other:
3084	r6dv68ne_..	Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other:
3085	r6dv69	Thoughts about getting asylum seekers in your neighbourhood [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you would like to share some completely anonymous thoughts about getting asylum seekers in your neighbourhood, you are welcome to do so here:
3086	r6ran21	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1238	15773	-
3087	r6dv12aa_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are asylum seekers: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3088	r6dv12aa_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are asylum seekers: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3089	r6dv12ab_1	Personal view, to what extent are asylum seekers: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3090	r6dv12ab_2	Personal view, to what extent are asylum seekers: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3091	r6dv12ba_1	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Contempt	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Contempt
3092	r6dv12ba_2	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Disgust	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Disgust
3093	r6dv12ba_3	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Admiration	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Admiration
3094	r6dv12ba_4	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Pride	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Pride
3095	r6dv12ba_5	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Feel sorry for	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Feel sorry for
3096	r6dv12ba_6	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Sympathy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Sympathy
3097	r6dv12ba_7	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Envy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Envy
3098	r6dv12ba_8	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Jealousy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Jealousy
3099	r6dv12ba_9	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Anger
3100	r6dv12ba_.	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Fear	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Fear
3101	r6dv12ba_.	People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Worry	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Worry
3102	r6dv12bb_1	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Contempt	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Contempt
3103	r6dv12bb_2	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Disgust	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Disgust
3104	r6dv12bb_3	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Admiration	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Admiration
3105	r6dv12bb_4	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Pride	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Pride
3106	r6dv12bb_5	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Feel sorry for	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Feel sorry for
3107	r6dv12bb_6	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Sympathy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Sympathy

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3108	r6dv12bb_7	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Envy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Envy
3109	r6dv12bb_8	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Jealousy	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Jealousy
3110	r6dv12bb_9	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Anger
3111	r6dv12bb_.	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Fear	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Fear
3112	r6dv12bb_.	Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Worry	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Worry
3113	r6ran22	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1235	15776	-
3114	r6dv13a_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are refugees: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3115	r6dv13a_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are refugees: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3116	r6dv13b_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Roma people: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3117	r6dv13b_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Roma people: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3118	r6dv13c_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Muslims: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3119	r6dv13c_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Muslims: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3120	r6dv13d_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are the followers of the Prohpet's Ummah: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3121	r6dv13d_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are the followers of the Prohpet's Ummah: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3122	r6ran23	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1230	15781	-
3123	r6dv14a_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Liberal Party: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3124	r6dv14a_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Liberal Party: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3125	r6dv14b_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Progress Party: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3126	r6dv14b_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Progress Party: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3127	r6dv14c_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of HRS: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3128	r6dv14c_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of HRS: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3129	r6dv14d_1	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
3130	r6dv14d_2	Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
3131	r6ran24	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1228	15783	-
3132	r6dv70a	Reaction other political parties, politician found guilty of expressing hate against colleague: Formal apology	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?
3133	r6dv70b	Reaction other political parties, politician found guilty of expressing hate against colleague: Exclusion from party for life	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?
3134	r6dv70c	Reaction other political parties, politician expressing hate against colleague: Formal apology	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?
3135	r6dv70d	Reaction other political parties, politician expressing hate against colleague: Exclusion from party for life	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?
3136	r6dv71_1	Feelings about demand: Enthusiasm	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Enthusiasm
3137	r6dv71_2	Feelings about demand: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Anger
3138	r6dv71_3	Feelings about demand: Frustration	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Frustration
3139	r6ran25	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1224	15787	-
3140	r6dv72a	Agree/disagree: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Stricter rules for asylum are needed in order to secure women's safety in Norwegian society.
3141	r6dv72b	Agree/disagree, statement HRS: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?
3142	r6dv72c	Agree/disagree, statement PEGIDA: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3143	r6dv72d	Agree/disagree, statement The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?
3144	r6dv72e	Agree/disagree, statement Progress Party : Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?
3145	r6ran26	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1224	15787	-
3146	r6dv25a	Agree/disagree: Immigrants are entitled to have their traditions respected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected.
3147	r6dv25b	Agree/disagree: Immigrants are entitled to have their traditions protected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected.
3148	r6dv25c	Agree/disagree: Muslims are entitled to have their traditions respected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Muslims in Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected.
3149	r6dv25d	Agree/disagree: Muslims are entitled to have their traditions protected	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Muslims in Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected.
3150	r6ran27	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1224	15787	-
3151	r6dv52a	Should asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
3152	r6dv52b	Should asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and participated in local communities be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6dv52b question details
3153	r6dv52c	Should Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
3154	r6dv52d	Should Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and participated in local communities be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	r6dv52d question details
3155	r6dv53a	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?
3156	r6dv53b	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3157	r6ran28	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1223	15788	-
3158	r6dv54a	Confidence/lack of confidence: Muslims when they say they wish to be part of Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?
3159	r6dv54b	Confidence/lack of confidence: Muslim leaders when they say they wish to be part of Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in Muslim leaders when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?
3160	r6dv54c	Confidence/lack of confidence: Muslim fundamentalists when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in Muslim fundamentalists when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?
3161	r6dv54d	Confidence/lack of confidence: Moderate Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in moderate Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?
3162	r6ran29	[Randomizes if u=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1223	15788	-
3163	r6dv43a	Agree/disagree: Prophet's Ummah rent local meeting room	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Prophet's Ummah should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
3164	r6dv43b	Agree/disagree: SIAN rent local meeting room	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree that SIAN should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
3165	r6dv43c	Agree/disagree: Muslim congregation rent local meeting room	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Muslim congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
3166	r6dv43d	Agree/disagree: Free Church congregation rent local meeting room	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Free Church congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
3167	r6k33	What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
3168	r6k33_9_...	What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-146	0	0	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days. Other
3169	r6k34_1	What industry do you work in: Public central administration	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3170	r6k34_2	What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3171	r6k34_3	What industry do you work in: Health services	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3172	r6k34_4	What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3173	r6k34_5	What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3174	r6k34_6	What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3175	r6k34_7	What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3176	r6k34_8	What industry do you work in: Industry/technology	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3177	r6k34_9	What industry do you work in: Building/construction	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3178	r6k34_10	What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3179	r6k34_11	What industry do you work in: Transport/communications	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3180	r6k34_12	What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3181	r6k34_13	What industry do you work in: Media/advertising	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3182	r6k34_14	What industry do you work in: Research/analysis	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3183	r6k34_15	What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3184	r6k34_16	What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3185	r6k34_17	What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3186	r6k34_18	What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3187	r6k34_19	What industry do you work in: Commercial services	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3188	r6k34_20	What industry do you work in: Oil/gas	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3189	r6k34_21	What industry do you work in: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3190	r6k34_21..	What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-60	0	0	What industry do you (your company) work in? Other
3191	r6k35	Best description of the area you live in	discrete	numeric-1.0	4859	12152	Which description best fits the area you live in?
3192	r6k26	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	What is your highest level of completed education?
3193	r6P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	-
3194	r6P2	Region	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	-
3195	R6P3	County	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	-
3196	r6P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	-
3197	r6P4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3198	r6P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	-
3199	r6P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	4859	12152	-
3200	r6Weight_1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.2	4859	12152	-
3201	r6Weight_2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.2	4859	12152	-
3202	r7u	Respondent sub-group	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	-
3203	r7recrui..	Recruitment wave	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	-
3204	r7browse..	Browertype used by respondent	discrete	character-16	4689	0	-
3205	r7browse..	Browser version used by respondent	discrete	character-4	4689	0	-
3206	r7mobile	Determines if the respondents uses mobile	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	-
3207	r7device..	Screen resolution of the applied device	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-
3208	r7device	Determines respondents device type.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	-
3209	r7advanc..	Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.	discrete	character-5	4689	0	-
3210	r7ktilfr..	Satisfied with: Life at the moment	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Life at the moment?
3211	r7ktilfr..	Satisfied with: Your personal economy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Your personal economy?
3212	r7ktilfr..	Satisfied with: The government in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The present government in Norway?
3213	r7ktilfr..	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The way in which democracy works in Norway?
3214	r7k10	Perception of the economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
3215	r7k204	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
3216	r7k204_1..	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
3217	r7k6_1	Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Christian Democrats
3218	r7k6_2	Like/dislike: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Conservative Party
3219	r7k6_3	Like/dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Progress Party

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3220	r7k6_4	Like/dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Liberal Party
3221	r7k6_5	Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Socialist Left Party
3222	r7k6_6	Like/dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Centre Party
3223	r7k6_7	Like/dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Green Party
3224	r7k6_8	Like/dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Labour Party
3225	r7k6_9	Like/dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Red Party
3226	r7k9_1	[1] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
3227	r7k9_2	[2] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
3228	r7k9_3	[3] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-55	0	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
3229	r7ocrecs..	R7OCRECSM_mobil	discrete	numeric-12.0	1199	15812	-
3230	r7ran20	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1199	15812	-
3231	r7ocrecs..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3232	r7ocrecs..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3233	r7ocrecs..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3234	r7ocrecs..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3235	r7ocrecs..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3236	r7ocrecs..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3237	r7ocrecs..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3238	r7ocrecs..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3239	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3240	r7ocreces..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3241	r7ocreces..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3242	r7ocreces..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3243	r7ocreces..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3244	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3245	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3246	r7ocreces..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3247	r7ocreces..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3248	r7ocreces..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3249	r7ocreces..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3250	r7ocreces..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3251	r7ocreces..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3252	r7ocreces..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3253	r7ocreces..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3254	r7ocreces..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3255	r7ocreces..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3256	r7ocreces..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3257	r7ocreces..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3258	r7ocreces..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3259	r7ocreces..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3260	r7ocreces..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3261	r7mi1	Personal thoughts when your hear the word "Muslim"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are your personal thoughts when you hear the word "Muslim"?
3262	r7ran1	[Randomizes if u!=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	3490	13521	-
3263	r7km1a	Thoughts when you hear/read the words "oil and gas"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the words "oil and gas"?
3264	r7km1b	Thoughts when you hear/read the word "energy"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the word "energy"?
3265	r7km1c	Thoughts when you hear/read the word "reorganisation"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the word "reorganisation"?
3266	r7dv30_1	Statement: The tax burden should be reduced	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
3267	r7dv30_2	Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Commercial private schools should be allowed.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3268	r7dv30_3	Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
3269	r7dv30_4	Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.
3270	r7ran40	[All respondents randomized.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1199	15812	-
3271	r7dvh_1	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.
3272	r7dvh_2	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
3273	r7dvh_3	Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.
3274	r7dvh_4	Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.
3275	r7ran2	[All respondents randomized.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4681	12330	-
3276	r7km13_1	Should put a greater stake into reducing emission in Norway, abroad or both	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Should the authorities put a greater stake into reducing emissions in Norway or abroad, or the same amount for both?
3277	r7km13_2	Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	“Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad”
3278	r7elite_1	Statement: We agree on which actions that needs to be completed, but little is happening because of the authorities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	We are in agreement regarding most of the actions that need to be completed in this country, but very little is happening because the authorities are standing in the way.
3279	r7elite_2	Statement: Politicians maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Most of the professional politicians in Norway maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country.
3280	r7elite_3	Statement: Many important decisions made by small group without ordinary people's knowledge	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In this country, many important decisions are made by a small group of people without the ordinary people being told.
3281	r7elite_4	Statement: Difference between politicians same as between ordinary people	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The differences amongst politicians are the same as between ordinary people.
3282	r7ran5	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1195	15816	-
3283	r7mi4_1	Do leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What is your opinion?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3284	r7mi4_2	Do Muslim leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What is your opinion?
3285	r7pad10_1	Statement: Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents should be able to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway should have the right to remain in the country if the parents are deported.
3286	r7pad10_2	Statement: If an employer supplies you with a phone, the employer should have the right to read text-messages/e-mails on the phone	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If an employer supplies you with a mobile phone for use in connection with your employment, the employer should have the right to read all the text messages or e-mails you send and receive with this phone.
3287	r7pad10_3	Statement: Not possible to bring neighbour disputes to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	It should not be possible to bring neighbour disputes (like the height of trees and fences) to court, without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
3288	r7k15_1	Can most people be trusted?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
3289	r7pad14_..	Randomly selects a version of the conjunction-experiment. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	12352	-
3290	r7pad14_..	The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	12352	-
3291	r7pad14_..	Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with endorsements, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	12352	-
3292	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3293	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3294	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3295	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3296	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source for article 5. Background	discrete	numeric-8.0	3083	13928	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.					
3297	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen source for article 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	3083	13928	-
3298	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen endorsement for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2285	14726	-
3299	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen endorsement for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2285	14726	-
3300	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen endorsement for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2285	14726	-
3301	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen endorsement for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2285	14726	-
3302	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen endorsement for article 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1514	15497	-
3303	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen endorsement for article 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1514	15497	-
3304	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen headline for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	-	-	-
3305	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen headline for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3306	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen headline for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3307	r7pad14 ...	Randomly chosen headline for article 4.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.					
3308	r7pad14 ..	Randomly chosen headline for article 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	3083	13928	-
3309	r7pad14 ..	Randomly chosen headline for article 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	3083	13928	-
3310	r7selexp ..	The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	12352	-
3311	r7selexp ..	Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with sources, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	12352	-
3312	r7selexp ..	Randomly decides whether the article headlines should appear with party and valence, or any combination of the two. Background variable conjunction experiment R7selexp2	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	12352	-
3313	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2320	14691	-
3314	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2320	14691	-
3315	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2320	14691	-
3316	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	2320	14691	-
3317	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen headline for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3318	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen headline for article 2. Background	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.					
3319	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen headline for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3320	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen headline for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4659	12352	-
3321	r7selexp ..	The order of which r7pad14 and r7selexp is asked. The order is randomized.	discrete	numeric-8.0	4647	12364	-
3322	r7pad14 ...	Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3323	r7pad14 ...	Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3324	r7pad14 ...	Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3325	r7pad14 ...	Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3326	r7pad14 ...	Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3327	r7pad14 ...	Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3328	r7pad14 ...	Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3329	r7pad14 ...	Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		ones to read. Conjunction experiment.					chosen articles. See background variables]
3330	r7pad14_...	Article 5. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3331	r7pad14_...	Article 6. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3332	r7selexp_..	Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3333	r7selexp_..	Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3334	r7selexp_..	Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3335	r7selexp_..	Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
3336	r7Error_...	36 respondents experienced an error in experiment r7pad14 and r7selexp2. The error stopped them from progressing in the questionnaire.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	-
3337	r7pad6_a_..	Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3338	r7pad6_g_..	Characteristic for profile 1: Gender. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3339	r7pad6_l_..	Characteristic for profile 1: Location. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3340	r7pad6_m_..	Characteristic for profile 1: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3341	r7pad6_e..	Characteristic for profile 1: Education. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3342	r7pad6_r..	Characteristic for profile 1: Religion. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3343	r7pad6_w..	Characteristic for profile 1: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3344	r7pad6_a..	Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3345	r7pad6_g..	Characteristic for profile 2: Gender. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3346	r7pad6_l..	Characteristic for profile 2: Location. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3347	r7pad6_m..	Characteristic for profile 2: Marital status. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3348	r7pad6_e..	Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3349	r7pad6_r..	Characteristic for profile 2: Religion. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3350	r7pad6_w..	Characteristic for profile 2: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3351	r7pad7_a..	Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3352	r7pad7_g..	Characteristic for profile 1: Gender. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3353	r7pad7_l..	Characteristic for profile 1: Location. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3354	r7pad7_m..	Characteristic for profile 1: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3355	r7pad7_e..	Characteristic for profile 1: Education. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3356	r7pad7_r..	Characteristic for profile 1: Religion. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3357	r7pad7_w..	Characteristic for profile 1: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3358	r7pad7_a..	Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3359	r7pad7_g..	Characteristic for profile 2: Gender. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3360	r7pad7_l..	Characteristic for profile 2: Location. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3361	r7pad7_m..	Characteristic for profile 2: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3362	r7pad7_e..	Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3363	r7pad7_r..	Characteristic for profile 2: Religion. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3364	r7pad7_w..	Characteristic for profile 2: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3365	r7pad8_a..	Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3366	r7pad8_g..	Characteristic for profile 1: Gender. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3367	r7pad8_l..	Characteristic for profile 1: Location. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3368	r7pad8_m..	Characteristic for profile 1: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3369	r7pad8_e..	Characteristic for profile 1: Education. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3370	r7pad8_r..	Characteristic for profile 1: Religion. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3371	r7pad8_w..	Characteristic for profile 1: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3372	r7pad8_a..	Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3373	r7pad8_g..	Characteristic for profile 2: Gender. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3374	r7pad8_l..	Characteristic for profile 2: Location. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3375	r7pad8_m..	Characteristic for profile 2: Marital status. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3376	r7pad8_e..	Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3377	r7pad8_r..	Characteristic for profile 2: Religion. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3378	r7pad8_w..	Characteristic for profile 2: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	15919	-
3379	r7ran34	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1092	15919	-
3380	r7pad6a	Attitudes related to background: Persons, "The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	"The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
3381	r7pad6b	Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	"The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
3382	r7pad7a	Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	"Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
3383	r7pad7b	Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	"Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
3384	r7pad8a	Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
3385	r7pad8b	Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
3386	r7fri1_1	Volunteering: Art and culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
3387	r7fri1_2	Volunteering: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
3388	r7fri1_3	Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
3389	r7fri1_4	Volunteering: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	r7fri1_4 question details
3390	r7fri1_5	Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
3391	r7fri1_6	Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
3392	r7fri1_7	Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
3393	r7fri1_8	Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
3394	r7fri1_9	Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
3395	r7fri1_10	Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
3396	r7fri1_11	Volunteering: Political parties	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Political parties [Youth political parties]
3397	r7fri1_12	Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]
3398	r7fri1_13	Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3399	r7fri1_14	Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
3400	r7fri1_15	Volunteering: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
3401	r7fri1_16	Volunteering: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Other, specify
3402	r7fri1_1..	Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]	discrete	character-34	0	0	Other, specify
3403	r7fri1_17	No, not volunteering	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	No
3404	r7fri2	How much time spent volunteering during a week, last year	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?
3405	r7fri1b_1	Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Health	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Health
3406	r7fri1b_2	Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Nursing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Nursing
3407	r7fri1b_3	Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Emergency/Rescue work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Emergency/Rescue work
3408	r7fri4_1	Knowledge of emergency work in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How good is your knowledge of emergency work in Norway?
3409	r7fri4_2	Knowledge of voluntary emergency organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How good is your knowledge of the voluntary emergency organisations?
3410	r7fri4c_1	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: NAK air services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	NAK air services
3411	r7fri4c_2	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian rescue dogs	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norwegian rescue dogs
3412	r7fri4c_3	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services)
3413	r7fri4c_4	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Speleological Society	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norwegian Speleological Society
3414	r7fri4c_5	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Radio Relay League	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norwegian Radio Relay League (amateur radio organisation)
3415	r7fri4c_6	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Rovers response group	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (The Norwegian Guide and Scout Association - Rovers response group)
3416	r7fri4c_7	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Red Cross	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Norwegian Red Cross

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3417	r7fri4c_8	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue
3418	r7fri4d	Member of an organisation which takes part in emergency response work in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Are you a member of an organisation which takes part in the emergency response work in Norway?
3419	r7fri4e	Participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Have you participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action?
3420	r7fri4f	Has assisted the police/ voluntary organisation as a volunteer during an accident	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Have you as a volunteer assisted the police or a voluntary organisation during an accident?
3421	r7ran38	[Randomizes if u=1 and R7FRI4d=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1004	16007	-
3422	r7fri4g	Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation? (Members of emergency organisations undergoes courses and must be ready at a moments notice).	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation?
3423	r7fri4g1	If no, why not? [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	0	0	If no, why not?
3424	r7fri4h	Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?
3425	r7fri4h1	If no, why not? [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	If no, why not?
3426	r7fri4i	Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by the police	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you were asked by the police to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
3427	r7fri4j	Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you were asked by a voluntary organisation to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
3428	r7fri4k	Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by family/someone you know	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you were asked by your family or someone you know to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
3429	r7fri4l_1	Statement: Voluntary organisation's role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The voluntary organisations' role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media.
3430	r7fri4l_2	Statement: Important to my participation in rescue work that I personally know the leader/members	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If I am to participate as a volunteer in rescue work it is important to me that I know the leader or other members of the organisation on a personal basis.
3431	r7fri4l_3	Statement: Emergency response work public responsibility limited to public institutions	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Emergency response work should be the responsibility of the public authorities and limited to public institutions.
3432	r7fri4l_4	Statement: Voluntary organisations should have an	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Voluntary organisations should have an important role in emergency response work in Norway.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		important role in emergency response work					
3433	r7fri4l_5	Statement: Essential for my participation as a volunteer that employer provides paid leave	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If I should participate in voluntary rescue work it is essential that the employer provides paid leave during assignments.
3434	r7fri11	How good is your health?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Generally speaking, how good is your health?
3435	r7fri12	Do you suffer from health problems expected to last for at least six months?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems that are expected to last for at least six months or more?
3436	r7fri13	Has, due to health problems, been prevented from undertaking usual activities during the last six months	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	During the last six months have you been prevented due to health problems from undertaking any activities that people usually carry out?
3437	r7fri32	Extent of satisfaction with life at the moment	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?
3438	r7fri33	To what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
3439	r7fri36_1	How satisfied with: Your standard of living	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Your standard of living
3440	r7fri36_2	How satisfied with: Your health	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Your health
3441	r7fri36_3	How satisfied with: What you are achieving in life	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What you are achieving in life
3442	r7fri36_4	How satisfied with: Personal relationships	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Your personal relationships
3443	r7fri36_5	How satisfied with: Feeling secure	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How secure you feel
3444	r7fri36_6	How satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community
3445	r7fri36_7	How satisfied with: The prospects for security in the future	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The prospects for security in the future
3446	r7fri36_8	How satisfied with: The amount of time to do things you like doing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing
3447	r7fri36_9	How satisfied with: Quality of nature and the environment in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area
3448	r7fri36_10	How satisfied with: Your job	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Your job
3449	r7friend	Amount of friends	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Approximately how many friends do you have at the moment?
3450	r7fmet_1	Are there any people you know personally who: Could help you find a job	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?
3451	r7fmet_2	Are there any people you know personally who: Could lend you a large amount of money	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3452	r7fmet_3	Are there any people you know personally who: Have a high position in business/ public/politics	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?
3453	r7fmet_4	No such people among those I know personally	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	There are no such people among the people I know personally
3454	r7fri5	How often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, how often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?
3455	r7fri5b	Has been travelling continuously at least 3 weeks during the last year	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Have you been travelling continuously for at least 3 weeks during the last year?
3456	r7fri5c_1	Length of longest lasting travel last year	continuous	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How long was the period of your longest lasting travel during the last year?
3457	r7fri6	Time spent on hobbies and recreational activities a normal week	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How much time do you spend on hobbies and recreational activities during a normal week?
3458	r7fri7_1	Assists: Relatives not living with you, neighbours/friends/colleagues	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Relatives not living with you, neighbours, friends or colleagues (for example giving lifts, shopping, gardening, tidying and cleaning, supervision and attention, care or any other type of assistance).
3459	r7fri7_2	Assists: Someone in your home with special care requirements	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Someone in your household that have special care requirements (for example assistance due to age, illness or disabilities).
3460	r7fri7_3	Assists: No	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	No
3461	r7fri7_4	Assists: Don't know	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Don't know
3462	r7fri7b_1	Provides type of assistance: Visits	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Visits, contact
3463	r7fri7b_2	Provides type of assistance: Supervision	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Supervision or attention (for example, looking after children, regular telephone calls, other types of attention)
3464	r7fri7b_3	Provides type of assistance: Personal care	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Personal care (for example hygiene, assistance with medicines, mobility)
3465	r7fri7b_4	Provides type of assistance: Housework	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Housework (for example, washing, cooking, shopping for food)
3466	r7fri7b_5	Provides type of assistance: Administrative	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Administrative tasks (for example contact authorities, health care or banking)
3467	r7fri7b_6	Provides type of assistance: Transport	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Transport (for example giving lifts in your car, collect/deliver to kindergarten/ to school)
3468	r7fri7b_7	Provides type of assistance: Gardening and the like	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Gardening, repair work in the home and the like.
3469	r7fri7b_8	Provides type of assistance: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Other
3470	r7fri7b_9	Provides type of assistance: Don't know	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Don't know
3471	r7fri7c	Total time spent assisting during a normal week	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How much time in total do you spend doing this during a normal week?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3472	r7fri7d	Who do you assist the most?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Who do you assist the most?
3473	r7fr31_1	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Satisfactorily	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Satisfactorily
3474	r7fr31_2	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Rewarding	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Rewarding
3475	r7fr31_3	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Exciting	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Exciting
3476	r7fr31_4	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Important	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Important
3477	r7fr31_5	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Fun	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Fun
3478	r7fr31_6	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Challenging	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Challenging
3479	r7fr31_7	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Disappointing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Disappointing
3480	r7fr31_8	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Emotionally exhausting	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Emotionally exhausting
3481	r7fr31_9	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Physically tiring	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Physically tiring
3482	r7fr31_10	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Frustrating	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Frustrating
3483	r7fr31_11	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Depressing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Depressing
3484	r7fr31_12	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Time consuming	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Time consuming
3485	r7fr31_13	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Easy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Easy
3486	r7fri14	Have you participated in any protests the past year to improve society?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Have you participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year in an attempt to bring about improvements in society?
3487	r7fri15	Who organised the most recent protest you participated in?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you cast your mind back to the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in, who was it organised by?
3488	r7fri16	What type of organisation/ union/party was it?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What type of organisation, union or party was it?
3489	r7fri16_..	What type of organisation/ union/party was it? Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-8	0	0	What type of organisation, union or party was it?
3490	r7fri17	How did you first hear about this protest?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How did you first hear about this protest?
3491	r7fri18	The way you first heard about this protest	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In what way did you first hear about this protest?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3492	r7km2	Concern about climate change	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How concerned are you about climate change?
3493	r7km3_2	Positive/negative: Tighten up regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Tighten up the regulations governing the permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe.
3494	r7km3_3	Positive/negative: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed/ underground	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.
3495	r7km3_4	Positive/negative: Increased funding for renewable energy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy
3496	r7km3_5	Positive/negative: Tighten up fiscal regulations to oil exploration on Norwegian Continental Shelf	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
3497	r7km4	Discussion about cars, alternative closest to your view	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Which of the following alternatives is closest to your view?
3498	r7km4_co..	Further comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:
3499	r7km5_1	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
3500	r7km5_2	Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
3501	r7km6	To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change, statement closest to your viewpoint	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint:
3502	r7km7_1	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Housing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4022	12989	Housing
3503	r7km7_2	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Roads	discrete	numeric-12.0	3838	13173	Roads
3504	r7km7_3	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Food production	discrete	numeric-12.0	4253	12758	Food production
3505	r7km7_4	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Railway/Underground/ Tramway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4031	12980	Railway/Underground/Tramway etc.
3506	r7km7_5	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Commercial activity	discrete	numeric-12.0	3919	13092	Commercial activity
3507	r7km7_6	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas	discrete	numeric-12.0	4103	12908	Public buildings: School, sports etc.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		for food production next ten years: Public buildings					
3508	r7km8	Would install solar panels if authorities cover half the cost	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you install solar panels/solar collectors if you could receive a grant from the authorities to cover half the cost?
3509	r7km8_co..	Further comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-55	0	0	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:
3510	r7ran3	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1182	15829	-
3511	r7pilotk ..	Balance between using nature and desire not to harm it	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Considering the current situation in Norway, how do you feel the balance is today?
3512	r7pilotk ..	How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.
3513	r7pilotk ..	How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.
3514	r7pilotk ..	Further comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
3515	r7ran4	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1182	15829	-
3516	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
3517	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
3518	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument, then proponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
3519	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument, then opponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
3520	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
3521	r7pilotk ..	Comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
3522	r7km10_1	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Sinusitis	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Sinusitis

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3523	r7km10_2	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Bronchitis	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Bronchitis
3524	r7km10_3	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: The common cold	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The common cold
3525	r7km10_4	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Streptococci	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Throat infection (streptococci)
3526	r7km10_5	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Influenza	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Influenza
3527	r7km10_6	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Ear infection	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Ear infection
3528	r7km10_c..	Comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-55	0	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
3529	r7km12_1	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms last longer than expected	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	That the symptoms last longer than I expect
3530	r7km12_2	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms more severe than expected	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	That the symptoms are much more severe than I expect
3531	r7km12_3	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Need a doctor's certificate	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	That I need a doctor's certificate
3532	r7km12_4	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Encouraged by others	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	That others (family, friends) encourage me to visit the doctor
3533	r7km12_5	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Others I know with similar symptoms were helped	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	That others I know with similar symptoms were helped by the doctor
3534	r7km12_6	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Other, note
3535	r7km12_6..	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	Other, note
3536	r7km12_c..	Comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
3537	r7dvm1	Agree/disagree: A rejected adult asylum seeker should be allowed residence if the children are entitled to live in the country	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3538	r7ran11	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	631	16380	-
3539	r7dvm2a	Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, Austrian Constitutional Court	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
3540	r7dvm2b	Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, US Federal Court	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
3541	r7dvm2c	Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
3542	r7ran12	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1179	15832	-
3543	r7dv13a	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you know/respect	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3544	r7dv13b	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you don't agree with politically	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3545	r7dv13c	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you know/respect	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3546	r7dv13d	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you don't agree with politically	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3547	r7dv13e	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you know/respect	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3548	r7dv13f	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you don't agree with politically	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3549	r7dv13g	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3550	r7dv13h	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3551	r7dv13i	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you have signed the petition?
3552	r7dv13_2	Probability of such an action succeeding	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How do you assess the probability of such an action succeeding?
3553	r7dv13_3	Has participated in a petition on the internet	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Have you ever participated in a petition on the internet?
3554	r7dv13_3b	What was the last action you participated in about? [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	0	0	What was the last action you participated in about?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3555	r7dv13_3c	What was the action you participated in about? [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	0	0	What was the action you participated in about?
3556	r7ran6	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1178	15833	-
3557	r7mi5_1	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.
3558	r7mi5_2	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, reflect the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
3559	r7mi5_3	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
3560	r7mi5_4	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written in order to place more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.
3561	r7mi5_5	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, reflect the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
3562	r7mi5_6	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
3563	r7dvby1	How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?
3564	r7dvby2	Has your local area become safer/more unsafe/no change last couple of years	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In the last couple of years would you say your local area has become safer, more unsafe or has there been no change to safety?
3565	r7dv5	Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
3566	r7dv6	How important is immigration policy to you?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
3567	r7dv7	Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
3568	r7dv7_10..	Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
3569	r7ran7	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1192	15819	-
3570	r7dvex1_1	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: You disagree with all standpoints	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
3571	r7dvex1_2	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Promotes undemocratic values	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3572	r7dvex1_3	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect democratic ground rules	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
3573	r7dvex1_4	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect Norwegian legislation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
3574	r7dvex1_5	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Used violence to achieve its goals	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
3575	r7dvex1_6	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Responsible for a terrorist attack	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
3576	r7dvby5	How often do you spot persons you believe/know to be asylum seekers during a normal week	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How often do you spot persons you believe or know to be asylum seekers where you go during a normal week?
3577	r7dvby6	Amount of contact with asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Would you say that you have had....
3578	r7dvby7	Contact with asylum seekers has been positive/negative	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what degree have you found the contact you have had to be negative or positive.
3579	r7dvby8	Has asylum seekers' centre in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you have an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
3580	r7dvby8aja	When was the asylum seekers' centre established?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was established?
3581	r7dvby8bjja	Distance from asylum seekers' centre to where you live	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Approximately what distance is it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?
3582	r7dvby8a...	Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?
3583	r7dvby8a...	When was the asylum seekers' centre closed down?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was closed down?
3584	r7dvby8a...	Distance from closed down asylum seekers' centre to where you live	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Approximately what distance was it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?
3585	r7dvby8a...	Opinion about having asylum seekers' centre in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What is your opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
3586	r7dvby8a...	Opinion about getting asylum seekers' centre in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What is your opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
3587	r7dvby9_1	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Said hello	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers.
3588	r7dvby9_2	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Contact via the internet	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Had contact via the internet with asylum seekers (for example Facebook or Finn).

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3589	r7dvby9_3	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become friends with some	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Become friends with some of the asylum seekers.
3590	r7dvby9_4	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Received assistance	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Received assistance from one or more asylum seekers.
3591	r7dvby9_5	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become member of support group	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Become a member of a support group for asylum seekers (for example on the net).
3592	r7dvby9_6	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Expressed scepticism	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Expressed scepticism to asylum seekers to others.
3593	r7dvby9_7	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Avoided as far as possible	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Avoided the asylum seekers as far as possible.
3594	r7dvby9_...	Other experiences [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	Please write:
3595	r7dvby10_1	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Admiration
3596	r7dvby10_2	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Sympathy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Sympathy
3597	r7dvby10_3	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Anxiety	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Anxiety
3598	r7dvby10_4	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Fear	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Fear
3599	r7dvby11_1	To what extent have you imagined what it is like arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent have you thought about or imagined what it is like to arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?
3600	r7padpil...	For/against: EU-membership	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Firstly: Are you for or against?
3601	r7padpil...	R7PADPILOT2_ran	discrete	numeric-12.0	1082	15929	-
3602	r7padpil...	Should the government act according to result of advisory referendum even if majority in Storting disagree?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In such a case the government must act according to the result of the referendum even if the majority in the Storting disagree?
3603	r7dv29_1	Statement: Begging should be prohibited	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
3604	r7dv29_2	Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.
3605	r7dv29_3	Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.
3606	r7dv39	How often do you think/read/talk about something related to 22. July?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	As for you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
3607	r7dvby3_1	Extent of fear of new terrorist attack in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3608	r7dvby4	Danger of terrorist attack become greater/less last couple of years	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your experience, has the danger of a terrorist attack become greater or less during the last couple of years, or has there been no change?
3609	r7pad9_1	Statement: Can in general rely on the Supreme Court taking the right decisions	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	We can in general rely on the Supreme Court of Norway taking the right decisions.
3610	r7pad9_2	Statement: Power of the Supreme Court in controversial cases must be reduced	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The power of the Supreme Court of Norway to decide some types of controversial cases must be reduced.
3611	r7pad9_3	Statement: Supreme Court favours some groups	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The decisions from the Supreme Court of Norway favour some groups more than others.
3612	r7pad9_4	Statement: Abolish Supreme Court if it makes too many decisions most people disagree with	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If the Supreme Court of Norway starts to make too many decisions most people disagree with, it might be an advantage to abolish the court altogether.
3613	r7ran31	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1080	15931	-
3614	r7pad11a	Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3615	r7pad11b	Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3616	r7pad11c	Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3617	r7pad11d	Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3618	r7pad11e	Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3619	r7pad11f	Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3620	r7ran32	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1080	15931	-
3621	r7pad12a	Acceptable: Decision, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3622	r7pad12b	Acceptable: Decision, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3623	r7pad12c	Acceptable: Unanimous, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3624	r7pad12d	Acceptable: Unanimous, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3625	r7pad12e	Acceptable: Bare majority, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3626	r7pad12f	Acceptable: Bare majority, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3627	r7ran33	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1080	15931	-
3628	r7pad13a	Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3629	r7pad13b	Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3630	r7pad13c	Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3631	r7pad13d	Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3632	r7pad13e	Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3633	r7pad13f	Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
3634	r7ran17	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1184	15827	-
3635	r7pad1a	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Britain	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
3636	r7pad1b	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Denmark	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
3637	r7pad1c	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		potential terrorists, suggested in Germany					
3638	r7pad1d	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in the USA	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
3639	r7pad1e	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
3640	r7pad2_1	Done the last year to change society: Boycotted goods	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Boycotted goods.
3641	r7pad2_2	Done the last year to change society: Purchased goods based on ethical/moral reasons	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Purchased specific goods based on ethical, environmental or moral reasons.
3642	r7pad2_3	Done the last year to change society: Purchased Fairtrade products despite cheaper alternatives	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Purchased Fairtrade products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
3643	r7pad2_4	Done the last year to change society: Purchased organic products despite cheaper alternatives	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Chosen organic products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
3644	r7pad2_5	Done the last year to change society: Reduced/cut the amount of meat in diet	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Reduced or cut the amount of meat in your diet.
3645	r7pad2_6	Done the last year to change society: Purchased fewer good than normally	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Purchased fewer goods than you would as a norm.
3646	r7pad2_7	Done the last year to change society: Purchased reusable products instead of new ones	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Purchased reusable products instead of new ones.
3647	r7pad2_8	Done the last year to change society: Participated in protest against brand/shop	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Participated in any protest actions against specific brands or shops.
3648	r7pad2_9	Done the last year to change society: Invested in company with a social profile you support	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Made investments in companies that have a social profile that you support.
3649	r7pad3_1	Statement: Politicians unable to do anything about problems in society, everyone must do their bit	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	As politicians are unable to do anything about the problems in society, everyone must do their bit.
3650	r7pad3_2	Statement: Politicians' responsibility to ensure that our society is sustainable	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	It is the politicians' responsibility, not individuals', to ensure that our society is sustainable.
3651	r7pad3_3	Statement: Conscious decisions in our daily life can alter social development	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	By making conscious decisions in our daily life, it is possible to alter social development.
3652	r7pad3_4	Statement: Naive to believe major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	It is naive to believe that major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3653	r7ran8	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1176	15835	-
3654	r7dvex2_1	Should party be banned: Abolish all production of oil	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
3655	r7dvex2_2	Should party be banned: Abolish free and fair elections	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
3656	r7dvex2_3	Should party be banned: Abolish freedom of the press	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
3657	r7dvex2_4	Should party be banned: Abolish endorsement of the Convention on Human Rights	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
3658	r7dvex2_5	Should party be banned: Abolish the rights of minorities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
3659	r7ran9	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1176	15835	-
3660	r7dvg_1	Statement: Believable that those who state sexual orientation as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	There is reason to believe that most of those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
3661	r7dvg_2	Statement: Believable that the reason to seek asylum is true	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	There is reason to believe that most of those who state the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
3662	r7dvg_3	Statement: Believable that those who state conversion to new religion as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	There is reason to believe that most of those who state conversion to a new religion as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
3663	r7dvg_4	Statement: Believable that the asylum seekers who state that they are minors are telling the truth	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	There is reason to believe that most of those who state that they are minors when seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
3664	r7ran10	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1175	15836	-
3665	r7dvkost1	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
3666	r7dvkost2	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
3667	r7dvkost3	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
3668	r7dvkost4	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Expense	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
3669	r7dvkost5	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Income	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3670	r7dvcost6	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%, expense	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
3671	r7dvcost7	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%, income	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
3672	r7dvinn	How mild/strict should Norway's immigration politics be?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How mild or strict should Norway's immigration politics be?
3673	r7nfc_1	Statement: Prefers complicated rather than easy tasks	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks
3674	r7nfc_2	Statement: Likes tasks where you come up with new solutions	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions.
3675	r7nfc_3	Statement: Would prefer a task that is intellectual rather than one needing little thought	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought.
3676	r7nte_1	Statement: Opinion about most things	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	I have an opinion about most things
3677	r7nte_2	Statement: Important to have a view on whether something is right/wrong	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong
3678	r7k13	How often do you discuss politics with others?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How often would you say that you discuss politics with others?
3679	r7k33	Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
3680	r7k33_9...	Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week? Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-48	0	0	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
3681	r7k34_1	What industry do you work in: Public central administration	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3682	r7k34_2	What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3683	r7k34_3	What industry do you work in: Health services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3684	r7k34_4	What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3685	r7k34_5	What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3686	r7k34_6	What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3687	r7k34_7	What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3688	r7k34_8	What industry do you work in: Industry/technology	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3689	r7k34_9	What industry do you work in: Building/construction	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3690	r7k34_10	What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3691	r7k34_11	What industry do you work in: Transport/communications	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3692	r7k34_12	What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3693	r7k34_13	What industry do you work in: Media/advertising	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3694	r7k34_14	What industry do you work in: Research/analysis	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3695	r7k34_15	What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3696	r7k34_16	What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3697	r7k34_17	What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3698	r7k34_18	What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3699	r7k34_19	What industry do you work in: Commercial services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3700	r7k34_20	What industry do you work in: Oil/gas	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3701	r7k34_21	What industry do you work in: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3702	r7k34_21..	What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-51	0	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
3703	r7k35	Which description best fits the area you live in?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Which description best fits the area you live in?
3704	r7ran24	[All respondents are randomized.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4581	12430	-
3705	r7ran24_1	[Randomizes if ran24=1.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	789	16222	-
3706	ocr7matc1	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
3707	ocr7matc1a	Should Norway allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you think Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?
3708	ocr7matc2	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel,	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		allow large corporations to pollute					
3709	ocr7matc2a	Should Norway allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you think Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?
3710	ocr7matc3	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
3711	ocr7matc3a	Should Norway allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you think Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?
3712	ocr7matc4	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
3713	ocr7matc4a	Should Norway allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Do you think Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?
3714	ocr7matt1	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Muslim countries live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here
3715	ocr7matt2	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Eastern European countries live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here
3716	ocr7matt3	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, immigrants from Muslim countries live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here
3717	ocr7matt4	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, refugees from Muslim countries live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here
3718	r7ran25	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	15933	-
3719	r7ran26	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	15933	-
3720	r7ran27	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	15933	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3721	r7ran28	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	15933	-
3722	r7ran29	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	15933	-
3723	r7ran30	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	15933	-
3724	ocr7mari..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
3725	ocr7mari..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
3726	ocr7mari..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure according to Gazprom/Russian media	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
3727	ocr7mari..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
3728	ocr7mari..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries according to antitrust authorities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
3729	ocr7mari..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
3730	ocr7mari..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
3731	ocr7mari..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents, pointed out by Russian experts	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
3732	ocr7mari..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
3733	ocr7mari..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies, pointed out by US State Department	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
3734	ocr7mari..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovich	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovich?
3735	ocr7mari..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovich: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovich?
3736	ocr7mari..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovich: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West, according to Russian leadership	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovich?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3737	ocr7mari..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
3738	ocr7mari..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective, noted by Norwegian/Western politicians	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
3739	ocr7mari..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
3740	ocr7mari..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
3741	ocr7mari..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies, argued by Russian government	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
3742	ocr7mari..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
3743	ocr7mari..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations, pointed out by NATO officials	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
3744	ocr7mari..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
3745	ocr7mari..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
3746	ocr7mari..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered, suggested by Sputnik	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
3747	ocr7mari..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
3748	ocr7mari..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		light: NRK offered an honest look, pointed out by media experts					
3749	ocr7mari..	How justified is an increase in military spending	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
3750	ocr7mari..	How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
3751	ocr7mari..	How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup, warned by Lavrov	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
3752	ocr7mari..	How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
3753	ocr7mari..	How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region, argued by Norwegian security experts	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
3754	r7random..	randomizedBlockOrder	discrete	character-17	1078	0	-
3755	r7ocreces..	R7OCRECSM_mobile2	discrete	numeric-12.0	1176	15835	-
3756	r7ocreces..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3757	r7ocreces..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3758	r7ocreces..	How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3759	r7ocreces..	How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3760	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3761	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3762	r7ocreces..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3763	r7ocreces..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3764	r7ocreces..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3765	r7ocreces..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3766	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3767	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3768	r7ocreces..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
3769	r7ocreces..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3770	r7ocreces..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
3771	r7ocreces..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3772	r7ocreces..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3773	r7ocreces..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3774	r7ocreces..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3775	r7ocreces..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3776	r7ocreces..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved,	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?					make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3777	r7ocreces ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3778	r7ocreces ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3779	r7ocreces ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3780	r7ocreces ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3781	r7ocreces ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3782	r7ocreces ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3783	r7ocreces ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
3784	r7ocreces ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
3785	r7ocreces ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
3786	r7ran37	[Randomizes if u=1 OR u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2323	14688	-
3787	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Questions in this survey	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?
3788	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.
3789	r7ran18	[Randomizes if u=1.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1149	15862	-
3790	r7pad4a	Contribution to joint kitty: Random person excluded	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
3791	r7pad4b	Contribution to joint kitty: Person who contributes the least excluded	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3792	r7ran19	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1173	15838	-
3793	r7pad5a1_1	Choice between yellow/blue box	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Please take a decision.
3794	r7pad5b1	Justify choice of yellow/blue box [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
3795	r7pad5b2_1	Choice between yellow/blue box	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Please take a decision:
3796	r7pad5c1_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The other person has chosen the yellow box. Please take a decision:
3797	r7pad5d1	Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	The other person has chosen the yellow box. We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
3798	r7pad5d2_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Please take a decision:
3799	r7pad5e1_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The other person has chosen the blue box. Please take a decision:
3800	r7pad5f1	Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	The other person has chosen the blue box. We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
3801	r7pad5f2_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Please take a decision:
3802	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Questions in this survey	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?
3803	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	12322	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.
3804	r7munici ..	Size of municipality	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3805	r7P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3806	r7P2	Region	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3807	r7P3	County	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3808	r7P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3809	r7P4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3810	r7P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3811	r7P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-8.0	4689	12322	-
3812	r7Weight1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	continuous	numeric-8.4	4689	12322	-
3813	r7Weight2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report	continuous	numeric-8.4	4689	12322	-
3814	r8interv ..	Date of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire.	discrete	character-11	8612	0	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3815	r8interv ..	Date of when the respondentn completed the questionnaire.	discrete	character-11	-	-	-
3816	r8u	Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7. New thematical sub-groups are defined in wave 8. These are defined in variable R8v.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4435	12576	-
3817	r8rekrut ..	The wave the respondent was recruited.	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3818	r8frflyk ..	Background variabel for R8FRflykt. Variable equals 1 if respondent is asked r6friiv1_1.	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3819	r8km4_b ..	Background variabel for R8KM4b. Variable equals 1 if respondent is asked r7dvh_1.	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3820	r8km5_fi ..	Background variabel for R8KM5. Variable equals 1 if respondent is asked R7KM5.	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3821	r8browse ..	Browertype used by respondent to complete the questionnaire	discrete	character-16	8612	0	-
3822	r8browse ..	Browser version used by respondent to complete the questionnaire	discrete	character-4	8612	0	-
3823	r8mobil	Determines if the respondents uses smart phone to answer the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3824	r8opplos ..	Screen resolution of the applied device used to complete the questionnaire	discrete	character-8	8612	0	-
3825	r8enhets ..	Determines respondents device type used to complete the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3826	r8advanc ..	Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.	discrete	character-5	8612	0	-
3827	r8v	[The questionnaire is roughly divided into four groups. The respondents only answer questions connected to one of these four groups. This variable randomizes all respondents (new and old) into one of these four tematic groups.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3828	r8k1	Interest in politics	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In general, how interested are you in politics?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3829	r8k204	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
3830	r8k204_1..	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-21	0	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
3831	r8k8_1	Self-placement on political scale	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
3832	r8km1	Thoughts when you hear/read the words "global warming"	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the words "global warming"?
3833	r8k13	How much the political system in Norway allows you to have a say in what the government does	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much would you say the political system in Norway allows people like you to have a say in what the government does?
3834	r8k14	Statement: Sometimes politics is so complicated that I do not understand what it's about	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Sometimes politics is so complicated that people like me do not understand what it's about.
3835	r8k10	Perception of the economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
3836	r8tilfre..	Satisfied with: The government in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?
3837	r8tilfre..	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?
3838	r8k15	Can most people be trusted?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
3839	r8straff	Assertion: Violent crimes should be punished more severely	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Violent crimes should be punished far more severely than at present.
3840	r8vekst	Assertion: Economic growth should be safeguarded through the development of industry, even if it conflicts with environmental interests	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Economic growth should be safeguarded through the development of industry, even if it comes into conflict with environmental interests.
3841	r8storstat	Assertion: Government should contribute to reducing income differences	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.
3842	r8egenin..	Assertion: People should work hard through own efforts without counting on help	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	People should work hard to get ahead through their own efforts without counting on help from others.
3843	r8parlik..	Assertion: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
3844	r8euint	Assertion: European integration through the EU gone too far	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	European integration through the EU has gone too far.
3845	r8innvan..	Assertion: Immigration a threat to Norwegian culture	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Immigration poses a serious threat to Norwegian culture.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3846	r8bekym_..	[Randomly chooses if R8BEKYM_comment should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	-
3847	r8bekym	Concern about climate change	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How concerned are you about climate change?
3848	r8bekym_..	Comments to concern about climate change [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
3849	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Art and culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Art and culture, band and choir
3850	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Athletics and sport
3851	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity
3852	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Education, training and research
3853	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Health, nursing and rescue work
3854	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Social services and substance abuse care
3855	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Nature, environmental and animal protection
3856	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood
3857	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Housing co-operatives and housing associations
3858	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Rights, support and advocacy work
3859	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Political parties	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Political parties
3860	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work
3861	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations
3862	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway
3863	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Immigrant organisations
3864	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other, specify
3865	r8fr1_fr_..	Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	0	0	Other, specify
3866	r8fr1_fr_..	No, not volunteering	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	No
3867	r8fr1_fr_..	[Background variable. Variabel =1 if respondent has	discrete	numeric-12.0	2220	14791	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		carried out volunteer work (R8FR1_friv.)]					
3868	r8frtidaar	Time spent on voluntary work an average week	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much time do you ordinarily spend on voluntary work in an average week?
3869	r8frfriv ..	Has during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Have you during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work?
3870	r8frfriv ..	Has during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work on Sundays	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Have you during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work on Sundays?
3871	r8frfriv ..	How many Sundays you have carried out voluntary work during the last 4 weeks	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	On how many Sundays have you carried out voluntary work during the last 4 weeks?
3872	r8frfriv ..	Hours of voluntary work carried out on an average Sunday during the last 4 weeks	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Over the last 4 weeks, about how many hours of voluntary work have you carried out on an average Sunday?
3873	r8frutsa ..	Statement volunteering: Get involved in initiatives, but seldom in regular meetings	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	I will gladly get involved in specific initiatives started up by the organisation(s), but I am seldom able to participate in regular meetings
3874	r8frutsa ..	Statement volunteering: More content with myself	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	As a volunteer I am more content with myself
3875	r8frutsa ..	Statement volunteering: Feel important	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	I feel important when I am working as a volunteer
3876	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Arts and culture, bands and choirs	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Arts and culture, bands and choirs
3877	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Athletics and sport
3878	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities
3879	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Education, training and research
3880	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Health, care and rescue work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Health, care and rescue work
3881	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Social services and substance abuse	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Social services and substance abuse
3882	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Nature, environment and animal protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Nature, environment and animal protection
3883	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community
3884	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Housing cooperatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Housing cooperatives and housing associations
3885	r8fr1med ..	Member of organisation within: Civil liberties,	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		support work and advocacy work					
3886	r8fr1med..	Member of organisation within: Political parties	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Political parties
3887	r8fr1med..	Member of organisation within: International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work
3888	r8fr1med..	Member of organisation within: Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions
3889	r8fr1med..	Member of organisation within: Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church
3890	r8fr1med..	Member of organisation within: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Immigrant organisations
3891	r8fr1med..	Member of organisation within: [Other]	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other [^f('R8FR1_friv_15_other')^]]
3892	r8frtida..	Time spent participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation the last year	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation?
3893	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Arts and culture, bands and choirs	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Arts and culture, bands and choirs
3894	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Athletics and sport
3895	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities
3896	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Education, training and research
3897	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Health, care and rescue work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Health, care and rescue work
3898	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Social services and substance abuse	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Social services and substance abuse
3899	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Nature, environment and animal protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Nature, environment and animal protection
3900	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community
3901	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Housing cooperatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Housing cooperatives and housing associations
3902	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3903	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Political parties	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Political parties
3904	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work
3905	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions
3906	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church
3907	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Immigrant organisations
3908	r8fr1ver..	Position of trust within: [Other]	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other [^f('R8FR1_friv_15_other')^]
3909	r8frflyk..	Contribution refugee situation: Donation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/ equipment)
3910	r8frflyk..	Contribution refugee situation: Physical contribution	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)
3911	r8frflyk..	Contribution refugee situation: Administrative contribution	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)
3912	r8frflyk..	Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other form of contribution; specify:
3913	r8frflyk..	Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	0	0	Other form of contribution; specify:
3914	r8frflyk..	Contribution refugee situation: No, none of these	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	No, none of these
3915	r8frflyk..	What kind of contribution: Gave money	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Gave money
3916	r8frflyk..	What kind of contribution: Gave clothing/equipment/ food	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Gave clothing/equipment/food
3917	r8frflyk..	What kind of contribution: Gave out clothing/equipment/ food directly to refugees	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees
3918	r8frflyk..	What kind of contribution: Acted as a refugee guide	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Acted as a refugee guide
3919	r8frflyk..	What kind of contribution: Acted as visiting friend/ social contact	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Acted as visiting friend/social contact
3920	r8frflyk..	What kind of contribution: Helped with homework/ lessons	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Helped with homework/lessons
3921	r8frflyk..	What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Organised gatherings

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3922	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching
3923	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Helped with organisation and activities	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)
3924	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Housed refugees at home	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Housed refugees at home
3925	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Administered/coordinated volunteers	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Administered/coordinated volunteers
3926	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Information work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Information work
3927	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Editing/moderating websites	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)
3928	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other, specify:
3929	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	0	0	Other, specify:
3930	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Traditional voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)
3931	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Newly- formed initiative/organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)
3932	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Public body	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)
3933	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Private body	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A private body
3934	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Asylum seekers' centre	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)
3935	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Own initiative/together with friends and family	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Organised work on own initiative/ together with friends and family
3936	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other, specify:
3937	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-21	0	0	Other, specify:
3938	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by an organisation
3939	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:
3940	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group [anonymized]	discrete	character-26	0	0	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3941	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via the municipality	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via the municipality in which I live
3942	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via asylum seekers' centre	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre
3943	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via private company	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via a private company
3944	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by own workplace/place of study	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study
3945	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by close friends	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by close friends
3946	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by acquaintances
3947	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by other persons	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by other persons
3948	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Own initiative	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Took the initiative myself
3949	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other, specify:
3950	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-28	0	0	Other, specify:
3951	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio
3952	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By e-mail	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By e-mail
3953	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On Facebook	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	On Facebook
3954	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On other social media (e. g. Twitter)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	On other social media (e. g. Twitter)
3955	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By SMS	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By SMS text
3956	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By telephone	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By telephone
3957	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By face-to-face contact

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By face-to-face contact					
3958	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other places, specify:
3959	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places [anonymized]	discrete	character-30	0	0	Other places, specify:
3960	r8frfb	How often do you use Facebook?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How often do you use Facebook?
3961	r8frfb_2	Your Facebook network consists mainly of people who	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Does your Facebook network consist mainly of people who...
3962	r8frfb_3	Amount of political content you see on Facebook	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much of the content you see on Facebook is connected to politics?
3963	r8frfb_4	Likes being exposed to political viewpoints/content on Facebook	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much do you like being exposed to political viewpoints and content on Facebook?
3964	r8frfb_5	How often do you disagree with political viewpoints/content you see on Facebook?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How often do you disagree with political viewpoints and content that you see on Facebook?
3965	r8frfb_6	Are discussions about politics on Facebook more/less conflict-ridden than similar discussions elsewhere?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Do you see discussions about society and politics on Facebook as more, similarly or less conflict-ridden than similar discussions elsewhere?
3966	r8frfb_7	To what extent do you follow Facebook groups you mostly disagree with politically?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you follow Facebook pages/groups that you mostly disagree with politically?
3967	r8frfb_8	How often changed technical settings in order to see less posts/block/remove people on Facebook because of political disagreement?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How often have you changed the technical settings in order to see less posts, block or remove people from your friend list on Facebook because they post political content that you disagree with?
3968	r8frfbek ..	[Randomizes if v=1. Randomly chosen text that is appended to R8FRfbeks.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1740	15271	-
3969	r8frfbeks	Degree of trust in facts on Facebook posted by media institution	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Generally thinking, how much trust do you have in the facts you see on Facebook that are posted by [R8FRfbeks_ran]?
3970	r8pad10 ..	[Randomizes if v=1. Randomly chooses between R8PAD10_BN, R8PAD10_JN, R8PAD10_BA and R8PAD10_JA.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2208	14803	-
3971	r8pad10 ..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 0	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Give nothing to participant B (NOK 2000 to A, NOK 0 to B)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3972	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 500	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Give NOK 500 to participant B (NOK 1,500 to A, NOK 500 to B)
3973	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 1000	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Give NOK 1,000 to participant B (NOK 1,000 to A, NOK 1,000 to B)
3974	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 1500	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Give NOK 1,500 to participant B (NOK 500 to A, NOK 1,500 to B)
3975	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 2000	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Give everything to participant B (NOK 0 to A, NOK 2,000 to B)
3976	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 0	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Take nothing from participant A (NOK 2,000 to A, NOK 0 to B)
3977	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 500	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Take NOK 500 from participant A (NOK 1,500 to A, NOK 500 to B)
3978	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 1000	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Take NOK 1,000 from participant A (NOK 1,000 to A, NOK 1,000 to B)
3979	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 1500	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	NOK 1,500 from participant A (NOK 500 to A, NOK 1,500 to B)
3980	r8pad10_..	Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 2000	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Take everything from participant A (NOK 0 to A, NOK 2,000 to B)
3981	r8pad10_ba	Distribution of NOK 2000: I choose to give...	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	I choose to give...
3982	r8pad10_ja	Distribution of NOK 2000: I choose to take...	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	I choose to take...
3983	r8pad1_1	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Christian Democrats
3984	r8pad1_2	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Conservative Party
3985	r8pad1_3	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Progress Party
3986	r8pad1_4	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Liberal Party
3987	r8pad1_5	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Socialist Left Party
3988	r8pad1_6	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Centre Party
3989	r8pad1_7	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Green Party

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3990	r8pad1_8	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Labour Party
3991	r8pad1_9	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Red Party
3992	r8pad1_10	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other:
3993	r8pad1_1..	Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other:
3994	r8km2_co..	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses if R8KM2_comment should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4244	12767	-
3995	r8km2_an..	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomizes the answerlist of R8KM2. 1= not changing -> due to human action. 2= due to human action -> not changing.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4244	12767	-
3996	r8km2	Which statement best describes your view on climate change?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your view on climate change?
3997	r8km2_co..	Comments on your view on climate change [anonymized]	discrete	character-55	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
3998	r8km3_ran	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses R8KM3_a or R8KM3_b to ask the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2128	14883	-
3999	r8km3_co..	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses if R8KM3_comment should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2128	14883	-
4000	r8km3_a	Party with the best climate policy	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Which party has the best climate policy?
4001	r8km3_a...	Party with the best climate policy, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-24	0	0	Which party has the best climate policy?
4002	r8km3_b	Party with the best environmental policy	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Which party has the best environmental policy?
4003	r8km3_b...	Party with the best environmental policy, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-8	0	0	Which party has the best environmental policy?
4004	r8km3_co..	Comments to party with the best climate/environmental politticy [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
4005	r8km4_ran	[Randomizes if v=2 og R8KM4_b_filter=0. Randomly chooses R8KM4_a or R8KM4_b to ask the respondent. Randomizes only if the respondent has not answered	discrete	numeric-12.0	1282	15729	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		on a similar question in previous waves.]					
4006	r8km4_a	Statement closest to your view: Should/should not be drilling for oil/gas off Lofoten/Vesterålen	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Which statement comes closest to your view:
4007	r8km4_b	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree/disagree with this?
4008	r8km5_1	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
4009	r8km5_2	Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
4010	r8km7_ran	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses R8KM7_a or R8KM7_b to ask the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2122	14889	-
4011	r8km7_a_1	Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Public transport instead of private cars	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Utilising public transport instead of private cars
4012	r8km7_a_2	Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Reducing holiday travel by plane	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Reducing holiday travel by plane
4013	r8km7_a_3	Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Eating less meat	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Eating less meat
4014	r8km7_a_4	Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying fewer goods	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Buying fewer goods than before
4015	r8km7_a_5	Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying energy efficient appliances	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Buying energy efficient appliances (e.g. white goods, heaters) despite there being cheaper alternatives
4016	r8km7_a_6	Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying things that will last a long time/can be reused	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Buying things that will last a long time/ can be reused
4017	r8km7_a_7	Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Saving energy	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Saving energy (e.g. turning down the heating, turning off lights in rooms not in use)
4018	r8km7_b_1	Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Public transport instead of private cars	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Utilising public transport instead of private cars

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4019	r8km7_b_2	Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Reducing holiday travel by plane	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Reducing holiday travel by plane
4020	r8km7_b_3	Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Eating less meat	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Eating less meat
4021	r8km7_b_4	Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Buying fewer goods	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Buying fewer goods than before
4022	r8km7_b_5	Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Buying energy efficient appliances	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Buying energy efficient appliances (e.g. white goods, heaters) despite there being cheaper alternatives
4023	r8km7_b_6	Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Buying things that will last a long time/can be reused	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Buying things that will last a long time/ can be reused
4024	r8km7_b_7	Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Saving energy	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Saving energy (e.g. turning down the heating, turning off lights in rooms not in use)
4025	r8km7_co..	Comments to environmental measures [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
4026	r8km8	To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change?
4027	r8km8_co..	Comments to climate change [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
4028	r8km9_co..	[Randomly chooses if R8KM9_comment should be asked to the respondent.]	continuous	numeric-12.0	2112	14899	-
4029	r8km9	How great is the diversity in opinion on climate change that emerges in the media?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In your opinion, how great is the diversity in opinion on climate change that emerges in the media?
4030	r8km9_co..	Comments to diversity in opinion on climate change [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
4031	r8km10_ran	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses if R8KM10_a, R8KM10_b, R8KM10_c or R8KM10_d should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2110	14901	-
4032	r8km10_a	Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions, critics argue courts should not decide	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What do you think about this:
4033	r8km10_b	Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What do you think about this:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions + excerpts from law, critics argue courts should not decide					
4034	r8kam10_c	Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions, critics argue courts should not decide because of power sharing	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What do you think about this:
4035	r8kam10_d	Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions, critics argue courts should not decide, similar proceedings in other countries	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What do you think about this:
4036	r8kam10_c..	Comments to lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
4037	r8skam1	Did you follow the television series SKAM?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Did you follow the television series SKAM?
4038	r8skam2_1	Usually followed SKAM by: Watched on TV when episodes are sent on Friday nights	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Watched the episodes on TV when they are sent on Friday nights
4039	r8skam2_2	Usually followed SKAM by: Streamed several episodes consecutively from nrk.no	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Streamed several episodes consecutively from nrk.no
4040	r8skam2_3	Usually followed SKAM by: Visited official website	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Visited the official website (skam.p3.no)
4041	r8skam2_4	Usually followed SKAM by: Visited Instagram	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Visited Instagram
4042	r8skam2_5	Usually followed SKAM by: Used mobile phone to watch episode/parts of episode	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Used a mobile phone to watch episodes or parts of an episode
4043	r8skam2_6	Usually followed SKAM by: Read comments on official website	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Read comments on the official website (skam.p3.no)
4044	r8skam2_7	Usually followed SKAM by: Read discussions on other websites/social media	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Read discussions about SKAM on other websites or in social media
4045	r8skam2_8	Usually followed SKAM by: Written comments about SKAM on official website	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Written comments about SKAM on the official website (skam.p3.no)
4046	r8skam2_9	Usually followed SKAM by: Written comments about SKAM on other websites/ social media	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Written comments about SKAM on other websites or in social media
4047	r8skam3	How often do you check the official SKAM website in the middle of a season?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How often do you check the official SKAM website when it is in the middle of a season?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4048	r8skam4_1	Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Facebook	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Facebook
4049	r8skam4_2	Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Tumblr	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Tumblr
4050	r8skam4_3	Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Reddit	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Reddit
4051	r8skam4_4	Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Twitter	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Twitter
4052	r8skam4_5	Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other
4053	r8skam4_..	Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-6	0	0	Annet
4054	r8skam4_6	Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: None	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	None
4055	r8pad7	Referendum on the merging of municipalities has been held in your municipality the last couple of years	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Firstly, has a referendum on the merging of municipalities been held in your municipality during the last couple of years?
4056	r8pad8	Supporter/opponent of your municipality merging with other municipalities	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How strong a supporter or opponent are you of the municipality you live in merging with one or more other municipalities?
4057	r8pad9_ran	[Randomizes if v=3. Randomly chooses if R8PAD9A_1 or R8PAD9A_2 should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2108	14903	-
4058	r8pad9a_1	Referendum merging of municipalities, acceptable decision: Narrow majority "No", citizen surveys majority "Yes", merger	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Regardless of your view on the merging of municipalities; to what extent do you think the municipal council's decision in this case would be acceptable?
4059	r8pad9a_2	Referendum merging of municipalities, acceptable decision: Narrow majority "Yes", citizen surveys majority "Yes", not merger	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Regardless of your view on the merging of municipalities; to what extent do you think the municipal council's decision in this case would be acceptable?
4060	r8pad2_o_..	[The order of different thematic characteristics was presented for the respondent.]	discrete	character-35	2107	0	-
4061	r8pad2_c_..	[Comment section. Characteristic 1 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4062	r8pad2_c_..	[Comment section. Characteristic 1 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4063	r8pad2_e..	[Number of ethics violations. Characteristic 2 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4064	r8pad2_e..	[Number of ethics violations. Characteristic 2 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4065	r8pad2_s..	[Publication platform. Characteristic 3 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4066	r8pad2_s..	[Publication platform. Characteristic 3 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4067	r8pad2_r..	[Readership. Characteristic 4 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4068	r8pad2_r..	[Readership. Characteristic 4 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4069	r8pad2_e..	[Publication established. Characteristic 5 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4070	r8pad2_e..	[Publication established. Characteristic 5 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4071	r8pad2_e..	[Share of entertainment news. Characteristic 6 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4072	r8pad2_e..	[Share of entertainment news. Characteristic 6 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4073	r8pad2_a..	[Advertisement. Characteristic 7 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4074	r8pad2_a..	[Advertisement. Characteristic 7 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4075	r8pad2_p..	[The editor/owner supports political party. Characteristic 8 of news source 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4076	r8pad2_p..	[The editor/owner supports political party. Characteristic 8 of news source 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2107	14904	-
4077	r8pad2	Which source is the most reliable? [Conjoint experiment]	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Let's say you didn't have more information than the above. If you had a choice, which of these two do you think would be the most reliable source to report the news in a complete, accurate and fair manner?
4078	r8pad3_ran	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Chooses randomly if the respondent should be asked version 1 (about the person), version 2 (about the cases) or 3 (both).]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4204	12807	-
4079	r8pad3_o..	[The order of different thematic characteristics was presented for the respondent.]	discrete	character-45	4142	0	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4080	r8pad3_p..	[Gender. Characteristic 1 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4081	r8pad3_p..	[Gender. Characteristic 1 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4082	r8pad3_p..	[Language skills. Characteristic 2 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4083	r8pad3_p..	[Language skills. Characteristic 2 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4084	r8pad3_p..	[Knowledge. Characteristic 3 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4085	r8pad3_p..	[Knowledge. Characteristic 3 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4086	r8pad3_p..	[Online popularity. Characteristic 4 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4087	r8pad3_p..	[Online popularity. Characteristic 4 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4088	r8pad3_p..	[Religion. Characteristic 5 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4089	r8pad3_p..	[Religion. Characteristic 5 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4090	r8pad3_p..	[Relationship. Characteristic 6 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4091	r8pad3_p..	[Relationship. Characteristic 6 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4092	r8pad3_p..	[Party affiliation. Characteristic 7 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4093	r8pad3_p..	[Party affiliation. Characteristic 7 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2821	14190	-
4094	r8pad3_c..	[Subject discussed. Characteristic 8 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2749	14262	-
4095	r8pad3_c..	[Subject discussed. Characteristic 8 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2749	14262	-
4096	r8pad3_c..	[Journalistic angle. Characteristic 9 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2749	14262	-
4097	r8pad3_c..	[Journalistic angle. Characteristic 9 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2749	14262	-
4098	r8pad3_c..	[Party mentioned. Characteristic 10 about hypothetical person 1.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2749	14262	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4099	r8pad3_c..	[Party mentioned. Characteristic 10 about hypothetical person 2.]	discrete	numeric-33.0	2749	14262	-
4100	r8pad3_q..	[Randomly determines the formulation of the question from a group of two]	discrete	numeric-33.0	4204	12807	-
4101	r8pad3a	Experiment on the use of social media: 7 characteristics about the persons who share a news item.	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	[r8pad3_qtext]
4102	r8pad3b	Experiment on the use of social media: 3 characteristics about the news item that is shared.	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	[r8pad3_qtext]
4103	r8pad3c	Experiment on the use of social media: 10 characteristics about the person and news item that is shared.	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	[r8pad3_qtext]
4104	r8pad6_t..	[Background variable. Chooses a text that contains/ lacks information about election turnout, size of majority and result. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for more information.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4179	12832	-
4105	r8pad6_ran	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses if R8PAD6_1, R8PAD6_2 or R8PAD6_3 should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4179	12832	-
4106	r8pad6_r..	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses the order of the questions in the experiment.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4179	12832	-
4107	r8pad6_p..	Choice to take in refugees next year	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In such a case, what do you think that the choice should be?
4108	r8pad6_1..	Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement? Explanation [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	Before you indicate your choice on the next page (Yes or No), can you briefly explain why you made your choice?
4109	r8pad6_1..	Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In such a case, should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
4110	r8pad6_1..	Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In such a case, should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
4111	r8pad6_1..	Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow	discrete	character-54	0	0	Can you briefly explain why you made the decision you did?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement? Explanation [anonymized]					
4112	r8pad6_p..	Choice to change the county structure in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In such a case, what do you think you should choose?
4113	r8pad6_2..	Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	[R8PAD6_treatment] Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
4114	r8pad6_2..	Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
4115	r8pad6_2..	Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
4116	r8pad6_2..	Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	[R8PAD6_treatment] Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
4117	r8pad6_p..	State obliged to offer new public services, choice between private or public sector	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In such a case, what do you think you should choose?
4118	r8pad6_3..	Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What is the most right thing to do in this situation: Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
4119	r8pad6_3..	Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
4120	r8pad6_3..	Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
4121	r8pad6_3..	Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What is the most right thing to do in this situation: Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
4122	r8konsp_1	How likely is statement: Many important things happen in the world, which the public is never informed about	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	... many very important things happen in the world, which the public is never informed about.
4123	r8konsp_2	How likely is statement: Politicians do not tell us	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	... politicians usually do not tell us the true motives for their decisions.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		the true motives for their decisions					
4124	r8konsp_3	How likely is statement: Government agencies closely monitor all citizens	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	... government agencies closely monitor all citizens.
4125	r8konsp_4	How likely is statement: Events which seem to lack a connection often result of secret activities	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	... events which superficially seem to lack a connection are often the result of secret activities.
4126	r8konsp_5	How likely is statement: There are secret organizations that influence political decisions	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	... there are secret organizations that greatly influence political decisions.
4127	r8konsp6_1	Statement: Muslims participate in organized, religiously based lies to hide plan for societal takeover	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Muslims participate in organized, religiously based lies to hide a plan for societal takeover.
4128	r8konsp6_2	Statement: American politics controlled by Israel	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	American politics is controlled by Israel.
4129	r8konsp6_3	Statement: Princess Diana was killed by the British intelligence service	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Princess Diana was killed by the British intelligence service.
4130	r8konsp_..	Who have you voted for at the last national elections?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Who have you voted for at the last national elections?
4131	r8konsp_..	Who have you voted for at the last national elections? Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-17	0	0	Who have you voted for at the last national elections?
4132	r8pad4_ran	[Randomiserer hvis v=3. Velger tilfeldig mellom R8PAD4_1A, R8PAD4_1B, R8PAD4_2A og R8PAD4_2B.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2067	14944	-
4133	r8pad4_1a	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, five alternatives	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
4134	r8pad4_1b	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, five alternatives, "Do not know" added	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
4135	r8pad4_2a	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, four alternatives, "Somewhat satisfied" removed	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
4136	r8pad4_2b	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, five alternatives, "Do not know" added + "Somewhat satisfied" removed	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
4137	r8baerg_..	Tilfeldig om Russland eller Danmark brukes i spørsmålsteksten.	discrete	numeric-12.0	2066	14945	-
4138	r8baerg_..	Navn på turist i spørsmålsteksten. Følger randomiseringen i R8Baerg_background1.	discrete	numeric-12.0	2066	14945	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4139	r8baerg_..	Tilfeldig valg av prosentandel. Følger randomiseringen i R8Baerg_background1.	discrete	numeric-12.0	2066	14945	-
4140	r8baerg_..	Valg av valutal. Følger randomiseringen i R8Baerg_background1.	discrete	numeric-12.0	2066	14945	-
4141	r8baerg_..	Tilfeldig hvilken sum som brukes i spørsmålsteksten.	discrete	numeric-12.0	2066	14945	-
4142	r8baerg1	Support/oppose policies that would lead to reduction in exchange rates that would encourage tourists such as Fedorov/Nielsen to visit Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	If you were consulted on your opinion, would you support or oppose economic policies that would lead to a reduction in the exchange rate that would encourage more tourists such as [R8Baerg_background1_1] to visit Norway more frequently?
4143	r8baerg2	Support/oppose policies that would lead to reduction in exchange rates to encourage tourists from Russia/Denmark to visit Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	If you were consulted on your opinion, would you support or oppose economic policies that would lead to a reduction in the exchange rate, so as to encourage more tourists from [R8Baerg_background1] to visit Norway ?
4144	r8baerg3	Rank diplomatic relationship between Norway and Russia/Denmark	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	On a scale of 0 to 10, with 0 being the most negative and 10 being the most positive, how would you rank the diplomatic relationship between Norway and [R8Baerg_background1] at the moment?
4145	r8baerg4	Change on loan in foreign currency if the NOK depreciates	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	If the exchange rate of the NOK depreciates against the foreign currency, how does this change the amount of the NOK he or she needs to pay back?
4146	r8pad5_ran	[Background variable. Randomly chooses if R8PAD5a-c should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2053	14958	-
4147	r8pad5_r..	[Background variable. Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8PAD5.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2053	14958	-
4148	r8pad5a_1	Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)
4149	r8pad5a_2	Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)
4150	r8pad5a_3	Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)
4151	r8pad5a_4	Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)
4152	r8pad5a_5	Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4153	r8pad5a_6	Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)
4154	r8pad5b_1	Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)
4155	r8pad5b_2	Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)
4156	r8pad5b_3	Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)
4157	r8pad5b_4	Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)
4158	r8pad5b_5	Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)
4159	r8pad5b_6	Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)
4160	r8pad5c_1	Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)
4161	r8pad5c_2	Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)
4162	r8pad5c_3	Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)
4163	r8pad5c_4	Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)
4164	r8pad5c_5	Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)
4165	r8pad5c_6	Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)
4166	r8pid_1	Feels affinity for: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Labour Party
4167	r8pid_2	Feels affinity for: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Conservative Party
4168	r8pid_3	Feels affinity for: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Progress Party
4169	r8pid_4	Feels affinity for: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Centre Party

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4170	r8pid_5	Feels affinity for: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Christian Democrats
4171	r8pid_6	Feels affinity for: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Liberal Party
4172	r8pid_7	Feels affinity for: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Socialist Left Party
4173	r8pid_8	Feels affinity for: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Green Party
4174	r8pid_9	Feels affinity for: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The Red Party
4175	r8pid_10	Feels affinity for: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other:
4176	r8pid_10..	Feels affinity for: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-25	0	0	Other:
4177	r8pid_11	Feels affinity for: No political party	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	No, I do not feel a sense of belonging to any of the political parties
4178	r8mi1_ran	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI1_1 or R8MI1_2 should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2137	14874	-
4179	r8mi1_1	How many of four suggestions do you support?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How many of these proposals do you support?
4180	r8mi1_2	How many of five suggestions do you support?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How many of these proposals do you support?
4181	r8dvby1	How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?
4182	r8mi2_ran	[Randomiserer hvis v=4. Velger tilfeldig mellom R8MI2_a, R8MI2_b, R8MI2_c og R8MI2_d.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2131	14880	-
4183	r8mi2_a	Agree/disagree: Best for region that only people with the same ethnic/religious backgrounds participate in committee	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that only people who share the same ethnic and religious backgrounds participate in the committee?
4184	r8mi2_b	Agree/disagree: Best for region that only people with a long experience of living in the region participate in committee	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that only people with a long experience of living in the region participate in the committee?
4185	r8mi2_c	Agree/disagree: Best for region that people with different ethnic/religious backgrounds participate in committee	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that people with different ethnic and religious backgrounds must be in complete agreement about the proposals to the committee?
4186	r8mi2_d	Agree/disagree: Best for region that people with different ethnic/religious backgrounds must be in complete agreement about proposals to committee	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that people with different ethnic and religious backgrounds must be in complete agreement about the proposals to the committee?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4187	r8dv5	Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
4188	r8dv6	How important is immigration policy to you?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
4189	r8dv7	Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
4190	r8dv7_10..	Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-51	0	0	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
4191	r8mi3_ran	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI3_a-R8MI3_1 should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2123	14888	-
4192	r8mi3_a	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters offensive to Christians	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that are offensive to Christians?
4193	r8mi3_b	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters offensive to Jews	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that are offensive to Jews?
4194	r8mi3_c	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters offensive to Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that are offensive to Muslims?
4195	r8mi3_d	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Christians	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Christians?
4196	r8mi3_e	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Jews	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Jews?
4197	r8mi3_f	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Muslims?
4198	r8mi3_g	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters offensive to Christians	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters that are offensive to Christians?
4199	r8mi3_h	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters offensive to Jews	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters that are offensive to Jews?
4200	r8mi3_i	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters offensive to Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters that are offensive to Muslims?
4201	r8mi3_j	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Christians	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Christians?
4202	r8mi3_k	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Jews	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Jews?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4203	r8mi3_1	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Muslims?
4204	r8mi3_1	Do most people in Norway feel the same way as you about such contributions?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Do you think that most people in Norway feel the same way as you about such contributions, or do you think that most people have an opposite view?
4205	r8dv29	Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
4206	r8dvh_2	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
4207	r8mi4_ran	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI4_a-R8MI4_f should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2123	14888	-
4208	r8mi4_a	Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim extremists	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim extremists when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4209	r8mi4_b	Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim religious leaders	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim religious leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4210	r8mi4_c	Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim leaders	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4211	r8mi4_d	Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim local politicians	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim local politicians when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4212	r8mi4_e	Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, female Muslim leaders	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much do you trust or mistrust female Muslim leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4213	r8mi4_f	Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4214	r8mi5_ran	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI5_a-f should be asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2123	14888	-
4215	r8mi5_a	Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders demands: More awareness about the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
4216	r8mi5_b	Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders demands: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
4217	r8mi5_c	Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders insists: More awareness about the challenges of becoming	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		full members of Norwegian society					
4218	r8mi5_d	Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders insists: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
4219	r8mi5_e	Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders asking for: More awareness about the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
4220	r8mi5_f	Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders asking for: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
4221	r8dvby9	Are there refugees living in your community?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	As far as you know, are there refugees living in your community?
4222	r8dvby9aja	Feelings about refugees having been living in local community	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How do you feel about refugees having been living in your local community?
4223	r8dvby9a..	Feelings about refugees coming to live in local community	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How do you feel about refugees coming to live in your community?
4224	r8dvby10_1	Feelings about refugees as a group: Admiration	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Admiration
4225	r8dvby10_2	Feelings about refugees as a group: Sympathy	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Sympathy
4226	r8dvby10_3	Feelings about refugees as a group: Anxiety	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Anxiety
4227	r8dvby10_4	Feelings about refugees as a group: Fear	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Fear
4228	r8dv_vbs..	[Randomizes if v=4. The respondents are prepared to situations. This variable randomizes the order of the scenarios.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2118	14893	-
4229	r8dv_vbs..	[Background variable. Persons name in situation 1.]	discrete	numeric-6.0	2118	14893	-
4230	r8dv_vbs..	[Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2118	14893	-
4231	r8dv_vbs..	[Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the first situation of R8DV_VBS experiment.]	discrete	numeric-40.0	2118	14893	-
4232	r8dv_vbs..	[Background variable. Persons name in situation 2.]	discrete	numeric-4.0	2118	14893	-
4233	r8dv_vbs..	[Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are	discrete	numeric-8.0	2118	14893	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment.]					
4234	r8dv_vbs_.	[Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the second situation of R8DV_VBS experiment.]	discrete	numeric-40.0	2118	14893	-
4235	R8DV_VBS_.	Agree/disagree: What Åse/Fatima is experiencing violates human rights/values of the Norwegian welfare state	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree that what [Åse/Fatima] is experiencing violates [international human rights/the values of the Norwegian welfare state]]?
4236	R8DV_VBS_.	Agree/disagree: Should Åse/Fatima receive additional benefits from the state?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree that [Åse/Fatima] should receive additional benefits from the state?
4237	R8DV_VBS_.	Agree/disagree: Kari/Zita is having a hard time at work, does it violate human rights/values of the Norwegian welfare state	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree or disagree that what [Kari/Zita] is experiencing violates [international human rights/the values of the Norwegian welfare state.]]
4238	R8DV_VBS_.	Strictest possible sanctions or a warning against Kari's/Zita's employer?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What is your opinion?
4239	r8mi6_ran	[Randomly chooses if R8MI6_a or R8MI6_b are asked to the respondent.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2115	14896	-
4240	r8mi6_a	Statement: Must insist that Norwegian nationals from Muslim countries let go of their values/traditions	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	If Norway is to remain a peaceful, affluent society, we must insist that Norwegian nationals who have come here from Muslim countries let go of their values and traditions.
4241	r8mi6_b	Statement: Have to respect the values/traditions of Norwegian nationals from Muslim countries	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	If Norway is to remain a peaceful, affluent society we have to respect the values and traditions of Norwegian citizens who have come here from Muslim countries.
4242	r8mi7_1	Statement: Muslims a lot to offer Norwegian culture	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Muslims have a lot to offer Norwegian culture.
4243	r8mi7_2	Statement: Muslim men in Norway dominate women	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Muslim men in Norway dominate women.
4244	r8mi7_3	Statement: Western European and Muslim ways of life incompatible	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Western European and Muslim ways of life are incompatible.
4245	r8mi7_4	Statement: Most Muslims in Norway respect other cultures	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Most Muslims in Norway respect other cultures.
4246	r8mi7_5	Statement: Muslims in Norway raise children in an authoritarian way	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Muslims in Norway raise their children in an authoritarian way.
4247	r8mi8_1	How fitting is description of you: Important for me to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4248	r8mi8_2	How fitting is description of you: Feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	I feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims.
4249	r8mi8_3	How fitting is description of you: Try to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims due to own convictions	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims due to my own convictions.
4250	r8mi8_4	How fitting is description of you: Do not want to appear racist, not even to myself	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
4251	r8dvby3	Extent of fear for new terrorist attack in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?
4252	r8frflyk_..	Contribution refugee situation: Donation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/equipment)
4253	r8frflyk_..	Contribution refugee situation: Physical contribution	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)
4254	r8frflyk_..	Contribution refugee situation: Administrative contribution	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)
4255	r8frflyk_..	Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other form of contribution; specify:
4256	r8frflyk_..	Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	0	0	Other form of contribution, specify:
4257	r8frflyk_..	Contribution refugee situation: No, none of these	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	No, none of these
4258	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Gave money	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Gave money
4259	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Gave clothing/equipment/ food	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Gave clothing/equipment/food
4260	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Gave out clothing/equipment/ food directly to refugees	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees
4261	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Acted as a refugee guide	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Acted as a refugee guide
4262	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Acted as visiting friend/ social contact	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Acted as visiting friend/social contact
4263	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Helped with homework/ lessons	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Helped with homework/lessons
4264	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Organised gatherings
4265	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching
4266	r8frflyk_..	What kind of contribution: Helped with organisation and activities	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4267	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Housed refugees at home	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Housed refugees at home
4268	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Administered/coordinated volunteers	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Administered/coordinated volunteers
4269	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Information work	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Information work
4270	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Editing/moderating websites	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)
4271	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other, specify:
4272	r8frflyk ..	What kind of contribution: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-36	0	0	Other, specify:
4273	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Traditional voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)
4274	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Newly- formed initiative/organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)
4275	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Public body	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)
4276	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Private body	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	A private body
4277	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Asylum seekers' centre	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)
4278	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: On own initiative/together with friends and family	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Organised work on own initiative/ together with friends and family
4279	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other, specify:
4280	r8frflyk ..	Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	0	0	Other, specify:
4281	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by an organisation
4282	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:
4283	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group [anonymized]	discrete	character-31	0	0	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:
4284	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via the municipality	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via the municipality in which I live
4285	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via asylum seeker's centre	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4286	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited via private company	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited via a private company
4287	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by own workplace/place of study	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study
4288	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by close friends	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by close friends
4289	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by acquaintances
4290	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Invited by others	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Was invited by other persons
4291	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Own initiative	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Took the initiative myself
4292	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other, specify:
4293	r8frflyk ..	How did you become a volunteer: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-35	0	0	Other, specify:
4294	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio
4295	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By E-mail	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By e-mail
4296	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On Facebook	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	On Facebook
4297	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On other social media (e.g. Twitter)	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	On other social media (e.g. Twitter)
4298	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By SMS	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By SMS text
4299	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By telephone	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By telephone
4300	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By face-to-face contact	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	By face-to-face contact
4301	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other places; specify:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4302	r8frflyk ..	How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	0	0	Other places; specify:
4303	r8km4 b ..	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you agree/disagree with this?
4304	r8km5 pa ..	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
4305	r8km5 pa ..	Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
4306	r8dvby1 ..	How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?
4307	r8dv5 pa ..	Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
4308	r8dv6 pa ..	How important is immigration policy to you?	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
4309	r8dv7 pa ..	Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
4310	r8dv7 pa ..	Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-8	0	0	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
4311	r8dv29 p ..	Statement: Begging should be prohibited	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
4312	r8dvh 2 ..	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
4313	r8dvby3 ..	Extent of fear for new terrorist attack in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?
4314	r8k33	What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
4315	r8k33 9 ..	What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
4316	r8k34 1	What industry do you work in: Public central administration	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Public central administration
4317	r8k34 2	What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Kindergarten/school/teaching
4318	r8k34 3	What industry do you work in: Health services	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Health services
4319	r8k34 4	What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Social services/child protection

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4320	r8k34_5	What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Nursing and care services
4321	r8k34_6	What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Defence/police/judicial system/security
4322	r8k34_7	What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Agriculture/forestry/fishing
4323	r8k34_8	What industry do you work in: Industry/technology	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Industry/technology
4324	r8k34_9	What industry do you work in: Building/construction	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Building/construction
4325	r8k34_10	What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Retailing/shop
4326	r8k34_11	What industry do you work in: Transport/communications	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Transport/communications
4327	r8k34_12	What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Culture/sport/organisations
4328	r8k34_13	What industry do you work in: Media/advertising/PR/information	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Media/advertising/PR/information
4329	r8k34_14	What industry do you work in: Research/analysis	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Research/analysis
4330	r8k34_15	What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Travel/hotels
4331	r8k34_16	What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Restaurants/catering
4332	r8k34_17	What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Telecommunications/IT
4333	r8k34_18	What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Banking/insurance/finance
4334	r8k34_19	What industry do you work in: Commercial services	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Commercial services
4335	r8k34_20	What industry do you work in: Oil/gas	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Oil/gas
4336	r8k34_21	What industry do you work in: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Other
4337	r8k34_21..	What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other [anonymized]
4338	r8k25	Gross annual income	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Gross annual income is:
4339	r8k26	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	What is your highest completed education?
4340	r8k27	Marital status	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
4341	r8k27_9...	Marital status, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Marital status, other
4342	r8k28	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Are you:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4343	r8k28_2_..	Citizenship, different country than Norway [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Are you:
4344	r8hp1	Your health	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	In general, would you say your health is:
4345	r8k42	Number of children under the age of 16	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?
4346	r8qreview1	Satisfied with: The survey	discrete	numeric-12.0	8612	8399	All in all, how satisfied are you with the survey?
4347	r8P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	-
4348	r8P2	Region	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	-
4349	r8P3	County	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	-
4350	r8P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	-
4351	r8P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	-
4352	r8B1	Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week?	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
4353	r8B2_1	Gross annual income [From wave 1. Open text]	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	Gross annual income is:
4354	r8B2_2	Current income [From wave 3, 4 and 5. Categorical]	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What is your current income?
4355	r8B3_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	What is your highest level of completed education
4356	r8B3_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	What is your highest level of completed education
4357	r8B4	Health condition	discrete	numeric-33.0	8462	8549	Generally speaking, would you say that your health is:
4358	r8B5	Living with children under 16 years	discrete	numeric-33.0	8297	8714	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?
4359	r8B6	Marital status	discrete	numeric-33.0	8604	8407	Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
4360	r8B7	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-33.0	4427	12584	Citizenship. Are you:
4361	r8B8_1	What industry do you work in: Public central administration	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4362	r8B8_2	What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4363	r8B8_3	What industry do you work in: Health services	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4364	r8B8_4	What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4365	r8B8_5	What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4366	r8B8_6	What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4367	r8B8_7	What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4368	r8B8_8	What industry do you work in: Industry/technology	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4369	r8B8_9	What industry do you work in: Building/construction	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4370	r8B8_10	What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4371	r8B8_11	What industry do you work in: Transport/communications	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4372	r8B8_12	What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4373	r8B8_13	What industry do you work in: Media/advertising	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4374	r8B8_14	What industry do you work in: Research/analysis	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4375	r8B8_15	What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4376	r8B8_16	What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4377	r8B8_17	What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4378	r8B8_18	What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4379	r8B8_19	What industry do you work in: Commercial services	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4380	r8B8_20	What industry do you work in: Oil/gas	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4381	r8B8_21	What industry do you work in: Other	discrete	numeric-33.0	8611	8400	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4382	r8Weight1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	-
4383	r8Weight2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P1 and B3_1 . See documentation report	discrete	numeric-33.0	8612	8399	-
4384	interview_..	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-50	6897	0	-
4385	interview_..	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-50	6724	0	-
4386	rekruttert	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	-
4387	browsert_..	[Browertype used by respondent]	discrete	character-50	6897	0	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4388	browserv..	[Browser version used by respondent]	discrete	character-50	6897	0	-
4389	mobil	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	-
4390	opplosning	[Screen resolution of the applied device]	discrete	character-50	6897	0	-
4391	enhetstype	[Determines respondents device type.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	-
4392	advanced..	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.]	discrete	character-50	6897	0	-
4393	x_ran	[Randomizes if rekruttert! =8.Divides respondents recruited prior to wave 8 into two thematically separate groups. Besides the core questions, the respondents only answer questions connected to their assigned group.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4191	12820	-
4394	r9k1	Interest in politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	In general, how interested are you in politics?
4395	r9k8_1	Placement on scale, political standing	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
4396	r9tilfre..	Satisfied with: The government in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?
4397	r9tilfre..	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?
4398	r9k204	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4399	r9k204_1..	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4400	r9k9_1	[1] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
4401	r9k9_2	[2] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
4402	r9k9_3	[3] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
4403	r9k6_1	Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Christian Democratic Party
4404	r9k6_2	Like/dislike: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Conservative Party
4405	r9k6_3	Like/dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Progress Party

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4406	r9k6_4	Like/dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Liberal Party
4407	r9k6_5	Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Socialist Left Party
4408	r9k6_6	Like/dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Centre Party
4409	r9k6_7	Like/dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Green Party
4410	r9k6_8	Like/dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Labour Party
4411	r9k6_9	Like/dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The Red Party
4412	r9k10	Perception of the economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
4413	r9sentral	Agree/disagree: Government authorities show little consideration for rural Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Government authorities show little consideration for non-urban/rural Norway.
4414	r9k29	Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
4415	r9komref	Support/oppose municipal reform	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How much do you support or oppose this municipal reform?
4416	r9intpol	Interest in news pertaining to politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How interested are you in news pertaining to politics?
4417	r9intliv	Interest in news pertaining to sports/lifestyle/entertainment	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How interested are you in news pertaining to sports/lifestyle/entertainment?
4418	r9knyhet..	How important are the established media as source of news	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How important are the established media (such as TV, newspapers, radio and Internet newspapers) for you as sources of news?
4419	r9knyhet..	How important are social media as source of news	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How important are social media (such as Facebook, Twitter and Snapchat) for you as sources of news?
4420	eips2017..	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random political unit [Norway / EU] for question eips2017a.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2706	14305	-
4421	eips2017a	How democratic do you think [Norway/EU] is overall?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	How democratic do you think [Norway/EU] is overall?
4422	eips2017b	Justification to how democratic you think [Norway/EU] is overall [anonymized]	discrete	character-200	0	0	Could you justify your answer to the previous question?
4423	eips2017..	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random geographic distance [blank / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world] for the question eips2017c_1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2688	14323	-
4424	eips2017..	To what extent do you see climate change as a threat [blank / for you personally /	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	To what extent do you see climate change as a threat [blank / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world]?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		for Norway / for Europe / for the world]?					
4425	eips2017d	Do you oppose/support Norwegian membership in the EU?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	Now thinking about the European Union, how much do you oppose or support Norwegian membership in the EU?
4426	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses election turnout.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2682	14329	-
4427	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses majority size.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2682	14329	-
4428	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses winner.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2682	14329	-
4429	eips2017..	Should the government follow outcome of referendum even though the majority of the national parliament disagreed?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What is right in such a situation, should the government follow the outcome of the referendum even though the majority of the national parliament disagreed with the referendum outcome?
4430	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Selects random political unit [Norway / EU] for question eips2017f_dv.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2676	14335	-
4431	eips2017..	How much does the political system in [Norway / EU] allow people like you to have an influence on politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	How much would you say that the political system in [Norway / EU] allows people like you to have an influence on politics?
4432	eips2017..	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Conjoint Experiment. Randomly selects either eips2017g_1 or eips2017g_2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4433	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4434	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4435	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4436	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4437	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4438	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4439	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses religious background for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4440	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses religious background for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4441	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4442	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	14340	-
4443	eips2017..	Political candidate that will agree most with your own political views	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Based on your own judgment, please indicate which political candidate you think will agree most with your own political views.
4444	eips2017..	Relying on given information, which candidate would you prefer as political representative at the national level?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Relying solely on this information, which political candidate would you prefer as your political representative at the national level?
4445	eips2017..	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects either eips2017h_1 or eips2017h_2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4446	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4447	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4448	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 1.]					
4449	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4450	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4451	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4452	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4453	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4454	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses occupation for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4455	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses occupation for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4456	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political experience for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4457	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political experience for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4458	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses workplace for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4459	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Randomly chooses workplace for candidate 2.]					
4460	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a news story for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4461	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a news story for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4462	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political ideology for candidate 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4463	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political ideology for candidate 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4464	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 1's attitude towards immigration.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4465	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 2's attitude towards immigration.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4466	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 1's attitude towards membership in EU.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4467	eips2017..	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 2's attitude towards membership in EU.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2669	14342	-
4468	eips2017..	Description of two candidates: Who would you choose, national elections tomorrow	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	If there were national elections tomorrow and you had to vote for one of these two candidates, which candidate would you choose?
4469	eips2017..	Candidate who is most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Which candidate is in your view most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country?
4470	eips2017..	Description of two candidates: Candidate who is most likely to represent the	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Which candidate is in your view most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		concerns of ordinary people in your country					
4471	eips2017..	Who would you choose, national elections tomorrow	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	If there were national elections tomorrow and you had to vote for one of these two candidates, which candidate would you choose?
4472	eips2017..	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] to question EIPS2017I.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2661	14350	-
4473	eips2017i	Agree/disagree: [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] has asked to rent local community house, should they be allowed?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	To what extent do you agree that the [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] should be allowed to rent the local community house for this purpose?
4474	r9helse1..	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects r9helse1a or r9helse1b.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2657	14354	-
4475	r9helse1a	Thoughts when you hear/read the word antibiotics [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the word antibiotics?
4476	r9helse1b	Thoughts when you hear/read the term "antibiotics-resistant bacteria" [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read the term "antibiotics-resistant bacteria"?
4477	r9helse3	Agree/disagree: Should spend fewer resources on treatment of illnesses due to smoking/alcohol/narcotics	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Do you agree or disagree that the authorities should spend fewer resources on treatment of illnesses that are due to these types of lifestyle (for example, that the patient should pay a higher co-pay fee or get a less expensive treatment than other patients get)?
4478	r9helse3_1	Explanation why you agree on spending fewer resources	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you explain why you answered that you agree that the authorities should spend fewer resources to treat these kinds of lifestyle-related illnesses?
4479	r9helse3_2	Explanation why you disagree on spending fewer resources	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you explain why you answered that you disagree that the authorities should spend fewer resources to treat these kinds of lifestyle-related illnesses?
4480	r9helse2_1	Perception, Norwegian public health system: Confident that you will be given good treatment if you become acutely and seriously ill	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How confident do you feel that you will be given good treatment in the public healthcare system if you should become acutely and seriously ill?
4481	r9helse2_2	Perception, Norwegian public health system: Confident that you will be given good treatment if you	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How confident do you feel that you will be given good treatment in the public healthcare system if you should become seriously sick (but not acutely)?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		become seriously ill, not acutely					
4482	r9helse2_3	Perception, Norwegian public health system: Confident that you will be given good treatment if you experience a troublesome condition, neither acutely or seriously ill	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How confident do you feel that you will be given good treatment in the public healthcare system if you should experience a troublesome condition (but not acute or serious illness)?
4483	r9helse4	Aware of advice given by health authorities pertaining to lifestyle/health	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Are you aware of advice given by health authorities pertaining to lifestyle and health, such as advice on diet/nutrition or a recommendation to take flu shots?
4484	r9helse4_1	To what extent do you trust such advice from the health authorities?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	To what extent do you trust such advice from the health authorities?
4485	r9helse4_2	To what extent do you follow the advice from the health authorities?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	To what extent do you follow the advice from the health authorities?
4486	r9helse5	To what extent do you follow the advice given by your family doctor	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	To what extent do you follow the advice given by your family doctor, such as advice on diet/nutrition or a recommendation to take flu shots?
4487	r9pad1	How important is it to accept decisions about important social issues adopted by politicians/authorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What is your opinion – how important is it to accept the decisions about important social issues after they have been adopted by politicians and the authorities?
4488	r9pad2	To what extent do people in Norway accept decisions about important social issues adopted by politicians/authorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	To what extent do you think people in Norway are willing to accept the decisions about important social issues after they have been adopted by politicians and the authorities?
4489	r9pad3	Do you accept decisions about important social issues adopted by politicians/authorities?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What about you personally – do you live up to this standard (i.e., accept the decisions about important social issues after they have been adopted by politicians and the authorities)?
4490	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the setting of a discussion between the respondent and a hypothetical person.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4491	r9disc_a..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and the hypothetical person.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4492	r9disc_k..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of the hypothetical person.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4493	r9disc_p..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the party preference of the hypothetical person.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4494	r9disc_u..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of disagreement between the disassants.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4495	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the topic of the discussion.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4496	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4497	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4498	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4499	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4500	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4501	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4502	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4503	r9disc_s..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2096	14915	-
4504	r9disc_p..	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the strategy of the hypothetical person.]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2096	14915	-
4505	r9disc_1	Discussion with hypothetical person, which reaction suits you the best	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	It may be that none of these reactions suit you, but if you had to choose one, which reaction would you consider best?
4506	r9disc_2	The same hypothetical person is leaning towards a given strategy, which reaction would you choose	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	If you now had to choose to react in one of the four ways listed, which one would you choose?
4507	r9disc_2..	Comments relating to reaction [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can write any comments you might have here
4508	r9tvdeb1	How important are TV debates for which political party you vote for	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How important are these TV debates for you in making up your mind about which political party you will vote for?
4509	r9tvdeb2	Associations to televised election campaign debates	discrete	character-1	0	0	What associations come to mind when you think about televised election campaign debates before the election?
4510	r9tvdeb3_1	Statement, party leader debates: Important part of election campaign	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The party leader debates are an important part of the election campaign
4511	r9tvdeb3_2	Statement, party leader debates: Best described as politicians only fighting one another	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	The party leader debates can best be described as politicians only fighting with one another
4512	r9tvdeb3_3	Statement, party leader debates: Too strongly controlled by the journalists	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Party leader debates are too strongly controlled by the journalists
4513	r9tvdeb3_4	Statement, party leader debates: Difficult to keep up with politicians' opinions	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	It is difficult to keep up with politicians' opinions
4514	r9tvdeb3_5	Statement, party leader debates: Not controlled enough by the journalists	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Party leader debates are not controlled enough by the journalists
4515	r9medtru..	What do you think it means to trust the media?	discrete	character-1	0	0	In your own words, explain what you think it means to trust the media.
4516	r9medtru..	[Randomizes if x_ran=2. Randomly selects r9medtrust2a, r9medtrust2b, r9medtrust2c eller r9medtrust2d.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2086	14925	-
4517	r9medtru..	Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: VG.no	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from VG.no in articles pertaining to immigration?
4518	r9medtru..	Confidence in trustworthy information in articles	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		pertaining to immigration from: Document.no					Document.no in articles pertaining to immigration?
4519	r9medtru..	Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: Sylvi Listhaug's Facebook page	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from Sylvi Listhaug's Facebook page pertaining to immigration?
4520	r9medtru..	Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: Nrk.no	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from Nrk.no in articles pertaining to immigration?
4521	r9fake1	Agree/disagree: Political discussions cannot speak about facts, everyone fights for the truth as they know it	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	In political discussions, one cannot speak about facts. There are differences of opinion and everyone fights for the truth as they know it.
4522	r9fake2	Agree/disagree: Most Norwegian newspapers/TV channels have never been neutral	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Most Norwegian newspapers and TV channels are not now and have never been neutral.
4523	r9fake2..	Comments relating to neutrality in Norwegian media [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
4524	r9fake3	More trustworthy: Social or traditional media	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Would you say that you get more trustworthy information from social media (for example Facebook and Twitter) or from the traditional media (for example NRK, TV2 and VG)?
4525	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-
4526	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-
4527	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-
4528	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]					
4529	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-
4530	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-
4531	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-
4532	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2075	14936	-
4533	r9selexp..	Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?
4534	r9selexp..	Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?
4535	r9selexp..	Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?
4536	r9selexp..	Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?
4537	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]	discrete	numeric-3.0	-	-	-
4538	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable	discrete	numeric-3.0	-	-	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]					
4539	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the placement of the news item 1 on the front page.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4540	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the placement of the news item 2 on the front page.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4541	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of popularity of news item 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4542	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of popularity of news item 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4543	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the social media recommendations of news item 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4544	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the social media recommendations of news item 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4545	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of news item 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4546	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of news item 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	14941	-
4547	r9selexp1	Two hypothetical news items, which one would you read?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	It is possible that you would read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but if you had to choose one of the two articles to read based on the information in the table above, which article would you choose?
4548	r9selexp..	[Randomizes if x_ran=2. Randomly selects r9selexp2_1 or r9selexp2_2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4549	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of person 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4550	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of person 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4551	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the norwegian language abilities of person 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4552	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the norwegian language abilities of person 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4553	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of knowledge on a particular subject of person 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4554	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of knowledge on a particular subject of person 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4555	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the popularity of the news item shared by person 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4556	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the popularity of the news item shared by person 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4557	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and person 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4558	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and person 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4559	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of agreement political matters between the respondent and person 1.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4560	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of agreement political matters between the respondent and person 2.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4561	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how frequent person 1 discusses or writes about politics.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4562	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how frequent person 1 discusses or writes about politics.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4563	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how person 1 describes the news item.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4564	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how person 2 describes the news item.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4565	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]	discrete	numeric-3.0	-	-	-
4566	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]	discrete	numeric-3.0	-	-	-
4567	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of the news item that person 1 shared.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-
4568	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	14947	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		of the news item that person 2 shared.]					
4569	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the question text order.]	discrete	character-1	2064	0	-
4570	r9selexp..	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the question text order]	discrete	character-1	2064	0	-
4571	r9selexp..	Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Excluded r9selexp2_omtale.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	[First question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]
4572	r9selexp..	Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Excluded r9selexp2_omtale.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	[Second question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]
4573	r9selexp..	Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Included r9selexp2_omtale.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	[First question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]
4574	r9selexp..	Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Included r9selexp2_omtale.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	[Second question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]
4575	r9k26	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	6897	10114	What is your highest completed education?
4576	r9k33	What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
4577	r9k33_9...	What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days, Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
4578	r9k34_1	What industry do you work in: Public central administration	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4579	r9k34_2	What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4580	r9k34_3	What industry do you work in: Health services	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4581	r9k34_4	What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4582	r9k34_5	What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4583	r9k34_6	What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4584	r9k34_7	What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4585	r9k34_8	What industry do you work in: Industry/technology	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4586	r9k34_9	What industry do you work in: Building/construction	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4587	r9k34_10	What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4588	r9k34_11	What industry do you work in: Transport/communications	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4589	r9k34_12	What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4590	r9k34_13	What industry do you work in: Media/advertising/PR/information	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4591	r9k34_14	What industry do you work in: Research/analysis	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4592	r9k34_15	What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4593	r9k34_16	What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4594	r9k34_17	What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4595	r9k34_18	What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4596	r9k34_19	What industry do you work in: Commercial services	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4597	r9k34_20	What industry do you work in: Oil/gas	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4598	r9k34_21	What industry do you work in: Other	continuous	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4599	r9k34_21..	What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-100	0	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
4600	r9k21_fi..	[Defines respondents that were recruited in wave 8 and answered 'paid work' on R8K33.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	-
4601	r9k21	Which type of business do you work in?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Which type of business do you work in?
4602	r9k21_6..	Which type of business do you work in? Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-100	0	0	Which type of business do you work in?
4603	r9k24a	Is your workplace in/closely associated with the oil/gas industry?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Is your workplace in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?
4604	r9k24_1_1	When did your first job in the oil/gas industry begin? [anonymized]	continuous	numeric-5.0	6897	10114	When did your first job in the oil or gas industry begin (or your first job closely affiliated with the oil and gas industry)?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4605	r9k24_1_..	Comments relating to your first job in the oil/gas industry [anonymized]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
4606	r9k25	Gross annual income	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Gross annual income is:
4607	r9hp1	Your health	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	In general, would you say your health is:
4608	r9k27	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
4609	r9k27_9_..	Marital status, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-150	0	0	Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
4610	r9qreview1	Satisfied with the survey	discrete	numeric-1.0	6897	10114	All in all, how satisfied are you with the survey?
4611	avslutt	Tilbakemelding til undersøkelsen [anonymisert]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Har du noe du ønsker å gi tilbakemelding på i forbindelse med undersøkelsen?
4612	tid_brukt	[Respondents time usage.]	continuous	numeric-11.3	6724	10287	-
4613	R9P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-11.0	6897	10114	-
4614	R9P2	Region	discrete	numeric-11.0	6897	10114	-
4615	R9P3	County	discrete	numeric-11.0	6897	10114	-
4616	R9P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-11.0	6897	10114	-
4617	R9P4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-11.0	6897	10114	-
4618	R9P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-11.0	6897	10114	-
4619	R9P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-11.0	6897	10114	-
4620	r9Weight1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-11.5	6897	10114	-
4621	r9Weight2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P1 and B3_1 . See documentation report	discrete	numeric-11.5	6897	10114	-
4622	r10inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-20	-	-	-
4623	r10inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-20	-	-	-
4624	r10u	[Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4260	12751	-
4625	r10v	[Thematical sub-group from wave 8]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4626	r10x	[Thematical sub-group from wave 9]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3484	13527	-
4627	r10rekr_..	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4628	r10km4_f_..	[Filter variable for r10km4. Asked to all respondents where r8km4_ran = 2. In addition, the question is asked to all respondents	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		recruited in wave 1 and a randomly drawn sample of respondents recruited in wave 3 as long as 'v' does not equal 2 or 'u' does not equal 4.]					
4629	r10panel..	[Defines sub-group: panelrest. This is a group comprised of respondents whom did not satisfy any of the criteria in any of the other "panel"-sub-group. The group is then split in half and assigned different questions]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4630	r10panel..	[Defines sub-group: panelmeme. Comprised of respondents where u=4 but v!=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4631	r10panelkm	[Defines sub-group: panel km. This is mainly respondents where u=2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4632	r10panel..	[Defines sub-group: panelpad. These are respondents who have responded to R9PAD1-3 but where u!=4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4633	r10brows..	[Browertype used by respondent]	discrete	character-20	6765	0	-
4634	r10brows..	[Browser version used by respondent]	discrete	numeric-4.0	-	-	-
4635	r10mobil	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4636	r10opplo..	[Screen resolution of the applied device]	discrete	character-10	-	-	-
4637	r10enhet..	[Determines respondents device type.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4638	r10advan..	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.]	discrete	numeric-5.0	6765	10246	-
4639	r10getre..	[Detects the browsers rendering mode]	discrete	numeric-7.0	6749	10262	-
4640	r10k1	Interest in politics.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	In general, how interested are you in politics?
4641	r10k3	Voted for this party in 2017 parliamentary election.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?
4642	r10k3_12..	Other [R10K3][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?
4643	r10k21	Would change vote given the result of the election.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Now that you know the results of this year's parliamentary elections, would

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							you have changed your vote if you had known them in advance?
4644	r10k204	Would vote this party if an election was held tomorrow.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4645	r10k204_..	Other: [R10K204][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4646	r10k8_1	Personal placement on political axis left-right.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
4647	r10tilfr..	How satisfied with: The Norwegian government.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?
4648	r10tilfr..	How satisfied with: The way democracy works in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?
4649	r10k9_1	Most important political issue 1. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
4650	r10k9_2	Most important political issue 2. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
4651	r10k9_3	Most important political issue 3. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
4652	r10k10	Perception of current economic situation in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you think it is:
4653	r10k6_1	Like/dislike: Christian Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Christian Democratic Party
4654	r10k6_2	Like/dislike: Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Conservative Party
4655	r10k6_3	Like/dislike: Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Progress Party
4656	r10k6_4	Like/dislike: Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Liberal Party
4657	r10k6_5	Like/dislike: Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Socialist Left Party
4658	r10k6_6	Like/dislike: Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Centre Party
4659	r10k6_7	Like/dislike: Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Green Party
4660	r10k6_8	Like/dislike: Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Labour Party
4661	r10k6_9	Like/dislike: Red Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Red Party
4662	r10k53	Confidence in Norwegian politicians.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Generally speaking, what degree of confidence do you have in Norwegian politicians?
4663	r10k54	Confidence in Norwegian news media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Generally speaking, what degree of confidence do you have in the Norwegian news media?
4664	r10valg1	How important: 2017 parliamentary elections, who won.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	In your opinion, how important were this year's parliamentary elections? Did you care much about which party won, or did you think that it didn't matter?
4665	r10valg2	Easy/difficult to see differences in parties' policies before the election.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How easy or difficult do you think it was to see the differences between

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							the parties' policies before this year's parliamentary elections?
4666	r10kmini13	How much influence does the political in system in Norway give people like you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How much would you say the political system in Norway allows people like you to have a say in what the government does?
4667	r10valg3_1	Describes the election as: Interesting and exciting.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Interesting and exciting
4668	r10valg3_2	Describes the election as: Too much bickering.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Too much bickering
4669	r10valg3_3	Describes the election as: Too many conflicts and aggressive.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Too many conflicts and aggressive.
4670	r10valg3_4	Describes the election as: Too focused on the party leaders.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Too focused on the party leaders
4671	r10valg3_5	Describes the election as: Factual and informative.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Factual and informative
4672	r10valg3_6	Describes the election as: Incomprehensible election debate in newspapers, radio, TV.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Incomprehensible election debate in the newspapers and on the radio and TV
4673	r10valg3_7	Describes the election as: Clear differences between the parties.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Clear differences between the parties
4674	r10fr1	Number of minutes spent daily on news.	continuous	numeric-4.0	-	-	Approximately how many minutes do you spend daily on the news in various media?
4675	r10fr2	How often last 12 months: Discussed politics on social media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Over the course of the last 12 months, how often have you discussed politics on social media?
4676	r10fr3_1	How often last 12 months: Visited website of politician/ party with same opinion as you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Visited the website of a politician or a political party that has the same political opinions as you
4677	r10fr3_2	How often last 12 months: Visited website of politician/ party with different opinion to you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Visited the website of a politician or a political party that has different political opinions to you
4678	r10fr3_3	How often last 12 months: Searched online for information confirming your arguments/views.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Searched online for political information that would confirm your arguments or views
4679	r10fr3_4	How often last 12 months: Searched online for information differing from your arguments/views.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Searched online for political information that differs from your own arguments or views
4680	r10fr4_1	Discussed politics on social media last 12 months with: People with different opinions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	People with different political opinions to you and who generally disagree with you
4681	r10fr4_2	Discussed politics on social media last 12 months with: People with different ethnic background.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	People with a different ethnic background to yours

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4682	r10fr4_3	Discussed politics on social media last 12 months with: People from different social class.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	People from a different social class to you
4683	r10fr_ra..	[Randomizes if v=1. The randomly chosen order in which the different sliders (and their respective "feelings") are presented to the respondent]	discrete	character-30	1780	0	-
4684	r10fr5_e..	Feeling when thinking about Norway: Enthusiastic	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Enthusiastic
4685	r10fr5_s..	Feeling when thinking about Norway: Proud	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Proud
4686	r10fr5_b..	Feeling when thinking about Norway: Worried	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Worried
4687	r10fr5_r..	Feeling when thinking about Norway: Scared	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Scared
4688	r10fr5_s..	Feeling when thinking about Norway: Angry	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Angry
4689	r10fr5_b..	Feeling when thinking about Norway: Bitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Bitter
4690	r10fr5_erg	Feeling when thinking about Norway: Annoyed	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Annoyed
4691	r10fr6_e..	Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Enthusiastic	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Enthusiastic
4692	r10fr6_s..	Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Proud	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Proud
4693	r10fr6_b..	Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Worried	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Worried
4694	r10fr6_r..	Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Scared	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Scared
4695	r10fr6_s..	Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Angry	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Angry
4696	r10fr6_b..	Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Bitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Bitter
4697	r10fr6_erg	Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Annoyed	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Annoyed
4698	r10fr7_e..	Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Enthusiastic	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Enthusiastic
4699	r10fr7_s..	Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Proud	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Proud

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4700	r10fr7_b..	Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Worried	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Worried
4701	r10fr7_r..	Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Scared	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Scared
4702	r10fr7_s..	Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Angry	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Angry
4703	r10fr7_b..	Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Bitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Bitter
4704	r10fr7_erg	Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Annoyed	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Annoyed
4705	r10fr8	Gender equality, personal placement on scale.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	Where would you place yourself on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means that you think that gender equality should be continued and 10 means that you think that gender equality has been taken far enough?
4706	r10fr9	Most important quality for children: Independence or respect for adults.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Which quality do you think it is most important for children to have: independence or respect for adults?
4707	r10fr10	Most important quality for children: Obedience or independence.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Which quality do you think it is most important for children to have: obedience or independence?
4708	r10fr11..	[Randomizes if v=1. Background variable for r10fr11. Randomly selects [muslim/islamic/anti-immigration/right-wing/christian conservative]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1766	15245	-
4709	r10fr11	What should authorities do if a [Muslim/Islamic/anti-immigration/right wing/Christian conservative] party wants to run for parliament.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	What do you think the authorities should do?
4710	r10fr12	Access to immigration to Norway, personal placement on scale 0-10.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	In this question we would like to know where you would place yourself on a scale of 0 to 10
4711	r10kmbil..	Owns car type: Petrol.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Petrol
4712	r10kmbil..	Owns car type: Diesel.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Diesel
4713	r10kmbil..	Owns car type: Electric car.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Electric car
4714	r10kmbil..	Owns car type: Hydrogen.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Hydrogen
4715	r10kmbil..	Owns car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (non-rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Hybrid: petrol-electric (non-rechargeable)
4716	r10kmbil..	Owns car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (non-rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Hybrid: diesel-electric (non-rechargeable)
4717	r10kmbil..	Owns car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Hybrid: petrol-electric (rechargeable)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4718	r10kmbil..	Owens car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Hybrid: diesel-electric (rechargeable)
4719	r10kmbil..	Owens car type: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Other
4720	r10kmbil..	Owens car type: Don't have a car.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Don't have a car
4721	r10kmbil..	Have used/use biofuel on your car.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Please insert an X here if you have used/use biofuel (biodiesel/bioethanol) for your car.
4722	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Electric.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4723	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Petrol.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4724	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Diesel.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4725	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hydrogen.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4726	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (non-rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4727	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (non-rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4728	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4729	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (rechargeable).	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4730	r10kmbil..	How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Biofuel.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
4731	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Cheap fuel.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Cheap fuel
4732	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Good for the environment.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Good for the environment
4733	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Free ferry trips.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Free ferry trips
4734	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Free tolls.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Free tolls
4735	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Allowed	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Allowed to drive in the public transport lane

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		to drive in public transport lane.					
4736	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Less noisy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Less noisy
4737	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Quick acceleration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Quick acceleration
4738	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Attractive look.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Attractive look
4739	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: Free parking.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Free parking
4740	r10kmbil..	Most important reasons for buying electric car: A friend just got an electric car.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	A friend has just got an electric car
4741	r10kmelbil	Think of when hearing/ reading "electric car".	discrete	character-1	-	-	What is the first thing you think of when you hear or read the word "electric car"?
4742	r10kmelb..	Positive/negative opinion on electric cars.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How positive or negative is your opinion about electric cars, in general?
4743	r10kmplast	Participated in campaigns to collect plastic from countryside last 12 months.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Have you taken part in any campaigns designed to collect plastic from the countryside during the last 12 months?
4744	r10kmpla..	Comments [R10KMPLAST]	discrete	character-1	-	-	If you have any comments, please write them here.
4745	r10km21_1	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Hope.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Hope
4746	r10km21_2	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Anxiety.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Anxiety
4747	r10km21_3	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Sadness.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Sadness
4748	r10km21_4	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Anger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Anger
4749	r10km21_5	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Guilt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Guilt
4750	r10km21_6	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Interest.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Interest
4751	r10km21_7	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Compassion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Compassion
4752	r10km21_8	Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Fed up with it.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Fed up with it
4753	r10km64	How positive/negative will climate change be for Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How positive or negative do you think climate change will be for Norway?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4754	r10klima..	[Randomizes if v=2.Randomly determines whether or not respondents should have an additional option to choose from in the questions r10km30 and r10km22 (r10km30_problem and r10km22_problem). The checkbox reads "I do not look at climate change as a problem". Approximately 50 % gets the extra option both times, the other half does not get this option at any point]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1686	15325	-
4755	r10km30	To what degree moral duty for individuals to contribute towards preventing climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	To what degree do you regard it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute towards preventing climate change?
4756	r10km30...	Climate change is not a problem.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	I do not consider climate change to be a problem
4757	r10km2	How concerned about climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How concerned are you about climate change?
4758	r10km26	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?
4759	r10km25	How serious a threat is climate change overall.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Overall, how serious a threat is climate change?
4760	r10km22	To what extent is preventing harmful climate change possible.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	To what extent do you think it is possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change?
4761	r10km22...	Climate change is not a problem.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	I do not consider climate change to be a problem
4762	r10km63	Statement closest to personal view on climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	As regards the causes of climate change, which of the following statements most closely reflects your views:
4763	r10km4	Agree/disagree:We should not allow oil and gas extraction in Lofoten and Vesterålen.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.
4764	r10km42	Should/should not be possible to buy diesel- and petrol cars after 2025.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Which of the following statements most closely reflects your views:
4765	r10km42...	Comments climate and the environment.	discrete	character-1	-	-	If you have any additional comments about the questions relating to the climate and the environment, please write them here:
4766	r10pad10..	[Randomizes if v=3.Randomly selects R10PAD10A, R10PAD10B or R10PAD10C]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1584	15427	-
4767	r10pad10..	Like/dislike Progress Party: Proposed increase in minimum state pensions.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	What do you think about the Progress Party?
4768	r10pad10..	Like/dislike Progress Party: Voted to lower taxes for the wealthiest.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	What do you think about the Progress Party?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4769	r10pad10..	Like/dislike Progress Party: No treatment.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	What do you think about the Progress Party?
4770	r10pad11..1	Progress Party, placement left-right scale regarding economic policy.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	Where would you place the Progress Party on this scale when it comes to economic policy?
4771	r10pad12	Would vote this party if an election was held tomorrow.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4772	r10pad12..	Other: [R10PAD12] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4773	r10pad13	Social class, personal placement.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	If you were to place yourself in such a social class, which one would it be?
4774	r10pad14..	[Randomizes if v=3. Randomly selects R10PAD14A, R10PAD14B, R10PAD14C or R10PAD14D]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1579	15432	-
4775	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes
4776	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway
4777	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society
4778	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.
4779	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (16 % immigrants in 2016)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes
4780	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway. (16 % immigrants in 2016)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway
4781	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (16 % immigrants in 2016)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society
4782	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians. (16 % immigrants in 2016)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.
4783	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (26 % immigrants in 2060)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes
4784	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Norway. (26 % immigrants in 2060)					
4785	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (26 % immigrants in 2060)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society
4786	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians. (26 % immigrants in 2060)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.
4787	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (41 % immigrants in 2060)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes
4788	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway. (41 % immigrants in 2060)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway
4789	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (41 % immigrants in 2060)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society
4790	r10pad14..	Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians. (41 % immigrants in 2060)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.
4791	r10pad15	What percentage of the total population do you think are immigrants in 2030.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	What percentage of the total population do you think will consist of immigrants in 2030?
4792	r10pad16..	[Randomizes if v=3. Randomly selects R10PAD16A, R10PAD16B, R10PAD16C, R10PAD16D or R10PAD16E]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1578	15433	-
4793	r10pad16a	Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Pension schemes.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	If you wanted to express your opinion about the regulations on pension schemes, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?
4794	r10pad16b	Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Property taxation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	If you wanted to express your opinion about the regulations on property taxation, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?
4795	r10pad16c	Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Phone and internet services.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	If you wanted to express your opinion about the services regarding phone and internet, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?
4796	r10pad16d	Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Organization of schooling.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	If you wanted to express your opinion about the organization of schooling, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4797	r10pad16e	Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: A political issue.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	If you wanted to express your opinion about a political issue, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?
4798	r10pad17	Who is responsible for decisions about this issue [R10PAD16A/B/C/D/E]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	In your opinion, who is mostly responsible for decisions taken on this issue?
4799	r10pad18	Should Norway join the EU.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you think that Norway should join the EU?
4800	r10pad19_..	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Randomly selects an election turnout percentage represented by an integer between 1 and 100]	discrete	numeric-3.0	3254	13757	-
4801	r10pad19_..	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Randomly selects a percentage result for the "Yes" vote represented by an integer between 1 and 100]	discrete	numeric-3.0	3254	13757	-
4802	r10pad19_..	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Calculates the percentage result for the "No" vote (r10pad19_jaandel - 100)]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3254	13757	-
4803	r10pad19	Should Norway join the EU given referendum result: Participation (0-100), Yes-vote (0-100), No-vote (participation - yes-vote)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	In such an event, do you think that Norway should join the EU?
4804	r10meme_..	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. The respondents are prepared to situations. This variable randomizes the order of the situations.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1573	15438	-
4805	r10meme_..	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1573	15438	-
4806	r10meme_..	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the first situation]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1573	15438	-
4807	r10meme_..	Agree/disagree with statement. [Asked if v=3.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	[r10meme_vbs_sit1_question1]
4808	r10meme_..	Agree/disagree: She should receive compensation from the authorities[Asked if v=3.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Hun bør motta kompensasjon fra det offentlige.
4809	r10meme_..	Comment to R10MEME_VBS_1 [Asked	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		if v=3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]					
4810	r10meme_...	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1573	15438	-
4811	r10meme_...	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the second situation]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1573	15438	-
4812	r10meme_...	Agree/disagree with statement. [Asked if v=3.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	[r10meme_vbs_sit2_question1]
4813	r10meme_...	Agree/disagree: public health should offer treatment [Asked if v=3.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The public health service should offer the treatment.
4814	r10meme_...	Comment to R10MEME_VBS_2 [Asked if v=3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
4815	r10dvby2	Safety in local area last couple of years.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	In the last couple of years would you say your local area has become safer, more unsafe or has there been no change to safety?
4816	r10meme_...	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly selects r10meme_eksp_rep_a, r10meme_eksp_rep_b, r10meme_eksp_rep_c, r10meme_eksp_rep_d] [Randomiser hvis v=4. Velger tilfeldig r10meme_eksp_rep_a, r10meme_eksp_rep_b, r10meme_eksp_rep_c, r10meme_eksp_rep_d]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1687	15324	-
4817	r10meme_...	How likely to vote for a party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: Started as rural organisation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?
4818	r10meme_...	How likely to vote for party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: Previously part of right wing alliance.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?
4819	r10meme_...	How likely to vote for party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: This is party's cause.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?
4820	r10meme_...	How likely to vote for party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: Party's cause is fight against EU.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4821	r10meme_..	Friends and family think voting for this party is alright [R10MEME_EKSP_REP_A/B/C/D].	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you think most of your closest friends and family think that it is alright to vote for such a party?
4822	r10meme_..	Does the party remind you of a party in Norway [R10MEME_EKSP_REP_A/B/C/D].	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you think that this party reminded you of a political party in Norway?
4823	r10meme_..	Which party in Norway does it remind you of [R10MEME_EKSP_REP_A/B/C/D].	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	If so, which party?
4824	r10meme_..	Other: [R10MEME_EKSP_REP3_B] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	If so, which party?
4825	r10meme9	Easier/more difficult to grant refugees/asylum seekers residence in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Should it be ...
4826	r10dvby9	Refugees living in local community.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	As far as you know, are there refugees living in your local community?
4827	r10dvby6	Contact with asylum seekers.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Would you say that you have had...
4828	r10dvby7	Negative or positive contact with asylum seekers.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	In general, to what degree have you found the contact you have had to be negative or positive?
4829	r10meme10	Number of friends, family members with asylum seeker/refugee friends.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Among the people who you know well (e.g. family members, friends, neighbours), how many of them, to your knowledge, have one or more friends who are asylum seekers/refugees?
4830	r10meme11	Number of friends, family members with bad experiences with asylum seekers/refugees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Among the people who you know well (e.g. family members, friends, neighbours), how many of them, to your knowledge, have had a disagreement, argument or unpleasant experience with one or more asylum seekers/refugees?
4831	r10dvby11	Has imagined what it feels like to come to Norway as asylum seeker/refugee.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	To what degree have you thought about and imagined what it feels like to come to Norway as an asylum seeker/refugee?
4832	r10mi9_ran	[Randomizes if v=4. Background variable for r10mi9_1. Randomly selects [wear hijab/wear a visible cross necklace/be allowed to wear hijab/be allowed to wear a visible cross necklace/be free to wear hijab/be free to wear visible cross necklace]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1673	15338	-
4833	r10mi9_1	Agree/disagree: Volunteers at polling stations should [wear a (hijab/visible cross)/be allowed to wear a (hijab/visible cross)/be free to wear a (hijab/visible cross)] if they usually do.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	r10mi9_1 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4834	r10mi9_2_..	[Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_2. Randomly selects [should take greater responsibility/ have a responsibility/should take less responsibility]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1669	15342	-
4835	r10mi9_2_..	[Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_2. Randomly selects [practice/ preserve]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1669	15342	-
4836	r10mi9_2	Agree/disagree: Norwegian authorities [should take greater/have a/should take less] responsibility for muslims' ability to [practice/ preserve] their religion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The Norwegian authorities <should take greater responsibility/have a responsibility/should take less responsibility> to ensure that the Muslim minority population can <practice/preserve> their religion.
4837	r10mi9_3_..	[Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_3. Randomly selects [explain/ proclaim]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1669	15342	-
4838	r10mi9_3_..	[Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_3. Randomly selects [Islamic values/conservative ideas about women's position in Islam]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1669	15342	-
4839	r10mi9_3	Agree/disagree. Norwegian muslims should be allowed to hold events to [explain/ proclaim] [Islamic values/ conservative notions about the position of women in Islam].	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to hold such an event?
4840	r10meme2_..	Agree/disagree: Christian values should be more visible in Norwegian public sphere.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Christian values should have a more visible role in the Norwegian public sphere than is currently the case.
4841	r10meme2_..	Agree/disagree: Religious authorities should have less influence over people's lives than now.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Religious authorities should have less influence over people's lives than they have today.
4842	r10meme2_..	Agree/disagree: Religion is ultimately just superstition.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Religion is ultimately just superstition
4843	r10dv29	Agree/disagree: Begging should be banned in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Begging should be banned in Norway.
4844	r10dv31	Agree/disagree: Diversity of religion and beliefs is good for a country.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.
4845	r10dvby3	Fear of a new terrorist attack in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?
4846	r10dv5	How great advantage/ disadvantage for Norway that immigrants settle here.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
4847	r10dv6	How important is immigration policy to you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
4848	r10dv7	Which party has the best immigration policy.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6765	10246	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4849	r10dv7_1..	Other: [R10DV7] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
4850	r10mi4_ran	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly selects R10MI4_a, R10MI4_b, R10MI4_c or R10MI4_d]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1664	15347	-
4851	r10mi4_a	Trust in muslim extremists when saying they wish to be part of our society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim extremists when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4852	r10mi4_b	Trust in muslim religious leaders when saying they wish to be part of our society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim religious leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4853	r10mi4_c	Trust in muslim local politicians when saying they wish to be part of our society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim local politicians when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4854	r10mi4_d	Trust in muslims when saying they wish to be part of our society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
4855	r10dv35_1	Fitting description: Important to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.
4856	r10dv35_2	Fitting description: I do not want to appear racist, even to myself.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
4857	r10dv35_3	Fitting description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.
4858	r10dv35_4	Fitting description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrant due to my convictions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.
4859	r10dv39	How often do you read, talk, think about something related to 22nd july attacks.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	When it comes to you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
4860	r10meme1..	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly selects r10meme15eks_By1a, r10meme15eks_By1b or r10meme15eks_By1c]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1664	15347	-
4861	r10meme1..	Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should give asylum seekers who live in reception centres more or less money to support themselves than is currently the case?
4862	r10meme1..	Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today. (buy and make own food, 2404 nok w/ o income/savings)	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should give asylum seekers who live in reception centres more or less money to support themselves than is currently the case?
4863	r10meme1..	Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today. (buy and make own food, 2404 nok w/o income/savings,	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should give asylum seekers who live in reception centres more or less money to support themselves than is currently the case?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		organisations say it's too little)					
4864	r10meme1..	Prize money: Keep myself.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	What would you like to do if you win the prize for this round:
4865	r10meme1..	Prize money: Donate to Red Cross' work with refugees and asylum seekers in Norway.	discrete	numeric-5.0	413	16598	What would you like to do if you win the prize for this round:
4866	r10meme1..	Prize Money: Donate to Red Cross' work in Norway.	discrete	numeric-5.0	481	16530	What would you like to do if you win the prize for this round:
4867	r10pad20	Confidence that bureaucrats make decisions in line with regulations.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How much confidence do you have about whether or not the people who make such decisions do so in line with the regulations that they are supposed to comply with?
4868	r10pad21	Confidence that automatic decision-making processes make decisions in line with regulations.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	how much confidence do you have about whether or not automatic decision-making processes will make decisions in line with the regulations that they are supposed to comply with?
4869	r10km60..	[Randomizes if panelREST=1. Background variable for r10km60. Randomly selects the orientation of the answer scale [1-4/4-1]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1507	15504	-
4870	r10km60	Closest to own opinion: Views about pollution and environmental problems.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Which one is closest to your own opinion?
4871	r10skand..	[Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly selects a gender [He/She]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	15518	-
4872	r10skand..	[Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly selects a political party [Progress party/Labour Party/ Liberal party]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	15518	-
4873	r10skand..	[Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly determines whether or not the respondents should receive the "treatment" ["The said politician becomes involved in a scandal. It appears that [he/she] has been paying both cleaning services and various crafts services illicitly over a long period of time"] or the "control" [blank]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	15518	-
4874	r10skand..	Confidence in politician, scandal.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How high would you say your confidence is in this politician?
4875	r10km5_1	Agree/disagree: Technological innovation will solve climate challenges.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Technological innovations will solve our climate problems.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4876	r10km5_2	Agree/disagree: Inhabitants of rich countries should reduce consumption to help solve climate challenges.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	The inhabitants of rich countries such as Norway should reduce their consumption in order to help solve our climate challenges.
4877	r10pad1	Opinion on begging ban in your municipality.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	What is your opinion about a begging ban in your municipality?
4878	r10pad2	How important is a begging ban for you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	How important is the question about imposing a ban on begging for you personally?
4879	r10pad3_..	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Detects whether or not the respondent is using a mobile device]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1279	15732	-
4880	r10pad3a_..	[Randomizes if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Respondents who chose option 2 in r10pad1 gets one of the 5 r10pad3B variants, randomly selected. Respondents who got r10pad1 but skipped the question gets randomly assigned one of the 10 r10pad3A/r10pad3B variants]	discrete	numeric-1.0	853	16158	-
4881	r10pad3b_..	[Randomizes if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Respondents who chose option 2 in r10pad1 gets one of the 5 r10pad3B variants, randomly selected. Respondents who got r10pad1 but skipped the question gets randomly assigned one of the 10 r10pad3A/r10pad3B variants]	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	16585	-
4882	r10pad3e_..	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video ended"]	discrete	numeric-20.0	789	16222	-
4883	r10pad3e_..	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video error"]	discrete	numeric-20.0	19	16992	-
4884	r10pad3p_..	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video paused"]	discrete	numeric-20.0	693	16318	-
4885	r10pad3p_..	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video played"]	discrete	numeric-20.0	833	16178	-
4886	r10pad3_..	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for	discrete	numeric-20.0	1274	15737	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		r10pad3 (video). Calculated time spent in the video node]					
4887	r10pad4	What was the recording like?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	First, what was the video recording like?
4888	r10pad4_..	Comments about the recording. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	-	-	Any comments about the recording:
4889	r10pad5	How fair was the way the decision was made.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	What do you think about the way the decision was made?
4890	r10pad6	How willing are you to accept the outcome of the decision.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	When you think about the actual outcome of the decision, how willing are you to accept the decision?
4891	r10pad7	Confidence in the politicians making the decision.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	Based on what you saw in the video, how much confidence do you have in the politicians who made the decision?
4892	r10pad8	Outcome in video in line with own view on municipal begging ban.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	When you think of the outcome of the film, was it in line with your opinion about imposing a ban on begging in the municipality?
4893	r10pad9	Picture included at the end of video.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	And in the video version you saw, was this image included at the end?
4894	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD9 -> R10PAD8	discrete	numeric-1.0	136	16875	-
4895	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD8 -> R10PAD7	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	16841	-
4896	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD7 -> R10PAD6	discrete	numeric-1.0	196	16815	-
4897	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD6 -> R10PAD5	discrete	numeric-1.0	129	16882	-
4898	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD5 -> R10PAD4	discrete	numeric-1.0	144	16867	-
4899	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD4 -> R10PAD3	discrete	numeric-1.0	164	16847	-
4900	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD3 -> R10PAD2	discrete	numeric-1.0	95	16916	-
4901	r10pad1_..	Return button used: R10PAD2 -> R10PAD1	discrete	numeric-1.0	79	16932	-
4902	r10qrevi_..	Satisfaction with survey.	discrete	numeric-1.0	6535	10476	All in all, how satisfied are you with the survey?
4903	r10avslutt	End comment to the survey. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-8	-	-	Har du noe du ønsker å gi tilbakemelding på i forbindelse med undersøkelsen? I så fall kan du skrive det her:
4904	r10tid_b_..	[Respondents time usage.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6570	10441	-
4905	r10P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4906	r10P2	Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4907	r10P3	Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4908	r10P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4909	r10P4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4910	r10P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4911	r10P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	6765	10246	-
4912	r10Weight1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.5	-	-	-
4913	r10Weight2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P1 and P4_1 . See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.5	-	-	-
4914	r11inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-50	8382	0	-
4915	r11inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-50	8166	0	-
4916	r11lu	[Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4065	12946	-
4917	r11lv	[Thematical sub-group from wave 8]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6323	10688	-
4918	r11rekr_..	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
4919	r11brows_..	[Browser type used by respondent]	discrete	character-50	8382	0	-
4920	r11brows_..	[Browser version used by respondent]	discrete	character-50	8382	0	-
4921	r11mobil	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
4922	r11opplo_..	[Screen resolution of the applied device]	discrete	character-50	8382	0	-
4923	r11enhet_..	[Determines respondents device type.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
4924	r11advan_..	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.]	discrete	character-50	8382	0	-
4925	r11pk1	Interest in politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	In general, how interested are you in politics?
4926	r11km li_..	[Experiment. Chooses r11km_livsstil_1 or r11km_livsstil_2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4065	12946	-
4927	r11km li_..	Open text: Individuals must contribute to limiting climate change by changing way of life	discrete	character-1	0	0	We would like you to give us your opinion on this. All replies would be welcome, preferably a couple of sentences, or just a few words if you would prefer.
4928	r11km li_..	Agree/disagree: Individuals should help limit climate	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Do you agree or disagree with this?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		change by changing way of life					
4929	r11km_li..	Open text: [r11km_livsstil_2]	discrete	character-1	0	0	We would like to ask you to state the reason for the answer you just gave. All replies would be welcome, preferably a couple of sentences, or just a few words if you would prefer.
4930	r11pk10	Perception of today's economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway? Do you think it is:
4931	r11pktil..	How satisfied with: the Norwegian government	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?
4932	r11pktil..	How satisfied with: the way democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?
4933	r11pk9_1	Open text: Most important political issue 1 [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally? Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
4934	r11pk9_2	Open text: Most important political issue 2 [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally? Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
4935	r11pk9_3	Open text: Most important political issue 3 [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally? Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
4936	r11pk8_1	Personal placement on political axis left-right	discrete	numeric-2.0	8382	8629	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
4937	r11bk3	Voted this party in parliamentary elections of 2017	discrete	numeric-2.0	8382	8629	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections in 2017?
4938	r11bk3_1..	Other: [r11bk3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections in 2017?
4939	r11pk204	Would vote this party if parliamentary elections held tomorrow	discrete	numeric-2.0	8382	8629	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4940	r11pk204..	Other: [r11pk204] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
4941	r11pk6_1	Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4942	r11pk6_2	Like/dislike: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4943	r11pk6_3	Like/dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4944	r11pk6_4	Like/dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4945	r11pk6_5	Like/dislike: The Social Left Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4946	r11pk6_6	Like/dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4947	r11pk6_7	Like/dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4948	r11pk6_8	Like/dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4949	r11pk6_9	Like/dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
4950	r11pktl_..	How satisfied with: Life in general	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	All in all, how satisfied are you with life?
4951	r11pk15_1	Other people's trustworthiness	discrete	numeric-2.0	8382	8629	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
4952	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Respect for parents and elders, obedience	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	[He/She] believes that he should always show respect for [his/her] parents and for older people. It's important to [him/her] to be obedient.
4953	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Religion important, fulfills religious requirements	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Religious faith is important to [him/her]. [He/She] endeavours to do what [his/her] religion requires.
4954	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Important to help people, wants to make others do well	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	It's very important to [him/her] to help the people around [him/her]. [He/She] wants to do something to make sure they are doing well.
4955	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Treat people equally, equal opportunities	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	[He/She] thinks it's important that all people in the world be treated equally. [He/She] thinks everyone should have equal opportunities in life.
4956	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Interest important, likes to be curious and understand things	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	[He/She] thinks it's important to be interested in things. [He/She] likes to be curious and tries to understand all sorts of issues.
4957	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Likes risks, looks for new experiences	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	[He/She] likes to take risks. [He/She]'s always looking for new experiences.
4958	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Seeks out fun, important to do things that brings pleasure	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	[He/She] seeks out any opportunity to have fun. It is important for [him/her] to do things that give [him/her] pleasure.
4959	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Important to be successful, likes to impress	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	It's important to [him/her] to be successful. [He/She] likes to impress other people.
4960	r11bktiv_..	Resembles me: Likes to lead, wants others to do as they say	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	It is important for [him/her] to take the lead and tell others what to do. [He/She] wants people to do as he says.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4961	r11bktiv..	Resembles me: Tidiness and cleanliness important, doesn't like mess	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	It's important to [him/her] that things are tidy and clean. [He/She] really doesn't like things to be messy.
4962	r11pk53	Confidence in Norwegian politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in Norwegian politicians?
4963	r11pk54	Confidence in Norwegian news media	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Generally speaking, what degree of confidence do you have in the Norwegian news media?
4964	r11pk12	Confidence in Norwegian parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	What degree of confidence do you have in the Norwegian Parliament (Stortinget)?
4965	r11pk55	The number of municipalities should be reduced further by merging	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	From the year 2020, Norway will have 356 municipalities instead of 422 as of today. The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.
4966	r11pk60	The government should work towards recuding income differences	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	The Government should work more to significantly reduce the income differences in society.
4967	r11pkby3	Fears new terrorist attack in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?
4968	r11pk52	Government should protect citizens from terrorism even at expense of privacy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of privacy.
4969	r11pkkm2..	[Chooses variation of question r11pkkm2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	8320	8691	-
4970	r11pkkm2_1	How concerned about climate change: Variant 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How concerned are you about climate change?
4971	r11pkkm2_2	How concerned about climate change: Variant 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How concerned are you about climate change?
4972	r11pkkm2_3	How concerned about climate change: Variant 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How concerned are you about climate change?
4973	r11pkkm2_4	How concerned about climate change: Variant 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How concerned are you about climate change?
4974	r11pkkm42	Should/should not be possible to buy diesel- and petrol cars after 2025	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Which of the following statements most closely reflects your views:
4975	r11pkkm4	Should/should not allow oil and gas extraction in Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas. To what extent do you agree/disagree with this?
4976	r11pkmeme9	Access to asylum in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	What is your opinion on refugees who come to Norway and seek asylum and their opportunity to be granted residence here? Compared to how it is today, do you mean it should be:
4977	r11pkdv5	How good or bad that immigrants come to live in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
4978	r11pkdv55	Muslim religious schools should be possible	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How much do you agree or disagree that it should be possible for parents to send their children to Muslim schools that

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Islamic faith?
4979	r11pkby2	Safety in local environment past few years	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	How much safer or more unsafe has it become?
4980	r11guns	Norwegian police should be armed	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norwegian police should be armed?
4981	r11meme2..	[Text substitution R11MEME20: mother of infant/father of an infant]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2316	14695	-
4982	r11meme20	Possible to be [mother/father] of infant and get ahead in working life	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	In Norway today it is practically possible to be a good [mother of infant/father of an infant] and at the same time get ahead in working life.
4983	r11meme21	It is women's responsibility to avoid unwanted sexual attention	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is women's own responsibility to avoid unwanted sexual attention?
4984	r11pkkla..	Social class, self-placement	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	If you were to place yourself in such a social class, which one would it be?
4985	r11bk33	Employment situation	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
4986	r11bk33 ..	Other: [r11bk33] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
4987	r11bk21	Works in what type of business	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Which type of business do you work in?
4988	r11bk21 ..	Other: [r11bk21] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which type of business do you work in?
4989	r11bk26	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-2.0	8382	8629	What is your highest completed education?
4990	r11bk26 ..	Other: [r11bk26] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What is your highest completed education?
4991	r11bk27	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Marital status.
4992	r11bk27 ..	Other: [r11bk27] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Marital status.
4993	r11bk25	Gross annual income	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	What is your current income?
4994	r11bk35	Type of living area	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Which description best fits the area you live in?
4995	r11bkhelse	General health	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	In general, would you say your health is:
4996	r11bk28	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Citizenship. Are you:
4997	r11bk28 ..	Other: [r11bk28] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Citizenship. Are you:
4998	r11bk29	Immigration background	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Have you or your parents (mother, father, or both parents) immigrated to Norway?
4999	r11bk30	Open text: Country of origin [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which country did you live in before you immigrated?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5000	r11bk30_..	Open text: Country of origin: both parents, mother [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which country did your parents (mother, father, or both) live in before they immigrated?
5001	r11bk30_..	Open text: Country of origin: both parents, father [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which country did your parents (mother, father, or both) live in before they immigrated?
5002	r11bk30_2	Open text: Country of origin: mother [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	In which country did your mother live before she immigrated?
5003	r11bk30_3	Open text: Country of origin: father [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	In which country did your father live before he immigrated?
5004	r11bk42	How many children under 16 living at home	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Do you have children under the age of 16 living at home with you, and in that case how many?
5005	r11bk40	Religion or religious denomination	discrete	numeric-2.0	8382	8629	Do you belong to a religion or a religious denomination?
5006	r11bk41	Attends religious meetings	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	Aside from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, how often would you say you currently attend religious meetings?
5007	r11P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
5008	r11P2	Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
5009	r11P3	County	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
5010	r11P4_1	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
5011	r11P4_2	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
5012	r11P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
5013	r11P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	8382	8629	-
5014	r11_Weig_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.	discrete	numeric-8.3	-	-	-
5015	r11_Weig_..	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.3	-	-	-
5016	r12group	[Subgroup in wave 12. Randomized.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	-
5017	r12inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-50	7193	0	-
5018	r12inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-50	6878	0	-
5019	r12rekr_..	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	-
5020	r12brows_..	[Browertype used by respondent]	discrete	character-50	7193	0	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5021	r12brows ..	[Browser version used by respondent]	discrete	numeric-8.0	6897	10114	-
5022	r12mobil	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	-
5023	r12opplo ..	[Screen resolution of the applied device]	discrete	character-50	7193	0	-
5024	r12enhet ..	[Determines respondents device type.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	-
5025	r12advan ..	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.]	discrete	character-50	7193	0	-
5026	r12getre ..	[Detects the browsers rendering mode]	discrete	character-50	7193	0	-
5027	r12polko ..	[Filter variable for r12polkom1. If r12group = 4 and rekruttert = 4, the respondents are randomized into two groups. If = 1 they get r12polkom1, otherwise r12polkom15.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	294	16717	-
5028	r12polkom1	Open: What does it mean to "trust the media". [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	In your own words, explain what you think it means to trust the media.
5029	r12polko ..	[Randomize if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1. Randomly selects r12polkom15a, b, c, d, e or f]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2692	14319	-
5030	r12polko ..	Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Large textbox. Variation 1 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
5031	r12polko ..	Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Large textbox. Variation 2 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
5032	r12polko ..	Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Large textbox. Variation 3 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
5033	r12polko ..	Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Small textbox. Variation 1 of introduction	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]					
5034	r12polko..	Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Small textbox. Variation 2 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
5035	r12polko..	Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Small textbox. Variation 3 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
5036	r12helse1	How is your health now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How is your health now?
5037	r12helse2	How satisfied with life now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How satisfied are you with life now?
5038	r12helse..	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects either r12helse3_1 or r12helse3_2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1441	15570	-
5039	r12helse..	All norwegian citizens have equal access to government health services.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	All Norwegian citizens do in fact have equal access to health services from the government
5040	r12helse..	All norwegian citizens have same opportunity to get health care safeguarded by public health service	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	All Norwegian citizens have the same opportunity to have their health care needs safeguarded by the public health service
5041	r12helse..	The government offers good-quality health services.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	The government offers good-quality health services
5042	r12helse..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Consultation/treatment GP.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, consultation/treatment at GP
5043	r12helse..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Consultation/treatment dentist.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, consultation/treatment at dentist
5044	r12helse..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Medicines recommended/prescribed by healthcare professional.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, medicines recommended or prescribed by healthcare professional you have been in contact with
5045	r12helse..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Referred physiotherapist, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, treatment (physiotherapist/ physiotherapy, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist, etc.) you have been referred to
5046	r12helse..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Non-referred physiotherapist, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, treatment (at physiotherapist/ physiotherapy, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist, etc.) you have not been referred to
5047	r12helse..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Non-prescription medication as desired without contact with healthcare professionals.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, non-prescription medications as desired, without having been in contact with healthcare professionals
5048	r12helse..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Acupuncture, homeopathy, osteopathy or other alternative therapies.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, acupuncture, homeopathy, osteopathy or other forms of alternative therapies

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5049	r12helse ..	Problems paying for health or dental care: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, other (fill out)
5050	r12helse ..	Other[r12helse4_8] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity].	discrete	character-1	0	0	Yes, other (fill out)
5051	r12helse ..	Problems paying for health or dental care: No.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	No
5052	r12kreft1	Open: Heard about what types of cancer. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What types of cancer have you heard of? If you would like more information about cancer, go to: https://kreftforeningen.no/om-kreft/
5053	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Newspapers and magazines.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Newspapers and magazines
5054	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Books and movies.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Books and movies
5055	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Friends, family or acquaintances who have had cancer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Friends, family or acquaintances who have had cancer
5056	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Health professionals.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Health professionals
5057	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Information brochures.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Information brochures
5058	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Work and education.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Work and education
5059	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: TV and radio.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	TV and radio
5060	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Social media and blogs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Social media and blogs
5061	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Searching the internet.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Searching the internet
5062	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Friends, family or acquaintances.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Friends, family or acquaintances
5063	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Other (fill out)
5064	r12kreft ..	Other[r12kref2_11] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other (fill out)
5065	r12kreft ..	Information about cancer from: No knowledge about cancer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I don't know anything about cancer
5066	r12kreft ..	[Registers if the respondent clicked the link to the Norwegian Cancer Society ("Kreftforeningen") website (only desktop users are registered, not mobile users), if r12group = 1]	discrete	numeric-3.0	8	17003	If you would like more information about cancer, go to: https://kreftforeningen.no/om-kreft/

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5067	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12polkom[5/6/7]a, b or c.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1440	15571	-
5068	r12polko..	Immigration-positive post: How likely to find in media you normally use.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	r12polkom5a question details
5069	r12polko..	Immigration-positive post: How likely to read.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How likely or unlikely would it be for you to read such a post if you had come across it in the media you normally use?
5070	r12polko..	Immigration-positive post: Thinks immigration to Norway is advantage or disadvantage.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	To what degree would you say that the post claims that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?
5071	r12polko..	Immigration-negative post: How likely to find in media you normally use.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	r12polkom5b question details
5072	r12polko..	Immigration-negative post: How likely to read.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How likely or unlikely would it be for you to read such a post if you had come across it in the media you normally use?
5073	r12polko..	Immigration-negative post: Thinks immigration to Norway is advantage or disadvantage.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	To what degree would you say that the post claims that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?
5074	r12polko..	Immigration-neutral post: How likely to find in media you normally use.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	r12polkom5c question details
5075	r12polko..	Immigration-neutral post: How likely to read.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How likely or unlikely would it be for you to read such a post if you had come across it in the media you normally use?
5076	r12polko..	Immigration-neutral post: Thinks immigration to Norway is advantage or disadvantage.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	To what degree would you say that the post claims that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?
5077	r12polkom8	How likely to vote in advisory referendum on restricting immigration to Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How likely is it that you would vote in such a referendum?
5078	r12polkom9	How would you vote in referendum on restricting immigration to Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How would you vote if an advisory referendum on restricting immigration to Norway is held next Monday?
5079	r12polko..	How likely to vote in parliamentary election.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	If there was a parliamentary election next Monday, how likely is it that you would vote?
5080	r12pad16..	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad16a or r12pad16b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1428	15583	-
5081	r12pad16a	Change voting system, easier, harder, or as difficult as today.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Do you think it should be easier, more difficult, or should it be just as difficult as today to change the parliamentary voting system?
5082	r12pad17..	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad17a or r12pad17b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1428	15583	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5083	r12pad17a	Change voting system according to population: Strong cities, weak districts.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal to allocate the number of Storting representatives that will be elected from the different counties solely according to population?
5084	r12pad17b	Change voting system according to population.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal to allocate the number of Storting representatives that will be elected from the different counties solely according to population?
5085	r12pad18..	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad18a, b, c or d]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1423	15588	-
5086	r12pad18a	Change voting system proportionality: Smaller parties stronger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or opposed are you to such a proposal to change the parliamentary voting system?
5087	r12pad18b	Change voting system proportionality: Establishing governments capable of governing harder.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or opposed are you to such a proposal to change the parliamentary voting system?
5088	r12pad18c	Change voting system proportionality.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or opposed are you to such a proposal to change the parliamentary voting system?
5089	r12pad16b	Change voting system, easier, harder or as difficult as today.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Do you think it should be easier, more difficult, or should it be just as difficult as today to change the parliamentary voting system?
5090	r12pad19..	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad19a, b, c or d]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1422	15589	-
5091	r12pad19a	Introduce preferential voting: Strengthen voters' influence.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?
5092	r12pad19b	Introduce preferential voting: Weaken parties' influence.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?
5093	r12pad19c	Introduce preferential voting: List changes do not happen now.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?
5094	r12pad19d	Introduce preferential voting.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?
5095	r12polkom3	Regularly read items posted in alternative media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Do you regularly read items posted on such websites?
5096	r12polko..	Why read alternative media: Editorial news media doesn't cover issues I am interested in.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	The editorial news media do not cover the issues I am interested in
5097	r12polko..	Why read alternative media: Worried about immigration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I am worried about the consequences of immigration and would like more information about this
5098	r12polko..	Why read alternative media: Immigration is a threat to security and Norwegian culture.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I think immigration is a threat to national security and Norwegian culture and want more information about this
5099	r12polko..	Why read alternative media: Trust them more than editorial news media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I have more trust in websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Service (HRS) and Breitbart than editorial news media
5100	r12polko..	Why read alternative media: Editorial news media do not represent my views.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	The editorial news media do not represent my views
5101	r12polko..	Why read alternative media: Editorial news media hides truth about immigration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	The editorial news media try to hide the truth about the consequences of immigration
5102	r12polko..	Why read alternative media: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Other (please specify)
5103	r12polko..	Other [r12polkom4a_7]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other (please specify)
5104	r12polko..	Why not read alternative media: News needs satisfied through editorial news media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I satisfy my need for news through the editorial news media
5105	r12polko..	Why not read alternative media: Generally disagree with the political views presented.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I generally disagree with the political views presented on websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart
5106	r12polko..	Why not read alternative media: Don't trust what is written.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I don't trust that what is written on websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart is true
5107	r12polko..	Why not read alternative media: They are xenophobic in coverage of immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart are xenophobic in their coverage of immigrants
5108	r12polko..	Why not read alternative media: They don't comply with Code of Ethics of the Norwegian Press.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart do not comply with the press ethics embodied in the Code of Ethics of the Norwegian Press.
5109	r12polko..	Why not read alternative media: Have not heard of them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I have not heard of Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart
5110	r12polko..	Why not read alternative media: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Other (please specify)
5111	r12polko..	Other [r12polkom4b_7]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other (please specify)
5112	r12pad0	How well are women represented in Norwegian politics.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your view, how well are women represented in Norwegian politics?
5113	r12pad1	The police reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	The policy reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.
5114	r12pad2	Agree/disagree: All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.
5115	r12pad3	Agree/disagree: Important that municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	It is important that the municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5116	r12pad4	Agree/disagree: Important that municipalities can develop locally adapted service offers.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	It is important that the municipalities have freedom to develop a service offer that is locally adapted.
5117	r12pad5	Agree/disagree: The government should work to reduce wealth differences to a greater extent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	The government should work to reduce the wealth differences in society to a greater extent.
5118	r12pad6 ...	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1384	15627	-
5119	r12pad6 ...	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1384	15627	-
5120	r12pad6 ...	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1384	15627	-
5121	r12pad6	Confident that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens /take decisions which will benefit most citizens].	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Based on only this information, how confident are you that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens /take decisions which will benefit most citizens] in this municipality?
5122	r12pad7 ...	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad7]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1382	15629	-
5123	r12pad7 ...	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad7]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1382	15629	-
5124	r12pad7	Support or oppose gender quotas in [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/ in municipal councils /in boards of listed companies and public businesses/[No treatment]].	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How strongly do you support or oppose gender quotas [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils /in boards of listed companies and public businesses/[No treatment]]?
5125	r12pad8 ...	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects direction of response scale for r12pad8]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1380	15631	-
5126	r12pad8	How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?
5127	r12pad9 ...	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects direction of response scale for r12pad9]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1378	15633	-
5128	r12pad9	How important that political representatives understand complex issues.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your opinion, how important is it that political representatives are able to understand complex issues?
5129	r12pad10	Government followed own platform or people's will: Reducing income inequality.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your opinion, has the Government followed its platform or the people's will (for the most part) in the issue of reducing income inequality in society?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5130	r12pad11	Government followed own platform or people's will: Granting refugees residence in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your opinion, has the Government followed its platform or the people's will (for the most part) in the issue of granting refugees residence in Norway?
5131	r12pad12	Government followed own platform or people's will: Phasing out personal cars running on fossil fuels.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your opinion, has the Government followed its platform or the people's will (for the most part) in the issue about whether all new private vehicles must be electric, hydrogen-powered, or similar from 2025?
5132	r12pad13	Voters vote for political plans for the future or previous actions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In the national parliamentary elections, do you believe that voters mostly vote on the basis of the political plans for the future or rather on the basis of previous actions?
5133	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12polkom14]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1362	15649	-
5134	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12polkom14]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1362	15649	-
5135	r12polko..	Satisfied with child-in-law. Child-in-law Votes [party], [and often/but rarely] talks about politics.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	r12polkom14 question details
5136	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects academic position for person 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5137	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects academic position for person 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5138	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5139	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5140	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5141	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5142	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1360	15651	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Randomly selects political standpoint for person 1]					
5143	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 2]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1360	15651	-
5144	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5145	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5146	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5147	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5148	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5149	r12polko..	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1360	15651	-
5150	r12polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Specifies the order of the various properties shown in the table that is displayed to the respondent]	discrete	character-50	1360	0	-
5151	r12polkom2	Which of two persons give most credible information on immigration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Let's say you didn't have any information other than the above. If you had to make a choice, which of these two do you think would give you the most credible information about the consequences of immigration for Norway?
5152	r12polko..	Confidence in Norwegian research.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In general, how much confidence do you have in the research that Norwegian researchers produce?
5153	r12polko..	How interested in research.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Generally speaking, how interested are you in research?
5154	r12polko..	Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Shared with Facebook contacts.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, I shared the campaign with my Facebook contacts

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5155	r12polko..	Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Donated through Facebook fundraiser.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, I donated money through the fundraiser on Facebook
5156	r12polko..	Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Donated through Vipps.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, I donated money through Vipps
5157	r12polko..	Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Donated through bank transfer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Yes, I donated money through an ordinary bank transfer
5158	r12polko..	Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Did not contribute, knew about fundraiser.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	No, I didn't contribute, but I knew about the campaign
5159	r12polko..	Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Did not contribute, did not know about fundraiser.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	No, I didn't contribute because I had not heard about this campaign
5160	r12polko..	Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Observed involvement of others on Facebook.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I observed the involvement of others in the fundraiser on Facebook
5161	r12polko..	Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Invited directly from people in my Facebook network.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I received a direct invitation from people in my Facebook network
5162	r12polko..	Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: TV, radio, newspapers.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I became aware of the fundraiser through media coverage from TV, radio, newspapers or online newspapers
5163	r12polko..	Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Media coverage shared on Facebook.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I became aware of the fundraiser through media coverage shared on Facebook
5164	r12polko..	Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other social media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I became aware of the fundraiser through other social media (e.g. Twitter, Instagram, Snapchat)
5165	r12polko..	Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Other (please specify)
5166	r12polko..	Other[r12polkom12a_6]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other (please specify)
5167	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support other similar organisations and objectives.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I support other similar organisations and objectives
5168	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Supported Listhaug in the case in question.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I supported Listhaug in the case in question
5169	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Don't support Doctors Without Borders.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I don't support Doctors Without Borders
5170	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser:	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I don't support humanitarian organisations

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Don't support humanitarian organisations.					
5171	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Don't support fundraisers through Facebook.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I don't support fundraisers through Facebook
5172	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: I'm not on facebook.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I'm not on Facebook
5173	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Can't afford it.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I can't afford it
5174	r12polko..	Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Other (please specify)
5175	r12polko..	Other [r12polkom12b_8]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other (please specify)
5176	r12polko..	Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support Doctors Without Borders.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I wanted to support Doctors Without Borders
5177	r12polko..	Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Invitation came from a Facebook friend.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	That the invitation to contribute came from a Facebook friend
5178	r12polko..	Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Show support for campaign to my Facebook network.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I wanted to show my support for the campaign to my Facebook network
5179	r12polko..	Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support fundraiser's political objective.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I wanted to support the political objective of the fundraiser
5180	r12polko..	Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support fundraiser's humanitarian objective.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I wanted to support the humanitarian objective of the fundraiser
5181	r12polko..	Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Other (please specify)
5182	r12polko..	Other [r12polkom13_6]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other (please specify)
5183	r12km4	Thoughts when you hear or read "aquaculture".	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think of when you hear or read the word aquaculture?
5184	r12km5	General impression of aquaculture.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Generally speaking, what is your impression of aquaculture?
5185	r12km1_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects variant of r12km1 (a/b)]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2873	14138	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5186	r12km1_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 1 for r12km1_[a/b]_1]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2873	14138	-
5187	r12km1_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 2 for r12km1_[a/b]_1]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2873	14138	-
5188	r12km1_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 3 for r12km1_[a/b]_2]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2873	14138	-
5189	r12km1_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 4 for r12km1_[a/b]_2]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2873	14138	-
5190	r12km1_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 5 for r12km1_[a/b]_3]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2873	14138	-
5191	r12km1_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 6 for r12km1_[a/b]_3]	discrete	numeric-8.0	2873	14138	-
5192	r12km1_a_1	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?
5193	r12km1_a..	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:
5194	r12km1_a_2	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?
5195	r12km1_a..	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:
5196	r12km1_a_3	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?
5197	r12km1_a..	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5198	r12km1_b_1	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?
5199	r12km1_b..	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:
5200	r12km1_b_2	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?
5201	r12km1_b..	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:
5202	r12km1_b_3	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?
5203	r12km1_b..	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:
5204	r12km2_1	Best use of forest area: Plant new similar forest.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Plant a new forest of the same type (spruce)
5205	r12km2_2	Best use of forest area: Leave the area alone so that a new mixed forest can grow naturally.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Leave the area alone so that a new mixed forest can grow naturally
5206	r12km2_3	Best use of forest area: Farming.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Use the site for farming
5207	r12km2_4	Best use of forest area: Grazing.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Use the area for grazing (e.g. sheep, cattle)
5208	r12km2_5	Best use of forest area: Cabin development.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Use the area for a cabin development
5209	r12km2_txt	Comment [r12km2]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here:
5210	r12km3_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects either r12km3_2 or r12km3_3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2873	14138	-
5211	r12km3_r..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects either r12km3_4 or r12km3_5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2873	14138	-
5212	r12km3_1	Nature and environment measures: Plant spruce where there are no spruce forests already	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Plant spruce where there are no spruce forests already
5213	r12km3_2	Nature and environment measures: Protect marshes and wetlands against land reclamation (converting marshland into farmland)	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Protect marshes and wetlands against land reclamation (converting marshland into farmland)

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5214	r12km3_3	Nature and environment measures: Prohibit land reclamation of marshes and wetlands (converting marshland to farmland)	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Prohibit land reclamation of marshes and wetlands (converting marshland to farmland)
5215	r12km3_4	Nature and environment measures: Protect old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway against logging	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Protect old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway against logging
5216	r12km3_5	Nature and environment measures: Prohibit logging of old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Prohibit logging of old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway
5217	r12km3_6	Nature and environment measures: Invest in the protection of rainforests in other countries	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	Invest in the protection of rainforests in other countries
5218	r12km3_7	Nature and environment measures: Allow abandoned farms to reforest	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	Allow abandoned farms to reforest
5219	r12km6	Which statement best describes views on climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your view on climate change?
5220	r12km7	To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	To what extent do you think it is possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change?
5221	r12dv13_..	[Randomizes if r12group = 3. Randomly selects r12dv13a or r12dv13b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1410	15601	-
5222	r12dv13a_1	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Anxiety.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anxiety
5223	r12dv13a_2	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Fear.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Fear
5224	r12dv13a_3	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Anger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anger
5225	r12dv13a_4	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Envy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Envy
5226	r12dv13a_5	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Compassion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Compassion
5227	r12dv13a_6	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Feel sorry for them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Feel sorry for them
5228	r12dv13a_7	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Pride.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Pride
5229	r12dv13a_8	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Admiration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Admiration
5230	r12dv13a_9	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Disgust.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Disgust

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5231	r12dv13a_.	People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Contempt
5232	r12dv13b_1	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Anxiety.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anxiety
5233	r12dv13b_2	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Fear.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Fear
5234	r12dv13b_3	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Anger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anger
5235	r12dv13b_4	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Envy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Envy
5236	r12dv13b_5	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Compassion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Compassion
5237	r12dv13b_6	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Feel sorry for them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Feel sorry for them
5238	r12dv13b_7	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Pride.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Pride
5239	r12dv13b_8	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Admiration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Admiration
5240	r12dv13b_9	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Disgust.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Disgust
5241	r12dv13b_.	Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Contempt
5242	r12meme2	How likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the Progress Party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the Progress Party?
5243	r12meme3	Reason [r12meme2].	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you give your reasons as to why?
5244	r12meme4	How important is immigration policy to you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
5245	r12meme1_.	[Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects text element for r12meme1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	15518	-
5246	r12meme1	How great advantage/disadvantage for [Norway/the local community] that immigrants come to live here.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for [Norway/the local community] that immigrants come to live here?
5247	r12dv15_.	[Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects text element for r12dv15]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	15518	-
5248	r12dv15	Agree/disagree: Primary- and lower school books should [put more emphasis on/put less emphasis on/reflect] Norway's cultural diversity.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Primary and lower secondary school textbooks should be written so that they [put more emphasis on/put less emphasis on/reflect] the new cultural diversity in Norway.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5249	r12meme6	How good or poor are preconditions for integrating refugees in Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	As you see it, how good or poor are the preconditions in Norwegian society for integrating refugees?
5250	r12meme7_1	Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: You personally.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	You personally
5251	r12meme7_2	Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: Local community and voluntary organisations.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	The local community and voluntary organisations
5252	r12meme7_3	Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: Public institutions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Public institutions
5253	r12meme7_4	Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: Immigrants themselves.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Immigrants themselves
5254	r12meme7..	Other[r12meme7]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Other. Fill in:
5255	r12vbs14_..	[Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects name for r12vbs14_1 and r12vbs14_2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1452	15559	-
5256	r12vbs14_..	[Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects description of Zita for r12vbs141 and r12vbs14_2. Not relevant if r12vbs14_ran1 = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	735	16276	-
5257	r12vbs14_..	[Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects 12vbs14_1 or question wording for r12vbs14_2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1452	15559	-
5258	r12vbs14_1	What she is experiencing is a violation of [human rights / Norwegian values]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: What she is experiencing is a violation of [human rights / Norwegian values].
5259	r12vbs14_2	To what extent do you agree or disagree that [Kari/Zita] should receive additional benefits from the state?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	To what extent do you agree or disagree that [Kari/Zita] should receive additional benefits from the state?
5260	r12meme5_1	How fitting for you personally: Important for me to be unprejudiced toward immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.
5261	r12meme5_2	How fitting for you personally: Don't want to appear racist, even to myself.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
5262	r12meme5_3	How fitting for you personally: Feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5263	r12meme5_4	How fitting for you personally: Try to be unprejudiced toward immigrants due to own convictions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions
5264	r12meme8	How often think, read, or talk about the refugee crisis.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	When it comes to you personally, how often would you say you think about, read or talk about something that deals with this refugee crisis?
5265	r12meme9_..	[Randomizes if r12group = 4 or 5. Randomly selects text element for r12meme9]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2924	14087	-
5266	r12meme9	Has something changed in [your local community/Norway] because of refugee crisis.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	As you see it, has something changed in [your local community/Norway] as a result of the 2015 refugee crisis?
5267	r12meme9_..	Reason [r12meme9] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Please give the reasons for your response in the text box below.
5268	r12dv12_..	[Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects either r12dv12a or r12dv12b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1472	15539	-
5269	r12dv12a_1	People generally feel this about immigrants: Anxiety.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anxiety
5270	r12dv12a_2	People generally feel this about immigrants: Fear.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Fear
5271	r12dv12a_3	People generally feel this about immigrants: Anger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anger
5272	r12dv12a_4	People generally feel this about immigrants: Envy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Envy
5273	r12dv12a_5	People generally feel this about immigrants: Compassion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Compassion
5274	r12dv12a_6	People generally feel this about immigrants: Feel sorry for them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Feel sorry for them
5275	r12dv12a_7	People generally feel this about immigrants: Pride.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Pride
5276	r12dv12a_8	People generally feel this about immigrants: Admiration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Admiration
5277	r12dv12a_9	People generally feel this about immigrants: Disgust.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Disgust
5278	r12dv12a_..	People generally feel this about immigrants: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Contempt
5279	r12dv12b_1	Personally feel this about immigrants: Anxiety.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anxiety
5280	r12dv12b_2	Personally feel this about immigrants: Fear.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Fear
5281	r12dv12b_3	Personally feel this about immigrants: Anger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Anger
5282	r12dv12b_4	Personally feel this about immigrants: Envy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Envy

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5283	r12dv12b_5	Personally feel this about immigrants: Compassion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Compassion
5284	r12dv12b_6	Personally feel this about immigrants: Feel sorry for them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Feel sorry for them
5285	r12dv12b_7	Personally feel this about immigrants: Pride.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Pride
5286	r12dv12b_8	Personally feel this about immigrants: Admiration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Admiration
5287	r12dv12b_9	Personally feel this about immigrants: Disgust.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Disgust
5288	r12dv12b_.	Personally feel this about immigrants: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Contempt
5289	r12arbeid	Main activity (occupational status) last seven days.	discrete	numeric-2.0	7193	9818	Which of the descriptions below best fits what you have been doing over the past 7 days?
5290	r12arbei..	Other [r12arbeid].[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Which of the descriptions below best fits what you have been doing over the past 7 days?
5291	r12tidla..	Have you previously had income-producing work?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Have you previously had income-producing work?
5292	r12hoved..	Type of employment in main occupation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your main occupation [are/were/did] you
5293	r12ansatte	Number of employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How many employees [do/did] you have?
5294	r12leder	Manage/managed how many employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	[Do/Did] you have responsibility for managing other employees' work in your main job?
5295	r12tittel	Title of main occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What [is/was] the name or title of your main occupation?
5296	r12arbei..	Type of work in main occupation.[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What kind of work [do/did] you mostly do in your main occupation?
5297	r12arbei..	Spouse/partner: Currently engaged in income-producing work.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Does your spouse/partner currently have any income-producing work?
5298	r12opp_par	Spouse/partner: Main tasks or source of livelihood.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	What are your spouse's/partner's main tasks or source of livelihood?
5299	r12tidla..	Spouse/partner: Had income-producing work prior to receiving pension/social security.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Did your spouse/partner have income-producing work up to the time he/she started receiving a pension/social security or similar?
5300	r12hoved..	Spouse/partner: Type of employment in main occupation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In their main occupation, [is/was/did] your partner/spouse
5301	r12ansat..	Spouse/partner: Number of employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	How many employees [does/did] your partner/spouse have?
5302	r12leder..	Spouse/partner: Manage/managed how many employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	[Do/Did] your partner/spouse have responsibility for managing other employees' work in their main job?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5303	r12titte ..	Spouse/partner: Title of occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What [is/was] the name or title of the main occupation of your partner/spouse?
5304	r12arbei ..	Spouse/partner: Type of work in main occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What kind of work [does/did] your partner/spouse mostly do in their main occupation?
5305	r12arbei ..	When you were fourteen years old, who was the main breadwinner in your household?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	When you were fourteen years old, who was the main breadwinner in your household?
5306	r12hoved ..	Father: Type of employment in main occupation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your father's main occupation, was/did he....
5307	r12ansat ..	Father: Number of employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Approximately how many employees did your father have?
5308	r12leder ..	Father: Managed how many employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Did your father have responsibility for managing other employees' work in their main job?
5309	r12titte ..	Father: Title of occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What was the name or title of your father's main occupation?
5310	r12arbei ..	Father: Type of work in main occupation. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What kind of work did your father mainly do in his main occupation?
5311	r12hoved ..	Mother: Type of employment in main occupation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	In your mother's main occupation, was/did she....
5312	r12ansat ..	Mother: Number of employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Approximately how many employees did your mother have?
5313	r12leder ..	Mother: Managed how many employees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	Did your mother have responsibility for managing other employees' work in their main job?
5314	r12titte ..	Mother: Title of occupation. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What was the name or title of your mother's main occupation?
5315	r12arbei ..	Mother: Type of work in main occupation. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What kind of work did your mother mostly do in her main occupation?
5316	r12arbei ..	Workplace in the oil or gas industry, or closely related to it.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7193	9818	[Is/was] your workplace in the oil or gas industry, or closely related to it?
5317	r12arbei ..	The year the first job in the oil or gas industry, or closely related to it, started.	discrete	numeric-5.0	7193	9818	When did your first job in the oil or gas industry begin (or your first job closely affiliated with the oil and gas industry)?
5318	r12arbei ..	Comment [r12arbeidolje] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you have additional comments, please write them here:
5319	r12pad14 ..	[r12pad14: Back button used]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1	17010	-
5320	r12pad14 ..	[Registers if the respondent has entered r12pad14]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1403	15608	-
5321	r12pad14_1	Decision 1: Invest how much in the joint kitty.	discrete	numeric-5.0	1284	15727	Decision 1: Please specify how much of your thousand kroner you would like to

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							invest in the joint kitty. I would like to invest...
5322	r12pad15_.	[Registers if the respondent has entered r12pad15]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1395	15616	-
5323	r12pad15_.	[r12pad15: Back button used]	discrete	numeric-1.0	138	16873	-
5324	r12pad15_1	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 0 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1047	15964	Given that the other person invests 0 kroner, I choose to invest
5325	r12pad15_2	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 100 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1027	15984	Given that the other person invests 100 kroner, I choose to invest
5326	r12pad15_3	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 200 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1020	15991	Given that the other person invests 200 kroner, I choose to invest
5327	r12pad15_4	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 300 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1017	15994	Given that the other person invests 300 kroner, I choose to invest
5328	r12pad15_5	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 400 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1014	15997	Given that the other person invests 400 kroner, I choose to invest
5329	r12pad15_6	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 500 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1097	15914	Given that the other person invests 500 kroner, I choose to invest
5330	r12pad15_7	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 600 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1014	15997	Given that the other person invests 600 kroner, I choose to invest
5331	r12pad15_8	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 700 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1014	15997	Given that the other person invests 700 kroner, I choose to invest
5332	r12pad15_9	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 800 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1016	15995	Given that the other person invests 800 kroner, I choose to invest
5333	r12pad15_.	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 900 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1007	16004	Given that the other person invests 900 kroner, I choose to invest
5334	r12pad15_.	Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 1000 kroner, I choose to invest...	discrete	numeric-4.0	1072	15939	Given that the other person invests 1000 kroner, I choose to invest
5335	r12P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	-
5336	r12P2	Region	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	-
5337	r12P3	County	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	-
5338	r12P4_1	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	-
5339	r12P4_2	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	-
5340	r12P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	-
5341	r12P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-8.0	7193	9818	-
5342	r12Weight1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.	discrete	numeric-8.3	-	-	-
5343	r12Weight2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.3	-	-	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5344	r13inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-19	7209	0	-
5345	r13inter_..	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-19	6816	0	-
5346	r13rekr_..	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]	discrete	numeric-2.0	7209	9802	-
5347	r13brows_..	[Browertype used by respondent]	discrete	character-50	7209	0	-
5348	r13brows_..	[Browser version used by respondent]	discrete	character-1	7209	0	-
5349	r13mobil	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5350	r13opplo_..	[Screen resolution of the applied device]	discrete	character-50	7209	0	-
5351	r13enhet_..	[Determines respondents device type.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5352	r13advan_..	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.]	discrete	character-50	7209	0	-
5353	r13getre_..	[Detects the browsers rendering mode]	discrete	character-50	7209	0	-
5354	r13group	[Subgroup in wave 13. Randomized.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5355	r13pk6_1	Like/Dislike: The Christian Democratic Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Christian Democratic Party
5356	r13pk6_2	Like/Dislike: The Conservative Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Conservative Party
5357	r13pk6_3	Like/Dislike: The Progress Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Progress Party
5358	r13pk6_4	Like/Dislike: The Liberal Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Liberal Party
5359	r13pk6_5	Like/Dislike: The Socialist Left Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Socialist Left Party
5360	r13pk6_6	Like/Dislike: The Centre Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Centre Party
5361	r13pk6_7	Like/Dislike: The Green Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Green Party
5362	r13pk6_8	Like/Dislike: The Labour Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Labour Party
5363	r13pk6_9	Like/Dislike: The Red Party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	The Red Party
5364	r13meme1_..	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme1_1-3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1370	15641	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5365	r13meme1_1	Most important political issue: 1. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are, in your opinion, the main political issues or areas for [Norway/ your local community]?
5366	r13meme1_2	Most important political issue: 2. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are, in your opinion, the main political issues or areas for [Norway/ your local community]?
5367	r13meme1_3	Most important political issue: 3. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What are, in your opinion, the main political issues or areas for [Norway/ your local community]?
5368	r13km1	What do you think when you hear or read "climate change" [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you think when you hear or read "climate change"
5369	eips2018_..	[Collects values from eips2017c_ran]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1760	15251	-
5370	eips2018c	To what degree do you see climate change as a threat [BLANK/for you personally/ for Norway/for Europe/for the World].	discrete	numeric-2.0	7209	9802	To what extent do you see climate change as a threat [BLANK / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world]?
5371	r13km_mo_..	[Randomly selects text element for r13km_moral if r13group = 4 or 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2777	14234	-
5372	r13km_mo_..	Agree with which position on allocation of emission cuts: Norwegian economic interests vs [R13KM_MORAL_RAN].	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Which of these positions do you most agree with?
5373	r13kmmor_..	Elaboration on allocation of climate cuts between countries [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	We would like to ask you to elaborate on your opinion on how the responsibility for emission cuts should be allocated between countries.
5374	r13kmplast	Participated in campaigns to collect plastic from nature last 12 months.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Have you taken part in any campaigns designed to collect plastic from the countryside during the last 12 months?
5375	r13kmpla_..	Comments to [R13KMPLAST] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you have any comments, please write them here.
5376	r13km30	To what degree moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	To what degree do you regard it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute towards preventing climate change?
5377	r13km64	How positive or negative will climate change be for Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How positive or negative do you think climate change will be for Norway?
5378	r13km21_1	Feel this about climate change: Hope.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Hope
5379	r13km21_2	Feel this about climate change: Sadness.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Sadness
5380	r13km21_3	Feel this about climate change: Fear.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Fear
5381	r13km21_4	Feel this about climate change: Anger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Anger

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5382	r13km21_5	Feel this about climate change: Guilt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Guilt
5383	r13km21_6	Feel this about climate change: Tired of it.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Tired of it
5384	r13kmkop..	[Randomly selects direction of response scale for r13kmkopris if r13group = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1448	15563	-
5385	r13kmkop..	Positive or negative about rush hour increases in toll ring cost.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do you feel positive or negative about such a scheme?
5386	r13km_ko..	Comment on [R13KMKOPRIS] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	You can write a comment here if you want:
5387	r13km_kl..	Agree/disagree: Unsure about what I can do to reduce climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	I'm unsure what I can do in my daily life to reduce climate change.
5388	r13km_po..	Agree/disagree: I am unsure about what policy measure are good for reducing climate change.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	I am unsure what policy measures are good for reducing climate change.
5389	r13km_po..	Comment on [R13KM_POLITISK_TILTAK] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	If you have additional comments regarding policy measures, please write them here:
5390	r13polko..	How confident that fact-checking services present facts.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have that such services present the facts?
5391	r13polko..	[Randomly selects political party for r13polkom11 if r13group = 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1388	15623	-
5392	r13polko..	[Randomly selects conclusion for r13polkom11 if r13group = 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1388	15623	-
5393	r13polko..	Confidence in fact-checking service given that statement from [party] was deemed [wrong/true.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Based on the above information, how much confidence would you have had in the conclusion of the fact-checking service on this matter?
5394	r13kmkt_..	[Randomly selects direction of response scale for r13kmktfamiliar, r13kmktresearch and r13kmktuse]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1495	15516	-
5395	r13kmktf..	[Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktfamiliar, based on r13kmkt_ran]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1495	15516	-
5396	r13kmktf..	How much knowledge about climate manipulation (solar geoengineering).	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How much knowledge would you say that you have about this method?
5397	r13kmktr..	[Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktresearch, based on r13kmkt_ran]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1487	15524	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5398	r13kmktr..	Should be research program for climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do you think there should be an international research program to explore the benefits and risks associated with shading the sun using particles in the atmosphere?
5399	r13kmktu..	[Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktuse, based on r13kmkt_ran]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1484	15527	-
5400	r13kmktuse	Agree/disagree: Climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere should be used to limit global warming.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "Shading the sun by using particles in the atmosphere should be used to limit global warming"
5401	r13kmktr..	What percentage of Norwegian population would support research into climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere.	discrete	numeric-3.0	1398	15613	What proportion of the Norwegian population do you think will support an international research programme of the type we have just mentioned? (I.e. an international research program to explore the benefits and risks associated with shading the sun using particles in the atmosphere?)
5402	r13kmktu..	What proportion of Norwegian population would support using climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere.	discrete	numeric-3.0	1378	15633	What proportion of the Norwegian population do you believe will agree with this assertion: "Shading the sun by using particles in the atmosphere should be used to limit global warming"?
5403	r13kmktr..	Justification of response : [R13KMTRESEARCH] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you write some words or sentences in the box below to justify your response?
5404	r13polko..	[Randomly selects r13polkom2 or r13polkom5. Randomizes if 13group = 3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1470	15541	-
5405	r13polkom2	Open: Difference between real and fake news. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	We are interested in what you think is the difference between real and fake news?
5406	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects a person 1's position.. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5407	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects a person 2's position.. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5408	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5409	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 2.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]					
5410	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5411	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5412	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2845	14166	-
5413	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2845	14166	-
5414	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5415	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5416	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5417	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5418	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5419	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2845	14166	-
5420	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Specifies the order of the various properties shown in	discrete	character-50	2845	0	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		the table that is displayed to the respondent]					
5421	r13polkom4	Which person gives the most credible information about the consequences of municipality mergers?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	If you had to make a choice, which of these two do you think would give you the most credible information about the consequences of municipality mergers in Norway?
5422	r13pad1	For/against: Ban on begging in your municipality.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Firstly, what is your opinion on a ban on begging in your municipality?
5423	r13pad2	How important is the issue of begging bans to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How important is the issue of begging bans to you?
5424	r13pad3	For/against: Increase in tolls for diesel cars in your municipality.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	What is your opinion on an increase in the tolls for diesel cars in your municipality?
5425	r13pad4	How important is the issue of increased tolls for diesel cars to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How important is the issue of increased tolls for diesel cars to you?
5426	r13pad6_..	[Randomly chooses r13pad6a, r13pad7a, and r13pad8a, or r13pad6b, r13pad7b, and r13pad8b. . Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	14168	-
5427	r13pad5_..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects issue of a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	14168	-
5428	r13pad5_..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the outcome of the issue of a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	14168	-
5429	r13pad5_..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the winning margin in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	14168	-
5430	r13pad5_..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the reaction of the winner in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	14168	-
5431	r13pad5_..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects statement made by losing side in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	14168	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5432	r13pad6a	Text options: How fair was the way the decision was made.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	What do you think about the way the decision was made?
5433	r13pad6b	Scale options: How fair was the way the decision was made.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	What do you think about the way the decision was made?
5434	r13pad7a	Text options: How reasonable was the decision.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How reasonable do you think the decision was?
5435	r13pad7b	Scale options: How reasonable was the decision.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How reasonable do you think the decision was?
5436	r13pad8a	Text options: How willing to accept the decision.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	When you think about the actual outcome of the decision, how willing are you to accept the decision?
5437	r13pad8b	Scale options: How willing to accept the decision.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	When you think about the actual outcome of the decision, how willing are you to accept the decision?
5438	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects party preference for the person who posts in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1446	15565	-
5439	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the source of the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1446	15565	-
5440	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the persons view on the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1446	15565	-
5441	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the headline of the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1446	15565	-
5442	r13polkom6	How likely to come across a similar situation on social media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How likely is it that you would have come across a similar situation on social media?
5443	r13polkom7	How likely to click on and read article had you come across it.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How likely is it that you would have clicked on and read this debate article if you had come across this post on social media?
5444	r13polkom8	How likely to want to see more posts from that person again on social media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How likely is it that you had wanted to see more posts from that person again on social media?
5445	r13polkom9	Based on headline, the article argues that immigration is advantage or disadvantage to Norway.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Based on the headline of the debate article, to what extent would you say that the debate article argues that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5446	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the gender of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5447	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the position of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5448	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the age of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5449	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the message of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5450	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the gender of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5451	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the position of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5452	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the age of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5453	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the emotion of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5454	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the work environment of the	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]					
5455	r13polko..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the location of the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2899	14112	-
5456	r13polko..	Perceives the situation as sexual harassment.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	As you perceive it, does this situation describe sexual harassment?
5457	r13polko..	Agree/disagree: situation is problematic.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	To what extent do you agree or disagree that this describes a problematic situation?
5458	r13pad11..	[Randomly selects r13pad11a or r13pad11b. Randomizes if r13group = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1438	15573	-
5459	r13pad11a	Improvement or deterioration: Automatization of public services.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Based on what you know, do you think the shift from human to automated decisions will in general lead to an improvement or deterioration in public services?
5460	r13pad11b	Improvement or deterioration, with examples: Automatization of public services.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Based on what you know, do you think the shift from human to automated decisions will in general lead to an improvement or deterioration in public services?
5461	r13pad12	Reason [R13PAD11][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Can you give the reasons for your answer?
5462	r13pad13_1	Automatization of public services leads to what in: Verifiability.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Verifiability: Opportunity for those affected by a decision to be given an explanation for it
5463	r13pad13_2	Automatization of public services leads to what in: Impartiality.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Impartiality: Opportunity for citizens to be treated equally by the authorities
5464	r13pad13_3	Automatization of public services leads to what in: Legitimacy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Legitimacy: The probability that citizens comply voluntarily with the decisions of the authorities
5465	r13pad14	How much knowledge about automated decisions in public sector.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How much knowledge would you say that you have about automated decisions in the public sector?
5466	r13polkom5	Reason for why one person is more credible than the other [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	Please give reasons for why you think one person is more credible than the other.
5467	r13polko..	[Logs movement backward in the questionnaire, from r13polkom5 to r13polkom 4.. Tracks if r13group = 3, r13polkom2 = 1 and response on r13polkom4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	201	16810	-
5468	r13meme3..	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme3. Randomizes if r13group = 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1342	15669	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5469	r13meme3	How is the integration of refugees in [your local community/municipality/Norway] going.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How do you think that the integration of refugees who are residing in [your local community/your municipality/Norway] is going?
5470	r13meme4..	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme4. Randomizes if r13group = 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1340	15671	-
5471	r13meme4	How good/bad did [local/national] authorities handle arrival of refugees to Norway in 2015.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How well or poorly do you think [national/local] authorities handled the arrival of refugees in Norway in 2015?
5472	r13meme5..	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme5. Randomizes if r13group = 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1339	15672	-
5473	r13meme5	How much say did representatives in [your municipality/Norway] have on amount of refugees coming to [municipality/Norway].	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How much say do you think elected representatives [in your municipality/in Norway] have had on the number of refugees who have come [to the municipality/to Norway]?
5474	r13meme6..	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme6. Randomizes if r13group = 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1338	15673	-
5475	r13meme6	Representatives in [your municipality/Norway] should accept how many refugees.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	What do you think that the elected representatives [in your municipality/Norway] should do?
5476	r13meme1..	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme10a, r13meme10b and r13meme10c.. Randomizes if r13group = 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1337	15674	-
5477	r13meme10a	How much are issues of refugees and immigration discussed in [Norway/your municipality/your local community] these days.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How much would you say that issues relating to refugees and immigration are discussed in [Norway/your municipality/your local community] these days?
5478	r13meme1..	Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: Calm-heated.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?
5479	r13meme1..	Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: Objective-non-objective.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?
5480	r13meme1..	Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: A source of conflict-Solution oriented.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?
5481	r13meme1..	Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: Interesting-Boring.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5482	r13meme1..	Get information about refugees/immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] 1:	discrete	character-1	0	0	How do you get information about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/your local community]?
5483	r13meme1..	Get information about refugees/immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] 2:	discrete	character-1	0	0	How do you get information about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/your local community]?
5484	r13meme1..	Get information about refugees/immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] 3:	discrete	character-1	0	0	How do you get information about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/your local community]?
5485	r13meme2	Refugees live in your local community.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do refugees reside in your local community?
5486	r13meme9..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects an organisation in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4259	12752	-
5487	r13meme9..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the event in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4259	12752	-
5488	r13meme9..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the location in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4259	12752	-
5489	r13meme9..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the message in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4259	12752	-
5490	r13meme9..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the heritage of the organisation in the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4259	12752	-
5491	r13meme9..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects if the organisation denies right wing extremism in the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4259	12752	-
5492	r13meme9..	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects characteristics of some members in organisation in the hypothetical situation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4259	12752	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]					
5493	r13meme9a	Organisation should be given permission to hold event.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be given permission to do so?
5494	r13meme9b	Organisation poses a threat to democracy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the organisation described above poses a threat to democracy?
5495	r13meme8..	People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Contempt
5496	r13meme8..	People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Admiration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Admiration
5497	r13meme8..	People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Compassion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Compassion
5498	r13meme8..	People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Envy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Envy
5499	r13meme8..	People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Anger.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Anger
5500	r13meme8..	People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Fear.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Fear
5501	r13meme8..	People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Feel sorry for them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Feel sorry for them
5502	r13meme8..	You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Contempt
5503	r13meme8..	You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Admiration	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Admiration
5504	r13meme8..	You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Compassion	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Compassion
5505	r13meme8..	You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Envy	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Envy
5506	r13meme8..	You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Anger
5507	r13meme8..	You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Fear	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Fear
5508	r13meme8..	You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Feel sorry for them	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Feel sorry for them
5509	r13meme7..	People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Contempt
5510	r13meme7..	People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Admiration

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5511	r13meme7..	People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Compassion	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Compassion
5512	r13meme7..	People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Envy	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Envy
5513	r13meme7..	People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Anger
5514	r13meme7..	People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Fear	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Fear
5515	r13meme7..	You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Contempt.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Contempt.
5516	r13meme7..	You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Admiration
5517	r13meme7..	You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Compassion	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Compassion
5518	r13meme7..	You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Envy	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Envy
5519	r13meme7..	You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Anger	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Anger
5520	r13meme7..	You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Fear	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Fear
5521	r13meme1..	How good or poor description of you: Important to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants
5522	r13meme1..	How good or poor description of you: Do not want to appear racist, even to myself.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
5523	r13meme1..	How good or poor description of you: Feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.
5524	r13meme1..	How good or poor description of you: Try to be unprejudiced about immigrants due to my own convictions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.
5525	ocr13mat..	[If value on r7ran24 it is used. If not, a random value is selected.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	6980	10031	-
5526	ocr13mat..	[If value on r7ran24_1 it is used. If not, a random value is selected if ocr13mat_ran = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1173	15838	-

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5527	ocr13matc1	Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
5528	ocr13mat..	Norway should let people from Muslim countries come and live here.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do you think Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?
5529	ocr13matc2	Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
5530	ocr13mat..	Norway should let people from Easter European countries come and live here.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do you think Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?
5531	ocr13matc3	Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
5532	ocr13mat..	Norway should let immigrants from Muslim countries come and live here.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do you think Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?
5533	ocr13matc4	Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
5534	ocr13mat..	Norway should let refugees from muslim countries come and live here.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Do you think Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?
5535	ocr13matt1	Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, people from Muslim countries.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	ocr13matt1 question details
5536	ocr13matt2	Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, people from Eastern Europe.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	ocr13matt2 question details
5537	ocr13matt3	Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, immigrants from Muslim countries.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	ocr13matt3 question details

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5538	ocr13matt4	Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, refugees from Muslim countries.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	ocr13matt4 question details
5539	r13polkom1	Open: Which values are important for Norwegian society and identity [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What values do you think are most important for Norwegian society and identity?
5540	r13polko..	[Randomly selects between r13polkom14a-c, and then r13polkom14a-c. Randomizes if r13group = 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1321	15690	-
5541	r13polko..	The way democracy works in Norway: How satisfied.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	All things considered, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
5542	r13polko..	The way democracy works in Norway: How pleased.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	All things considered, how pleased are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
5543	r13polko..	The way democracy works in Norway: How enthusiastic.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	All in all, how enthusiastic are you about the way democracy works in Norway?
5544	r13polko..	Your current personal financial situation: How satisfied.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How satisfied are you with your current personal financial situation?
5545	r13polko..	Your current personal financial situation: How pleased.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How pleased are you with your current personal financial situation?
5546	r13polko..	Your current personal financial situation: How enthusiastic.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	How enthusiastic are you about your current personal financial situation?
5547	r13polko..	[Randomly selects between r13polkom16a-c. Randomizes if r13group = 5]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1321	15690	-
5548	r13polko..	How fortunate would you say you are.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	All in all, how fortunate would you say you are?
5549	r13polko..	How happy would you say you are.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	All in all, how happy would you say you are?
5550	r13polko..	How privileged would you say you are.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	All in all, how privileged would you say you are?
5551	r13pad10..	[Randomly selects between r13pad10a-d. Randomizes if r13group = 1]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1422	15589	-
5552	r13pad10..	Give amount of NOK if you are decision maker.	discrete	numeric-4.0	349	16662	What do you choose? I choose to give NOK:
5553	r13pad10b	Give money or refrain if you are decision maker.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	What do you choose? I choose to:
5554	r13pad10..	Give amount of NOK if you are decision maker, can refrain [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you choose? I choose to: Give NOK...
5555	r13pad10..	Take amount of NOK if you are decision maker.	discrete	numeric-4.0	322	16689	What do you choose?

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5556	r13pad10d	Take money or refrain if you are decision maker.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	What do you choose? I choose to:
5557	r13pad10..	Take amount of NOK if you are decision maker, can refrain. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-1	0	0	What do you choose? I choose to: Take NOK ...
5558	r13pad9..	[Randomly selects between r13pad9a-d. Randomizes if r13group = 2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1452	15559	-
5559	r13pad9a_1	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 0	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	0 NOK
5560	r13pad9a_2	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 500	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	500 NOK
5561	r13pad9a_3	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1000	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	1000 NOK
5562	r13pad9a_4	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1500	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	1500 NOK
5563	r13pad9a_5	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	2000 NOK
5564	r13pad9b_1	How socially acceptable to refrain from giving.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Refrain from taking a choice
5565	r13pad9b_2	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 0, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Give to the recipient: NOK 0
5566	r13pad9b_3	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 500, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Give to the recipient: NOK 500
5567	r13pad9b_4	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1000, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Give to the recipient: NOK 1,000
5568	r13pad9b_5	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1500, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Give to the recipient: NOK 1,500
5569	r13pad9b_6	How socially acceptable to give NOK: 2000, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Give to the recipient: NOK 2,000
5570	r13pad9c_1	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 0	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 0
5571	r13pad9c_2	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 500	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 500
5572	r13pad9c_3	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1000	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 1,000
5573	r13pad9c_4	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1500	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 1,500
5574	r13pad9c_5	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 2,000
5575	r13pad9d_1	How socially acceptable to refrain from taking.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	Refrain from taking a choice
5576	r13pad9d_2	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 0, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 0
5577	r13pad9d_3	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 500, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 500
5578	r13pad9d_4	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1000, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 1000

File w1-w13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5579	r13pad9d_5	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1500, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 1500
5580	r13pad9d_6	How socially acceptable to take NOK: 2000, can refrain.	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	NOK 2000
5581	r13P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5582	r13P2	Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5583	r13P3	County	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5584	r13P4_1	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5585	r13P4_2	Highest completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5586	r13P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5587	r13P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	7209	9802	-
5588	r13Weight1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.	discrete	numeric-8.5	7209	9802	-
5589	r13Weight2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report	discrete	numeric-8.5	7209	9802	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 5589 variable(s)

File : w1-w13

responseid: responseid

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=17010 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1008661.265 /-] [StdDev=5074.318 /-]

w01_u: Randomizes the respondents into two groups

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]

Pre-question Randomizes the respondents into two groups. The two groups are asked questions common to them both, and questions unique to the group.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	u1	2471	50.4%
2	u2	2434	49.6%
Sysmiss		12106	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k1: Interest in politics

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Literal question In general, how interested are you in politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	505	449.1	9.2%
2	Interested	2158	2033.0	41.4%
3	Somewhat interested	1671	1772.7	36.1%
4	Not interested	490	541.2	11.0%
5	Not interested at all	73	100.3	2.0%
97	No answer	8	8.6	0.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k2: Voted in the elections

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Literal question Did you vote at the parliamentary elections this autumn?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4199	4143.1	84.5%
2	No	682	734.3	15.0%
3	Don't remember	7	7.1	0.1%
97	No answer	17	20.5	0.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k3: Voted for following party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Literal question Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?

File : w1-w13

w01_k3: Voted for following party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Christian Democratic Party	213	184.4	3.8%
2	The Conservative Party	1158	1125.7	23.0%
3	The Progress Party	534	629.4	12.8%
4	The Liberal Party	291	248.7	5.1%
5	The Socialist Left Party	263	206.9	4.2%
6	The Centre Party	175	174.5	3.6%
7	The Green Party	171	137.2	2.8%
8	The Labour Party	1188	1228.7	25.0%
9	Red	80	64.5	1.3%
10	Other:	67	74.5	1.5%
97	No answer	59	68.7	1.4%
98	Not asked	706	761.9	15.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k3_10_other: Text: Voted for following party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w01_k4: Time of choosing party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	When did you decide to vote for the party or list you chose?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Before the election campaign	2749	2770.8	56.5%
2	During the election campaign	1041	967.1	19.7%
3	On the day of the election	335	325.2	6.6%
97	No answer	74	80.0	1.6%
98	Not asked	706	761.9	15.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k5_1: Reasons not to vote in elections: too small differences between parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons for people not voting at parliamentary elections. Indicate how important the following reasons were for you:
Literal question	The differences between the parties are too minor

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	51	62.5	1.3%
2	Important	98	107.6	2.2%

File : w1-w13

w01_k5_1: Reasons not to vote in elections: too small differences between parties

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Somewhat important	130	150.8	3.1%
4	Not very important	84	80.3	1.6%
5	Not important at all	125	132.9	2.7%
97	No answer	194	200.1	4.1%
98	Not asked	4223	4170.7	85.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k5_2: Reasons not to vote in elections: not committed to important issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons for people not voting at parliamentary elections. Indicate how important the following reasons were for you:
Literal question	I am not committed to any important issues

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	33	32.7	0.7%
2	Important	75	93.6	1.9%
3	Somewhat important	121	132.6	2.7%
4	Not very important	93	113.2	2.3%
5	Not important at all	157	146.1	3.0%
97	No answer	203	216.2	4.4%
98	Not asked	4223	4170.7	85.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k5_3: Reasons not to vote in elections: not familiar with issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons for people not voting at parliamentary elections. Indicate how important the following reasons were for you:
Literal question	I am not familiar enough with what the parties represent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	89	125.0	2.5%
2	Important	135	140.8	2.9%
3	Somewhat important	97	112.3	2.3%
4	Not very important	63	56.8	1.2%
5	Not important at all	119	110.3	2.2%
97	No answer	179	189.1	3.9%
98	Not asked	4223	4170.7	85.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k5_4: Reasons not to vote in elections: lacking confidence in politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

File : w1-w13

w01_k5_4: Reasons not to vote in elections: lacking confidence in politicians

Pre-question There may be many reasons for people not voting at parliamentary elections. Indicate how important the following reasons were for you:

Literal question I lack confidence in politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	118	152.5	3.1%
2	Important	116	125.1	2.5%
3	Somewhat important	101	117.5	2.4%
4	Not very important	55	56.8	1.2%
5	Not important at all	117	106.6	2.2%
97	No answer	175	175.7	3.6%
98	Not asked	4223	4170.7	85.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k5_5: Reasons not to vote in elections: lack of time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Pre-question There may be many reasons for people not voting at parliamentary elections. Indicate how important the following reasons were for you:

Literal question I didn't have the time or opportunity

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	125	122.4	2.5%
2	Important	75	86.2	1.8%
3	Somewhat important	72	80.4	1.6%
4	Not very important	64	77.2	1.6%
5	Not important at all	184	196.4	4.0%
97	No answer	162	171.6	3.5%
98	Not asked	4223	4170.7	85.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_1: Like or dislike party: Christian Democratic Party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Pre-question We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Christian Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	338	368.1	7.5%
2	Somewhat dislike	571	563.1	11.5%
3	Somewhat dislike	1084	1064.5	21.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1197	1226.6	25.0%
5	Somewhat like	1015	998.8	20.4%
6	Significantly like	365	330.1	6.7%
7	Strongly like	73	69.6	1.4%
97	No answer	262	284.2	5.8%

File : w1-w13

w01_k6_1: Like or dislike party: Christian Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_2: Like or dislike party: Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	118	135.9	2.8%
2	Somewhat dislike	375	362.3	7.4%
3	Somewhat dislike	728	694.9	14.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	642	655.6	13.4%
5	Somewhat like	1224	1232.2	25.1%
6	Significantly like	1191	1168.5	23.8%
7	Strongly like	392	393.3	8.0%
97	No answer	235	262.3	5.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_3: Like or dislike party: Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Progress Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	1086	982.7	20.0%
2	Somewhat dislike	827	737.3	15.0%
3	Somewhat dislike	677	655.5	13.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	484	520.5	10.6%
5	Somewhat like	838	898.0	18.3%
6	Significantly like	515	576.5	11.8%
7	Strongly like	236	271.5	5.5%
97	No answer	242	262.9	5.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_4: Like or dislike party: Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Liberal Party

File : w1-w13

w01_k6_4: Like or dislike party: Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	135	168.5	3.4%
2	Somewhat dislike	296	311.8	6.4%
3	Somewhat dislike	792	851.7	17.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1426	1473.5	30.0%
5	Somewhat like	1320	1224.6	25.0%
6	Significantly like	533	474.9	9.7%
7	Strongly like	125	104.4	2.1%
97	No answer	278	295.7	6.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_5: Like or dislike party: Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	681	709.6	14.5%
2	Somewhat dislike	768	751.2	15.3%
3	Somewhat dislike	772	785.9	16.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	982	1058.5	21.6%
5	Somewhat like	832	766.6	15.6%
6	Significantly like	441	386.9	7.9%
7	Strongly like	137	129.1	2.6%
97	No answer	292	317.4	6.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_6: Like or dislike party: Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Centre Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	267	272.6	5.6%
2	Somewhat dislike	530	507.5	10.3%
3	Somewhat dislike	1049	1015.2	20.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1559	1601.2	32.6%
5	Somewhat like	917	898.9	18.3%
6	Significantly like	235	233.6	4.8%
7	Strongly like	57	54.9	1.1%
97	No answer	291	321.1	6.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

File : w1-w13

w01_k6_6: Like or dislike party: Centre Party

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_7: Like or dislike party: Green Party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Pre-question We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	349	393.7	8.0%
2	Somewhat dislike	391	413.7	8.4%
3	Somewhat dislike	630	650.8	13.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1588	1627.7	33.2%
5	Somewhat like	1041	972.7	19.8%
6	Significantly like	478	407.8	8.3%
7	Strongly like	136	119.8	2.4%
97	No answer	292	318.8	6.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_8: Like or dislike party: Labour Party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Pre-question We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Labour Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	209	239.8	4.9%
2	Somewhat dislike	360	347.1	7.1%
3	Somewhat dislike	670	671.1	13.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	631	675.0	13.8%
5	Somewhat like	1236	1160.7	23.7%
6	Significantly like	1168	1134.9	23.1%
7	Strongly like	401	434.3	8.9%
97	No answer	230	242.1	4.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k6_9: Like or dislike party: Red

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Pre-question We would like you to assess how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question Red

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	1076	1095.3	22.3%
2	Somewhat dislike	794	789.2	16.1%
3	Somewhat dislike	743	747.8	15.2%

File : w1-w13

w01_k6_9: Like or dislike party: Red

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Neither dislike nor like	1205	1244.4	25.4%
5	Somewhat like	548	509.2	10.4%
6	Significantly like	174	150.8	3.1%
7	Strongly like	69	58.0	1.2%
97	No answer	296	310.2	6.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k7: Satisfied with new Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the new Government of Norway?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very satisfied	287	293.4	6.0%
2	Satisfied	1564	1573.2	32.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1475	1529.1	31.2%
4	Dissatisfied	1035	992.9	20.2%
5	Very dissatisfied	428	397.7	8.1%
97	No answer	116	118.8	2.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt19: Anticipated red-green victory

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	If you consider the period prior to the parliamentary elections in September, did you expect the red-green government to continue after the election?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	428	450.5	9.2%
2	No	1952	1895.0	38.6%
97	Not asked	54	59.2	1.2%
98	No answer	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt20: Government coalition considered most likely

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	In your opinion, which government did you consider to be most likely?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Conservative Party	94	105.1	2.1%
2	The Conservative Party and the Progress Party	675	666.1	13.6%
3	The Conservative Party, the Progress Party, the Christian Democratic Party and the Liberal Party	616	573.3	11.7%

File : w1-w13

w01_dt20: Government coalition considered most likely

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	The Conservative Party, the Progress Party and the Christian Democratic Party	148	150.4	3.1%
5	The Conservative Party, the Progress Party and the Liberal Party	171	170.3	3.5%
6	The Conservative Party, the Christian Democratic Party and the Liberal Party	138	114.5	2.3%
7	The Labour Party	51	53.8	1.1%
8	Other:	20	18.9	0.4%
97	No answer	39	42.7	0.9%
98	Not asked	2953	3010.0	61.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt20_8_other: Text: Government coalition considered most likely

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, which government did you consider to be most likely?
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w01_dt21: Would vote differently if premonition of outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	Now that you know that a Conservative Party and Progress Party government was the outcome of the election, would you have changed your vote if you had known this in advance?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	196	198.2	4.0%
2	No	2142	2111.6	43.1%
97	No answer	96	94.8	1.9%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt22: Would vote for following party if knowledge of outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	Which party would have voted for if this is the case?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Christian Democratic Party	10	8.8	0.2%
2	The Conservative Party	17	13.5	0.3%
3	The Progress Party	5	6.8	0.1%
4	The Liberal Party	28	29.5	0.6%
5	The Socialist Left Party	9	9.7	0.2%
6	The Centre Party	8	8.3	0.2%
7	The Green Party	18	14.5	0.3%

File : w1-w13

w01_dt22: Would vote for following party if knowledge of outcome

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	The Labour Party	96	99.1	2.0%
9	Other:	2	4.1	0.1%
97	No answer	3	4.0	0.1%
98	Not asked	4709	4706.8	96.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt22_9_other: Text: Would vote for following party if knowledge of outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party would have voted for if this is the case?
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w01_k8_1: Placement on the political spectrum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics one often speaks of the "left wing" and "right wing." Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are at the far left politically, while 10 represents those who are at the far right.
Literal question	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	88	92.2	1.9%
1	1	127	115.5	2.4%
2	2	351	325.6	6.6%
3	3	629	563.9	11.5%
4	4	503	492.1	10.0%
5	5	683	742.3	15.1%
6	6	649	610.5	12.4%
7	7	716	688.9	14.0%
8	8	485	528.1	10.8%
9	9	183	198.6	4.0%
10	10 Right	162	183.2	3.7%
97	No answer	138	142.8	2.9%
99	No response	191	221.3	4.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k9_1: 1. Text: Most important political issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important. [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

File : w1-w13

w01_k9_1_sak1_kod: 1. Most important political issues - recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4292 /-] [Invalid=12719 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important. [Recode of w01_k9_1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1001	Helse	460	22.6%
2002	Miljø	285	14.0%
3003	Utdanning	124	6.1%
4004	Samferdsel	114	5.6%
5005	Innvandring	208	10.2%
6006	Økonomi (privat og samfunn)	158	7.8%
7007	Arbeid	40	2.0%
8008	Velferd	82	4.0%
9009	Likhet	23	1.1%
1010	Kultur	17	0.8%
1111	Forsvar	10	0.5%
1212	Justis	18	0.9%
1313	Næring	63	3.1%
1414	Utenriks	15	0.7%
1515	Distrikt/by og land	37	1.8%
1616	Internasjonalt	9	0.4%
1717	Seniorpolitikk	61	3.0%
1818	Familie	119	5.8%
1919	Tradisjon	3	0.1%
2020	Demokrati	24	1.2%
2121	Ideologi	18	0.9%
2222	Frihet (og ansvar)	30	1.5%
2323	Offentlig og privat sektor	11	0.5%
2424	Etikk	5	0.2%
3030	Andre saker	15	0.7%
9696	Ufullstendige svar/Vet ikke	12	0.6%
9797	Ubesvart	74	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k9_2: 2. Text: Most important political issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important. [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

File : w1-w13

w01_k9_2_sak2_kod: 2. Most important political issues - recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4279 /-] [Invalid=12732 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important. [Recode of w01_k9_2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1001	Helse	443	21.9%
2002	Miljø	253	12.5%
3003	Utdanning	177	8.7%
4004	Samferdsel	224	11.1%
5005	Innvandring	163	8.0%
6006	Økonomi (privat og samfunn)	101	5.0%
7007	Arbeid	37	1.8%
8008	Velferd	51	2.5%
9009	Likhet	7	0.3%
1010	Kultur	39	1.9%
1111	Forsvar	16	0.8%
1212	Justis	29	1.4%
1313	Næring	45	2.2%
1414	Utenriks	23	1.1%
1515	Distrikt/by og land	35	1.7%
1616	Internasjonalt	7	0.3%
1717	Seniorpolitikk	66	3.3%
1818	Familie	65	3.2%
1919	Tradisjon	1	0.0%
2020	Demokrati	10	0.5%
2121	Ideologi	8	0.4%
2222	Frihet (og ansvar)	24	1.2%
2323	Offentlig og privat sektor	9	0.4%
2424	Etikk	1	0.0%
3030	Andre saker	11	0.5%
9696	Ufullstendige svar/Vet ikke	9	0.4%
9797	Ubesvart	171	8.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k9_3: 3. Text: Most important political issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important. [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

File : w1-w13

w01_k9_3_sak3_kod: 3. Most important political issues - recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4290 /-] [Invalid=12721 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important. [Recode of w01_k9_3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1001	Helse	297	13.6%
2002	Miljø	297	13.6%
3003	Utdanning	127	5.8%
4004	Samferdsel	237	10.9%
5005	Innvandring	237	10.9%
6006	Økonomi (privat og samfunn)	108	5.0%
7007	Arbeid	38	1.7%
8008	Velferd	74	3.4%
9009	Likhet	12	0.6%
1010	Kultur	47	2.2%
1111	Forsvar	18	0.8%
1212	Justis	40	1.8%
1313	Næring	51	2.3%
1414	Utenriks	43	2.0%
1515	Distrikt/by og land	34	1.6%
1616	Internasjonalt	22	1.0%
1717	Seniorpolitikk	58	2.7%
1818	Familie	44	2.0%
1919	Tradisjon	1	0.0%
2020	Demokrati	17	0.8%
2121	Ideologi	7	0.3%
2222	Frihet (og ansvar)	31	1.4%
2323	Offentlig og privat sektor	8	0.4%
2424	Etikk	2	0.1%
3030	Andre saker	10	0.5%
9696	Ufullstendige svar/Vet ikke	11	0.5%
9797	Ubesvart	310	14.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_1: Place party on political spectrum: Christian Democratic Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Christian Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	28	32.6	0.7%

File : w1-w13

w01_dt16_1: Place party on political spectrum: Christian Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	41	46.4	0.9%
2	2	83	105.1	2.1%
3	3	171	197.1	4.0%
4	4	367	361.5	7.4%
5	5	1000	989.4	20.2%
6	6	1048	948.3	19.3%
7	7	738	701.2	14.3%
8	8	352	323.2	6.6%
9	9	119	116.3	2.4%
10	10 Right	63	65.8	1.3%
97	No answer	895	1018.2	20.8%
System		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_2: Place party on political spectrum: Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	6	8.0	0.2%
1	1	10	11.6	0.2%
2	2	14	15.6	0.3%
3	3	15	23.2	0.5%
4	4	31	34.3	0.7%
5	5	81	89.2	1.8%
6	6	167	157.0	3.2%
7	7	630	551.4	11.2%
8	8	1254	1096.8	22.4%
9	9	1157	1131.1	23.1%
10	10 Right	730	864.8	17.6%
97	No answer	810	922.1	18.8%
System		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_3: Place party on political spectrum: Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Progress Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	16	20.9	0.4%

File : w1-w13

w01_dt16_3: Place party on political spectrum: Progress Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	18	19.2	0.4%
2	2	15	21.8	0.4%
3	3	27	34.0	0.7%
4	4	36	51.9	1.1%
5	5	89	87.0	1.8%
6	6	70	70.6	1.4%
7	7	193	179.5	3.7%
8	8	499	503.5	10.3%
9	9	1033	995.0	20.3%
10	10 Right	2075	1973.2	40.2%
97	No answer	834	948.3	19.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_4: Place party on political spectrum: Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	327	394.7	8.0%
1	1	217	260.6	5.3%
2	2	247	253.3	5.2%
3	3	307	319.6	6.5%
4	4	466	445.4	9.1%
5	5	839	761.0	15.5%
6	6	891	804.8	16.4%
7	7	502	445.3	9.1%
8	8	178	169.2	3.5%
9	9	40	41.5	0.8%
10	10 Right	21	21.0	0.4%
97	No answer	870	988.8	20.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_5: Place party on political spectrum: Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	716	756.7	15.4%

File : w1-w13

w01_dt16_5: Place party on political spectrum: Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	1340	1251.1	25.5%
2	2	1139	1069.1	21.8%
3	3	509	472.0	9.6%
4	4	164	162.7	3.3%
5	5	89	109.6	2.2%
6	6	23	21.4	0.4%
7	7	13	20.6	0.4%
8	8	6	6.6	0.1%
9	9	12	13.9	0.3%
10	10 Right	8	8.0	0.2%
97	No answer	886	1013.2	20.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_6: Place party on political spectrum: Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Centre Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	65	70.6	1.4%
1	1	113	136.4	2.8%
2	2	299	310.3	6.3%
3	3	560	554.5	11.3%
4	4	1058	1036.2	21.1%
5	5	1176	1098.3	22.4%
6	6	442	390.9	8.0%
7	7	159	160.0	3.3%
8	8	60	57.7	1.2%
9	9	20	19.9	0.4%
10	10 Right	7	8.7	0.2%
97	No answer	946	1061.5	21.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_7: Place party on political spectrum: Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	306	344.0	7.0%

File : w1-w13

w01_dt16_7: Place party on political spectrum: Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	427	434.4	8.9%
2	2	662	610.5	12.4%
3	3	675	632.6	12.9%
4	4	677	636.7	13.0%
5	5	694	668.3	13.6%
6	6	169	152.8	3.1%
7	7	62	59.0	1.2%
8	8	20	21.8	0.4%
9	9	10	16.8	0.3%
10	10 Right	12	15.1	0.3%
97	No answer	1191	1313.1	26.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_8: Place party on political spectrum: Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	The Labour Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	138	191.3	3.9%
1	1	264	313.2	6.4%
2	2	612	589.2	12.0%
3	3	996	926.5	18.9%
4	4	926	816.3	16.6%
5	5	653	638.8	13.0%
6	6	268	234.5	4.8%
7	7	101	102.7	2.1%
8	8	42	49.6	1.0%
9	9	25	29.9	0.6%
10	10 Right	24	31.6	0.6%
97	No answer	856	981.4	20.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt16_9: Place party on political spectrum: Red

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	In politics there is often talk of the left and right wings. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 indicates farthest to the left and 10 indicates farthest to the right?
Literal question	Red

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	2508	2313.8	47.2%

File : w1-w13

w01_dt16_9: Place party on political spectrum: Red

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	728	685.8	14.0%
2	2	256	278.7	5.7%
3	3	100	112.7	2.3%
4	4	76	97.0	2.0%
5	5	89	105.7	2.2%
6	6	29	37.4	0.8%
7	7	21	27.1	0.6%
8	8	17	28.2	0.6%
9	9	15	18.0	0.4%
10	10 Right	19	28.7	0.6%
97	No answer	1047	1171.9	23.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k10: Perception of current economic situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation in Norway? Do you believe it is:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	1832	1670.2	34.1%
2	Good	2178	2198.3	44.8%
3	Somewhat good	453	513.9	10.5%
4	Neither good nor poor	157	187.6	3.8%
5	Somewhat poor	101	131.4	2.7%
6	Poor	34	42.9	0.9%
7	Very poor	10	20.1	0.4%
97	No answer	140	140.6	2.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k11: Satisfaction with the Norwegian democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	All things considered, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?


Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very satisfied	858	792.8	16.2%
2	Satisfied	2757	2725.0	55.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	894	936.0	19.1%
4	Dissatisfied	188	225.7	4.6%
5	Very dissatisfied	43	54.5	1.1%
97	No answer	165	171.0	3.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : w1-w13

w01_k12_1: Trust in institution or player: Government



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?
Literal question	The Government

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	136	136.5	 2.8%
2	High confidence	1410	1338.0	 27.3%
3	Some confidence	1493	1459.5	 29.8%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	613	667.1	 13.6%
5	Some mistrust	545	551.5	 11.2%
6	High mistrust	223	232.5	 4.7%
7	Very high mistrust	90	100.6	 2.1%
8	Don't know	92	104.8	 2.1%
97	No answer	303	314.5	 6.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k12_2: Trust in institution or player: Parliament



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?
Literal question	Parliament

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	256	243.0	 5.0%
2	High confidence	1752	1565.9	 31.9%
3	Some confidence	1446	1468.6	 29.9%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	568	639.6	 13.0%
5	Some mistrust	340	375.1	 7.6%
6	High mistrust	102	125.7	 2.6%
7	Very high mistrust	42	54.5	 1.1%
8	Don't know	92	105.9	 2.2%
97	No answer	307	326.7	 6.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k12_3: Trust in institution or player: Politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?
Literal question	Politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	31	36.6	 0.7%
2	High confidence	438	390.3	 8.0%
3	Some confidence	1660	1585.0	 32.3%

File : w1-w13

w01_k12_3: Trust in institution or player: Politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	827	845.9	17.2%
5	Some mistrust	1027	1065.7	21.7%
6	High mistrust	353	369.9	7.5%
7	Very high mistrust	170	190.2	3.9%
8	Don't know	85	95.5	1.9%
97	No answer	314	325.9	6.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k12_4: Trust in institution or player: Parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?
Literal question	Parties

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	28	28.3	0.6%
2	High confidence	379	356.4	7.3%
3	Some confidence	1722	1674.5	34.1%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	1079	1056.4	21.5%
5	Some mistrust	887	899.7	18.3%
6	High mistrust	208	227.5	4.6%
7	Very high mistrust	59	62.4	1.3%
8	Don't know	190	223.4	4.6%
97	No answer	353	376.2	7.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	








Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w01_k12_5: Trust in institution or player: The Sameting				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?			
Literal question	The Sameting			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	44	59.0	1.2%
2	High confidence	228	193.3	3.9%
3	Some confidence	357	351.9	7.2%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	1488	1500.8	30.6%
5	Some mistrust	267	261.8	5.3%
6	High mistrust	192	201.0	4.1%
7	Very high mistrust	179	192.7	3.9%
8	Don't know	1796	1766.9	36.0%
97	No answer	354	377.5	7.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k12_6: Trust in institution or player: The County Council				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?			
Literal question	The County Council			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	33	33.8	0.7%
2	High confidence	435	396.4	8.1%
3	Some confidence	1122	1101.4	22.5%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	1404	1408.1	28.7%
5	Some mistrust	589	595.0	12.1%
6	High mistrust	234	262.9	5.4%
7	Very high mistrust	153	174.4	3.6%
8	Don't know	588	574.3	11.7%
97	No answer	347	358.6	7.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k12_7: Trust in institution or player: The Municipal Council				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?			
Literal question	The municipal council			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	46	47.9	1.0%
2	High confidence	739	676.0	13.8%
3	Some confidence	1676	1650.0	33.6%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	854	879.0	17.9%

# w01_k12_7: Trust in institution or player: The Municipal Council				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Some mistrust	745	736.1	15.0%
6	High mistrust	243	266.2	5.4%
7	Very high mistrust	110	132.0	2.7%
8	Don't know	183	193.0	3.9%
97	No answer	309	324.7	6.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k12_8: Trust in institution or player: EU				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?			
Literal question	The EU			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	62	63.4	1.3%
2	High confidence	425	367.7	7.5%
3	Some confidence	1112	1021.8	20.8%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	1003	1023.0	20.9%
5	Some mistrust	855	815.0	16.6%
6	High mistrust	555	593.1	12.1%
7	Very high mistrust	374	439.6	9.0%
8	Don't know	205	246.7	5.0%
97	No answer	314	334.8	6.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k12_9: Trust in institution or player: UN				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?			
Literal question	The UN			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	324	335.9	6.8%
2	High confidence	1497	1386.5	28.3%
3	Some confidence	1356	1331.3	27.1%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	703	741.6	15.1%
5	Some mistrust	320	324.9	6.6%
6	High mistrust	126	145.4	3.0%
7	Very high mistrust	74	79.4	1.6%
8	Don't know	185	225.1	4.6%
97	No answer	320	334.8	6.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt5_1: Trust in institution or player: Courts				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			

# w01_dt5_1: Trust in institution or player: Courts				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?		
Literal question		The Courts/Judiciary		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	644	566.9	11.6%
2	High confidence	2124	1974.4	40.3%
3	Some confidence	962	1019.5	20.8%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	399	450.9	9.2%
5	Some mistrust	287	328.5	6.7%
6	High mistrust	85	114.6	2.3%
7	Very high mistrust	61	74.2	1.5%
8	Don't know	101	128.9	2.6%
97	No answer	242	247.2	5.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt5_2: Trust in institution or player: Police				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?		
Literal question		The Police		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	311	308.0	6.3%
2	High confidence	1884	1771.2	36.1%
3	Some confidence	1360	1361.3	27.8%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	385	404.4	8.2%
5	Some mistrust	434	468.1	9.5%
6	High mistrust	174	188.3	3.8%
7	Very high mistrust	96	119.9	2.4%
8	Don't know	34	47.0	1.0%
97	No answer	227	237.0	4.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt5_3: Trust in institution or player: Media				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?		
Literal question		Media		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	32	35.9	0.7%
2	High confidence	327	336.9	6.9%
3	Some confidence	1264	1236.3	25.2%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	927	970.4	19.8%
5	Some mistrust	1313	1284.2	26.2%

# w01_dt5_3: Trust in institution or player: Media				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	High mistrust	552	506.6	10.3%
7	Very high mistrust	211	229.5	4.7%
8	Don't know	44	61.0	1.2%
97	No answer	235	244.1	5.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt5_4: Trust in institution or player: Scientists				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?			
Literal question	Scientists			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	351	349.9	7.1%
2	High confidence	1813	1741.1	35.5%
3	Some confidence	1398	1358.0	27.7%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	651	697.3	14.2%
5	Some mistrust	239	238.3	4.9%
6	High mistrust	53	66.2	1.3%
7	Very high mistrust	31	36.9	0.8%
8	Don't know	114	149.4	3.0%
97	No answer	255	267.9	5.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt5_5: Trust in institution or player: NAV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?			
Literal question	NAV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	59	63.3	1.3%
2	High confidence	495	491.7	10.0%
3	Some confidence	1282	1219.9	24.9%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	880	859.8	17.5%
5	Some mistrust	901	864.2	17.6%
6	High mistrust	504	531.4	10.8%
7	Very high mistrust	409	478.9	9.8%
8	Don't know	146	158.7	3.2%
97	No answer	229	237.1	4.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt5_6: Trust in institution or player: The banking sector				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			

# w01_dt5_6: Trust in institution or player: The banking sector				
Pre-question		Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?		
Literal question		The banking sector		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	143	156.8	 3.2%
2	High confidence	1286	1275.6	 26.0%
3	Some confidence	1357	1332.9	 27.2%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	787	819.2	 16.7%
5	Some mistrust	685	640.3	 13.1%
6	High mistrust	227	231.1	 4.7%
7	Very high mistrust	100	96.8	 2.0%
8	Don't know	80	103.2	 2.1%
97	No answer	240	249.2	 5.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt5_7: Trust in institution or player: The health services				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Which level of confidence do you have to the following institutions and players?		
Literal question		The health services		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	206	203.2	 4.1%
2	High confidence	1730	1594.9	 32.5%
3	Some confidence	1529	1526.0	 31.1%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	358	404.6	 8.2%
5	Some mistrust	566	591.2	 12.1%
6	High mistrust	189	222.1	 4.5%
7	Very high mistrust	76	91.4	 1.9%
8	Don't know	26	38.1	 0.8%
97	No answer	225	233.4	 4.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k31_1: Statement: The state should reduce income inequality				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question		The state should contribute to reducing inequalities in income in society		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	867	888.9	 18.1%
2	Agree	1152	1143.5	 23.3%
3	Agree somewhat	1205	1171.7	 23.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	459	504.7	 10.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	497	476.3	 9.7%
6	Disagree	375	364.6	 7.4%
7	Strongly disagree	151	157.2	 3.2%

# w01_k31_1: Statement: The state should reduce income inequality				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	199	198.1	4.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k31_2: Statement: Better with diversity of religions				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and views on life.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	462	412.6	8.4%
2	Agree	957	849.3	17.3%
3	Agree somewhat	1001	977.9	19.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	645	661.6	13.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	730	741.2	15.1%
6	Disagree	542	631.7	12.9%
7	Strongly disagree	366	424.1	8.6%
97	No answer	202	206.6	4.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k31_3: Statement: Emission reductions should be made abroad				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	Most of the emission reductions Norway is committed to should be made abroad.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	175	192.9	3.9%
2	Agree	388	431.1	8.8%
3	Agree somewhat	611	648.1	13.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1389	1469.1	30.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	788	744.6	15.2%
6	Disagree	868	785.6	16.0%
7	Strongly disagree	446	383.5	7.8%
97	No answer	240	250.1	5.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k14_1: Statement: Politics is complicated				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	Sometimes politics is so complicated that people like me have no understanding of what it's about.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	275	350.8	7.2%

w01_k14_1: Statement: Politics is complicated

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Agree	665	800.3	16.3%
3	Agree somewhat	1340	1392.0	28.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	444	474.4	9.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	697	649.9	13.3%
6	Disagree	947	777.7	15.9%
7	Strongly disagree	339	257.5	5.3%
97	No answer	198	202.3	4.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k14_2: Statement: Can vote, not much else to influence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	People like me can vote, but there is nothing else we can do to influence politics.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	278	373.7	7.6%
2	Agree	640	788.9	16.1%
3	Agree somewhat	759	791.5	16.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	354	379.1	7.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	984	962.9	19.6%
6	Disagree	1184	989.9	20.2%
7	Strongly disagree	501	413.3	8.4%
97	No answer	205	205.8	4.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k15_99: Trust people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-97] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Now to a more general question:
Literal question	Would you agree that most people in general can be trusted, or do you believe that one can't be careful enough when dealing with others?
Interviewer's instructions	0 means "Can't be careful enough" and 10 means "Most people can be trusted."

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 - Can't be careful enough	79	97.4	2.0%
1	1	60	77.9	1.6%
2	2	122	151.6	3.1%
3	3	223	242.5	4.9%
4	4	266	286.1	5.8%
5	5	527	601.1	12.3%
6	6	516	506.3	10.3%
7	7	1079	1014.2	20.7%
8	8	961	906.8	18.5%
9	9	435	383.7	7.8%




# w01_k15_99: Trust people				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
10	10 - Most people can be trusted	409	398.8	8.1%
97	No answer	228	238.6	4.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k16_1: Has an opinion on most issues				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How do the following statements apply to you as a person?			
Literal question	I have an opinion on most things			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Apply very well	525	561.7	11.5%
2	Apply well	1776	1739.5	35.5%
3	Apply somewhat well	1610	1607.3	32.8%
4	Apply neither well nor poorly	457	460.4	9.4%
5	Apply somewhat poorly	261	253.0	5.2%
6	Apply poorly	85	85.0	1.7%
7	Apply very poorly	6	8.5	0.2%
97	No answer	185	189.6	3.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k16_2: Strong opinions, also regarding new issues				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How do the following statements apply to you as a person?			
Literal question	I have strong opinions, either positive or negative, including on issues that are new to me			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Apply very well	191	253.4	5.2%
2	Apply well	749	845.2	17.2%
3	Apply somewhat well	1335	1312.6	26.8%
4	Apply neither well nor poorly	1055	1064.2	21.7%
5	Apply somewhat poorly	787	690.5	14.1%
6	Apply poorly	453	397.9	8.1%
7	Apply very poorly	104	97.1	2.0%
97	No answer	231	244.0	5.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran1: Randomizes independently from U				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4780 /-] [Invalid=12231 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes into three groups and selects one of the following questions: KM1, KM2, KM3			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1607	33.6%	

# w01_ran1: Randomizes independently from U				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2		1601		33.5%
3		1572		32.9%
Sysmiss		12231		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km1: Heard the argument: Climate change a threat, but we should continue to extract oil as usual				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Have you heard or read the following statement?			
Literal question	Climate change is a very serious threat, and a lot more must be done to prevent it. Norway should nevertheless continue to produce as much oil as we currently do, because the world needs energy.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, I have heard or read this.	609	609.5	
2	No, I have not heard or read this	175	178.7	
97	No answer	12	17.5	
98	Not asked	4109	4099.3	
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km2: Heard the argument: Climate change a threat and we should reduce oil extraction				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Have you heard or read the following statement?			
Literal question	Climate change is a very serious threat, and a lot more must be done to prevent it. This means that Norway should produce less oil than we currently do, because a large share of the world's fossil fuel must remain unused.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, I have heard or read this.	653	634.2	
2	No, I have not heard or read this	127	135.1	
97	No answer	14	12.4	
98	Not asked	4111	4123.4	
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km3: Heard the argument: Climate change is not a threat and we should continue to extract oil as usual				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Have you heard or read the following statement?			
Literal question	Climate change is a not a serious threat, and too much is being done to prevent it. This means that Norway should continue to produce as much oil as we currently do, because the world needs energy.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, I have heard or read this.	490	469.8	
2	No, I have not heard or read this	280	276.8	
97	No answer	16	12.7	
98	Not asked	4119	4145.8	
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_km36: Concerned about climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very concerned	753	663.9	13.5%
2	Concerned	1558	1444.5	29.5%
3	Somewhat concerned	1576	1681.8	34.3%
4	Not particularly concerned	680	737.7	15.0%
5	Not concerned	167	207.1	4.2%
97	No answer	171	169.9	3.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km37: Agreement with statement				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Which of the below statements do you most agree with?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Norway should continue to produce as much oil as we currently do.	2293	2373.5	48.4%
2	Norway should produce less oil than we currently do	2056	1953.6	39.8%
3	Norway should produce more oil than we currently do	316	329.9	6.7%
97	No answer	240	248.0	5.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km4: Statement fits view on climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your view on climate change?			
Interviewer's instructions	Choose one option.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	I believe that the climate is not changing.	35	44.2	0.9%
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	311	326.8	6.7%
3	I believe that the climate is changing, but that it has little to do with human action.	1056	1207.3	24.6%
4	I believe that the climate is changing, and that it to a large extent is due to human action.	3299	3128.0	63.8%
97	No answer	204	198.7	4.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km35: How many share your view on climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			

# w01_km35: How many share your view on climate change				
Literal question		The last question you answered was about your view on climate change. Which proportion of the Norwegian population do you believe shares your views on the issue?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All, or nearly all	100	126.2	2.6%
2	Many (more than half)	1117	1080.2	22.0%
3	Around half	781	807.7	16.5%
4	Some (fewer than half)	356	371.1	7.6%
5	None, or nearly none	6	4.5	0.1%
97	No answer	111	110.7	2.3%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran2: Randomizes independently from U				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4775 /-] [Invalid=12236 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes into two groups and selects one of the following questions: KM5 or KM6		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	r1	2371	49.7%	
2	r2	2404	50.3%	
Sysmiss		12236		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km5: How serious is climate change				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		How serious a threat is climate change overall?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very serious	622	554.0	11.3%
2	Serious	991	968.3	19.7%
3	Somewhat serious	556	588.3	12.0%
4	Not very serious	142	162.6	3.3%
5	Not a threat	24	26.5	0.5%
97	No answer	36	47.7	1.0%
98	Not asked	2534	2557.7	52.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km6: Climate change is serious to me personally				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		How serious a threat is climate change to you personally?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very serious	138	113.8	2.3%
2	Serious	517	494.2	10.1%
3	Somewhat serious	833	838.9	17.1%

# w01_km6: Climate change is serious to me personally				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Not very serious	664	697.4	14.2%
5	Not a threat	227	257.9	5.3%
97	No answer	25	24.1	0.5%
98	Not asked	2501	2478.8	50.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km7: Possible to do something about climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Let's assume that climate change may become a major threat to the world in the future if no action is taken. To which extent do you believe that it is possible to do anything to prevent harmful climate changes?			
Interviewer's instructions	Select the statement that is closest to your view:			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	It is impossible to do anything to prevent harmful climate change.	253	291.1	5.9%
2	It is possible, but very difficult to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	2661	2598.2	53.0%
3	It is possible and completely realistic to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	1434	1400.6	28.6%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	64	71.4	1.5%
97	No answer	493	543.7	11.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran3sel_1: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1189 /-] [Invalid=15822 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1189	100.0%	
Sysmiss		15822		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran3sel_2: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_2				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1211 /-] [Invalid=15800 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1211	100.0%	
Sysmiss		15800		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran3sel_3: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_3				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1204 /-] [Invalid=15807 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_3			

# w01_ran3sel_3: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_3			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1204	 100.0%
Sysmiss		15807	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w01_ran3sel_4: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1196 /-] [Invalid=15815 /-]		
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_4		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1196	 100.0%
Sysmiss		15815	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w01_ran3sel_5: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1197 /-] [Invalid=15814 /-]		
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_5		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1197	 100.0%
Sysmiss		15814	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w01_ran3sel_6: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1203 /-] [Invalid=15808 /-]		
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_6		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1203	 100.0%
Sysmiss		15808	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w01_ran3sel_7: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1225 /-] [Invalid=15786 /-]		
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_7		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1225	 100.0%
Sysmiss		15786	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w01_ran3sel_8: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1175 /-] [Invalid=15836 /-]		
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_8		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1175	 100.0%

# w01_ran3sel_8: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_8				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Sysmiss		15836		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran3sel_9: Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_9				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=14611 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1: selects KM13_9			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		2400	100.0%	
Sysmiss		14611		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km13_1: Measure: Tighten rules on CO2 emissions by quotoa reductions				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?			
Literal question	Tighten the rules for how much CO2 industry in Norway and Europe may discharge by cutting the total number of quotas these may use.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	163	156.9	3.2%
2	Positive	402	371.1	7.6%
3	Somewhat positive	300	322.6	6.6%
4	Neither positive nor negative	162	176.5	3.6%
5	Somewhat negative	47	45.3	0.9%
6	Negative	38	38.4	0.8%
7	Very negative	23	27.3	0.6%
97	No answer	54	64.8	1.3%
98	Not asked	3716	3702.0	75.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km13_2: Measure: Tighten rules on CO2 emissions by limiting amount				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?			
Literal question	Tighten the rules for how much CO2 industry in Norway and Europe may discharge overall.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	199	202.1	4.1%
2	Positive	484	445.9	9.1%
3	Somewhat positive	292	327.3	6.7%
4	Neither positive nor negative	121	127.2	2.6%
5	Somewhat negative	39	35.3	0.7%
6	Negative	16	19.3	0.4%

w01_km13_2: Measure: Tighten rules on CO2 emissions by limiting amount

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Very negative	10	11.9	0.2%
97	No answer	50	56.2	1.1%
98	Not asked	3694	3679.7	75.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_km13_3: Measure: Capture and store CO2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	76	70.4	1.4%
2	Positive	244	224.3	4.6%
3	Somewhat positive	272	284.4	5.8%
4	Neither positive nor negative	343	384.9	7.8%
5	Somewhat negative	87	79.8	1.6%
6	Negative	82	83.4	1.7%
7	Very negative	36	42.1	0.9%
97	No answer	64	78.2	1.6%
98	Not asked	3701	3657.4	74.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_km13_4: Measure: Capture and store CO2, like on Mognstad

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground, such as the so-called "mission to the moon" project at Mognstad

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	74	71.9	1.5%
2	Positive	230	226.7	4.6%
3	Somewhat positive	272	255.3	5.2%
4	Neither positive nor negative	336	353.7	7.2%
5	Somewhat negative	98	84.6	1.7%
6	Negative	69	65.8	1.3%
7	Very negative	39	38.6	0.8%
97	No answer	78	84.1	1.7%
98	Not asked	3709	3724.3	75.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w01_km13_5: Measure: Reduce tax incentives regarding oilfield exploration				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?			
Literal question	Reduce tax incentives for oil exploration on the Norwegian continental shelf.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	71	55.4	1.1%
2	Positive	166	150.4	3.1%
3	Somewhat positive	227	230.3	4.7%
4	Neither positive nor negative	398	416.2	8.5%
5	Somewhat negative	148	134.1	2.7%
6	Negative	82	95.4	1.9%
7	Very negative	36	41.5	0.8%
97	No answer	69	71.0	1.4%
98	Not asked	3708	3710.9	75.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km13_6: Measure: Tighten tax regulation regarding oilfield exploration				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?			
Literal question	Tighten the tax regulations for oil exploration on the Norwegian continental shelf.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	79	67.4	1.4%
2	Positive	149	143.4	2.9%
3	Somewhat positive	210	200.0	4.1%
4	Neither positive nor negative	358	373.8	7.6%
5	Somewhat negative	154	162.7	3.3%
6	Negative	112	109.9	2.2%
7	Very negative	59	76.3	1.6%
97	No answer	82	100.6	2.1%
98	Not asked	3702	3670.9	74.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km13_7: Measure: Prevent harmful effects of storms and precipitation				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?			
Literal question	Strengthen efforts to prevent the harmful effects of storms and precipitation.			

w01_km13_7: Measure: Prevent harmful effects of storms and precipitation

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	151	157.2	3.2%
2	Positive	401	391.0	8.0%
3	Somewhat positive	277	271.7	5.5%
4	Neither positive nor negative	239	252.5	5.1%
5	Somewhat negative	49	43.1	0.9%
6	Negative	24	22.7	0.5%
7	Very negative	16	32.2	0.7%
97	No answer	68	73.5	1.5%
98	Not asked	3680	3660.9	74.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_km13_8: Measure: Prevent harmful effects of storms and precipitation, even at the cost of other issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Strengthen efforts to prevent the harmful effects of storms and precipitation, even if this is at the cost of other important causes.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	41	48.4	1.0%
2	Positive	203	197.9	4.0%
3	Somewhat positive	327	317.4	6.5%
4	Neither positive nor negative	322	322.1	6.6%
5	Somewhat negative	122	121.2	2.5%
6	Negative	67	76.0	1.5%
7	Very negative	19	13.9	0.3%
97	No answer	74	87.4	1.8%
98	Not asked	3730	3720.8	75.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_km13_9: Measure: Change the climate by blocking the sunlight

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	We would now like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Attempt to alter the weather and climate by e.g. launching sulphur particles that block sunlight

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	14	15.1	0.3%
2	Positive	37	39.2	0.8%
3	Somewhat positive	76	83.5	1.7%
4	Neither positive nor negative	632	650.2	13.3%
5	Somewhat negative	304	297.9	6.1%

w01_km13_9: Measure: Change the climate by blocking the sunlight

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Negative	569	569.0	11.6%
7	Very negative	620	607.4	12.4%
97	No answer	148	165.9	3.4%
98	Not asked	2505	2476.7	50.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w01_km17: If nothing is done about climate change weather will be more extreme				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	If nothing is done to prevent it, do you believe global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years, or do you believe global warming will not lead to this?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather	2021	1982.4	40.4%
2	Global warming will not lead to more harmful extreme weather	285	333.7	6.8%
97	No answer	165	184.2	3.8%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km20: Moral obligation to prevent climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	To which degree do you view it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	520	455.7	9.3%
2	To a great degree	893	884.1	18.0%
3	To some degree	728	793.9	16.2%
4	To a small degree	163	185.9	3.8%
5	Not at all	47	51.6	1.1%
97	No answer	120	129.1	2.6%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km22: Knowledge about climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	In your opinion, how good is your knowledge on climate change?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good knowledge	55	52.5	1.1%
2	Good knowledge	530	494.1	10.1%
3	Some knowledge	1362	1340.9	27.3%
4	Little knowledge	391	471.5	9.6%
5	No knowledge	24	28.4	0.6%
97	No answer	109	112.9	2.3%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km22k: Comment: Knowledge about climate change [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			

# w01_km22k: Comment: Knowledge about climate change [anonymised]				
Literal question	If you have any comments to the question, you may enter them here: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_km23: Discussion on climate change is characterized by conflict and disagreement				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	To which degree do you think discussion concerning climate change is characterized by conflict and disagreement?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	326	325.3	6.6%
2	To a great degree	1096	1132.0	23.1%
3	To some degree	839	816.0	16.6%
4	To a small degree	80	86.9	1.8%
5	Not at all	6	6.1	0.1%
97	No answer	124	134.0	2.7%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km26: Diversity of opinion on climate change in the media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	In your opinion, how great is the diversity in opinion on climate change that emerges in the media?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very great diversity	43	39.8	0.8%
2	Great diversity	578	612.0	12.5%
3	Some diversity	1134	1144.9	23.3%
4	Little diversity	504	481.2	9.8%
5	No diversity at all	34	31.2	0.6%
97	No answer	178	191.3	3.9%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran4: Randomiserer dersom U=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2389 /-] [Invalid=14622 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: KM27 or KM28			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1183	49.5%	
2		1206	50.5%	
Sysmiss		14622		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km27: Scientists agree on climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	To which degree do you have the impression that scientists agree on climate change?			

# w01_km27: Scientists agree on climate change				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	95	85.8	1.8%
2	To a great degree	393	378.0	7.7%
3	To some degree	477	502.9	10.3%
4	To a small degree	156	159.8	3.3%
5	Not at all	34	40.7	0.8%
97	No answer	28	33.6	0.7%
98	Not asked	3722	3704.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km28_2_1: Proportion of scientist that agree on climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	In your opinion, which proportion of climate scientists agree that global warming is a direct consequence of humans using coal, oil and gas?			
Interviewer's instructions	Please pick a number between 0% and 100% below.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 %	1	0.8	0.0%
10	10	11	12.1	0.2%
20	20	13	15.8	0.3%
30	30	37	41.5	0.8%
40	40	41	50.5	1.0%
50	50	100	126.6	2.6%
60	60	135	159.7	3.3%
70	70	209	210.0	4.3%
80	80	214	175.0	3.6%
90	90	228	206.4	4.2%
100	100 %	49	40.6	0.8%
998	Not asked	3699	3689.2	75.2%
999	No response	168	176.8	3.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km28k: Comment: Proportion of scientist that agree on climate change [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	If you have comments to the question, you may enter them here: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_ran5: Randomizes if U=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2387 /-] [Invalid=14624 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: KM29 or KM30			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1159	48.6%	
2		1228	51.4%	

# w01_ran5: Randomizes if U=1				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Sysmiss		14624		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km29: Norway committed through international agreements to cut emissions				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	As you may know, government leaders from all over the world met in November to negotiate a new international agreement to limit the emissions of greenhouse gases. To which extent do you agree that Norway commits itself in such international agreements?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	409	370.1	7.5%
2	Agree	433	408.1	8.3%
3	Agree somewhat	157	186.7	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	77	95.0	1.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	31	36.3	0.7%
6	Disagree	17	15.3	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	16	14.6	0.3%
97	No answer	19	18.6	0.4%
98	Not asked	3746	3760.3	76.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km30: Norway committed through international agreements to cut emissions, even if China does not				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	As you may know, government leaders from all over the world met in November to negotiate a new international agreement to limit the emissions of greenhouse gases. To which extent do you agree that Norway commits itself in such international agreements, even if it means that Norway does this before other countries such as e.g. China?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	262	234.3	4.8%
2	Agree	426	413.1	8.4%
3	Agree somewhat	248	279.5	5.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	98	114.0	2.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	81	93.5	1.9%
6	Disagree	54	60.5	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	37	50.4	1.0%
97	No answer	22	24.7	0.5%
98	Not asked	3677	3635.1	74.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1_1: Authorities have a right to: indefinite detainment				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Keep individuals in custody as long as they want without a judicial process			

# w01_dt1_1: Authorities have a right to: indefinite detainment				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	123	134.3	2.7%
2	Agree	164	182.8	3.7%
3	Agree somewhat	291	340.5	6.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	167	192.9	3.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	293	301.0	6.1%
6	Disagree	517	489.8	10.0%
7	Strongly disagree	724	652.4	13.3%
8	Dont wan't to respond	40	48.6	1.0%
97	No answer	152	157.9	3.2%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
System		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1_2: Authorities have a right to: tapping phone calls				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Tap people's phone calls			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	289	303.7	6.2%
2	Agree	524	492.0	10.0%
3	Agree somewhat	580	592.9	12.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	194	224.7	4.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	236	217.6	4.4%
6	Disagree	250	237.7	4.8%
7	Strongly disagree	220	237.1	4.8%
8	Dont wan't to respond	28	41.7	0.9%
97	No answer	150	153.0	3.1%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
System		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1_3: Authorities have a right to: randomly stop and search people on the street				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Randomly stop and search people on the street			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	192	200.1	4.1%
2	Agree	353	358.0	7.3%
3	Agree somewhat	464	503.5	10.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	176	178.9	3.6%

# w01_dt1_3: Authorities have a right to: randomly stop and search people on the street				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Disagree somewhat	321	322.1	6.6%
6	Disagree	369	341.0	7.0%
7	Strongly disagree	421	409.6	8.4%
8	Dont wan't to respond	25	33.4	0.7%
97	No answer	150	153.8	3.1%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1_4: Authorities have a right to: arrest people without suspicion				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Arrest people without specific suspicion			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	124	122.4	2.5%
2	Agree	202	217.2	4.4%
3	Agree somewhat	326	355.0	7.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	235	270.7	5.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	334	339.8	6.9%
6	Disagree	544	506.7	10.3%
7	Strongly disagree	515	487.9	9.9%
8	Dont wan't to respond	38	46.1	0.9%
97	No answer	153	154.5	3.2%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1_5: Authorities have a right to: monitor electronic communication				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Monitor electronic communication (beyond telephones)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	386	405.6	8.3%
2	Agree	664	646.1	13.2%
3	Agree somewhat	576	583.2	11.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	157	181.0	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	185	167.5	3.4%
6	Disagree	180	170.4	3.5%
7	Strongly disagree	149	165.6	3.4%
8	Dont wan't to respond	26	32.5	0.7%

# w01_dt1_5: Authorities have a right to: monitor electronic communication				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	148	148.3	3.0%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1_6: Authorities have a right to: monitor/bug room				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Monitor/bug rooms			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	373	397.3	8.1%
2	Agree	564	575.6	11.7%
3	Agree somewhat	618	586.2	12.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	188	212.0	4.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	209	196.2	4.0%
6	Disagree	170	157.7	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	168	182.7	3.7%
8	Dont wan't to respond	29	38.5	0.8%
97	No answer	152	154.3	3.1%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1_7: Authorities have a right to: cordon off large part of the city				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Cordon off large parts of the city			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	411	434.2	8.9%
2	Agree	728	713.1	14.5%
3	Agree somewhat	552	555.7	11.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	229	240.8	4.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	132	138.3	2.8%
6	Disagree	149	140.8	2.9%
7	Strongly disagree	87	81.6	1.7%
8	Dont wan't to respond	29	35.1	0.7%
97	No answer	154	160.7	3.3%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dt1_8: Authorities have a right to: patrol the streets with armed military or police				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we shall go on to another topic. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. To which extent do you agree that the authorities should be entitled to:			
Literal question	Allow armed police or military personnel to patrol the streets			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	490	525.1	10.7%
2	Agree	729	748.0	15.2%
3	Agree somewhat	514	496.9	10.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	139	153.2	3.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	174	165.0	3.4%
6	Disagree	143	130.5	2.7%
7	Strongly disagree	121	116.5	2.4%
8	Dont wan't to respond	17	18.0	0.4%
97	No answer	144	147.1	3.0%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt1k: Comment: Authorities have a right to [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	If you have any comments to the question, enter them here: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_dt2: Willing to accept that maintaining the safety of society must be at the cost of civil liberties				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	How willing are you to accept that maintaining the safety of society must be at the cost of the freedom of individuals (such as privacy)?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very willing	180	181.0	3.7%
2	Willing	601	608.5	12.4%
3	Somewhat willing	899	912.6	18.6%
4	Not very willing	500	469.3	9.6%
5	Not willing at all	157	189.6	3.9%
97	No answer	134	139.3	2.8%
98	Not asked	2434	2404.6	49.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_ran7: Randomizes independently from U				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4750 /-] [Invalid=12261 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DT3 and DT4			

# w01_ran7: Randomizes independently from U				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		2351		49.5%
2		2399		50.5%
Sysmiss		12261		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt3_1: Trust in central government to handle: natural disasters				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that central Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Natural disasters such as landslides, floods and hurricanes			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	78	73.2	1.5%
2	High confidence	668	601.8	12.3%
3	Some confidence	864	844.0	17.2%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	245	277.2	5.7%
5	Some mistrust	271	303.6	6.2%
6	High mistrust	103	108.3	2.2%
7	Very high mistrust	30	32.5	0.7%
8	Don't know	27	34.7	0.7%
97	No answer	65	75.3	1.5%
98	Not asked	2554	2554.4	52.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt3_2: Trust in central government to handle: transportation accident				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that central Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Accidents in connection with aviation, railroads, roads and shipping			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	85	82.7	1.7%
2	High confidence	824	743.6	15.2%
3	Some confidence	826	855.6	17.4%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	187	201.6	4.1%
5	Some mistrust	223	238.9	4.9%
6	High mistrust	84	88.1	1.8%
7	Very high mistrust	26	25.4	0.5%
8	Don't know	31	40.7	0.8%
97	No answer	65	73.9	1.5%
98	Not asked	2554	2554.4	52.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dt3_3: Trust in central government to handle: contagious disease				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that central Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Contagion in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	74	76.5	1.6%
2	High confidence	611	574.7	11.7%
3	Some confidence	799	783.5	16.0%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	266	294.1	6.0%
5	Some mistrust	341	349.6	7.1%
6	High mistrust	119	102.1	2.1%
7	Very high mistrust	40	41.6	0.8%
8	Don't know	34	48.9	1.0%
97	No answer	67	79.6	1.6%
98	Not asked	2554	2554.4	52.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt3_4: Trust in central government to handle: failure in critical infrastructure				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that central Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Failure in critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supply)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	46	52.3	1.1%
2	High confidence	505	454.5	9.3%
3	Some confidence	796	773.9	15.8%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	285	332.7	6.8%
5	Some mistrust	402	391.6	8.0%
6	High mistrust	139	132.7	2.7%
7	Very high mistrust	60	59.2	1.2%
8	Don't know	52	74.3	1.5%
97	No answer	66	79.6	1.6%
98	Not asked	2554	2554.4	52.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt3_5: Trust in central government to handle: terrorist attack				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that central Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Terrorist attacks			

# w01_dt3_5: Trust in central government to handle: terrorist attack				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	30	34.8	0.7%
2	High confidence	284	285.5	5.8%
3	Some confidence	766	724.4	14.8%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	242	267.4	5.5%
5	Some mistrust	481	473.3	9.6%
6	High mistrust	294	284.3	5.8%
7	Very high mistrust	155	161.1	3.3%
8	Don't know	34	44.1	0.9%
97	No answer	65	75.7	1.5%
98	Not asked	2554	2554.4	52.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt4_1: Trust in local government to handle: natural disaster				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that local Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Natural disasters such as landslides, floods and hurricanes			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	48	53.9	1.1%
2	High confidence	583	538.8	11.0%
3	Some confidence	918	898.7	18.3%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	286	318.5	6.5%
5	Some mistrust	311	328.5	6.7%
6	High mistrust	117	121.2	2.5%
7	Very high mistrust	46	50.2	1.0%
8	Don't know	30	32.8	0.7%
97	No answer	60	55.1	1.1%
98	Not asked	2506	2507.2	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt4_2: Trust in local government to handle: transportation accident				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that local Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Accidents in connection with aviation, railroads, roads and shipping			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	63	66.4	1.4%
2	High confidence	698	649.1	13.2%
3	Some confidence	856	873.7	17.8%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	261	273.9	5.6%
5	Some mistrust	308	308.8	6.3%

# w01_dt4_2: Trust in local government to handle: transportation accident				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	High mistrust	94	99.5	2.0%
7	Very high mistrust	33	38.7	0.8%
8	Don't know	25	29.9	0.6%
97	No answer	61	57.8	1.2%
98	Not asked	2506	2507.2	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt4_3: Trust in local government to handle: contagious disease				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that local Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Contagion in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	56	63.8	1.3%
2	High confidence	532	510.5	10.4%
3	Some confidence	853	841.0	17.1%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	258	291.2	5.9%
5	Some mistrust	381	373.1	7.6%
6	High mistrust	160	161.5	3.3%
7	Very high mistrust	62	64.5	1.3%
8	Don't know	38	36.1	0.7%
97	No answer	59	56.1	1.1%
98	Not asked	2506	2507.2	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt4_4: Trust in local government to handle: failure in critical infrastructure				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that local Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Failure in critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supply)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	40	51.1	1.0%
2	High confidence	462	467.5	9.5%
3	Some confidence	826	795.5	16.2%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	319	331.4	6.8%
5	Some mistrust	407	396.0	8.1%
6	High mistrust	164	163.0	3.3%
7	Very high mistrust	63	70.0	1.4%
8	Don't know	54	57.1	1.2%
97	No answer	64	66.3	1.4%
98	Not asked	2506	2507.2	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

# w01_dt4_4: Trust in local government to handle: failure in critical infrastructure				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt4_5: Trust in local government to handle: terrorist attack				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you have confidence or mistrust that local Norwegian authorities are capable of handling various forms of accidents and disasters?			
Literal question	Terrorist attacks			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	23	26.8	0.5%
2	High confidence	199	202.6	4.1%
3	Some confidence	594	583.6	11.9%
4	Neither confidence nor mistrust	314	317.4	6.5%
5	Some mistrust	531	512.4	10.4%
6	High mistrust	408	426.2	8.7%
7	Very high mistrust	224	223.6	4.6%
8	Don't know	48	49.2	1.0%
97	No answer	58	56.2	1.1%
98	Not asked	2506	2507.2	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt6_1: People are considerate and helpful				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Would you say that people usually try to be considerate to others and helpful, or that they mainly look out for themselves? Indicate your opinion using the scale below.			
Interviewer's instructions	0 means "Mainly look out for themselves" and 10 means "Are considerate to others and helpful".			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 - Mainly look out for themselves	24	24.3	0.5%
1	1	36	36.8	0.8%
2	2	85	85.6	1.7%
3	3	193	215.5	4.4%
4	4	229	240.4	4.9%
5	5	400	413.6	8.4%
6	6	403	371.6	7.6%
7	7	535	486.3	9.9%
8	8	269	272.3	5.6%
9	9	101	91.4	1.9%
10	10 - Are considerate to others and helpful	43	44.8	0.9%
97	No answer	0	0.0	
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
99	No response	116	121.8	2.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt7_1: People are exploitative				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]			

w01_dt7_1: People are exploitative

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Literal question Do you believe that most people would try to take advantage of you if they had the chance, or do you think they would try to be kind? Indicate your opinion below.

Interviewer's instructions 0 means "Would try to take advantage" and 10 means "Would try to be kind".

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 - Would try to take advantage	23	30.7	0.6%
1	1	32	30.1	0.6%
2	2	84	92.4	1.9%
3	3	133	151.4	3.1%
4	4	184	205.9	4.2%
5	5	368	386.9	7.9%
6	6	368	336.8	6.9%
7	7	517	474.1	9.7%
8	8	374	345.3	7.0%
9	9	136	133.5	2.7%
10	10 - Would try to be kind	71	66.9	1.4%
97	No answer	0	0.0	
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
99	No response	144	150.6	3.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv30_1: Statement: lower taxes even if it means cuts to welfare

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Pre-question To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Literal question Tax rates should be lowered, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	98	114.0	2.3%
2	Agree	182	176.7	3.6%
3	Agree somewhat	374	382.9	7.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	287	322.4	6.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	439	421.8	8.6%
6	Disagree	530	509.5	10.4%
7	Strongly disagree	400	352.1	7.2%
97	No answer	124	125.3	2.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

















w01_dv30_2: Statement: allow commercialized private schools

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Pre-question To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Literal question Commercial private schools should be permitted.

# w01_dv30_2: Statement: allow commercialized private schools				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	174	185.6	 3.8%
2	Agree	412	404.6	 8.2%
3	Agree somewhat	483	468.8	 9.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	330	391.8	 8.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	313	314.7	 6.4%
6	Disagree	302	263.8	 5.4%
7	Strongly disagree	296	251.2	 5.1%
97	No answer	124	124.2	 2.5%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	 51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv30_3: Statement: public services cheaper and better if left to private actors				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	Many public services could be carried out both better and cheaper if they were left to private parties.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	208	213.5	 4.4%
2	Agree	408	419.8	 8.6%
3	Agree somewhat	584	612.5	 12.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	258	295.1	 6.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	316	285.3	 5.8%
6	Disagree	337	281.5	 5.7%
7	Strongly disagree	201	177.9	 3.6%
97	No answer	122	119.0	 2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	 51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_1: Has in the last 12 months: contacted local politician				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Contacted a local politician			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4156	4172.9	 85.1%
1	Selected	570	551.6	 11.2%
97	No answer	179	180.5	 3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_2: Has in the last 12 months: contacted national politician				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			

# w01_dt8_2: Has in the last 12 months: contacted national politician				
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Contacted a national politician			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4520	4522.5	92.2%
1	Selected	206	202.0	4.1%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_3: Has in the last 12 months: contacted voluntary organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Contacted a voluntary organization or association			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4136	4163.1	84.9%
1	Selected	590	561.4	11.4%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_4: Has in the last 12 months: contacted a public official at the national level				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Contacted a public official at the national level			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4579	4601.5	93.8%
1	Selected	147	123.0	2.5%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_5: Has in the last 12 months: contacted a public official at the local level				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Contacted a public official at the local level			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4250	4245.4	86.6%
1	Selected	476	479.1	9.8%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

# w01_dt8_5: Has in the last 12 months: contacted a public official at the local level				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_6: Has in the last 12 months: participated in work in a political party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Participated in work in a political party			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4505	4505.2	91.8%
1	Selected	221	219.3	4.5%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_7: Has in the last 12 months: participated in work for protest group				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Participated in work in a political action group, local protest group or similar			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4544	4546.4	92.7%
1	Selected	182	178.2	3.6%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_8: Has in the last 12 months: participated in work for voluntary organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Participated in work in a voluntary organization or association			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	3721	3793.6	77.3%
1	Selected	1005	930.9	19.0%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_9: Has in the last 12 months: worn or posted campaign sticker or emblem				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Worn or posted a campaign sticker or emblem			

# w01_dt8_9: Has in the last 12 months: worn or posted campaign sticker or emblem				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4467	4476.4	91.3%
1	Selected	259	248.1	5.1%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_10: Has in the last 12 months: signed a petition				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Signed an appeal, a petition, action list or similar			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	3379	3517.1	71.7%
1	Selected	1347	1207.4	24.6%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_11: Has in the last 12 months: participated in public protest				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Participated in a public demonstration			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4502	4516.2	92.1%
1	Selected	224	208.3	4.2%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dt8_12: Has in the last 12 months: boycotted certain products				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Boycotted specific products			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	3479	3616.3	73.7%
1	Selected	1247	1108.2	22.6%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_13: Has in the last 12 months: consciously purchased specific products for political reasons				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or environmental reasons			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	3132	3315.7	67.6%
1	Selected	1594	1408.8	28.7%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_14: Has in the last 12 months: provided financial support				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Provided financial support			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2908	2977.1	60.7%
1	Selected	1818	1747.4	35.6%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_15: Has in the last 12 months: collected money				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Collected money			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4344	4353.1	88.7%
1	Selected	382	371.4	7.6%

# w01_dt8_15: Has in the last 12 months: collected money				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_16: Has in the last 12 months: contacted or appeared in media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Contacted or appeared in the media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4432	4450.6	90.7%
1	Selected	294	273.9	5.6%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_17: Has in the last 12 months: contacted lawyer				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Contacted a lawyer or legal advisor			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4534	4508.7	91.9%
1	Selected	192	215.8	4.4%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_18: Has in the last 12 months: participated in public meeting				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Participated in a public meeting			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4029	4082.4	83.2%
1	Selected	697	642.1	13.1%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt8_19: Has in the last 12 months: participated in political meeting				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			

# w01_dt8_19: Has in the last 12 months: participated in political meeting				
Pre-question	In the course of the last 12 months, have you as an individual done any of the following to try to influence a political decision?			
Literal question	Participated at a political meeting or event			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	4311	4313.0	87.9%
1	Selected	415	411.5	8.4%
97	No answer	179	180.5	3.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt9_1: Has in the last 12 months: in community: participated in public meeting				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Have you in the past 12 months participated in any of the following activities to improve conditions in your own neighbourhood or community?			
Literal question	Participated in or taken the initiative to a public meeting to discuss issues related to conditions in the community			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2093	2083.9	42.5%
1	Selected	260	239.9	4.9%
97	No answer	81	80.8	1.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt9_2: Has in the last 12 months: in community: participated in voluntary work				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Have you in the past 12 months participated in any of the following activities to improve conditions in your own neighbourhood or community?			
Literal question	Participated in or taken the initiative for voluntary work to fix or improve something in the community			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1414	1436.5	29.3%
1	Selected	939	887.3	18.1%
97	No answer	81	80.8	1.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt9_3: Has in the last 12 months: in community: been involved in association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Have you in the past 12 months participated in any of the following activities to improve conditions in your own neighbourhood or community?			
Literal question	Become involved in a residents' association, neighbourhood association or similar organizations in the community			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			

w01_dt9_3: Has in the last 12 months: in community: been involved in association

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1734	1752.1	35.7%
1	Selected	619	571.7	11.7%
97	No answer	81	80.8	1.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt10_1: Membership: Sports or outdoor organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?
Literal question	Sports or outdoor organization
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1656	1696.1	34.6%
1	Selected	694	624.8	12.7%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt10_2: Membership: Humanitarian aid organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?
Literal question	Humanitarian aid organization
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1914	1944.6	39.6%
1	Selected	436	376.3	7.7%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dt10_3: Membership: Religious or other belief organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?
Literal question	Religious or other belief organization
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2074	2091.3	42.6%
1	Selected	276	229.6	4.7%

# w01_dt10_3: Membership: Religious or other belief organization				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_4: Membership: Political party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Political party			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2145	2114.0	43.1%
1	Selected	205	206.9	4.2%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_5: Membership: Temperance organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Temperance organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2332	2297.8	46.8%
1	Selected	18	23.2	0.5%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_6: Membership: Language organization or similar				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Language organization or similar			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2323	2298.8	46.9%
1	Selected	27	22.1	0.4%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

# w01_dt10_6: Membership: Language organization or similar				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_7: Membership: Environmental protection/animal welfare organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Environmental protection/animal welfare organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2199	2194.9	44.7%
1	Selected	151	126.0	2.6%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_8: Membership: Residents' association/neighbourhood association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Residents' association/neighbourhood association/community association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1797	1816.9	37.0%
1	Selected	553	504.1	10.3%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_9: Membership: Housing cooperative				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Housing cooperative			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1913	1909.6	38.9%
1	Selected	437	411.3	8.4%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_10: Membership: House owner association and land owner association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			

# w01_dt10_10: Membership: House owner association and land owner association				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	House owner association and land owner association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1985	1989.3	40.6%
1	Selected	365	331.6	6.8%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_11: Membership: Cultural association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Cultural association (music, dance, theatre, hobby, etc.)			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2002	1993.8	40.6%
1	Selected	348	327.2	6.7%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_12: Membership: Lodge				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Lodge			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2280	2249.1	45.9%
1	Selected	70	71.8	1.5%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_13: Membership: Consumer organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Consumer organization			

# w01_dt10_13: Membership: Consumer organization				
Interviewer's instructions		Check if yes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2273	2256.6	46.0%
1	Selected	77	64.3	1.3%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_14: Membership: Car association				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?		
Literal question		Car association		
Interviewer's instructions		Check if yes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1901	1917.8	39.1%
1	Selected	449	403.2	8.2%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_15: Membership: Patient association or interest organization for the disabled				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?		
Literal question		Patient association or interest organization for the disabled		
Interviewer's instructions		Check if yes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2233	2188.7	44.6%
1	Selected	117	132.2	2.7%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_16: Membership: Pensioner association				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?		
Literal question		Pensioner association		
Interviewer's instructions		Check if yes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2215	2160.2	44.0%

# w01_dt10_16: Membership: Pensioner association				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Selected	135	160.7	3.3%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_17: Membership: Parents' association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Parents' association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2244	2235.5	45.6%
1	Selected	106	85.4	1.7%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_18: Membership: Immigrant association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Immigrant association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2331	2295.8	46.8%
1	Selected	19	25.1	0.5%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_19: Membership: Employee organization/trade union				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Employee organization/trade union			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1477	1565.5	31.9%
1	Selected	873	755.4	15.4%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%

# w01_dt10_19: Membership: Employee organization/trade union				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_20: Membership: Business and employer organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Business and employer organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2238	2224.4	45.3%
1	Selected	112	96.5	2.0%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_21: Membership: Agricultural or fisheries organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Agricultural or fisheries organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2271	2235.1	45.6%
1	Selected	79	85.8	1.7%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt10_22: Membership: Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some types of organizations. Could you place a checkmark if you are a member of any of these?			
Literal question	Other organizations/associations			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1863	1834.5	37.4%
1	Selected	487	486.4	9.9%
97	No answer	84	83.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dt11_1: Position of trust: Sports or outdoor organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Sports or outdoor organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	534	470.5	9.6%
1	Selected	160	154.4	3.1%
97	No answer	1740	1779.8	36.3%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_2: Position of trust: Humanitarian aid organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Humanitarian aid organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	404	343.4	7.0%
1	Selected	32	32.8	0.7%
97	No answer	1998	2028.4	41.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_3: Position of trust: Religious or other belief organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Religious or other belief organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	196	154.1	3.1%
1	Selected	80	75.5	1.5%
97	No answer	2158	2175.1	44.3%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_4: Position of trust: Political party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			

# w01_dt11_4: Position of trust: Political party				
Literal question	Political party			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	158	164.1	3.3%
1	Selected	47	42.8	0.9%
97	No answer	2229	2197.8	44.8%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_5: Position of trust: Temperance organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Temperance organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	14	18.4	0.4%
1	Selected	4	4.8	0.1%
97	No answer	2416	2381.5	48.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_6: Position of trust: Language organization or similar				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Language organization or similar			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	25	20.2	0.4%
1	Selected	2	1.8	0.0%
97	No answer	2407	2382.6	48.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_7: Position of trust: Environmental protection/animal welfare organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Environmental protection/animal welfare organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			

# w01_dt11_7: Position of trust: Environmental protection/animal welfare organization				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	133	108.9	2.2%
1	Selected	18	17.1	0.3%
97	No answer	2283	2278.6	46.5%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_8: Position of trust: Residents' association/neighbourhood association/community association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Residents' association/neighbourhood association/community association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	426	395.3	8.1%
1	Selected	127	108.8	2.2%
97	No answer	1881	1900.6	38.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_9: Position of trust: Housing cooperative				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Housing cooperative			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	348	329.2	6.7%
1	Selected	89	82.1	1.7%
97	No answer	1997	1993.3	40.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_10: Position of trust: House owner association and land owner association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	House owner association and land owner association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	342	312.8	6.4%
1	Selected	23	18.8	0.4%

# w01_dt11_10: Position of trust: House owner association and land owner association				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	2069	2073.0	42.3%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_11: Position of trust: Cultural association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Cultural association (music, dance, theatre, hobby, etc.)			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	262	239.4	4.9%
1	Selected	86	87.8	1.8%
97	No answer	2086	2077.5	42.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_12: Position of trust: Lodge				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Lodge			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	51	49.2	1.0%
1	Selected	19	22.6	0.5%
97	No answer	2364	2332.9	47.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_13: Position of trust: Consumer organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Consumer organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	75	63.4	1.3%
1	Selected	2	0.9	0.0%
97	No answer	2357	2340.4	47.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

# w01_dt11_13: Position of trust: Consumer organization				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_14: Position of trust: Car association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Car association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	437	389.0	7.9%
1	Selected	12	14.1	0.3%
97	No answer	1985	2001.5	40.8%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_15: Position of trust: Patient association or interest organization for the disabled				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Patient association or interest organization for the disabled			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	103	116.3	2.4%
1	Selected	14	15.9	0.3%
97	No answer	2317	2272.4	46.3%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_16: Position of trust: Pensioner association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Pensioner association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	113	136.0	2.8%
1	Selected	22	24.7	0.5%
97	No answer	2299	2243.9	45.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_17: Position of trust: Parents' association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			

# w01_dt11_17: Position of trust: Parents' association					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question		Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question		Parents' association			
Interviewer's instructions		Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Not selected	64	51.8	1.1%	
1	Selected	42	33.6	0.7%	
97	No answer	2328	2319.2	47.3%	
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%	
Sysmiss		12106	0.0		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>					

# w01_dt11_18: Position of trust: Immigrant association				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Immigrant association			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	11	14.6	0.3%
1	Selected	8	10.5	0.2%
97	No answer	2415	2379.5	48.5%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_19: Position of trust: Employee organization/trade union				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Employee organization/trade union			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	766	669.7	13.7%
1	Selected	107	85.8	1.7%
97	No answer	1561	1649.2	33.6%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_20: Position of trust: Business and employer organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Business and employer organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	83	72.5	1.5%
1	Selected	29	24.0	0.5%
97	No answer	2322	2308.1	47.1%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_21: Position of trust: Agricultural or fisheries organization				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			

# w01_dt11_21: Position of trust: Agricultural or fisheries organization				
Literal question	Agricultural or fisheries organization			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	65	70.9	1.4%
1	Selected	14	14.9	0.3%
97	No answer	2355	2318.9	47.3%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt11_22: Position of trust: Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Do you have a position of trust in any of these organizations?			
Literal question	Other organizations/associations			
Interviewer's instructions	Check if yes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	373	372.4	7.6%
1	Selected	114	114.1	2.3%
97	No answer	1947	1918.2	39.1%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt12: Would attempt to influence political process regarding controversial measure				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Let's assume that the Storting is discussing a new measure that you consider to be completely unreasonable. How likely is it that you would attempt to do something to affect the outcome?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	79	82.4	1.7%
2	Likely	250	222.5	4.5%
3	Somewhat likely	683	658.9	13.4%
4	Not very likely	1016	1007.0	20.5%
5	Not likely at all	267	286.6	5.8%
97	No answer	139	147.2	3.0%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt13: Means to influence political process				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	If you wanted to affect the outcome of an issue, what would be your favoured procedure?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would write a letter to the newspaper	340	321.0	6.5%

# w01_dt13: Means to influence political process				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Would work to get media coverage elsewhere	219	204.5	4.2%
3	Would discuss the matter with the party or other organization I am a member of	147	130.5	2.7%
4	Would contact a politician	261	254.7	5.2%
5	Would contact an employee of the ministry concerned	100	92.8	1.9%
6	Would organize a petition	89	89.7	1.8%
7	Would organize a demonstration	28	34.1	0.7%
8	Not sure	923	938.3	19.1%
9	Other ways	121	119.1	2.4%
97	No answer	206	219.9	4.5%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km34: Norway morally obligated to reduce emissions				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "Rich countries like Norway have a moral obligation to show international leadership by reducing their greenhouse gas emissions."			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	508	426.9	8.7%
2	Agree	680	615.0	12.5%
3	Agree somewhat	572	589.6	12.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	251	300.1	6.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	114	114.1	2.3%
6	Disagree	98	115.4	2.4%
7	Strongly disagree	68	96.5	2.0%
97	No answer	143	147.0	3.0%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_grup: Randomizes if U=2				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2344 /-] [Invalid=14667 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into two groups and selects pairwise the following questions: DV1 - DV14			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1163	49.6%	
2		1181	50.4%	
Sysmiss		14667		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv1: Benefit for Norway that people from other countries settles down here				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	How great an advantage or disadvantage would you say it is for Norway that people from other countries come to live here?			

w01_dv1: Benefit for Norway that people from other countries settles down here

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very great advantage	37	31.8	0.6%
2	Great advantage	177	155.9	3.2%
3	A certain advantage	420	389.6	7.9%
4	Neither an advantage nor disadvantage	172	174.9	3.6%
5	A certain disadvantage	215	245.2	5.0%
6	A great disadvantage	73	90.4	1.8%
7	A very great disadvantage	37	46.9	1.0%
97	No answer	32	30.6	0.6%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv2: Benefit for Norway that immigrants settles down here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	How great an advantage or disadvantage would you say it is for Norway that immigrants come to live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very great advantage	37	32.2	0.7%
2	Great advantage	181	162.0	3.3%
3	A certain advantage	402	369.9	7.5%
4	Neither an advantage nor disadvantage	169	164.1	3.3%
5	A certain disadvantage	237	248.7	5.1%
6	A great disadvantage	72	79.8	1.6%
7	A very great disadvantage	48	53.8	1.1%
97	No answer	35	38.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv3: Policies aimed at people from other countries that settle down personally important

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	How important are policies aimed at people from other countries who come to live in Norway to you personally?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	194	197.0	4.0%
2	Important	442	418.8	8.5%
3	Somewhat important	349	351.5	7.2%
4	Not very important	114	124.6	2.5%
5	Not important at all	24	28.1	0.6%
97	No answer	40	45.4	0.9%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv4: Immigration policy imporant personally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# w01_dv4: Immigration policy imporant personally				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		How important is immigration policy to you personally?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	156	168.1	3.4%
2	Important	433	403.1	8.2%
3	Somewhat important	444	413.2	8.4%
4	Not very important	115	127.5	2.6%
5	Not important at all	18	18.8	0.4%
97	No answer	15	18.5	0.4%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv5: Party with best policy regarding settlement of foreigners				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		Which political party do you believe has the best policy aimed at people who come from other countries to live in Norway?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Labour Party	239	227.7	4.6%
2	The Progress Party	247	281.3	5.7%
3	The Conservative Party	174	151.0	3.1%
4	The Socialist Left Party	111	109.3	2.2%
5	The Centre Party	9	9.7	0.2%
6	The Christian Democratic Party	61	55.3	1.1%
7	The Liberal Party	75	71.5	1.5%
8	Red	24	19.8	0.4%
9	The Green Party	6	5.0	0.1%
10	Others (Note)	7	12.5	0.3%
11	None	121	128.4	2.6%
97	No answer	89	93.9	1.9%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv5_10_other: Other: Party with best policy regarding settlement of foreigners				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question		Which political party do you believe has the best policy aimed at people who come from other countries to live in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions		[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w01_dv6: Party with the best immigration policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?		

w01_dv6: Party with the best immigration policy

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Labour Party	222	211.7	4.3%
2	The Progress Party	277	299.8	6.1%
3	The Conservative Party	203	195.8	4.0%
4	The Socialist Left Party	81	61.0	1.2%
5	The Centre Party	12	10.5	0.2%
6	The Christian Democratic Party	62	56.8	1.2%
7	The Liberal Party	61	56.1	1.1%
8	Red	27	25.3	0.5%
9	The Green Party	7	5.8	0.1%
10	Others (Note)	12	9.4	0.2%
11	None	141	143.8	2.9%
97	No answer	76	73.2	1.5%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv6_10_other: Other: Party with best immigration policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w01_dv7: Share of foreigners in community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	If you consider people in your community or school district, around how many have come from a different country to live there or have at least one parent who has come from another country?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All, or nearly all	12	13.9	0.3%
2	Many (more than half)	48	46.7	1.0%
3	Around half	69	78.6	1.6%
4	Some (fewer than half)	756	745.0	15.2%
5	None, or nearly none	234	224.2	4.6%
97	No answer	44	57.0	1.2%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv8: Share of immigrants in community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	If you consider people in your community or school district, around how many have immigrated or have at least one parent who has immigrated?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All, or nearly all	10	10.9	0.2%

# w01_dv8: Share of immigrants in community				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Many (more than half)	59	62.8	1.3%
3	Around half	84	73.7	1.5%
4	Some (fewer than half)	695	682.4	13.9%
5	None, or nearly none	284	261.2	5.3%
97	No answer	49	58.3	1.2%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv9: Encounters with foreigners in daily life				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Imagine people you randomly encounter in your daily life, for example on the bus, at the shop or in your neighbourhood. How often would you say that you encounter people who have come from other countries to live here?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	725	715.2	14.6%
2	Weekly	218	211.0	4.3%
3	A few times a month	124	126.8	2.6%
4	More seldom, but it has occurred	53	65.8	1.3%
5	Never	5	5.3	0.1%
97	No answer	38	41.2	0.8%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv10: Encounters with immigrants in daily life				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Imagine people you randomly encounter in your daily life, for example on the bus, at the shop or in your neighbourhood. How often would you say you encounter immigrants?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	866	836.5	17.1%
2	Weekly	191	195.2	4.0%
3	A few times a month	76	72.4	1.5%
4	More seldom, but it has occurred	31	29.5	0.6%
5	Never	1	0.9	0.0%
97	No answer	16	14.6	0.3%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv11: Experience of meeting foreigners				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	How good or bad an experience have these short, random encounters been?			

# w01_dv11: Experience of meeting foreigners				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	87	79.3	1.6%
2	Good	375	350.3	7.1%
3	Somewhat good	93	92.0	1.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	471	481.2	9.8%
5	Somewhat bad	53	60.9	1.2%
6	Bad	24	33.3	0.7%
7	Very bad	6	7.2	0.1%
97	No answer	54	61.2	1.2%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv12: Experience of meeting immigrants				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	How good or bad an experience have these short, random encounters been?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	98	95.8	2.0%
2	Good	377	345.8	7.0%
3	Somewhat good	81	80.1	1.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	519	522.6	10.7%
5	Somewhat bad	46	41.1	0.8%
6	Bad	28	32.1	0.7%
7	Very bad	8	9.4	0.2%
97	No answer	24	22.3	0.5%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv13: Share of friends that are foreigners				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	How many of your close friends have come from another country to live in Norway or have parents (mother, father or both parents) who have come from another country to live in Norway?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All, or nearly all	11	8.8	0.2%
2	Many (more than half)	46	37.2	0.8%
3	Around half	66	64.4	1.3%
4	Some (fewer than half)	454	456.9	9.3%
5	None, or nearly none	557	563.3	11.5%
97	No answer	29	34.8	0.7%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dv14: Share of friends that are immigrants				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		How many of your close friends have immigrated to Norway or have parents (mother, father or both parents) who have immigrated to Norway?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All, or nearly all	9	12.7	0.3%
2	Many (more than half)	47	46.5	0.9%
3	Around half	57	46.7	1.0%
4	Some (fewer than half)	411	398.2	8.1%
5	None, or nearly none	638	623.8	12.7%
97	No answer	19	21.3	0.4%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_grup2: Randomizes independently from U				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4709 /-] [Invalid=12302 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=2 into six groups and selects one the following questions: DV15- DV20		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		788	16.7%	
2		754	16.0%	
3		778	16.5%	
4		817	17.3%	
5		789	16.8%	
6		783	16.6%	
Sysmiss		12302		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv15: Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to 1800 job applications				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		Research based on 1,800 job applications concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	178	176.7	3.6%
2	Agree	294	266.8	5.4%
3	Agree somewhat	132	142.1	2.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	85	99.1	2.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	26	28.0	0.6%
6	Disagree	31	37.0	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	17	15.6	0.3%
97	No answer	25	24.5	0.5%
98	Not asked	4117	4115.2	83.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dv16: Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to 42 interviews	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	Research based on 42 interviews with employers concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	158	135.5	2.8%
2	Agree	306	296.5	6.0%
3	Agree somewhat	141	159.8	3.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	75	98.1	2.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	22	28.7	0.6%
6	Disagree	27	29.3	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	10	9.5	0.2%
97	No answer	15	16.0	0.3%
98	Not asked	4151	4131.7	84.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w01_dv17: Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to research	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	Research concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	175	166.9	3.4%
2	Agree	302	309.4	6.3%
3	Agree somewhat	134	135.3	2.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	96	107.8	2.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	29	29.3	0.6%
6	Disagree	21	19.6	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	9	9.1	0.2%
97	No answer	12	12.6	0.3%
98	Not asked	4127	4115.0	83.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w01_dv18: Claim: Immigrants has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to a governmental ministry	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	A governmental ministry concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	201	185.7	3.8%
2	Agree	308	298.6	6.1%
3	Agree somewhat	142	161.6	3.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	84	98.8	2.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	26	32.3	0.7%

w01_dv18: Claim: Immigrans has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to a governmental ministry

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Disagree	25	29.6	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	16	15.4	0.3%
97	No answer	15	13.2	0.3%
98	Not asked	4088	4069.8	83.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv19: Claim: Immigrans has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to The Norwegian Centre against Racism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	The Norwegian Centre against Racism concludes that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	178	144.3	2.9%
2	Agree	296	284.7	5.8%
3	Agree somewhat	128	118.5	2.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	117	134.4	2.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	14	9.5	0.2%
6	Disagree	26	29.2	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	15	14.3	0.3%
97	No answer	15	17.6	0.4%
98	Not asked	4116	4152.6	84.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv20: Claim: Immigrans has to apply for more jobs than Norwegians, according to public debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Literal question	Social debate participants conclude that Norwegians with immigrant backgrounds must apply for far more jobs than others in order to be called for an interview. To which extent do you agree that this is the case in Norway just now?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	163	143.4	2.9%
2	Agree	313	305.9	6.2%
3	Agree somewhat	136	130.9	2.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	109	125.2	2.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	21	20.2	0.4%
6	Disagree	17	15.9	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	9	6.3	0.1%
97	No answer	15	19.4	0.4%
98	Not asked	4122	4137.8	84.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_grup3: Randomizes if U=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2338 /-] [Invalid=14673 /-]

# w01_grup3: Randomizes if U=2				
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=2 into four groups and selects one the following questions: DV21-25		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		642		27.5%
2		559		23.9%
3		591		25.3%
4		546		23.4%
Sysmiss		14673		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv21: Allow Muslim schools				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		Some Muslims in Norway wish to send their children to Islamic schools that follow the Norwegian curriculum but additionally teach Islam. To which extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway should be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	14	21.8	0.4%
2	Agree	47	40.8	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	64	61.5	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	83	85.7	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	95	91.9	1.9%
6	Disagree	139	129.8	2.6%
7	Strongly disagree	181	195.3	4.0%
97	No answer	19	22.9	0.5%
98	Not asked	4263	4255.3	86.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv22: Allow Muslim schools, even though it may disrupt integration, according to public debate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		Some Muslims in Norway wish to send their children to Islamic schools that follow the Norwegian curriculum but additionally teach Islam. Some participants in the social debate have argued against such schools because they believe they obstruct integration. To which extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway should be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	19	17.1	0.3%
2	Agree	35	33.4	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	43	38.7	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	62	59.8	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	91	87.8	1.8%
6	Disagree	134	116.4	2.4%
7	Strongly disagree	164	178.2	3.6%
97	No answer	11	8.8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4346	4364.7	89.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dv23: Allow Muslim schools, even though it may disrupt integration, according to Progress Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		Some Muslims in Norway wish to send their children to Islamic schools that follow the Norwegian curriculum but additionally teach Islam. Certain Progress Party politicians have argued against such schools because they believe they obstruct integration. To which extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway should be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	20	26.6	0.5%
2	Agree	47	35.9	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	77	73.0	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	70	75.0	1.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	86	80.4	1.6%
6	Disagree	119	129.6	2.6%
7	Strongly disagree	160	159.1	3.2%
97	No answer	12	14.2	0.3%
98	Not asked	4314	4311.1	87.9%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv24: Allow Muslim schools, even though it may disrupt integration, according to Labour Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Literal question		Some Muslims in Norway wish to send their children to Islamic schools that follow the Norwegian curriculum but additionally teach Islam. Certain Labour Party politicians have argued against such schools because they believe they obstruct integration. To which extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway should be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	18	14.5	0.3%
2	Agree	29	25.9	0.5%
3	Agree somewhat	48	39.3	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	57	71.1	1.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	84	74.8	1.5%
6	Disagree	136	128.1	2.6%
7	Strongly disagree	163	158.8	3.2%
97	No answer	11	12.5	0.3%
98	Not asked	4359	4379.9	89.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_grup4: Randomizes if U=2				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2335 /-] [Invalid=14676 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=2 into four groups and selects one the following questions: DV25-28		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		579	24.8%	
2		619	26.5%	
3		564	24.2%	
4		573	24.5%	

# w01_grup4: Randomizes if U=2				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Sysmiss		14676		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv25: Claim: Muslim culture should be respected				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:			
Literal question	Muslims in Norway are entitled to respect for their traditions and culture.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	61	57.1	1.2%
2	Agree	140	109.4	2.2%
3	Agree somewhat	162	152.6	3.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	51	56.2	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	67	71.0	1.4%
6	Disagree	36	37.4	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	48	57.5	1.2%
97	No answer	14	11.9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4326	4352.0	88.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv26: Claim: Muslim culture should be protected				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:			
Literal question	Muslims in Norway are entitled to protection of their traditions and culture.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	13	9.2	0.2%
2	Agree	52	46.4	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	150	128.5	2.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	79	83.6	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	129	130.8	2.7%
6	Disagree	99	102.6	2.1%
7	Strongly disagree	88	108.0	2.2%
97	No answer	9	8.5	0.2%
98	Not asked	4286	4287.4	87.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv27: Claim: Immigrant culture should be respected				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:			
Literal question	Immigrants in Norway are entitled to respect for their traditions and culture.			

w01_dv27: Claim: Immigrant culture should be respected

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	47	48.7	1.0%
2	Agree	161	134.2	2.7%
3	Agree somewhat	174	172.0	3.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	44	43.9	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	72	82.4	1.7%
6	Disagree	28	30.4	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	28	30.0	0.6%
97	No answer	10	9.3	0.2%
98	Not asked	4341	4354.0	88.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv28: Claim: Immigrant culture should be protected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Immigrants in Norway are entitled to protection of their traditions and culture.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	17	19.2	0.4%
2	Agree	79	76.0	1.5%
3	Agree somewhat	146	122.6	2.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	71	77.2	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	112	125.8	2.6%
6	Disagree	73	77.4	1.6%
7	Strongly disagree	64	74.3	1.5%
97	No answer	11	11.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	4332	4321.0	88.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv29_1: Statement: Refugees should be entitled to social services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Refugees should have the same right to social services as Norwegians, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	166	153.2	3.1%
2	Agree	513	446.6	9.1%
3	Agree somewhat	438	430.8	8.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	212	229.2	4.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	328	331.2	6.8%
6	Disagree	359	352.5	7.2%
7	Strongly disagree	245	287.2	5.9%
97	No answer	173	173.9	3.5%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%

# w01_dv29_1: Statement: Refugees should be entitled to social services				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv29_2: Statement: Begging should be banned				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	Begging should be banned in Norway.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	833	879.8	17.9%
2	Agree	515	515.0	10.5%
3	Agree somewhat	321	304.6	6.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	218	201.6	4.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	148	145.0	3.0%
6	Disagree	144	120.2	2.5%
7	Strongly disagree	87	71.9	1.5%
97	No answer	168	166.6	3.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv29_3: Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	284	337.3	6.9%
2	Agree	287	326.4	6.7%
3	Agree somewhat	440	436.8	8.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	351	344.0	7.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	299	269.5	5.5%
6	Disagree	395	350.1	7.1%
7	Strongly disagree	208	173.5	3.5%
97	No answer	170	167.1	3.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv29_4: Statement: Norwegian muslims more loyal to other muslims				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	Norwegian Muslims are more loyal to other Muslims in the world than to the people of this country.			

# w01_dv29_4: Statement: Norwegian muslims more loyal to other muslims				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	284	324.9	6.6%
2	Agree	389	415.7	8.5%
3	Agree somewhat	452	429.5	8.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	733	718.5	14.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	158	141.7	2.9%
6	Disagree	167	138.0	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	69	56.5	1.2%
97	No answer	182	179.9	3.7%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv29_5: Statement: Better for a country if most people share the same traditions and customs				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	It is better for a country if nearly everyone shares the same traditions and customs.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	214	234.8	4.8%
2	Agree	317	353.2	7.2%
3	Agree somewhat	411	398.0	8.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	409	428.5	8.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	370	331.0	6.7%
6	Disagree	375	333.4	6.8%
7	Strongly disagree	165	152.2	3.1%
97	No answer	173	173.5	3.5%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv33: Foreigners are better off compared to people like me				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	In your opinion, how much better or worse off are the majority of people who have come to live in Norway compared to people like you?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much better	12	18.7	0.4%
2	Better	32	39.9	0.8%
3	Somewhat better	54	69.1	1.4%
4	Neither better nor worse	348	392.6	8.0%
5	Somewhat worse	296	281.0	5.7%
6	Worse	300	249.8	5.1%
7	Much worse	70	57.4	1.2%
97	No answer	51	56.8	1.2%
98	Not asked	3742	3739.6	76.2%

# w01_dv33: Foreigners are better off compared to people like me				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv34: Immigrants are better off compared to people like me				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	In your opinion, how much better or worse off are the majority of people who have immigrated to Norway compared to people like you?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much better	12	23.1	0.5%
2	Better	40	48.7	1.0%
3	Somewhat better	52	64.3	1.3%
4	Neither better nor worse	399	430.9	8.8%
5	Somewhat worse	380	337.3	6.9%
6	Worse	205	162.1	3.3%
7	Much worse	41	31.9	0.6%
97	No answer	52	50.9	1.0%
98	Not asked	3724	3755.8	76.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_grup5: Randomizes independently from U				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4693 /-] [Invalid=12318 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DV35 or DV38			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		2316	49.4%	
2		2377	50.6%	
Sysmiss		12318		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_dv35_1: Fitting description: Important not to not have prejudices towards immigrants				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How well or poor would you say that the following description fits you:			
Literal question	It is important to me personally to be unprejudiced towards immigrants.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	401	393.0	8.0%
2	Good	833	749.4	15.3%
3	Somewhat good	450	442.1	9.0%
4	Neither good nor bad	352	415.5	8.5%
5	Somewhat bad	127	121.0	2.5%
6	Bad	34	46.2	0.9%
7	Very bad	32	32.1	0.7%
97	No answer	87	93.4	1.9%
98	Not asked	2589	2612.4	53.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv35_2: Fitting description: Don't want to appear racist				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How well or poor would you say that the following description fits you:			
Literal question	I would not like to be perceived as racist, not even unto myself.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	519	510.0	10.4%
2	Good	794	732.2	14.9%
3	Somewhat good	346	346.7	7.1%
4	Neither good nor bad	357	384.4	7.8%
5	Somewhat bad	121	131.8	2.7%
6	Bad	54	58.5	1.2%
7	Very bad	26	25.4	0.5%
97	No answer	99	103.5	2.1%
98	Not asked	2589	2612.4	53.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv35_3: Fitting description: Feel guilty about negative feeling towards immigrants				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How well or poor would you say that the following description fits you:			
Literal question	I feel guilty if I have negative feelings towards immigrants.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	176	179.4	3.7%
2	Good	368	344.3	7.0%
3	Somewhat good	358	357.1	7.3%
4	Neither good nor bad	577	602.3	12.3%

w01_dv35_3: Fitting description: Feel guilty about negative feeling towards immigrants

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Somewhat bad	272	263.0	5.4%
6	Bad	290	272.6	5.6%
7	Very bad	176	173.2	3.5%
97	No answer	99	100.7	2.1%
98	Not asked	2589	2612.4	53.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv35_4: Fitting description: Trying not to not have prejudices towards immigrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	How well or poor would you say that the following description fits you:
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced towards immigrants due to my own conviction.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	360	318.2	6.5%
2	Good	747	674.8	13.8%
3	Somewhat good	393	411.8	8.4%
4	Neither good nor bad	475	516.8	10.5%
5	Somewhat bad	113	117.2	2.4%
6	Bad	77	85.1	1.7%
7	Very bad	48	48.0	1.0%
97	No answer	103	120.6	2.5%
98	Not asked	2589	2612.4	53.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv36_recode: Directly affected by July 22nd

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]
Literal question	As you know, Anders Behring Breivik perpetrated the worst terrorist attack on Norwegian soil in peacetime on Friday 22 July 2011. 77 people lost their lives A lot of people in Norway were directly affected either because they were closely related to or knew some of the victims, or they were close to the events in other ways. What about you, were you directly affected?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I personally, a family member, close friend, or an acquaintance was in danger during the attacks, or a family member, close friend or an acquaintance was killed in the attacks	695	14.2%
2	No, I wasn't affected in this respect	3631	74.0%
3	Other	262	5.3%
97	No answer	317	6.5%
Sysmiss		12106	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv36_5_other: Other: Directly affected by July 22nd [anonymised]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Other: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# w01_dv37_1: Reaction: Remember where I was when the news came				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?			
Literal question	I remember where I was when I got the news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	2721	2636.8	53.8%
2	Good	576	603.6	12.3%
3	Somewhat good	126	142.1	2.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	132	158.6	3.2%
5	Somewhat bad	49	56.6	1.2%
6	Bad	88	78.6	1.6%
7	Very bad	109	111.4	2.3%
97	No answer	92	103.0	2.1%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
System		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv37_2: Reaction: Remember who I was with when the news came				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?			
Literal question	I remember who I was with when I got the news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	2570	2463.4	50.2%
2	Good	598	598.3	12.2%
3	Somewhat good	130	141.8	2.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	181	214.6	4.4%
5	Somewhat bad	52	73.8	1.5%
6	Bad	116	113.8	2.3%
7	Very bad	130	136.7	2.8%
97	No answer	116	148.4	3.0%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
System		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv37_3: Reaction: Paid close attention to the news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?			
Literal question	I followed the news with extra interest in the time following the events			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	2355	2287.5	46.6%
2	Good	917	939.8	19.2%

w01_dv37_3: Reaction: Paid close attention to the news

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Somewhat good	297	304.7	6.2%
4	Neither good nor bad	124	130.9	2.7%
5	Somewhat bad	50	66.5	1.4%
6	Bad	30	30.3	0.6%
7	Very bad	41	43.5	0.9%
97	No answer	79	87.6	1.8%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv37_4: Reaction: Was saddened

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?
Literal question	I was sad

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	2181	2172.8	44.3%
2	Good	919	909.5	18.5%
3	Somewhat good	331	319.6	6.5%
4	Neither good nor bad	222	221.0	4.5%
5	Somewhat bad	42	47.9	1.0%
6	Bad	45	49.5	1.0%
7	Very bad	46	57.8	1.2%
97	No answer	107	112.6	2.3%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv37_5: Reaction: Got angry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?
Literal question	I was angry

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	1553	1643.8	33.5%
2	Good	804	800.3	16.3%
3	Somewhat good	494	473.7	9.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	521	494.3	10.1%
5	Somewhat bad	142	124.0	2.5%
6	Bad	150	130.0	2.6%
7	Very bad	102	90.4	1.8%
97	No answer	127	134.2	2.7%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

# w01_dv37_5: Reaction: Got angry				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv37_6: Reaction: Discussed events with friends and relatives				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?			
Literal question	I discussed 22. July with friends and acquaintances			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	2106	2017.9	41.1%
2	Good	1118	1153.9	23.5%
3	Somewhat good	351	374.9	7.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	134	148.1	3.0%
5	Somewhat bad	37	44.1	0.9%
6	Bad	24	22.5	0.5%
7	Very bad	29	24.7	0.5%
97	No answer	94	104.5	2.1%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv37_8: Reaction: Became more interested in politics				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?			
Literal question	I became more interested in politics			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	202	215.2	4.4%
2	Good	407	387.3	7.9%
3	Somewhat good	663	662.0	13.5%
4	Neither good nor bad	1579	1582.7	32.3%
5	Somewhat bad	227	225.1	4.6%
6	Bad	385	362.6	7.4%
7	Very bad	267	269.7	5.5%
97	No answer	163	186.1	3.8%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv37_10: Reaction: The attacks made no impression				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?			
Literal question	The attacks didn't affect me much			

w01_dv37_10: Reaction: The attacks made no impression

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	191	255.5	5.2%
2	Good	151	213.2	4.3%
3	Somewhat good	67	67.4	1.4%
4	Neither good nor bad	110	126.1	2.6%
5	Somewhat bad	139	141.3	2.9%
6	Bad	638	611.3	12.5%
7	Very bad	2397	2235.0	45.6%
97	No answer	200	240.9	4.9%
98	Not asked	1012	1014.3	20.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv37_annot_1: Other: Reaction [anonymised]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	A lot of people reacted strongly in the time after the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions describe your reaction, as you remember it?
Literal question	Other: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

w01_dv371: Participated in remembrance services in the period following the attacks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]
Literal question	Did you participate in the remembrance services in the period following the attacks?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1133	23.1%
2	No	2702	55.1%
97	No answer	58	1.2%
98	Not asked	1012	20.6%
Sysmiss		12106	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv372: Joined political party or association in the period following the attacks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]
Literal question	Did you become a member of a political party or an association in the period after the terrorist attacks?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, and if so which:	38	0.8%
2	No	3804	77.6%
97	No answer	51	1.0%
98	Not asked	1012	20.6%
Sysmiss		12106	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_dv372_1_other: Text: Joined political party or association in the period following the attacks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# w01_dv372_1_other: Text: Joined political party or association in the period following the attacks				
Literal question		Did you become a member of a political party or an association in the period after the terrorist attacks?		
Interviewer's instructions		[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w01_dv39_recode: Think, read or talk about July 22nd				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]		
Literal question		More than two years have passed since the attacks. As for you personally, how often would you say that you think, read or talk about things that have to do with 22 July nowadays?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Weekly or more often	575		11.7%
2	A few times a month	1467		29.9%
3	Seldom or never	2537		51.7%
97	No answer	326		6.6%
Sysmiss		12106		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv38_1: Fitting description: Important not to not have prejudices towards immigrants				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		How well or poorly would you say that the following description fits you:		
Literal question		It is important to me personally to be unprejudiced towards immigrants.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very well	468	447.7	
2	Well	815	780.5	
3	Somewhat well	452	462.4	
4	Neither well nor poorly	337	383.7	
5	Somewhat poorly	121	131.6	
6	Poorly	72	78.5	
7	Very poorly	35	37.7	
97	No answer	77	77.3	
98	Not asked	2528	2505.5	
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv38_2: Fitting description: Don't want to appear racist				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Pre-question		How well or poorly would you say that the following description fits you:		
Literal question		I would not like to be perceived as racist, not even unto myself.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very well	551	545.6	
2	Well	841	832.3	
3	Somewhat well	352	353.5	
4	Neither well nor poorly	327	355.6	
5	Somewhat poorly	120	121.0	

# w01_dv38_2: Fitting description: Don't want to appear racist				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Poorly	58	57.6	1.2%
7	Very poorly	34	37.1	0.8%
97	No answer	94	97.0	2.0%
98	Not asked	2528	2505.5	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv38_3: Fitting description: Feel guilty about negative feeling towards immigrants				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following description fits you:			
Literal question	I feel guilty if I have negative feelings towards immigrants.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very well	169	188.8	3.8%
2	Well	367	367.5	7.5%
3	Somewhat well	377	385.6	7.9%
4	Neither well nor poorly	558	581.4	11.9%
5	Somewhat poorly	280	272.7	5.6%
6	Poorly	332	304.3	6.2%
7	Very poorly	193	195.1	4.0%
97	No answer	101	104.0	2.1%
98	Not asked	2528	2505.5	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dv38_4: Fitting description: Trying not to not have prejudices towards immigrants				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following description fits you:			
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced towards immigrants due to my own conviction.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very well	380	362.5	7.4%
2	Well	711	682.7	13.9%
3	Somewhat well	426	412.5	8.4%
4	Neither well nor poorly	494	557.4	11.4%
5	Somewhat poorly	123	138.9	2.8%
6	Poorly	88	81.7	1.7%
7	Very poorly	61	71.4	1.5%
97	No answer	94	92.3	1.9%
98	Not asked	2528	2505.5	51.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k17_1: Usage of media channels for news: TV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			

w01_k17_1: Usage of media channels for news: TV

Pre-question	How often do you use these media channels to stay up to date on news?
Literal question	TV

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	1235	1267.0	25.8%
2	Every day	2152	2156.8	44.0%
3	Several times a week	707	640.7	13.1%
4	Less frequently	390	405.9	8.3%
5	Never	99	101.5	2.1%
97	No answer	322	333.0	6.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k17_2: Usage of media channels for news: Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	How often do you use these media channels to stay up to date on news?
Literal question	Radio

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	1293	1308.5	26.7%
2	Every day	1447	1424.2	29.0%
3	Several times a week	821	748.8	15.3%
4	Less frequently	705	719.1	14.7%
5	Never	207	245.9	5.0%
97	No answer	432	458.5	9.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k17_3: Usage of media channels for news: Newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	How often do you use these media channels to stay up to date on news?
Literal question	Printed newspapers

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	471	453.9	9.3%
2	Every day	1939	1942.5	39.6%
3	Several times a week	793	756.7	15.4%
4	Less frequently	1077	1074.6	21.9%
5	Never	199	229.3	4.7%
97	No answer	426	448.0	9.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k17_4: Usage of media channels for news: Online newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	How often do you use these media channels to stay up to date on news?

w01_k17_4: Usage of media channels for news: Online newspaper

Literal question	Online newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	1759	1659.9	33.8%
2	Every day	1286	1278.5	26.1%
3	Several times a week	764	744.0	15.2%
4	Less frequently	503	553.4	11.3%
5	Never	156	196.5	4.0%
97	No answer	437	472.8	9.6%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k17_5: Usage of media channels for news: Facebook

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you use these media channels to stay up to date on news?			
Literal question	Facebook			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	1003	1047.7	21.4%
2	Every day	879	883.5	18.0%
3	Several times a week	506	496.1	10.1%
4	Less frequently	563	518.5	10.6%
5	Never	1362	1334.0	27.2%
97	No answer	592	625.1	12.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k17_6: Usage of media channels for news: Twitter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you use these media channels to stay up to date on news?			
Literal question	Twitter			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	102	118.0	2.4%
2	Every day	98	101.6	2.1%
3	Several times a week	143	130.4	2.7%
4	Less frequently	483	446.7	9.1%
5	Never	3224	3173.3	64.7%
97	No answer	855	935.0	19.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k17_7: Usage of media channels for news: Other websites

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you use these media channels to stay up to date on news?			
Literal question	Other websites			

w01_k17_7: Usage of media channels for news: Other websites

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	639	604.3	12.3%
2	Every day	960	893.8	18.2%
3	Several times a week	995	975.8	19.9%
4	Less frequently	961	929.4	18.9%
5	Never	619	684.9	14.0%
97	No answer	731	816.7	16.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k18_1: Interested in media content: International news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?
Literal question	International news

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	1123	1028.4	21.0%
2	Interested	2427	2362.9	48.2%
3	Somewhat interested	862	949.3	19.4%
4	Not very interested	135	175.0	3.6%
5	Not interested at all	24	42.1	0.9%
97	No answer	334	347.3	7.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k18_2: Interested in media content: Domestic news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?
Literal question	Domestic news

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	1324	1355.2	27.6%
2	Interested	2591	2483.0	50.6%
3	Somewhat interested	547	602.2	12.3%
4	Not very interested	78	77.9	1.6%
5	Not interested at all	23	37.5	0.8%
97	No answer	342	349.1	7.1%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k18_3: Interested in media content: Local news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?
Literal question	Local news

# w01_k18_3: Interested in media content: Local news				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	1451	1602.3	32.7%
2	Interested	2168	2077.7	42.4%
3	Somewhat interested	694	619.3	12.6%
4	Not very interested	217	209.5	4.3%
5	Not interested at all	36	50.7	1.0%
97	No answer	339	345.4	7.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k18_4: Interested in media content: Health/ consumer/ lifestyle				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?			
Literal question	Health/ consumer/ lifestyle			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	718	740.7	15.1%
2	Interested	1785	1798.0	36.7%
3	Somewhat interested	1390	1346.7	27.5%
4	Not very interested	547	542.8	11.1%
5	Not interested at all	112	120.8	2.5%
97	No answer	353	355.9	7.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k18_5: Interested in media content: Debate				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?			
Literal question	Debate			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	628	636.7	13.0%
2	Interested	1694	1600.6	32.6%
3	Somewhat interested	1419	1383.6	28.2%
4	Not very interested	628	697.2	14.2%
5	Not interested at all	173	210.9	4.3%
97	No answer	363	376.0	7.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k18_6: Interested in media content: Culture and feature				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?			
Literal question	Culture and feature			

# w01_k18_6: Interested in media content: Culture and feature				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	415	356.6	7.3%
2	Interested	1327	1235.8	25.2%
3	Somewhat interested	1639	1651.4	33.7%
4	Not very interested	929	1010.2	20.6%
5	Not interested at all	205	238.2	4.9%
97	No answer	390	412.8	8.4%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k18_7: Interested in media content: Entertainment and celebrity news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?			
Literal question	Entertainment and celebrity news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	169	186.4	3.8%
2	Interested	742	811.9	16.6%
3	Somewhat interested	1403	1460.1	29.8%
4	Not very interested	1631	1517.1	30.9%
5	Not interested at all	571	528.7	10.8%
97	No answer	389	400.7	8.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k18_8: Interested in media content: Sports				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	How interested are you in the following types of media content?			
Literal question	Sports			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	715	752.4	15.3%
2	Interested	1005	1022.4	20.8%
3	Somewhat interested	956	959.2	19.6%
4	Not very interested	1103	1054.0	21.5%
5	Not interested at all	760	738.1	15.0%
97	No answer	366	378.8	7.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_1: Profile on social network: Facebook				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	Facebook			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			

w01_k19_1: Profile on social network: Facebook

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	697	713.8	14.6%
1	Selected	1619	1572.7	32.1%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k19_2: Profile on social network: Twitter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?
Literal question	Twitter
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1900	1894.8	38.6%
1	Selected	416	391.7	8.0%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k19_3: Profile on social network: Instagram

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?
Literal question	Instagram
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1834	1832.1	37.4%
1	Selected	482	454.4	9.3%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_k19_4: Profile on social network: Youtube

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?
Literal question	YouTube
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1822	1766.7	36.0%
1	Selected	494	519.8	10.6%

# w01_k19_4: Profile on social network: Youtube				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_5: Profile on social network: Flickr				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	Flickr			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2236	2209.2	45.0%
1	Selected	80	77.3	1.6%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_6: Profile on social network: LinkedIn				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	LinkedIn			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1826	1926.0	39.3%
1	Selected	490	360.5	7.3%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_7: Profile on social network: Myspace				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	Myspace			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2268	2237.9	45.6%
1	Selected	48	48.6	1.0%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

# w01_k19_7: Profile on social network: Myspace				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_8: Profile on social network: Origo				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	Origo			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2280	2251.8	45.9%
1	Selected	36	34.7	0.7%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_9: Profile on social network: Biip				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	Biip			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2287	2248.9	45.8%
1	Selected	29	37.7	0.8%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_10: Profile on social network: Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	Other			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	2057	2011.9	41.0%
1	Selected	259	274.6	5.6%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k19_11: Profile on social network: None				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			

# w01_k19_11: Profile on social network: None				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which social network sites do you have a profile on?			
Literal question	None			
Interviewer's instructions	Flere kryss mulig.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	1829	1777.3	36.2%
1	Selected	487	509.2	10.4%
97	No answer	118	118.1	2.4%
98	Not asked	2471	2500.4	51.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k20: Description of employment				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Which of the descriptions fits best with what you have done for the past 7 days?			
Interviewer's instructions	Check one option.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	In paid work (or temporarily absent)	2890	2559.3	52.2%
2	In education (not paid for by employer)	391	487.2	9.9%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	100	111.5	2.3%
4	Unemployed, want a job but not actively seeking work	27	38.1	0.8%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	174	234.4	4.8%
6	Retired	702	795.2	16.2%
7	In civilian national service or military service	12	13.5	0.3%
8	Staying at home, looking after children or other persons	90	96.8	2.0%
9	Other	207	252.5	5.1%
97	No answer	312	316.6	6.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_k21: Type of business				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	State, county or municipal administration	246	198.4	4.0%
2	Public sector (e.g. school and health services)	811	613.0	12.5%
3	Publicly owned enterprise	191	181.2	3.7%
4	Private business	1385	1329.2	27.1%
5	Self-employed	162	143.1	2.9%
6	Other:	88	86.5	1.8%
97	No answer	7	7.8	0.2%
98	Not asked	2015	2345.7	47.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k21_6_other: Other: Type of business				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in?			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w01_k23: Text: Description of profession [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	What kind of work do you primarily do in your main profession? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_k23a: Text: Name or title of profession [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	What is the name or title of your main profession? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_k23b: Responsible for supervising employees				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Are you responsible for supervising the work of other employees in your main work?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No	1952	1763.0	35.9%
2	Yes, I supervise 10 or more employees	342	270.1	5.5%
3	Yes, I supervise 10 or less employees	577	510.7	10.4%
97	No answer	19	15.5	0.3%
98	Not asked	2015	2345.7	47.8%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w01_k24: Work in gas or oil manufacturing				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Is your workplace in the oil and gas sector, or closely related to it?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, I work in the oil and gas sector	146	119.1	2.4%
2	Yes, my work is closely related to the oil and gas sector	151	129.1	2.6%
3	No	2569	2288.7	46.7%
97	No answer	24	22.4	0.5%
98	Not asked	2015	2345.7	47.8%
System		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k25_1: Gross annual income				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8500000] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0] [Mean=387027.991 / 331320.308] [StdDev=411341.665 / 374250.131]			
Pre-question	What is your current income?			
Literal question	Gross annual income is:			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	779	864.5	100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k26: Highest completed education				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No completed education	28	89.9	1.8%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	28	62.8	1.3%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	449	1130.9	23.1%
4	College certificate	58	61.1	1.2%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	675	768.0	15.7%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	616	731.5	14.9%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	57	68.5	1.4%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	176	219.0	4.5%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	395	217.3	4.4%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	879	494.2	10.1%

# w01_k26: Highest completed education				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	320	187.7	3.8%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	257	142.3	2.9%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	461	252.3	5.1%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	70	38.4	0.8%
15	None of these	120	120.8	2.5%
97	No answer	316	320.4	6.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k27: Marital status				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Marital status. Are/have you:			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Never been married	679	776.5	15.8%
2	Married	2475	2376.2	48.4%
3	Legally registered partner	7	3.5	0.1%
4	Cohabitant	836	773.4	15.8%
5	Divorces/separated	305	333.1	6.8%
6	Former cohabitant	124	113.4	2.3%
7	Former legally registered partner	1	3.1	0.1%
8	Widow/widower	95	115.7	2.4%
9	Other	79	104.5	2.1%
97	No answer	304	305.5	6.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k27_9_other: Other: Marital status				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	Marital status. Are/have you:			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w01_k28: Citizenship				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]			
Literal question	Citizenship. Are you:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Norwegian citizen	4260	86.9%	
2	Citizen of a different country. Please indicate which country	352	7.2%	
97	No answer	293	6.0%	

# w01_k28: Citizenship				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Sysmiss		12106		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k28_2_other: Text: Citizenship in other country				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	Please indicate which country			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w01_k29: Parents immigrated to Norway				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both) immigrated to Norway?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No	4096	4111.6	83.8%
2	I have immigrated to Norway myself	329	311.3	6.3%
3	Both of my parents immigrated to Norway, but I am born in Norway	32	49.4	1.0%
4	Mother immigrated to Norway, but I and my father have not immigrated	72	59.8	1.2%
5	Father immigrated to Norway, but I and my mother have not immigrated	70	66.8	1.4%
97	No answer	306	306.1	6.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_k30_1: Text: Home country before immigration [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	Which country did you live in before immigrating? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_k30_2_1: Text: Parents home country, mother [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Pre-question	Which country did you parents live in (mother, father or both) before they immigrated?			
Literal question	Mother: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_k30_2_2: Text: Parents home country, father [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Pre-question	Which country did you parents live in (mother, father or both) before they immigrated?			
Literal question	Father: [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_k30_3_1: Text: Mothers last home country [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			

# w01_k30_3_1: Text: Mothers last home country [anonymised]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	Which country did your mother live in before she immigrated? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_k30_4_1: Text: Fathers last home country [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	Which country did your father live in before he immigrated? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_ran6: Randomizes if U=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2349 /-] [Invalid=14662 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DT14 or DT15			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1206		51.3%
2		1143		48.7%
Sysmiss		14662		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt14: Who should get 5000 kr, the participants have no say				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	One of the researchers linked to the Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 at his disposal. These funds will either be drawn as a travel gift card to one of the Citizen Panel's participants, or they will be donated to the charitable organization Doctors Without Borders. The participants have no say on this decision, but it is nevertheless interesting to know your preference in how this money should be used. What do you prefer?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A NOK 5,000 gift card drawn as a prize for one of the Citizen Panel's participants	137	172.4	
2	A donation of NOK 5,000 given to the charitable organization Doctors Without Borders	964	974.4	
3	No opinion	89	85.6	
97	No answer	16	16.5	
98	Not asked	3699	3656.1	
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_dt15: Who should get 5000 kr, you as a participant have a say				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Literal question	One of the researchers linked to the Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 at his disposal. These funds will either be drawn as a gift card to one of the Citizen Panel's participants, or they will be donated to the charitable organization Doctors Without Borders. You as a participant have a say in this decision, and it is therefore interesting to know your preference in how this money should be used. What do you prefer?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A NOK 5,000 gift card drawn as a prize for one of the Citizen Panel's participants	118	116.1	
2	A donation of NOK 5,000 given to the charitable organization Doctors Without Borders	950	923.8	

# w01_dt15: Who should get 5000 kr, you as a participant have a say				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	No opinion	69	83.1	1.7%
97	No answer	6	6.7	0.1%
98	Not asked	3762	3775.3	77.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_km31: Text: Thoughts on climate change [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Pre-question	Finally, a question on the climate.			
Literal question	What do you think when you hear the words "climate change"? Please note the first thing that springs to mind. We appreciate all kinds of answers. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w01_comment: Text: Comments or suggestions for the survey [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	If you have any comments or suggestions for the survey and the Citizen Panel, please write them here. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
# w01_P1: Gender				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	2470	2440.9	49.8%
2	Female	2435	2464.1	50.2%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_P2: Region				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Oslo/Akershus	1346	1147.6	23.4%
2	Eastern Norway	1202	1317.6	26.9%
3	Southern Norway	257	281.1	5.7%
4	Western Norway	1336	1258.4	25.7%
5	Trøndelag	391	424.8	8.7%
6	Northern Norway	373	475.4	9.7%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_P3: County				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]			

w01_P3: County

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Akershus	587	517.2	10.5%
2	Oslo	759	630.4	12.9%
3	Østfold	246	287.8	5.9%
4	Vestfold	229	234.7	4.8%
5	Hedmark	148	164.5	3.4%
6	Oppland	168	183.8	3.7%
7	Buskerud	261	294.0	6.0%
8	Telemark	150	152.8	3.1%
9	Vest-Agder	159	174.8	3.6%
10	Aust-Agder	98	106.3	2.2%
11	Rogaland	461	442.5	9.0%
12	Hordaland	574	527.0	10.7%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	90	94.6	1.9%
14	Møre og Romsdal	211	194.2	4.0%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	290	308.1	6.3%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	101	116.8	2.4%
17	Nordland	189	240.1	4.9%
18	Troms	134	169.6	3.5%
19	Finmark	50	65.8	1.3%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_P4: Highest completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No education/elementary school	505	1283.6	26.2%
2	Upper secondary education	1582	1848.1	37.7%
3	University/University college	2382	1332.1	27.2%
97	No answer	436	441.2	9.0%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w01_P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1939 or earlier	175	186.5	3.8%
2	1940-1949	676	740.9	15.1%
3	1950-1959	951	989.8	20.2%
4	1960-1969	1005	949.5	19.4%
5	1970-1979	867	733.6	15.0%
6	1980-1989	773	692.0	14.1%
7	1990 or later	458	612.7	12.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w01_P5_2: Year of birth				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 / 4905] [Invalid=12106 / 0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1959 or earlier	1802	1917.2	39.1%
2	1960-1989	2645	2375.1	48.4%
3	1990 or later	458	612.7	12.5%
Sysmiss		12106	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w01_Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]		
# w01_Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=12106 /-]		
# w02_u: u				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=13639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	1719	51.0%	
2	2	1653	49.0%	
Sysmiss		13639		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k201: Satisfaction with current government				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		First we will ask some general questions on your own opinion of politics and society in Norway today. How satisfied are you with the current government?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very satisfied	152	141.9	4.2%
2	Satisfied	1242	1230.8	36.5%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1170	1226.6	36.4%
4	Dissatisfied	611	584.7	17.3%
5	Very dissatisfied	188	181.0	5.4%
97	No answer	9	7.1	0.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k202: Perception of current economic situation				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		How do you perceive the current economic situation in Norway? Do you believe it is		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	921	831.3	24.7%

# w02_k202: Perception of current economic situation				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Good	1821	1814.7	53.8%
3	Somewhat good	383	393.7	11.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	146	204.3	6.1%
5	Somewhat bad	68	71.4	2.1%
6	Bad	13	29.6	0.9%
7	Very bad	4	5.2	0.2%
97	No answer	16	21.9	0.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_k203: Satisfaction with democracy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	All things considered, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very satisfied	676	623.9	18.5%
2	Satisfied	1942	1938.9	57.5%
3	Somewhat satisfied	580	589.4	17.5%
4	Dissatisfied	143	176.9	5.2%
5	Very dissatisfied	21	33.3	1.0%
97	No answer	10	9.6	0.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_k204: Party preference if elections tomorrow				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	What would you vote if there were an election to the Storting tomorrow?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Labour Party	979	990.3	29.4%
2	The Progress Party	307	352.8	10.5%
3	The Conservative Party	850	824.3	24.4%
4	The Socialist Left Party	157	135.0	4.0%
5	The Centre Party	91	94.8	2.8%
6	The Christian Democratic Party	137	119.5	3.5%
7	The Liberal Party	223	206.1	6.1%
8	Red	65	56.0	1.7%
9	The Green Party	168	143.6	4.3%
10	Other	34	37.1	1.1%
11	Would not vote	51	77.3	2.3%
12	Cannot vote	84	80.7	2.4%
97	No answer	10	13.6	0.4%
99	Don't know	216	240.8	7.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_k204_10_other: Other: Party preference if elections tomorrow				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Literal question	What would you vote if there were an election to the Storting tomorrow?			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w02_k205ac_1: Statement: The state should contribute to reducing income inequalities				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	The state should contribute to reducing inequalities in income in society.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	645	639.8	19.0%
2	Agree	969	963.1	28.6%
3	Agree somewhat	925	899.9	26.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	291	325.8	9.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	270	259.6	7.7%
6	Disagree	201	201.8	6.0%
7	Strongly disagree	51	50.2	1.5%
97	No answer	20	31.7	0.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k205ac_2: Statement: Better if there is a diversity of different religions and views on life				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and views on life.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	353	308.4	9.1%
2	Agree	862	787.7	23.4%
3	Agree somewhat	767	749.1	22.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	434	462.3	13.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	434	455.0	13.5%
6	Disagree	314	359.1	10.7%
7	Strongly disagree	177	219.6	6.5%
97	No answer	31	30.9	0.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k205ac_3: Statement: Emission reductions should be made abroad.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?			
Literal question	Most of the emission reductions Norway is committed to should be made abroad.			

w02_k205ac_3: Statement: Emission reductions should be made abroad.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	125	148.8	4.4%
2	Agree	302	334.7	9.9%
3	Agree somewhat	492	515.2	15.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	839	913.1	27.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	532	507.8	15.1%
6	Disagree	733	646.8	19.2%
7	Strongly disagree	306	256.4	7.6%
97	No answer	43	49.1	1.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_k206_1: 1. Most important political issues - text

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w02_k206_1_kod: 1. Most important political issues - coded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=13639 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1001	Helse	441	13.1%
1010	Kultur	7	0.2%
1111	Forsvar	6	0.2%
1212	Justis	13	0.4%
1313	Næring	22	0.7%
1414	Utenriks	8	0.2%
1515	Distrikt	15	0.4%
1616	Internasjonalt	3	0.1%
1717	Seniorpolitikk	35	1.0%
1818	Familie	55	1.6%
2002	Miljø	240	7.1%
2020	Demokrati	22	0.7%
2121	Ideologi	6	0.2%
2222	Frihet	17	0.5%
2323	Offentlig og privat sektor	3	0.1%
2424	Etikk	3	0.1%
3003	Utdanning	113	3.4%
3030	Andre saker	20	0.6%
4004	Samferdsel	94	2.8%

w02_k206_1_kod: 1. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5005	Innvandring	142	4.2%
6006	Økonomi	141	4.2%
7007	Arbeid	17	0.5%
8008	Velferd	28	0.8%
9009	Likhet	4	0.1%
9696	Ufullstendige svar / vet ikke	13	0.4%
9797	Ubesvart	326	9.7%
100101	Eldreomsorg	136	4.0%
100102	Omsorg	4	0.1%
100103	Helsevesen	45	1.3%
100104	Sykehus	21	0.6%
100105	Psykisk helse	9	0.3%
100106	Rusomsorg	2	0.1%
100107	Tannhelse	4	0.1%
100108	Aktiv dødshjelp	1	0.0%
100110	Sykehuskø/helsekø	6	0.2%
100111	Folkehelse	2	0.1%
100112	Reservasjonsrett	11	0.3%
100113	Abort	22	0.7%
101001	Idrett og kultur	0	
101002	Film og teater	1	0.0%
101003	Språk	1	0.0%
101004	Mediepolitikk	0	
101005	Frivillighet og frivillig sektor	0	
121201	Politi	7	0.2%
121202	Sikkerhet og rettsikkerhet	11	0.3%
121203	Kriminalitet	11	0.3%
121204	Tigging	1	0.0%
121205	Personvern	5	0.1%
121206	Rettsvesen og straff	3	0.1%
121207	Korrupsjon	1	0.0%
131301	Næringsliv	11	0.3%
131302	Innovasjon	3	0.1%
131303	Landbruk / jordbruk	25	0.7%
131304	Matproduksjon	5	0.1%
131305	Fiskeri	1	0.0%
131306	Teknologi	4	0.1%
131307	Industri	1	0.0%
131308	Utflagging av bedrifter	0	
131310	Oljeproduksjon/utvinning	10	0.3%
131311	Vilkår for bedrifter	3	0.1%
131312	Matsikkerhet	3	0.1%
131313	Norsk konkurranseposisjon	5	0.1%
131314	Energipolitikk	2	0.1%
141401	Bistand	2	0.1%

w02_k206_1_kod: 1. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
141402	EU/EØS	9	0.3%
141403	Norsk suverenitet	3	0.1%
141404	Grensekontroll	3	0.1%
141405	Utenrikspolitiske saker	1	0.0%
141406	Krim-krisen	3	0.1%
141407	Norske som krigsførende land	0	
151501	Regionalpolitikk	3	0.1%
151502	Kommunalpolitikk	10	0.3%
151503	Desentralisering/sentralisering	3	0.1%
151504	Kortreist mat	1	0.0%
151505	Rovdyrpolitikk	1	0.0%
161601	Menneskerettigheter	7	0.2%
161602	Solidaritet	6	0.2%
161603	Befolkningsvekst	1	0.0%
161604	Fred og fredsarbeid	8	0.2%
181801	Barnehage	21	0.6%
181802	Barn og unge	31	0.9%
181803	Barnevernet	5	0.1%
181804	Oppvekst	17	0.5%
181805	Ekteskap	0	
181806	Foreldre	2	0.1%
181807	Kontantstøtte	0	
191901	KRLE/kristendom i skolen	1	0.0%
191902	Kristne verdier	5	0.1%
191903	Religion og tro	2	0.1%
191904	Monarki	0	
191905	Statskirke	1	0.0%
200201	Klima	94	2.8%
200202	Miljøvern	20	0.6%
200203	Bærekraftig utvikling	8	0.2%
200204	Natur og naturvern	12	0.4%
200205	Økologi	0	
200206	Dyrevern	5	0.1%
200207	Giftstoffer	1	0.0%
200208	Biologisk mangfold	2	0.1%
200209	Fornybar energi	1	0.0%
200210	Reduksjon/stans i oljeutvinning	6	0.2%
202001	Politikere	3	0.1%
222201	Alkoholpolitikk	2	0.1%
222202	Mer liberal narkotikapolitikk	2	0.1%
222203	Ytringsfrihet og pressefrihet	5	0.1%
222204	Valgfrihet	5	0.1%
222205	Religionsfrihet	2	0.1%
232301	Byråkrati og forvaltning	14	0.4%
232302	Statlig eierskap	2	0.1%

w02_k206_1_kod: 1. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
232303	Offentlige tjenester	12	0.4%
232304	Privatisering	3	0.1%
232305	Bruk av oljefondet	3	0.1%
232306	Effektivisering	4	0.1%
232307	Fellesskapet	1	0.0%
232308	Vedlikehold av offentlig byggmasse	0	
242401	Verdier	8	0.2%
242402	Toleranse og respekt	3	0.1%
242403	Livssyn	1	0.0%
242404	Rettferdighet	9	0.3%
242405	Trygghet og stabilitet	8	0.2%
300301	Skole	239	7.1%
300302	Studenter	9	0.3%
300303	Undervisning	2	0.1%
300304	Lærere	5	0.1%
300305	Kunnskap	3	0.1%
300306	Forskning	20	0.6%
300307	Høyere utdanning	3	0.1%
400401	Infrastruktur	35	1.0%
400402	Vei	46	1.4%
400403	Transport	2	0.1%
400404	Bompenger	5	0.1%
400405	Jernbane	1	0.0%
400406	Kollektivtrafikk	3	0.1%
400407	Kommunikasjon	1	0.0%
500501	Integrasjon	8	0.2%
500502	Asylpolitikk	13	0.4%
500503	Asylbarn	1	0.0%
500504	Familiegjenforening	3	0.1%
500505	Flyktninger	2	0.1%
500506	Det multikulturelle Norge	0	
600601	Skatt	60	1.8%
600602	Avgifter	9	0.3%
600603	Penger	2	0.1%
600604	Skatte- og avgiftslette	22	0.7%
600605	Finans	4	0.1%
600606	Verdiskapning / Økonomisk vekst	3	0.1%
600607	Rentepolitikk	3	0.1%
600608	Forbruk	0	
700701	Lønn / inntekt	6	0.2%
700702	Jobb	8	0.2%
700703	Arbeidsplasser	12	0.4%
700704	Arbeidsliv	10	0.3%
700705	Sysselsetting	43	1.3%
700706	Arbeidsledighet	5	0.1%

w02_k206_1_kod: 1. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
700707	Arbeidsmarkedet	2	0.1%
700708	Arbeidsmiljø /-loven	6	0.2%
700709	Arbeidstid	3	0.1%
700710	Sosial dumping	1	0.0%
700711	Arbeidsrett / inkluderende arbeidsliv	2	0.1%
800801	Sosialpolitikk	29	0.9%
800802	Utjevning	45	1.3%
800803	Fattigdom	5	0.1%
800804	Velferdsstaten	15	0.4%
800805	Bolig og eiendom	10	0.3%
800806	NAV	2	0.1%
800807	Trygd	3	0.1%
800808	Pensjon	31	0.9%
800809	Rettferdig fordeling	5	0.1%
800810	Klasseforskjeller og økonomisk ulikhet	9	0.3%
900901	Likelønn	20	0.6%
900902	Likestilling	31	0.9%
900903	Homofile og lesbiske rettigheter	3	0.1%
900904	Diskriminering og anti-rasisme	0	
900905	Funksjonshemmedes rettigheter	0	
900906	Likeverd	9	0.3%
Sysmiss		13639	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_k206_2: 2. Most important political issues - text

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w02_k206_2_kod: 2. Most important political issues - coded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=13639 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1001	Helse	370	11.0%
1010	Kultur	20	0.6%
1111	Forsvar	12	0.4%
1212	Justis	15	0.4%
1313	Næring	29	0.9%
1414	Utenriks	20	0.6%

w02_k206_2_kod: 2. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1515	Distrikt	14	0.4%
1616	Internasjonalt	0	
1717	Seniorpolitikk	44	1.3%
1818	Familie	39	1.2%
2002	Miljø	169	5.0%
2020	Demokrati	17	0.5%
2121	Ideologi	5	0.1%
2222	Frihet	8	0.2%
2323	Offentlig og privat sektor	5	0.1%
2424	Etikk	1	0.0%
3003	Utdanning	186	5.5%
3030	Andre saker	7	0.2%
4004	Samferdsel	96	2.8%
5005	Innvandring	104	3.1%
6006	Økonomi	113	3.4%
7007	Arbeid	21	0.6%
8008	Velferd	27	0.8%
9009	Likhet	8	0.2%
9696	Ufullstendige svar / vet ikke	7	0.2%
9797	Ubesvart	365	10.8%
100101	Eldreomsorg	139	4.1%
100102	Omsorg	12	0.4%
100103	Helsevesen	43	1.3%
100104	Sykehus	27	0.8%
100105	Psykisk helse	11	0.3%
100106	Rusomsorg	7	0.2%
100107	Tannhelse	5	0.1%
100108	Aktiv dødshjelp	0	
100110	Sykehuskø/helsekø	8	0.2%
100111	Folkehelse	0	
100112	Reservasjonsrett	12	0.4%
100113	Abort	19	0.6%
101001	Idrett og kultur	1	0.0%
101002	Film og teater	0	
101003	Språk	0	
101004	Mediepolitikk	0	
101005	Frivillighet og frivillig sektor	0	
121201	Politi	9	0.3%
121202	Sikkerhet og rettsikkerhet	9	0.3%
121203	Kriminalitet	15	0.4%
121204	Tigging	1	0.0%
121205	Personvern	3	0.1%
121206	Rettsvesen og straff	6	0.2%
121207	Korrupsjon	0	
131301	Næringsliv	5	0.1%

w02_k206_2_kod: 2. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
131302	Innovasjon	4	0.1%
131303	Landbruk / jordbruk	15	0.4%
131304	Matproduksjon	6	0.2%
131305	Fiskeri	5	0.1%
131306	Teknologi	1	0.0%
131307	Industri	4	0.1%
131308	Utflagging av bedrifter	3	0.1%
131310	Oljeproduksjon/utvinning	8	0.2%
131311	Vilkår for bedrifter	3	0.1%
131312	Matsikkerhet	4	0.1%
131313	Norsk konkurranseposisjon	4	0.1%
131314	Energipolitikk	2	0.1%
141401	Bistand	6	0.2%
141402	EU/EØS	12	0.4%
141403	Norsk suverenitet	1	0.0%
141404	Grensekontroll	1	0.0%
141405	Utenrikspolitiske saker	2	0.1%
141406	Krim-krisen	1	0.0%
141407	Norske som krigsførende land	0	
151501	Regionalpolitikk	2	0.1%
151502	Kommunalpolitikk	9	0.3%
151503	Desentralisering/sentralisering	5	0.1%
151504	Kortreist mat	1	0.0%
151505	Rovdyrpolitikk	2	0.1%
161601	Menneskerettigheter	5	0.1%
161602	Solidaritet	9	0.3%
161603	Befolkningsvekst	0	
161604	Fred og fredsarbeid	6	0.2%
181801	Barnehage	13	0.4%
181802	Barn og unge	39	1.2%
181803	Barnevernet	8	0.2%
181804	Oppvekst	8	0.2%
181805	Ekteskap	0	
181806	Foreldre	1	0.0%
181807	Kontantstøtte	3	0.1%
191901	KRLE/kristendom i skolen	2	0.1%
191902	Kristne verdier	5	0.1%
191903	Religion og tro	3	0.1%
191904	Monarki	0	
191905	Statskirke	0	
200201	Klima	56	1.7%
200202	Miljøvern	14	0.4%
200203	Bærekraftig utvikling	9	0.3%
200204	Natur og naturvern	7	0.2%
200205	Økologi	2	0.1%

w02_k206_2_kod: 2. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
200206	Dyreverv	12	0.4%
200207	Giftstoffer	0	
200208	Biologisk mangfold	0	
200209	Fornybar energi	4	0.1%
200210	Reduksjon/stans i oljeutvinning	7	0.2%
202001	Politikere	3	0.1%
222201	Alkoholpolitikk	0	
222202	Mer liberal narkotikapolitikk	3	0.1%
222203	Ytringsfrihet og pressefrihet	5	0.1%
222204	Valgfrihet	2	0.1%
222205	Religionsfrihet	1	0.0%
232301	Byråkrati og forvaltning	15	0.4%
232302	Statlig eierskap	1	0.0%
232303	Offentlige tjenester	16	0.5%
232304	Privatisering	3	0.1%
232305	Bruk av oljefondet	4	0.1%
232306	Effektivisering	4	0.1%
232307	Fellesskapet	1	0.0%
232308	Vedlikehold av offentlig byggmasse	4	0.1%
242401	Verdier	1	0.0%
242402	Toleranse og respekt	2	0.1%
242403	Livssyn	0	
242404	Rettferdighet	6	0.2%
242405	Trygghet og stabilitet	8	0.2%
300301	Skole	329	9.8%
300302	Studenter	6	0.2%
300303	Undervisning	3	0.1%
300304	Lærere	8	0.2%
300305	Kunnskap	3	0.1%
300306	Forskning	24	0.7%
300307	Høyere utdanning	3	0.1%
400401	Infrastruktur	28	0.8%
400402	Vei	54	1.6%
400403	Transport	10	0.3%
400404	Bompenger	9	0.3%
400405	Jernbane	6	0.2%
400406	Kollektivtrafikk	15	0.4%
400407	Kommunikasjon	5	0.1%
500501	Integrasjon	15	0.4%
500502	Asylpolitikk	25	0.7%
500503	Asylbarn	1	0.0%
500504	Familiegjenforening	0	
500505	Flyktninger	1	0.0%
500506	Det multikulturelle Norge	2	0.1%
600601	Skatt	76	2.3%

w02_k206_2_kod: 2. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
600602	Avgifter	8	0.2%
600603	Penger	3	0.1%
600604	Skatte- og avgiftslette	24	0.7%
600605	Finans	2	0.1%
600606	Verdiskapning / Økonomisk vekst	5	0.1%
600607	Rentepolitikk	2	0.1%
600608	Forbruk	2	0.1%
700701	Lønn / inntekt	11	0.3%
700702	Jobb	3	0.1%
700703	Arbeidsplasser	15	0.4%
700704	Arbeidsliv	7	0.2%
700705	Sysselsetting	16	0.5%
700706	Arbeidsledighet	9	0.3%
700707	Arbeidsmarkedet	5	0.1%
700708	Arbeidsmiljø /-loven	6	0.2%
700709	Arbeidstid	3	0.1%
700710	Sosial dumping	3	0.1%
700711	Arbeidsrett / inkluderende arbeidsliv	4	0.1%
800801	Sosialpolitikk	23	0.7%
800802	Utjevning	27	0.8%
800803	Fattigdom	10	0.3%
800804	Velferdsstaten	11	0.3%
800805	Bolig og eiendom	16	0.5%
800806	NAV	5	0.1%
800807	Trygd	3	0.1%
800808	Pensjon	25	0.7%
800809	Rettferdig fordeling	14	0.4%
800810	Klasseforskjeller og økonomisk ulikhet	2	0.1%
900901	Likelønn	7	0.2%
900902	Likestilling	45	1.3%
900903	Homofile og lesbiskes rettigheter	3	0.1%
900904	Diskriminering og anti-rasisme	1	0.0%
900905	Funksjonshemmedes rettigheter	1	0.0%
900906	Likeverd	1	0.0%
Sysmiss		13639	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_k206_3: 3. Most important political issues - text

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w02_k206_3_kod: 3. Most important political issues - coded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=13639 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1001	Helse	205	6.1%
1010	Kultur	43	1.3%
1111	Forsvar	18	0.5%
1212	Justis	24	0.7%
1313	Næring	20	0.6%
1414	Utenriks	21	0.6%
1515	Distrikt	15	0.4%
1616	Internasjonalt	1	0.0%
1717	Seniorpolitikk	63	1.9%
1818	Familie	28	0.8%
2002	Miljø	200	5.9%
2020	Demokrati	15	0.4%
2121	Ideologi	12	0.4%
2222	Frihet	17	0.5%
2323	Offentlig og privat sektor	3	0.1%
2424	Etikk	3	0.1%
3003	Utdanning	137	4.1%
3030	Andre saker	21	0.6%
4004	Samferdsel	160	4.7%
5005	Innvandring	136	4.0%
6006	Økonomi	87	2.6%
7007	Arbeid	36	1.1%
8008	Velferd	31	0.9%
9009	Likhet	5	0.1%
9696	Ufullstendige svar / vet ikke	11	0.3%
9797	Ubesvart	461	13.7%
100101	Eldreomsorg	102	3.0%
100102	Omsorg	13	0.4%
100103	Helsevesen	21	0.6%
100104	Sykehus	11	0.3%
100105	Psykisk helse	10	0.3%
100106	Rusomsorg	3	0.1%
100107	Tannhelse	4	0.1%
100108	Aktiv dødshjelp	0	
100110	Sykehuskø/helsekø	2	0.1%
100111	Folkehelse	2	0.1%
100112	Reservasjonsrett	4	0.1%
100113	Abort	11	0.3%
101001	Idrett og kultur	4	0.1%
101002	Film og teater	0	

w02_k206_3_kod: 3. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101003	Språk	2	0.1%
101004	Mediepolitikk	0	
101005	Frivillighet og frivillig sektor	1	0.0%
121201	Politi	12	0.4%
121202	Sikkerhet og rettssikkerhet	19	0.6%
121203	Kriminalitet	15	0.4%
121204	Tigging	3	0.1%
121205	Personvern	3	0.1%
121206	Rettsvesen og straff	10	0.3%
121207	Korrupsjon	3	0.1%
131301	Næringsliv	9	0.3%
131302	Innovasjon	4	0.1%
131303	Landbruk / jordbruk	22	0.7%
131304	Matproduksjon	2	0.1%
131305	Fiskeri	2	0.1%
131306	Teknologi	3	0.1%
131307	Industri	8	0.2%
131308	Utflagging av bedrifter	1	0.0%
131310	Oljeproduksjon/utvinning	11	0.3%
131311	Vilkår for bedrifter	0	
131312	Matsikkerhet	3	0.1%
131313	Norsk konkurranseposisjon	2	0.1%
131314	Energipolitikk	1	0.0%
141401	Bistand	14	0.4%
141402	EU/EØS	10	0.3%
141403	Norsk suverenitet	0	
141404	Grensekontroll	0	
141405	Utenrikspolitiske saker	1	0.0%
141406	Krim-krisen	0	
141407	Norske som krigsførende land	2	0.1%
151501	Regionalpolitikk	5	0.1%
151502	Kommunalpolitikk	20	0.6%
151503	Desentralisering/sentralisering	13	0.4%
151504	Kortreist mat	1	0.0%
151505	Rovdyrpolitikk	2	0.1%
161601	Menneskerettigheter	2	0.1%
161602	Solidaritet	8	0.2%
161603	Befolkningsvekst	0	
161604	Fred og fredsarbeid	4	0.1%
181801	Barnehage	11	0.3%
181802	Barn og unge	31	0.9%
181803	Barnevernet	7	0.2%
181804	Oppvekst	9	0.3%
181805	Ekteskap	1	0.0%
181806	Foreldre	1	0.0%

w02_k206_3_kod: 3. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181807	Kontantstøtte	3	0.1%
191901	KRLE/kristendom i skolen	0	
191902	Kristne verdier	1	0.0%
191903	Religion og tro	4	0.1%
191904	Monarki	1	0.0%
191905	Statskirke	1	0.0%
200201	Klima	44	1.3%
200202	Miljøvern	8	0.2%
200203	Bærekraftig utvikling	6	0.2%
200204	Natur og naturvern	12	0.4%
200205	Økologi	2	0.1%
200206	Dyrevern	11	0.3%
200207	Giftstoffer	0	
200208	Biologisk mangfold	0	
200209	Fornybar energi	6	0.2%
200210	Reduksjon/stans i oljeutvinning	2	0.1%
202001	Politikere	2	0.1%
222201	Alkoholpolitikk	2	0.1%
222202	Mer liberal narkotikapolitikk	4	0.1%
222203	Ytringsfrihet og pressefrihet	5	0.1%
222204	Valgfrihet	2	0.1%
222205	Religionsfrihet	3	0.1%
232301	Byråkrati og forvaltning	30	0.9%
232302	Statlig eierskap	2	0.1%
232303	Offentlige tjenester	12	0.4%
232304	Privatisering	9	0.3%
232305	Bruk av oljefondet	3	0.1%
232306	Effektivisering	4	0.1%
232307	Fellesskapet	2	0.1%
232308	Vedlikehold av offentlig byggmasse	2	0.1%
242401	Verdier	3	0.1%
242402	Toleranse og respekt	1	0.0%
242403	Livssyn	1	0.0%
242404	Rettferdighet	4	0.1%
242405	Trygghet og stabilitet	13	0.4%
300301	Skole	195	5.8%
300302	Studenter	4	0.1%
300303	Undervisning	6	0.2%
300304	Lærere	4	0.1%
300305	Kunnskap	2	0.1%
300306	Forskning	37	1.1%
300307	Høyere utdanning	0	
400401	Infrastruktur	60	1.8%
400402	Vei	99	2.9%
400403	Transport	15	0.4%

w02_k206_3_kod: 3. Most important political issues - coded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
400404	Bompenger	17	0.5%
400405	Jernbane	9	0.3%
400406	Kollektivtrafikk	21	0.6%
400407	Kommunikasjon	6	0.2%
500501	Integrasjon	38	1.1%
500502	Asylpolitikk	22	0.7%
500503	Asylbarn	2	0.1%
500504	Familiegjenforening	1	0.0%
500505	Flyktninger	2	0.1%
500506	Det multikulturelle Norge	2	0.1%
600601	Skatt	63	1.9%
600602	Avgifter	13	0.4%
600603	Penger	5	0.1%
600604	Skatte- og avgiftslette	21	0.6%
600605	Finans	5	0.1%
600606	Verdiskapning / Økonomisk vekst	0	
600607	Rentepolitikk	4	0.1%
600608	Forbruk	1	0.0%
700701	Lønn / inntekt	13	0.4%
700702	Jobb	5	0.1%
700703	Arbeidsplasser	23	0.7%
700704	Arbeidsliv	11	0.3%
700705	Sysselsetting	12	0.4%
700706	Arbeidsledighet	4	0.1%
700707	Arbeidsmarkedet	7	0.2%
700708	Arbeidsmiljø /-loven	2	0.1%
700709	Arbeidstid	1	0.0%
700710	Sosial dumping	3	0.1%
700711	Arbeidsrett / inkluderende arbeidsliv	4	0.1%
800801	Sosialpolitikk	15	0.4%
800802	Utjevning	19	0.6%
800803	Fattigdom	7	0.2%
800804	Velferdsstaten	9	0.3%
800805	Bolig og eiendom	25	0.7%
800806	NAV	7	0.2%
800807	Trygd	6	0.2%
800808	Pensjon	22	0.7%
800809	Rettferdig fordeling	11	0.3%
800810	Klasseforskjeller og økonomisk ulikhet	6	0.2%
900901	Likelønn	16	0.5%
900902	Likestilling	34	1.0%
900903	Homofile og lesbiskes rettigheter	2	0.1%
900904	Diskriminering og anti-rasisme	6	0.2%
900905	Funksjonshemmedes rettigheter	1	0.0%
900906	Likeverd	4	0.1%

# w02_k206_3_kod: 3. Most important political issues - coded				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Sysmiss		13639		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k207_1: Placement on political scale				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	In politics one often speaks of the “left wing” and “right wing.” Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are at the far left politically, while 10 represents those who are at the far right.			
Literal question	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0 Left	31	31.9	0.9%
1	1	69	68.8	2.0%
2	2	224	215.3	6.4%
3	3	497	451.1	13.4%
4	4	424	386.9	11.5%
5	5	551	640.3	19.0%
6	6	436	413.7	12.3%
7	7	579	554.2	16.4%
8	8	338	363.8	10.8%
9	9	112	118.7	3.5%
10	10 Right	68	88.9	2.6%
97	No answer	43	38.4	1.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k6_1: Like or dislike party: The Christian Democratic Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			
Literal question	The Christian Democratic Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	346	371.8	11.0%
2	Somewhat dislike	634	611.2	18.1%
3	Misliker noe	805	819.3	24.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	667	696.4	20.7%
5	Somewhat like	627	590.8	17.5%
6	Significantly like	200	189.0	5.6%
7	Strongly like	35	31.3	0.9%
97	No answer	58	62.1	1.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k6_2: Like or dislike party: The Conservative Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			

w02_k6_2: Like or dislike party: The Conservative Party

Literal question The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	80	99.4	2.9%
2	Somewhat dislike	330	329.3	9.8%
3	Misliker noe	575	550.3	16.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	364	429.9	12.7%
5	Somewhat like	896	838.8	24.9%
6	Significantly like	852	848.4	25.2%
7	Strongly like	208	201.1	6.0%
97	No answer	67	74.9	2.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_k6_3: Like or dislike party: The Progress Party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Progress Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	764	679.7	20.2%
2	Somewhat dislike	641	619.7	18.4%
3	Misliker noe	527	511.5	15.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	317	387.7	11.5%
5	Somewhat like	610	615.0	18.2%
6	Significantly like	339	361.5	10.7%
7	Strongly like	118	142.9	4.2%
97	No answer	56	54.0	1.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_k6_4: Like or dislike party: The Liberal Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			
Literal question	The Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	129	155.3	 4.6%
2	Somewhat dislike	289	306.2	 9.1%
3	Misliker noe	607	643.1	 19.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	922	947.3	 28.1%
5	Somewhat like	904	823.2	 24.4%
6	Significantly like	397	362.0	 10.7%
7	Strongly like	57	57.5	 1.7%
97	No answer	67	77.3	 2.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k6_5: Like or dislike party: The Socialist Left Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	536	566.7	 16.8%
2	Somewhat dislike	585	592.5	 17.6%
3	Misliker noe	623	590.6	 17.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	601	674.7	 20.0%
5	Somewhat like	610	585.7	 17.4%
6	Significantly like	292	246.0	 7.3%
7	Strongly like	66	54.1	 1.6%
97	No answer	59	61.6	 1.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k6_6: Like or dislike party: The Centre Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			
Literal question	The Centre Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	243	241.3	 7.2%
2	Somewhat dislike	498	444.3	 13.2%
3	Misliker noe	850	847.4	 25.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	981	1046.3	 31.0%
5	Somewhat like	561	523.3	 15.5%
6	Significantly like	146	163.0	 4.8%

# w02_k6_6: Like or dislike party: The Centre Party				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Strongly like	23	22.6	0.7%
97	No answer	70	83.9	2.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k6_7: Like or dislike party: The Green Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			
Literal question	The Green Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	229	268.3	8.0%
2	Somewhat dislike	303	327.9	9.7%
3	Misliker noe	451	450.5	13.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1068	1150.9	34.1%
5	Somewhat like	816	713.4	21.2%
6	Significantly like	348	287.9	8.5%
7	Strongly like	101	93.3	2.8%
97	No answer	56	79.9	2.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k6_8: Like or dislike party: The Labour Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			
Literal question	The Labour Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	135	165.6	4.9%
2	Somewhat dislike	259	249.3	7.4%
3	Misliker noe	517	509.8	15.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	443	465.0	13.8%
5	Somewhat like	943	895.8	26.6%
6	Significantly like	811	782.5	23.2%
7	Strongly like	209	246.7	7.3%
97	No answer	55	57.3	1.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k6_9: Like or dislike party: Red				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.			
Literal question	Red			

# w02_k6_9: Like or dislike party: Red				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly dislike	779	771.4	22.9%
2	Somewhat dislike	666	650.2	19.3%
3	Misliker noe	526	508.5	15.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	735	814.8	24.2%
5	Somewhat like	417	384.1	11.4%
6	Significantly like	140	132.5	3.9%
7	Strongly like	38	32.0	0.9%
97	No answer	71	78.5	2.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k208: Statements describes view on climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your view on climate change?			
Interviewer's instructions	Choose one option.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	I believe that the climate is not changing.	29	59.0	1.8%
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	224	248.6	7.4%
3	I believe that the climate is changing, but that it has little to do with human action.	805	951.1	28.2%
4	I believe that the climate is changing, and that it to a large extent is due to human action.	2293	2094.7	62.1%
97	No answer	21	18.6	0.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s: Randomizes if U=1 into two groups				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1719 /-] [Invalid=15292 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 into two groups (U1-S1 og U1-S2)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	U1_S1	877	51.0%	
2	U1_S2	842	49.0%	
Sysmiss		15292		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km235: Proportion of Norway's population that shares opinion on climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	How great a proportion of Norway's population do you think shares your opinion on this matter?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All, or nearly all	26	29.5	0.9%
2	Many (more than half)	393	382.6	11.3%
3	Around half	299	309.4	9.2%

# w02_km235: Proportion of Norway's population that shares opinion on climate change				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Some (fewer than half)	145	160.9	4.8%
5	None, or nearly none	2	1.0	0.0%
97	No answer	12	8.1	0.2%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km222: Party with the best climate policy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	We would like to ask some more questions regarding the climate before proceeding to other topics. In your opinion, which party has the best climate policy?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Christian Democratic Party	19	13.4	0.4%
2	The Conservative Party	101	98.5	2.9%
3	The Progress Party	41	47.4	1.4%
4	The Liberal Party	146	135.3	4.0%
5	The Socialist Left Party	70	82.6	2.5%
6	The Centre Party	22	25.2	0.7%
7	The Green Party	265	253.1	7.5%
8	The Labour Party	100	112.0	3.3%
9	Red	7	3.8	0.1%
10	Other:	60	65.8	2.0%
97	No answer	46	54.3	1.6%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km222_10_other: Other: Party with the best climate policy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Literal question	We would like to ask some more questions regarding the climate before proceeding to other topics. In your opinion, which party has the best climate policy?			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w02_km236: Concerned are you about climate change [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very concerned	96	91.3	2.7%
2	Concerned	297	275.2	8.2%
3	Somewhat concerned	316	327.0	9.7%
4	Not particularly concerned	143	166.4	4.9%
5	Not at all concerned	25	31.7	0.9%

# w02_km236: Concerned are you about climate change [anonymised]				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	0	0.0	
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_ran1: Randomizes if U=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1719 /-] [Invalid=15292 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 into eight groups and selects one of the following questions: KM202a - KM202h			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	218	12.7%	
2	2	204	11.9%	
3	3	196	11.4%	
4	4	242	14.1%	
5	5	205	11.9%	
6	6	218	12.7%	
7	7	200	11.6%	
8	8	236	13.7%	
Sysmiss		15292		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km202a: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in Norway immediately				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	<p>Certain measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing hazardous climate changes in Norway immediately.</p> <p>To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in Norway immediately.</p>			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	31	30.0	0.9%
2	Agree	67	62.1	1.8%
3	Agree somewhat	57	61.1	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	30	40.9	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	19	20.0	0.6%
6	Disagree	7	9.0	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	6	7.8	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.7	0.0%
98	Not asked	3154	3140.4	93.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km202b: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world immediately				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	<p>Certain measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing hazardous climate changes in the world immediately.</p>			

w02_km202b: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world immediately

To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world immediately?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	31	20.7	0.6%
2	Agree	58	58.1	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	72	69.9	2.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	20	20.4	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	10	10.5	0.3%
6	Disagree	7	4.4	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	6	7.0	0.2%
98	Not asked	3168	3180.9	94.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km202c: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in Norway in the next 50 years

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question
 Certain measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing hazardous climate changes in Norway in 50 years.
 To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in Norway in 50 years.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	52	48.6	1.4%
2	Agree	62	53.7	1.6%
3	Agree somewhat	42	41.5	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	24	28.7	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	7	7.1	0.2%
6	Disagree	6	5.2	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	3	2.0	0.1%
98	Not asked	3176	3185.1	94.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km202d: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world in the next 50 years

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question
 Certain measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing hazardous climate changes in the world in 50 years.
 To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world in 50 years?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	57	47.6	1.4%
2	Agree	76	79.9	2.4%
3	Agree somewhat	65	72.6	2.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	16	19.0	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	9	7.9	0.2%

w02_km202d: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of climate changes in the world in the next 50 years

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Disagree	14	13.1	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	4	2.7	0.1%
97	No answer	1	1.7	0.0%
98	Not asked	3130	3127.5	92.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km202e: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in Norway immediately

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Certain measures to reduce local air pollution may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing dangerously polluted air in Norway immediately. To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in Norway immediately.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	39	35.0	1.0%
2	Agree	73	89.6	2.7%
3	Agree somewhat	59	51.5	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	19	17.7	0.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	10.0	0.3%
6	Disagree	2	1.1	0.0%
7	Strongly disagree	4	4.6	0.1%
97	No answer	1	1.7	0.0%
98	Not asked	3167	3160.9	93.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km202f: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in the world immediately

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Certain measures to reduce local air pollution may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing dangerously polluted air in the world immediately. To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in the world immediately?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	26	24.7	0.7%
2	Agree	56	54.6	1.6%
3	Agree somewhat	70	69.8	2.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	25	22.5	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	23	21.4	0.6%
6	Disagree	10	13.2	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	7	6.5	0.2%
98	Not asked	3155	3159.3	93.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km202g: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in Norway in the next 50 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Certain measures to reduce local air pollution may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing dangerously polluted air in Norway in 50 years. To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in Norway in 50 years.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	51	42.2	1.3%
2	Agree	81	95.5	2.8%
3	Agree somewhat	42	49.3	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	10	11.3	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	9	6.3	0.2%
6	Disagree	4	4.6	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	2	1.9	0.1%
98	Not asked	3173	3160.9	93.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km202h: Statement: Use public funds on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in the world in the next 50 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Certain measures to reduce local air pollution may be expensive, but they will reduce the risk of experiencing dangerously polluted air in the world in 50 years. To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Norwegian public funds should be spent on measures that reduce the risk of local air pollution in the world in 50 years?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	53	45.8	1.4%
2	Agree	85	75.9	2.3%
3	Agree somewhat	52	53.7	1.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	23	25.2	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	11	10.4	0.3%
6	Disagree	7	9.9	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	5	6.2	0.2%
98	Not asked	3136	3144.9	93.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km2017: Will global warming lead to more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	If nothing is done to prevent it, do you believe global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years, or do you believe global warming will not lead to this?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather	772	766.4	22.7%
2	Global warming will not lead to more harmful extreme weather	92	113.2	3.4%

# w02_km2017: Will global warming lead to more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	13	12.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km212: Decision not to carry out an impact assessment of petroleum activity outside Lofoten and Vesterålen				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	As you may know, after the election the Solberg government decided that it would not carry out an impact assessment of petroleum activity outside Lofoten and Vesterålen in the next four years. In your opinion, was this a good or bad decision?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	178	178.6	5.3%
2	Good	208	216.8	6.4%
3	Somewhat good	103	106.8	3.2%
4	Neither good nor bad	142	154.9	4.6%
5	Somewhat bad	100	89.8	2.7%
6	Bad	89	84.1	2.5%
7	Very bad	45	48.4	1.4%
97	No answer	12	12.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_1: Source of information on climate change: TV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?			
Literal question	TV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	155	155.8	4.6%
2	Important	377	380.6	11.3%
3	Somewhat important	176	185.6	5.5%
4	Not very important	94	90.2	2.7%
5	Not important at all	46	40.1	1.2%
97	No answer	29	39.4	1.2%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_2: Source of information on climate change: Radio				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?			
Literal question	Radio			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	78	72.9	2.2%

# w02_km201_2: Source of information on climate change: Radio				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Important	241	241.9	7.2%
3	Somewhat important	227	218.3	6.5%
4	Not very important	175	191.8	5.7%
5	Not important at all	92	88.1	2.6%
97	No answer	64	78.6	2.3%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_3: Source of information on climate change: National newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?			
Literal question	National newspapers (online and printed)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	132	139.6	4.1%
2	Important	358	339.3	10.1%
3	Somewhat important	203	196.1	5.8%
4	Not very important	85	92.2	2.7%
5	Not important at all	53	58.3	1.7%
97	No answer	46	66.0	2.0%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_4: Source of information on climate change: Regional or local newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?			
Literal question	Regional or local newspapers (online and printed)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	44	41.0	1.2%
2	Important	165	198.1	5.9%
3	Somewhat important	204	195.1	5.8%
4	Not very important	256	235.3	7.0%
5	Not important at all	136	127.1	3.8%
97	No answer	72	94.9	2.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_5: Source of information on climate change: Social media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?			

# w02_km201_5: Source of information on climate change: Social media				
Literal question		Social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	36	38.3	1.1%
2	Important	69	91.9	2.7%
3	Somewhat important	123	121.7	3.6%
4	Not very important	245	227.1	6.7%
5	Not important at all	332	323.9	9.6%
97	No answer	72	88.6	2.6%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_6: Source of information on climate change: Blogs or other websites				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?		
Literal question		Blogs or other websites		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	18	17.1	0.5%
2	Important	59	55.6	1.7%
3	Somewhat important	102	117.5	3.5%
4	Not very important	244	221.8	6.6%
5	Not important at all	379	380.9	11.3%
97	No answer	75	98.6	2.9%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_7: Source of information on climate change: Publications from environmental organizations				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?		
Literal question		Publications from environmental organizations		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	45	55.7	1.7%
2	Important	177	198.4	5.9%
3	Somewhat important	241	213.8	6.3%
4	Not very important	176	155.8	4.6%
5	Not important at all	173	176.4	5.2%
97	No answer	65	91.5	2.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_8: Source of information on climate change: Reports from the research communities				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

# w02_km201_8: Source of information on climate change: Reports from the research communities				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?		
Literal question		Reports from the research communities		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	197	208.9	6.2%
2	Important	300	287.2	8.5%
3	Somewhat important	179	165.1	4.9%
4	Not very important	86	87.4	2.6%
5	Not important at all	65	67.2	2.0%
97	No answer	50	75.7	2.2%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_9: Source of information on climate change: Reports from the authorities				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?		
Literal question		Reports from the authorities		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	91	91.8	2.7%
2	Important	280	283.7	8.4%
3	Somewhat important	278	271.6	8.1%
4	Not very important	107	98.7	2.9%
5	Not important at all	62	69.1	2.0%
97	No answer	59	76.6	2.3%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km201_10: Source of information on climate change: Other				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?		
Literal question		Other, please specify		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	19	19.5	0.6%
2	Important	12	20.0	0.6%
3	Somewhat important	29	47.8	1.4%
4	Not very important	38	45.0	1.3%
5	Not important at all	124	122.8	3.6%
97	No answer	655	636.5	18.9%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_km201_10_other: Source of information on climate change: Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?			
Literal question	Other, please specify			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w02_km201a_1: Source of information on climate change: None				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following sources to you when you seek information on global warming or climate change?			
Literal question	None			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not selected	850	860.6	25.5%
1	1	26	30.0	0.9%
97	No answer	1	1.0	0.0%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_v: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=876 /-] [Invalid=16135 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into two groups (U1-S1-V1 og U1-S1-V2) and selects the following question in pairs: KM216 - KM219			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	U1S1V1	436	49.8%	
2	U1S1V2	440	50.2%	
Sysmiss		16135		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km216: Temperature last winter				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Has the winter (2013-14) where you live been warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Colder	5	7.2	0.2%
2	Normal	43	64.9	1.9%
3	Warmer	387	353.3	10.5%
97	No answer	1	1.1	0.0%
98	Not asked	2936	2945.4	87.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km217: Temperature last summer				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			

w02_km217: Temperature last summer

Literal question	Was the last summer (2013) where you live warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Colder	83	93.2	2.8%
2	Normal	230	229.4	6.8%
3	Warmer	126	139.2	4.1%
97	No answer	1	2.1	0.1%
98	Not asked	2932	2908.0	86.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km218: Amount of precipitation last winter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Was there more precipitation, less precipitation or normal precipitation last winter (2013-14) where you live?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	More precipitation	191	168.4	5.0%
2	Normal precipitation	108	121.3	3.6%
3	Less precipitation	129	129.1	3.8%
97	No answer	8	7.8	0.2%
98	Not asked	2936	2945.4	87.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km219: Amount of precipitation last summer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	When you consider last summer (2013), was there more precipitation, less precipitation or normal precipitation where you live?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	More precipitation	123	139.8	4.1%
2	Normal precipitation	204	196.4	5.8%
3	Less precipitation	109	123.9	3.7%
97	No answer	4	3.9	0.1%
98	Not asked	2932	2908.0	86.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km220: Average temperature the past ten years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Would you say that the temperature on average has become warmer, colder or remained about the same in the past ten years?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Warmer	471	437.9	13.0%
2	About the same	352	395.4	11.7%
3	Colder	39	42.6	1.3%
97	No answer	15	15.7	0.5%

# w02_km220: Average temperature the past ten years				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km221: Statement: I have myself noticed the consequences of global warming				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	To which extent do you agree with the statement: "I have myself noticed the consequences of global warming"			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	47	40.5	1.2%
2	Agree	148	146.2	4.3%
3	Agree somewhat	295	290.1	8.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	188	203.7	6.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	54	54.2	1.6%
6	Disagree	92	95.5	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	49	56.7	1.7%
97	No answer	4	4.6	0.1%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_ran1: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=875 /-] [Invalid=16136 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into four groups and selects one of the following questions: KM2236 - KM2239			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	209	23.9%	
2	2	214	24.5%	
3	3	217	24.8%	
4	4	235	26.9%	
Sysmiss		16136		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km2236: Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does not believe it is man-made				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Literal question	Of late there has been quite a lot of discussion on climate change. Some are of the opinion that climate changes are not man-made. Others believe that human actions cause the climate to change. We ask you to imagine that you are speaking with some people who do not believe that humans are responsible for climate change. Imagine that you asked each one of them why they do not believe that humans cause climate changes. What do you think they would tell you?			
Interviewer's instructions	Please be brief and focus on how you believe they would answer your question. [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w02_km2237: Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does believe it is man-made				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			

# w02_km2237: Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does believe it is man-made				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]		
Literal question		Of late there has been quite a lot of discussion on climate change. Some are of the opinion that climate changes are not man-made. Others believe that human actions cause the climate to change. We ask you to imagine that you are speaking with some people who believe that humans are responsible for climate change. Imagine that you asked each one of them why they believe that humans cause climate changes. What do you think they would tell you?		
Interviewer's instructions		Please be brief and focus on how you believe they would answer your question. [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w02_km2238: Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does believe the climate is about to change				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]		
Literal question		Of late there has been quite a lot of discussion on climate change. Some believe that the climate is changing. Others believe that the climate is not changing. We ask you to imagine that you are speaking with some people who believe that the climate is changing. Imagine that you asked each one of them why they believe that the climate is changing. What do you think they would tell you?		
Interviewer's instructions		Please be brief and focus on how you believe they would answer your question. [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w02_km2239: Cause of climate change, conversation with someone who does not believe the climate is about to change				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]		
Literal question		Of late there has been quite a lot of discussion on climate change. Some believe that the climate is changing. Others believe that the climate is not changing. We ask you to imagine that you are speaking with some people who do not believe that the climate is changing. Imagine that you asked each one of them why they do not believe that the climate is changing. What do you think they would tell you?		
Interviewer's instructions		Please be brief and focus on how you believe they would answer your question. [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w02_km251: If the Progress Party supports measures that increase the costs of emitting greenhouse gases				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		In your opinion, does the Progress Party support measures that increase the costs of emitting greenhouse gases in Norway?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	242	269.7	8.0%
2	No	551	536.0	15.9%
97	No answer	84	85.8	2.5%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km252: Heard of the CO2 compensation scheme for Norwegian industry				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Have you heard of the CO2 compensation scheme for Norwegian industry?		

w02_km252: Heard of the CO2 compensation scheme for Norwegian industry

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	423	409.5	12.1%
2	No	424	455.4	13.5%
97	No answer	30	26.6	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km253: Supports the CO2 compensation scheme

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Do you support the CO2 compensation scheme?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	125	127.0	3.8%
2	No	66	52.7	1.6%
97	No answer	3	2.9	0.1%
98	Not asked	2949	2962.5	87.9%
99	Don't know	229	226.9	6.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u1_kommvalg: A value of 1 for respondents who are registered in one of the following municipalities: Oslo, Ski, Nesodden, Oppegård, Enebakk, Bærum, Rælingen, Lørenskog, Skedsmo, Nittedal, Marker, Rømskog, Trøgstad, Spydeberg, Askim, Eidsberg, Skiptvet, Hobøl, Vestby, Ås, Frogn, Asker, Røyken, Hurum, Aurskog-Høland, Sørum, Fet, Gjerdrum, Ullensaker, Nes, Eidsvoll, Nannestad, Hurdal, Lunner, Moss, Råde, Rygge, Våler, Drammen, Øvre Eiker, Nedre Eiker, Lier, Holmestrand, Svelvik, Sande, Hof, Sandnes, Stavanger, Hå, Klepp, Time, Gjesdal, Sola, Randaberg, Forsand, Strand, Finnøy, Rennesøy, Kvitsøy, Bergen, Fusa, Samnanger, Os, Sund, Fjell, Askøy, Vaksdal, Osterøy, Meland, Øygarden, Radøy, Lindås, Austrheim

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3370 /-] [Invalid=13641 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	2472	73.4%
1	1	898	26.6%
Sysmiss		13641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt201: Opinion of funding public facilities with aid of advertising [if w02_u1_kommvalg]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question Several Norwegian municipalities have in recent years entered into contracts with companies that provide and maintain bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories in return for the companies being allowed to advertise in connection with these. What is your opinion of this?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	I am opposed to the municipality funding bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories with the aid of advertising	227	196.1	5.8%
2	I support the municipality in funding bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories with the aid of advertising	648	550.8	16.3%
97		23	28.3	0.8%
98		2474	2596.9	77.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u1_ran2: Randomizes if U=1

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=845 /-] [Invalid=16166 /-]

Pre-question Randomizes if U=1 and w01_DT14 has a value. Randomized into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DT203Aa/DT203Ab

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	423	50.1%
2	2	422	49.9%
Sysmiss		16166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt203aa: Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?

w02_dt203aa: Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	40	44.3	1.3%
2	To a great degree	78	71.9	2.1%
3	To some degree	122	132.1	3.9%
4	To a small degree	113	104.0	3.1%
5	Not at all	68	62.3	1.8%
97	No answer	2	1.6	0.0%
98	Not asked	2949	2955.8	87.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt203ab: Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	282	296.9	8.8%
2	To a great degree	104	114.2	3.4%
3	To some degree	19	20.9	0.6%
4	To a small degree	8	9.2	0.3%
5	Not at all	8	9.2	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.4	0.0%
98	Not asked	2950	2921.1	86.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u1_ran3: Randomizes if U=1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=825 /-] [Invalid=16186 /-]
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 and w01_DT15 has a value. Randomized into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DT203Ba/DT203Bb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	409	49.6%
2	2	416	50.4%
Sysmiss		16186	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt203ba: Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	26	28.5	0.8%
2	To a great degree	67	75.7	2.2%
3	To some degree	116	111.5	3.3%

w02_dt203ba: Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be drawn as a travel gift card to the participants in the Citizen Panel

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	To a small degree	109	100.4	3.0%
5	Not at all	87	79.7	2.4%
97	No answer	4	3.6	0.1%
98	Not asked	2963	2972.5	88.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt203bb: Acceptable that: NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	It has now been decided that NOK 5,000 will be awarded to Doctors without borders. To which degree do you feel this decision is acceptable?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	285	258.1	7.7%
2	To a great degree	92	91.0	2.7%
3	To some degree	27	33.7	1.0%
4	To a small degree	8	13.4	0.4%
5	Not at all	3	5.1	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.7	0.0%
98	Not asked	2956	2970.1	88.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocgb9: Duty or choice to vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Some vote mainly based on duty. They believe that one should use one's vote, even though one doesn't agree with any of the parties. Others believe that one can freely choose not to vote if one cannot find a party one agrees with. What is your opinion about voting, is it primarily:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A duty	457	432.3	12.8%
2	A choice	411	450.7	13.4%
97	No answer	9	8.5	0.3%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocgb10: To which extent is it a duty to vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you believe it is a duty?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a great degree	325	314.9	9.3%
2	To some degree	124	110.4	3.3%
3	To a small degree	8	7.0	0.2%
98	Not asked	2915	2939.7	87.2%

# w02_ocgb10: To which extent is it a duty to vote				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocgb8_1: Likelihood of voting at the next election to the Storting				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	What is the likelihood of you voting at the next election to the Storting? Indicate the likelihood using the scale below.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 - I most certainly will not vote	25	31.4	0.9%
2	1	4	4.9	0.1%
3	2	4	9.1	0.3%
4	3	3	3.7	0.1%
5	4	7	10.3	0.3%
6	5	15	18.5	0.5%
7	6	8	13.8	0.4%
8	7	12	14.8	0.4%
9	8	36	43.8	1.3%
10	9	77	91.3	2.7%
11	10 - I most certainly will vote	676	641.6	19.0%
97	No answer	10	8.3	0.2%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_ran3: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=871 /-] [Invalid=16140 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into four groups and selects one of the following questions: OCACM1 - OCACM4			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	216	24.8%	
2	2	223	25.6%	
3	3	221	25.4%	
4	4	211	24.2%	
Sysmiss		16140		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocacm1: Satisfied with NAV services				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	We want to know how you rate the services of Nav (Labour and welfare administration). All in all, how satisfied are you with the quality of the services?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very dissatisfied	17	18.7	0.6%
2	Dissatisfied	22	24.0	0.7%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	34.7	1.0%
4	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	37	33.4	1.0%

# w02_ocacm1: Satisfied with NAV services				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Somewhat satisfied	28	24.8	0.7%
6	Satisfied	28	22.4	0.7%
7	Very satisfied	7	6.8	0.2%
8	Not applicable	34	32.2	1.0%
97	No answer	3	2.4	0.1%
98	Not asked	3156	3172.8	94.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocacm2: Satisfied with NAV services, given Aftenposten article				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Aftenposten wrote the following: "Last year, users brought 5,114 complaints against Nav. A third complain about the employees' conduct, while more than half are dissatisfied with follow-up" (3. September 2013). We want to know how you rate the services of Nav (Labour and welfare administration). All in all, how satisfied are you with the quality of the services?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very dissatisfied	8	8.6	0.3%
2	Dissatisfied	29	35.3	1.0%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	45.6	1.4%
4	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	82	70.0	2.1%
5	Somewhat satisfied	15	11.8	0.4%
6	Satisfied	38	36.7	1.1%
7	Very satisfied	4	5.0	0.1%
97	No answer	7	11.0	0.3%
98	Not asked	3149	3147.8	93.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocacm3: Satisfied with NAV services, given Dagbladet article				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Dagbladet wrote the following: "Last year, users brought 5,114 complaints against Nav. A third complain about the employees' conduct, while more than half are dissatisfied with follow-up" (3. September 2013). We want to know how you rate the services of Nav (Labour and welfare administration). All in all, how satisfied are you with the quality of the services?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very dissatisfied	19	17.4	0.5%
2	Dissatisfied	31	40.1	1.2%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	35.1	1.0%
4	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	77	87.7	2.6%
5	Somewhat satisfied	18	18.5	0.5%
6	Satisfied	32	31.5	0.9%
7	Very satisfied	9	9.9	0.3%
97	No answer	2	2.5	0.1%
98	Not asked	3151	3129.3	92.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_ocacm4: Satisfied with NAV services, given thousands of complaints				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Last year, users brought 5,114 complaints against Nav. A third complain about the employees' conduct, while more than half are dissatisfied with follow-up. We want to know how you rate the services of Nav (Labour and welfare administration). All in all, how satisfied are you with the quality of the services?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very dissatisfied	16	16.9	0.5%
2	Dissatisfied	10	8.7	0.3%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	32	34.7	1.0%
4	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	89	84.4	2.5%
5	Somewhat satisfied	21	29.9	0.9%
6	Satisfied	30	29.5	0.9%
7	Very satisfied	5	8.7	0.3%
97	No answer	8	6.9	0.2%
98	Not asked	3161	3152.3	93.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocacm5: Experience/contact with Nav in the past 12 months				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Which experience/contact have you had with Nav in the past 12 months?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No experience/not had contact	467	493.3	14.6%
2	Personal experience with Nav	201	203.8	6.0%
3	Experience as next of kin/guardian	97	97.0	2.9%
4	Experience as employee/via work	104	90.3	2.7%
98	Not asked	2503	2487.6	73.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_ran4: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=871 /-] [Invalid=16140 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into three groups and selects one of the following questions: TA1a-TA3a		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	279	32.0%	
2	2	294	33.8%	
3	3	298	34.2%	
Sysmiss		16140		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ta1a: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		

# w02_ta1a: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Literal question		On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Constitutional anniversary sparks debate	123	118.2	3.5%
2	Offers to fix matches not uncommon	68	80.8	2.4%
3	Demand a vote	47	36.0	1.1%
4	Boycotting joint training sessions	16	18.0	0.5%
97	No answer	25	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	3093	3093.3	91.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ta2a: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Labour Party and the Socialist Left Party lose ground in new poll	66	68.6	2.0%
2	Offers to fix matches not uncommon	26	29.0	0.9%
3	The Conservative Party promotes social dumping	151	151.2	4.5%
4	Boycotting joint training sessions	33	30.2	0.9%
97	No answer	18	16.8	0.5%
98	Not asked	3078	3076.3	91.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ta3a: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Conservative Party promotes social dumping	160	145.5	4.3%
2	Offers to fix matches not uncommon	28	31.4	0.9%
3	The Labour Party and the Socialist Left Party lose ground in new poll	63	77.9	2.3%
4	Boycotting joint training sessions	23	26.4	0.8%
97	No answer	24	30.3	0.9%
98	Not asked	3074	3060.6	90.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ta1b: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		

# w02_ta1b: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Literal question		On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Health most important	135	129.1	3.8%
2	Psychological warfare	24	33.9	1.0%
3	Refute the nursing home myths	81	73.2	2.2%
4	More fall into the dating trap	21	24.1	0.7%
97	No answer	18	18.4	0.5%
98	Not asked	3093	3093.3	91.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ta2b: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise	68	62.5	1.9%
2	Psychological warfare	28	33.7	1.0%
3	Red-green government gave children a better school day	143	141.3	4.2%
4	More fall into the dating trap	32	33.3	1.0%
97	No answer	23	24.9	0.7%
98	Not asked	3078	3076.3	91.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ta3b: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Red-green government gave children a better school day	134	123.1	3.7%
2	Psychological warfare	29	38.5	1.1%
3	Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise	84	92.3	2.7%
4	More fall into the dating trap	35	35.6	1.1%
97	No answer	16	21.9	0.7%
98	Not asked	3074	3060.6	90.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ta1c: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		

w02_ta1c: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]

Literal question On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Storting demands clearer rules	51	59.6	1.8%
2	Feel unjustly treated	36	33.6	1.0%
3	Political financial crisis chaos	144	139.3	4.1%
4	Bride in fight at own wedding	26	22.7	0.7%
97	No answer	22	23.5	0.7%
98	Not asked	3093	3093.3	91.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ta2c: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Solberg (H) less popular in new poll	69	77.8	2.3%
2	Feel unjustly treated	32	30.0	0.9%
3	The Conservative Party and the Progress Party reduce the employer's contribution	139	126.8	3.8%
4	Bride in fight at own wedding	30	35.0	1.0%
97	No answer	24	26.1	0.8%
98	Not asked	3078	3076.3	91.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ta3c: Choice of news item with picture [see screenshot provided in codebook]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question On the next three pages, you will be presented with a number of different news stories. Select the story you want to read the most.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Conservative Party and the Progress Party reduce the employer's contribution	144	136.3	4.0%
2	Feel unjustly treated	33	41.8	1.2%
3	Solberg (H) less popular in new poll	69	65.1	1.9%
4	Bride in fight at own wedding	31	42.0	1.2%
97	No answer	21	26.3	0.8%
98	Not asked	3074	3060.6	90.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_tadv1: Time spent watching, NRK-TV

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question How much time do you spend watching NRK TV on any given day?

w02_tadv1: Time spent watching, NRK-TV

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Rarely or never watch	130	143.9	4.3%
2	Less than 30 minutes	147	130.4	3.9%
3	30 to 60 minutes	239	247.3	7.3%
4	1 to 2 hours	230	226.0	6.7%
5	2 to 3 hours	89	94.6	2.8%
6	3 to 4 hours	16	20.2	0.6%
7	More than 4 hours	5	5.2	0.2%
97	No answer	21	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_tadv2: Time spent watching, TV 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	How much time do you spend watching TV2 on any given day?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Rarely or never watch	165	169.8	5.0%
2	Less than 30 minutes	231	184.9	5.5%
3	30 to 60 minutes	229	235.9	7.0%
4	1 to 2 hours	146	159.1	4.7%
5	2 to 3 hours	42	49.9	1.5%
6	3 to 4 hours	6	18.9	0.6%
7	More than 4 hours	4	4.1	0.1%
97	No answer	54	69.0	2.0%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_tadv3: Time spent watching, nyhetssendinger på NRK-TV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	How much time do you spend watching news broadcasts on NRK TV on any given day?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Rarely or never watch	144	146.5	4.3%
2	Less than 30 minutes	104	93.7	2.8%
3	30 to 60 minutes	151	159.4	4.7%
4	1 to 2 hours	185	195.8	5.8%
5	2 to 3 hours	156	143.4	4.3%
6	3 to 4 hours	84	90.6	2.7%
7	More than 4 hours	28	30.4	0.9%
97	No answer	25	31.7	0.9%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_tadv4: Time spent watching, nyhetssendinger på TV2				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	How much time do you spend watching news broadcasts on TV2 on any given day?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Rarely or never watch	219	214.1	6.4%
2	Less than 30 minutes	172	160.4	4.8%
3	30 to 60 minutes	174	160.2	4.8%
4	1 to 2 hours	168	176.9	5.2%
5	2 to 3 hours	73	77.7	2.3%
6	3 to 4 hours	29	50.3	1.5%
7	More than 4 hours	9	8.5	0.3%
97	No answer	33	43.5	1.3%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_1: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Labour Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Labour Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		517	527.8	15.7%
1	The Labour Party	341	339.7	10.1%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_2: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Progress Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Progress Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		769	775.9	23.0%
1	The Progress Party	89	91.7	2.7%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_3: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Conservative Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			

# w02_tadv5_3: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Conservative Party				
Literal question	The Conservative Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		739	742.1	22.0%
1	The Conservative Party	119	125.5	3.7%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_4: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Christian Democratic Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Christian Democratic Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		833	845.1	25.1%
1	The Christian Democratic Party	25	22.5	0.7%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_5: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Green Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Green Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		834	847.0	25.1%
1	The Green Party	24	20.6	0.6%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_6: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: Red				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	Red			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		853	861.5	25.5%
1	Red	5	6.0	0.2%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%

# w02_tadv5_6: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: Red				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_7: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Centre Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Centre Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		825	833.4	24.7%
1	The Centre Party	33	34.2	1.0%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_8: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Socialist Left Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		746	761.6	22.6%
1	The Socialist Left Party	112	106.0	3.1%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_9: Political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage: The Liberal Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Liberal Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		845	855.2	25.4%
1	The Liberal Party	13	12.4	0.4%
97	No answer	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv5_10: No political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			

# w02_tadv5_10: No political favoritism in NRK-TV's news coverage				
Pre-question		Are there any political parties you believe NRK TV favours in its news coverage?		
Literal question		NRK TV doesn't favour any parties		
Interviewer's instructions		YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		478	488.2	14.5%
1	NRK TV doesn't favour any parties	380	379.4	11.3%
97	Ikke svart	19	24.0	0.7%
98	Ikke spurt	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_1: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Labour Party				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?		
Literal question		The Labour Party		
Interviewer's instructions		YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		708	710.7	21.1%
1	The Labour Party	148	155.1	4.6%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_2: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Progress Party				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?		
Literal question		The Progress Party		
Interviewer's instructions		YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		732	741.3	22.0%
1	The Progress Party	124	124.4	3.7%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_3: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Conservative Party				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?		
Literal question		The Conservative Party		
Interviewer's instructions		YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		693	705.7	20.9%
1	The Conservative Party	163	160.1	4.7%

# w02_tadv6_3: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Conservative Party				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_4: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Christian Democratic Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Christian Democratic Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		836	847.0	25.1%
1	The Christian Democratic Party	20	18.7	0.6%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_5: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Green Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Green Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		848	857.5	25.4%
1	The Green Party	8	8.2	0.2%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_6: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: Red				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	Red			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		853	861.9	25.6%
1	Red	3	3.9	0.1%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_7: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Centre Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			

# w02_tadv6_7: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Centre Party				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Centre Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		832	844.1	25.0%
1	The Centre Party	24	21.7	0.6%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_8: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Socialist Left Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		816	826.7	24.5%
1	The Socialist Left Party	40	39.1	1.2%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_tadv6_9: Political favoritism in TV2's news coverage: The Liberal Party				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	The Liberal Party			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		843	856.5	25.4%
1	The Liberal Party	13	9.3	0.3%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_tadv6_10: No political favoritism in TV2's news coverage				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Are there any political parties you believe TV2 favours in its news coverage?			
Literal question	TV2 doesn't favour any parties			
Interviewer's instructions	YOU MAY SELECT UP TO 3 PARTIES			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		438	441.0	13.1%
1	TV2 doesn't favour any parties	418	424.8	12.6%
97	No answer	21	25.8	0.8%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_ran2: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=856 /-] [Invalid=16155 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into seven groups and selects one of the following questions: OCGB1 - OCGB6 + control group			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	135	15.8%	
2	2	122	14.3%	
3	3	119	13.9%	
4	4	116	13.6%	
5	5	127	14.8%	
6	6	129	15.1%	
7	7	108	12.6%	
Sysmiss		16155		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocgb1_1: Statement: By not voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has been a poor role model for her children [see screenshot provided in codebook]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By not voting at elections, this woman has been a poor role model for her children.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	15	12.5	0.4%
2	Agree	31	25.4	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	25	21.3	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	35	42.1	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	5.0	0.1%
6	Disagree	12	11.1	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	9	23.4	0.7%
98	Not asked	3237	3231.0	95.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

w02_ocgb2_1: Statement: By voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has taught her children what is the right thing to do

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By voting at elections, this woman has taught her children what is the right thing to do.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	20	20.5	0.6%
2	Agree	31	26.1	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	19	17.7	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	35	36.0	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	6	7.3	0.2%
6	Disagree	7	5.3	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	3	4.7	0.1%
97	No answer	1	2.6	0.1%
98	Not asked	3250	3251.9	96.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocgb3_1: Statement: By not voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown her neighbours that she doesn't care about the local community [see screenshot provided in codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By not voting at elections, this woman has shown her neighbours that she doesn't care about the local community.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	10	8.4	0.3%
2	Agree	15	16.7	0.5%
3	Agree somewhat	27	21.6	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	25	26.4	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	10	10.2	0.3%
6	Disagree	21	16.9	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	11	9.4	0.3%
98	Not asked	3253	3262.4	96.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocgb4_1: Statement: By voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown her neighbours that she cares about the local community.[see screenshot provided in codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By voting at elections, this woman has shown her neighbours that she cares about the local community.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	13	10.2	0.3%
2	Agree	31	31.0	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	21	22.5	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	37	30.3	0.9%

w02_ocgb4_1: Statement: By voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown her neighbours that she cares about the local community.[see screenshot provided in codebook]

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Disagree somewhat	1	0.5	0.0%
6	Disagree	6	7.6	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	4	5.1	0.2%
97	No answer	3	1.7	0.0%
98	Not asked	3256	3263.1	96.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocgb5_1: Statement: By not voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown that she doesn't care about her country [see screenshot provided in codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By not voting at elections, this woman has shown that she doesn't care about her country.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	7	10.1	0.3%
2	Agree	18	19.2	0.6%
3	Agree somewhat	29	27.5	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	32	30.6	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	5.6	0.2%
6	Disagree	25	30.8	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	8	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	3245	3240.4	96.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocgb6_1: Statement: By voting at elections, this woman (pictured) has shown that she cares about her country [see screenshot provided in codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: By voting at elections, this woman has shown that she cares about her country.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	28	30.7	0.9%
2	Agree	41	38.1	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	28	24.9	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	19	16.8	0.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	6	7.7	0.2%
6	Disagree	1	0.5	0.0%
7	Strongly disagree	4	7.7	0.2%
97	No answer	2	1.3	0.0%
98	Not asked	3243	3244.1	96.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_u1_s1_ran5: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=856 /-] [Invalid=16155 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DV29a/DV29b		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	439		51.3%
2	2	417		48.7%
Sysmiss		16155		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv29a: Statement: School books should be written such that the reflect the new diversity in Norway				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? School books should be written such that the reflect the new diversity in Norway.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	62	48.9	1.5%
2	Agree	135	128.7	3.8%
3	Agree somewhat	115	114.1	3.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	37	49.4	1.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	34	32.9	1.0%
6	Disagree	29	27.4	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	24	25.1	0.7%
97	No answer	3	2.6	0.1%
98	Not asked	2933	2942.7	87.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv29b: Statement: School books should be rewritten such that the reflect the new diversity in Norway				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? School books should be rewritten such that the reflect the new diversity in Norway.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	30	27.8	0.8%
2	Agree	94	101.0	3.0%
3	Agree somewhat	104	101.8	3.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	69	81.2	2.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	40	35.7	1.1%
6	Disagree	45	43.4	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	30	36.7	1.1%
97	No answer	5	8.9	0.3%
98	Not asked	2955	2935.6	87.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_ran6: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

# w02_u1_s1_ran6: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=856 /-] [Invalid=16155 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DV210a/DV210b		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	436		50.9%
2	2	420		49.1%
Sysmiss		16155		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv210a: Statement: It is important to recognize the new diversity in Norway				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? It is important to recognize the new diversity in Norway.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	54	45.3	1.3%
2	Agree	162	129.6	3.8%
3	Agree somewhat	108	116.5	3.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	46	57.7	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	29	28.1	0.8%
6	Disagree	19	22.8	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	13	13.1	0.4%
97	No answer	5	12.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	2936	2946.8	87.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv210b: Statement: It is important to celebrate the new diversity in Norway				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? It is important to celebrate the new diversity in Norway.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	18	23.5	0.7%
2	Agree	65	60.9	1.8%
3	Agree somewhat	87	89.7	2.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	95	97.3	2.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	53	61.0	1.8%
6	Disagree	61	66.7	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	34	34.8	1.0%
97	No answer	7	6.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2952	2931.5	86.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_ran7: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=856 /-] [Invalid=16155 /-]		

w02_u1_s1_ran7: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1

Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into three groups and selects one of the following questions: OCSN1 - OCSN3		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	299	34.9%
2	2	274	32.0%
3	3	283	33.1%
Sysmiss		16155	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocsn1: Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many were not born in this country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many do you think were not born in this country?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0-9	92	91.2	2.7%
2	10-19	123	133.3	4.0%
3	20-29	37	34.8	1.0%
4	30-39	14	15.0	0.4%
5	40-49	9	9.7	0.3%
6	50 or more	20	28.0	0.8%
97	No answer	4	2.5	0.1%
98	Not asked	3073	3057.4	90.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocsn2: Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many are divorced

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Out of 100 people who live in Norway, how many do you think are divorced?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0-9	9	10.3	0.3%
2	10-19	47	46.7	1.4%
3	20-29	52	41.6	1.2%
4	30-39	74	78.5	2.3%
5	40-49	62	60.5	1.8%
6	50 or more	30	33.3	1.0%
97	No answer	0	0.0	
98	Not asked	3098	3101.2	92.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocsn3: Were you aware that 12 out of 100 people who live in Norway were not born in this country?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Were you aware that 12 out of 100 people who live in Norway were not born in this country?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	97	97.7	2.9%

# w02_ocsn3: Were you aware that 12 out of 100 people who live in Norway were not born in this country?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	185	182.2	5.4%
97	No answer	1	0.5	0.0%
98	Not asked	3089	3091.6	91.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocsn4: If the government should implement measures to reduce differences in income levels				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree that the government should implement measures to reduce differences in income levels?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	125	161.1	4.8%
2	Agree	201	180.8	5.4%
3	Agree somewhat	270	278.1	8.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	89	94.5	2.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	67	61.6	1.8%
6	Disagree	68	58.6	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	27	19.4	0.6%
97	No answer	30	37.4	1.1%
98	Not asked	2495	2480.4	73.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_v1: Randomizes if U=1 and S=1				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=854 /-] [Invalid=16157 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=1 and S=1 into two new U1-S1-V1 and U1-S1-V2 groups		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	446	52.2%	
2	2	408	47.8%	
Sysmiss		16157		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam2_1: Like or dislike: Norwegians				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		Below is a list of different groups. For each group, please indicate how much you like or dislike it:		
Literal question		Norwegians		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly like	99	114.4	3.4%
2	Significantly like	177	167.3	5.0%
3	Somewhat like	25	22.6	0.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	130	122.3	3.6%
5	Misliker noe	4	3.0	0.1%
6	Somewhat dislike	0	0.0	

# w02_ocam2_1: Like or dislike: Norwegians				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Strongly dislike	0	0.0	
97	No answer	11	15.6	0.5%
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam2_2: Like or dislike: Swedes				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below is a list of different groups. For each group, please indicate how much you like or dislike it:			
Literal question	Swedes			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly like	64	64.1	1.9%
2	Significantly like	177	174.0	5.2%
3	Somewhat like	38	41.6	1.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	144	126.7	3.8%
5	Misliker noe	7	6.1	0.2%
6	Somewhat dislike	3	16.3	0.5%
7	Strongly dislike	0	0.0	
97	No answer	13	16.4	0.5%
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam2_3: Like or dislike: Jews				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below is a list of different groups. For each group, please indicate how much you like or dislike it:			
Literal question	Jews			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly like	36	30.2	0.9%
2	Significantly like	110	107.7	3.2%
3	Somewhat like	35	42.2	1.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	219	216.7	6.4%
5	Misliker noe	25	19.8	0.6%
6	Somewhat dislike	8	8.0	0.2%
7	Strongly dislike	2	5.1	0.2%
97	No answer	11	15.6	0.5%
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam2_4: Like or dislike: Muslims				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below is a list of different groups. For each group, please indicate how much you like or dislike it:			

w02_ocam2_4: Like or dislike: Muslims

Literal question	Muslims			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly like	17	16.5	0.5%
2	Significantly like	63	57.9	1.7%
3	Somewhat like	33	31.5	0.9%
4	Neither dislike nor like	184	171.8	5.1%
5	Misliker noe	67	68.7	2.0%
6	Somewhat dislike	42	48.3	1.4%
7	Strongly dislike	29	34.9	1.0%
97	No answer	11	15.6	0.5%
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocam2_5: Like or dislike: Protestants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below is a list of different groups. For each group, please indicate how much you like or dislike it:			
Literal question	Protestants			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly like	42	47.5	1.4%
2	Significantly like	124	114.3	3.4%
3	Somewhat like	37	39.9	1.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	204	199.1	5.9%
5	Misliker noe	17	15.7	0.5%
6	Somewhat dislike	7	7.4	0.2%
7	Strongly dislike	3	5.2	0.2%
97	No answer	12	16.1	0.5%
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_ocam2_6: Like or dislike: Catholics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Below is a list of different groups. For each group, please indicate how much you like or dislike it:			
Literal question	Catholics			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly like	34	39.5	1.2%
2	Significantly like	120	112.4	3.3%
3	Somewhat like	50	58.4	1.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	201	177.9	5.3%
5	Misliker noe	24	33.0	1.0%
6	Somewhat dislike	4	4.4	0.1%
7	Strongly dislike	2	4.2	0.1%
97	No answer	11	15.6	0.5%

# w02_ocam2_6: Like or dislike: Catholics				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam1: Thought about human rights violations past week				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	About how many days in the past week have you thought about human rights violations in some part of the world?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 days	64	67.5	2.0%
2	1 day	98	106.5	3.2%
3	2 days	71	73.0	2.2%
4	3 days	63	51.7	1.5%
5	4 days	37	34.6	1.0%
6	5 days	37	34.7	1.0%
7	6 days	10	10.8	0.3%
8	7 days	53	49.0	1.5%
97	No answer	408	417.6	12.4%
98	Not asked	2531	2526.6	74.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s1_v1_ran: Randomizes if U=1, S=1 and V=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=446 /-] [Invalid=16565 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1, S=1 and V=1 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: OCAM3/OCAM4AB			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	216	48.4%	
2	2	230	51.6%	
Sysmiss		16565		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam3: Proportion of blame on Israel in Palestinian conflict				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Now we will ask you some questions related to the conflict between Israel and the Palestinians. In this conflict, how great a proportion of the blame do you believe Israel has?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very great proportion	33	28.9	0.9%
2	A great proportion	72	69.9	2.1%
3	Some	85	83.1	2.5%
4	A small proportion	12	13.1	0.4%
5	None at all	3	2.6	0.1%
97	No answer	11	10.9	0.3%
98	Not asked	3156	3163.6	93.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

# w02_ocam3: Proportion of blame on Israel in Palestinian conflict				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam4ab_1: Proportion of blame on Israeli authorities in Palestinian conflict				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we will ask you some questions related to the conflict between Israel and the Palestinians. In this conflict, how great a proportion of the blame do you believe:			
Literal question	Israeli authorities have?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very great proportion	74	78.2	2.3%
2	A great proportion	78	67.9	2.0%
3	Some	56	64.3	1.9%
4	A small proportion	11	12.0	0.4%
5	None at all	1	1.2	0.0%
97	No answer	10	13.2	0.4%
98	Not asked	3142	3135.1	93.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam4ab_2: Proportion of blame on Israeli people in Palestinian conflict				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we will ask you some questions related to the conflict between Israel and the Palestinians. In this conflict, how great a proportion of the blame do you believe:			
Literal question	the Israeli people has?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very great proportion	10	9.0	0.3%
2	A great proportion	29	36.3	1.1%
3	Some	105	99.6	3.0%
4	A small proportion	58	60.2	1.8%
5	None at all	15	13.6	0.4%
97	No answer	13	18.2	0.5%
98	Not asked	3142	3135.1	93.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_ocam5: Opinon regarding boycott of Israeli products				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Israel has accused of violating the human rights of the Palestinians and has been criticised for its actions in the occupied territories. Due to this, some people are of the opinion that Norway should introduce a boycott of all Israeli products. Others are of the opinion that Norway should introduce a boycott of Israeli products produced in the occupied territories. Others oppose any boycott of Israeli products. Which of these opinions is closest to your own?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Norway should introduce a boycott of all Israeli products	94	103.0	3.1%
2	Norway should introduce a boycott of Israeli products produced in the occupied territories.	136	122.7	3.6%

# w02_ocam5: Opinon regarding boycott of Israeli products				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Norway should not introduce a boycott of Israeli products	187	188.2	5.6%
97	No answer	29	31.3	0.9%
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_ocam6: Opinon regarding academic boycott of Israel	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organizations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To which extent do you support or oppose such an academic boycott of Israel?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very strongly support	28	27.2	0.8%
2	Strongly support	46	53.8	1.6%
3	Somewhat strongly support	62	44.3	1.3%
4	Neither support nor oppose	170	181.6	5.4%
5	Somewhat strongly oppose	47	45.3	1.3%
6	Strongly oppose	25	23.2	0.7%
7	Very strongly oppose	40	36.2	1.1%
97	No answer	28	33.7	1.0%
98	Not asked	2926	2926.7	86.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_u1_s1_v2_ran: Randomizes if U=1, S=1 and V=2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=408 /-] [Invalid=16603 /-]		
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=1, S=1 and V=2 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: OCT1/OCT2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	227	55.6%
2	2	181	44.4%
Sysmiss		16603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_oct1: Preferanse regarding awarding for participation in the Citizen Panel				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	As a participant in the Citizen Panel, toward the end of the survey you are eligible to take part in a draw for an extra money prize. 2 people will be drawn to win 500 kroner each. Before the draw, we ask that you choose between two options: Option 1: You keep the 500 kroner if you win. Option 2: If you win, you participate in a new draw where you have a 50 per cent chance of winning 1,000 kroner and a 50 per cent chance of winning nothing. What do you choose? Check one of the options.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Keep the 500 kroner if I win.	134	148.4	4.4%

# w02_oct1: Preferanse regarding awarding for participation in the Citizen Panel				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Take part in a draw with a 50 per cent chance to receive 1,000 kroner and a 50 per cent chance to receive nothing.	89	87.2	2.6%
97	No answer	4	2.1	0.1%
98	Not asked	3145	3134.3	93.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_oct2: Preferanse regarding awarding for participation in the Citizen Panel, split prize				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	<p>As a participant in the Citizen Panel, toward the end of the survey you are eligible to take part in a draw for an extra money prize. 2 people will be drawn to win 1,000 kroner altogether. The winners must share the 1,000 kroner equally; 500 kroner each.</p> <p>Before the draw, we ask that you choose between two options: Option 1: You keep your 1,000 kroner, 500 kroner each, if you win. Option 2: If you win, you participate in a new draw where you have a 50 per cent chance of winning 2,000 kroner, 1,000 kroner each, and a 50 per cent chance of winning nothing.</p> <p>Note that prizes are only awarded to the winners if they have chosen the same option. What do you choose? Check one of the options</p>			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Keep our 1,000 kroner; 500 each, if we win	108	107.1	3.2%
2	Take part in a draw with a 50 per cent chance to receive 2,000 kroner, 1,000 each, and a 50 per cent chance to receive nothing.	65	66.3	2.0%
97	No answer	8	6.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	3191	3192.1	94.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_1: Watch the following programme types on TV: News				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?			
Literal question	News			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	626	598.4	17.7%
2	Weekly	130	131.3	3.9%
3	A few times a month	44	43.9	1.3%
4	Less frequently	29	41.5	1.2%
5	Never	13	10.7	0.3%
97	No answer	0	0.0	
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_2: Watch the following programme types on TV: Documentaries				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			

# w02_dt204_2: Watch the following programme types on TV: Documentaries				
Pre-question		Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?		
Literal question		Documentaries		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	67	69.1	2.0%
2	Weekly	398	370.1	11.0%
3	A few times a month	263	262.8	7.8%
4	Less frequently	97	108.4	3.2%
5	Never	13	10.9	0.3%
97	No answer	4	4.6	0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_3: Watch the following programme types on TV: Debate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?		
Literal question		Debate		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	33	29.8	0.9%
2	Weekly	246	232.9	6.9%
3	A few times a month	248	230.1	6.8%
4	Less frequently	249	270.3	8.0%
5	Never	58	55.4	1.6%
97	No answer	8	7.3	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_4: Watch the following programme types on TV: Consumer/health/lifestyle programmes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?		
Literal question		Consumer/health/lifestyle programmes		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	13	15.0	0.4%
2	Weekly	275	293.3	8.7%
3	A few times a month	331	312.8	9.3%
4	Less frequently	184	170.7	5.1%
5	Never	36	28.2	0.8%
97	No answer	3	5.7	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt204_5: Watch the following programme types on TV: Documentary soap/reality				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?			
Literal question	Documentary soap/reality			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	33	36.3	1.1%
2	Weekly	87	95.7	2.8%
3	A few times a month	117	136.6	4.1%
4	Less frequently	249	243.4	7.2%
5	Never	349	307.5	9.1%
97	No answer	7	6.2	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_6: Watch the following programme types on TV: Films				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?			
Literal question	Films			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	31	32.7	1.0%
2	Weekly	264	266.3	7.9%
3	A few times a month	296	288.5	8.6%
4	Less frequently	209	194.8	5.8%
5	Never	39	40.3	1.2%
97	No answer	3	3.2	0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_7: Watch the following programme types on TV: TV series				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?			
Literal question	TV series			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	89	99.9	3.0%
2	Weekly	339	343.6	10.2%
3	A few times a month	201	181.6	5.4%
4	Less frequently	141	140.2	4.2%
5	Never	61	52.2	1.5%
97	No answer	11	8.3	0.2%

# w02_dt204_7: Watch the following programme types on TV: TV series				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_8: Watch the following programme types on TV: Entertainment/ humour				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?			
Literal question	Entertainment/ humour			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	60	65.9	2.0%
2	Weekly	391	416.4	12.3%
3	A few times a month	256	223.5	6.6%
4	Less frequently	103	90.8	2.7%
5	Never	30	26.8	0.8%
97	No answer	2	2.4	0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt204_9: Watch the following programme types on TV: Sports				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Now we want to ask you some questions concerning your media habits. First, how often do you watch the following programme types on TV?			
Literal question	Sports			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	72	74.7	2.2%
2	Weekly	320	323.9	9.6%
3	A few times a month	170	165.9	4.9%
4	Less frequently	182	172.3	5.1%
5	Never	96	86.7	2.6%
98	Not asked	2532	2548.4	75.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt205_1: Read the following types of material in newspapers: International news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?			
Literal question	International news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	609	561.4	16.6%
2	Weekly	133	133.3	4.0%
3	Sometimes	69	81.4	2.4%

# w02_dt205_1: Read the following types of material in newspapers: International news				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Less frequently	24	39.3	1.2%
5	Never	5	7.6	0.2%
97	No answer	2	2.7	0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt205_2: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Domestic news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?			
Literal question	Domestic news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	697	665.3	19.7%
2	Weekly	89	81.3	2.4%
3	Sometimes	38	42.5	1.3%
4	Less frequently	14	30.2	0.9%
5	Never	3	5.6	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.9	0.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt205_3: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Local news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?			
Literal question	Local news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	551	563.2	16.7%
2	Weekly	178	144.6	4.3%
3	Sometimes	80	78.0	2.3%
4	Less frequently	22	15.8	0.5%
5	Never	4	6.0	0.2%
97	No answer	7	18.2	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt205_4: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Health/ consumer/ lifestyle				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?			
Literal question	Health/ consumer/ lifestyle			

w02_dt205_4: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Health/ consumer/ lifestyle

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	145	156.5	4.6%
2	Weekly	324	318.6	9.4%
3	Sometimes	265	242.1	7.2%
4	Less frequently	83	83.0	2.5%
5	Never	17	20.4	0.6%
97	No answer	8	5.1	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt205_5: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?
Literal question	Debate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	153	121.5	3.6%
2	Weekly	285	276.6	8.2%
3	Sometimes	240	244.4	7.2%
4	Less frequently	124	137.0	4.1%
5	Never	32	36.5	1.1%
97	No answer	8	9.8	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_dt205_6: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Culture and feature				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?			
Literal question	Culture and feature			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	134	114.4	3.4%
2	Weekly	276	247.6	7.3%
3	Sometimes	245	255.0	7.6%
4	Less frequently	144	151.6	4.5%
5	Never	36	51.8	1.5%
97	No answer	7	5.4	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt205_7: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Entertainment and celebrity news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?			
Literal question	Entertainment and celebrity news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	55	61.4	1.8%
2	Weekly	141	147.2	4.4%
3	Sometimes	286	275.9	8.2%
4	Less frequently	259	236.7	7.0%
5	Never	93	96.8	2.9%
97	No answer	8	7.9	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt205_8: Read the following types of material in newspapers: Sports				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you read the following types of material in newspapers (online newspapers and/or printed newspapers)?			
Literal question	Sports			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	220	218.3	6.5%
2	Weekly	162	157.9	4.7%
3	Sometimes	166	171.1	5.1%
4	Less frequently	168	146.8	4.4%
5	Never	118	120.9	3.6%
97	No answer	8	10.7	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				


# w02_dt206_1: Use social media: Facebook				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How frequently do you use social media?			
Literal question	Facebook			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	391	409.4	12.1%
2	Weekly	115	106.7	3.2%
3	A few times a month	51	45.1	1.3%
4	Less frequently	46	38.0	1.1%
5	Never	234	217.7	6.5%
97	No answer	5	8.9	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt206_2: Use social media: Twitter				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How frequently do you use social media?			
Literal question	Twitter			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	30	26.3	0.8%
2	Weekly	30	21.8	0.6%
3	A few times a month	48	49.6	1.5%
4	Less frequently	75	71.1	2.1%
5	Never	650	634.8	18.8%
97	No answer	9	22.1	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt206_3: Use social media: Own blog				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How frequently do you use social media?			
Literal question	Own blog			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	2	2.7	0.1%
2	Weekly	8	5.1	0.2%
3	A few times a month	18	16.0	0.5%
4	Less frequently	26	22.9	0.7%
5	Never	777	755.8	22.4%
97	No answer	11	23.3	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt206_4: Use social media: Other people's blogs				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How frequently do you use social media?			
Literal question	Other people's blogs			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	17	20.1	0.6%
2	Weekly	45	33.4	1.0%
3	A few times a month	87	94.1	2.8%
4	Less frequently	205	171.9	5.1%
5	Never	480	486.9	14.4%
97	No answer	8	19.3	0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt206_5: Use social media: Comment fields in online newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How frequently do you use social media?			
Literal question	Comment fields in online newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	30	25.7	0.8%
2	Weekly	73	60.3	1.8%
3	A few times a month	104	96.9	2.9%
4	Less frequently	176	172.1	5.1%
5	Never	449	447.4	13.3%
97	No answer	10	23.2	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt206_6: Use social media: Online fora				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How frequently do you use social media?			
Literal question	Online fora			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	32	29.7	0.9%
2	Weekly	50	44.7	1.3%
3	A few times a month	88	84.1	2.5%
4	Less frequently	163	158.5	4.7%
5	Never	495	472.1	14.0%
97	No answer	14	36.7	1.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt206_7: Use social media: Other social media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How frequently do you use social media?			
Literal question	Other social media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	85	86.9	2.6%
2	Weekly	99	83.4	2.5%
3	A few times a month	109	109.8	3.3%
4	Less frequently	171	167.5	5.0%
5	Never	363	345.3	10.2%
97	No answer	15	32.9	1.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt207: Use of smartphone or tablet to get news updates				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	How frequently do you use a smartphone or tablet to get news updates?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	315	304.8	9.0%
2	Every day	206	196.4	5.8%
3	Weekly	99	93.0	2.8%
4	Rarely	95	87.1	2.6%
5	Never	126	142.6	4.2%
97	No answer	1	1.9	0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt208: If the household subscribes to newspaper				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Does your household subscribe to newspapers?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No	256	256.7	7.6%
2	Yes, subscribes to one newspaper	323	318.7	9.5%
3	Yes, subscribes to several newspapers	260	246.3	7.3%
97	No answer	3	4.0	0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209a_1: Important source of news: NRK				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			

# w02_dt209a_1: Important source of news: NRK				
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	NRK			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	436	422.2	12.5%
2	Important	212	224.2	6.6%
3	Somewhat important	97	83.5	2.5%
4	Not very important	51	46.7	1.4%
5	Not important at all	41	43.8	1.3%
97	No answer	5	5.5	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209a_2: Important source of news: P4				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	P4			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	26	24.6	0.7%
2	Important	70	78.8	2.3%
3	Somewhat important	145	156.8	4.7%
4	Not very important	214	196.2	5.8%
5	Not important at all	337	294.8	8.7%
97	No answer	50	74.6	2.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209a_3: Important source of news: Radio Norge				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Radio Norge			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	20	22.6	0.7%
2	Important	44	52.3	1.6%
3	Somewhat important	117	125.4	3.7%
4	Not very important	219	210.6	6.2%
5	Not important at all	394	340.5	10.1%
97	No answer	48	74.5	2.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt209a_4: Important source of news: Local radio				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Local radio			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	38	45.6	1.4%
2	Important	74	82.7	2.5%
3	Somewhat important	117	113.1	3.4%
4	Not very important	210	205.1	6.1%
5	Not important at all	360	314.9	9.3%
97	No answer	43	64.4	1.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209a_5: Important source of news: Others				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Others			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	51	34.4	1.0%
2	Important	59	58.3	1.7%
3	Somewhat important	112	120.3	3.6%
4	Not very important	202	202.1	6.0%
5	Not important at all	345	315.4	9.4%
97	No answer	73	95.2	2.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209b_1: Important source of news: NRK				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	NRK			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	461	430.5	12.8%
2	Important	226	244.0	7.2%
3	Somewhat important	74	66.8	2.0%
4	Not very important	35	33.0	1.0%
5	Not important at all	29	34.6	1.0%
97	No answer	17	16.9	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt209b_2: Important source of news: TV 2				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	TV 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	240	259.5	 7.7%
2	Important	306	283.5	 8.4%
3	Somewhat important	144	145.3	 4.3%
4	Not very important	81	61.8	 1.8%
5	Not important at all	56	43.9	 1.3%
97	No answer	15	31.8	 0.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209b_3: Important source of news: Others				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Others			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	64	55.1	 1.6%
2	Important	124	119.1	 3.5%
3	Somewhat important	173	185.3	 5.5%
4	Not very important	196	172.0	 5.1%
5	Not important at all	204	193.0	 5.7%
97	No answer	81	101.4	 3.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209c_1: Important source of news: Facebook				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Facebook			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	72	99.2	 2.9%
2	Important	108	115.0	 3.4%
3	Somewhat important	148	139.1	 4.1%
4	Not very important	169	149.1	 4.4%
5	Not important at all	330	306.5	 9.1%
97	No answer	15	16.9	 0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt209c_2: Important source of news: Twitter				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Twitter			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	14	15.6	0.5%
2	Important	27	21.5	0.6%
3	Somewhat important	35	31.1	0.9%
4	Not very important	109	99.3	2.9%
5	Not important at all	621	602.1	17.9%
97	No answer	36	56.2	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209c_3: Important source of news: Blogs				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Blogs			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	4	4.7	0.1%
2	Important	11	8.7	0.3%
3	Somewhat important	48	55.2	1.6%
4	Not very important	137	123.7	3.7%
5	Not important at all	611	583.2	17.3%
97	No answer	31	50.3	1.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt209c_4: Important source of news: Others				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Others			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	24	23.7	0.7%
2	Important	31	38.2	1.1%
3	Somewhat important	82	81.1	2.4%
4	Not very important	132	120.0	3.6%
5	Not important at all	531	512.8	15.2%
97	No answer	42	50.0	1.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt210a_1: Important source of news: VG				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	VG			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	125	154.7	4.6%
2	Important	212	210.9	6.3%
3	Somewhat important	164	154.3	4.6%
4	Not very important	164	161.5	4.8%
5	Not important at all	160	129.4	3.8%
97	No answer	17	14.8	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210a_2: Important source of news: Dagbladet				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Dagbladet			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	81	97.1	2.9%
2	Important	159	146.8	4.4%
3	Somewhat important	204	200.2	5.9%
4	Not very important	191	191.7	5.7%
5	Not important at all	182	165.5	4.9%
97	No answer	25	24.5	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210a_3: Important source of news: Dagens Næringsliv				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Dagens Næringsliv			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	36	24.4	0.7%
2	Important	140	109.9	3.3%
3	Somewhat important	166	163.4	4.8%
4	Not very important	195	194.4	5.8%
5	Not important at all	268	283.0	8.4%
97	No answer	37	50.7	1.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				



# w02_dt210a_4: Important source of news: Klassekampen				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Klassekampen			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	25	14.1	0.4%
2	Important	24	19.1	0.6%
3	Somewhat important	55	53.2	1.6%
4	Not very important	174	168.3	5.0%
5	Not important at all	525	512.7	15.2%
97	No answer	39	58.3	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210a_5: Important source of news: Morgenbladet				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Morgenbladet			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	12	7.5	0.2%
2	Important	24	17.0	0.5%
3	Somewhat important	63	55.8	1.7%
4	Not very important	157	152.4	4.5%
5	Not important at all	545	540.2	16.0%
97	No answer	41	52.9	1.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210a_6: Important source of news: Dagsavisen				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Dagsavisen			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	9	7.7	0.2%
2	Important	23	18.8	0.6%
3	Somewhat important	65	67.7	2.0%
4	Not very important	179	164.5	4.9%
5	Not important at all	527	516.3	15.3%
97	No answer	39	50.8	1.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt210a_7: Important source of news: Nationen				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Nationen			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	3	2.6	0.1%
2	Important	15	14.6	0.4%
3	Somewhat important	26	37.1	1.1%
4	Not very important	145	142.0	4.2%
5	Not important at all	613	575.2	17.1%
97	No answer	40	54.4	1.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210a_8: Important source of news: Vårt Land				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Vårt Land			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	10	6.4	0.2%
2	Important	19	16.8	0.5%
3	Somewhat important	22	26.3	0.8%
4	Not very important	135	141.5	4.2%
5	Not important at all	614	571.5	16.9%
97	No answer	42	63.3	1.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210a_9: Important source of news: Others				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Others			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	74	83.7	2.5%
2	Important	105	97.0	2.9%
3	Somewhat important	116	121.8	3.6%
4	Not very important	130	120.6	3.6%
5	Not important at all	372	360.3	10.7%
97	No answer	45	42.4	1.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt210b_1: Important source of news: Aftenposten				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Aftenposten			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	188	146.8	4.4%
2	Important	182	167.2	5.0%
3	Somewhat important	157	158.8	4.7%
4	Not very important	114	119.7	3.6%
5	Not important at all	173	200.7	6.0%
97	No answer	28	32.5	1.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210b_2: Important source of news: Bergens Tidende				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Bergens Tidende			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	55	48.9	1.4%
2	Important	43	34.1	1.0%
3	Somewhat important	53	56.9	1.7%
4	Not very important	110	112.7	3.3%
5	Not important at all	540	515.1	15.3%
97	No answer	41	58.1	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210b_3: Important source of news: Adresseavisen				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Adresseavisen			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	33	41.2	1.2%
2	Important	27	23.2	0.7%
3	Somewhat important	48	55.0	1.6%
4	Not very important	107	112.0	3.3%
5	Not important at all	580	529.4	15.7%
97	No answer	47	65.0	1.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt210b_4: Important source of news: Stavanger Aftenblad				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Stavanger Aftenblad			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	24	23.0	0.7%
2	Important	28	28.4	0.8%
3	Somewhat important	34	42.1	1.2%
4	Not very important	98	95.6	2.8%
5	Not important at all	617	580.9	17.2%
97	No answer	41	55.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210b_5: Important source of news: Fædrelandsvennen				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Fædrelandsvennen			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	9	10.1	0.3%
2	Important	16	15.8	0.5%
3	Somewhat important	27	35.9	1.1%
4	Not very important	89	90.9	2.7%
5	Not important at all	656	614.4	18.2%
97	No answer	45	58.7	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210b_6: Important source of news: Nordlys				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Nordlys			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	11	11.8	0.4%
2	Important	14	16.3	0.5%
3	Somewhat important	28	43.2	1.3%
4	Not very important	101	106.1	3.1%
5	Not important at all	642	589.2	17.5%
97	No answer	46	59.2	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt210b_7: Important source of news: Avisa Nordland				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Avisa Nordland			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	4	6.1	0.2%
2	Important	8	8.2	0.2%
3	Somewhat important	24	34.1	1.0%
4	Not very important	100	104.6	3.1%
5	Not important at all	658	612.2	18.2%
97	No answer	48	60.6	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210b_8: Important source of news: Sunnmørsposten				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Sunnmørsposten			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	15	15.2	0.5%
2	Important	12	11.1	0.3%
3	Somewhat important	25	28.5	0.8%
4	Not very important	94	98.9	2.9%
5	Not important at all	644	599.6	17.8%
97	No answer	52	72.5	2.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt210b_9: Important source of news: Others				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Others			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	42	49.9	1.5%
2	Important	56	51.0	1.5%
3	Somewhat important	95	101.7	3.0%
4	Not very important	121	122.0	3.6%
5	Not important at all	466	438.1	13.0%
97	No answer	62	63.1	1.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt210c_1: Important source of news: Local newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	And how important are the following media to you as a source of news?			
Literal question	Local newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	231	254.6	 7.6%
2	Important	277	264.0	 7.8%
3	Somewhat important	177	168.7	 5.0%
4	Not very important	88	69.2	 2.1%
5	Not important at all	48	39.3	 1.2%
97	No answer	21	30.0	 0.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt211ah_1: Statement: In Norway we have open and democratic social debate				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	In Norway we have open and democratic social debate.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	138	131.4	 3.9%
2	Agree	438	406.2	 12.0%
3	Agree somewhat	193	211.0	 6.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	27	27.3	 0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	31	33.4	 1.0%
6	Disagree	6	5.5	 0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	3	6.4	 0.2%
97	No answer	6	4.5	 0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt211ah_2: Statement: In general, Norwegian media is of high quality				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	In general, Norwegian media is of high quality.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	59	51.0	 1.5%
2	Agree	333	329.5	 9.8%
3	Agree somewhat	261	273.5	 8.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	70	60.1	 1.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	74	71.7	 2.1%
6	Disagree	32	25.9	 0.8%

# w02_dt211ah_2: Statement: In general, Norwegian media is of high quality				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Strongly disagree	8	10.7	0.3%
97	No answer	5	3.5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt211ah_3: Statement: The task of the media is not to criticize, but to report				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	The task of the media is not to criticize, but to report.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	103	111.6	3.3%
2	Agree	247	265.8	7.9%
3	Agree somewhat	183	198.2	5.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	104	91.9	2.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	111	92.2	2.7%
6	Disagree	65	46.8	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	19	12.2	0.4%
97	No answer	10	7.0	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt211ah_4: Statement: The task of the media is to defend the individual against the system				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	The task of the media is to defend the individual against the system.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	88	84.3	2.5%
2	Agree	222	242.9	7.2%
3	Agree somewhat	252	239.8	7.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	181	171.5	5.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	54	48.1	1.4%
6	Disagree	27	24.9	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	8	6.7	0.2%
97	No answer	10	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt211ah_5: Statement: The media should examine society's powers that be				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			

w02_dt211ah_5: Statement: The media should examine society's powers that be

Literal question	The media should examine society's powers that be.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	214	204.7	6.1%
2	Agree	363	362.7	10.8%
3	Agree somewhat	168	165.1	4.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	56	59.5	1.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	18	13.5	0.4%
6	Disagree	7	4.6	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	3	4.7	0.1%
97	No answer	13	11.0	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt211ah_6: Statement: The media must influence the political agenda

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	The media must influence the political agenda.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	53	61.7	1.8%
2	Agree	194	186.6	5.5%
3	Agree somewhat	246	224.1	6.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	171	166.8	4.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	79	81.7	2.4%
6	Disagree	64	67.9	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	25	28.7	0.9%
97	No answer	10	8.3	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt211ah_7: Statement: The media must give ordinary people a voice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	The media must give ordinary people a voice.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	149	152.6	4.5%
2	Agree	342	335.2	9.9%
3	Agree somewhat	229	223.6	6.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	89	81.2	2.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	16	18.5	0.5%
6	Disagree	3	2.4	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	4	4.1	0.1%
97	No answer	10	8.4	0.2%

w02_dt211ah_7: Statement: The media must give ordinary people a voice

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_dt211ah_8: Statement: The media are not critical enough of authorities and institutions					
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]				
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?				
Literal question	The media are not critical enough of authorities and institutions.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Strongly agree	64	70.7	2.1%	
2	Agree	143	133.9	4.0%	
3	Agree somewhat	213	230.0	6.8%	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	198	209.4	6.2%	
5	Disagree somewhat	124	97.0	2.9%	
6	Disagree	77	64.9	1.9%	
7	Strongly disagree	10	6.7	0.2%	
97	No answer	13	13.2	0.4%	
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%	
Sysmiss		13639	0.0		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>					
# w02_dt212ah_1: Statement: The media reports too little material that concerns the everyday life of people					
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]				
Pre-question	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?				
Literal question	The media reports too little material that concerns the everyday life of people.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Strongly agree	26	40.9	1.2%	
2	Agree	95	114.2	3.4%	
3	Agree somewhat	198	226.2	6.7%	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	249	225.5	6.7%	
5	Disagree somewhat	148	117.9	3.5%	
6	Disagree	94	76.1	2.3%	
7	Strongly disagree	19	12.9	0.4%	
97	No answer	13	12.2	0.4%	
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%	
Sysmiss		13639	0.0		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>					
# w02_dt212ah_2: Statement: The media has too much focus on individual cases					
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]				
Pre-question	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?				
Literal question	The media has too much focus on individual cases.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Strongly agree	58	51.2	1.5%	
2	Agree	168	183.9	5.5%	
3	Agree somewhat	277	271.5	8.1%	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	201	195.3	5.8%	

w02_dt212ah_2: Statement: The media has too much focus on individual cases

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Disagree somewhat	87	79.7	2.4%
6	Disagree	36	28.5	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	3	4.2	0.1%
97	No answer	12	11.4	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt212ah_3: Statement: Many media are too inaccurate with facts and quotes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	Many media are too inaccurate with facts and quotes.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	99	92.7	2.7%
2	Agree	225	220.2	6.5%
3	Agree somewhat	286	270.6	8.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	155	178.6	5.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	37	29.2	0.9%
6	Disagree	25	20.0	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	1	2.1	0.1%
97	No answer	14	12.3	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt212ah_4: Statement: The media provide important information on the Norwegian welfare state

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	The media provide important information on the Norwegian welfare state.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	33	32.1	1.0%
2	Agree	291	280.9	8.3%
3	Agree somewhat	298	291.4	8.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	138	123.7	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	54	69.3	2.1%
6	Disagree	9	12.0	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	6	4.6	0.1%
97	No answer	13	11.7	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt212ah_5: Statement: Health journalism is too focused on individuals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# w02_dt212ah_5: Statement: Health journalism is too focused on individuals				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		And to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question		Health journalism is too focused on individuals.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	41	36.1	1.1%
2	Agree	116	108.6	3.2%
3	Agree somewhat	232	232.6	6.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	315	297.7	8.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	71	74.3	2.2%
6	Disagree	42	42.6	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	4	3.8	0.1%
97	No answer	21	30.0	0.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt212ah_6: Statement: The media is too critical of NAV				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		And to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question		The media is too critical of NAV.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	16	16.1	0.5%
2	Agree	51	82.6	2.5%
3	Agree somewhat	147	152.8	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	268	239.0	7.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	156	133.2	3.9%
6	Disagree	134	128.7	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	57	61.2	1.8%
97	No answer	13	12.2	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt212ah_7: Statement: The media is too critical of the health services				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		And to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question		The media is too critical of the health services.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	15	11.5	0.3%
2	Agree	95	111.5	3.3%
3	Agree somewhat	171	163.8	4.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	220	200.6	6.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	183	171.8	5.1%

# w02_dt212ah_7: Statement: The media is too critical of the health services				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Disagree	108	110.5	3.3%
7	Strongly disagree	37	43.9	1.3%
97	No answer	13	12.2	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt213_1: Confidence in news media: NRK news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?			
Literal question	NRK news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	329	333.7	9.9%
2	High confidence	395	362.9	10.8%
3	Some confidence	95	106.0	3.1%
4	Low confidence	8	6.0	0.2%
5	Not confidence at all	5	7.3	0.2%
97	No answer	10	9.8	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt213_2: Confidence in news media: TV 2 news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?			
Literal question	TV 2 news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	169	184.7	5.5%
2	High confidence	419	414.5	12.3%
3	Some confidence	202	177.4	5.3%
4	Low confidence	25	15.5	0.5%
5	Not confidence at all	8	10.9	0.3%
97	No answer	19	22.8	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt213_3: Confidence in news media: VG				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?			
Literal question	VG			

w02_dt213_3: Confidence in news media: VG

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	26	34.6	1.0%
2	High confidence	158	174.2	5.2%
3	Some confidence	411	396.0	11.7%
4	Low confidence	181	158.6	4.7%
5	Not confidence at all	44	42.7	1.3%
97	No answer	22	19.6	0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt213_4: Confidence in news media: Dagbladet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?
Literal question	Dagbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	21	28.5	0.8%
2	High confidence	148	156.5	4.6%
3	Some confidence	417	394.9	11.7%
4	Low confidence	177	164.2	4.9%
5	Not confidence at all	45	44.9	1.3%
97	No answer	34	36.8	1.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt213_5: Confidence in news media: Aftenposten

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?
Literal question	Aftenposten

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	138	107.4	3.2%
2	High confidence	398	369.1	10.9%
3	Some confidence	220	242.2	7.2%
4	Low confidence	30	34.3	1.0%
5	Not confidence at all	12	20.4	0.6%
97	No answer	44	52.5	1.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt213_6: Confidence in news media: Dagens Næringsliv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

w02_dt213_6: Confidence in news media: Dagens Næringsliv

Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?
Literal question	Dagens Næringsliv

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	79	65.2	1.9%
2	High confidence	359	311.6	9.2%
3	Some confidence	282	281.8	8.4%
4	Low confidence	42	43.0	1.3%
5	Not confidence at all	20	29.6	0.9%
97	No answer	60	94.5	2.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt213_7: Confidence in news media: Klassekampen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?
Literal question	Klassekampen

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	38	28.8	0.9%
2	High confidence	157	136.3	4.0%
3	Some confidence	328	321.9	9.5%
4	Low confidence	146	128.8	3.8%
5	Not confidence at all	97	102.9	3.1%
97	No answer	76	107.1	3.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt213_8: Confidence in news media: Regional newspapers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?
Literal question	Regional newspapers



Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	27	29.2	0.9%
2	High confidence	225	211.0	6.3%
3	Some confidence	390	357.7	10.6%
4	Low confidence	75	64.3	1.9%
5	Not confidence at all	44	56.3	1.7%
97	No answer	81	107.3	3.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_dt213_9: Confidence in news media: Local newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Which degree of confidence do you have in the following news media?			
Literal question	Local newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very high confidence	49	54.5	1.6%
2	High confidence	342	338.2	10.0%
3	Some confidence	341	300.8	8.9%
4	Low confidence	58	55.1	1.6%
5	Not confidence at all	17	20.8	0.6%
97	No answer	35	56.3	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_1: Interested in following news coverage: Health and welfare policy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	Health and welfare policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	235	243.3	7.2%
2	Interested	403	367.2	10.9%
3	Somewhat interested	159	172.8	5.1%
4	Not very interested	28	26.9	0.8%
5	Not interested at all	4	2.9	0.1%
97	No answer	13	12.7	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_2: Interested in following news coverage: The future of the Norwegian welfare state				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	The future of the Norwegian welfare state			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	245	245.1	7.3%
2	Interested	443	406.3	12.0%
3	Somewhat interested	117	138.6	4.1%
4	Not very interested	22	20.9	0.6%
5	Not interested at all	3	2.6	0.1%
97	No answer	12	12.3	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt214_3: Interested in following news coverage: The restructuring of the hospital sector				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	The restructuring of the hospital sector			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	178	195.3	5.8%
2	Interested	329	314.5	9.3%
3	Somewhat interested	235	234.0	6.9%
4	Not very interested	78	63.1	1.9%
5	Not interested at all	7	5.1	0.2%
97	No answer	15	13.8	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_4: Interested in following news coverage: Police and contingency				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	Police and contingency			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	169	182.0	5.4%
2	Interested	397	374.6	11.1%
3	Somewhat interested	214	216.2	6.4%
4	Not very interested	42	32.4	1.0%
5	Not interested at all	5	4.4	0.1%
97	No answer	15	16.3	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_5: Interested in following news coverage: The pension reform				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	The pension reform			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	141	161.6	4.8%
2	Interested	348	315.8	9.4%
3	Somewhat interested	227	218.7	6.5%
4	Not very interested	100	109.0	3.2%
5	Not interested at all	14	8.8	0.3%
97	No answer	12	12.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt214_6: Interested in following news coverage: Dental health services				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	Dental health services			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	96	113.5	3.4%
2	Interested	248	239.5	7.1%
3	Somewhat interested	291	293.2	8.7%
4	Not very interested	167	133.7	4.0%
5	Not interested at all	25	29.5	0.9%
97	No answer	15	16.4	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_7: Interested in following news coverage: Day-care centre development				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	Day-care centre development			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	65	64.3	1.9%
2	Interested	194	167.4	5.0%
3	Somewhat interested	276	288.3	8.6%
4	Not very interested	223	225.2	6.7%
5	Not interested at all	64	56.6	1.7%
97	No answer	20	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_8: Interested in following news coverage: School policy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	School policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	236	204.3	6.1%
2	Interested	342	330.1	9.8%
3	Somewhat interested	172	191.5	5.7%
4	Not very interested	49	55.0	1.6%
5	Not interested at all	22	21.3	0.6%
97	No answer	21	23.5	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt214_9: Interested in following news coverage: Care for the elderly				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	Care for the elderly			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	221	228.6	 6.8%
2	Interested	374	366.4	 10.9%
3	Somewhat interested	160	147.2	 4.4%
4	Not very interested	59	54.4	 1.6%
5	Not interested at all	11	7.8	 0.2%
97	No answer	17	21.4	 0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_10: Interested in following news coverage: NAV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	NAV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	103	116.5	 3.5%
2	Interested	320	327.2	 9.7%
3	Somewhat interested	271	252.8	 7.5%
4	Not very interested	110	91.4	 2.7%
5	Not interested at all	22	17.9	 0.5%
97	No answer	16	20.0	 0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt214_11: Interested in following news coverage: Closure of local hospitals				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	Closure of local hospitals			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	200	232.0	 6.9%
2	Interested	309	287.8	 8.5%
3	Somewhat interested	198	192.6	 5.7%
4	Not very interested	92	67.0	 2.0%
5	Not interested at all	29	20.2	 0.6%
97	No answer	14	26.3	 0.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	 75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt214_12: Interested in following news coverage: Merging of hospitals in the Oslo area				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which degree are you interested in following news coverage of the following subjects?			
Literal question	Merging of hospitals in the Oslo area			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very interested	95	95.8	2.8%
2	Interested	191	181.5	5.4%
3	Somewhat interested	213	207.0	6.1%
4	Not very interested	206	206.2	6.1%
5	Not interested at all	120	114.9	3.4%
97	No answer	17	20.5	0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_1: Seek information about welfare services: Visit a NAV office				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Visit a NAV office			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	182	206.2	6.1%
2	Likely	199	219.8	6.5%
3	Somewhat likely	177	167.4	5.0%
4	Not very likely	179	143.8	4.3%
5	Not likely at all	80	63.7	1.9%
97	No answer	25	24.8	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_2: Seek information about welfare services: Visit other public agencies				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Visit other public agencies			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	29	33.6	1.0%
2	Likely	160	186.4	5.5%
3	Somewhat likely	248	242.6	7.2%
4	Not very likely	255	227.1	6.7%
5	Not likely at all	105	86.6	2.6%
97	No answer	45	49.5	1.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%

# w02_dt215_2: Seek information about welfare services: Visit other public agencies				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_3: Seek information about welfare services: Use NAV's website				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Use NAV's website			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	402	345.9	10.3%
2	Likely	266	266.1	7.9%
3	Somewhat likely	89	118.0	3.5%
4	Not very likely	34	33.4	1.0%
5	Not likely at all	19	24.7	0.7%
97	No answer	32	37.7	1.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_4: Seek information about welfare services: Call NAV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Call NAV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	158	147.4	4.4%
2	Likely	264	256.6	7.6%
3	Somewhat likely	207	193.6	5.7%
4	Not very likely	117	123.9	3.7%
5	Not likely at all	54	55.5	1.6%
97	No answer	42	48.8	1.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_5: Seek information about welfare services: Search the web				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Search the web			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	410	365.0	10.8%
2	Likely	277	282.2	8.4%

# w02_dt215_5: Seek information about welfare services: Search the web				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Somewhat likely	86	107.2	3.2%
4	Not very likely	26	25.8	0.8%
5	Not likely at all	11	10.2	0.3%
97	No answer	32	35.4	1.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_6: Seek information about welfare services: Newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	37	43.1	1.3%
2	Likely	129	130.3	3.9%
3	Somewhat likely	199	186.3	5.5%
4	Not very likely	282	290.2	8.6%
5	Not likely at all	145	123.0	3.6%
97	No answer	50	52.9	1.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_7: Seek information about welfare services: TV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	TV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	34	38.3	1.1%
2	Likely	114	120.1	3.6%
3	Somewhat likely	170	180.4	5.3%
4	Not very likely	304	298.5	8.9%
5	Not likely at all	170	135.3	4.0%
97	No answer	50	53.2	1.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_8: Seek information about welfare services: Periodicals and magazines				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			

# w02_dt215_8: Seek information about welfare services: Periodicals and magazines				
Literal question		Periodicals and magazines		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	7	7.9	0.2%
2	Likely	28	39.4	1.2%
3	Somewhat likely	90	111.7	3.3%
4	Not very likely	304	301.5	8.9%
5	Not likely at all	366	314.4	9.3%
97	No answer	47	50.9	1.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_9: Seek information about welfare services: Social media				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?		
Literal question		Social media		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	31	31.2	0.9%
2	Likely	73	99.9	3.0%
3	Somewhat likely	128	127.3	3.8%
4	Not very likely	265	253.7	7.5%
5	Not likely at all	298	249.8	7.4%
97	No answer	47	63.8	1.9%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_10: Seek information about welfare services: Family				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?		
Literal question		Family		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	107	109.4	3.2%
2	Likely	265	277.1	8.2%
3	Somewhat likely	257	235.9	7.0%
4	Not very likely	100	82.0	2.4%
5	Not likely at all	68	61.1	1.8%
97	No answer	45	60.3	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt215_11: Seek information about welfare services: Friends				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Friends			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	98	99.9	3.0%
2	Likely	269	271.9	8.1%
3	Somewhat likely	264	234.0	6.9%
4	Not very likely	95	96.9	2.9%
5	Not likely at all	75	64.5	1.9%
97	No answer	41	58.5	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_12: Seek information about welfare services: Colleagues				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Colleagues			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	83	80.5	2.4%
2	Likely	246	237.7	7.0%
3	Somewhat likely	234	219.8	6.5%
4	Not very likely	129	123.1	3.7%
5	Not likely at all	105	102.8	3.0%
97	No answer	45	62.0	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt215_13: Seek information about welfare services: Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	NAV dispenses various welfare services related to employment, health, family, pension and social security. If you need information about these services, where is it likely that you would seek information?			
Literal question	Other			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	18	23.2	0.7%
2	Likely	58	69.3	2.1%
3	Somewhat likely	206	201.0	6.0%
4	Not very likely	187	175.3	5.2%
5	Not likely at all	261	216.4	6.4%
97	No answer	112	140.6	4.2%

# w02_dt215_13: Seek information about welfare services: Other				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt216: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Family	443	441.8	13.1%
2	Friends	219	209.0	6.2%
3	Colleagues	74	75.4	2.2%
4	Facebook	18	18.3	0.5%
5	Twitter	0	0.0	
6	Blog	0	0.0	
7	Comment fields in online newspapers	6	6.2	0.2%
8	Politicians	18	19.9	0.6%
9	Media	13	10.2	0.3%
10	Other	36	30.9	0.9%
97	No answer	15	14.1	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt216_10_other: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Literal question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w02_q516_1: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Family				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Family			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		201	203.5	6.0%
1	Family	634	614.7	18.2%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_q516_2: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Friends				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			

# w02_q516_2: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Friends				
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Friends			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		345	343.3	10.2%
1	Friends	490	474.9	14.1%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_q516_3: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Colleagues				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Colleagues			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		541	554.3	16.4%
1	Colleagues	294	263.9	7.8%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_q516_4: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Facebook				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Facebook			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		783	775.0	23.0%
1	Facebook	52	43.1	1.3%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_q516_5: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Twitter				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Twitter			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		827	811.4	24.1%
1	Twitter	8	6.7	0.2%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_q516_6: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Blog				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Blog			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		832	813.8	24.1%
1	Blog	3	4.4	0.1%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_q516_7: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Comment fields in online newspapers				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Comment fields in online newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		813	796.9	23.6%
1	Comment fields in online newspapers	22	21.3	0.6%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_q516_8: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Politicians				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Politicians			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		786	746.3	22.1%
1	Politicians	49	71.8	2.1%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_q516_9: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Media				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		797	782.5	23.2%
1	Media	38	35.7	1.1%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_q516_10: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service: Other				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Other			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		812	795.1	23.6%
1	Other	23	23.0	0.7%
97	No answer	7	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_q516_10_other: Tell someone about negative experience with welfare service				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Pre-question	If you had a negative experience with a welfare service, who would you tell?			
Literal question	Other			
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# w02_dt217_1: Do or experience the following: Discuss news with others				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			
Literal question	Discuss news with others			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	289	263.6	7.8%
2	Often	371	363.9	10.8%
3	Sometimes	150	162.7	4.8%
4	Rarely	18	21.0	0.6%
5	Never	2	1.8	0.1%
97	No answer	12	12.7	0.4%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt217_2: Do or experience the following: Discuss politics with others				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			
Literal question	Discuss politics with others			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	158	148.6	4.4%
2	Often	263	248.6	7.4%
3	Sometimes	324	328.5	9.7%
4	Rarely	72	75.2	2.2%
5	Never	11	9.2	0.3%
97	No answer	14	15.6	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt217_3: Do or experience the following: Are asked for advice on politics				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			
Literal question	Are asked for advice on politics			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	21	18.5	0.5%

# w02_dt217_3: Do or experience the following: Are asked for advice on politics				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Often	56	54.0	1.6%
3	Sometimes	268	268.9	8.0%
4	Rarely	363	356.1	10.6%
5	Never	112	104.3	3.1%
97	No answer	22	24.2	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt217_4: Do or experience the following: Share news stories in social media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			
Literal question	Share news stories in social media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	19	14.4	0.4%
2	Often	50	63.0	1.9%
3	Sometimes	165	164.9	4.9%
4	Rarely	218	205.5	6.1%
5	Never	374	360.1	10.7%
97	No answer	16	17.7	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt217_5: Do or experience the following: Share political debate contributions in social media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			
Literal question	Share political debate contributions in social media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	11	8.0	0.2%
2	Often	26	31.2	0.9%
3	Sometimes	96	112.5	3.3%
4	Rarely	213	202.3	6.0%
5	Never	478	452.2	13.4%
97	No answer	18	19.4	0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt217_6: Do or experience the following: Comment news stories in social media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			

w02_dt217_6: Do or experience the following: Comment news stories in social media

Literal question	Comment news stories in social media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	10	7.7	0.2%
2	Often	33	38.7	1.1%
3	Sometimes	114	115.0	3.4%
4	Rarely	202	201.4	6.0%
5	Never	467	444.6	13.2%
97	No answer	16	18.3	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt217_7: Do or experience the following: Comment political debate contributions in social media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			
Literal question	Comment political debate contributions in social media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	9	6.9	0.2%
2	Often	20	27.2	0.8%
3	Sometimes	81	82.1	2.4%
4	Rarely	194	198.1	5.9%
5	Never	519	491.2	14.6%
97	No answer	19	20.3	0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt217_8: Do or experience the following: Write letters to the editor in newspapers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How often do you do/experience the following?			
Literal question	Write letters to the editor in newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	3	3.9	0.1%
2	Often	12	18.3	0.5%
3	Sometimes	64	62.4	1.9%
4	Rarely	148	141.8	4.2%
5	Never	598	579.8	17.2%
97	No answer	17	19.7	0.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt217_9: Do or experience the following: Are interviewed by the media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
--------------------	---	--	--	--

# w02_dt217_9: Do or experience the following: Are interviewed by the media				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		How often do you do/experience the following?		
Literal question		Are interviewed by the media		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very often	2	2.4	0.1%
2	Often	6	10.8	0.3%
3	Sometimes	58	51.7	1.5%
4	Rarely	179	152.3	4.5%
5	Never	576	573.7	17.0%
97	No answer	21	34.8	1.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_1: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Family				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?		
Literal question		Family		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	90	116.5	3.5%
2	To a great degree	232	212.9	6.3%
3	To some degree	357	323.3	9.6%
4	To a small degree	107	108.2	3.2%
5	Not at all	28	32.2	1.0%
97	No answer	28	32.6	1.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_2: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Friends				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?		
Literal question		Friends		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	46	65.5	1.9%
2	To a great degree	217	207.7	6.2%
3	To some degree	389	348.6	10.3%
4	To a small degree	132	129.6	3.8%
5	Not at all	34	45.9	1.4%
97	No answer	24	28.5	0.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

# w02_dt218_2: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Friends				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_3: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Colleagues				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?			
Literal question	Colleagues			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	29	35.1	1.0%
2	To a great degree	111	111.1	3.3%
3	To some degree	355	308.5	9.1%
4	To a small degree	217	211.3	6.3%
5	Not at all	83	92.8	2.8%
97	No answer	47	67.1	2.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_4: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Politicians				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?			
Literal question	Politicians			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	28	30.1	0.9%
2	To a great degree	224	220.0	6.5%
3	To some degree	370	348.8	10.3%
4	To a small degree	139	132.1	3.9%
5	Not at all	47	52.8	1.6%
97	No answer	34	42.1	1.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_5: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Media commentators				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?			
Literal question	Media commentators			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	13	15.3	0.5%
2	To a great degree	149	122.6	3.6%
3	To some degree	370	345.2	10.2%
4	To a small degree	205	208.2	6.2%

# w02_dt218_5: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Media commentators				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Not at all	69	72.7	2.2%
97	No answer	36	61.8	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_6: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?			
Literal question	Newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	18	22.4	0.7%
2	To a great degree	202	195.3	5.8%
3	To some degree	395	352.9	10.5%
4	To a small degree	149	161.7	4.8%
5	Not at all	45	52.4	1.6%
97	No answer	33	41.1	1.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_7: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: TV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?			
Literal question	TV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	17	22.5	0.7%
2	To a great degree	216	204.2	6.1%
3	To some degree	384	364.8	10.8%
4	To a small degree	152	153.3	4.5%
5	Not at all	43	43.5	1.3%
97	No answer	30	37.4	1.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt218_8: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Social media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence your views on issues in politics and society?			
Literal question	Social media			

w02_dt218_8: The following actors influence views on issues in politics and society: Social media

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	8	7.4	0.2%
2	To a great degree	56	73.5	2.2%
3	To some degree	186	178.4	5.3%
4	To a small degree	238	231.3	6.9%
5	Not at all	309	280.3	8.3%
97	No answer	45	54.8	1.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt219_1: The following actors influence choice of political party: Family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?
Literal question	Family

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	39	34.7	1.0%
2	To a great degree	92	102.6	3.0%
3	To some degree	259	249.6	7.4%
4	To a small degree	249	225.3	6.7%
5	Not at all	167	175.7	5.2%
97	No answer	36	38.0	1.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt219_2: The following actors influence choice of political party: Friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?
Literal question	Friends

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	19	19.9	0.6%
2	To a great degree	62	60.8	1.8%
3	To some degree	227	217.6	6.5%
4	To a small degree	293	261.6	7.8%
5	Not at all	207	228.6	6.8%
97	No answer	34	37.4	1.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt219_3: The following actors influence choice of political party: Colleagues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# w02_dt219_3: The following actors influence choice of political party: Colleagues				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?		
Literal question		Colleagues		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	7	5.5	0.2%
2	To a great degree	32	35.8	1.1%
3	To some degree	148	146.5	4.3%
4	To a small degree	321	279.9	8.3%
5	Not at all	287	308.4	9.1%
97	No answer	47	49.8	1.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt219_4: The following actors influence choice of political party: Politicians				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?		
Literal question		Politicians		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	79	78.3	2.3%
2	To a great degree	276	254.6	7.6%
3	To some degree	262	265.3	7.9%
4	To a small degree	108	99.2	2.9%
5	Not at all	83	94.9	2.8%
97	No answer	34	33.6	1.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt219_5: The following actors influence choice of political party: Media commentators				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?		
Literal question		Media commentators		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	15	14.9	0.4%
2	To a great degree	118	95.8	2.8%
3	To some degree	271	251.7	7.5%
4	To a small degree	244	240.3	7.1%
5	Not at all	157	180.5	5.4%
97	No answer	37	42.6	1.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%

# w02_dt219_5: The following actors influence choice of political party: Media commentators				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt219_6: The following actors influence choice of political party: Newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?			
Literal question	Newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	18	16.6	0.5%
2	To a great degree	139	124.9	3.7%
3	To some degree	291	258.6	7.7%
4	To a small degree	216	213.1	6.3%
5	Not at all	139	169.7	5.0%
97	No answer	39	42.9	1.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt219_7: The following actors influence choice of political party: TV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?			
Literal question	TV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	20	21.1	0.6%
2	To a great degree	146	144.4	4.3%
3	To some degree	297	260.8	7.7%
4	To a small degree	196	190.2	5.6%
5	Not at all	145	167.4	5.0%
97	No answer	38	41.9	1.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt219_8: The following actors influence choice of political party: Social media				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say that the following people and stakeholders influence which party you vote for in the elections?			
Literal question	Social media			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	4	4.4	0.1%
2	To a great degree	30	30.2	0.9%

# w02_dt219_8: The following actors influence choice of political party: Social media				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	To some degree	107	102.0	3.0%
4	To a small degree	231	226.0	6.7%
5	Not at all	416	404.4	12.0%
97	No answer	54	58.8	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt226: Impression of NAV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very positive	15	17.4	0.5%
2	Positive	109	134.4	4.0%
3	Somewhat positive	186	179.5	5.3%
4	Neither positive nor negative	179	164.1	4.9%
5	Somewhat negative	194	176.3	5.2%
6	Negative	88	83.4	2.5%
7	Very negative	62	61.7	1.8%
97	No answer	9	9.2	0.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_1: Factors that influence view of NAV: Personal experience as user of services				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Personal experience as user of services			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	160	174.7	5.2%
2	To a great degree	177	159.3	4.7%
3	To some degree	139	149.9	4.4%
4	To a small degree	121	107.9	3.2%
5	Not at all	210	188.9	5.6%
97	No answer	35	45.0	1.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_2: Factors that influence view of NAV: Personal experience from employment at NAV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Personal experience from employment at NAV			

w02_dt220_2: Factors that influence view of NAV: Personal experience from employment at NAV

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	16	15.9	0.5%
2	To a great degree	26	34.7	1.0%
3	To some degree	30	40.0	1.2%
4	To a small degree	35	34.4	1.0%
5	Not at all	678	616.9	18.3%
97	No answer	57	84.0	2.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt220_3: Factors that influence view of NAV: Family members' experiences as users of services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?
Literal question	Family members' experiences as users of services

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	100	101.3	3.0%
2	To a great degree	162	165.6	4.9%
3	To some degree	226	222.6	6.6%
4	To a small degree	110	94.6	2.8%
5	Not at all	201	174.5	5.2%
97	No answer	43	67.2	2.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt220_4: Factors that influence view of NAV: Family members' experiences from employment at NAV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?
Literal question	Family members' experiences from employment at NAV

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	11	8.7	0.3%
2	To a great degree	35	45.4	1.3%
3	To some degree	71	75.7	2.2%
4	To a small degree	51	47.2	1.4%
5	Not at all	617	558.9	16.6%
97	No answer	57	89.9	2.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt220_5: Factors that influence view of NAV: Friends' experience as users of services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

# w02_dt220_5: Factors that influence view of NAV: Friends' experience as users of services				
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Friends' experience as users of services			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	56	60.8	1.8%
2	To a great degree	151	145.7	4.3%
3	To some degree	258	246.1	7.3%
4	To a small degree	147	129.6	3.8%
5	Not at all	185	175.7	5.2%
97	No answer	45	67.9	2.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_6: Factors that influence view of NAV: Friends' experiences from employment at NAV				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Friends' experiences from employment at NAV			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	17	13.7	0.4%
2	To a great degree	46	42.7	1.3%
3	To some degree	80	81.9	2.4%
4	To a small degree	78	84.3	2.5%
5	Not at all	560	512.9	15.2%
97	No answer	61	90.4	2.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_7: Factors that influence view of NAV: Political debate				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Political debate			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	21	26.4	0.8%
2	To a great degree	118	111.1	3.3%
3	To some degree	360	333.0	9.9%
4	To a small degree	188	172.2	5.1%
5	Not at all	115	123.4	3.7%
97	No answer	40	59.7	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt220_8: Factors that influence view of NAV: TV news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	TV news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	35	37.7	1.1%
2	To a great degree	179	158.8	4.7%
3	To some degree	360	342.9	10.2%
4	To a small degree	158	146.5	4.3%
5	Not at all	71	80.8	2.4%
97	No answer	39	59.0	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_9: Factors that influence view of NAV: National newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	National newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	38	38.9	1.2%
2	To a great degree	156	131.0	3.9%
3	To some degree	337	319.4	9.5%
4	To a small degree	161	147.5	4.4%
5	Not at all	101	104.8	3.1%
97	No answer	49	84.2	2.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_10: Factors that influence view of NAV: Local and regional newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Local and regional newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	35	35.2	1.0%
2	To a great degree	97	91.8	2.7%
3	To some degree	306	302.1	9.0%
4	To a small degree	199	167.1	5.0%
5	Not at all	156	146.1	4.3%
97	No answer	49	83.5	2.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt220_11: Factors that influence view of NAV: Facebook				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Facebook			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	10	7.7	0.2%
2	To a great degree	28	26.5	0.8%
3	To some degree	76	82.0	2.4%
4	To a small degree	139	125.3	3.7%
5	Not at all	531	499.5	14.8%
97	No answer	58	84.7	2.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_12: Factors that influence view of NAV: Twitter				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Twitter			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	1	0.5	0.0%
2	To a great degree	6	5.5	0.2%
3	To some degree	23	32.2	1.0%
4	To a small degree	76	69.1	2.1%
5	Not at all	680	625.3	18.5%
97	No answer	56	93.2	2.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_13: Factors that influence view of NAV: Blogs				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Blogs			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	2	0.9	0.0%
2	To a great degree	5	5.9	0.2%
3	To some degree	28	35.4	1.0%
4	To a small degree	75	64.5	1.9%
5	Not at all	675	625.2	18.5%
97	No answer	57	93.9	2.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_dt220_14: Factors that influence view of NAV: Comment fields in online newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Comment fields in online newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	4	5.8	0.2%
2	To a great degree	17	18.3	0.5%
3	To some degree	89	91.3	2.7%
4	To a small degree	129	124.1	3.7%
5	Not at all	547	493.0	14.6%
97	No answer	56	93.3	2.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt220_15: Factors that influence view of NAV: Discussion forums				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your view of NAV is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Discussion forums			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	7	8.5	0.3%
2	To a great degree	31	34.4	1.0%
3	To some degree	114	128.7	3.8%
4	To a small degree	126	119.1	3.5%
5	Not at all	505	440.3	13.1%
97	No answer	59	94.8	2.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_1: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Personal experience as a patient				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Personal experience as a patient			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	326	317.9	9.4%
2	To a great degree	257	241.1	7.1%
3	To some degree	110	119.8	3.6%
4	To a small degree	44	42.1	1.2%
5	Not at all	75	72.2	2.1%
97	No answer	30	32.7	1.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

w02_dt221_2: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Personal experience from employment at the hospital

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?
Literal question	Personal experience from employment at the hospital

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	46	39.7	1.2%
2	To a great degree	58	64.8	1.9%
3	To some degree	43	49.8	1.5%
4	To a small degree	24	34.6	1.0%
5	Not at all	606	562.0	16.7%
97	No answer	65	74.9	2.2%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt221_3: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Family members' experience as patients

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?
Literal question	Family members' experience as patients

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	194	183.6	5.4%
2	To a great degree	339	320.5	9.5%
3	To some degree	177	194.3	5.8%
4	To a small degree	38	27.2	0.8%
5	Not at all	56	57.6	1.7%
97	No answer	38	42.5	1.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt221_4: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Family members' experience from employment at the hospital

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?
Literal question	Family members' experience from employment at the hospital

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	42	36.1	1.1%
2	To a great degree	84	71.9	2.1%
3	To some degree	85	94.1	2.8%
4	To a small degree	58	46.7	1.4%
5	Not at all	506	499.2	14.8%
97	No answer	67	77.8	2.3%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%

w02_dt221_4: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Family members' experience from employment at the hospital

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_dt221_5: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Friends' experience as patients				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Friends' experience as patients			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	111	109.8	3.3%
2	To a great degree	257	236.4	7.0%
3	To some degree	269	265.9	7.9%
4	To a small degree	84	85.1	2.5%
5	Not at all	81	72.8	2.2%
97	No answer	40	55.8	1.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_6: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Friends' experience from employment at the hospital				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Friends' experience from employment at the hospital			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	37	32.6	1.0%
2	To a great degree	90	70.2	2.1%
3	To some degree	160	148.2	4.4%
4	To a small degree	61	48.8	1.4%
5	Not at all	428	420.7	12.5%
97	No answer	66	105.3	3.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_7: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Political debate				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Political debate			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	17	17.3	0.5%
2	To a great degree	121	121.0	3.6%
3	To some degree	314	307.6	9.1%
4	To a small degree	188	157.5	4.7%
5	Not at all	157	160.3	4.8%
97	No answer	45	62.0	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%

# w02_dt221_7: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Political debate				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_8: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: TV news				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	TV news			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	25	27.0	0.8%
2	To a great degree	152	145.3	4.3%
3	To some degree	324	315.3	9.4%
4	To a small degree	170	153.9	4.6%
5	Not at all	126	122.7	3.6%
97	No answer	45	61.6	1.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_9: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: National newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	National newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	25	26.1	0.8%
2	To a great degree	124	111.6	3.3%
3	To some degree	297	287.7	8.5%
4	To a small degree	191	162.9	4.8%
5	Not at all	152	166.8	4.9%
97	No answer	53	70.8	2.1%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_10: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Local and regional newspapers				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Local and regional newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	27	35.2	1.0%
2	To a great degree	141	138.2	4.1%
3	To some degree	319	304.7	9.0%
4	To a small degree	167	138.3	4.1%

# w02_dt221_10: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Local and regional newspapers				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Not at all	138	140.9	4.2%
97	No answer	50	68.5	2.0%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_11: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Facebook				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Facebook			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	6	8.3	0.2%
2	To a great degree	18	15.6	0.5%
3	To some degree	68	79.6	2.4%
4	To a small degree	120	109.0	3.2%
5	Not at all	565	526.6	15.6%
97	No answer	65	86.6	2.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_12: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Twitter				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Twitter			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	2	3.2	0.1%
2	To a great degree	5	5.2	0.2%
3	To some degree	27	37.2	1.1%
4	To a small degree	74	71.2	2.1%
5	Not at all	669	622.4	18.5%
97	No answer	65	86.6	2.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_13: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Blogs				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Blogs			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	3	4.3	0.1%

# w02_dt221_13: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Blogs				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	To a great degree	3	3.2	0.1%
3	To some degree	26	36.7	1.1%
4	To a small degree	71	67.9	2.0%
5	Not at all	674	627.4	18.6%
97	No answer	65	86.4	2.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_14: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Local and regional newspapersKommentarfelt på nettavisser				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Local and regional newspapersKommentarfelt på nettavisser			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	3	4.6	0.1%
2	To a great degree	13	13.0	0.4%
3	To some degree	67	77.2	2.3%
4	To a small degree	106	105.5	3.1%
5	Not at all	589	537.9	16.0%
97	No answer	64	87.6	2.6%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt221_15: Factors that influence confidence in local hospital: Discussion forums				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent would you say your confidence in your local hospital is influenced by the following factors?			
Literal question	Discussion forums			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	3	5.0	0.1%
2	To a great degree	26	25.7	0.8%
3	To some degree	85	90.7	2.7%
4	To a small degree	106	98.9	2.9%
5	Not at all	554	513.9	15.2%
97	No answer	68	91.6	2.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt222_1: How strong is the influence of the media on political opinion in Norway				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	How strong do you think the influence of the media is on political opinion in Norway, and how strong should it be?			

# w02_dt222_1: How strong is the influence of the media on political opinion in Norway				
Literal question		How strong the influence is		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very strong	133	112.4	3.3%
2	Strong	354	323.1	9.6%
3	Somewhat strong	249	265.2	7.9%
4	Neither strong nor weak	76	92.2	2.7%
5	Somewhat weak	8	9.1	0.3%
6	Weak	0	0.0	
7	Very weak	2	1.6	0.0%
97	No answer	20	22.3	0.7%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt222_2: How strong should the influence of the media on political opinion in Norway be				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		How strong do you think the influence of the media is on political opinion in Norway, and how strong should it be?		
Literal question		How strong it should be		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very strong	20	25.0	0.7%
2	Strong	128	140.0	4.2%
3	Somewhat strong	300	264.7	7.8%
4	Neither strong nor weak	296	298.1	8.8%
5	Somewhat weak	42	40.6	1.2%
6	Weak	20	19.9	0.6%
7	Very weak	12	9.3	0.3%
97	No answer	24	28.1	0.8%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u1_s2_ran: Randomizes if U=1 and S=2				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=831 /-] [Invalid=16180 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=1 and S=2 into three groups and selects one of the following questions: DT223a-DT223c		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	250	30.1%	
2	2	285	34.3%	
3	3	296	35.6%	
Sysmiss		16180		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt223a: 258 300 patients in health queues, Norwegian health service efficiency service in terms of treatment time				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		

w02_dt223a: 258 300 patients in health queues, Norwegian health service efficiency service in terms of treatment time

Literal question Around 258,300 patients are in health queues in the Norwegian health service. How efficient do you think the Norwegian health service is in terms of treatment time?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very efficient	3	4.1	0.1%
2	Efficient	32	32.2	1.0%
3	Somewhat efficient	86	85.3	2.5%
4	Not very efficient	105	108.3	3.2%
5	Not efficient at all	20	18.6	0.6%
97	No answer	4	6.1	0.2%
98	Not asked	3122	3117.5	92.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt223b: 258 300 patients waiting for treatment, Norwegian health service efficiency service in terms of treatment time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question Around 258,300 patients are waiting for treatment by the Norwegian health service. How efficient do you think the Norwegian health service is in terms of treatment time?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very efficient	6	5.1	0.2%
2	Efficient	25	29.2	0.9%
3	Somewhat efficient	94	74.4	2.2%
4	Not very efficient	127	152.9	4.5%
5	Not efficient at all	29	29.7	0.9%
97	No answer	4	4.8	0.1%
98	Not asked	3087	3075.8	91.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt223c: Norwegian health service efficiency service in terms of treatment time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question How efficient do you think the Norwegian health service is in terms of treatment time?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very efficient	4	2.4	0.1%
2	Efficient	55	46.7	1.4%
3	Somewhat efficient	124	116.5	3.5%
4	Not very efficient	94	80.6	2.4%
5	Not efficient at all	17	16.3	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.9	0.0%
98	Not asked	3076	3108.6	92.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt224: Experience with the Norwegian health service

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

# w02_dt224: Experience with the Norwegian health service				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Do you have experience with the Norwegian health service?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, as a patient	273	283.6	8.4%
2	Yes, as next of kin	135	125.9	3.7%
3	Yes, both as next of kin and a patient	364	338.1	10.0%
4	No	54	59.9	1.8%
97	No answer	16	18.2	0.5%
98	Not asked	2530	2546.2	75.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt225: Longest waiting time for treatment				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		What would you estimate is the longest you have waited for treatment? (e.g. waited for an operation at a hospital.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Have not waited for treatment	129	114.0	3.4%
2	Less than 1 day	31	44.0	1.3%
3	Up to 1 week	25	32.4	1.0%
4	Up to 1 week	70	66.1	2.0%
5	1-2 months	111	95.9	2.8%
6	3-5 months	121	110.3	3.3%
7	About six months	59	61.4	1.8%
8	7-11 months	34	48.2	1.4%
9	One year or more	50	44.1	1.3%
97	No answer	7	5.3	0.2%
98	Not asked	2735	2750.3	81.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km223abc_1: Statement: Technological innovations will solve the climate issues				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them:		
Literal question		Technological innovations will solve the climate issues.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	44	58.3	1.7%
2	Agree	197	197.3	5.9%
3	Agree somewhat	624	568.0	16.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	340	384.4	11.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	213	227.5	6.7%
6	Disagree	165	147.4	4.4%
7	Strongly disagree	42	45.4	1.3%
97	No answer	28	26.2	0.8%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%

# w02_km223abc_1: Statement: Technological innovations will solve the climate issues				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km223abc_2: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas exploitation in the area around Lofoten and Vesterålen				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them:			
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas exploitation in the area around Lofoten and Vesterålen.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	358	344.3	10.2%
2	Agree	303	272.5	8.1%
3	Agree somewhat	201	207.7	6.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	271	292.6	8.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	200	198.0	5.9%
6	Disagree	183	193.9	5.7%
7	Strongly disagree	116	124.7	3.7%
97	No answer	21	20.9	0.6%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km223abc_3: Statement: Inhabitants in rich countries such as Norway should reduce their consumption as a part of solving the climate challenge				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them:			
Literal question	Inhabitants in rich countries such as Norway should reduce their consumption as a part of solving the climate challenge.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	299	259.0	7.7%
2	Agree	404	366.1	10.9%
3	Agree somewhat	458	453.5	13.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	189	229.9	6.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	129	151.3	4.5%
6	Disagree	107	121.1	3.6%
7	Strongly disagree	53	59.0	1.7%
97	No answer	14	14.7	0.4%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv201ac_1: Statement: Tax rates should be lowered, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			
Literal question	Tax rates should be lowered, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.			

w02_dv201ac_1: Statement: Tax rates should be lowered, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	53	59.3	1.8%
2	Agree	129	155.7	4.6%
3	Agree somewhat	264	284.7	8.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	202	214.9	6.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	292	303.0	9.0%
6	Disagree	386	351.8	10.4%
7	Strongly disagree	288	238.2	7.1%
97	No answer	39	47.1	1.4%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv201ac_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be permitted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	Commercial private schools should be permitted.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	104	124.9	3.7%
2	Agree	288	328.5	9.7%
3	Agree somewhat	327	298.8	8.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	238	279.8	8.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	203	175.5	5.2%
6	Disagree	233	214.0	6.3%
7	Strongly disagree	224	188.7	5.6%
97	No answer	36	44.4	1.3%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv201ac_3: Statement: Many public services could be carried out both better and cheaper if they were left to private parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	Many public services could be carried out both better and cheaper if they were left to private parties.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	134	147.3	4.4%
2	Agree	275	295.4	8.8%
3	Agree somewhat	397	402.5	11.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	190	214.2	6.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	223	195.9	5.8%
6	Disagree	243	210.5	6.2%
7	Strongly disagree	155	143.0	4.2%
97	No answer	36	45.9	1.4%

w02_dv201ac_3: Statement: Many public services could be carried out both better and cheaper if they were left to private parties

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv202ac_1: Statement: Refugees should have the same right to social services as Norwegians, even if they are not Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Refugees should have the same right to social services as Norwegians, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	90	96.4	2.9%
2	Agree	262	214.6	6.4%
3	Agree somewhat	295	283.2	8.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	167	188.8	5.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	340	315.7	9.4%
6	Disagree	283	280.7	8.3%
7	Strongly disagree	197	254.9	7.6%
97	No answer	19	20.4	0.6%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv202ac_2: Statement: Begging should be banned in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Begging should be banned in Norway.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	460	517.3	15.3%
2	Agree	400	427.3	12.7%
3	Agree somewhat	250	224.9	6.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	193	186.5	5.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	154	122.3	3.6%
6	Disagree	115	108.4	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	65	52.0	1.5%
97	No answer	16	16.1	0.5%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv202ac_3: Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To which extent do you agree or disagree with them?

# w02_dv202ac_3: Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here				
Literal question		Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims come to live here.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	197	254.6	7.6%
2	Agree	187	201.7	6.0%
3	Agree somewhat	325	341.9	10.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	264	249.3	7.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	186	176.6	5.2%
6	Disagree	298	241.0	7.1%
7	Strongly disagree	181	173.9	5.2%
97	No answer	15	15.6	0.5%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u2_s: Randomizes if U=2 into two groups				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1650 /-] [Invalid=15361 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=2 into two groups (U2 and U2 S1-S2)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	825	50.0%	
2	2	825	50.0%	
Sysmiss		15361		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u2_s2_ran: Randomiserer dersom U=2 og S=2				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=825 /-] [Invalid=16186 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U = 2 and S = 2 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: DV205a/DV205b		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	396	48.0%	
2	2	429	52.0%	
Sysmiss		16186		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv205a_1: Public responsibility to reduce income inequality between rich and poor				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Some believe that the authorities should do everything they can to reduce income inequalities between the rich and poor. Others believe that this is not the responsibility of the authorities, but that each individual must take responsibility for themselves. What is your opinion?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 - This is not the authorities responsibility at all	11	14.1	0.4%
2	1	12	15.8	0.5%
3	2	26	24.2	0.7%
4	3	38	39.0	1.2%
5	4	34	47.0	1.4%
6	5	62	62.4	1.9%

w02_dv205a_1: Public responsibility to reduce income inequality between rich and poor

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	6	40	37.8	1.1%
8	7	74	67.6	2.0%
9	8	43	29.7	0.9%
10	9	24	26.8	0.8%
11	10 - The authorities should do all they can	30	31.9	0.9%
97	No answer	2	2.1	0.1%
98	Not asked	2976	2973.7	88.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv205b_1: Public responsibility to reduce income inequality between the majority population and minority groups

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Some believe that the authorities should do everything they can to reduce income inequalities between the majority population and minority groups. Others believe that this is not the responsibility of the authorities, but that each individual must take responsibility for themselves. What is your opinion?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 - This is not the authorities responsibility at all	37	40.0	1.2%
2	1	23	25.1	0.7%
3	2	36	29.0	0.9%
4	3	52	52.5	1.6%
5	4	40	40.0	1.2%
6	5	72	75.7	2.2%
7	6	59	55.3	1.6%
8	7	52	43.4	1.3%
9	8	19	19.9	0.6%
10	9	14	12.2	0.4%
11	10 - The authorities should do all they can	17	15.2	0.4%
97	No answer	8	9.6	0.3%
98	Not asked	2943	2954.2	87.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_s2_ran1: Randomizes if U=2 and S=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=825 /-] [Invalid=16186 /-]
Pre-question	Randomizes if U = 2 and S = 2 into four groups and selctes one of the following questions: DV206a - DV206d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	202	24.5%
2	2	238	28.8%
3	3	194	23.5%
4	4	191	23.2%
Sysmiss		16186	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_dv206a: Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on poor families				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on poor families?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	9	16.4	0.5%
2	Agree	18	25.8	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	26	31.9	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	46	39.8	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	35	38.5	1.1%
6	Disagree	38	28.2	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	26	26.0	0.8%
97	No answer	4	4.6	0.1%
98	Not asked	3170	3160.9	93.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv206b: Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on minority families				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on ethnic minority families?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	18	19.8	0.6%
2	Agree	28	30.9	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	56	59.5	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	53	47.7	1.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	39	33.5	1.0%
6	Disagree	30	25.1	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	13	10.4	0.3%
97	No answer	1	2.2	0.1%
98	Not asked	3134	3142.8	93.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv206c: Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	14	12.8	0.4%
2	Agree	22	22.8	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	55	63.8	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	47	48.2	1.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	18	15.4	0.5%
6	Disagree	28	25.9	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	9	10.6	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.4	0.0%

# w02_dv206c: Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	3178	3172.0	94.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv206d: Agree or disagree: Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families who have become Norwegian citizens				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		To which extent do you agree or disagree that Norway spends too much welfare funds on immigrant families who have become Norwegian citizens?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	14	17.5	0.5%
2	Agree	26	25.7	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	52	53.5	1.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	46	39.5	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	19	15.9	0.5%
6	Disagree	24	16.9	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	8	5.5	0.2%
97	No answer	2	1.3	0.0%
98	Not asked	3181	3196.2	94.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv222: Political party with the best immigration policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		In your opinion, which party has the best immigration policy?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Christian Democratic Party	76	73.6	2.2%
2	The Conservative Party	346	326.8	9.7%
3	The Progress Party	411	472.1	14.0%
4	The Liberal Party	124	109.0	3.2%
5	The Socialist Left Party	121	97.0	2.9%
6	The Centre Party	19	16.5	0.5%
7	The Green Party	11	9.0	0.3%
8	The Labour Party	357	341.3	10.1%
9	Red	29	28.1	0.8%
10	Other:	54	53.2	1.6%
97	No answer	105	128.2	3.8%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv222_10_other: Other: Political party with the best immigration policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]		

# w02_dv222_10_other: Other: Political party with the best immigration policy				
Literal question		In your opinion, which party has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions		[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w02_u2_ran: Randomizes if U=2				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1650 /-] [Invalid=15361 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U = 2 into eight groups and selects one of the following questions: DT202a-DT202h		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	210		12.7%
2	2	218		13.2%
3	3	206		12.5%
4	4	194		11.8%
5	5	204		12.4%
6	6	195		11.8%
7	7	205		12.4%
8	8	218		13.2%
Sysmiss		15361		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt202a_1: Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...		
Literal question		for the local Red Cross.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	38	42.6	
2	Would probably say yes	109	102.6	
3	Would probably say no	40	36.4	
4	Would definitely say no	9	12.8	
97	No answer	5	4.9	
98	Not asked	3162	3159.7	
99	Don't know	9	13.1	
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt202a_2: Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Pre-question		What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...		
Literal question		for a local sports association.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	41	42.3	
2	Would probably say yes	96	93.9	
3	Would probably say no	41	36.3	
4	Would definitely say no	15	20.1	

# w02_dt202a_2: Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	7	7.7	0.2%
98	Not asked	3162	3159.7	93.7%
99	Don't know	10	12.1	0.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt202a_3: Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...			
Literal question	for a municipal service for children and young people.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	41	44.6	1.3%
2	Would probably say yes	108	104.5	3.1%
3	Would probably say no	40	41.7	1.2%
4	Would definitely say no	5	5.4	0.2%
97	No answer	6	6.9	0.2%
98	Not asked	3162	3159.7	93.7%
99	Don't know	10	9.3	0.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt202a_4: Requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...			
Literal question	for a municipal service for the elderly.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	39	42.9	1.3%
2	Would probably say yes	106	96.6	2.9%
3	Would probably say no	43	42.2	1.3%
4	Would definitely say no	11	16.1	0.5%
97	No answer	3	2.7	0.1%
98	Not asked	3162	3159.7	93.7%
99	Don't know	8	11.9	0.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dt202b_1: Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...			
Literal question	for the local Red Cross.			

w02_dt202b_1: Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	46	50.3	1.5%
2	Would probably say yes	76	87.9	2.6%
3	Would probably say no	39	30.6	0.9%
4	Would definitely say no	13	11.9	0.4%
97	No answer	20	17.8	0.5%
98	Not asked	3154	3153.6	93.5%
99	Don't know	24	19.9	0.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202b_2: Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for a local sports association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for a local sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	37	43.7	1.3%
2	Would probably say yes	67	73.3	2.2%
3	Would probably say no	44	37.6	1.1%
4	Would definitely say no	27	20.2	0.6%
97	No answer	25	25.6	0.8%
98	Not asked	3154	3153.6	93.5%
99	Don't know	18	17.9	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202b_3: Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for a municipal service for children and young people.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	47	52.7	1.6%
2	Would probably say yes	80	79.5	2.4%
3	Would probably say no	36	34.7	1.0%
4	Would definitely say no	13	9.4	0.3%
97	No answer	22	24.0	0.7%
98	Not asked	3154	3153.6	93.5%
99	Don't know	20	18.1	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202b_4: Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

w02_dt202b_4: Requested to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...

Literal question for a municipal service for the elderly.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	52	61.2	1.8%
2	Would probably say yes	82	70.3	2.1%
3	Would probably say no	35	33.3	1.0%
4	Would definitely say no	18	24.4	0.7%
97	No answer	13	13.1	0.4%
98	Not asked	3154	3153.6	93.5%
99	Don't know	18	16.0	0.5%
System		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202c_1: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...

Literal question for the local Red Cross.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	48	67.4	2.0%
2	Would probably say yes	94	91.8	2.7%
3	Would probably say no	32	36.2	1.1%
4	Would definitely say no	8	13.4	0.4%
97	No answer	8	12.5	0.4%
98	Not asked	3166	3138.7	93.1%
99	Don't know	16	11.9	0.4%
System		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202c_2: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...

Literal question for a local sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	38	40.0	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	77	94.2	2.8%
3	Would probably say no	49	49.7	1.5%
4	Would definitely say no	14	18.9	0.6%

w02_dt202c_2: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	14	19.3	0.6%
98	Not asked	3166	3138.7	93.1%
99	Don't know	14	11.1	0.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202c_3: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...
Literal question	for a municipal service for children and young people.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	44	62.2	1.8%
2	Would probably say yes	96	91.9	2.7%
3	Would probably say no	28	30.2	0.9%
4	Would definitely say no	9	17.8	0.5%
97	No answer	11	15.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	3166	3138.7	93.1%
99	Don't know	18	16.2	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202c_4: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...
Literal question	for a municipal service for the elderly.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	41	57.3	1.7%
2	Would probably say yes	86	90.9	2.7%
3	Would probably say no	48	48.7	1.4%
4	Would definitely say no	2	8.3	0.2%
97	No answer	8	12.2	0.4%
98	Not asked	3166	3138.7	93.1%
99	Don't know	21	15.9	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202d_1: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for the local Red Cross.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	30	36.3	1.1%
2	Would probably say yes	91	91.3	2.7%

w02_dt202d_1: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Would probably say no	31	22.8	0.7%
4	Would definitely say no	9	7.2	0.2%
97	No answer	9	6.2	0.2%
98	Not asked	3178	3176.2	94.2%
99	Don't know	24	32.0	0.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202d_2: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a local sports association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for a local sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	34	40.4	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	71	64.8	1.9%
3	Would probably say no	36	31.3	0.9%
4	Would definitely say no	20	17.4	0.5%
97	No answer	16	14.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	3178	3176.2	94.2%
99	Don't know	17	27.9	0.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202d_3: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for a municipal service for children and young people.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	31	31.8	0.9%
2	Would probably say yes	82	81.3	2.4%
3	Would probably say no	36	29.6	0.9%
4	Would definitely say no	6	3.0	0.1%
97	No answer	13	14.7	0.4%
98	Not asked	3178	3176.2	94.2%
99	Don't know	26	35.4	1.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202d_4: Good to have a reference of participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many believe that one can strengthen one's qualifications by taking on voluntary work or that it is good to have a reference that one has participated in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for a municipal service for the elderly.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	28	31.8	0.9%
2	Would probably say yes	78	75.5	2.2%
3	Would probably say no	44	35.7	1.1%
4	Would definitely say no	10	7.2	0.2%
97	No answer	11	12.7	0.4%
98	Not asked	3178	3176.2	94.2%
99	Don't know	23	33.0	1.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202e_1: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...
Literal question	for the local Red Cross.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	38	36.9	1.1%
2	Would probably say yes	80	85.2	2.5%
3	Would probably say no	41	40.7	1.2%
4	Would definitely say no	9	8.7	0.3%
97	No answer	12	14.9	0.4%
98	Not asked	3168	3169.6	94.0%
99	Don't know	24	16.0	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202e_2: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...
Literal question	for a local sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	37	35.0	1.0%
2	Would probably say yes	66	72.4	2.1%
3	Would probably say no	50	52.4	1.6%

w02_dt202e_2: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Would definitely say no	26	17.8	0.5%
97	No answer	13	14.7	0.4%
98	Not asked	3168	3169.6	94.0%
99	Don't know	12	10.1	0.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202e_3: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...
Literal question	for a municipal service for children and young people.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	47	55.5	1.6%
2	Would probably say yes	73	68.3	2.0%
3	Would probably say no	40	36.0	1.1%
4	Would definitely say no	13	13.1	0.4%
97	No answer	9	9.5	0.3%
98	Not asked	3168	3169.6	94.0%
99	Don't know	22	20.1	0.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202e_4: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...
Literal question	for a municipal service for the elderly.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	42	49.3	1.5%
2	Would probably say yes	90	90.8	2.7%
3	Would probably say no	36	26.7	0.8%
4	Would definitely say no	14	16.3	0.5%
97	No answer	3	1.5	0.0%
98	Not asked	3168	3169.6	94.0%
99	Don't know	19	17.9	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202f_1: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

w02_dt202f_1: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...

Literal question for the local Red Cross.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	35	36.6	1.1%
2	Would probably say yes	74	61.4	1.8%
3	Would probably say no	36	25.5	0.8%
4	Would definitely say no	11	10.6	0.3%
97	No answer	17	18.9	0.6%
98	Not asked	3177	3197.8	94.8%
99	Don't know	22	21.2	0.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202f_2: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a local sports association

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...

Literal question for a local sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	35	33.0	1.0%
2	Would probably say yes	57	50.2	1.5%
3	Would probably say no	40	32.3	1.0%
4	Would definitely say no	32	27.4	0.8%
97	No answer	18	18.6	0.6%
98	Not asked	3177	3197.8	94.8%
99	Don't know	13	12.6	0.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202f_3: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...

Literal question for a municipal service for children and young people.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	33	31.1	0.9%
2	Would probably say yes	89	82.0	2.4%
3	Would probably say no	35	25.6	0.8%

w02_dt202f_3: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Would definitely say no	12	9.4	0.3%
97	No answer	11	10.8	0.3%
98	Not asked	3177	3197.8	94.8%
99	Don't know	15	15.3	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202f_4: Wish to improve local community, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many participate in voluntary work because they are committed to a cause or because they wish to make their local community a better place to live. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for a municipal service for the elderly.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	40	42.0	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	71	66.1	2.0%
3	Would probably say no	38	28.6	0.8%
4	Would definitely say no	18	15.4	0.5%
97	No answer	10	7.6	0.2%
98	Not asked	3177	3197.8	94.8%
99	Don't know	18	14.5	0.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202g_1: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for the local Red Cross

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...
Literal question	for the local Red Cross.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	37	41.7	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	86	79.2	2.3%
3	Would probably say no	36	32.1	1.0%
4	Would definitely say no	10	10.0	0.3%
97	No answer	12	13.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	3167	3171.3	94.0%
99	Don't know	24	24.7	0.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202g_2: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a local sports association

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...

Literal question for a local sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	40	39.6	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	80	86.8	2.6%
3	Would probably say no	38	34.8	1.0%
4	Would definitely say no	19	13.1	0.4%
97	No answer	9	8.7	0.3%
98	Not asked	3167	3171.3	94.0%
99	Don't know	19	17.8	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202g_3: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for children and young people

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...

Literal question for a municipal service for children and young people.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	39	40.9	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	102	101.6	3.0%
3	Would probably say no	29	25.8	0.8%
4	Would definitely say no	9	8.3	0.2%
97	No answer	9	8.4	0.2%
98	Not asked	3167	3171.3	94.0%
99	Don't know	17	15.7	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202g_4: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings...

Literal question for a municipal service for the elderly.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	40	39.7	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	96	102.5	3.0%
3	Would probably say no	32	25.0	0.7%
4	Would definitely say no	11	9.0	0.3%

w02_dt202g_4: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for a couple of evenings: for a municipal service for the elderly

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	No answer	8	8.6	0.3%
98	Not asked	3167	3171.3	94.0%
99	Don't know	18	15.9	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202h_1: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for the local Red Cross

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for the local Red Cross.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	48	47.2	1.4%
2	Would probably say yes	78	78.7	2.3%
3	Would probably say no	44	34.2	1.0%
4	Would definitely say no	15	12.7	0.4%
97	No answer	21	20.0	0.6%
98	Not asked	3154	3157.5	93.6%
99	Don't know	12	21.7	0.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202h_2: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a local sports association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...
Literal question	for a local sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	41	40.5	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	57	49.5	1.5%
3	Would probably say no	60	67.2	2.0%
4	Would definitely say no	27	22.2	0.7%
97	No answer	22	21.2	0.6%
98	Not asked	3154	3157.5	93.6%
99	Don't know	11	13.9	0.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202h_3: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

w02_dt202h_3: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for children and young people

Pre-question Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...

Literal question for a municipal service for children and young people.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	41	40.0	1.2%
2	Would probably say yes	89	92.1	2.7%
3	Would probably say no	40	33.3	1.0%
4	Would definitely say no	16	10.0	0.3%
97	No answer	16	15.1	0.4%
98	Not asked	3154	3157.5	93.6%
99	Don't know	16	23.9	0.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dt202h_4: Feeling useful and valued with participation, requested to do voluntary work for one one hour a week for the next year: for a municipal service for the elderly

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question Many feel more useful, significant and valued when they participate in voluntary work. What would you do if someone asked you to do voluntary work for one hour a week for the next year...

Literal question for a municipal service for the elderly.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Would definitely say yes	49	53.8	1.6%
2	Would probably say yes	68	79.3	2.4%
3	Would probably say no	52	39.0	1.2%
4	Would definitely say no	19	11.9	0.4%
97	No answer	15	12.7	0.4%
98	Not asked	3154	3157.5	93.6%
99	Don't know	15	17.7	0.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp4abc_1: Statement: The resources we spend on health in Norway are sufficient

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question We will now ask some questions related to health policy and priorities in the health services. We are interested in your own opinion on these issues, and ask that you answer as well as possible. First, to which extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?

Literal question The resources we spend on health in Norway are sufficient to ensure full preparedness and to perform all useful examinations, treatment and preventive measures.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	58	62.6	1.9%
2	Agree	248	257.3	7.6%
3	Agree somewhat	388	359.9	10.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	157	177.6	5.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	356	346.2	10.3%

# w02_hp4abc_1: Statement: The resources we spend on health in Norway are sufficient				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Disagree	304	293.8	8.7%
7	Strongly disagree	118	125.7	3.7%
97	No answer	24	31.7	0.9%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp4abc_2: Statement: Offering more extensive health services would take too much of a toll on other important public responsibilities				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We will now ask some questions related to health policy and priorities in the health services. We are interested in your own opinion on these issues, and ask that you answer as well as possible. First, to which extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?			
Literal question	If the health service is to provide all useful health measures, it will take too much of a toll on other important public responsibilities, for example education, police and transport.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	42	37.3	1.1%
2	Agree	151	135.4	4.0%
3	Agree somewhat	372	346.9	10.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	270	284.1	8.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	377	397.0	11.8%
6	Disagree	316	308.1	9.1%
7	Strongly disagree	92	105.0	3.1%
97	No answer	33	40.9	1.2%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp4abc_3: Statement: Sensible that health service takes into consideration costs when selecting treatments if it benefits other patients				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We will now ask some questions related to health policy and priorities in the health services. We are interested in your own opinion on these issues, and ask that you answer as well as possible. First, to which extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?			
Literal question	It is sensible that the health service takes into consideration costs when selecting treatments, if this liberates resources for patients who otherwise would not receive treatment.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	103	91.6	2.7%
2	Agree	385	346.6	10.3%
3	Agree somewhat	479	452.6	13.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	277	326.3	9.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	195	201.8	6.0%
6	Disagree	127	129.9	3.9%
7	Strongly disagree	56	69.1	2.0%
97	No answer	31	36.8	1.1%

w02_hp4abc_3: Statement: Sensible that health service takes into consideration costs when selecting treatments if it benefits other patients

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_ran1: Randomizes if U=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=13639 /-]
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into six groups and selects one of the following questions: HP7a - HP7f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	274	8.1%
2	2	287	8.5%
3	3	267	7.9%
4	4	265	7.9%
5	5	278	8.2%
6	6	274	8.1%
97		8	0.2%
98		1719	51.0%
Sysmiss		13639	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp7a: Waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Imagine that there is a waiting line for knee surgery and that surgery would improve the knee function equally for everyone. To which extent do you agree or disagree that those with the most serious illness as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means that others would have to wait longer?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	56	46.5	1.4%
2	Agree	108	110.6	3.3%
3	Agree somewhat	58	58.7	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	23	21.7	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	12	15.0	0.4%
6	Disagree	9	9.2	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	5	3.1	0.1%
97	No answer	3	2.0	0.1%
98	Not asked	3098	3105.1	92.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp7b: Someone close is on waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Imagine that someone close to you is on a waiting list for knee surgery and that surgery would improve the knee function equally for everyone on the waiting list. To which extent do you agree or disagree that those with the most serious illness as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means that a person close to you would have to wait longer?

w02_hp7b: Someone close is on waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	71	64.2	1.9%
2	Agree	108	103.7	3.1%
3	Agree somewhat	61	63.1	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	18	22.8	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	14	12.0	0.4%
6	Disagree	7	3.8	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	6	10.4	0.3%
97	No answer	2	1.0	0.0%
98	Not asked	3085	3091.0	91.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp7c: You personally on the waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Imagine that you are on a waiting list for knee surgery and that surgery would improve the knee function equally for everyone on the waiting list. To which extent do you agree or disagree that those with the most serious illness as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means that you would have to wait longer?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	61	66.1	2.0%
2	Agree	104	99.6	3.0%
3	Agree somewhat	58	65.7	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	21	28.4	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	14	12.5	0.4%
6	Disagree	4	6.4	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	4	5.3	0.2%
97	No answer	1	1.2	0.0%
98	Not asked	3105	3086.8	91.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp7d: Waiting list for knee surgery in patients with equally severe disease, those who will benefit most from treatment should advance in line

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	Imagine that there is a waiting list for knee surgery among patients with equally serious illness. To which extent do you agree or disagree that those who would benefit most from the treatment as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means that others would have to wait longer?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	16	13.0	0.4%
2	Agree	46	45.0	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	77	70.6	2.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	31	29.5	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	30	30.5	0.9%
6	Disagree	44	46.5	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	21	21.9	0.7%
98	Not asked	3107	3115.0	92.4%

w02_hp7d: Waiting list for knee surgery in patients with equally severe disease, those who will benefit most from treatment should advance in line

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp7e: Someone close is on waiting list for knee surgery among patients with equally serious illness, those who will benefit most from treatment should advance in line

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
---------------------------	---

Literal question	Imagine that someone close to you is on a waiting list for knee surgery among patients with equally serious illness. To which extent do you agree or disagree that who would benefit most from the treatment as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means that the person close to you would have to wait longer?
-------------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	24	22.8	0.7%
2	Agree	58	47.0	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	78	80.5	2.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	26	32.7	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	33	33.8	1.0%
6	Disagree	34	40.4	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	24	23.6	0.7%
97	No answer	1	1.2	0.0%
98	Not asked	3094	3089.9	91.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp7f: You personally on the waiting list for knee surgery, serious illness as a main rule should advance in line even if you have to wait longer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
---------------------------	---

Literal question	Imagine that you are on a waiting list for knee surgery among patients with equally serious illness. To which extent do you agree or disagree that those who would benefit most from the treatment as a main rule should advance in line, even though that means you would have to wait longer?
-------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	22	25.6	0.8%
2	Agree	80	87.9	2.6%
3	Agree somewhat	67	57.0	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	18	15.9	0.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	31	27.9	0.8%
6	Disagree	37	35.3	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	18	21.3	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.5	0.0%
98	Not asked	3098	3100.5	91.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_ran2: Randomizes if U=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1644 /-] [Invalid=15367 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: HP8a/HPb
---------------------	--

w02_u2_ran2: Randomizes if U=2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	834	50.7%
2	2	810	49.3%
Sysmiss		15367	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp8a: Statement: The authorities should use more resources than at present to prevent illness, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The authorities should use more resources than at present to prevent illness, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	76	61.5	1.8%
2	Agree	164	129.5	3.8%
3	Agree somewhat	248	245.3	7.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	153	173.0	5.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	102	110.8	3.3%
6	Disagree	55	66.1	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	17	17.8	0.5%
97	No answer	19	16.4	0.5%
98	Not asked	2538	2551.8	75.7%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp8b: Statement: In those cases where many more lives can be saved by preventing illness, the authorities should use more resources than at present for prevention, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	To which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? In those cases where many more lives can be saved by preventing illness, the authorities should use more resources than at present for prevention, even though this will result in less resources for the treatment of illness.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	79	78.0	2.3%
2	Agree	222	219.5	6.5%
3	Agree somewhat	250	249.6	7.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	127	136.1	4.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	70	67.3	2.0%
6	Disagree	34	40.8	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	13	17.7	0.5%
97	No answer	15	13.8	0.4%
98	Not asked	2562	2549.2	75.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_ran3: Randomizes if U=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# w02_u2_ran3: Randomizes if U=2				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=15368 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=2 into three groups and selects one of the following questions: HP9a-HP9c		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	572		34.8%
2	2	528		32.1%
3	3	543		33.0%
Sysmiss		15368		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_hp9a: Statement: Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they are in risk of dropping out of the labour market				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		And what is your opinion of this statement? Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they are in risk of dropping out of the labour market. Do you...		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	215	239.9	
2	Agree	223	200.0	
3	Agree somewhat	92	74.5	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	22	17.6	
5	Disagree somewhat	6	5.0	
6	Disagree	4	3.7	
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.5	
97	No answer	9	11.3	
98	Not asked	2800	2819.6	
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_hp9b: Statement: Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they due to their illness are a great burden to their next of kin				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		And what is your opinion of this statement? Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they due to their illness are a great burden to their next of kin. Do you...		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	86	105.9	
2	Agree	184	189.6	
3	Agree somewhat	134	122.5	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	68	62.2	
5	Disagree somewhat	31	28.6	
6	Disagree	14	12.1	
7	Strongly disagree	6	4.6	
97	No answer	5	4.6	
98	Not asked	2844	2841.9	
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_hp9c: Statement: Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they care for small children				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	And what is your opinion of this statement? Patients should have faster access to clarification and treatment if they care for small children. Do you...			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	42	53.2	1.6%
2	Agree	120	129.3	3.8%
3	Agree somewhat	137	136.4	4.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	113	123.1	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	64	48.0	1.4%
6	Disagree	45	44.9	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	16	17.7	0.5%
97	No answer	6	6.8	0.2%
98	Not asked	2829	2812.4	83.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u2_ran4: Randomizes if U=2				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1642 /-] [Invalid=15369 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into three groups and selects one of the following questions: HP10a-HP10c			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	491	29.9%	
2	2	536	32.6%	
3	3	615	37.5%	
Sysmiss		15369		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp10a: Statement: Patients with serious illness who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Patients with serious illness who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	6	3.9	0.1%
2	Agree	29	39.4	1.2%
3	Agree somewhat	63	52.8	1.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	58	62.4	1.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	96	91.0	2.7%
6	Disagree	152	159.6	4.7%
7	Strongly disagree	81	75.6	2.2%
97	No answer	6	6.3	0.2%
98	Not asked	2881	2881.0	85.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

w02_hp10b: Statement: Patients with minor illnesses who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Patients with minor illnesses who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	18	16.3	0.5%
2	Agree	72	64.7	1.9%
3	Agree somewhat	129	109.0	3.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	67	81.8	2.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	97	94.8	2.8%
6	Disagree	99	106.8	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	46	57.0	1.7%
97	No answer	8	7.1	0.2%
98	Not asked	2836	2834.6	84.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp10c: Statement: Patients who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	And to which extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Patients who will experience minor improvements in health from treatment should themselves pay for this treatment if this frees up resources for other patients.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	12	9.3	0.3%
2	Agree	70	55.6	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	141	137.3	4.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	95	96.1	2.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	91	98.8	2.9%
6	Disagree	137	139.4	4.1%
7	Strongly disagree	60	70.1	2.1%
97	No answer	9	6.2	0.2%
98	Not asked	2757	2759.0	81.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_ran5: Randomizes if U=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1642 /-] [Invalid=15369 /-]
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: HP11a/HP11b

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	773	47.1%
2	2	869	52.9%
Sysmiss		15369	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp11a: Hospitals say no to a treatment that the patient wants, the patient receives a different treatment which is almost as good and which frees up resources for other patients

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	What do you feel about hospitals saying no to treatment the patient himself wants, if the patient is given other treatment that is almost as good and which frees up resources for other patients? Would you say that it is...

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very acceptable	49	36.2	1.1%
2	Acceptable	295	277.9	8.2%
3	Somewhat acceptable	214	215.2	6.4%
4	Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	79	89.7	2.7%
5	Somewhat unacceptable	74	86.8	2.6%
6	Unacceptable	37	36.7	1.1%
7	Very unacceptable	18	18.5	0.5%
97	No answer	7	5.2	0.2%
98	Not asked	2599	2605.8	77.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_hp11b: Hospitals say no to a treatment that the patient wants, the patient receives a different treatment that scientific studies have considered better for patients

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	What do you feel about hospitals saying no to treatment the patient himself wants, if the patient is given other treatment that scientific studies have shown to better for patients? Would you say that it is...

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very acceptable	130	110.3	3.3%
2	Acceptable	318	301.3	8.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	171	196.7	5.8%
4	Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	95	95.1	2.8%
5	Somewhat unacceptable	81	79.5	2.4%
6	Unacceptable	47	66.1	2.0%
7	Very unacceptable	11	12.4	0.4%
97	No answer	16	13.8	0.4%
98	Not asked	2503	2496.8	74.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_ran6: Randomizes if U=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1641 /-] [Invalid=15370 /-]
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into three groups and selects one of the following questions: HP12a-HP12C

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	547	33.3%
2	2	566	34.5%
3	3	528	32.2%
Sysmiss		15370	

# w02_u2_ran6: Randomizes if U=2				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp12a: Reasonable: Patient with livable disease hear about a treatment abroad, but the doctor decides that it can not be offered				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	<p>Do you think that the hospital acts reasonably or unreasonably in this example: Imagine a patient undergoing hospital treatment for an illness that one can live with, but where there is a risk of significant deterioration over time. The patient has heard of new treatment abroad, and wonders why the hospital does not offer this treatment. The reason is that the patient's physician has thoroughly assessed the treatment and decided that it will not be offered. The patient is informed of this and is not offered treatment. I think the hospital acted...</p>			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very reasonably	21	15.4	0.5%
2	Reasonably	112	88.6	2.6%
3	Somewhat reasonably	108	106.4	3.2%
4	Neither reasonably nor unreasonably	60	62.2	1.8%
5	Somewhat unreasonably	112	111.0	3.3%
6	Unreasonably	98	107.6	3.2%
7	Very unreasonably	28	39.1	1.2%
97	No answer	8	7.8	0.2%
98	Not asked	2825	2833.9	84.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp12b: Reasonable: Patient with livable disease hear about a treatment abroad, but professional expertise determines that it can not be offered				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	<p>Do you think that the hospital acts reasonably or unreasonably in this example: Imagine a patient undergoing hospital treatment for an illness that one can live with, but where there is a risk of significant deterioration over time. The patient has heard of new treatment abroad, and wonders why the hospital does not offer this treatment. The reason is that the country's foremost professional expertise has thoroughly assessed the treatment and agreed that it will not be offered. The patient is informed of this and is not offered treatment. I think the hospital acted...</p>			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very reasonably	37	33.7	1.0%
2	Reasonably	143	138.0	4.1%
3	Somewhat reasonably	101	94.4	2.8%
4	Neither reasonably nor unreasonably	68	66.8	2.0%
5	Somewhat unreasonably	95	105.9	3.1%
6	Unreasonably	79	119.4	3.5%
7	Very unreasonably	41	49.9	1.5%
97	No answer	2	1.6	0.0%
98	Not asked	2806	2762.3	81.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

w02_hp12c: Reasonable: Patient with livable disease hear about a treatment abroad, but professional expertise and patient organizations determines that it will not be offered

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	<p>Do you think that the hospital acts reasonably or unreasonably in this example: Imagine a patient undergoing hospital treatment for an illness that one can live with, but where there is a risk of significant deterioration over time. The patient has heard of new treatment abroad, and wonders why the hospital does not offer this treatment. The reason is that the country's foremost professional expertise in consultation with patient organization representatives have thoroughly assessed the treatment and agreed that it will not be offered. The patient is informed of this and is not offered treatment. I think the hospital acted...</p>

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very reasonably	55	43.1	1.3%
2	Reasonably	131	106.2	3.2%
3	Somewhat reasonably	102	101.1	3.0%
4	Neither reasonably nor unreasonably	55	52.1	1.5%
5	Somewhat unreasonably	89	92.9	2.8%
6	Unreasonably	62	62.2	1.8%
7	Very unreasonably	29	28.8	0.9%
97	No answer	5	6.3	0.2%
98	Not asked	2844	2879.1	85.4%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_hp2: Examined or treated by the health service in the past 12 months				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		How frequently have you been examined or treated by the health service (e.g. by doctor or at a hospital) in the past 12 months?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	None	331	302.9	9.0%
2	Once	482	459.4	13.6%
3	2 to 5 times	616	658.3	19.5%
4	More than 5 times	207	213.8	6.3%
97	No answer	17	20.3	0.6%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u2_ran7: Randomizes if U=2				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1638 /-] [Invalid=15373 /-]		
Pre-question		Randomizes if U=2 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: HP3a/HP3b		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	797	48.7%	
2	2	841	51.3%	
Sysmiss		15373		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp3a: Not given examination or treatment because of limited resources in health services				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Have you experienced not receiving the examination or treatment that would have been best for you due to limited resources in the health service?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	97	110.8	3.3%
2	No	625	602.3	17.9%
97	No answer	2	0.9	0.0%
98	Not asked	2575	2582.8	76.6%
99	Don't know	73	75.3	2.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp3b: Received examination or treatment later due to limited resources in health services				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Have you experienced receiving examination or treatment later than would have been best for you due to limited resources in the health service?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	242	242.6	7.2%
2	No	538	520.4	15.4%
97	No answer	5	5.9	0.2%

# w02_hp3b: Received examination or treatment later due to limited resources in health services				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2531	2525.2	74.9%
99	Don't know	56	77.8	2.3%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv211: Opplevelse av medbestemmelse i valg av behandling og helsetiltak				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Public authorities emphasize user-participation, i.e. the population's opportunity to influence the design of public services. To which extent do you experience co-determination in the choice of treatment and health measures?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	22	16.4	0.5%
2	To a great degree	131	134.8	4.0%
3	To some degree	394	366.9	10.9%
4	To a small degree	441	461.2	13.7%
5	Not at all	189	214.6	6.4%
6	Not a relevant issue to me	440	414.5	12.3%
97	No answer	36	46.4	1.4%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_dv212: Experience of co-determination in the choice of treatment and health measures				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	And to which extent do you desire co-determination in the choice of treatment and health measures?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	202	195.8	5.8%
2	To a great degree	567	583.8	17.3%
3	To some degree	718	690.1	20.5%
4	To a small degree	123	121.7	3.6%
5	Not at all	18	30.9	0.9%
97	No answer	25	32.3	1.0%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_u2_s1_ran: Randomizes if U=2 and S=1				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=822 /-] [Invalid=16189 /-]			
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 and S=1 into three groups and selects one of the following questions: KM26/KM27 + control group			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	265	32.2%	
2	2	263	32.0%	
3	3	294	35.8%	
Sysmiss		16189		

# w02_u2_s1_ran: Randomizes if U=2 and S=1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>	
# w02_km26_1: Three reasons people want to prevent climate change	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Why do people want to prevent climate change? Note up to three reasons in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]
# w02_km26_2: Three reasons people want to prevent climate change	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Why do people want to prevent climate change? Note up to three reasons in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]
# w02_km26_3: Three reasons people want to prevent climate change	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Why do people want to prevent climate change? Note up to three reasons in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]
# w02_km27_1: Three ways people are trying to prevent climate change	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	How do people try to prevent climate change? Note up to three ways in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]
# w02_km27_2: Three ways people are trying to prevent climate change	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	How do people try to prevent climate change? Note up to three ways in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]
# w02_km27_3: Three ways people are trying to prevent climate change	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	How do people try to prevent climate change? Note up to three ways in the fields below. [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]
# w02_km35: Climate change as a specific or abstract concept	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

# w02_km35: Climate change as a specific or abstract concept				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		When you consider climate change and everything you relate to it, how specific or abstract does the thought seem to you?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very specific	78	67.9	2.0%
2	Specific	230	202.0	6.0%
3	Somewhat specific	263	262.6	7.8%
4	Neither specific nor abstract	126	163.5	4.8%
5	Somewhat abstract	77	69.3	2.1%
6	Abstract	28	28.2	0.8%
7	Very abstract	11	24.8	0.7%
97	No answer	12	17.1	0.5%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km241: Assuming climate change may become a huge problem, what can be done to prevent it				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		Let's assume that climate change may become a major threat to the world in the future if no action is taken. To which extent do you believe that it is possible to do anything to prevent harmful climate changes? Select the statement that is closest to your view:		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	It is impossible to do anything to prevent harmful climate change.	103	124.3	3.7%
2	It is possible, but very difficult to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	915	902.0	26.7%
3	It is possible and completely realistic to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	588	569.1	16.9%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	9	11.3	0.3%
97	No answer	38	47.9	1.4%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km242: The seriousness of climate change as a threat overall [Question KM242 and KM243 is set in randomized order]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Literal question		How serious a threat is climate change overall?		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very serious	224	197.5	5.9%
2	Serious	331	316.8	9.4%
3	Somewhat serious	192	212.2	6.3%
4	Lite alvorlig	50	68.8	2.0%
5	Not very serious	9	21.1	0.6%
97	No answer	19	19.1	0.6%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

w02_km242: The seriousness of climate change as a threat overall [Question KM242 and KM243 is set in randomized order]

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km243: The seriousness of climate change as a threat personally [Question KM242 and KM243 is set in randomized order]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question How serious a threat is climate change to you personally?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very serious	40	39.6	1.2%
2	Serious	178	174.0	5.2%
3	Somewhat serious	276	252.7	7.5%
4	Lite alvorlig	262	277.6	8.2%
5	Not very serious	60	79.7	2.4%
97	No answer	9	12.0	0.4%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km244: How specific or abstract costs associated with climate change appear

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question When you consider what it may cost to do everything needed to prevent hazardous climate changes, how specific or abstract do such costs appear to you?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very specific	38	34.7	1.0%
2	Specific	144	124.8	3.7%
3	Somewhat specific	211	201.9	6.0%
4	Neither specific nor abstract	187	217.9	6.5%
5	Somewhat abstract	137	139.7	4.1%
6	Abstract	58	53.0	1.6%
7	Very abstract	32	42.9	1.3%
97	No answer	18	20.5	0.6%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km245_1: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Hope

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question With regard to climate change and everything you relate to it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?

Literal question Hope

Interviewer's instructions Please rate each emotion on the given scale that goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Not at all (1)	99	98.4	2.9%
2	Little	307	291.4	8.6%
3	Some	763	747.1	22.2%

# w02_km245_1: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Hope				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Strongly	364	370.5	11.0%
5	Very strongly (5)	37	36.8	1.1%
97	No answer	83	110.6	3.3%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km245_2: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Anxiety				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you relate to it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?			
Literal question	Anxiety			
Interviewer's instructions	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Not at all (1)	254	265.1	7.9%
2	Little	477	480.5	14.2%
3	Some	601	558.8	16.6%
4	Strongly	213	225.7	6.7%
5	Very strongly (5)	31	31.2	0.9%
97	No answer	77	93.4	2.8%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km245_3: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Sadness				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you relate to it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?			
Literal question	Sadness			
Interviewer's instructions	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Not at all (1)	257	260.0	7.7%
2	Little	419	422.7	12.5%
3	Some	591	589.4	17.5%
4	Strongly	246	210.6	6.2%
5	Very strongly (5)	57	57.3	1.7%
97	No answer	83	114.8	3.4%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km245_4: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Anger				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you relate to it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?			

# w02_km245_4: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Anger				
Literal question	Anger			
Interviewer's instructions	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Not at all (1)	412	407.9	12.1%
2	Little	567	549.7	16.3%
3	Some	444	457.3	13.6%
4	Strongly	116	100.4	3.0%
5	Very strongly (5)	31	29.1	0.9%
97	No answer	83	110.2	3.3%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km245_5: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Guilt				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you relate to it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?			
Literal question	Guilt			
Interviewer's instructions	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Not at all (1)	330	339.9	10.1%
2	Little	567	561.8	16.7%
3	Some	591	564.5	16.7%
4	Strongly	74	69.3	2.1%
5	Very strongly (5)	12	13.3	0.4%
97	No answer	79	105.9	3.1%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km245_6: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Interest				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you relate to it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?			
Literal question	Interest			
Interviewer's instructions	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Not at all (1)	47	55.3	1.6%
2	Little	133	140.7	4.2%
3	Some	714	744.8	22.1%
4	Strongly	566	513.8	15.2%
5	Very strongly (5)	113	101.5	3.0%
97	No answer	80	98.6	2.9%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_km245_7: Experiencing these feelings when it comes to climate change: Compassion				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you relate to it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?			
Literal question	Compassion			
Interviewer's instructions	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5)			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Not at all (1)	160	150.0	4.4%
2	Little	288	270.2	8.0%
3	Some	709	722.4	21.4%
4	Strongly	348	334.6	9.9%
5	Very strongly (5)	58	63.3	1.9%
97	No answer	90	114.0	3.4%
98	Not asked	1719	1717.3	50.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km246: Moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	To which degree do you view it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a very great degree	135	111.7	3.3%
2	To a great degree	314	303.2	9.0%
3	To some degree	283	309.1	9.2%
4	To a small degree	64	69.1	2.1%
5	Not at all	16	24.5	0.7%
97	No answer	13	17.9	0.5%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km4750_1: Measures to reduce climate change: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Pre-question	We would no like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?			
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very negative	22	24.4	0.7%
2	Negative	60	65.1	1.9%
3	Somewhat negative	52	62.1	1.8%
4	Neither positive nor negative	281	288.4	8.6%
5	Somewhat positive	179	182.3	5.4%
6	Positive	152	137.7	4.1%

w02_km4750_1: Measures to reduce climate change: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Very positive	49	42.1	1.2%
97	No answer	30	33.5	1.0%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km4750_2: Measures to reduce climate change: Increase funding for research into, and the development of renewable energy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	We would no like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Increase funding for research into, and the development of renewable energy

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very negative	3	5.5	0.2%
2	Negative	7	9.7	0.3%
3	Somewhat negative	7	13.6	0.4%
4	Neither positive nor negative	43	60.9	1.8%
5	Somewhat positive	139	158.4	4.7%
6	Positive	306	292.7	8.7%
7	Very positive	298	267.3	7.9%
97	No answer	22	27.4	0.8%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km4750_3: Measures to reduce climate change: Introduce punitive duty on goods from countries the emit more greenhouse gases that they are permitted to under the Kyoto Protocol

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	We would no like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Introduce punitive duty on goods from countries the emit more greenhouse gases that they are permitted to under the Kyoto Protocol

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very negative	29	26.0	0.8%
2	Negative	49	52.5	1.6%
3	Somewhat negative	63	69.1	2.1%
4	Neither positive nor negative	176	191.8	5.7%
5	Somewhat positive	231	227.7	6.8%
6	Positive	168	161.7	4.8%
7	Very positive	83	76.2	2.3%
97	No answer	26	30.4	0.9%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%

w02_km4750_3: Measures to reduce climate change: Introduce punitive duty on goods from countries the emit more greenhouse gases that they are permitted to under the Kyoto Protocol

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km4750_4: Measures to reduce climate change: Plant more trees and reduce deforestation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
---------------------------	---

Pre-question
We would no like to ask your opinion on certain measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement on whether these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you towards the measures mentioned here?

Literal question
Plant more trees and reduce deforestation

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very negative	5	6.6	0.2%
2	Negative	9	7.9	0.2%
3	Somewhat negative	15	20.5	0.6%
4	Neither positive nor negative	77	81.2	2.4%
5	Somewhat positive	170	175.0	5.2%
6	Positive	300	285.6	8.5%
7	Very positive	228	234.8	7.0%
97	No answer	21	23.7	0.7%
98	Not asked	2547	2536.5	75.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_s2_ran2: Randomizes if U=2 and S=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=812 /-] [Invalid=16199 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question
Randomizes if U=2 and S=2 into two groups and selects one of the following questions: KM213a/KM213b

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	393	48.4%
2	2	419	51.6%
Sysmiss		16199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km213a: Emissions creates climate change, but Norwegian oil production is cleaner: should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
---------------------------	---

Literal question
Emissions of CO2 from the combustion of oil is a significant contributor to man-made climate changes, but the world needs energy, and Norwegian oil production is cleaner than in many other countries.
Should Norway, in your opinion, reduce, maintain or increase its oil production in a climate perspective?

Interviewer's instructions
Check 1 of the following options:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Reduce	120	99.3	2.9%
2	Maintain	241	256.1	7.6%
3	Increase	25	24.1	0.7%
97	No answer	7	8.6	0.3%

w02_km213a: Emissions creates climate change, but Norwegian oil production is cleaner: should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not asked	2979	2983.8	88.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_km213b: Norwegian oil production is cleaner, but emissions creates climate change: should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	The world needs energy, and Norwegian oil production is cleaner than in many other countries, but the emissions of CO2 from the combustion of oil is a significant contributor to man-made climate changes. Should Norway, in your opinion, reduce, maintain or increase its oil production in a climate perspective?
Interviewer's instructions	Check 1 of the following options:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Reduce	156	137.8	4.1%
2	Maintain	227	238.9	7.1%
3	Increase	32	27.9	0.8%
97	No answer	4	5.1	0.2%
98	Not asked	2953	2962.3	87.9%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_ran8: Randomizes if U=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 / 1626.929] [Invalid=15380 / 1745.071]
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 into four groups and selects the following questions in pairs (for example DV207a and DV207b): DV207a-DV207d/DV208a-DV208d

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	415	422.9	26.0%
2	2	412	420.6	25.8%
3	3	418	405.3	24.9%
4	4	386	378.2	23.2%
Sysmiss		15380	1745.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv207a: Opinion regarding party programme: less power to the EU, more money for the elderly and less immigration [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	We are interested in knowing what you and the other participants in the Citizen Panel think of the party below. We therefore ask that you read the party's (short) programme. After reading the programme, press one of the thumbs to show what you think of the party. If you like the party, press the green thumb, and if you don't like the party, press the red thumb. If you are neutral toward the party press the orange thumb.
Literal question	Party A: Programme The EU has too much influence on Norwegian society. Power must be transferred back from Brussels to Norway. More money should be spent on care for the elderly. Immigration from non-western countries should be dramatically reduced.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Like	136	173.3	5.1%

w02_dv207a: Opinion regarding party programme: less power to the EU, more money for the elderly and less immigration [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Neutral	138	121.8	3.6%
3	Don't like	105	83.3	2.5%
97	No answer	36	44.4	1.3%
98	Not asked	2957	2949.1	87.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv208a_1: Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following scale where 0 means “not likely at all” and 10 means “very likely”:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 - Not likely at all	76	63.9	1.9%
2	1	35	30.3	0.9%
3	2	44	39.1	1.2%
4	3	34	34.4	1.0%
5	4	25	22.5	0.7%
6	5	53	58.3	1.7%
7	6	32	27.8	0.8%
8	7	37	54.0	1.6%
9	8	28	25.9	0.8%
10	9	13	18.9	0.6%
11	10 - Very likely	24	27.9	0.8%
97	No answer	14	20.0	0.6%
98	Not asked	2957	2949.1	87.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv207b: Opinion regarding party programme: less power to the EU, more money for the elderly and less immigration [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	We are interested in knowing what you and the other participants in the Citizen Panel think of the party below. We therefore ask that you read the party's (short) programme. After reading the programme, press one of the thumbs to show what you think of the party. If you like the party, press the green thumb, and if you don't like the party, press the red thumb. If you are neutral toward the party press the orange thumb.
Literal question	Party A: Programme The EU has too much influence on Norwegian society. Power must be transferred back from Brussels to Norway. More money should be spent on care for the elderly. Immigration from non-western countries should be dramatically reduced.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Like	106	120.2	3.6%
2	Neutral	129	149.8	4.4%
3	Don't like	130	104.3	3.1%
97	No answer	47	46.3	1.4%
98	Not asked	2960	2951.4	87.5%

w02_dv207b: Opinion regarding party programme: less power to the EU, more money for the elderly and less immigration [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv208b_1: Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following scale where 0 means “not likely at all” and 10 means “very likely”:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 - Not likely at all	85	69.6	2.1%
2	1	30	19.1	0.6%
3	2	40	27.3	0.8%
4	3	43	40.9	1.2%
5	4	17	20.7	0.6%
6	5	65	85.8	2.5%
7	6	28	32.4	1.0%
8	7	28	29.8	0.9%
9	8	15	16.4	0.5%
10	9	12	14.7	0.4%
11	10 - Very likely	22	32.0	0.9%
97	No answer	27	31.9	0.9%
98	Not asked	2960	2951.4	87.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv207c: Opinion regarding party programme: Higher taxes on businesses, products and cars that pollute, freedom of the internet, referendum on new laws [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Pre-question	We are interested in knowing what you and the other participants in the Citizen Panel think of the party below. We therefore ask that you read the party's (short) programme. After reading the programme, press one of the thumbs to show what you think of the party. If you like the party, press the green thumb, and if you don't like the party, press the red thumb. If you are neutral toward the party press the orange thumb.
Literal question	Party A: Programme The environment should be protected through higher taxes for corporations, products and vehicles that pollute The government should do more to ensure full online freedom There should be referendums on new, important laws.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Like	64	67.4	2.0%
2	Neutral	171	164.0	4.9%
3	Don't like	134	125.2	3.7%
97	No answer	49	48.7	1.4%
98	Not asked	2954	2966.7	88.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv208c_1: Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

w02_dv208c_1: Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Literal question If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following scale where 0 means “not likely at all” and 10 means “very likely”:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 - Not likely at all	78	76.4	2.3%
2	1	36	28.2	0.8%
3	2	54	47.9	1.4%
4	3	53	44.8	1.3%
5	4	30	28.6	0.8%
6	5	61	61.7	1.8%
7	6	29	32.9	1.0%
8	7	20	27.1	0.8%
9	8	13	10.5	0.3%
10	9	5	3.3	0.1%
11	10 - Very likely	9	15.2	0.5%
97	No answer	30	28.8	0.9%
98	Not asked	2954	2966.7	88.0%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv207d: Opinion regarding party programme: Higher taxes on businesses, products and cars that pollute, freedom of the internet, referendum on new laws [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Pre-question We are interested in knowing what you and the other participants in the Citizen Panel think of the party below. We therefore ask that you read the party's (short) programme. After reading the programme, press one of the thumbs to show what you think of the party. If you like the party, press the green thumb, and if you don't like the party, press the red thumb. If you are neutral toward the party press the orange thumb.

Literal question Party A: Programme The environment should be protected through higher taxes for corporations, products and vehicles that pollute The government should do more to ensure full online freedom There should be referendums on new, important laws.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Like	52	62.7	1.9%
2	Neutral	133	121.3	3.6%
3	Don't like	159	150.4	4.5%
97	No answer	42	43.8	1.3%
98	Not asked	2986	2993.8	88.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv208d_1: Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]

Literal question If you imagine an election situation where this party actually exists, how likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the party? Use the following scale where 0 means “not likely at all” and 10 means “very likely”:

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	0 - Not likely at all	88	92.0	2.7%
2	1	39	29.5	0.9%
3	2	56	45.0	1.3%
4	3	46	50.1	1.5%

w02_dv208d_1: Would vote for party [See screenshot attached in the codebook]

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	4	26	26.1	0.8%
6	5	43	43.5	1.3%
7	6	29	34.6	1.0%
8	7	22	23.3	0.7%
9	8	10	8.8	0.3%
10	9	3	4.7	0.1%
11	10 - Very likely	3	1.6	0.0%
97	No answer	21	18.9	0.6%
98	Not asked	2986	2993.8	88.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_u2_s2_ran3: Randomizes if U=2 and S=2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=812 /-] [Invalid=16199 /-]
Pre-question	Randomizes if U=2 and S=2 into four groups and selects one of the following questions: DV203a-DV203d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	191	23.5%
2	2	208	25.6%
3	3	215	26.5%
4	4	198	24.4%
Sysmiss		16199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv203a: Acceptable that political activists physically attack their political opponents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists physically attack their political opponents?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very acceptable	4	4.3	0.1%
2	Acceptable	0	0.0	
3	Somewhat acceptable	2	1.3	0.0%
4	Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	4	6.1	0.2%
5	Somewhat unacceptable	2	5.4	0.2%
6	Unacceptable	36	41.1	1.2%
7	Very unacceptable	143	126.6	3.8%
98	Not asked	3181	3187.2	94.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv203b: Acceptable that political activists threaten to use violence against their political opponents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists threaten their political opponents with violence?

w02_dv203b: Acceptable that political activists threaten to use violence against their political opponents

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very acceptable	5	5.1	0.2%
2	Acceptable	0	0.0	
3	Somewhat acceptable	2	1.6	0.0%
4	Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	4	4.4	0.1%
5	Somewhat unacceptable	4	5.6	0.2%
6	Unacceptable	37	35.8	1.1%
7	Very unacceptable	156	147.7	4.4%
98	Not asked	3164	3171.7	94.1%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv203c: Acceptable that political activists shout offensive words to political opponents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists orally abuse their political opponents?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very acceptable	1	1.2	0.0%
2	Acceptable	6	8.1	0.2%
3	Somewhat acceptable	14	10.1	0.3%
4	Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	18	25.6	0.8%
5	Somewhat unacceptable	20	18.8	0.6%
6	Unacceptable	89	91.2	2.7%
7	Very unacceptable	66	61.9	1.8%
97	No answer	1	0.9	0.0%
98	Not asked	3157	3154.3	93.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w02_dv203d: Acceptable that political activists organize demonstrations against its political opponents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]
Literal question	In your opinion, how acceptable or unacceptable is it that political activists organize demonstrations against their political opponents?








Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very acceptable	54	39.0	1.2%
2	Acceptable	72	68.4	2.0%
3	Somewhat acceptable	22	26.4	0.8%
4	Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	23	27.5	0.8%
5	Somewhat unacceptable	12	15.8	0.5%
6	Unacceptable	11	13.3	0.4%
7	Very unacceptable	3	3.7	0.1%
97	No answer	1	1.0	0.0%
98	Not asked	3174	3176.9	94.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w02_dv204: How many do you think agree with your assessment				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	How many do you think agree with your assessment?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All, or nearly all	165	150.6	4.5%
2	A majority (more than half)	351	316.8	9.4%
3	Around half	218	251.5	7.5%
4	A minority (less than half)	61	60.8	1.8%
5	None	2	1.2	0.0%
97	No answer	28	35.1	1.0%
98	Not asked	2547	2555.9	75.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_hp1: About health condition				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]			
Literal question	Finally, we would like to ask you a few questions about yourself: In general, would you say your health is:			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Excellent	471	424.7	12.6%
2	Very good	1315	1220.4	36.2%
3	Good	1084	1134.3	33.6%
4	Somewhat good	337	389.0	11.5%
5	Poor	94	116.8	3.5%
97	No answer	71	86.9	2.6%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_km2012: Identification with trade union or trade union confederation [Question asked if dt10_19=1 in Medborgerpanelet round 1.] [anonymised]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Literal question	Which trade union or trade union confederation do you most closely identify with? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			

# w02_P1: Gender				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	1687	1667.8	49.5%
2	Female	1685	1704.2	50.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_P2: Region				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Oslo/Akershus	958	794.4	23.6%
2	Østlandet	827	907.8	26.9%
3	Sørlandet	162	182.2	5.4%
4	Vestlandet	927	868.7	25.8%
5	Trøndelag	258	288.9	8.6%
6	Nord-Norge	240	329.9	9.8%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_P3: County				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Akershus	415	358.4	10.6%
2	Oslo	543	436.0	12.9%
3	Østfold	173	203.3	6.0%
4	Vestfold	162	156.3	4.6%
5	Hedmark	101	111.5	3.3%
6	Oppland	114	122.8	3.6%
7	Buskerud	168	207.7	6.2%
8	Telemark	109	106.2	3.1%
9	Vest-Agder	99	111.0	3.3%
10	Aust-Agder	63	71.2	2.1%
11	Rogaland	326	319.2	9.5%
12	Hordaland	395	348.1	10.3%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	59	63.4	1.9%
14	Møre og Romsdal	147	138.0	4.1%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	198	215.7	6.4%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	60	73.2	2.2%
17	Nordland	126	168.8	5.0%
18	Troms	86	119.8	3.6%
19	Finmark	28	41.3	1.2%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_P4_1: Highest level of completed education				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3359 / 3359.881] [Invalid=13652 / 12.119]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No education/elementary school	323	899.2	26.8%
2	Upper secondary education	1062	1328.9	39.6%
3	University/university college	1798	952.2	28.3%
97	No answer	176	179.6	5.3%
Sysmiss		13652	12.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W02_P4_2: Highest level of completed education [obtained from w01_k26]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3359 / 3359.881] [Invalid=13652 / 12.119]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No completed education	17	80.3	2.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	15	33.5	1.0%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	291	785.5	23.4%
4	College certificate	36	45.4	1.4%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	447	545.2	16.2%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	418	527.1	15.7%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	42	55.0	1.6%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	119	156.2	4.6%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	303	157.4	4.7%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	639	338.3	10.1%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	236	134.3	4.0%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	202	106.0	3.2%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	363	188.1	5.6%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	55	28.1	0.8%
15	None of these	80	78.3	2.3%
97	No answer	96	101.3	3.0%
Sysmiss		13652	12.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# w02_P5_1: Year of birth				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1939 or earlier	133	124.7	 3.7%
2	1940-1949	542	529.9	 15.7%
3	1950-1959	716	734.7	 21.8%
4	1960-1969	712	681.6	 20.2%
5	1970-1979	549	444.5	 13.2%
6	1980-1989	470	436.3	 12.9%
7	1990 or later	250	420.3	 12.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_P5_2: Year of birth				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 / 3372] [Invalid=13639 / 0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1959 or earlier	1391	1389.3	 41.2%
2	1960-1989	1731	1562.4	 46.3%
3	1990 or later	250	420.3	 12.5%
Sysmiss		13639	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k3: Voted following party at the elections [see codebook from Round 1]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3359 / 3359.881] [Invalid=13652 / 12.119]		
Interviewer's instructions		[Obtained from wave 1 - w01_k3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	The Christian Democratic Party	163	140.9	 4.2%
2	The Conservative Party	817	804.5	 23.9%
3	The Progress Party	367	421.6	 12.5%
4	The Liberal Party	236	202.6	 6.0%
5	The Socialist Left Party	206	165.5	 4.9%
6	The Centre Party	113	116.4	 3.5%
7	The Green Party	121	92.3	 2.7%
8	The Labour Party	864	888.1	 26.4%
9	Red	58	51.8	 1.5%
10	Other:	41	44.2	 1.3%
97	No answer	27	38.7	 1.2%
98	Not asked	346	393.1	 11.7%
Sysmiss		13652	12.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_k2: Voted in elections [see codebook from Round 1]				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3359 / 3359.881] [Invalid=13652 / 12.119]		

# w02_k2: Voted in elections [see codebook from Round 1]				
Interviewer's instructions		[Obtained from wave 1 - w01_k2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3013	2966.8	88.3%
2	No	338	383.7	11.4%
3	Don't remember	0	0.0	
97	No answer	8	9.4	0.3%
Sysmiss		13652	12.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# w02_weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=13639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0.631194588		134	4.0%	
0.744471515		288	8.5%	
0.752882478		144	4.3%	
0.813856117		159	4.7%	
0.821603461		124	3.7%	
0.840081754		279	8.3%	
0.844814475		255	7.6%	
0.858265448		56	1.7%	
0.877749202		28	0.8%	
0.884901173		255	7.6%	
0.933280438		41	1.2%	
0.958221499		238	7.1%	
0.978619052		88	2.6%	
1.024473197		80	2.4%	
1.026960181		76	2.3%	
1.08317413		70	2.1%	
1.084059012		66	2.0%	
1.13168739		207	6.1%	
1.161064839		26	0.8%	
1.161419728		107	3.2%	
1.175741869		16	0.5%	
1.183614678		67	2.0%	
1.188007341		75	2.2%	
1.219569991		69	2.0%	
1.259016179		26	0.8%	
1.30934222		116	3.4%	
1.417416057		67	2.0%	
1.425622534		20	0.6%	
1.504419547		29	0.9%	
1.616463701		31	0.9%	
1.617733564		28	0.8%	
1.823630269		11	0.3%	
1.849315874		18	0.5%	

# w02_weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2.019832071		15	0.4%
2.113258096		40	1.2%
2.174199301		23	0.7%
Sysmiss		13639	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w02_weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=13639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.313437644		72	2.1%
0.329392544		77	2.3%
0.330774326		17	0.5%
0.34549078		86	2.6%
0.351398261		73	2.2%
0.411342834		20	0.6%
0.422027592		44	1.3%
0.440967316		49	1.5%
0.447419353		9	0.3%
0.45163376		146	4.3%
0.499108008		207	6.1%
0.510504503		109	3.2%
0.517484013		40	1.2%
0.529527208		146	4.3%
0.534138495		32	0.9%
0.538429658		171	5.1%
0.551476673		9	0.3%
0.563441999		151	4.5%
0.630651883		5	0.1%
0.677150071		30	0.9%
0.678424795		10	0.3%
0.683321514		11	0.3%
0.697817201		36	1.1%
0.705895792		32	0.9%
0.713852486		41	1.2%
0.714297901		9	0.3%
0.717531859		9	0.3%
0.726806218		42	1.2%
0.733712592		36	1.1%
0.743831413		18	0.5%
0.752235145		9	0.3%
0.764224621		8	0.2%
0.765839964		17	0.5%
0.777933246		13	0.4%
0.789265897		38	1.1%

w02_weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.813156358		12	0.4%
0.820897041		6	0.2%
0.838664968		15	0.4%
0.839359446		14	0.4%
0.844088098		19	0.6%
0.857527506		4	0.1%
0.872126251		22	0.7%
0.872915249		18	0.5%
0.876994507		1	0.0%
0.88414033		7	0.2%
0.926775855		28	0.8%
0.93014306		29	0.9%
0.932477998		1	0.0%
0.934553536		17	0.5%
0.94833652		39	1.2%
0.950846468		30	0.9%
0.957397613		11	0.3%
0.977777628		5	0.1%
0.990491327		8	0.2%
1.008426067		58	1.7%
1.023592348		7	0.2%
1.026077194		7	0.2%
1.036034572		74	2.2%
1.041508857		103	3.1%
1.08224281		4	0.1%
1.083126931		6	0.2%
1.113790767		8	0.2%
1.130714358		8	0.2%
1.139876912		62	1.8%
1.140844766		16	0.5%
1.160421131		6	0.2%
1.174730959		4	0.1%
1.177118185		51	1.5%
1.182596999		3	0.1%
1.186985885		2	0.1%
1.187934998		45	1.3%
1.218521397		5	0.1%
1.226447959		6	0.2%
1.231174902		28	0.8%
1.231305753		25	0.7%
1.231601102		9	0.3%
1.235952214		70	2.1%
1.308216439		6	0.2%
1.320502499		14	0.4%
1.331920481		26	0.8%

w02_weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.400423898		26	0.8%
1.411689878		2	0.1%
1.416197353		2	0.1%
1.421025488		19	0.6%
1.424396774		2	0.1%
1.439534929		18	0.5%
1.462026479		74	2.2%
1.489665063		18	0.5%
1.509836904		8	0.2%
1.560524167		6	0.2%
1.597067405		6	0.2%
1.611388897		23	0.7%
1.615073855		4	0.1%
1.616342626		3	0.1%
1.663011359		25	0.7%
1.69939797		5	0.1%
1.752019201		11	0.3%
1.804227132		32	0.9%
1.8220623		1	0.0%
1.84772582		1	0.0%
1.870907216		36	1.1%
1.904414723		6	0.2%
1.915952011		8	0.2%
1.922294138		5	0.1%
1.972332934		23	0.7%
1.985190846		13	0.4%
2.018095406		1	0.0%
2.063630232		8	0.2%
2.111441103		3	0.1%
2.132044981		6	0.2%
2.149045321		14	0.4%
2.17232991		2	0.1%
2.204449348		25	0.7%
2.448827648		6	0.2%
2.450237981		10	0.3%
2.476763105		6	0.2%
2.561179719		4	0.1%
2.594641244		8	0.2%
2.805479365		2	0.1%
2.8081528		7	0.2%
2.947403889		7	0.2%
2.952810854		9	0.3%
3.069560976		16	0.5%
3.360891257		2	0.1%
3.396395661		4	0.1%

# w02_weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3.419950947		15	0.4%
3.648425021		16	0.5%
3.872771649		11	0.3%
4.11896553		3	0.1%
4.225494213		7	0.2%
4.269731298		5	0.1%
4.664679002		1	0.0%
4.673061063		8	0.2%
4.772599124		11	0.3%
6.008622001		2	0.1%
6.506651625		5	0.1%
8.061106227		2	0.1%
8.315056793		5	0.1%
12.771768		3	0.1%
Sysmiss		13639	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u: [Randomizes the respondents in five groups]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-] [Mean=3.002 /-] [StdDev=1.416 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1701	20.0%
2	2	1719	20.2%
3	3	1667	19.6%
4	4	1722	20.2%
5	5	1706	20.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03startDateTime: Date and time for when the questionnaire was opened			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
# w03completeDateTime: Date and time for when the questionnaire was completed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8223 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
# w03recruited_w03: = 1 if the respondent was recruited in w03			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2927	34.4%
1		5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03recruited_w01: = 1 if the respondent was recruited in w01			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5588	65.6%
1		2927	34.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03respondent_w01: =1 if the the respondent participated in w01			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5598	65.7%
1		2917	34.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03respondent_w02: =1 if the respondent participated in w02			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5934	69.7%
1		2581	30.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03respondent_w03: =1 if the respondent participates in w03			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		8515	100.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k1: General interest in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how interested in politics?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	844	9.9%
2	Interested	3702	43.5%
3	Somewhat interested	2922	34.3%
4	Slightly interested	884	10.4%
5	Not interested	139	1.6%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_ran1: [Randomizes if the respondent is newly recruited, and selects the pair of R3K3_1 or R3K204_1 in that order, or R3K204_2 and R3K3_2 in that order.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5588 /-] [Invalid=11423 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	2791	49.9%
2	2	2797	50.1%
Sysmiss		11423	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k3_1: Voted for following party last parliamentary election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents were ran1=1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	125	1.5%
2	The Conservative Party of Norway	710	8.3%
3	The Progress Party	265	3.1%
4	The Liberal Party	165	1.9%
5	The Socialist Left Party	150	1.8%
6	The Centre Party	106	1.2%
7	The Green Party	90	1.1%
8	The Labour Party	731	8.6%
9	The Red Party	54	0.6%
10	Did not vote	145	1.7%
11	Was not entitled to vote	172	2.0%
12	Other	38	0.4%
97	No answer	40	0.5%
98	Not asked	5724	67.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k3_1_12_other: Other: Voted for following party last parliamentary election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents were ran1=1 are asked] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w03_r3k204_1: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents were ran1=1 are asked]

w03_r3k204_1: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	125	1.5%
2	The Conservative Party of Norway	595	7.0%
3	The Progress Party	220	2.6%
4	The Liberal Party	153	1.8%
5	The Socialist Left Party	123	1.4%
6	The Centre Party	105	1.2%
7	The Green Party	132	1.6%
8	The Labour Party	998	11.7%
9	The Red Party	43	0.5%
10	Would not vote	92	1.1%
11	Not entitled to vote	76	0.9%
12	Other:	60	0.7%
97	No answer	69	0.8%
98	Not asked	5724	67.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k204_1_12_other: Other: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents were ran1=1 are asked] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w03_r3k204_2: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents where ran1=2 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	143	1.7%
2	The Conservative Party of Norway	598	7.0%
3	The Progress Party	211	2.5%
4	The Liberal Party	167	2.0%
5	The Socialist Left Party	104	1.2%
6	The Centre Party	106	1.2%
7	The Green Party	142	1.7%
8	The Labour Party	1004	11.8%
9	The Red Party	40	0.5%
10	Did not vote	113	1.3%
11	Was not entitled to vote	87	1.0%
12	Other	49	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5748	67.5%

# w03_r3k204_2: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k204_2_12_other: Other: Would vote for following party if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents where ran1=2 are asked] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3k3_2: Voted for following party last parliamentary election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents where ran1=2 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	129	1.5%
2	The Conservative Party of Norway	681	8.0%
3	The Progress Party	253	3.0%
4	The Liberal Party	179	2.1%
5	The Socialist Left Party	154	1.8%
6	The Centre Party	101	1.2%
7	The Green Party	79	0.9%
8	The Labour Party	725	8.5%
9	The Red Party	35	0.4%
10	Did not vote	161	1.9%
11	Was not entitled to vote	198	2.3%
12	Other	39	0.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5775	67.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k3_2_12_other: Other: Voted for following party last parliamentary election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What party did you vote for at the last parliamentary election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only newly recruited respondents where ran1=2 are asked] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3k202: Satisfaction with current government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the current government?		

w03_r3k202: Satisfaction with current government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	254	3.0%
2	Satisfied	2190	25.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	2742	32.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	2275	26.7%
5	Not satisfied at all	973	11.4%
97	No answer	81	1.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k6_1: Like or dislike: The Christian Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	662	7.8%
2	Really dislike	1110	13.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	1892	22.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2203	25.9%
5	Like somewhat	1651	19.4%
6	Really like	481	5.6%
7	Intensely like	107	1.3%
97	No answer	409	4.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k6_2: Like or dislike: The Conservative Party of Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Conservative Party of Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	365	4.3%
2	Really dislike	1075	12.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	1483	17.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1013	11.9%
5	Like somewhat	2090	24.5%
6	Really like	1730	20.3%
7	Intensely like	369	4.3%
97	No answer	390	4.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k6_3: Like or dislike: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# w03_r3k6_3: Like or dislike: The Progress Party			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.	
Literal question		The Progress Party	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	2075	24.4%
2	Really dislike	1614	19.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	1223	14.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	870	10.2%
5	Like somewhat	1406	16.5%
6	Really like	716	8.4%
7	Intensely like	219	2.6%
97	No answer	392	4.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k6_4: Like or dislike: The Liberal Party			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.	
Literal question		The Liberal Party	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	308	3.6%
2	Really dislike	656	7.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1434	16.8%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2472	29.0%
5	Like somewhat	2283	26.8%
6	Really like	800	9.4%
7	Intensely like	138	1.6%
97	No answer	424	5.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k6_5: Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.	
Literal question		The Socialist Left Party	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1120	13.2%
2	Really dislike	1340	15.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1450	17.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1791	21.0%
5	Like somewhat	1542	18.1%
6	Really like	690	8.1%
7	Intensely like	156	1.8%

w03_r3k6_5: Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	426	5.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k6_6: Like or dislike: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Centre Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	461	5.4%
2	Really dislike	975	11.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	1717	20.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2794	32.8%
5	Like somewhat	1578	18.5%
6	Really like	449	5.3%
7	Intensely like	98	1.2%
97	No answer	443	5.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k6_7: Like or dislike: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	478	5.6%
2	Really dislike	695	8.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1055	12.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2712	31.8%
5	Like somewhat	2024	23.8%
6	Really like	871	10.2%
7	Intensely like	243	2.9%
97	No answer	437	5.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k6_8: Like or dislike: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Labour Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	244	2.9%

w03_r3k6_8: Like or dislike: The Labour Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Really dislike	511	6.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	1076	12.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1101	12.9%
5	Like somewhat	2315	27.2%
6	Really like	2310	27.1%
7	Intensely like	594	7.0%
97	No answer	364	4.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k6_9: Like or dislike: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Red Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1729	20.3%
2	Really dislike	1516	17.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	1289	15.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2165	25.4%
5	Like somewhat	952	11.2%
6	Really like	307	3.6%
7	Intensely like	98	1.2%
97	No answer	459	5.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k8_1: Placement on political left-right scale

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those that are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those that are politically on the far right.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	151	1.8%
2	1	257	3.0%
3	2	701	8.2%
4	3	1192	14.0%
5	4	1033	12.1%
6	5	1437	16.9%
7	6	990	11.6%
8	7	1196	14.0%
9	8	868	10.2%
10	9	262	3.1%
11	10 Right wing	195	2.3%
97	No answer	233	2.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_r3k8_1: Placement on political left-right scale			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k9_1: 1. Text: Most important political issues [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
# w03_r3k9_2: 2. Text: Most important political issues [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
# w03_r3k9_3: 3. Text: Most important political issues [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
# w03_r3dt16_1: Placement on political scale: The Christian Democrats			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale, where 0 means furthest to the left and 10 means furthest to the right?		
Literal question	The Christian Democrats		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	15	0.2%
2	1	17	0.2%
3	2	70	0.8%
4	3	127	1.5%
5	4	264	3.1%
6	5	720	8.5%
7	6	720	8.5%
8	7	471	5.5%
9	8	221	2.6%
10	9	70	0.8%
11	10 Right wing	27	0.3%
97	No answer	205	2.4%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_r3dt16_2: Placement on political scale: The Conservative Party of Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?
Literal question	The Conservative Party of Norway
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	5	0.1%
2	1	6	0.1%
3	2	8	0.1%
4	3	15	0.2%
5	4	18	0.2%
6	5	67	0.8%
7	6	103	1.2%
8	7	404	4.7%
9	8	833	9.8%
10	9	802	9.4%
11	10 Right wing	465	5.5%
97	No answer	201	2.4%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3dt16_3: Placement on political scale: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	12	0.1%
2	1	13	0.2%
3	2	11	0.1%
4	3	19	0.2%
5	4	27	0.3%
6	5	87	1.0%
7	6	63	0.7%
8	7	128	1.5%
9	8	333	3.9%
10	9	726	8.5%
11	10 Right wing	1295	15.2%
97	No answer	213	2.5%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3dt16_4: Placement on political scale: The Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?		
Literal question	The Liberal Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	205	2.4%
2	1	137	1.6%
3	2	206	2.4%
4	3	269	3.2%
5	4	332	3.9%
6	5	597	7.0%
7	6	546	6.4%
8	7	289	3.4%
9	8	99	1.2%
10	9	24	0.3%
11	10 Right wing	12	0.1%
97	No answer	211	2.5%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dt16_5: Placement on political scale: The Socialist Left Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?		
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	393	4.6%
2	1	920	10.8%
3	2	792	9.3%
4	3	347	4.1%
5	4	118	1.4%
6	5	94	1.1%
7	6	22	0.3%
8	7	15	0.2%
9	8	8	0.1%
10	9	6	0.1%
11	10 Right wing	2	0.0%
97	No answer	210	2.5%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_r3dt16_6: Placement on political scale: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?
Literal question	The Centre Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	31	0.4%
2	1	56	0.7%
3	2	170	2.0%
4	3	340	4.0%
5	4	654	7.7%
6	5	904	10.6%
7	6	355	4.2%
8	7	117	1.4%
9	8	50	0.6%
10	9	15	0.2%
11	10 Right wing	11	0.1%
97	No answer	224	2.6%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3dt16_7: Placement on political scale: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?
Literal question	The Green Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	152	1.8%
2	1	286	3.4%
3	2	430	5.0%
4	3	534	6.3%
5	4	548	6.4%
6	5	572	6.7%
7	6	112	1.3%
8	7	32	0.4%
9	8	17	0.2%
10	9	6	0.1%
11	10 Right wing	7	0.1%
97	No answer	231	2.7%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3dt16_8: Placement on political scale: The Labour Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?		
Literal question	The Labour Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	65	0.8%
2	1	149	1.7%
3	2	369	4.3%
4	3	648	7.6%
5	4	634	7.4%
6	5	509	6.0%
7	6	205	2.4%
8	7	93	1.1%
9	8	29	0.3%
10	9	11	0.1%
11	10 Right wing	13	0.2%
97	No answer	202	2.4%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dt16_9: Placement on political scale: The Red Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	I politikken snakkes det iblant om venstresiden og høyresiden. Hvor vil du plassere følgende partier på en skala, der 0 betyr lengst til venstre og 10 betyr lengst til høyre?		
Literal question	The Red Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left wing	1577	18.5%
2	1	548	6.4%
3	2	193	2.3%
4	3	114	1.3%
5	4	53	0.6%
6	5	124	1.5%
7	6	25	0.3%
8	7	25	0.3%
9	8	10	0.1%
10	9	7	0.1%
11	10 Right wing	23	0.3%
97	No answer	228	2.7%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3k10: Perception of current economic situation in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	Do you think it is:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	3202	37.6%
2	Good	3937	46.2%
3	Somewhat good	774	9.1%
4	Neither good nor bad	259	3.0%
5	Somewhat bad	150	1.8%
6	Bad	61	0.7%
7	Very bad	23	0.3%
97	No answer	109	1.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k11: Satisfaction with the way democracy works in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you about the way in which democracy works in Norway?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1500	17.6%
2	Satisfied	4884	57.4%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1548	18.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	357	4.2%
5	Not satisfied at all	89	1.0%
97	No answer	137	1.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k14_1: Statement: Politics is sometimes so convoluted that people like me do not understand what it is about			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Politics is sometimes so convoluted that people like me do not understand what it is about.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	282	3.3%
2	Agree	721	8.5%
3	Somewhat agree	1627	19.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	468	5.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	776	9.1%
6	Disagree	1211	14.2%
7	Strongly disagree	403	4.7%
97	No answer	100	1.2%

w03_r3k14_1: Statement: Politics is sometimes so convoluted that people like me do not understand what it is about

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	2927	34.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k14_2: Statement: People like me can probably vote, but we cannot do anything else to influence politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	People like me can probably vote, but we cannot do anything else to influence politics.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	376	4.4%
2	Agree	753	8.8%
3	Somewhat agree	875	10.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	432	5.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	1136	13.3%
6	Disagree	1372	16.1%
7	Strongly disagree	530	6.2%
97	No answer	114	1.3%
98	Not asked	2927	34.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k15: Most people can be trusted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	And now a more general question. Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
Interviewer's instructions	0 means "Cannot be careful enough" and 10 means "Most people can be trusted".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Cannot be careful enough	119	1.4%
2	1	67	0.8%
3	2	126	1.5%
4	3	312	3.7%
5	4	316	3.7%
6	5	692	8.1%
7	6	637	7.5%
8	7	1252	14.7%
9	8	1081	12.7%
10	9	419	4.9%
11	10 Most people can be trusted	524	6.2%
97	No answer	43	0.5%
98	Not asked	2927	34.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3k16_1: Statement: I have an opinion about most things			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do the following statements fit you as a person?		
Literal question	I have an opinion about most things		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	815	9.6%
2	A good fit	3218	37.8%
3	A somewhat good fit	2828	33.2%
4	Neither a good nor bad fit	914	10.7%
5	A somewhat bad fit	444	5.2%
6	A bad fit	119	1.4%
7	A very bad fit	20	0.2%
97	No answer	157	1.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k16_2: Statement: I have strong opinions, either positive or negative, also about issues that are new to me			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do the following statements fit you as a person?		
Literal question	I have strong opinions, either positive or negative, also about issues that are new to me.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	288	3.4%
2	A good fit	1267	14.9%
3	A somewhat good fit	2495	29.3%
4	Neither a good nor bad fit	1973	23.2%
5	A somewhat bad fit	1293	15.2%
6	A bad fit	756	8.9%
7	A very bad fit	159	1.9%
97	No answer	284	3.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k17_1: Use of media to get news: TV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How often do you use these media to stay updated on the news?		
Literal question	TV		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a day	1472	17.3%
2	Daily	3912	45.9%
3	Several times a week	1728	20.3%
4	Less often	1014	11.9%
5	Never	236	2.8%
97	No answer	153	1.8%

w03_r3k17_1: Use of media to get news: TV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k17_2: Use of media to get news: Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How often do you use these media to stay updated on the news?
Literal question	Radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a day	1700	20.0%
2	Daily	2683	31.5%
3	Several times a week	1740	20.4%
4	Less often	1640	19.3%
5	Never	426	5.0%
97	No answer	326	3.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k17_3: Use of media to get news: Printed newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How often do you use these media to stay updated on the news?
Literal question	Printed newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a day	510	6.0%
2	Daily	3336	39.2%
3	Several times a week	1533	18.0%
4	Less often	2287	26.9%
5	Never	538	6.3%
97	No answer	311	3.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k17_4: Use of media to get news: Online newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How often do you use these media to stay updated on the news?
Literal question	Online newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a day	2878	33.8%
2	Daily	2521	29.6%
3	Several times a week	1403	16.5%
4	Less often	1087	12.8%
5	Never	291	3.4%
97	No answer	335	3.9%

# w03_r3k17_4: Use of media to get news: Online newspaper			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k17_5: Use of media to get news: Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How often do you use these media to stay updated on the news?		
Literal question	Facebook		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a day	1338	15.7%
2	Daily	1726	20.3%
3	Several times a week	1097	12.9%
4	Less often	1196	14.0%
5	Never	2638	31.0%
97	No answer	520	6.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k17_6: Use of media to get news: Twitter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How often do you use these media to stay updated on the news?		
Literal question	Twitter		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a day	151	1.8%
2	Daily	167	2.0%
3	Several times a week	262	3.1%
4	Less often	958	11.3%
5	Never	6273	73.7%
97	No answer	704	8.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k17_7: Use of media to get news: Other websites			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How often do you use these media to stay updated on the news?		
Literal question	Other websites		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a day	614	7.2%
2	Daily	1099	12.9%
3	Several times a week	1733	20.4%
4	Less often	2280	26.8%
5	Never	2086	24.5%
97	No answer	703	8.3%

# w03_r3k17_7: Use of media to get news: Other websites			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_km36: How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U does not equal 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	954	11.2%
2	Serious	2209	25.9%
3	Somewhat serious	2164	25.4%
4	Slightly serious	1018	12.0%
5	Slightly serious	357	4.2%
97	No answer	94	1.1%
98	Not asked	1719	20.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	263	3.1%
2	Agree	632	7.4%
3	Somewhat agree	1224	14.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	801	9.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	1395	16.4%
6	Disagree	2244	26.4%
7	Strongly disagree	1782	20.9%
97	No answer	174	2.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Commercial private schools should be allowed.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	531	6.2%
2	Agree	1508	17.7%
3	Somewhat agree	1569	18.4%

w03_r3dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1147	13.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	1179	13.8%
6	Disagree	1281	15.0%
7	Strongly disagree	1105	13.0%
97	No answer	195	2.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3dv30_3: Statement: Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	600	7.0%
2	Agree	1404	16.5%
3	Somewhat agree	2097	24.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	948	11.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	1159	13.6%
6	Disagree	1317	15.5%
7	Strongly disagree	819	9.6%
97	No answer	171	2.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k31_1: Statement: The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	418	4.9%
2	Agree	765	9.0%
3	Somewhat agree	793	9.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	274	3.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	300	3.5%
6	Disagree	223	2.6%
7	Strongly disagree	46	0.5%
97	No answer	108	1.3%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3k31_2: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8514 /-] [Invalid=8497 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	261	3.1%
2	Agree	634	7.4%
3	Somewhat agree	616	7.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	411	4.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	417	4.9%
6	Disagree	324	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	160	1.9%
97	No answer	104	1.2%
98	Not asked	5587	65.6%
Sysmiss		8497	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k31_3: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	70	0.8%
2	Agree	219	2.6%
3	Somewhat agree	350	4.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	679	8.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	542	6.4%
6	Disagree	700	8.2%
7	Strongly disagree	252	3.0%
97	No answer	115	1.4%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1692	19.9%
2	Agree	1416	16.6%
3	Somewhat agree	1050	12.3%

# w03_r3dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1519	17.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	946	11.1%
6	Disagree	1086	12.8%
7	Strongly disagree	583	6.8%
97	No answer	223	2.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	713	8.4%
2	Agree	1566	18.4%
3	Somewhat agree	1533	18.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	828	9.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	1587	18.6%
6	Disagree	1235	14.5%
7	Strongly disagree	862	10.1%
97	No answer	191	2.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dvh_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3560	41.8%
2	Agree	2313	27.2%
3	Somewhat agree	715	8.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	596	7.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	460	5.4%
6	Disagree	369	4.3%
7	Strongly disagree	306	3.6%
97	No answer	196	2.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_1: Work for voluntary organisations: Art and culture, band and choir			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr1_1: Work for voluntary organisations: Art and culture, band and choir			
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1434	16.8%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	247	2.9%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_2: Work for voluntary organisations: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1286	15.1%
1	Athletics and sport	395	4.6%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_3: Work for voluntary organisations: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1377	16.2%
1	Hobby, fritid, utendørsaktivitet	304	3.6%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_4: Work for voluntary organisations: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr1_4: Work for voluntary organisations: Education, training and research			
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and University colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1467	17.2%
1	Education, training and research	214	2.5%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_5: Work for voluntary organisations: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1594	18.7%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	87	1.0%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_6: Work for voluntary organisations: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1569	18.4%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	112	1.3%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3fr1_7: Work for voluntary organisations: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1625	19.1%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	56	0.7%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_8: Work for voluntary organisations: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1445	17.0%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	236	2.8%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_9: Work for voluntary organisations: Housing co-operatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1486	17.5%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	195	2.3%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3fr1_10: Work for voluntary organisations: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1629	19.1%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	52	0.6%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_11: Work for voluntary organisations: Political parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1635	19.2%
1	Political parties	46	0.5%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_12: Work for voluntary organisations: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1594	18.7%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	87	1.0%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3fr1_13: Work for voluntary organisations: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1559	18.3%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	122	1.4%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_14: Work for voluntary organisations: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1544	18.1%
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	137	1.6%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_15: Work for voluntary organisations: Immigrant organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1666	19.6%
1	Immigrant organisations	15	0.2%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3fr1_16: Work for voluntary organisations: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1681 /-] [Invalid=15330 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1637	97.4%
1	Other, specify	44	2.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		15330	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_17: Work for voluntary organisations: No			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1104	13.0%
1	No	577	6.8%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr1_16_other: Work for voluntary organisations: Other, specify [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work tasks for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
# w03_r3fr2_1: Member of voluntary organisations: Art and culture, band and choir			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		

w03_r3fr2_1: Member of voluntary organisations: Art and culture, band and choir

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1430	16.8%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	250	2.9%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr2_2: Member of voluntary organisations: Athletics and sport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1246	14.6%
1	Athletics and sport	434	5.1%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr2_3: Member of voluntary organisations: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1326	15.6%
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	354	4.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr2_4: Member of voluntary organisations: Education, training and research

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and University colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

w03_r3fr2_4: Member of voluntary organisations: Education, training and research

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1580	18.6%
1	Education, training and research	100	1.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr2_5: Member of voluntary organisations: Health, nursing and rescue work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1582	18.6%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	98	1.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr2_6: Member of voluntary organisations: Social services and substance abuse care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1582	18.6%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	98	1.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr2_7: Member of voluntary organisations: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1581	18.6%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	99	1.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_8: Member of voluntary organisations: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1448	17.0%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	232	2.7%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_9: Member of voluntary organisations: Housing co-operatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1458	17.1%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	222	2.6%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_10: Member of voluntary organisations: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# w03_r3fr2_10: Member of voluntary organisations: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1618	19.0%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	62	0.7%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_11: Member of voluntary organisations: Political parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1584	18.6%
1	Political parties	96	1.1%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_12: Member of voluntary organisations: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1537	18.1%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	143	1.7%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_13: Member of voluntary organisations: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr2_13: Member of voluntary organisations: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1330	15.6%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	350	4.1%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_14: Member of voluntary organisations: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1431	16.8%
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	249	2.9%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_15: Member of voluntary organisations: Immigrant organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1662	19.5%
1	Immigrant organisations	18	0.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_16: Member of voluntary organisations: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1680 /-] [Invalid=15331 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify		

# w03_r3fr2_16: Member of voluntary organisations: Other, specify			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1647	98.0%
1	Other, specify	33	2.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		15331	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_17: Member of voluntary organisations: No			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:	
Literal question		No	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1248	14.7%
1	No	432	5.1%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr2_16_other: Member of voluntary organisations: Other, specify [anonymised]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Pre-question		Are you a member of a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:	
Literal question		Other, specify [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=1]	
# w03_r3fr3_1: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Art and culture, band and choir			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:	
Literal question		Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1571	18.4%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	109	1.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3fr3_2: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1558	18.3%
1	Athletics and sport	122	1.4%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_3: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1566	18.4%
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	114	1.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_4: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and University colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1631	19.2%
1	Education, training and research	49	0.6%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_5: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# w03_r3fr3_5: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1654	19.4%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	26	0.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_6: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1656	19.4%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	24	0.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_7: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1667	19.6%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	13	0.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_8: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

w03_r3fr3_8: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1611	18.9%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	69	0.8%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr3_9: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Housing co-operatives and housing associations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1620	19.0%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	60	0.7%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr3_10: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Rights, support and advocacy work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1662	19.5%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	18	0.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr3_11: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Political parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

# w03_r3fr3_11: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Political parties			
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1652	19.4%
1	Political parties	28	0.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_12: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1668	19.6%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	12	0.1%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_13: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1592	18.7%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	88	1.0%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_14: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		

# w03_r3fr3_14: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1605	18.8%
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	75	0.9%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_15: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Immigrant organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1673	19.6%
1	Immigrant organisations	7	0.1%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_16: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1680 /-] [Invalid=15331 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1654	98.5%
1	Other, specify	26	1.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		15331	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_17: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: No			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		

# w03_r3fr3_17: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: No			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		739	8.7%
1	No	941	11.1%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr3_16_other: Elected position in a voluntary organisation: Other, specify [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you hold an elected position of trust in a voluntary organisation in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
# w03_r3fr4: Why don't you participate in voluntary work? [anonymisert]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Why don't you participate in voluntary work? [anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
# w03_ran75: [Randomizes if U=1, a organization is selected in R3FR1, and selects one of the following questions: R3FR5 or R3FR6]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1680 /-] [Invalid=15331 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	1	643	38.3%
1	2	1037	61.7%
Sysmiss		15331	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr5: Time spent on voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work in an ordinary week?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran75=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than half an hour	382	4.5%
2	Between half an hour and one hour	188	2.2%
3	1 to 2 hours	202	2.4%
4	2 to 5 hours	145	1.7%
5	More than 5 hours	110	1.3%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7478	87.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_r3fr5: Time spent on voluntary work			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr6: Time spent participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation?		
Interviewer's instructions	(e.g. involved in training, exercises, membership meetings, social gatherings or similar) [Asked if ran75=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than half an hour	247	2.9%
2	Between half an hour and one hour	103	1.2%
3	1 to 2 hours	143	1.7%
4	2 to 5 hours	169	2.0%
5	More than 5 hours	335	3.9%
97	No answer	40	0.5%
98	Not asked	7478	87.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr7_1: Asked to: Be a member of an organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about the last five years, has anyone asked you to ...		
Literal question	Be a member of an organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	582	6.8%
2	Yes, once	266	3.1%
3	Yes, 2-3 times	435	5.1%
4	Yes, more than 3 times	302	3.5%
97	No answer	116	1.4%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr7_2: Asked to: Sit on the board of an organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about the last five years, has anyone asked you to ...		
Literal question	Sit on the board of an organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	834	9.8%
2	Yes, once	381	4.5%
3	Yes, 2-3 times	264	3.1%

# w03_r3fr7_2: Asked to: Sit on the board of an organisation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Yes, more than 3 times	97	1.1%
97	No answer	125	1.5%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr7_3: Asked to: Do voluntary work for an organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about the last five years, has anyone asked you to ...		
Literal question	Do voluntary work for an organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	800	9.4%
2	Yes, once	268	3.1%
3	Yes, 2-3 times	300	3.5%
4	Yes, more than 3 times	199	2.3%
97	No answer	134	1.6%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr7_4: Asked to: Give money to a voluntary organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about the last five years, has anyone asked you to ...		
Literal question	Give money to a voluntary organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	124	1.5%
2	Yes, once	84	1.0%
3	Yes, 2-3 times	290	3.4%
4	Yes, more than 3 times	1146	13.5%
97	No answer	57	0.7%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran2: [Randomizes if U=1, and selects one of the following questions: R3FR8 or R3FR28]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1680 /-] [Invalid=15331 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	838	49.9%
2	2	842	50.1%
Sysmiss		15331	

# w03_ran2: [Randomizes if U=1, and selects one of the following questions: R3FR8 or R3FR28]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr8: Opportunities are to participate in voluntary work in your local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How good or bad do you think the opportunities are to participate in voluntary work in your local neighbourhood?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	242	2.8%
2	Good	288	3.4%
3	Somewhat good	99	1.2%
4	Neither good nor bad	150	1.8%
5	Somewhat bad	28	0.3%
6	Bad	12	0.1%
7	Very bad	8	0.1%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr9_1: Statement: One has a moral duty to participate in voluntary work at some point in life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	One has a moral duty to participate in voluntary work at some point in life		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	255	3.0%
2	Agree	447	5.2%
3	Somewhat agree	330	3.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	284	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	89	1.0%
6	Disagree	151	1.8%
7	Strongly disagree	86	1.0%
97	No answer	59	0.7%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr9_2: Statement: One has a moral duty to donate money to important causes if one can afford it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	One has a moral duty to donate money to important causes if one can afford it		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		

w03_r3fr9_2: Statement: One has a moral duty to donate money to important causes if one can afford it

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	301	3.5%
2	Agree	512	6.0%
3	Somewhat agree	325	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	211	2.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	97	1.1%
6	Disagree	119	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	83	1.0%
97	No answer	53	0.6%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr9_3: Statement: One becomes happy from voluntary work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	One becomes happy from voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	336	3.9%
2	Agree	617	7.2%
3	Somewhat agree	326	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	297	3.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	20	0.2%
6	Disagree	26	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.2%
97	No answer	62	0.7%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr9_4: Statement: One becomes happy from donating money to important causes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	One becomes happy from donating money to important causes
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	264	3.1%
2	Agree	512	6.0%
3	Somewhat agree	391	4.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	355	4.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	46	0.5%
6	Disagree	50	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	20	0.2%

# w03_r3fr9_4: Statement: One becomes happy from donating money to important causes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	63	0.7%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr10_1: Scarcity: Time			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you say that you have a scarcity of ...		
Literal question	Time		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	212	2.5%
2	To a great extent	389	4.6%
3	To some extent	555	6.5%
4	To a slight extent	373	4.4%
5	Not at all	126	1.5%
97	No answer	46	0.5%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr10_2: Scarcity: Money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you say that you have a scarcity of ...		
Literal question	Money		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	100	1.2%
2	To a great extent	167	2.0%
3	To some extent	520	6.1%
4	To a slight extent	674	7.9%
5	Not at all	196	2.3%
97	No answer	44	0.5%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran3: [Randomizes if U=1 and ran75=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1037 /-] [Invalid=15974 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	179	17.3%
2	2	180	17.4%

w03_ran3: [Randomizes if U=1 and ran75=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	168	16.2%
4	4	172	16.6%
5	5	176	17.0%
6	6	162	15.6%
Sysmiss		15974	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr11_1_1: Reasons for doing voluntary work: As a voluntary worker I show compassion for those who are worse off than I am

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you?
Literal question	As a voluntary worker I show compassion for those who are worse off than I am
Interviewer's instructions	Choose the statements that you think are important reasons for why you do voluntary work. [Asked if U=1 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		100	1.2%
1	As a voluntary worker I show compassion for those who are worse off than I am	79	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8336	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr11_1_2: Reasons for doing voluntary work: It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you?
Literal question	It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		161	1.9%
1	It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8336	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr11_1_3: Reasons for doing voluntary work: It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you?
Literal question	It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran3=1]

w03_r3fr11_1_3: Reasons for doing voluntary work: It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		100	1.2%
1	It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work	79	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8336	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr11_1_4: Reasons for doing voluntary work: As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you?		
Literal question	As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		75	0.9%
1	As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience	104	1.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8336	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr11_2_1: Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, certificate, meaningful, practical experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How many of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you? You do not need to state what reasons are important, just how many. It is important for me to help others It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	5	0.1%
2	1	32	0.4%
3	2	63	0.7%
4	3	49	0.6%
5	4	29	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8335	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr11_3_1: Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Certificate, meaningful, practical experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How many of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you? You do not need to state what reasons are important, just how many. It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran3=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	16	0.2%
2	1	46	0.5%
3	2	73	0.9%

# w03_r3fr11_3_1: Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Certificate, meaningful, practical experience			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	3	27	0.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8347	98.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr11_4_1: Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, meaningful, practical experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	<p>How many of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you? You do not need to state what reasons are important, just how many.</p> <p>It is important for me to help others It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran3=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	7	0.1%
2	1	35	0.4%
3	2	74	0.9%
4	3	54	0.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8343	98.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr11_5_1: Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, certificate, practical experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	<p>How many of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you? You do not need to state what reasons are important, just how many.</p> <p>It is important for me to help others It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work As a volunteer I learn something through practical experience</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=1 og ran3=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	7	0.1%
2	1	52	0.6%
3	2	91	1.1%
4	3	24	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8339	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr11_6_1: Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, certificate, meaningful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr11_6_1: Number of reasons of doing voluntary work: Help, certificate, meaningful			
Literal question	How many of the following reasons for voluntary work are important for you? You do not need to state what reasons are important, just how many. It is important for me to help others It is good to have a certificate showing that I did voluntary work It gives me meaning when I do voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=1 og ran3=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	12	0.1%
2	1	68	0.8%
3	2	62	0.7%
4	3	17	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8353	98.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr12: Stopped doing voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If you think back over the last five years, have you stopped doing voluntary work for an organisation you previously did voluntary work for?		
Interviewer's instructions	(Answer yes even if you continue to do voluntary work within other organisations) [Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	513	6.0%
2	No	1105	13.0%
97	No answer	83	1.0%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr13: Stopped doing voluntary work, what organization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the organisation that you most recently stopped doing voluntary work for, what type of organisation was it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=1 og R3FR12=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir	46	0.5%
2	Athletics and sport	80	0.9%
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	36	0.4%
4	Education, training and research	31	0.4%
5	Health, nursing and rescue work	14	0.2%
6	Social services and substance abuse care	14	0.2%
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection	6	0.1%
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	35	0.4%

# w03_r3fr13: Stopped doing voluntary work, what organization			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	19	0.2%
10	Rights, support and advocacy work	5	0.1%
11	Political parties	2	0.0%
12	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	5	0.1%
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	15	0.2%
14	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	26	0.3%
15	Immigrant organisations	2	0.0%
16	Other, specify	3	0.0%
97	No answer	174	2.0%
98	Not asked	8002	94.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr13_16_other: Stopped doing voluntary work, what organization: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the organisation that you most recently stopped doing voluntary work for, what type of organisation was it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr14: How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than a month	25	0.3%
2	One month-six months	13	0.2%
3	Six months-one year	34	0.4%
4	1-2 years	100	1.2%
5	3-5 years	149	1.7%
6	6-10 years	101	1.2%
7	11-25 years	58	0.7%
8	More than 25 years	20	0.2%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	8002	94.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr15_1: Cause for quitting voluntary work: Changed life situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you stop doing voluntary work for this organisation?		

# w03_r3fr15_1: Cause for quitting voluntary work: Changed life situation			
Literal question	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children being older etc.)		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		213	2.5%
1	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children being older etc.)	298	3.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8002	94.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr15_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work: Changed interest or motivation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you stop doing voluntary work for this organisation?		
Literal question	Changed interest or motivation		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		399	4.7%
1	Changed interest or motivation	112	1.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8002	94.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr15_3: Cause for quitting voluntary work: Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you stop doing voluntary work for this organisation?		
Literal question	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		437	5.1%
1	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work	74	0.9%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8002	94.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr15_4: Cause for quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr15_4: Cause for quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify			
Pre-question	Why did you stop doing voluntary work for this organisation?		
Literal question	Something else, please specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		428	5.0%
1	Something else, please specify	83	1.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8002	94.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr15_4_other: Cause for quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you stop doing voluntary work for this organisation?		
Literal question	Something else, please specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr16_1: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Not enough time			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about your life situation that resulted in you stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Not enough time		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		197	2.3%
1	Not enough time	101	1.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8217	96.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr16_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Moved away from the area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about your life situation that resulted in you stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Moved away from the area		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_1=1]		

# w03_r3fr16_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Moved away from the area			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		226	2.7%
1	Moved away from the area	72	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8217	96.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr16_3: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Children stopped doing the activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about your life situation that resulted in you stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Children stopped doing the activities		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		196	2.3%
1	Children stopped doing the activities	102	1.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8217	96.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr16_4: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Health problems or old age			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about your life situation that resulted in you stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Health problems or old age		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		243	2.9%
1	Health problems or old age	55	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8217	96.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr16_5: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about your life situation that resulted in you stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_1=1]		

# w03_r3fr16_5: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		271	3.2%
1	Other, specify:	27	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8217	96.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr16_5_other: Cause for quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about your life situation that resulted in you stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_1=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr17_1: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Wanted/had to spend more time at home		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR16_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		33	0.4%
1	Wanted/had to spend more time at home	31	0.4%
97	No answer	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	8414	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr17_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Wanted/had to spend more time at work		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR16_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		25	0.3%
1	Wanted/had to spend more time at work	39	0.5%
97	No answer	37	0.4%

# w03_r3fr17_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time at work			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8414	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr17_3: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time participating in another organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Wanted/had to spend more time participating in another organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR16_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		61	0.7%
1	Wanted/had to spend more time participating in another organisation	3	0.0%
97	No answer	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	8414	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr17_4: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Wanted/had to spend more time at other recreational activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Wanted/had to spend more time at other recreational activities		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR16_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		54	0.6%
1	Wanted/had to spend more time at other recreational activities	10	0.1%
97	No answer	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	8414	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr17_5: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR16_1=1]		

# w03_r3fr17_5: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		61	0.7%
1	Other, specify:	3	0.0%
97	No answer	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	8414	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr17_5_other: Cause for quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR16_1=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr18_1: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Lost interest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Lost interest		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		63	0.7%
1	Lost interest	49	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Received too little recognition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Received too little recognition		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		98	1.2%
1	Received too little recognition	14	0.2%
97	No answer	0	

# w03_r3fr18_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Received too little recognition			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Systemmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_3: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Could not do what I enjoy doing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Could not do what I enjoy doing		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		107	1.3%
1	Could not do what I enjoy doing	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Systemmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_4: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: The activity was too tiresome or boring			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	The activity was too tiresome or boring		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		91	1.1%
1	The activity was too tiresome or boring	21	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Systemmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_5: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Did not experience it as being useful enough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Did not experience it as being useful enough		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		90	1.1%
1	Did not experience it as being useful enough	22	0.3%

# w03_r3fr18_5: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Did not experience it as being useful enough			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_6: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Did not experience it as being interesting or challenging enough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Did not experience it as being interesting or challenging enough		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		94	1.1%
1	Did not experience it as being interesting or challenging enough	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_7: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Was given too much work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Was given too much work		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		93	1.1%
1	Was given too much work	19	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_8: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Was given too little work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Was given too little work		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		

# w03_r3fr18_8: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Was given too little work			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		111	1.3%
1	Was given too little work	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_9: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		94	1.1%
1	Other, specify:	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr18_9_other: Cause for quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why did your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work change?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_2=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr19_1: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The organisation was closed down or was not relevant any more			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	The organisation was closed down or was not relevant any more		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		61	0.7%
1	The organisation was closed down or was not relevant any more	13	0.2%
97	No answer	0	

w03_r3fr19_1: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The organisation was closed down or was not relevant any more

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr19_2: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Could not do what I enjoyed doing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?
Literal question	Could not do what I enjoyed doing
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		69	0.8%
1	Could not do what I enjoyed doing	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr19_3: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too bureaucratic organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?
Literal question	Too bureaucratic organisation
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		64	0.8%
1	Too bureaucratic organisation	10	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr19_4: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not structured enough

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?
Literal question	Not structured enough
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		58	0.7%

# w03_r3fr19_4: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not structured enough			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not structured enough	16	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr19_5: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too much responsibility on too few people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Too much responsibility on too few people		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		57	0.7%
1	Too much responsibility on too few people	17	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr19_6: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not enough training or guidance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Not enough training or guidance		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		70	0.8%
1	Not enough training or guidance	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr19_7: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with employees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Conflicts with employees		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		

# w03_r3fr19_7: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with employees			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		68	0.8%
1	Conflicts with employees	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr19_8: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with other volunteers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Conflicts with other volunteers		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		63	0.7%
1	Conflicts with other volunteers	11	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr19_9: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Poor social environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Poor social environment		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		63	0.7%
1	Poor social environment	11	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr19_10: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Received too little recognition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Received too little recognition		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		

w03_r3fr19_10: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Received too little recognition

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		65	0.8%
1	Received too little recognition	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr19_11: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too tiresome or boring

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?
Literal question	Too tiresome or boring
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		68	0.8%
1	Too tiresome or boring	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr19_12: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too expensive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?
Literal question	Too expensive
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		72	0.8%
1	Too expensive	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr19_13: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?
Literal question	The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible)

w03_r3fr19_13: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence

[Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		62	0.7%
1	The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence	12	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr19_14: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		63	0.7%
1	Other, specify:	11	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8441	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr19_14_other: Cause for quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What was it about the voluntary work or the organisation that caused you to stop?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR15_3=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr20: Sometimes consider stopping doing voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you sometimes consider stopping doing voluntary work for one or more organisations where you are currently active?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and R3FR12=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	161	1.9%
2	No	820	9.6%
97	No answer	124	1.5%
98	Not asked	7410	87.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr21: What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?		
Interviewer's instructions	If you are considering stopping doing voluntary work for several organisations, we would like you to think about the organisation where you have done the most voluntary work during the last year. [Asked if U=1, antallorg=1 and R3FR20=1]		

# w03_r3fr21: What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir	6	0.1%
2	Athletics and sport	25	0.3%
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	11	0.1%
4	Education, training and research	7	0.1%
5	Health, nursing and rescue work	2	0.0%
6	Social services and substance abuse care	4	0.0%
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection	0	
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	5	0.1%
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	8	0.1%
10	Rights, support and advocacy work	2	0.0%
11	Political parties	2	0.0%
12	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	2	0.0%
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	4	0.0%
14	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	4	0.0%
15	Immigrant organisations	1	0.0%
16	Other, specify	2	0.0%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	8408	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr21_16_other: Other, specify: What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?
Interviewer's instructions	<p>If you are considering stopping doing voluntary work for several organisations, we would like you to think about the organisation where you have done the most voluntary work during the last year.</p> <p>[Asked if U=1 and antallorg=1 and R3FR20=1]</p> <p>[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]</p>

# w03_r3fr22: How long have you been doing voluntary work within this organisation?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How long have you been doing voluntary work within this organisation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and antallorg=1 and R3FR20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than a month	6	0.1%
2	One month-six months	2	0.0%
3	Six months-one year	10	0.1%
4	1-2 years	9	0.1%
5	3-5 years	36	0.4%
6	6-10 years	17	0.2%

w03_r3fr22: How long have you been doing voluntary work within this organisation?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	11-25 years	18	0.2%
8	More than 25 years	6	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8408	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr23_1: Considering quitting voluntary work: Changed life situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?
Literal question	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children being older etc.)
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		90	1.1%
1	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children being older etc.)	71	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8354	98.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr23_2: Considering quitting voluntary work: Changed interest or motivation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?
Literal question	Changed interest or motivation
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		83	1.0%
1	Changed interest or motivation	78	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8354	98.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr23_3: Considering quitting voluntary work: Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?
Literal question	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible)

# w03_r3fr23_3: Considering quitting voluntary work: Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work			
[Asked if U=1 and R3FR20=1]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		134	1.6%
1	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work	27	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8354	98.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr23_4: Considering quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Something else, please specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible)		
	[Asked if U=1 and R3FR20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		129	1.5%
1	Something else, please specify	32	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8354	98.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr23_4_other: Considering quitting voluntary work: Something else, please specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Something else, please specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible)		
	[Asked if U=1 and R3FR20=1]		
	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr24_1: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Not enough time			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Not enough time		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible)		
	[Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		34	0.4%
1	Not enough time	37	0.4%

# w03_r3fr24_1: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Not enough time			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8444	99.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr24_2: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Moving away from the area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Moving away from the area		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		62	0.7%
1	Moving away from the area	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8444	99.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr24_3: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Children stopping doing the activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Children stopping doing the activities		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		57	0.7%
1	Children stopping doing the activities	14	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8444	99.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr24_4: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Children stopping doing the activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Children stopping doing the activities		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		56	0.7%

# w03_r3fr24_4: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Children stopping doing the activities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Health problems or old age	15	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8444	99.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr24_5: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		62	0.7%
1	Other, specify:	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8444	99.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr24_5_other: Considering quitting voluntary work, changed life situation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_1=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr25_1: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Want/have to spend more time at home		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR24_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		17	0.2%
1	Want/have to spend more time at home	20	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8478	99.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_r3fr25_1: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at home			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr25_2: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Want/have to spend more time at work		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR24_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		19	0.2%
1	Want/have to spend more time at work	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8478	99.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr25_3: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time participating in another organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Want/have to spend more time participating in another organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR24_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		33	0.4%
1	Want/have to spend more time participating in another organisation	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8478	99.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr25_4: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at other recreational activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?		
Literal question	Want/have to spend more time at other recreational activities		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR24_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		20	0.2%
1	Want/have to spend more time at other recreational activities	17	0.2%
97	No answer	0	

w03_r3fr25_4: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Want/have to spend more time at other recreational activities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8478	99.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr25_5: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why do you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR24_1=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		35	0.4%
1	Other, specify	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8478	99.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr25_5_other: Considering quitting voluntary work, lack of time: Other, specify

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Why do you have less time or would rather use the time on something else?
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR24_1=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w03_r3fr26_1: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Lost interest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?
Literal question	Lost interest
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		50	0.6%
1	Lost interest	28	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr26_2: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Receiving too little recognition	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?
Literal question	Receiving too little recognition
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		70	0.8%
1	Receiving too little recognition	8	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr26_3: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Cannot do what I enjoy doing	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?
Literal question	Cannot do what I enjoy doing
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		72	0.8%
1	Cannot do what I enjoy doing	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr26_4: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: The activity is too tiresome or boring	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?
Literal question	The activity is too tiresome or boring
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		63	0.7%
1	The activity is too tiresome or boring	15	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr26_5: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Don't feel it is useful enough	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?
Literal question	Don't feel it is useful enough
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		62	0.7%
1	Don't feel it is useful enough	16	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr26_6: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Don't feel it is interesting or challenging enough	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?
Literal question	Don't feel it is interesting or challenging enough
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		69	0.8%
1	Don't feel it is interesting or challenging enough	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr26_7: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Given too much work	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?
Literal question	Given too much work
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		63	0.7%
1	Given too much work	15	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr26_8: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Given too little work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?		
Literal question	Given too little work		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		78	0.9%
1	Given too little work	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr26_9: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		61	0.7%
1	Other, specify:	17	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8437	99.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr26_9_other: Considering quitting voluntary work, motivation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why has your motivation or interest in doing voluntary work changed?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_2=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr27_1: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The organisation is being closed down or is not relevant any more			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		

w03_r3fr27_1: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The organisation is being closed down or is not relevant any more

Literal question	The organisation is being closed down or is not relevant any more
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		25	0.3%
1	The organisation is being closed down or is not relevant any more	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr27_2: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Cannot do what I enjoyed doing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?
Literal question	Cannot do what I enjoyed doing
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		26	0.3%
1	Cannot do what I enjoyed doing	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr27_3: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too bureaucratic organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?
Literal question	Too bureaucratic organisation
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		26	0.3%
1	Cannot do what I enjoyed doing	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr27_4: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not structured enough

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

# w03_r3fr27_4: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not structured enough			
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Not structured enough		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		13	0.2%
1	Not structured enough	14	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_5: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Too much responsibility on too few people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Too much responsibility on too few people		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		18	0.2%
1	Too much responsibility on too few people	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_6: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Not good enough training or guidance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Not good enough training or guidance		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		26	0.3%
1	Not good enough training or guidance	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_7: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with employees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# w03_r3fr27_7: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with employees			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?	
Literal question		Conflicts with employees	
Interviewer's instructions		(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		25	0.3%
1	Conflicts with employees	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_8: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Conflicts with other volunteers			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?	
Literal question		Conflicts with other volunteers	
Interviewer's instructions		(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		21	0.2%
1	Conflicts with other volunteers	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_9: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Poor social environment			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?	
Literal question		Poor social environment	
Interviewer's instructions		(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		23	0.3%
1	Poor social environment	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_10: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Receiving too little recognition			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	

# w03_r3fr27_10: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Receiving too little recognition			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Receiving too little recognition		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		24	0.3%
1	Poor social environment	3	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_11: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Is too tiresome or boring			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Is too tiresome or boring		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		23	0.3%
1	Is too tiresome or boring	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_12: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Is too expensive			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Is too expensive		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		26	0.3%
1	Is too expensive	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3fr27_13: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		26	0.3%
1	The voluntary work was a one-time occurrence	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_14: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		23	0.3%
1	Other, specify:	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8488	99.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr27_14_other: Considering quitting voluntary work, work or organisation: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about the voluntary work or the organisation that is making you consider stopping?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	(more than one answer possible) [Asked if U=1 and R3FR23_3=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# w03_r3fr28: Opportunities to participate in voluntary work in local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How good or bad do you think the opportunities are to participate in voluntary work in your local neighbourhood?		

w03_r3fr28: Opportunities to participate in voluntary work in local neighbourhood

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=1 and ran2=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	253	3.0%
2	Good	260	3.1%
3	Somewhat good	97	1.1%
4	Neither good nor bad	148	1.7%
5	Somewhat bad	30	0.4%
6	Bad	22	0.3%
7	Very bad	7	0.1%
97	No answer	25	0.3%
98	Not asked	7673	90.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr29: Parents active within associations and organisations

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question If you think back to your childhood, to what extent were your parents active within associations and organisations?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	149	1.7%
2	To a great extent	320	3.8%
3	To some extent	520	6.1%
4	To a slight extent	436	5.1%
5	Not at all	225	2.6%
97	No answer	51	0.6%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3fr30_1: Statement: In my local neighbourhood most people participate with voluntary work when it is needed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	In my local neighbourhood most people participate with voluntary work when it is needed		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	57	0.7%
2	Agree	327	3.8%
3	Somewhat agree	425	5.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	412	4.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	209	2.5%
6	Disagree	160	1.9%
7	Strongly disagree	30	0.4%
97	No answer	81	1.0%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr30_2: Statement: Voluntary work makes little contribution to solving problems in society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Voluntary work makes little contribution to solving problems in society		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	0.6%
2	Agree	192	2.3%
3	Somewhat agree	245	2.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	243	2.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	316	3.7%
6	Disagree	443	5.2%
7	Strongly disagree	127	1.5%
97	No answer	83	1.0%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr30_3: Statement: Voluntary work interests me to a small extent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Voluntary work interests me to a small extent		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	19	0.2%

w03_r3fr30_3: Statement: Voluntary work interests me to a small extent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	102	1.2%
3	Somewhat agree	159	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	319	3.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	359	4.2%
6	Disagree	499	5.9%
7	Strongly disagree	157	1.8%
97	No answer	87	1.0%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr30_4: Statement: I want to do more voluntary work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I want to do more voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	56	0.7%
2	Agree	290	3.4%
3	Somewhat agree	396	4.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	486	5.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	160	1.9%
6	Disagree	170	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	52	0.6%
97	No answer	91	1.1%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr31_1: Description of voluntary work: Satisfying

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Satisfying
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	171	2.0%
2	Well	623	7.3%
3	Somewhat well	397	4.7%
4	Neither well nor poorly	244	2.9%
5	Somewhat poorly	26	0.3%
6	Poorly	15	0.2%
7	Very poorly	9	0.1%
97	No answer	216	2.5%

# w03_r3fr31_1: Description of voluntary work: Satisfying			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_2: Description of voluntary work: Rewarding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Rewarding		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	260	3.1%
2	Well	710	8.3%
3	Somewhat well	358	4.2%
4	Neither well nor poorly	141	1.7%
5	Somewhat poorly	18	0.2%
6	Poorly	9	0.1%
7	Very poorly	9	0.1%
97	No answer	196	2.3%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_3: Description of voluntary work: Exciting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Exciting		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	128	1.5%
2	Well	453	5.3%
3	Somewhat well	425	5.0%
4	Neither well nor poorly	363	4.3%
5	Somewhat poorly	81	1.0%
6	Poorly	25	0.3%
7	Very poorly	15	0.2%
97	No answer	211	2.5%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_4: Description of voluntary work: Important			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr31_4: Description of voluntary work: Important			
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Important		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	402	4.7%
2	Well	676	7.9%
3	Somewhat well	281	3.3%
4	Neither well nor poorly	119	1.4%
5	Somewhat poorly	19	0.2%
6	Poorly	6	0.1%
7	Very poorly	9	0.1%
97	No answer	189	2.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_5: Description of voluntary work: Fun			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Fun		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	119	1.4%
2	Well	480	5.6%
3	Somewhat well	474	5.6%
4	Neither well nor poorly	337	4.0%
5	Somewhat poorly	54	0.6%
6	Poorly	20	0.2%
7	Very poorly	10	0.1%
97	No answer	207	2.4%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_6: Description of voluntary work: Challenging			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Challenging		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	142	1.7%
2	Well	498	5.8%
3	Somewhat well	417	4.9%

# w03_r3fr31_6: Description of voluntary work: Challenging			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither well nor poorly	321	3.8%
5	Somewhat poorly	69	0.8%
6	Poorly	25	0.3%
7	Very poorly	18	0.2%
97	No answer	211	2.5%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_7: Description of voluntary work: Disappointing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Disappointing		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	10	0.1%
2	Well	40	0.5%
3	Somewhat well	82	1.0%
4	Neither well nor poorly	436	5.1%
5	Somewhat poorly	279	3.3%
6	Poorly	436	5.1%
7	Very poorly	175	2.1%
97	No answer	243	2.9%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_8: Description of voluntary work: Emotionally exhausting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Emotionally exhausting		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	19	0.2%
2	Well	68	0.8%
3	Somewhat well	190	2.2%
4	Neither well nor poorly	472	5.5%
5	Somewhat poorly	192	2.3%
6	Poorly	355	4.2%
7	Very poorly	171	2.0%
97	No answer	234	2.7%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_r3fr31_8: Description of voluntary work: Emotionally exhausting			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_9: Description of voluntary work: Physically tiring			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Physically tiring		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	17	0.2%
2	Well	102	1.2%
3	Somewhat well	242	2.8%
4	Neither well nor poorly	489	5.7%
5	Somewhat poorly	187	2.2%
6	Poorly	306	3.6%
7	Very poorly	128	1.5%
97	No answer	230	2.7%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_10: Description of voluntary work: Frustrating			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Frustrating		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	14	0.2%
2	Well	78	0.9%
3	Somewhat well	206	2.4%
4	Neither well nor poorly	418	4.9%
5	Somewhat poorly	221	2.6%
6	Poorly	356	4.2%
7	Very poorly	159	1.9%
97	No answer	249	2.9%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr31_11: Description of voluntary work: Depressing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Depressing		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		

w03_r3fr31_11: Description of voluntary work: Depressing

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	7	0.1%
2	Well	29	0.3%
3	Somewhat well	65	0.8%
4	Neither well nor poorly	349	4.1%
5	Somewhat poorly	192	2.3%
6	Poorly	438	5.1%
7	Very poorly	372	4.4%
97	No answer	249	2.9%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr31_12: Description of voluntary work: Time consuming

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Time consuming
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	149	1.7%
2	Well	419	4.9%
3	Somewhat well	400	4.7%
4	Neither well nor poorly	306	3.6%
5	Somewhat poorly	88	1.0%
6	Poorly	91	1.1%
7	Very poorly	38	0.4%
97	No answer	210	2.5%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr31_13: Description of voluntary work: Easy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Easy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	37	0.4%
2	Well	207	2.4%
3	Somewhat well	285	3.3%
4	Neither well nor poorly	660	7.8%
5	Somewhat poorly	151	1.8%
6	Poorly	97	1.1%
7	Very poorly	25	0.3%

w03_r3fr31_13: Description of voluntary work: Easy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	239	2.8%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr32: Satisfaction with life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	295	3.5%
2	To a great extent	909	10.7%
3	To some extent	357	4.2%
4	To a slight extent	74	0.9%
5	Not at all	11	0.1%
97	No answer	55	0.6%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr33: How meaningful life is

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	268	3.1%
2	To a great extent	834	9.8%
3	To some extent	422	5.0%
4	To a slight extent	78	0.9%
5	Not at all	14	0.2%
97	No answer	85	1.0%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr34_1: Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Happy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale from 0-10, yesterday to what extent were you:
Literal question	Happy
Interviewer's instructions	0 means that you did not feel it at all yesterday, while 10 means that you felt it all the time. [Asked if U=1]

w03_r3fr34_1: Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Happy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - not at all	24	0.3%
2	1	30	0.4%
3	2	53	0.6%
4	3	77	0.9%
5	4	79	0.9%
6	5	199	2.3%
7	6	232	2.7%
8	7	323	3.8%
9	8	363	4.3%
10	9	150	1.8%
11	10 - all the time	78	0.9%
97	No answer	93	1.1%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr34_2: Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Worried

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale from 0-10, yesterday to what extent were you:
Literal question	Worried
Interviewer's instructions	0 means that you did not feel it at all yesterday, while 10 means that you felt it all the time. [Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - not at all	214	2.5%
2	1	201	2.4%
3	2	252	3.0%
4	3	188	2.2%
5	4	168	2.0%
6	5	183	2.1%
7	6	136	1.6%
8	7	88	1.0%
9	8	77	0.9%
10	9	33	0.4%
11	10 - all the time	23	0.3%
97	No answer	138	1.6%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr34_3: Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Depressed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale from 0-10, yesterday to what extent were you:
Literal question	Depressed

# w03_r3fr34_3: Feelings on a scale from 0 to 10: Depressed			
Interviewer's instructions		0 means that you did not feel it at all yesterday, while 10 means that you felt it all the time. [Asked if U=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - not at all	823	9.7%
2	1	223	2.6%
3	2	144	1.7%
4	3	97	1.1%
5	4	64	0.8%
6	5	59	0.7%
7	6	43	0.5%
8	7	46	0.5%
9	8	24	0.3%
10	9	13	0.2%
11	10 - all the time	14	0.2%
97	No answer	151	1.8%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr35_1: Statement: In most ways my life is close to ideal			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:	
Literal question		In most ways my life is close to ideal	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	82	1.0%
2	Agree	437	5.1%
3	Somewhat agree	500	5.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	247	2.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	161	1.9%
6	Disagree	129	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	53	0.6%
97	No answer	92	1.1%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr35_2: Statement: My circumstances are excellent			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:	
Literal question		My circumstances are excellent	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=1]	

w03_r3fr35_2: Statement: My circumstances are excellent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	151	1.8%
2	Agree	586	6.9%
3	Somewhat agree	397	4.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	188	2.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	147	1.7%
6	Disagree	99	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.4%
97	No answer	102	1.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr35_3: Statement: So far I have got what I most wanted in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:
Literal question	So far I have got what I most wanted in life
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	200	2.3%
2	Agree	619	7.3%
3	Somewhat agree	357	4.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	156	1.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	127	1.5%
6	Disagree	107	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	41	0.5%
97	No answer	94	1.1%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3fr35_4: Statement: So far I have got what I most wanted in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:
Literal question	So far I have got what I most wanted in life
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	255	3.0%
2	Agree	757	8.9%
3	Somewhat agree	307	3.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	97	1.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	106	1.2%
6	Disagree	61	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.3%

# w03_r3fr35_4: Statement: So far I have got what I most wanted in life			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	90	1.1%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr35_5: Statement: If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:		
Literal question	If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	78	0.9%
2	Agree	265	3.1%
3	Somewhat agree	346	4.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	182	2.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	327	3.8%
6	Disagree	261	3.1%
7	Strongly disagree	147	1.7%
97	No answer	95	1.1%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_1: Happy with: Your standard of living?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	Your standard of living?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	416	4.9%
2	Happy	909	10.7%
3	Somewhat happy	234	2.7%
4	Slightly happy	54	0.6%
5	Not happy at all	21	0.2%
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	67	0.8%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_2: Happy with: Your health?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr36_2: Happy with: Your health?			
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	Your health?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	329	3.9%
2	Happy	725	8.5%
3	Somewhat happy	345	4.1%
4	Slightly happy	159	1.9%
5	Not happy at all	74	0.9%
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	69	0.8%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_3: Happy with: What you are achieving in life?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	What you are achieving in life?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	187	2.2%
2	Happy	824	9.7%
3	Somewhat happy	466	5.5%
4	Slightly happy	110	1.3%
5	Not happy at all	26	0.3%
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	88	1.0%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_4: Happy with: Your personal relationships?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	Your personal relationships?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	268	3.1%
2	Happy	819	9.6%
3	Somewhat happy	397	4.7%
4	Slightly happy	98	1.2%
5	Not happy at all	28	0.3%

# w03_r3fr36_4: Happy with: Your personal relationships?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	91	1.1%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_5: Happy with: How safe you feel?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	How safe you feel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	431	5.1%
2	Happy	892	10.5%
3	Somewhat happy	214	2.5%
4	Slightly happy	71	0.8%
5	Not happy at all	19	0.2%
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	74	0.9%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_6: Happy with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	139	1.6%
2	Happy	619	7.3%
3	Somewhat happy	538	6.3%
4	Slightly happy	225	2.6%
5	Not happy at all	67	0.8%
6	Not relevant	11	0.1%
97	No answer	102	1.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_7: Happy with: The prospects for safety in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3fr36_7: Happy with: The prospects for safety in the future?			
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	The prospects for safety in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	229	2.7%
2	Happy	828	9.7%
3	Somewhat happy	382	4.5%
4	Slightly happy	139	1.6%
5	Not happy at all	41	0.5%
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	82	1.0%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_8: Happy with: The amount of time you have to do the things you like to do?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	The amount of time you have to do the things you like to do?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	145	1.7%
2	Happy	652	7.7%
3	Somewhat happy	479	5.6%
4	Slightly happy	273	3.2%
5	Not happy at all	77	0.9%
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	75	0.9%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_9: Happy with: The quality of nature and the environment in your local area?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	588	6.9%
2	Happy	780	9.2%
3	Somewhat happy	202	2.4%
4	Slightly happy	44	0.5%
5	Not happy at all	10	0.1%

# w03_r3fr36_9: Happy with: The quality of nature and the environment in your local area?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	77	0.9%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr36_10: Happy with: Your job?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How happy are you with ...		
Literal question	Your job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very happy	274	3.2%
2	Happy	615	7.2%
3	Somewhat happy	239	2.8%
4	Slightly happy	91	1.1%
5	Not happy at all	49	0.6%
6	Not relevant	332	3.9%
97	No answer	101	1.2%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3fr37: Life situation in five years' time			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about your life situation in five years' time, do you think it will be ...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worse than it is at the moment	114	1.3%
2	Similar to how it is now	950	11.2%
3	Better than it is at the moment	575	6.8%
97	No answer	62	0.7%
98	Not asked	6814	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran5: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1711 /-] [Invalid=15300 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	888	51.9%
2	2	823	48.1%
Sysmiss		15300	

# w03_ran5: [Randomizes if U=2]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km1: Text: What do you think when you hear or read the word “climate change”? [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Now we are going to ask some questions about climate and the environment.		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the word "climate change"? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers, a couple of sentences would be nice, or just a few words if that is better for you. [Asked if U=2 and ran5=1]		
# w03_r3km2: Text: What do you think when you hear or read the words “global warming”? [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the words “global warming”? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers, a couple of sentences would be nice, or just a few words if that is better for you. [Asked if U=2 and ran5=2]		
# w03_r3km22: Possible to prevent harmful climate change?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Let's now assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it. To what extent do you think it is possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint: [Asked if u=2 or if the respondent has answered w01_km7 (panel effect)]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is not possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	189	2.2%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	2140	25.1%
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	1476	17.3%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	27	0.3%
97	No answer	115	1.4%
98	Not asked	4568	53.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u2_r3km20: Statement that best describes own viewpoint on climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your viewpoint on climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	Select one of the alternatives. [Asked if u=2 or if the respondent has answered w01_km7 (panel effect)]		

# w03_u2_r3km20: Statement that best describes own viewpoint on climate change			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I think that the climate is not changing.	21	0.2%
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	238	2.8%
3	I think that the climate is changing, but it is only to a small extent linked to human influences.	1003	11.8%
4	I think that the climate is changing, and that it is largely a result of human influences.	2654	31.2%
97	No answer	153	1.8%
98	Not asked	4446	52.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km25: Overall, how serious a threat is climate change?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Overall, how serious a threat is climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	527	6.2%
2	Serious	705	8.3%
3	Somewhat serious	365	4.3%
4	Slightly serious	68	0.8%
5	Not a threat	26	0.3%
97	No answer	28	0.3%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u2_r3km26: How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	120	1.4%
2	Serious	387	4.5%
3	Somewhat serious	576	6.8%
4	Slightly serious	423	5.0%
5	Not a threat	159	1.9%
97	No answer	54	0.6%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km27: To what extent do we follow the Constitution regarding environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3km27: To what extent do we follow the Constitution regarding environmental protection			
Literal question	Since 1992 the Constitution of Norway has contained the following provision: "Every person has the right to an environment that is conducive to health and to a natural environment whose productivity and diversity are maintained. Natural resources shall be managed on the basis of comprehensive long-term considerations which will safeguard this right for future generations as well." To what extent do you think current Norwegian policies meet the aims of this provision?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	28	0.3%
2	To a great extent	291	3.4%
3	To some extent	927	10.9%
4	To a slight extent	378	4.4%
5	Not at all	52	0.6%
97	No answer	43	0.5%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km28_1: Statement: Humans will eventually find out enough about how nature works to be able to control it.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Humans will eventually find out enough about how nature works to be able to control it.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	19	0.2%
2	Agree	134	1.6%
3	Somewhat agree	272	3.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	301	3.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	234	2.7%
6	Disagree	399	4.7%
7	Strongly disagree	272	3.2%
97	No answer	88	1.0%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km28_2: Statement: All ecological systems, regardless of how small and insignificant they are, have the right to exist.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	All ecological systems, regardless of how small and insignificant they are, have the right to exist.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	477	5.6%
2	Agree	605	7.1%
3	Somewhat agree	288	3.4%

w03_r3km28_2: Statement: All ecological systems, regardless of how small and insignificant they are, have the right to exist.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	149	1.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	77	0.9%
6	Disagree	53	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	14	0.2%
97	No answer	56	0.7%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km28_3: Statement: Nature primarily has value when it can be utilised to satisfy humans' material needs.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Nature primarily has value when it can be utilised to satisfy humans' material needs.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	0.3%
2	Agree	72	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	118	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	136	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	190	2.2%
6	Disagree	529	6.2%
7	Strongly disagree	560	6.6%
97	No answer	85	1.0%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km29: How broad is the diversity of opinions on climate change that is conveyed by the media?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How broad is the diversity of opinions on climate change that is conveyed by the media?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very broad diversity	30	0.4%
2	Broad diversity	351	4.1%
3	Some diversity	782	9.2%
4	Little diversity	441	5.2%
5	No diversity at all	35	0.4%
97	No answer	80	0.9%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km30: To what extent do you see it as a moral duty for the individual to contribute to preventing climate change?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you see it as a moral duty for the individual to contribute to preventing climate change?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	335	3.9%
2	To a great extent	763	9.0%
3	To some extent	456	5.4%
4	To a slight extent	97	1.1%
5	Not at all	16	0.2%
97	No answer	52	0.6%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km31_1: Feelings related to climate change: Hope

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Hope
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from “not at all” (1) to “very strongly” (5): [Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	73	0.9%
2	Slightly	247	2.9%
3	Somewhat	869	10.2%
4	Strongly	375	4.4%
5	Very strongly	53	0.6%
97	No answer	102	1.2%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km31_2: Feelings related to climate change: Worry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Worry
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from “not at all” (1) to “very strongly” (5): [Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	241	2.8%
2	Slightly	461	5.4%

# w03_r3km31_2: Feelings related to climate change: Worry			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat	677	8.0%
4	Strongly	199	2.3%
5	Very strongly	44	0.5%
97	No answer	97	1.1%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km31_3: Feelings related to climate change: Gloom			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?		
Literal question	Gloom		
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5): [Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	248	2.9%
2	Slightly	421	4.9%
3	Somewhat	650	7.6%
4	Strongly	235	2.8%
5	Very strongly	66	0.8%
97	No answer	99	1.2%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km31_4: Feelings related to climate change: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5): [Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	375	4.4%
2	Slightly	542	6.4%
3	Somewhat	518	6.1%
4	Strongly	137	1.6%
5	Very strongly	36	0.4%
97	No answer	111	1.3%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3km31_5: Feelings related to climate change: Guilt	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Guilt
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5): [Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	274	3.2%
2	Slightly	595	7.0%
3	Somewhat	646	7.6%
4	Strongly	92	1.1%
5	Very strongly	14	0.2%
97	No answer	98	1.2%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3km31_6: Feelings related to climate change: Interest	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Interest
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5): [Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	39	0.5%
2	Slightly	122	1.4%
3	Somewhat	755	8.9%
4	Strongly	610	7.2%
5	Very strongly	97	1.1%
97	No answer	96	1.1%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3km31_7: Feelings related to climate change: Sympathy	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Sympathy
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5): [Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	137	1.6%

# w03_r3km31_7: Feelings related to climate change: Sympathy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Slightly	262	3.1%
3	Somewhat	743	8.7%
4	Strongly	395	4.6%
5	Very strongly	61	0.7%
97	No answer	121	1.4%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km31_8: Feelings related to climate change: Tired of it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?		
Literal question	Tired of it		
Interviewer's instructions	Please rank each feeling on the scale provided, which goes from "not at all" (1) to "very strongly" (5): [Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	443	5.2%
2	Slightly	517	6.1%
3	Somewhat	497	5.8%
4	Strongly	118	1.4%
5	Very strongly	38	0.4%
97	No answer	106	1.2%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran6: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1696 /-] [Invalid=15315 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	851	50.2%
2	2	845	49.8%
Sysmiss		15315	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km32: Right or wrong not conduct an impact assessment study on the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen: Possible job creation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	One argument for oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen is that they will create more new jobs in North Norway. Following the election, the Solberg government decided that it will not conduct an impact assessment study on the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen over the next four years. As you see it, was this a good or a bad decision?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	150	1.8%

w03_r3km32: Right or wrong not conduct an impact assessment study on the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen: Possible job creation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Good	227	2.7%
3	Somewhat good	79	0.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	155	1.8%
5	Somewhat bad	90	1.1%
6	Bad	88	1.0%
7	Very bad	36	0.4%
97	No answer	26	0.3%
98	Not asked	7664	90.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km33: Right or wrong not conduct an impact assessment study on the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen: Increased emissions, endanger fishing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	One argument against the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen is that they will increase greenhouse gas emissions and be a danger to the fish. Following the election, the Solberg government decided that it will not conduct an impact assessment study on the oil activities outside Lofoten and Vesterålen over the next four years. As you see it, was this a good or a bad decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran6=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	168	2.0%
2	Good	212	2.5%
3	Somewhat good	75	0.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	143	1.7%
5	Somewhat bad	83	1.0%
6	Bad	84	1.0%
7	Very bad	53	0.6%
97	No answer	27	0.3%
98	Not asked	7670	90.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km36: Largest source of greenhouse gas emissions in the world? [anonymised]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	As you see it, what is the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions in the world? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

w03_ran7: ran7

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1693 /-] [Invalid=15318 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	841	49.7%

# w03_ran7: ran7			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	852	50.3%
Sysmiss		15318	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran7a: ran7a			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=841 /-] [Invalid=16170 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	425	50.5%
2	2	416	49.5%
Sysmiss		16170	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran7b: ran7b			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=852 /-] [Invalid=16159 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	415	48.7%
2	2	437	51.3%
Sysmiss		16159	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_klimamulti_1: 1 (klimamulti)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1693 /-] [Invalid=15318 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Result of randomization (see R3K37_1 through 4). Selects R3KM37_1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		852	50.3%
1	Selected	841	49.7%
Sysmiss		15318	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_klimamulti_2: 2 (klimamulti)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1693 /-] [Invalid=15318 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Result of randomization (see R3K37_1 through 4). Selects R3KM37_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		841	49.7%
1	Selected	852	50.3%
Sysmiss		15318	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_klimamulti_3: 3 (klimamulti)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1693 /-] [Invalid=15318 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Result of randomization (see R3K37_1 through 4). Selects R3KM37_3]		

# w03_klimamulti_3: 3 (klimamulti)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		853	50.4%
1	Selected	840	49.6%
Sysmiss		15318	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_klimamulti_4: 4 (klimamulti)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1693 /-] [Invalid=15318 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Result of randomization (see R3K37_1 through 4). Selects R3KM37_4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		840	49.6%
1	Selected	853	50.4%
Sysmiss		15318	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km37_1: Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing wind power on land			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts think that the measures mentioned below will work, while others think that these are not good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you to the following measures:		
Literal question	Increased government support for developing wind power on land.		
Interviewer's instructions	[R3KM37_1 through 4 are randomized, with the following possible combinations: R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_4, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_4. Not R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_2 or R3KM37_3 & R3KM37_4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	40	0.5%
2	Negative	55	0.6%
3	Somewhat negative	47	0.6%
4	Neither negative nor positive	96	1.1%
5	Somewhat positive	179	2.1%
6	Positive	283	3.3%
7	Very positive	115	1.4%
97	No answer	26	0.3%
98	Not asked	7674	90.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km37_2: Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing wind power at sea			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts think that the measures mentioned below will work, while others think that these are not good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you to the following measures:		
Literal question	Increased government support for developing wind power at sea (offshore wind power).		

w03_r3km37_2: Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing wind power at sea

Interviewer's instructions	[R3KM37_1 through 4 are randomized, with the following possible combinations: R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_4, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_4. Not R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_2 or R3KM37_3 & R3KM37_4]
-----------------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	20	0.2%
2	Negative	27	0.3%
3	Somewhat negative	42	0.5%
4	Neither negative nor positive	78	0.9%
5	Somewhat positive	191	2.2%
6	Positive	336	3.9%
7	Very positive	140	1.6%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7663	90.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km37_3: Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing biofuel plants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts think that the measures mentioned below will work, while others think that these are not good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you to the following measures:
---------------------	--

Literal question	Increased government support for developing biofuel plants (e.g. wood, pellets and biogas).
-------------------------	---

Interviewer's instructions	[R3KM37_1 through 4 are randomized, with the following possible combinations: R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_4, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_4. Not R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_2 or R3KM37_3 & R3KM37_4]
-----------------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	23	0.3%
2	Negative	24	0.3%
3	Somewhat negative	57	0.7%
4	Neither negative nor positive	158	1.9%
5	Somewhat positive	243	2.9%
6	Positive	229	2.7%
7	Very positive	76	0.9%
97	No answer	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	7675	90.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km37_4: Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing geothermal energy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed to reduce climate change. Many experts think that the measures mentioned below will work, while others think that these are not good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you to the following measures:
---------------------	--

Literal question	Increased government support for developing geothermal energy where one drills down to the geothermal energy under the Earth's surface.
-------------------------	---

w03_r3km37_4: Measures against climate change: Increased government support for developing geothermal energy

Interviewer's instructions	[R3KM37_1 through 4 are randomized, with the following possible combinations: R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_4, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_3, R3KM37_2 & R3KM37_4. Not R3KM37_1 & R3KM37_2 or R3KM37_3 & R3KM37_4]
-----------------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	7	0.1%
2	Negative	23	0.3%
3	Somewhat negative	10	0.1%
4	Neither negative nor positive	147	1.7%
5	Somewhat positive	195	2.3%
6	Positive	326	3.8%
7	Very positive	121	1.4%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	7662	90.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km41_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 or if the respondent is recruited in wave 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	116	1.4%
2	Agree	514	6.0%
3	Somewhat agree	1508	17.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	906	10.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	466	5.5%
6	Disagree	299	3.5%
7	Strongly disagree	92	1.1%
97	No answer	177	2.1%
98	Not asked	4437	52.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km41_3: Statement: Citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?
Literal question	Citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 or if the respondent is recruited in wave 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	638	7.5%
2	Agree	1209	14.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1145	13.4%

w03_r3km41_3: Statement: Citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	416	4.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	219	2.6%
6	Disagree	197	2.3%
7	Strongly disagree	109	1.3%
97	No answer	145	1.7%
98	Not asked	4437	52.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran8: [Randomizes if U=2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1687 /-] [Invalid=15324 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	147	8.7%
2	2	138	8.2%
3	3	148	8.8%
4	4	145	8.6%
5	5	129	7.6%
6	6	169	10.0%
7	7	132	7.8%
8	8	128	7.6%
9	9	137	8.1%
10	10	133	7.9%
11	11	143	8.5%
12	12	138	8.2%
Sysmiss		15324	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1a2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	1	0.0%
2	Willing	15	0.2%
3	Somewhat willing	35	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	65	0.8%
5	Not willing at all	27	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.0%

w03_u2_pad311_1a2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8368	98.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1b2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	2	0.0%
2	Willing	17	0.2%
3	Somewhat willing	32	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	60	0.7%
5	Not willing at all	24	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8377	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1c2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	5	0.1%
2	Willing	42	0.5%
3	Somewhat willing	41	0.5%
4	Slightly willing	47	0.6%
5	Not willing at all	11	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8367	98.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1a2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	5	0.1%
2	Willing	43	0.5%
3	Somewhat willing	37	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	47	0.6%
5	Not willing at all	9	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8370	98.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1b2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	4	0.0%
2	Willing	48	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	41	0.5%
4	Slightly willing	26	0.3%
5	Not willing at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8386	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1c2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.

w03_u2_pad311_1c2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Literal question How willing are you to accept this decision?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran8=6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	4	0.0%
2	Willing	62	0.7%
3	Somewhat willing	55	0.6%
4	Slightly willing	37	0.4%
5	Not willing at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8346	98.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1a2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.

Literal question How willing are you to accept this decision?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran8=7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	13	0.2%
2	Willing	28	0.3%
3	Somewhat willing	39	0.5%
4	Slightly willing	32	0.4%
5	Not willing at all	16	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8383	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1b2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.

Literal question How willing are you to accept this decision?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran8=8]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	11	0.1%
2	Willing	37	0.4%

w03_u2_pad311_1b2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat willing	30	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	30	0.4%
5	Not willing at all	16	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8387	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1c2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=9]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	19	0.2%
2	Willing	55	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	37	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	12	0.1%
5	Not willing at all	9	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8378	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1a2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	17	0.2%
2	Willing	49	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	35	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	22	0.3%
5	Not willing at all	7	0.1%

w03_u2_pad311_1a2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8382	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1b2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=11]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	19	0.2%
2	Willing	57	0.7%
3	Somewhat willing	31	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	14	0.2%
5	Not willing at all	14	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8372	98.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad311_1c2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran8=12]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	25	0.3%
2	Willing	49	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	36	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	20	0.2%
5	Not willing at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8377	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran9: [Randomizes if U=2, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1412 /-] [Invalid=15599 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	120	8.5%
2	2	138	9.8%
3	3	117	8.3%
4	4	122	8.6%
5	5	125	8.9%
6	6	111	7.9%
7	7	101	7.2%
8	8	125	8.9%
9	9	106	7.5%
10	10	114	8.1%
11	11	125	8.9%
12	12	108	7.6%
Sysmiss		15599	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1a2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do not have the opportunity to influence this decision, but it is still interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran9=1. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	10	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	95	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	15	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8395	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1b2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do have the opportunity to influence this decision, and it is therefore interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran9=2. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

w03_u2_pad312_1b2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	12	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	115	1.4%
3	Have no opinion	8	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8377	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1c2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a thousand selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran9=3. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	8	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	98	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	9	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8398	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1d2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a hundred selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran9=4. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	7	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	104	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	10	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8393	98.6%

w03_u2_pad312_1d2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1e2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Literal question
Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of ten selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions
[Asked if U=2 and ran9=5. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	7	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	112	1.3%
3	Have no opinion	4	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8390	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1f2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Literal question
Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of three selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions
[Asked if U=2 and ran9=6. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	4	0.0%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	95	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	9	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8404	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1a2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

w03_u2_pad312_1a2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do not have the opportunity to influence this decision, but it is still interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran9=7. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	11	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	74	0.9%
3	Have no opinion	15	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8414	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1b2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation:: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do have the opportunity to influence this decision, and it is therefore interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran9=8. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	12	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	103	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	9	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8390	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1c2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a thousand selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran9=9. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

w03_u2_pad312_1c2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	5	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	87	1.0%
3	Have no opinion	13	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8409	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1d2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a hundred selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran9=10. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	9	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	91	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	14	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8401	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1e2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of ten selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran9=11. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	10	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	109	1.3%
3	Have no opinion	4	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8390	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad312_1f2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of three selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran9=12. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	8	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	89	1.0%
3	Have no opinion	11	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8407	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran10: [Randomizes if U=2, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1411 /-] [Invalid=15600 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	358	25.4%
2	2	343	24.3%
3	3	334	23.7%
4	4	376	26.6%

# w03_ran10: [Randomizes if U=2, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u2_pad313_1a2a: Acceptable decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran10=1. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	34	0.4%
2	To a great extent	55	0.6%
3	To some extent	114	1.3%
4	To a slight extent	87	1.0%
5	Not at all	61	0.7%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8157	95.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u2_pad313_1b2a: Acceptable decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran10=2. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	231	2.7%
2	To a great extent	78	0.9%
3	To some extent	16	0.2%
4	To a slight extent	5	0.1%
5	Not at all	8	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8172	96.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u2_pad313_1a2b: Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. How willing are you to accept this decision?		

w03_u2_pad313_1a2b: Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran10=3. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	31	0.4%
2	Willing	127	1.5%
3	Somewhat willing	73	0.9%
4	Slightly willing	64	0.8%
5	Not willing at all	28	0.3%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	8181	96.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u2_pad313_1b2b: Willing to accept decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. How willing are you to accept this decision?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran10=4. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	274	3.2%
2	Willing	75	0.9%
3	Somewhat willing	11	0.1%
4	Slightly willing	8	0.1%
5	Not willing at all	4	0.0%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8139	95.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4ea: Upsetting: Labour migrants from EU countries have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Does it upset you that labour migrants from EU countries have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians do?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2. R3CC4a and R3CC4b is asked on the same page, in a randomized order]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	753	8.8%
2	No	888	10.4%
97	No answer	78	0.9%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4eb: Upsetting: Those who are ill due to smoking receive treatment that is equally good and expensive as non-smoking patients

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Does it upset you that those who are ill due to smoking receive treatment that is equally good and expensive as non-smoking patients?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2. R3CC4a and R3CC4b is asked on the same page, in a randomized order]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	269	3.2%
2	No	1380	16.2%
97	No answer	70	0.8%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc7: Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Indicate how many of these four situations upset you. We are only interested in how many upset you, not which ones. That new Norwegian roads are often funded by collecting tolls That sportspeople earn tens of millions of kroner That many do not believe in climate change caused by human activities That we have to pay the NRK licence fee That the government has now reduced the paternal quota ("paternity leave")
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2. Situasjonene i R3CC7 er listet i randomisert rekkefølge]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	77	0.9%
1	1	0	
2	2	280	3.3%
3	3	528	6.2%
4	4	472	5.5%
5	5	210	2.5%
6		83	1.0%
97	No answer	69	0.8%
98	Not asked	6796	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran11: [Randomizes if U=2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1673 /-] [Invalid=15338 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	430	25.7%
2	2	407	24.3%
3	3	424	25.3%
4	4	412	24.6%
Sysmiss		15338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_ro1a: Prediction about oil consumption: Plenty of oil in 25 years or used up in 15 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now. Others say that at the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years. Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran11=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	322	3.8%
2	Oil will be used up in 15 years	92	1.1%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8085	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro1b: Prediction about oil consumption: Used up all oil in 15 years or plenty in 25 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Now a few general questions regarding our society in Norway. We would like to ask you for your personal opinion about these issues.		
Literal question	Some people say that that at the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years. Others say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now. Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran11=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oil will be used up in 15 years	57	0.7%
2	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	330	3.9%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	8108	95.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro1c: Prediction about oil consumption: Plenty of oil in 25 years or used up in 15 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Now a few general questions regarding our society in the Norway. We would like to ask you for your personal opinion about these issues.		
Literal question	Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? We will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now, or at the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran11=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	330	3.9%
2	Oil will be used up in 15 years	86	1.0%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8091	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro1d: Prediction about oil consumption: Used up all oil in 15 years or plenty in 25 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# w03_ro1d: Prediction about oil consumption: Used up all oil in 15 years or plenty in 25 years			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		Now a few general questions regarding our society in the United States. We would like to ask you for your personal opinion about these issues.	
Literal question		Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? At the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years, or we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=2 and ran11=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oil will be used up in 15 years	80	0.9%
2	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	321	3.8%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	8103	95.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran12: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1673 /-] [Invalid=15338 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	451	27.0%
2	2	426	25.5%
3	3	396	23.7%
4	4	400	23.9%
Sysmiss		15338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro2a: Determining gasoline and oil prices: Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		Do you agree or disagree with this idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=2 and ran12=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	248	2.9%
2	Disagree	186	2.2%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	8064	94.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro2b: Determining gasoline and oil prices: The oil companies get together and set prices for their products.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		Do you agree or disagree with this idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? The oil companies get together and set prices for their products.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=2 and ran12=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	125	1.5%

# w03_ro2b: Determining gasoline and oil prices: The oil companies get together and set prices for their products.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Disagree	279	3.3%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	8089	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro2c: Determining gasoline and oil prices: Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition, or the oil companies get together and set prices for their products.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Which of these statements comes closest to your own idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? Each company sets its own prices to meet the competition, or the oil companies get together and set prices for their products.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran12=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Each company sets its own prices	131	1.5%
2	Oil companies get together	250	2.9%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	8119	95.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro2d: Determining gasoline and oil prices: The oil companies get together and set prices for their products, or each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Which of these statements comes closest to your own idea of how gasoline and oil prices are decided? The oil companies get together and set prices for their products, or each company sets its own prices to meet the competition.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran12=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oil companies get together	258	3.0%
2	Each company sets its own prices	128	1.5%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	8115	95.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran13: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1672 /-] [Invalid=15339 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	440	26.3%
2	2	393	23.5%
3	3	434	26.0%
4	4	405	24.2%
Sysmiss		15339	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_ro3a: Public housing: Government should provide adequate housing or each person should provide for it themselves

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Some people feel the government should see to it that all people have adequate housing, while others feel each person should provide for his or her own housing. Which comes closest to how you feel about this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran13=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government should see to adequate housing	226	2.7%
2	Let each person provide for own housing	199	2.3%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	8075	94.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ro3b: Public housing: Each person should provide for it themselves or the government should provide adequate housing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Some people feel each person should provide for his or her own housing, while others feel the government should see to it that all people have adequate housing. Which comes closest to how you feel about this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran13=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Let each person provide for own housing	239	2.8%
2	Government should see to adequate housing	134	1.6%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	8122	95.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ro3c: Public housing: Government should provide adequate housing or each person should provide for it themselves

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Which comes closest to how you feel about this? The government should see to it that all people have adequate housing, or each person should provide for his or her own housing.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran13=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government should see to adequate housing	219	2.6%
2	Let each person provide for own housing	193	2.3%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	8081	94.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ro3d: Public housing: Each person should provide for it themselves or the government should provide adequate housing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

w03_ro3d: Public housing: Each person should provide for it themselves or the government should provide adequate housing

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Which comes closest to how you feel about this? Each person should provide for his or her own housing, or the government should see to it that all people have adequate housing.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran13=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Let each person provide for own housing	240	2.8%
2	Government should see to adequate housing	152	1.8%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	8110	95.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad301_1: Confidence in institutions: The law courts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have in the following institutions and entities?
Literal question	The law courts
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	226	2.7%
2	High confidence	829	9.7%
3	Some confidence	307	3.6%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	124	1.5%
5	Some lack of confidence	64	0.8%
6	A high lack of confidence	26	0.3%
7	A severe lack of confidence	20	0.2%
97	No answer	40	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	31	0.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad301_2: Confidence in institutions: The police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have in the following institutions and entities?
Literal question	The police
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	157	1.8%
2	High confidence	869	10.2%
3	Some confidence	373	4.4%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	85	1.0%
5	Some lack of confidence	85	1.0%
6	A high lack of confidence	36	0.4%

w03_pad301_2: Confidence in institutions: The police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	A severe lack of confidence	21	0.2%
97	No answer	38	0.4%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	3	0.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad301_3: Confidence in institutions: Media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have in the following institutions and entities?
Literal question	Media
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	13	0.2%
2	High confidence	156	1.8%
3	Some confidence	521	6.1%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	328	3.9%
5	Some lack of confidence	414	4.9%
6	A high lack of confidence	131	1.5%
7	A severe lack of confidence	61	0.7%
97	No answer	39	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	4	0.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad301_4: Confidence in institutions: Researchers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have in the following institutions and entities?
Literal question	Researchers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	134	1.6%
2	High confidence	679	8.0%
3	Some confidence	473	5.6%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	200	2.3%
5	Some lack of confidence	82	1.0%
6	A high lack of confidence	18	0.2%
7	A severe lack of confidence	10	0.1%
97	No answer	46	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	25	0.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_pad301_4: Confidence in institutions: Researchers			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad301_5: Confidence in institutions: NAV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have in the following institutions and entities?		
Literal question	NAV		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	22	0.3%
2	High confidence	223	2.6%
3	Some confidence	460	5.4%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	329	3.9%
5	Some lack of confidence	301	3.5%
6	A high lack of confidence	148	1.7%
7	A severe lack of confidence	102	1.2%
97	No answer	41	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	41	0.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad301_6: Confidence in institutions: The banking industry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have in the following institutions and entities?		
Literal question	The banking industry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	78	0.9%
2	High confidence	486	5.7%
3	Some confidence	487	5.7%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	239	2.8%
5	Some lack of confidence	227	2.7%
6	A high lack of confidence	67	0.8%
7	A severe lack of confidence	30	0.4%
97	No answer	38	0.4%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	15	0.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad301_7: Confidence in institutions: The national health service			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have in the following institutions and entities?		

# w03_pad301_7: Confidence in institutions: The national health service			
Literal question	The national health service		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	127	1.5%
2	High confidence	782	9.2%
3	Some confidence	465	5.5%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	95	1.1%
5	Some lack of confidence	108	1.3%
6	A high lack of confidence	31	0.4%
7	A severe lack of confidence	17	0.2%
97	No answer	41	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	1	0.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran14: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=15358 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	848	51.3%
2	2	805	48.7%
Sysmiss		15358	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad303_1: Confidence in authorities at the national level: Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the national level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?		
Literal question	Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	29	0.3%
2	High confidence	348	4.1%
3	Some confidence	290	3.4%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	60	0.7%
5	Some lack of confidence	80	0.9%
6	A high lack of confidence	20	0.2%
7	A severe lack of confidence	5	0.1%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7667	90.0%
99	Don't know	5	0.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_pad303_2: Confidence in authorities at the national level: Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the national level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?
Literal question	Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	35	0.4%
2	High confidence	373	4.4%
3	Some confidence	289	3.4%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	64	0.8%
5	Some lack of confidence	51	0.6%
6	A high lack of confidence	16	0.2%
7	A severe lack of confidence	1	0.0%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7667	90.0%
99	Don't know	7	0.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad303_3: Confidence in authorities at the national level: Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the national level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?
Literal question	Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	36	0.4%
2	High confidence	308	3.6%
3	Some confidence	302	3.5%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	71	0.8%
5	Some lack of confidence	79	0.9%
6	A high lack of confidence	27	0.3%
7	A severe lack of confidence	8	0.1%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7667	90.0%
99	Don't know	6	0.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad303_4: Confidence in authorities at the national level: Failure of critical infrastructure

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

# w03_pad303_4: Confidence in authorities at the national level: Failure of critical infrastructure			
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the national level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?		
Literal question	Failure of critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supplies)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	15	0.2%
2	High confidence	249	2.9%
3	Some confidence	295	3.5%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	93	1.1%
5	Some lack of confidence	129	1.5%
6	A high lack of confidence	30	0.4%
7	A severe lack of confidence	12	0.1%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7667	90.0%
99	Don't know	13	0.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad303_5: Confidence in authorities at the national level: Terrorist attack			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the national level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?		
Literal question	Terrorist attack		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	17	0.2%
2	High confidence	184	2.2%
3	Some confidence	320	3.8%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	86	1.0%
5	Some lack of confidence	132	1.6%
6	A high lack of confidence	59	0.7%
7	A severe lack of confidence	31	0.4%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7667	90.0%
99	Don't know	8	0.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad304_1: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the local level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?		
Literal question	Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=2]		

w03_pad304_1: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Crises caused by nature such as landslides, floods, hurricanes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	33	0.4%
2	High confidence	255	3.0%
3	Some confidence	300	3.5%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	87	1.0%
5	Some lack of confidence	74	0.9%
6	A high lack of confidence	26	0.3%
7	A severe lack of confidence	12	0.1%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7710	90.5%
99	Don't know	8	0.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad304_2: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the local level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?
Literal question	Accidents in connection with aircraft, railways, roads and shipping traffic
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	35	0.4%
2	High confidence	302	3.5%
3	Some confidence	256	3.0%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	78	0.9%
5	Some lack of confidence	84	1.0%
6	A high lack of confidence	18	0.2%
7	A severe lack of confidence	9	0.1%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7710	90.5%
99	Don't know	11	0.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad304_3: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the local level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?
Literal question	Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics (for example bird flu, swine flu, E.coli)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	30	0.4%

w03_pad304_3: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Infections in connection with food, drinking water or epidemics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	High confidence	237	2.8%
3	Some confidence	252	3.0%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	107	1.3%
5	Some lack of confidence	97	1.1%
6	A high lack of confidence	40	0.5%
7	A severe lack of confidence	24	0.3%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7710	90.5%
99	Don't know	7	0.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad304_4: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Failure of critical infrastructure

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the local level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?
Literal question	Failure of critical infrastructure (such as power supply, electronic communication, telecommunication, oil and fuel supplies)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	17	0.2%
2	High confidence	209	2.5%
3	Some confidence	264	3.1%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	109	1.3%
5	Some lack of confidence	123	1.4%
6	A high lack of confidence	35	0.4%
7	A severe lack of confidence	22	0.3%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7710	90.5%
99	Don't know	14	0.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad304_5: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Terrorist attack

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence or lack of it do you have that Norwegian authorities at the local level are capable of handling different types of accidents and crises?
Literal question	Terrorist attack
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran14=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	18	0.2%
2	High confidence	118	1.4%
3	Some confidence	253	3.0%
4	Neither confidence nor lack of it	102	1.2%

# w03_pad304_5: Confidence in authorities at the local level: Terrorist attack			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Some lack of confidence	145	1.7%
6	A high lack of confidence	92	1.1%
7	A severe lack of confidence	54	0.6%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7710	90.5%
99	Don't know	13	0.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad307: Parliament discussing a new unreasonable measure, likelihood of trying to influence the outcome?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Let's say that Parliament was discussing a new measure that you thought was completely unreasonable. How likely is it that you would try to do something to influence the outcome?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	59	0.7%
2	Likely	219	2.6%
3	Somewhat likely	496	5.8%
4	Slightly likely	718	8.4%
5	Not likely at all	140	1.6%
97	No answer	35	0.4%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad308: Preferred approach to political influence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If you wanted to influence the outcome of an issue, what would be your preferred approach?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Would write a letter to the editor of a newspaper	255	3.0%
2	Would work to obtain media coverage in another way	156	1.8%
3	Would discuss the issue in the party or organisation that I am a member of	133	1.6%
4	Would contact a politician	248	2.9%
5	Would contact an employee in the ministry responsible	73	0.9%
6	Would organise a petition	81	1.0%
7	Would organise action	40	0.5%
8	Not sure	567	6.7%
9	Not sure	59	0.7%
97	No answer	55	0.6%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_pad309_1: Influenced a political decision: Contacted a politician at the local level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Contacted a politician at the local level		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1489	17.5%
1	Contacted a politician at the local level	164	1.9%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad309_2: Influenced a political decision: Contacted a politician at the national level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Contacted a politician at the national level		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1589	18.7%
1	Contacted a politician at the national level	64	0.8%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad309_3: Influenced a political decision: Shown a political viewpoint in social media or other websites			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Shown a political viewpoint in social media or other websites		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1342	15.8%
1	Shown a political viewpoint in social media or other websites	311	3.7%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad309_4: Influenced a political decision: Participated in work in a voluntary organisation or association			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# w03_pad309_4: Influenced a political decision: Participated in work in a voluntary organisation or association			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Participated in work in a voluntary organisation or association		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1371	16.1%
1	Participated in work in a voluntary organisation or association	282	3.3%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad309_5: Influenced a political decision: Worn or hung up a campaign message or emblem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Worn or hung up a campaign message or emblem		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1581	18.6%
1	Worn or hung up a campaign message or emblem	72	0.8%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_pad309_6: Influenced a political decision: Signed a petition, a formal written request, an action list or similar			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Signed a petition, a formal written request, an action list or similar.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1156	13.6%
1	Signed a petition, a formal written request, an action list or similar.	497	5.8%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad309_7: Influenced a political decision: Participated in a public demonstration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Participated in a public demonstration		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1518	17.8%
1	Participated in a public demonstration	135	1.6%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad309_8: Influenced a political decision: Boycotted specific products			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.		
Literal question	Boycotted specific products		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1186	13.9%
1	Boycotted specific products	467	5.5%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad309_9: Influenced a political decision: Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or eco-friendly reasons			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

w03_pad309_9: Influenced a political decision: Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or eco-friendly reasons

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months, have you as a private person done any of the following in an attempt to influence a political decision? Indicate if yes.
Literal question	Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or eco-friendly reasons
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1101	12.9%
1	Consciously purchased specific products for political, moral or eco-friendly reasons	552	6.5%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Systemmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad310_1: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same level of education as you have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?
Literal question	The same level of education as you have
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	34	0.4%
2	Important	140	1.6%
3	Somewhat important	309	3.6%
4	Slightly important	544	6.4%
5	Not important at all	537	6.3%
97	No answer	103	1.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Systemmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad310_2: Personal qualities in a national representative: From the same part of the country as you are

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?
Literal question	From the same part of the country as you are
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	51	0.6%
2	Important	169	2.0%
3	Somewhat important	276	3.2%
4	Slightly important	430	5.0%
5	Not important at all	648	7.6%

# w03_pad310_2: Personal qualities in a national representative: From the same part of the country as you are			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	93	1.1%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad310_3: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same gender as you are			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?		
Literal question	The same gender as you are		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	8	0.1%
2	Important	44	0.5%
3	Somewhat important	90	1.1%
4	Slightly important	452	5.3%
5	Not important at all	969	11.4%
97	No answer	104	1.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad310_4: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same religious belief as you have			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?		
Literal question	The same religious belief as you have		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	57	0.7%
2	Important	151	1.8%
3	Somewhat important	273	3.2%
4	Slightly important	473	5.6%
5	Not important at all	610	7.2%
97	No answer	103	1.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad310_5: Personal qualities in a national representative: About the same age as you			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?		

w03_pad310_5: Personal qualities in a national representative: About the same age as you

Literal question	About the same age as you
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	15	0.2%
2	Important	97	1.1%
3	Somewhat important	296	3.5%
4	Slightly important	537	6.3%
5	Not important at all	623	7.3%
97	No answer	99	1.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad310_6: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same ethnic background as you have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?
Literal question	The same ethnic background as you have
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	69	0.8%
2	Important	190	2.2%
3	Somewhat important	262	3.1%
4	Slightly important	440	5.2%
5	Not important at all	610	7.2%
97	No answer	96	1.1%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad310_7: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same political viewpoint as you have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?
Literal question	The same political viewpoint as you have
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	341	4.0%
2	Important	642	7.5%
3	Somewhat important	389	4.6%
4	Slightly important	120	1.4%
5	Not important at all	96	1.1%
97	No answer	79	0.9%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%

# w03_pad310_7: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same political viewpoint as you have			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad310_8: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same type of work experience as you have			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?		
Literal question	The same type of work experience as you have		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	38	0.4%
2	Important	150	1.8%
3	Somewhat important	340	4.0%
4	Slightly important	538	6.3%
5	Not important at all	501	5.9%
97	No answer	100	1.2%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Systemmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad310_9: Personal qualities in a national representative: The same sexual orientation as you have			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	If you could choose one politician who would represent your interests in the national authorities in Norway, how important do you think the qualities below are for this person?		
Literal question	The same sexual orientation as you have		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	27	0.3%
2	Important	63	0.7%
3	Somewhat important	72	0.8%
4	Slightly important	347	4.1%
5	Not important at all	1050	12.3%
97	No answer	108	1.3%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Systemmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran15: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1652 /-] [Invalid=15359 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	162	9.8%
2	2	157	9.5%
3	3	128	7.7%

w03_ran15: [Randomizes if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	142	8.6%
5	5	135	8.2%
6	6	135	8.2%
7	7	138	8.4%
8	8	112	6.8%
9	9	136	8.2%
10	10	146	8.8%
11	11	124	7.5%
12	12	137	8.3%
Sysmiss		15359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1a2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	4	0.0%
2	Willing	17	0.2%
3	Somewhat willing	41	0.5%
4	Slightly willing	64	0.8%
5	Not willing at all	32	0.4%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8353	98.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1b2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	6	0.1%
2	Willing	30	0.4%
3	Somewhat willing	42	0.5%

w03_u3_pad311_1b2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Slightly willing	61	0.7%
5	Not willing at all	16	0.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8358	98.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1c2a3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	1	0.0%
2	Willing	21	0.2%
3	Somewhat willing	46	0.5%
4	Slightly willing	46	0.5%
5	Not willing at all	9	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8387	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1a2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	5	0.1%
2	Willing	49	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	43	0.5%
4	Slightly willing	30	0.4%
5	Not willing at all	12	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8373	98.3%

w03_u3_pad311_1a2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1b2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
---------------------	---

Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=5]
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	3	0.0%
2	Willing	54	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	36	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	34	0.4%
5	Not willing at all	3	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8380	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1c2b3a: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: Against personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached goes against your wishes.
---------------------	--

Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=6]
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	9	0.1%
2	Willing	44	0.5%
3	Somewhat willing	53	0.6%
4	Slightly willing	25	0.3%
5	Not willing at all	3	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8380	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1a2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	16	0.2%
2	Willing	46	0.5%
3	Somewhat willing	38	0.4%
4	Slightly willing	21	0.2%
5	Not willing at all	11	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8377	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1b2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=8]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	18	0.2%
2	Willing	41	0.5%
3	Somewhat willing	27	0.3%
4	Slightly willing	16	0.2%
5	Not willing at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8403	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1c2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does not reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence,

w03_u3_pad311_1c2a3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, not diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.

Literal question How willing are you to accept this decision?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3 and ran15=9]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	23	0.3%
2	Willing	63	0.7%
3	Somewhat willing	29	0.3%
4	Slightly willing	9	0.1%
5	Not willing at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8379	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1a2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred randomly selected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred randomly selected citizens. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.

Literal question How willing are you to accept this decision?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3 and ran15=10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	28	0.3%
2	Willing	54	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	25	0.3%
4	Slightly willing	20	0.2%
5	Not willing at all	15	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8369	98.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1b2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people selected by the citizens of Norway. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.

Literal question How willing are you to accept this decision?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3 and ran15=11]

w03_u3_pad311_1b2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred democratically elected citizens, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	29	0.3%
2	Willing	55	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	27	0.3%
4	Slightly willing	4	0.0%
5	Not willing at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8391	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad311_1c2b3b: Group deciding over Oil fund spending: One hundred appointed experts, diversified ::: In line with personal wishes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to consider this scenario: A political decision is to be made about how some of the money in the Oil fund will be used. This decision is made by a group of one hundred people that the authorities consider to be experts in the area. The group does reflect the Norwegian population in terms of age composition, education, gender, place of residence, sexual orientation, ethnic background, work experience and religion. The decision that was reached is in line with your own wishes.
Literal question	How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran15=12]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	42	0.5%
2	Willing	61	0.7%
3	Somewhat willing	19	0.2%
4	Slightly willing	8	0.1%
5	Not willing at all	2	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8378	98.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran16: [Randomizes if U=3, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1368 /-] [Invalid=15643 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	106	7.7%
2	2	124	9.1%
3	3	116	8.5%
4	4	118	8.6%
5	5	121	8.8%
6	6	99	7.2%
7	7	111	8.1%
8	8	111	8.1%
9	9	107	7.8%

w03_ran16: [Randomizes if U=3, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	10	121	8.8%
11	11	119	8.7%
12	12	115	8.4%
Sysmiss		15643	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1a2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do not have the opportunity to influence this decision, but it is still interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=1. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	8	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	91	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	6	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8409	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1b2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do have the opportunity to influence this decision, and it is therefore interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=2. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	13	0.2%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	99	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	11	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8391	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1c2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a thousand selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=3. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	11	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	97	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	7	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8399	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1d2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a hundred selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=4. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	5	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	103	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	8	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8397	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1e2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of ten selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=5. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

w03_u3_pad312_1e2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	9	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	103	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8394	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1f2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of three selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=6. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	6	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	87	1.0%
3	Have no opinion	4	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8416	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1a2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do not have the opportunity to influence this decision, but it is still interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=7. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	17	0.2%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	87	1.0%
3	Have no opinion	7	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8404	98.7%

w03_u3_pad312_1a2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1b2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do have the opportunity to influence this decision, and it is therefore interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
-------------------------	---

Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=8. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]
-----------------------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	14	0.2%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	88	1.0%
3	Have no opinion	7	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8404	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1c2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a thousand selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=9. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]
-----------------------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	9	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	87	1.0%
3	Have no opinion	7	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8408	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1d2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

w03_u3_pad312_1d2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a hundred selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=10. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	9	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	97	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	11	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8394	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1e2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of ten selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=11. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	8	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	101	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	9	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8396	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad312_1f2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of three selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Spurt dersom U=3 og ran16=12. Respondenter rekruttert i runde 1 som har blitt eksponert for w01_DT14 eller w01_DT15, blir ikke spurt dette spørsmålet]

w03_u3_pad312_1f2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	3	0.0%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	106	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8400	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran17: [Randomizes if U=3, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1368 /-] [Invalid=15643 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	321	23.5%
2	2	361	26.4%
3	3	353	25.8%
4	4	333	24.3%
Sysmiss		15643	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad313_1a2a: Acceptable decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran17=1. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	24	0.3%
2	To a great extent	48	0.6%
3	To some extent	120	1.4%
4	To a slight extent	73	0.9%
5	Not at all	49	0.6%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8194	96.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u3_pad313_1b2a: Acceptable decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?

# w03_u3_pad313_1b2a: Acceptable decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=3 and ran17=2. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	228	2.7%
2	To a great extent	93	1.1%
3	To some extent	25	0.3%
4	To a slight extent	7	0.1%
5	Not at all	6	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8154	95.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u3_pad313_1a2b: Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. How willing are you to accept this decision?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=3 and ran17=3. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	36	0.4%
2	Willing	129	1.5%
3	Somewhat willing	77	0.9%
4	Slightly willing	67	0.8%
5	Not willing at all	39	0.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8162	95.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u3_pad313_1b2b: Willing to accept decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. How willing are you to accept this decision?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=3 and ran17=4. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	242	2.8%
2	Willing	66	0.8%
3	Somewhat willing	11	0.1%
4	Slightly willing	2	0.0%
5	Not willing at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8182	96.1%

# w03_u3_pad313_1b2b: Willing to accept decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad315: Number of public officials in Norway involved in corruption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, how many public officials in Norway are involved in corruption?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost none	179	2.1%
2	Very few	760	8.9%
3	Some	515	6.0%
4	Quite a lot	148	1.7%
5	Almost all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	58	0.7%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad316: Number of politicians in Norway involved in corruption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, how many politicians in Norway are involved in corruption?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost none	340	4.0%
2	Very few	749	8.8%
3	Some	383	4.5%
4	Quite a lot	100	1.2%
5	Almost all	10	0.1%
97	No answer	85	1.0%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad318: Time spent reading VG online, on a mobile phone, or a tablet computer on an ordinary day			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How much time do you spend reading VG online, on a mobile phone, or a tablet computer on an ordinary day?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rarely or never	568	6.7%
2	Less than 30 minutes	637	7.5%
3	30 to 60 minutes	337	4.0%
4	1 to 2 hours	68	0.8%

# w03_pad318: Time spent reading VG online, on a mobile phone, or a tablet computer on an ordinary day			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	2 to 3 hours	16	0.2%
6	3 to 4 hours	7	0.1%
7	More than 4 hours	2	0.0%
97	No answer	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran18: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1648 /-] [Invalid=15363 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	189	11.5%
2	2	244	14.8%
3	3	194	11.8%
4	4	211	12.8%
5	5	194	11.8%
6	6	196	11.9%
7	7	207	12.6%
8	8	213	12.9%
Sysmiss		15363	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad319aa: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support for Progress Party drops on new poll	86	1.0%
2	Support for Labour Party surges on new poll	88	1.0%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	8326	97.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad319ab: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support for Labour Party surges on new poll	119	1.4%

w03_pad319ab: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Support for Progress Party drops on new poll	96	1.1%
97	No answer	29	0.3%
98	Not asked	8271	97.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_pad319ba: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support for Labour Party drops on new poll	86	1.0%
2	Support for Progress Party surges on new poll	84	1.0%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	8321	97.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad319bb: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support for Progress Party surges on new poll	83	1.0%
2	Support for Labour Party drops on new poll	107	1.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	8304	97.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad319ca: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No change in support for Labour Party on new poll	98	1.2%
2	No change in support for Progress Party on new poll	51	0.6%
97	No answer	45	0.5%
98	Not asked	8321	97.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad319cb: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_pad319cb: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No change in support for Progress Party on new poll	75	0.9%
2	No change in support for Labour Party on new poll	83	1.0%
97	No answer	38	0.4%
98	Not asked	8319	97.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad319da: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strong growth in the Norwegian economy	60	0.7%
2	Sharp decline in the Norwegian economy	139	1.6%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8308	97.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad319db: «See Appendix in codebook for screenshot of questions»			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	These fictional articles are typical stories at the top of Norwegian online newspapers' front pages. Choose the article you would have clicked on and read more about if you had come across these articles on an ordinary day. You can only choose one of the articles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran18=8]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sharp decline in the Norwegian economy	142	1.7%
2	Strong growth in the Norwegian economy	64	0.8%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8302	97.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad320: Positive or negative impression of NAV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		

w03_pad320: Positive or negative impression of NAV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	39	0.5%
2	Positive	423	5.0%
3	Somewhat positive	556	6.5%
4	Neither positive nor negative	646	7.6%
5	Somewhat negative	692	8.1%
6	Negative	307	3.6%
7	Very negative	162	1.9%
97	No answer	102	1.2%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad321: Been in personal contact with NAV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Have you personally been in contact with NAV?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1669	19.6%
2	No	1162	13.6%
97	No answer	96	1.1%
98	Not asked	5588	65.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad322_1: Proposal: The authorities propose merging your municipality of residence with one or more neighbouring municipalities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What is your opinion on the following two imaginary suggestions?
Literal question	Imagine that the authorities propose merging your municipality of residence with one or more neighbouring municipalities.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good suggestion	185	2.2%
2	Good suggestion	419	4.9%
3	Somewhat good suggestion	240	2.8%
4	Neither good nor bad suggestion	281	3.3%
5	Somewhat bad suggestion	164	1.9%
6	Bad suggestion	170	2.0%
7	Very bad suggestion	163	1.9%
97	No answer	45	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad322_2: Proposal: Your municipality proposes to supply, to a greater extent than it does currently, the municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	What is your opinion on the following two imaginary suggestions?
Literal question	Imagine that your municipality proposes to supply, to a greater extent than it does currently, the municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good suggestion	191	2.2%
2	Good suggestion	504	5.9%
3	Somewhat good suggestion	332	3.9%
4	Neither good nor bad suggestion	262	3.1%
5	Somewhat bad suggestion	134	1.6%
6	Bad suggestion	103	1.2%
7	Very bad suggestion	78	0.9%
97	No answer	63	0.7%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad323: Willing to pay an extra NOK 1,000 in tax per year to maintain the current municipal structure

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Some estimates suggest that the current municipal structure is causing society extra expense. If you could choose, are you willing to pay an extra NOK 1,000 in tax per year to maintain the current municipal structure?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	379	4.5%
2	No	882	10.4%
97	No answer	39	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	367	4.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad325_1: Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation increases the quality of public services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with these two statements?
Literal question	Cooperation between the municipalities on the supply of services (inter-municipal cooperation) gives better quality than if individual municipalities supply the services themselves
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	194	2.3%
2	Agree	478	5.6%
3	Somewhat agree	406	4.8%

# w03_pad325_1: Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation increases the quality of public services			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	300	3.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	109	1.3%
6	Disagree	91	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.3%
97	No answer	60	0.7%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad325_2: Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible for the contents of the policies that are implemented			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with these two statements?		
Literal question	Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible for the contents of the policies that are implemented		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	70	0.8%
2	Agree	255	3.0%
3	Somewhat agree	456	5.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	407	4.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	169	2.0%
6	Disagree	187	2.2%
7	Strongly disagree	46	0.5%
97	No answer	77	0.9%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad327: What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	That the municipality supplies the services itself	362	4.3%
2	That the municipality cooperates more with other municipalities	667	7.8%
3	That the municipality merges with other municipalities	382	4.5%
97	No answer	45	0.5%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
99	Don't know	211	2.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_ran19: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=15368 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	391	23.8%
2	2	381	23.2%
3	3	443	27.0%
4	4	428	26.0%
Sysmiss		15368	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_aq3a: Statement: Most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran19=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	46	0.5%
2	Disagree	340	4.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8124	95.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_aq3b: Statement: Most men are not better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Most men are not better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran19=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	301	3.5%
2	Disagree	70	0.8%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	8134	95.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_aq3c: Men and women, who is better suited emotionally for politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Would you say that most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women, that men and women are equally suited, or that women are better suited than men in this area?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran19=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men are better suited	17	0.2%
2	Men and women equally	411	4.8%
3	Women are better suited	7	0.1%

# w03_aq3c: Men and women, who is better suited emotionally for politics			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8072	94.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_aq3d: Women and men, who is better suited emotionally for politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Would you say that most women are better suited emotionally for politics than are most men, that men and women are equally suited, or that men are better suited than women in this area?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran19=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Women are better suited	15	0.2%
2	Men and women equally	395	4.6%
3	Men are better suited	14	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8087	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran20: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1638 /-] [Invalid=15373 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	828	50.5%
2	2	810	49.5%
Sysmiss		15373	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_qw1a: Person with anti-religious attitudes, allow the freedom to speak			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	There are some people who are against all churches and religion. If such a person wanted to make a speech in your (city/town/community) against churches and religion, should he be allowed the freedom to speak, or not?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, allowed to speak	701	8.2%
2	Not, not allowed	106	1.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	7687	90.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_qw1b: Person with anti-religious attitudes, allowed to speak			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_qw1b: Person with anti-religious attitudes, allowed to speak			
Literal question	There are some people who are against all churches and religion. If such a person wanted to make a speech in your (city/town/community) against churches and religion, should he be allowed to speak, or not?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, allowed to speak	671	7.9%
2	Not, not allowed	119	1.4%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	7705	90.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran21: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1636 /-] [Invalid=15375 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	793	48.5%
2	2	843	51.5%
Sysmiss		15375	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro4a: Average temperatures around the world higher in the last three years than before that, lower, or about the same			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	As far as you know, would you say that average temperatures around the world have been higher in the last three years than before that, lower, or about the same?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher	623	7.3%
2	Lower	7	0.1%
3	About the same	147	1.7%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7722	90.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ro4b: Average temperatures around the world lower in the last three years than before that, higher, or about the same			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	As far as you know, would you say that average temperatures around the world have been lower in the last three years than before that, higher, or about the same?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher	15	0.2%
2	Lower	666	7.8%
3	About the same	140	1.6%

w03_ro4b: Average temperatures around the world lower in the last three years than before that, higher, or about the same

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	7672	90.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran22: [Randomizes if U=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1636 /-] [Invalid=15375 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	424	25.9%
2	2	401	24.5%
3	3	391	23.9%
4	4	420	25.7%
Sysmiss		15375	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qb1a: Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or oppose such law

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you think there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or do you oppose such law?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran22=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be a law	134	1.6%
2	Oppose such a law	275	3.2%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	8091	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qb1b: Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you think there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran22=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, should be a law	107	1.3%
2	No, not a law	282	3.3%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	8114	95.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qb1c: Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or oppose such a law because it would be too difficult to enforce

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you think there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or do you oppose such a law because it would be too difficult to enforce?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran22=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be a law	93	1.1%
2	Oppose such a law	285	3.3%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	8124	95.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qb1d: Serious fuel shortage this winter: Law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, oppose or should there be such a law

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	If there is a serious fuel shortage this winter, do you oppose that there should be a law requiring people to lower the heat in their homes, or do you think there should be such law?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran22=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oppose such a law	237	2.8%
2	Should be a law	177	2.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8095	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran23: [Randomizes if U=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1634 /-] [Invalid=15377 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	802	49.1%
2	2	832	50.9%
Sysmiss		15377	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qo11a: Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran23=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	668	7.8%

w03_qo11a: Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	110	1.3%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	7713	90.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qo12a: Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran23=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	688	8.1%
2	No	82	1.0%
97	No answer	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	7713	90.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qo12b: Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran23=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	695	8.2%
2	No	114	1.3%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	7683	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_qo11b: Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran23=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	606	7.1%
2	No	200	2.3%

w03_qo11b: Do you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	26	0.3%
98	Not asked	7683	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran24: [Randomizes if U=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1633 /-] [Invalid=15378 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	836	51.2%
2	2	797	48.8%
Sysmiss		15378	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ro6a: Agree or disagree: Inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful? Would you say...
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran24=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	141	1.7%
2	Agree	332	3.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	210	2.5%
4	Disagree	102	1.2%
5	Strongly disagree	31	0.4%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	7679	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ro6b: Disagree or agree: Inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Do you disagree or agree that inequality continues to exist because it benefits the rich and powerful? Would you say...
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran24=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	118	1.4%
2	Disagree	321	3.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	201	2.4%
4	Agree	104	1.2%
5	Strongly agree	36	0.4%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7718	90.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_fin1: Should child benefit be increased?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	There has recently been a discussion about if child benefit should be increased. What is your position on a proposal to increase child benefit? Should child benefit be increased?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	878	10.3%
2	No	680	8.0%
97	No answer	109	1.3%
98	Not asked	6848	80.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran25: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=15380 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	819	50.2%
2	2	812	49.8%
Sysmiss		15380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_fin1a: Increasing child benefit: Immigrant families are entitled to the same child benefit as Norwegian families			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Now it is the case that newly arrived immigrant families are entitled to the same child benefit as Norwegian families.		
Literal question	Do you still think that child benefit should be increased?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3, FIN1=1, and ran25=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	384	4.5%
2	No	32	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8062	94.7%
99	Don't know	36	0.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_fin1b: Increasing child benefit: Labour migrants from the EEA are entitled to the same child benefit as Norwegian families			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Now it is the case that labour migrants from the European Economic Area are entitled to the same child benefit as Norwegian families even if they have children living in their home countries.		
Literal question	Do you still think that child benefit should be increased?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3, FIN1=1, and ran25=2]		

w03_fin1b: Increasing child benefit: Labour migrants from the EEA are entitled to the same child benefit as Norwegian families

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	238	2.8%
2	No	115	1.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8090	95.0%
99	Don't know	70	0.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_fin2a: Increasing child benefit: Only for children of Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Would you have supported the proposal to increase child benefit if the scheme only applied to children of Norwegian citizens?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3, FIN1=2, and ran25=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	29	0.3%
2	No	269	3.2%
97	No answer	453	5.3%
98	Not asked	7736	90.9%
99	Don't know	28	0.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_fin2b: Increasing child benefit: Only for children living in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Would you have supported the proposal to increase child benefit if the scheme only applied to children living in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom U=3, FIN1=2, og ran25=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	68	0.8%
2	No	222	2.6%
97	No answer	425	5.0%
98	Not asked	7738	90.9%
99	Don't know	62	0.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran30: [Randomizes if U=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=15380 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	812	49.8%
2	2	819	50.2%
Sysmiss		15380	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3cc5: Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Indicate how many of these four situations upset you. We are only interested in how many upset you, not which ones.</p> <p>That new Norwegian roads are often funded by collecting tolls That sportspeople earn tens of millions of kroner That many do not believe in climate change caused by human activities That we have to pay the NRK licence fee That those who are ill due to smoking receive treatment that is equally good and expensive as non-smoking patients</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=1. The situations in R3CC5 are listed in a randomized order.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	58	0.7%
1	1	0	
2	2	193	2.3%
3	3	266	3.1%
4	4	191	2.2%
5	5	63	0.7%
6		26	0.3%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	7703	90.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc6: Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Indicate how many of these four situations upset you. We are only interested in how many upset you, not which ones.</p> <p>That new Norwegian roads are often funded by collecting tolls That sportspeople earn tens of millions of kroner That many do not believe in climate change caused by human activities That we have to pay the NRK licence fee That begging is legal in Norway</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=2. The situations in R3CC6 are listed in a randomized order.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	33	0.4%
1	1	169	2.0%
2	2	224	2.6%
3	3	209	2.5%
4	4	117	1.4%
5	5	51	0.6%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7696	90.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv1: Advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants settle here			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_r3dv1: Advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants settle here			
Literal question	Now we will ask some questions on immigration and integration. In your opinion, how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants settle here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	56	0.7%
2	Great advantage	304	3.6%
3	An advantage to some extent	658	7.7%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	224	2.6%
5	A disadvantage to some extent	301	3.5%
6	Great disadvantage	93	1.1%
7	Very great disadvantage	52	0.6%
97	No answer	34	0.4%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv4: How important is immigration policy to you personally?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	195	2.3%
2	Important	671	7.9%
3	Somewhat important	618	7.3%
4	Slightly important	182	2.1%
5	Not important at all	33	0.4%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv6: Party with the best immigration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	348	4.1%
2	The Progress Party	360	4.2%
3	The Conservative Party	264	3.1%
4	The Socialist Left Party	117	1.4%
5	The Centre Party	19	0.2%
6	The Christian Democratic Party	82	1.0%
7	The Liberal Party	98	1.2%
8	Red	22	0.3%

w03_r3dv6: Party with the best immigration policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	The Green Party	5	0.1%
10	Other, please specify	16	0.2%
11	None	268	3.1%
97	No answer	123	1.4%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3dv6_10_other: Other: Party with the best immigration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17 /-]		
Literal question	What party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
# w03_r3d7: Number of immigrants in the local neighbourhood or school district			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the people in the local neighbourhood or school district where you live, roughly how many have immigrated or have at least one parent who has immigrated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everyone, or almost everyone	14	0.2%
2	Many (more than half)	81	1.0%
3	About half	136	1.6%
4	Some (fewer than half)	1066	12.5%
5	None, or almost none	369	4.3%
97	No answer	56	0.7%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv10: Number of immigrants encountered on an ordinary day			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Think about people you meet by chance on an ordinary day, for example on the bus, in the shops or in the local neighbourhood. How often would you say that you tend to meet immigrants?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1190	14.0%
2	Weekly	316	3.7%
3	A couple of times a month	123	1.4%
4	Less often, but it has happened	47	0.6%
5	Never	3	0.0%
97	No answer	43	0.5%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv12: Good or bad experience encountered on an ordinary day			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How good or bad an experience have these brief, chance meetings been?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and if R3DV10 is less than 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	132	1.6%

w03_r3dv12: Good or bad experience encountered on an ordinary day

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Good	601	7.1%
3	Somewhat good	133	1.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	696	8.2%
5	Somewhat bad	85	1.0%
6	Bad	19	0.2%
7	Very bad	4	0.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6839	80.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3dv14: Number of immigrants in social circle

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How many in your social circle have immigrated to Norway or have parents (mother, father or both parents) who have immigrated to Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everyone, or almost everyone	16	0.2%
2	Many (more than half)	64	0.8%
3	About half	68	0.8%
4	Some (fewer than half)	594	7.0%
5	None, or almost none	943	11.1%
97	No answer	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 or if the respondent is recruited in wave 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1000	11.7%
2	Agree	869	10.2%
3	Somewhat agree	700	8.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	454	5.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	330	3.9%
6	Disagree	370	4.3%
7	Strongly disagree	201	2.4%
97	No answer	135	1.6%
98	Not asked	4456	52.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_r3dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv29_2: Statement: Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims come to live here			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims come to live here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 or if the respondent is recruited in wave 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	473	5.6%
2	Agree	491	5.8%
3	Somewhat agree	836	9.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	580	6.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	490	5.8%
6	Disagree	732	8.6%
7	Strongly disagree	324	3.8%
97	No answer	133	1.6%
98	Not asked	4456	52.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv29_3: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 or if the respondent is recruited in wave 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	416	4.9%
2	Agree	671	7.9%
3	Somewhat agree	810	9.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1191	14.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	346	4.1%
6	Disagree	366	4.3%
7	Strongly disagree	106	1.2%
97	No answer	153	1.8%
98	Not asked	4456	52.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran26: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1706 /-] [Invalid=15305 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	874	51.2%

# w03_ran26: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	832	48.8%
Sysmiss		15305	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv30a: Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran26=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.4%
2	Agree	132	1.6%
3	Somewhat agree	229	2.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	125	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	150	1.8%
6	Disagree	102	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	89	1.0%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	7641	89.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv30b: Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Muslim immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran26=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	68	0.8%
2	Agree	223	2.6%
3	Somewhat agree	243	2.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	79	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	107	1.3%
6	Disagree	47	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	56	0.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	7683	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv35_1: Personal description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions suit you:		
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.		

w03_r3dv35_1: Personal description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	302	3.5%
2	Well	591	6.9%
3	Somewhat well	326	3.8%
4	Neither well nor poorly	297	3.5%
5	Somewhat poorly	83	1.0%
6	Poorly	34	0.4%
7	Very poorly	25	0.3%
97	No answer	64	0.8%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3dv35_2: Personal description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions suit you:
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	369	4.3%
2	Well	565	6.6%
3	Somewhat well	236	2.8%
4	Neither well nor poorly	307	3.6%
5	Somewhat poorly	83	1.0%
6	Poorly	47	0.6%
7	Very poorly	36	0.4%
97	No answer	79	0.9%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3dv35_3: Personal description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions suit you:
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	99	1.2%
2	Well	228	2.7%
3	Somewhat well	263	3.1%
4	Neither well nor poorly	468	5.5%
5	Somewhat poorly	171	2.0%

# w03_r3dv35_3: Personal description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Poorly	262	3.1%
7	Very poorly	154	1.8%
97	No answer	77	0.9%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv35_4: Personal description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions suit you:		
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	281	3.3%
2	Well	594	7.0%
3	Somewhat well	322	3.8%
4	Neither well nor poorly	311	3.7%
5	Somewhat poorly	76	0.9%
6	Poorly	37	0.4%
7	Very poorly	28	0.3%
97	No answer	73	0.9%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv36: Was directly affected ny attack on July 22th [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]		
Literal question	As you know, Anders Behring Breivik carried out the biggest terrorist attack on Norwegian soil during peacetime on 22 July 2011. 77 people lost their lives. Many in Norway were directly affected either because they were closely related to or knew some of the victims, or were close to what happened in another way. What about you, were you directly affected? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents where U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I was in danger myself during the attacks	0	
2	Yes, a member of my family or a close friend was in danger or died	0	
3	Yes, an acquaintance was in danger or died in the attacks	0	
4	No, I was not affected in that way	0	
5	Other:	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_r3dv36_5_other: Other: Was directly affected ny attack on July 22th [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	As you know, Anders Behring Breivik carried out the biggest terrorist attack on Norwegian soil during peacetime on 22 July 2011. 77 people lost their lives. Many in Norway were directly affected either because they were closely related to or knew some of the victims, or were close to what happened in another way. What about you, were you directly affected? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents where U=4]		
# w03_r3dv37_1: Reaction: I remember where I was when I heard the news			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Many had strong reactions in the wake of the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions match your reaction, as you remember it?		
Literal question	I remember where I was when I heard the news.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents where U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	852	10.0%
2	Well	134	1.6%
3	Somewhat well	37	0.4%
4	Neither well nor poorly	26	0.3%
5	Somewhat poorly	19	0.2%
6	Poorly	23	0.3%
7	Very poorly	16	0.2%
97	No answer	25	0.3%
98	Not asked	7383	86.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv37_2: Reaction: I remember who I was with when I heard the news			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Many had strong reactions in the wake of the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions match your reaction, as you remember it?		
Literal question	I remember who I was with when I heard the news.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents where U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	825	9.7%
2	Well	128	1.5%
3	Somewhat well	39	0.5%
4	Neither well nor poorly	38	0.4%
5	Somewhat poorly	24	0.3%
6	Poorly	32	0.4%
7	Very poorly	17	0.2%
97	No answer	29	0.3%
98	Not asked	7383	86.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_r3dv37_2: Reaction: I remember who I was with when I heard the news			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv37_3: Reaction: I discussed 22 July with friends and acquaintances			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Many had strong reactions in the wake of the terrorist attacks. How well or poorly do these descriptions match your reaction, as you remember it?		
Literal question	I discussed 22 July with friends and acquaintances.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents where U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	779	9.1%
2	Well	190	2.2%
3	Somewhat well	68	0.8%
4	Neither well nor poorly	28	0.3%
5	Somewhat poorly	12	0.1%
6	Poorly	18	0.2%
7	Very poorly	6	0.1%
97	No answer	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	7383	86.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv371: Participated in commemorations in the wake of the terrorist attacks			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Did you participate in commemorations in the wake of the terrorist attacks?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents where U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	318	3.7%
2	No	797	9.4%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7383	86.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3dv39: Currently think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	It's now been more than three years since the attacks. When it comes to you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	11	0.1%
2	Weekly	142	1.7%
3	A couple of times a month	463	5.4%
4	Less often, but it happens	982	11.5%
5	Never	92	1.1%

# w03_r3dv39: Currently think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran27: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1706 /-] [Invalid=15305 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	574	33.6%
2	2	557	32.6%
3	3	575	33.7%
Sysmiss		15305	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc2a_1: Statement: Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.4%
2	Agree	111	1.3%
3	Somewhat agree	152	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	137	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	60	0.7%
6	Disagree	47	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.3%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	7941	93.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc2a_2: Statement: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	45	0.5%
2	Agree	87	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	185	2.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	114	1.3%

w03_r3cc2a_2: Statement: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	65	0.8%
6	Disagree	57	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	7941	93.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc2a_3: Statement: Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements:
Literal question	Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	71	0.8%
2	Agree	85	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	130	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	93	1.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	73	0.9%
6	Disagree	84	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	26	0.3%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7941	93.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc2a_4: Statement: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements:
Literal question	The government should use less money on national insurance benefits
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.4%
2	Agree	63	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	120	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	105	1.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	91	1.1%
6	Disagree	107	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	46	0.5%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7941	93.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_r3cc2a_4: Statement: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc2b_1: Statement, given the negativ press: Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>"Labour migrants from the European Economic Area are entitled to the same national insurance rights as Norwegian citizens. Many choose to send these benefits back to their home countries. Then we are talking quite simply about the export of national insurance benefits. If this is allowed to continue, people's confidence in the welfare state will crumble away."</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>		
Literal question	Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.3%
2	Agree	120	1.4%
3	Somewhat agree	159	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	113	1.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	52	0.6%
6	Disagree	46	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.3%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7958	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc2b_2: Statement, given the negativ press: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>"Labour migrants from the European Economic Area are entitled to the same national insurance rights as Norwegian citizens. Many choose to send these benefits back to their home countries. Then we are talking quite simply about the export of national insurance benefits. If this is allowed to continue, people's confidence in the welfare state will crumble away."</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>		
Literal question	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	0.6%
2	Agree	99	1.2%
3	Somewhat agree	181	2.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	125	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	45	0.5%
6	Disagree	34	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7958	93.5%

# w03_r3cc2b_2: Statement, given the negativ press: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc2b_3: Statement, given the negativ press: Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>"Labour migrants from the European Economic Area are entitled to the same national insurance rights as Norwegian citizens. Many choose to send these benefits back to their home countries. Then we are talking quite simply about the export of national insurance benefits. If this is allowed to continue, people's confidence in the welfare state will crumble away."</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>		
Literal question	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians have		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	69	0.8%
2	Agree	91	1.1%
3	Somewhat agree	115	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	99	1.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	80	0.9%
6	Disagree	66	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.2%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	7958	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc2b_4: Statement, given the negativ press: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>"Labour migrants from the European Economic Area are entitled to the same national insurance rights as Norwegian citizens. Many choose to send these benefits back to their home countries. Then we are talking quite simply about the export of national insurance benefits. If this is allowed to continue, people's confidence in the welfare state will crumble away."</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>		
Literal question	The government should use less money on national insurance benefits		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	38	0.4%
2	Agree	67	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	125	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	114	1.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	66	0.8%
6	Disagree	95	1.1%

w03_r3cc2b_4: Statement, given the negativ press: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.3%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	7958	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc2c_1: Statement, given the positive press: Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>“Labour migration from Eastern Europe has been good for Norway. We lack manpower. Without foreign workers there were many important tasks that would not have been resolved. We think that the government's efforts to make it easier for foreigners to take work in Norway are important.”</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>
Literal question	Labour migration from Eastern Europe strengthens the Norwegian economy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	34	0.4%
2	Agree	157	1.8%
3	Somewhat agree	173	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	98	1.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	48	0.6%
6	Disagree	34	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.2%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7940	93.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc2c_2: Statement, given the positive press: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>“Labour migration from Eastern Europe has been good for Norway. We lack manpower. Without foreign workers there were many important tasks that would not have been resolved. We think that the government's efforts to make it easier for foreigners to take work in Norway are important.”</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>
Literal question	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	58	0.7%
2	Agree	73	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	165	1.9%

# w03_r3cc2c_2: Statement, given the positive press: Labour migrants misuse the Norwegian welfare benefits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	131	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	68	0.8%
6	Disagree	61	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	7940	93.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3cc2c_3: Statement, given the positive press: Labour migrants should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians do	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>“Labour migration from Eastern Europe has been good for Norway. We lack manpower. Without foreign workers there were many important tasks that would not have been resolved. We think that the government's efforts to make it easier for foreigners to take work in Norway are important.”</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>
Literal question	Labour migrants from Eastern Europe should not get the same access to national insurance benefits as Norwegians have
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	69	0.8%
2	Agree	72	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	133	1.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	102	1.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	89	1.0%
6	Disagree	78	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.2%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7940	93.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3cc2c_4: Statement, given the positive press: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Not long ago, a national newspaper wrote the following:</p> <p>“Labour migration from Eastern Europe has been good for Norway. We lack manpower. Without foreign workers there were many important tasks that would not have been resolved. We think that the government's efforts to make it easier for foreigners to take work in Norway are important.”</p> <p>To what extent do you agree with the following statements:</p>
Literal question	The government should use less money on national insurance benefits
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=3]

w03_r3cc2c_4: Statement, given the positive press: The government should use less money on national insurance benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	42	0.5%
2	Agree	87	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	115	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	128	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	76	0.9%
6	Disagree	77	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	38	0.4%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7940	93.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran28: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1703 /-] [Invalid=15308 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	554	32.5%
2	2	566	33.2%
3	3	583	34.2%
Sysmiss		15308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3a: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security: How much money should be used on the welfare state?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	45	0.5%
2	A bit more money	192	2.3%
3	Neither more nor less	243	2.9%
4	A bit less money	62	0.7%
5	Much less money	6	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	7961	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3aa_1: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Social assistance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals:

w03_u4_r3cc3aa_1: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Social assistance

Literal question	Social assistance
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	34	0.4%
2	A bit more money	174	2.0%
3	Neither more nor less	246	2.9%
4	A bit less money	78	0.9%
5	Much less money	14	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	7961	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3aa_2: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Unemployment benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals:
Literal question	Unemployment benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	17	0.2%
2	A bit more money	124	1.5%
3	Neither more nor less	290	3.4%
4	A bit less money	96	1.1%
5	Much less money	16	0.2%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7961	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3aa_3: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Disability benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals:
Literal question	Disability benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	43	0.5%
2	A bit more money	176	2.1%
3	Neither more nor less	224	2.6%
4	A bit less money	85	1.0%
5	Much less money	15	0.2%
97	No answer	11	0.1%

w03_u4_r3cc3aa_3: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Disability benefit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	7961	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3aa_4: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Cash-for-care benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals:
Literal question	Cash-for-care benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	14	0.2%
2	A bit more money	68	0.8%
3	Neither more nor less	194	2.3%
4	A bit less money	102	1.2%
5	Much less money	162	1.9%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	7961	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3aa_5: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Child benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals:
Literal question	Child benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	44	0.5%
2	A bit more money	185	2.2%
3	Neither more nor less	245	2.9%
4	A bit less money	49	0.6%
5	Much less money	21	0.2%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7961	93.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3b: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, labour migrants from the EEA get the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. Labour migrants from the European Economic Area get the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do.

w03_u4_r3cc3b: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, labour migrants from the EEA get the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?

All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran28=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	20	0.2%
2	A bit more money	125	1.5%
3	Neither more nor less	288	3.4%
4	A bit less money	77	0.9%
5	Much less money	28	0.3%
97	No answer	28	0.3%
98	Not asked	7949	93.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3ba_1: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:

Literal question Social assistance

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran28=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	35	0.4%
2	A bit more money	172	2.0%
3	Neither more nor less	250	2.9%
4	A bit less money	71	0.8%
5	Much less money	19	0.2%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7949	93.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3ba_2: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:

Literal question Social assistance

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran28=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	19	0.2%
2	A bit more money	101	1.2%
3	Neither more nor less	316	3.7%
4	A bit less money	100	1.2%
5	Much less money	10	0.1%
97	No answer	20	0.2%

# w03_u4_r3cc3ba_2: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	7949	93.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u4_r3cc3ba_3: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Disability benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	38	0.4%
2	A bit more money	174	2.0%
3	Neither more nor less	237	2.8%
4	A bit less money	71	0.8%
5	Much less money	23	0.3%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	7949	93.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u4_r3cc3ba_4: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Cash-for-care benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	15	0.2%
2	A bit more money	66	0.8%
3	Neither more nor less	191	2.2%
4	A bit less money	116	1.4%
5	Much less money	155	1.8%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	7949	93.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u4_r3cc3ba_5: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Child benefit		

# w03_u4_r3cc3ba_5: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran28=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	40	0.5%
2	A bit more money	188	2.2%
3	Neither more nor less	253	3.0%
4	A bit less money	52	0.6%
5	Much less money	15	0.2%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7949	93.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u4_r3cc3c: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, asylum seekers have the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. Asylum seekers have the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do as soon as they are granted asylum. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran28=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	25	0.3%
2	A bit more money	139	1.6%
3	Neither more nor less	274	3.2%
4	A bit less money	98	1.2%
5	Much less money	25	0.3%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	7932	93.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u4_r3cc3ca_1: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Pre-question		More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:	
Literal question		Social assistance	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran28=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	30	0.4%
2	A bit more money	177	2.1%
3	Neither more nor less	255	3.0%
4	A bit less money	82	1.0%
5	Much less money	18	0.2%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	7932	93.2%

w03_u4_r3cc3ca_1: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_u4_r3cc3ca_2: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Unemployment benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	19	0.2%
2	A bit more money	135	1.6%
3	Neither more nor less	286	3.4%
4	A bit less money	110	1.3%
5	Much less money	11	0.1%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	7932	93.2%
System		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u4_r3cc3ca_3: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Disability benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	47	0.6%
2	A bit more money	182	2.1%
3	Neither more nor less	231	2.7%
4	A bit less money	89	1.0%
5	Much less money	17	0.2%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7932	93.2%
System		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u4_r3cc3ca_4: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Cash-for-care benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	18	0.2%
2	A bit more money	55	0.6%
3	Neither more nor less	225	2.6%

w03_u4_r3cc3ca_4: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	A bit less money	113	1.3%
5	Much less money	153	1.8%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7932	93.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_r3cc3ca_5: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:
Literal question	Child benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	52	0.6%
2	A bit more money	174	2.0%
3	Neither more nor less	267	3.1%
4	A bit less money	50	0.6%
5	Much less money	20	0.2%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	7932	93.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran29: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1702 /-] [Invalid=15309 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	818	48.1%
2	2	884	51.9%
Sysmiss		15309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4b: Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Indicate how many of these four situations upset you. We are only interested in how many upset you, not which ones. That new Norwegian roads are often funded by collecting tolls That sports people earn tens of millions of kroner That many do not believe in climate change caused by human activities That we have to pay the NRK licence fee That labour migrants from EU countries have the same welfare benefits as Norwegians
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran29=1. The situations in R3CC4B are listed in a randomized order.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	29	0.3%

w03_r3cc4b: Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	142	1.7%
2	2	252	3.0%
3	3	200	2.3%
4	4	134	1.6%
5	5	49	0.6%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7697	90.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4c: Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 5]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Indicate how many of these four situations upset you. We are only interested in how many upset you, not which ones. That new Norwegian roads are often funded by collecting tolls That sportspeople earn tens of millions of kroner That many do not believe in climate change caused by human activities That we have to pay the NRK licence fee That refugees who have been granted asylum have the same welfare benefits as Norwegians
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran29=2. The situations in R3CC4C are listed in a randomized order.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	55	0.6%
1	1	187	2.2%
2	2	263	3.1%
3	3	216	2.5%
4	4	101	1.2%
5	5	50	0.6%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7631	89.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc8_1: Statement: Refugees exploit our welfare system

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Please tell us your viewpoint regarding the following statements
Literal question	Refugees exploit our welfare system
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	195	2.3%
2	Agree	244	2.9%
3	Somewhat agree	486	5.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	278	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	192	2.3%
6	Disagree	203	2.4%
7	Strongly disagree	62	0.7%

# w03_r3cc8_1: Statement: Refugees exploit our welfare system			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	62	0.7%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc8_2: Statement: Labour migrants from the European Economic Area exploit our welfare system			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Please tell us your viewpoint regarding the following statements		
Literal question	Labour migrants from the European Economic Area exploit our welfare system		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	156	1.8%
2	Agree	255	3.0%
3	Somewhat agree	533	6.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	313	3.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	174	2.0%
6	Disagree	178	2.1%
7	Strongly disagree	50	0.6%
97	No answer	63	0.7%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc8_3: Statement: Refugees contribute to maintaining our welfare system			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Please tell us your viewpoint regarding the following statements		
Literal question	Refugees contribute to maintaining our welfare system		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	16	0.2%
2	Agree	108	1.3%
3	Somewhat agree	265	3.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	514	6.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	318	3.7%
6	Disagree	283	3.3%
7	Strongly disagree	141	1.7%
97	No answer	77	0.9%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3cc8_4: Statement: Labour migrants from the European Economic Area contribute to maintaining our welfare system			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

w03_r3cc8_4: Statement: Labour migrants from the European Economic Area contribute to maintaining our welfare system

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Please tell us your viewpoint regarding the following statements
Literal question	Labour migrants from the European Economic Area contribute to maintaining our welfare system
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	0.4%
2	Agree	238	2.8%
3	Somewhat agree	469	5.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	457	5.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	216	2.5%
6	Disagree	158	1.9%
7	Strongly disagree	71	0.8%
97	No answer	76	0.9%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc8_5: Statement: It is fair that refugees have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Please tell us your viewpoint regarding the following statements
Literal question	It is fair that refugees have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	90	1.1%
2	Agree	264	3.1%
3	Somewhat agree	277	3.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	253	3.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	388	4.6%
6	Disagree	214	2.5%
7	Strongly disagree	166	1.9%
97	No answer	70	0.8%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc8_6: Statement: It is fair that labour migrants from the European Economic Area have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Please tell us your viewpoint regarding the following statements
Literal question	It is fair that labour migrants from the European Economic Area have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

w03_r3cc8_6: Statement: It is fair that labour migrants from the European Economic Area have full access to the Norwegian welfare system.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	72	0.8%
2	Agree	242	2.8%
3	Somewhat agree	328	3.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	288	3.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	364	4.3%
6	Disagree	209	2.5%
7	Strongly disagree	147	1.7%
97	No answer	72	0.8%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran31: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=15313 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	415	24.4%
2	2	431	25.4%
3	3	433	25.5%
4	4	419	24.7%
Sysmiss		15313	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3ib1a: Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who live in Norway, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who live in Norway, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran31=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.4%
2	Agree	71	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	88	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	73	0.9%
6	Disagree	55	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	43	0.5%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	8100	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3ib1b: Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last year, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

w03_r3ib1b: Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last year, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last year, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran31=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	14	0.2%
2	Agree	73	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	67	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	49	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	96	1.1%
6	Disagree	72	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	52	0.6%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8084	94.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3ib1c: Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last three years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last three years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran31=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	38	0.4%
2	Agree	77	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	90	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	55	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	65	0.8%
6	Disagree	59	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	45	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8082	94.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3ib1d: Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last seven years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last seven years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran31=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	49	0.6%

w03_r3ib1d: Access to welfare: Immigrants who are not Norwegian citizens, but who have lived in Norway for the last seven years, should have the same access to welfare schemes as Norwegian citizens

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	124	1.5%
3	Somewhat agree	88	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	42	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	55	0.6%
6	Disagree	36	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8096	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran33: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1697 /-] [Invalid=15314 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	568	33.5%
2	2	563	33.2%
3	3	566	33.4%
Sysmiss		15314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3lb1a: What you think when you read or hear the word “immigrant”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you read or hear the word “immigrant”? Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran33=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w03_r3lb1b: What you think when you read or hear the word “asylum seeker”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you read or hear the word “asylum seeker”? Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran33=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

w03_r3lb1c: What you think when you read or hear the word “labour migrants”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you read or hear the word “labour migrants”? Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran33=3]

# w03_r3lb1c: What you think when you read or hear the word “labour migrants”			
		[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]	
# w03_ran34: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1696 /-] [Invalid=15315 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	421	24.8%
2	2	432	25.5%
3	3	413	24.4%
4	4	430	25.4%
Sysmiss		15315	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3gi1a: Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The person has continued to live in Norway for 3 years without being legally resident because he or she sees the situation in their home country as difficult			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine a person who has applied for asylum and has been turned down. The person has continued to live in Norway for 3 years without being legally resident because he or she sees the situation in their home country as difficult. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this person should be granted a residence permit in Norway?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran34=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	17	0.2%
2	Agree	31	0.4%
3	Somewhat agree	78	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	65	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	61	0.7%
6	Disagree	93	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	70	0.8%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8094	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3gi1b: Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The person has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident because he or she sees the situation in their home country as difficult			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine a person who has applied for asylum and has been turned down. The person has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident because he or she sees the situation in their home country as difficult. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this person should be granted a residence permit in Norway?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran34=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	23	0.3%
2	Agree	54	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	67	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	56	0.7%

w03_r3gi1b: Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The person has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident because he or she sees the situation in their home country as difficult

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	50	0.6%
6	Disagree	96	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	80	0.9%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8083	94.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3gi1c: Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The family has continued to live in Norway for 3 years without being legally resident because they see the situation in their home country as difficult

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine a child who has applied for asylum together with their family and has been turned down. The family has continued to live in Norway for 3 years without being legally resident because they see the situation in their home country as difficult. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this child should be granted a residence permit in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran34=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	65	0.8%
2	Agree	82	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	94	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	42	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	35	0.4%
6	Disagree	67	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8102	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3gi1d: Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The family has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident because they see the situation in their home country as difficult

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine a child who has applied for asylum together with their family and has been turned down. The family has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident because they see the situation in their home country as difficult. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this child should be granted a residence permit in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran34=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	100	1.2%
2	Agree	105	1.2%
3	Somewhat agree	70	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	39	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	34	0.4%
6	Disagree	43	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.4%
97	No answer	8	0.1%

w03_r3gi1d: Residence permit for rejected asylum seeker: The family has continued to live in Norway for 7 years without being legally resident because they see the situation in their home country as difficult

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8085	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran35: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1694 /-] [Invalid=15317 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	419	24.7%
2	2	417	24.6%
3	3	383	22.6%
4	4	475	28.0%
Sysmiss		15317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3bf1a: Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according to people in the social debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Some Muslims in Norway want to send their children to Islamic schools that follow Norwegian curricula but also include Islamic teachings. Some people in social debate have argued against such schools because they think that the schools impede integration.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran35=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	6	0.1%
2	Agree	28	0.3%
3	Somewhat agree	52	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	48	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	76	0.9%
6	Disagree	95	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	110	1.3%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8096	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3bf1b: Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according to people in the social debate. Others think that it a ban is discriminatory

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Some Muslims in Norway want to send their children to Islamic schools that follow Norwegian curricula but also include Islamic teachings. Some people in social debate have argued against such schools because they think that the schools impede integration. Others think that it is discriminatory against Muslims to not allow Islamic schools in Norway while Christian schools are permitted.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?

w03_r3bf1b: Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according to people in the social debate. Others think that it a ban is discriminatory

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran35=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	9	0.1%
2	Agree	31	0.4%
3	Somewhat agree	58	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	50	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	63	0.7%
6	Disagree	91	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	106	1.2%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	8098	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3bf1c: Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according the Progress Party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question Some Muslims in Norway want to send their children to Islamic schools that follow Norwegian curricula but also include Islamic teachings. Some Progress Party politicians have argued against such schools because they think that the schools impede integration.

Literal question To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran35=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	0.2%
2	Agree	46	0.5%
3	Somewhat agree	52	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	61	0.7%
6	Disagree	72	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	92	1.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8132	95.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3bf1d: Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according the Progress Party. Others think that it a ban is discriminatory

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Pre-question Some Muslims in Norway want to send their children to Islamic schools that follow Norwegian curricula but also include Islamic teachings. Some Progress Party politicians have argued against such schools because they think that the schools impede integration. Others think that it is discriminatory against Muslims to not allow Islamic schools in Norway while Christian schools are permitted.

Literal question To what extent do you agree or disagree that Muslims in Norway shall be permitted to send their children to Islamic schools?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran35=4]

w03_r3bf1d: Allow Islamic schools: Follows Norwegian curricula, but could impede integration according the Progress Party. Others think that it a ban is discriminatory

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	15	0.2%
2	Agree	34	0.4%
3	Somewhat agree	67	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	57	0.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	76	0.9%
6	Disagree	92	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	126	1.5%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8040	94.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran36: [Randomizes if U=4, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1413 /-] [Invalid=15598 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	114	8.1%
2	2	119	8.4%
3	3	123	8.7%
4	4	117	8.3%
5	5	122	8.6%
6	6	105	7.4%
7	7	130	9.2%
8	8	121	8.6%
9	9	121	8.6%
10	10	114	8.1%
11	11	117	8.3%
12	12	110	7.8%
Sysmiss		15598	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1a2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do not have the opportunity to influence this decision, but it is still interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=1. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	7	0.1%

w03_u4_pad312_1a2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	95	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	11	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8401	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1b2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do have the opportunity to influence this decision, and it is therefore interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=2. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	3	0.0%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	111	1.3%
3	Have no opinion	3	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8396	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1c2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a thousand selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=3. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	4	0.0%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	111	1.3%
3	Have no opinion	7	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8392	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1d2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a hundred selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=4. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	11	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	102	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8398	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1e2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of ten selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=5. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	5	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	109	1.3%
3	Have no opinion	7	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8393	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1f2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Consider the scenario that one of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of three selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=6. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

w03_u4_pad312_1f2a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	8	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	81	1.0%
3	Have no opinion	14	0.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8410	98.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1a2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do not have the opportunity to influence this decision, but it is still interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=7. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	12	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	106	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	8	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8385	98.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1b2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You do have the opportunity to influence this decision, and it is therefore interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran36=8. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	12	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	93	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	12	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8394	98.6%

w03_u4_pad312_1b2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1c2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 1000 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Literal question
Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a thousand selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions
[Asked if U=4 and ran36=9. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	10	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	104	1.2%
3	Have no opinion	7	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8394	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1d2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 100 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Literal question
Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of a hundred selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions
[Asked if U=4 and ran36=10. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	11	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	97	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	3	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8401	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1e2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

w03_u4_pad312_1e2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 10 participants

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of ten selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran36=11. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	12	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	95	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	9	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8398	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad312_1f2b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel, as compensation: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome, is among 3 participants

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Imagine the scenario that participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel have NOK 5,000 available as compensation for participating. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You have the opportunity to influence this decision, and you are one of three selected respondents who get to vote on how the money is to be used. What do you prefer?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran36=12. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	5	0.1%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	96	1.1%
3	Have no opinion	8	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8405	98.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran37: [Randomizes if U=4, except respondents recruited in wave 1 that earlier have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1411 /-] [Invalid=15600 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	343	24.3%
2	2	349	24.7%
3	3	366	25.9%
4	4	353	25.0%
Sysmiss		15600	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad313_1a2a: Acceptable decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran37=1. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	22	0.3%
2	To a great extent	63	0.7%
3	To some extent	110	1.3%
4	To a slight extent	84	1.0%
5	Not at all	56	0.7%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8172	96.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad313_1b2a: Acceptable decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. To what extent do you think this decision is acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran37=2. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	232	2.7%
2	To a great extent	78	0.9%
3	To some extent	23	0.3%
4	To a slight extent	7	0.1%
5	Not at all	2	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8166	95.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad313_1a2b: Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel. How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran37=3. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	38	0.4%
2	Willing	121	1.4%

w03_u4_pad313_1a2b: Willing to accept decision: Draw for a travel gift card worth NOK 5,000 to participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat willing	61	0.7%
4	Slightly willing	86	1.0%
5	Not willing at all	57	0.7%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8149	95.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u4_pad313_1b2b: Willing to accept decision: A donation of NOK 5,000 given to Doctors without borders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Let's say that the decision ends up being a donation of NOK 5,000 to Doctors without borders. How willing are you to accept this decision?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran37=4. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	280	3.3%
2	Willing	49	0.6%
3	Somewhat willing	12	0.1%
4	Slightly willing	4	0.0%
5	Not willing at all	1	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8162	95.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_ran38: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=15321 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	412	24.4%
2	2	377	22.3%
3	3	465	27.5%
4	4	436	25.8%
Sysmiss		15321	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_wt1a: New power transmission line through your municipality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>To safeguard the electricity supply and the use of clean, eco-friendly electricity throughout Norway, now and again the authorities must facilitate new power transmission lines. But these power transmission lines sometimes create conflict because the landscape will be changed. In addition, some people living near the new power transmission lines may be concerned about problems with health and safety associated with the lines.</p> <p>Let's say that the government had proposed building a new power transmission line that would be clearly visible in your municipality.</p>		
Literal question	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran38=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	296	3.5%
2	No	104	1.2%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	8103	95.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_wt1b: New power transmission line through your municipality, visible in up to several other nearby municipalities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>To safeguard the electricity supply and the use of clean, eco-friendly electricity throughout Norway, now and again the authorities must facilitate new power transmission lines. But these power transmission lines sometimes create conflict because the landscape will be changed. In addition, some people living near the new power transmission lines may be concerned about problems with health and safety associated with the lines.</p> <p>Let's say that the government had proposed building a new power transmission line that would be clearly visible in your municipality. Moreover, it would be visible in up to several other nearby municipalities.</p>		
Literal question	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran38=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	232	2.7%
2	No	128	1.5%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	8138	95.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_wt1c: New power transmission line through your municipality, the government would offer money to your municipality to compensate for the negative effects

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	<p>To safeguard the electricity supply and the use of clean, eco-friendly electricity throughout Norway, now and again the authorities must facilitate new power transmission lines. But these power transmission lines sometimes create conflict because the landscape will be changed. In addition, some people living near the new power transmission lines may be concerned about problems with health and safety associated with the lines.</p> <p>Let's say that the government had proposed building a new power transmission line that would be clearly visible in your municipality. In return the government would offer money to your municipality to compensate for the negative effects of the new power transmission line.</p>
Literal question	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran38=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	317	3.7%
2	No	136	1.6%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	8050	94.5%
Systemmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_wt1d: New power transmission line through your municipality, visible in up to several other nearby municipalities, the government would offer money to your municipality to compensate for the negative effects

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	<p>To safeguard the electricity supply and the use of clean, eco-friendly electricity throughout Norway, now and again the authorities must facilitate new power transmission lines. But these power transmission lines sometimes create conflict because the landscape will be changed. In addition, some people living near the new power transmission lines may be concerned about problems with health and safety associated with the lines.</p> <p>Let's say that the government had proposed building a new power transmission line that would be clearly visible in your municipality. Moreover, it would be visible in up to several other nearby municipalities. In return the government would offer money to your municipality to compensate for the negative effects of the new power transmission line.</p>
Literal question	Would you support a government decision to build a power transmission line through your municipality?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran38=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	290	3.4%
2	No	135	1.6%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	8079	94.9%
Systemmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_wt2: How worried are you about the reliability of your electricity supply?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How worried are you about the reliability of your electricity supply?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very worried	30	0.4%

# w03_wt2: How worried are you about the reliability of your electricity supply?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Worried	150	1.8%
3	Somewhat worried	376	4.4%
4	Slightly worried	779	9.1%
5	Not worried	316	3.7%
97	No answer	71	0.8%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_wt3: Do you feel a duty as a citizen to help to ensure the reliable supply of electricity in Norway?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you feel a duty as a citizen to help to ensure the reliable supply of electricity in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	990	11.6%
2	No	627	7.4%
97	No answer	105	1.2%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_wt4: Would you think it is fair if your municipality was selected as a location for a new power transmission line?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Would you think it is fair if your municipality was selected as a location for a new power transmission line?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1187	13.9%
2	No	408	4.8%
97	No answer	127	1.5%
98	Not asked	6793	79.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran39: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1680 /-] [Invalid=15331 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	869	51.7%
2	2	811	48.3%
Sysmiss		15331	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_r3km34: Reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate: Emissions of CO2 from burning oil are a major contributor to climate change caused by human activities, but the world needs energy and Norwegian oil production is cleaner than in many other countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following arguments are often made: Emissions of CO2 from burning oil are a major contributor to climate change caused by human activities, but the world needs energy and Norwegian oil production is cleaner than in many other countries.
Literal question	In your opinion, should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran39=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduce	310	3.6%
2	Maintain	481	5.6%
3	Increase	60	0.7%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7646	89.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km35: Reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate: The world needs energy and Norwegian oil production is cleaner than in many other countries, but CO2 emissions from burning oil are a major contributor to climate change caused by human activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following arguments are often made: The world needs energy and Norwegian oil production is cleaner than in many other countries, but CO2 emissions from burning oil are a major contributor to climate change caused by human activities.
Literal question	In your opinion, should Norway reduce, maintain or increase its oil production from the perspective of the climate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran39=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduce	289	3.4%
2	Maintain	440	5.2%
3	Increase	66	0.8%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7704	90.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran47: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1678 /-] [Invalid=15333 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	420	25.0%
2	2	442	26.3%
3	3	421	25.1%
4	4	395	23.5%
Sysmiss		15333	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_no3a: The amount of corruption in government, no opinion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, hardly any of them are corrupt, or do you not have an opinion on that?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran47=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a few	29	0.3%
2	Not many	168	2.0%
3	Hardly any	142	1.7%
4	No opinion	75	0.9%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8095	95.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_no3b: The amount of corruption in government, not have enough information			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, hardly any of them are corrupt, or do you not have enough information about the government to say?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran47=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a few	25	0.3%
2	Not many	152	1.8%
3	Hardly any	113	1.3%
4	No opinion	141	1.7%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	8073	94.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_no3c: The amount of corruption in government, don't know			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, or hardly any of them are corrupt, or don't you know?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran47=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a few	30	0.4%
2	Not many	166	1.9%
3	Hardly any	138	1.6%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8094	95.1%
99	I don't know	80	0.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# w03_no3d: The amount of corruption in government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are corrupt, not very many are, or hardly any of them are corrupt?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran47=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a few	36	0.4%
2	Not many	201	2.4%
3	Hardly any	148	1.7%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	8120	95.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra1: Norway's role in international politics: Active or reduced role			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think it is best for Norway in the future to play an active role in international politics, or should Norway preferably have a reduced role?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Active role in international politics	1277	15.0%
2	Reduced role in international politics	371	4.4%
97	No answer	58	0.7%
98	Not asked	6809	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mobil_klima: Tests if the respondent answers on a mobile phone			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=15321 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[mobil_klima = 1 if respondent is using mobile device]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not answer on a phone	1530	90.5%
1	Answers on a phone	160	9.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		15321	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran40: [Randomizes if U=5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1530 /-] [Invalid=15481 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	181	11.8%
2	2	214	14.0%

# w03_ran40: [Randomizes if U=5]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	189	12.4%
4	4	199	13.0%
5	5	190	12.4%
6	6	183	12.0%
7	7	189	12.4%
8	8	185	12.1%
Sysmiss		15481	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_vignett_1: 1 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper:</p> <p>The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 30 and 100 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is entirely possible that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development can be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Exposed if U=5 and ran40=1. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	181	2.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8334	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_vignett_2: 2 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper:</p> <p>The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 30 and 100 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is not entirely certain that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development can be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Exposed if U=5 and ran40=2. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	214	2.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8301	97.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_vignett_3: 3 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper: The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 50 and 60 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is entirely possible that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development can be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.
Interviewer's instructions	[Exposed if U=5 and ran40=3. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	189	2.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8326	97.8%
Systemiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_vignett_4: 4 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper: The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 50 and 60 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is not entirely certain that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development can be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.
Interviewer's instructions	[Exposed if U=5 and ran40=4. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	199	2.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8316	97.7%
Systemiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_vignett_5: 5 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper: The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 30 and 100 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is entirely possible that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development must be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.

w03_vignett_5: 5 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Interviewer's instructions [Exposed if U=5 and ran40=5. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	190	2.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8325	97.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_vignett_6: 6 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question
Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper:

The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 30 and 100 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is not entirely certain that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development must be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.

Interviewer's instructions [Exposed if U=5 and ran40=6. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	183	2.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8332	97.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_vignett_7: 7 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question
Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper:

The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 50 and 60 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is entirely possible that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development must be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.

Interviewer's instructions [Exposed if U=5 and ran40=7. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	189	2.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8326	97.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

w03_vignett_7: 7 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_vignett_8: 8 of 8 vignettes that respondents may be exposed to. Must be seen in conjunction with R3KM11 to R3KM19. See variable documentation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that you read the following in the newspaper: The global climate has been fairly stable for several thousand years. However during the last one hundred years the global temperature has risen. Emissions of greenhouse gases from energy production, industry and traffic are among the key reasons. An expert expects that the increased temperature will cause the sea level to rise by between 50 and 60 cm by the end of this century. He says that it is not entirely certain that the rise will be within this interval. He points out that the development must be stopped by immediately reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.
Interviewer's instructions	[Exposed if U=5 and ran40=8. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not exposed	0	
1	Exposed	185	2.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8330	97.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km11_1: What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says “it is entirely possible” that the sea level will rise as estimated?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says “it is entirely possible” that the sea level will rise as estimated?
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate a figure between 0% and 100% [Asked if U=5 and ran40=1 or ran40=3 or ran40=5 or ran40=7. Still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the experiment]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0%	7	0.1%
3	10	53	0.6%
5	20	77	0.9%
7	30	92	1.1%
9	40	72	0.8%
11	50	120	1.4%
13	60	72	0.8%
15	70	77	0.9%
17	80	79	0.9%
19	90	48	0.6%
21	100 %	15	0.2%
97	No answer	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	7766	91.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km12_1: What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says “it is not entirely certain” that the sea level will rise as estimated?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	What probability do you think the expert has in mind when he says “it is not entirely certain” that the sea level will rise as estimated?
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate a figure between 0% and 100% [Asked if U=5 and ran40=2 or ran40=4 or ran40=6 or ran40=8. Still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the experiment]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0%	6	0.1%
3	10	36	0.4%
5	20	62	0.7%
7	30	111	1.3%
9	40	90	1.1%
11	50	169	2.0%
13	60	68	0.8%
15	70	86	1.0%
17	80	64	0.8%
19	90	51	0.6%
21	100 %	9	0.1%
97	No answer	29	0.3%
98	Not asked	7734	90.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3km13_1: How probable do YOU think it is that the sea level will rise as estimated?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How probable do YOU think it is that the sea level will rise as estimated?
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate a figure between 0% and 100% [Asked if U=5 and respondents are still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0%	24	0.3%
3	10	137	1.6%
5	20	161	1.9%
7	30	184	2.2%
9	40	135	1.6%
11	50	252	3.0%
13	60	126	1.5%
15	70	146	1.7%
17	80	152	1.8%
19	90	105	1.2%
21	100 %	29	0.3%
97	No answer	79	0.9%
98	Not asked	6985	82.0%

# w03_r3km13_1: How probable do YOU think it is that the sea level will rise as estimated?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km14: If the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level turn out to be wrong, do you think that the rise will be higher or lower than he has estimated?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	If the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level turn out to be wrong, do you think that the rise will be higher or lower than he has estimated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and respondents are still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher	360	4.2%
2	Lower	1115	13.1%
97	No answer	55	0.6%
98	Not asked	6985	82.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km15: To what extent do you trust the expert?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the expert?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and respondents are still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	56	0.7%
2	To a great extent	428	5.0%
3	To some extent	800	9.4%
4	To a slight extent	191	2.2%
5	Not at all	30	0.4%
97	No answer	25	0.3%
98	Not asked	6985	82.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km16: How correct do you think the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level are?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How correct do you think the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level are?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and respondents are still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very correct	41	0.5%
2	Correct	206	2.4%
3	Quite correct	808	9.5%

# w03_r3km16: How correct do you think the expert's forecasts for the rise in sea level are?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not very correct	396	4.7%
5	Not correct at all	27	0.3%
97	No answer	52	0.6%
98	Not asked	6985	82.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km17: How likely is it that human activity is causing the rise in sea level?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How likely is it that human activity is causing the rise in sea level?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and respondents are still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	341	4.0%
2	Likely	526	6.2%
3	Somewhat likely	495	5.8%
4	Slightly likely	118	1.4%
5	Not likely at all	16	0.2%
97	No answer	34	0.4%
98	Not asked	6985	82.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km18: Urgency for reducing greenhouse gas emissions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What is the level of urgency for reducing greenhouse gas emissions?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and respondents are still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very urgent	419	4.9%
2	Urgent	560	6.6%
3	Somewhat urgent	316	3.7%
4	Slightly urgent	151	1.8%
5	Not urgent	50	0.6%
97	No answer	34	0.4%
98	Not asked	6985	82.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km19: Willingness to change current lifestyle to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how willing are you to change your current lifestyle to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases?		

# w03_r3km19: Willingness to change current lifestyle to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=5 and respondents are still exposed to vignette. Respondents using mobil device are not exposed to the vignette or questions R3KM11-R3KM19]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	134	1.6%
2	To a great degree	492	5.8%
3	To some degree	694	8.2%
4	To a small degree	144	1.7%
5	Not at all	42	0.5%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	6985	82.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u5_r3km20: Statement that best describes viewpoint on climate change			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your viewpoint on climate change?	
Interviewer's instructions		Select one of the alternatives. [Asked if U=5]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I think that the climate is not changing.	15	0.2%
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	129	1.5%
3	I think that the climate is changing, but it is only to a small extent linked to human influences.	489	5.7%
4	I think that the climate is changing, and that it is largely a result of human influences.	1023	12.0%
97	No answer	50	0.6%
98	Not asked	6809	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran41: [Randomizes if U=5]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1689 /-] [Invalid=15322 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	842	49.9%
2	2	847	50.1%
Sysmiss		15322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km23: How concerned about climate change are you?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]	
Literal question		How concerned about climate change are you?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=5 and ran41=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	93	1.1%

# w03_r3km23: How concerned about climate change are you?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Concerned	260	3.1%
3	Somewhat concerned	297	3.5%
4	Slightly concerned	146	1.7%
5	Not concerned at all	38	0.4%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	7673	90.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3km24: How concerned about global warming are you?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned about global warming are you?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran41=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	84	1.0%
2	Concerned	277	3.3%
3	Somewhat concerned	307	3.6%
4	Slightly concerned	148	1.7%
5	Not concerned at all	19	0.2%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	7668	90.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran42: [Randomizes if U=5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1401 /-] [Invalid=15610 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	708	50.5%
2	2	693	49.5%
Sysmiss		15610	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pad314a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	One of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You as a participant do not have the opportunity to influence this decision, but it is still interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran42=1. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	49	0.6%

w03_pad314a: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, cannot affect outcome

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	605	7.1%
3	Have no opinion	49	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	7807	91.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pad314b: 5000 kr from Norwegian Citizen Panel: To Doctors without borders or random participant, can affect outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	One of the researchers associated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel has NOK 5,000 available. This money will either be raffled off as a gift card to one of the participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, or will be donated to the charity Doctors without borders. You as a participant have the opportunity to influence this decision, and it is therefore interesting to hear how you would like the money to be used. What do you prefer?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran42=2. Respondents recruited in wave 1 that have been exposed for w01_DT14 or w01_DT15, are not asked this question]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A gift card worth NOK 5,000 is raffled to a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	71	0.8%
2	A gift of NOK 5,000 is donated to the charity Doctors without borders	576	6.8%
3	Have no opinion	37	0.4%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	7822	91.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran48: [Randomizes if U=5]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1689 /-] [Invalid=15322 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	827	49.0%
2	2	862	51.0%
Sysmiss		15322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran32: [Randomizes if U=5]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=827 /-] [Invalid=16184 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	273	33.0%
2	2	273	33.0%
3	3	281	34.0%
Sysmiss		16184	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3a: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security: How much money should be used on the welfare state?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	25	0.3%
2	A bit more money	120	1.4%
3	Neither more nor less	95	1.1%
4	A bit less money	25	0.3%
5	Much less money	2	0.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3aa_1: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Social assistance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals
Literal question	Social assistance
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	20	0.2%
2	A bit more money	87	1.0%
3	Neither more nor less	108	1.3%
4	A bit less money	36	0.4%
5	Much less money	14	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3aa_2: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Unemployment benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals
Literal question	Unemployment benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	11	0.1%
2	A bit more money	54	0.6%

w03_u5_r3cc3aa_2: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Unemployment benefit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither more nor less	145	1.7%
4	A bit less money	41	0.5%
5	Much less money	14	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3aa_3: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Disability benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals
Literal question	Disability benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	32	0.4%
2	A bit more money	88	1.0%
3	Neither more nor less	104	1.2%
4	A bit less money	28	0.3%
5	Much less money	10	0.1%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3aa_4: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Cash-for-care benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals
Literal question	Cash-for-care benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	14	0.2%
2	A bit more money	20	0.2%
3	Neither more nor less	84	1.0%
4	A bit less money	67	0.8%
5	Much less money	80	0.9%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_u5_r3cc3aa_5: The government should use more or less money on the following social goals: Child benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following social goals		
Literal question	Child benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	31	0.4%
2	A bit more money	73	0.9%
3	Neither more nor less	129	1.5%
4	A bit less money	22	0.3%
5	Much less money	10	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u5_r3cc3b: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, labour migrants from the EEA get the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. Labour migrants from the European Economic Area get the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	20	0.2%
2	A bit more money	74	0.9%
3	Neither more nor less	123	1.4%
4	A bit less money	40	0.5%
5	Much less money	9	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u5_r3ccba_1: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Child benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	27	0.3%
2	A bit more money	86	1.0%

w03_u5_r3ccbba_1: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither more nor less	107	1.3%
4	A bit less money	40	0.5%
5	Much less money	5	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3ccbba_2: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:
Literal question	Unemployment benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	14	0.2%
2	A bit more money	48	0.6%
3	Neither more nor less	149	1.7%
4	A bit less money	49	0.6%
5	Much less money	6	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3ccbba_3: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:
Literal question	Disability benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	21	0.2%
2	A bit more money	75	0.9%
3	Neither more nor less	124	1.5%
4	A bit less money	37	0.4%
5	Much less money	7	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3ccbba_4: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# w03_u5_r3ccb4_4: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Cash-for-care benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	6	0.1%
2	A bit more money	28	0.3%
3	Neither more nor less	92	1.1%
4	A bit less money	55	0.6%
5	Much less money	81	1.0%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u5_r3ccb4_5: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Child benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	19	0.2%
2	A bit more money	91	1.1%
3	Neither more nor less	118	1.4%
4	A bit less money	29	0.3%
5	Much less money	7	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	8242	96.8%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u5_r3cc3c: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, asylum seekers have the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	The Norwegian national insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security. Asylum seekers have the same access to the Norwegian national insurance scheme as Norwegians do as soon as they are granted asylum. All in all, how much money should be used on the welfare state?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	15	0.2%
2	A bit more money	69	0.8%
3	Neither more nor less	146	1.7%

w03_u5_r3cc3c: National insurance scheme aims to contribute to financial security, asylum seekers have the same access: How much money should be used on the welfare state?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	A bit less money	35	0.4%
5	Much less money	11	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8234	96.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3ca_1: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Social assistance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:
Literal question	Child benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	22	0.3%
2	A bit more money	79	0.9%
3	Neither more nor less	137	1.6%
4	A bit less money	30	0.4%
5	Much less money	9	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8234	96.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3ca_2: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Unemployment benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:
Literal question	Unemployment benefit
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	11	0.1%
2	A bit more money	60	0.7%
3	Neither more nor less	152	1.8%
4	A bit less money	48	0.6%
5	Much less money	4	0.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8234	96.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_u5_r3cc3ca_3: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# w03_u5_r3cc3ca_3: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Disability benefit			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Disability benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	20	0.2%
2	A bit more money	92	1.1%
3	Neither more nor less	116	1.4%
4	A bit less money	42	0.5%
5	Much less money	4	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8234	96.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u5_r3cc3ca_4: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Cash-for-care benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Cash-for-care benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	10	0.1%
2	A bit more money	30	0.4%
3	Neither more nor less	94	1.1%
4	A bit less money	61	0.7%
5	Much less money	80	0.9%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8234	96.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_u5_r3cc3ca_5: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	More specifically, we would like you to answer to what extent you think that the government should use more or less money on the following goals:		
Literal question	Child benefit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran32=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	26	0.3%
2	A bit more money	74	0.9%
3	Neither more nor less	143	1.7%
4	A bit less money	23	0.3%

w03_u5_r3cc3ca_5: The government should use more or less money on the following goals: Child benefit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Much less money	11	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8234	96.7%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4a: Number of conditions that are upsetting [List 6]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Indicate how many of these four situations upset you. We are only interested in how many upset you, not which ones. That new Norwegian roads are often funded by collecting tolls That sportspeople earn tens of millions of kroner That many do not believe in climate change caused by human activities That we have to pay the NRK licence fee
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	118	1.4%
1	1	428	5.0%
2	2	660	7.8%
3	3	317	3.7%
4	4	135	1.6%
97	No answer	48	0.6%
98	Not asked	6809	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4da: Does it upset you that refugees who have been granted asylum have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians do?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Does it upset you that refugees who have been granted asylum have access to the same welfare benefits as Norwegians do?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	516	6.1%
2	No	1139	13.4%
97	No answer	51	0.6%
98	Not asked	6809	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4db: Does it upset you that begging is legal in Norway?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Does it upset you that begging is legal in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5]

w03_r3cc4db: Does it upset you that begging is legal in Norway?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	840	9.9%
2	No	814	9.6%
97	No answer	52	0.6%
98	Not asked	6809	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3cc4dc: Does it upset you that the government has now reduced the paternal quota (“paternity leave”)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Does it upset you that the government has now reduced the paternal quota (“paternity leave”)?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	730	8.6%
2	No	923	10.8%
97	No answer	53	0.6%
98	Not asked	6809	80.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ran46: [Randomizes if U=5]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1683 /-] [Invalid=15328 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	825	49.0%
2	2	858	51.0%
Sysmiss		15328	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pra1a: Increase, maintain or reduce the amount spent on financial assistance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Now we would like to ask you about Norway's financial assistance programs.
Literal question	Some people think that the amount the Norwegian government spends on financial assistance should be increased, while others believe it should be maintained at the present level, or decreased. What is your opinion, do you think the Norwegian government should increase, maintain, or decrease the amount it spends on financial assistance?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased	121	1.4%
2	Maintained	525	6.2%
3	Decreased	123	1.4%
97	No answer	56	0.7%
98	Not asked	7690	90.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_pra1aa: Increase the amount spent on financial assistance, quite a bit or just a little			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian government should increase spending on financial assistance quite a bit or just a little?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=1, and PRA1A=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a bit	77	0.9%
2	Just a little	43	0.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8394	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra1ab: Reduce the amount spent on financial assistance, quite a bit or just a little			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian government should decrease spending on financial assistance quite a bit or just a little?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=1, and PRA1A=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a bit	82	1.0%
2	Just a little	40	0.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8392	98.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra2aba: Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to give aid to the poor in other countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian government has a moral obligation to provide financial assistance to the poor in other countries?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=1. PRA2Aba and PRA2Ba is asked on the same page, and the order is randomized]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree strongly	354	4.2%
2	Agree somewhat	345	4.1%
3	Disagree somewhat	72	0.8%
4	Disagree strongly	40	0.5%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	7690	90.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra2ba: Whom should receive economic assistance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	To whom do you believe the Norwegian government has a greater moral obligation to provide economic assistance?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=1. PRA2Aba and PRA2Ba is asked on the same page, and the order is randomized]		

# w03_pra2ba: Whom should receive economic assistance			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The poor in other countries	49	0.6%
2	The poor in Norway	282	3.3%
3	The government has an equal moral obligation to assist both	481	5.6%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	7690	90.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra3a: The effectiveness of financial assistance to reduce poverty in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How effective do you think Norway's financial assistance programs have been at reducing poverty in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	98	1.2%
2	Somewhat effective	401	4.7%
3	Not too effective	272	3.2%
4	Not at all effective	27	0.3%
97	No answer	27	0.3%
98	Not asked	7690	90.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra4a: The reason that most recipients of financial assistance are poor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Why do you think most recipients of financial assistance are poor?		
Interviewer's instructions	Use the scale below where 1 is "Because they don't work hard enough" and 7 is "Because of circumstances beyond their control." [Asked if U=5 and ran46=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 – Because they don't work hard enough	16	0.2%
2	2	27	0.3%
3	3	102	1.2%
4	4	217	2.5%
5	5	235	2.8%
6	6	141	1.7%
7	7 – Because of circumstances beyond their control	66	0.8%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	7690	90.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra5a: Probability that recipients of financial assistance lacked basic necessities before they got help from the Norwegian authorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

w03_pra5a: Probability that recipients of financial assistance lacked basic necessities before they got help from the Norwegian authorities

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How likely is it that the recipients of financial assistance lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before receiving assistance from the Norwegian government?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	148	1.7%
2	Somewhat likely	446	5.2%
3	Not too likely	190	2.2%
4	Not at all likely	9	0.1%
97	No answer	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	7690	90.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pra1b: Increase, maintain or reduce amount spent on foreign aid

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Now we would like to ask you about Norway's foreign aid programs.
Literal question	Some people think that the amount the Norwegian government spends on foreign aid should be increased, while others believe it should be maintained at the present level, or decreased. What is your opinion, do you think the Norwegian government should increase, maintain, or decrease the amount it spends on foreign aid?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased	188	2.2%
2	Maintained	461	5.4%
3	Decreased	195	2.3%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	7657	89.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pra1ba: Increase the amount spent on foreign aid a good deal, or just a little

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian government should increase spending on foreign aid quite a bit or just a little?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=2, and PRA1B=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a bit	161	1.9%
2	Just a little	25	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8327	97.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pra1bb: Reduce amount spent on foreign aid a good deal, or just a little

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# w03_pra1bb: Reduce amount spent on foreign aid a good deal, or just a little			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian government should decrease spending on foreign aid quite a bit or just a little?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=2, and PRA1B=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a bit	143	1.7%
2	Just a little	50	0.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8320	97.7%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra2abb: Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to give aid to the poor in other countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian government has a moral obligation to provide financial assistance to the poor in other countries?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=2. PRA2Abb and PRA2Bb is asked on the same page, and the order is randomized]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree strongly	362	4.3%
2	Agree somewhat	346	4.1%
3	Disagree somewhat	93	1.1%
4	Disagree strongly	38	0.4%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7657	89.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra2bb: Whom should receive economic assistance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	To whom do you believe the Norwegian government has a greater moral obligation to provide economic assistance?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=2. PRA2Abb and PRA2Bb is asked on the same page, and the order is randomized]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The poor in other countries	41	0.5%
2	The poor in Norway	290	3.4%
3	The government has an equal moral obligation to assist both	509	6.0%
97		18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7657	89.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_pra3b: The effectiveness of aid programs to reduce poverty in other countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How effective do you think Norway's foreign aid programs have been at reducing poverty in other countries?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=2]		

w03_pra3b: The effectiveness of aid programs to reduce poverty in other countries

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	44	0.5%
2	Somewhat effective	420	4.9%
3	Not too effective	313	3.7%
4	Not at all effective	57	0.7%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	7657	89.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pra4b: The reason that most recipients of aid are poor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Why do you think most recipients of foreign aid are poor? Use the scale below where 1 is "Because they don't work hard enough" and 7 is "Because of circumstances beyond their control."
Interviewer's instructions	Use the scale below where 1 is "Because they don't work hard enough" and 7 is "Because of circumstances beyond their control." [Asked if U=5 and ran46=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 – Because they don't work hard enough	10	0.1%
2	2	7	0.1%
3	3	20	0.2%
4	4	97	1.1%
5	5	191	2.2%
6	6	206	2.4%
7	7 – Because of circumstances beyond their control	310	3.6%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7657	89.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_pra5b: Probability that recipients of financial assistance lacked basic necessities before they got help from the Norwegian authorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How likely is it that the recipients of foreign aid lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before receiving assistance from the Norwegian government?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran46=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	399	4.7%
2	Somewhat likely	350	4.1%
3	Not too likely	72	0.8%
4	Not at all likely	3	0.0%
97	No answer	34	0.4%
98	Not asked	7657	89.9%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_ran43: [Randomizes if U=5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1676 /-] [Invalid=15335 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	565	33.7%
2	2	556	33.2%
3	3	555	33.1%
Sysmiss		15335	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ws1a_1: Suspected terrorist attacks from the extreme right, the authorities entitled to: Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) states that they are receiving a growing number of notes of concern and tips on people who share Breivik's right-wing extremist views. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack from right-wing extremists was imminent. Do you think the authorities should have the right to:		
Literal question	Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should definitely have the right to	87	1.0%
2	Should probably have the right to	128	1.5%
3	Should probably not have the right to	121	1.4%
4	Should definitely not have the right to	176	2.1%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7950	93.4%
99	Don't know	35	0.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ws1a_2: Suspected terrorist attacks from the extreme right, the authorities entitled to: Randomly stop and search people on the street			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) states that they are receiving a growing number of notes of concern and tips on people who share Breivik's right-wing extremist views. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack from right-wing extremists was imminent. Do you think the authorities should have the right to:		
Literal question	Randomly stop and search people on the street?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should definitely have the right to	85	1.0%
2	Should probably have the right to	177	2.1%
3	Should probably not have the right to	116	1.4%
4	Should definitely not have the right to	144	1.7%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7950	93.4%
99	Don't know	27	0.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

w03_ws1a_2: Suspected terrorist attacks from the extreme right, the authorities entitled to: Randomly stop and search people on the street

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ws1b_1: Suspected terrorist attacks from Islamic extremism, the authorities entitled to: Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) states that politically motivated violence in the form of Islamic extremism represents a serious threat to security in Norway. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack from Islamists was imminent. Do you think the authorities should have the right to:
Literal question	Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should definitely have the right to	100	1.2%
2	Should probably have the right to	159	1.9%
3	Should probably not have the right to	118	1.4%
4	Should definitely not have the right to	149	1.7%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7959	93.5%
99	Don't know	19	0.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ws1b_2: Suspected terrorist attacks from Islamic extremism, the authorities entitled to: Randomly stop and search people on the street

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) states that politically motivated violence in the form of Islamic extremism represents a serious threat to security in Norway. Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack from Islamists was imminent. Do you think the authorities should have the right to:
Literal question	Randomly stop and search people on the street?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should definitely have the right to	102	1.2%
2	Should probably have the right to	204	2.4%
3	Should probably not have the right to	106	1.2%
4	Should definitely not have the right to	108	1.3%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	7959	93.5%
99	Don't know	21	0.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ws1c_1: Suspected terrorist attacks, authorities entitled to: Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. Do you think the authorities should have the right to:

w03_ws3c_1: Suspected terrorist attacks, authorities entitled to: Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial

Literal question	Hold people in custody as long as they want to without having them stand trial?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should definitely have the right to	88	1.0%
2	Should probably have the right to	155	1.8%
3	Should probably not have the right to	136	1.6%
4	Should definitely not have the right to	133	1.6%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	7960	93.5%
99	Don't know	28	0.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ws3c_2: Suspected terrorist attacks, authorities entitled to: Randomly stop and search people on the street

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the authorities suspected that a terrorist attack was imminent. Do you think the authorities should have the right to:
Literal question	Randomly stop and search people on the street?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should definitely have the right to	129	1.5%
2	Should probably have the right to	199	2.3%
3	Should probably not have the right to	111	1.3%
4	Should definitely not have the right to	77	0.9%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	7960	93.5%
99	Don't know	25	0.3%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_ws2_1: Concern that there will be new terrorist attacks in Norway in the near future

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How concerned are you that there will be new terrorist attacks in Norway in the near future?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	120	1.4%
2	Quite concerned	573	6.7%
3	Not very concerned	828	9.7%
4	Not concerned at all	97	1.1%
5	Don't know	37	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6860	80.6%
Sysmiss		8496	

# w03_ws_j2_1: Concern that there will be new terrorist attacks in Norway in the near future			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ws_j2_2: Concern that future terrorist acts will affect you, your family or your friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent are you concerned that future terrorist actions will affect you, your family or your friends?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran43=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	88	1.0%
2	Quite concerned	318	3.7%
3	Not very concerned	977	11.5%
4	Not concerned at all	230	2.7%
5	Don't know	38	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6864	80.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran44: [Randomizes if U=5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1673 /-] [Invalid=15338 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	835	49.9%
2	2	838	50.1%
Sysmiss		15338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_ran45: [Randomizes if U=5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=835 /-] [Invalid=16176 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	408	48.9%
2	2	427	51.1%
Sysmiss		16176	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat1a: For or against control to increase government control of food safety, severe symptoms			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	"Some point to recent cases of food recalls, such as the recall of green onions carrying e. coli and the recall of fish believed to cause a listeriosis outbreak, as evidence that we need greater FDA (Mattillsynet) oversight. Illnesses such as these can cause serious symptoms that can be life-threatening for some. Others say that while food borne diseases are a serious concern, the fact that few were harmed in even the worst incidents is evidence that FDA (Mattillsynet) oversight is working as intended and is effective at protecting the public from food borne diseases."		
Literal question	How strongly do you favor or oppose increasing government regulation of food safety?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1, and ran45=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly favor	91	1.1%

# w03_mat1a: For or against control to increase government control of food safety, severe symptoms			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Moderately favor	114	1.3%
3	Slightly favor	95	1.1%
4	Neither favor nor oppose	71	0.8%
5	Slightly oppose	18	0.2%
6	Moderately oppose	11	0.1%
7	Strongly oppose	6	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8107	95.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat1aa: Concern over how safe the food is			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about how safe food is?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1, and ran45=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not concerned at all	57	0.7%
2	Not too concerned	177	2.1%
3	Moderately concerned	131	1.5%
4	Very concerned	32	0.4%
5	Extremely concerned	8	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8107	95.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat1b: For or against control to increase government control of food safety, severe symptoms including abdominal cramps, bloody diarrhea, nausea and vomiting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	Some point to recent cases of food recalls, such as the recall of green onions contaminated with e. coli and the recall of fish believed to cause a listeriosis outbreak, as evidence that we need greater FDA (Mattillsynet) oversight. Bacterial and viral infections such as these can cause serious symptoms, including stomach cramps, bloody diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting. In some cases these infections can be life-threatening. Others say that while food borne diseases are a serious concern, the fact that few were harmed in even the worst incidents is evidence that FDA (Mattillsynet) oversight is working as intended and is effective at protecting the public from food borne diseases."		
Literal question	How strongly do you favor or oppose increasing government regulation of food safety?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1, and ran45=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly favor	111	1.3%
2	Moderately favor	123	1.4%
3	Slightly favor	80	0.9%
4	Neither favor nor oppose	67	0.8%
5	Slightly oppose	18	0.2%
6	Moderately oppose	20	0.2%
7	Strongly oppose	0	

w03_mat1b: For or against control to increase government control of food safety, severe symptoms including abdominal cramps, bloody diarrhea, nausea and vomiting

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8088	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat1bb: Concern over how safe the food is

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How concerned are you about how safe food is?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1, and ran45=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not concerned at all	54	0.6%
2	Not too concerned	196	2.3%
3	Moderately concerned	139	1.6%
4	Very concerned	27	0.3%
5	Extremely concerned	4	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8088	95.0%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat3a_1: Disgusting conditions: Seeing some mold on old leftovers in your refrigerator

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following items describe a number of conditions. Please rate how disgusting you find the conditions in the items.
Literal question	Seeing some mold on old leftovers in your refrigerator.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	140	1.6%
2	Not too disgusting	264	3.1%
3	Somewhat disgusting	274	3.2%
4	Very disgusting	105	1.2%
5	Extremely disgusting	34	0.4%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7680	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_mat3a_2: Disgusting conditions: Standing close to a person who has body odor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The following items describe a number of conditions. Please rate how disgusting you find the conditions in the items.		
Literal question	Standing close to a person who has body odor.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	15	0.2%
2	Not too disgusting	77	0.9%
3	Somewhat disgusting	359	4.2%
4	Very disgusting	269	3.2%
5	Extremely disgusting	98	1.2%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7680	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat3a_3: Disgusting conditions: Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty palms			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The following items describe a number of conditions. Please rate how disgusting you find the conditions in the items.		
Literal question	Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty palms.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	68	0.8%
2	Not too disgusting	262	3.1%
3	Somewhat disgusting	371	4.4%
4	Very disgusting	98	1.2%
5	Extremely disgusting	20	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7680	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat3a_4: Disgusting conditions: Stepping on dog poop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The following items describe a number of conditions. Please rate how disgusting you find the conditions in the items.		
Literal question	Stepping on dog poop.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	22	0.3%
2	Not too disgusting	96	1.1%
3	Somewhat disgusting	290	3.4%
4	Very disgusting	281	3.3%
5	Extremely disgusting	125	1.5%

# w03_mat3a_4: Disgusting conditions: Stepping on dog poop			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	7680	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat3a_5: Disgusting conditions: Accidentally touching a person's bloody cut			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The following items describe a number of conditions. Please rate how disgusting you find the conditions in the items.		
Literal question	Accidentally touching a person's bloody cut.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	39	0.5%
2	Not too disgusting	144	1.7%
3	Somewhat disgusting	282	3.3%
4	Very disgusting	243	2.9%
5	Extremely disgusting	108	1.3%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7680	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat3a_6: Disgusting conditions: Seeing a cockroach run across the floor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The following items describe a number of conditions. Please rate how disgusting you find the conditions in the items.		
Literal question	Seeing a cockroach run across the floor.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	114	1.3%
2	Not too disgusting	182	2.1%
3	Somewhat disgusting	235	2.8%
4	Very disgusting	185	2.2%
5	Extremely disgusting	101	1.2%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7680	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_mat3a_7: Disgusting conditions: Sitting next to someone who has red sores on their arm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Pre-question	The following items describe a number of conditions. Please rate how disgusting you find the conditions in the items.		
Literal question	Sitting next to someone who has red sores on their arm.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=1]		

w03_mat3a_7: Disgusting conditions: Sitting next to someone who has red sores on their arm

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	133	1.6%
2	Not too disgusting	309	3.6%
3	Somewhat disgusting	293	3.4%
4	Very disgusting	69	0.8%
5	Extremely disgusting	15	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7680	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat4: Concern that vaccines can cause serious side effects in children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	How concerned are you that vaccines can lead to serious side effects in children?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not concerned at all	139	1.6%
2	Not too concerned	275	3.2%
3	Moderately concerned	322	3.8%
4	Very concerned	79	0.9%
5	Extremely concerned	12	0.1%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat5_1: Support for the following guidelines: Ban on the use of growth hormones for fish, poultry and cattle

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How strongly would you support or oppose each of the following guidelines?
Literal question	Ban on the use of growth hormones for fish, poultry and cattle.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support	369	4.3%
2	Moderately support	129	1.5%
3	Support a little	51	0.6%
4	Neither support nor oppose	84	1.0%
5	Oppose a little	32	0.4%
6	Moderately oppose	50	0.6%
7	Strongly oppose	108	1.3%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat5_2: Support for the following guidelines: Ban on genetically modified commodities, for example fruit and vegetables

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How strongly would you support or oppose each of the following guidelines?
Literal question	Ban on genetically modified commodities, for example fruit and vegetables.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support	302	3.5%
2	Moderately support	143	1.7%
3	Support a little	74	0.9%
4	Neither support nor oppose	88	1.0%
5	Oppose a little	45	0.5%
6	Moderately oppose	69	0.8%
7	Strongly oppose	101	1.2%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat5_3: Support for the following guidelines: Providing government support to farmers who cultivate certified ecological foodstuffs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	How strongly would you support or oppose each of the following guidelines?
Literal question	Providing government support to farmers who cultivate certified ecological foodstuffs.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support	294	3.5%
2	Moderately support	191	2.2%
3	Support a little	129	1.5%
4	Neither support nor oppose	111	1.3%
5	Oppose a little	26	0.3%
6	Moderately oppose	33	0.4%
7	Strongly oppose	36	0.4%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat3b_1: Disgusting conditions: Seeing some mold on old leftovers in your refrigerator

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following points describe a variety of circumstances. State how disgusting you consider each of these circumstances to be.
Literal question	Discovering mould on old leftovers in your own refrigerator.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

w03_mat3b_1: Disgusting conditions: Seeing some mold on old leftovers in your refrigerator

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	134	1.6%
2	Not too disgusting	279	3.3%
3	Somewhat disgusting	245	2.9%
4	Very disgusting	125	1.5%
5	Extremely disgusting	37	0.4%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat3b_2: Disgusting conditions: Standing close to a person who has body odor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following points describe a variety of circumstances. State how disgusting you consider each of these circumstances to be.
Literal question	Standing close to a person with unpleasant body odour.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	13	0.2%
2	Not too disgusting	109	1.3%
3	Somewhat disgusting	346	4.1%
4	Very disgusting	263	3.1%
5	Extremely disgusting	90	1.1%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat3b_3: Disgusting conditions: Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty palms

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following points describe a variety of circumstances. State how disgusting you consider each of these circumstances to be.
Literal question	Shaking hands with a stranger who has sweaty hands.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	73	0.9%
2	Not too disgusting	268	3.1%
3	Somewhat disgusting	358	4.2%
4	Very disgusting	102	1.2%
5	Extremely disgusting	20	0.2%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_mat3b_4: Disgusting conditions: Stepping on dog poop	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following points describe a variety of circumstances. State how disgusting you consider each of these circumstances to be.
Literal question	Stepping in dog faeces.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	25	0.3%
2	Not too disgusting	99	1.2%
3	Somewhat disgusting	289	3.4%
4	Very disgusting	295	3.5%
5	Extremely disgusting	109	1.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
System		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_mat3b_5: Disgusting conditions: Accidentally touching a person's bloody cut	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following points describe a variety of circumstances. State how disgusting you consider each of these circumstances to be.
Literal question	Stepping in dog faeces.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	45	0.5%
2	Not too disgusting	154	1.8%
3	Somewhat disgusting	272	3.2%
4	Very disgusting	229	2.7%
5	Extremely disgusting	119	1.4%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
System		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_mat3b_6: Disgusting conditions: Seeing a cockroach run across the floor	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following points describe a variety of circumstances. State how disgusting you consider each of these circumstances to be.
Literal question	Seeing a cockroach run across the floor.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	124	1.5%
2	Not too disgusting	182	2.1%
3	Somewhat disgusting	256	3.0%

w03_mat3b_6: Disgusting conditions: Seeing a cockroach run across the floor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Very disgusting	164	1.9%
5	Extremely disgusting	97	1.1%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_mat3b_7: Disgusting conditions: Sitting next to someone who has red sores on their arm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Pre-question	The following points describe a variety of circumstances. State how disgusting you consider each of these circumstances to be.
Literal question	Sitting next to a person who has red sores on the arm.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=5 and ran44=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not disgusting at all	138	1.6%
2	Not too disgusting	313	3.7%
3	Somewhat disgusting	272	3.2%
4	Very disgusting	74	0.9%
5	Extremely disgusting	24	0.3%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7677	90.2%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k20: Respondents background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
Literal question	Which of the descriptions fits best with what you have done for the past 7 days?
Interviewer's instructions	Check one option. [Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where the information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In paid work (or temporarily absent)	3547	41.7%
2	In education (not paid for by employer)	415	4.9%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	113	1.3%
4	Unemployed, want a job but not actively seeking work	37	0.4%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	203	2.4%
6	Retired	908	10.7%
7	In civilian national service or military service	7	0.1%
8	Staying at home, looking after children or other persons	74	0.9%
9	Other	166	1.9%
97	No answer	192	2.3%
98	Not asked	2853	33.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3k21: Respondents business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R3K20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State, county or municipal administration	344	4.0%
2	Public sector (e.g. school and health services)	1014	11.9%
3	Publicly owned enterprise	187	2.2%
4	Private business	1701	20.0%
5	Private business	194	2.3%
6	Other:	97	1.1%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	4968	58.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k21_6_other: Other: Respondents business [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in? [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R3K20=1]		
# w03_r3k323: The name or title of the main occupation [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What is the name or title of your main job? Please write your full job title. Be as specific as you can. [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	Here are some examples: Nurse, Teacher at an upper secondary school, Shop worker [Asked if R3K20=1]		
# w03_r3k323a: Main tasks or duties in the main occupation [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are your main tasks or duties in your main job? Please be as detailed as possible in your description. [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	Here are some examples: Provide help and medications at a senior citizens' community centre. Create educational programmes, teach Norwegian and mathematics and grade papers. Sell shoes and help customers in the shop. [Asked if R3K20=1]		
# w03_r3k23b: Responsible for directing other employees in the main job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Are you responsible for supervising the work of other employees in your main work?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R3K20=1]		

# w03_r3k23b: Responsible for directing other employees in the main job			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	2405	28.2%
2	Yes, I supervise 10 or more employees	399	4.7%
3	Yes, I supervise 10 or less employees	719	8.4%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	4968	58.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k24: Workplace in oil or gas industry, or closely linked to it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Is your workplace in the oil and gas sector, or closely related to it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R3K20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I work in the oil and gas sector	199	2.3%
2	Yes, I work in the oil and gas sector	182	2.1%
3	No	3124	36.7%
97	No answer	42	0.5%
98	Not asked	4968	58.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k25: Current income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What is your current income?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where information was missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	< 150 000	704	8.3%
2	150 001 - 300 000	906	10.6%
3	300 001 - 400 000	992	11.7%
4	400 001 - 500 000	1084	12.7%
5	500 001 - 600 000	734	8.6%
6	600 001 - 700 000	379	4.5%
7	700 001 - 1 000 000	435	5.1%
8	>1 000 000	177	2.1%
97	No answer	533	6.3%
98	Not asked	2571	30.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k26: Highest completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?		

w03_r3k26: Highest completed education

Interviewer's instructions [Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where information was missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	38	0.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	51	0.6%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	495	5.8%
4	College certificate	52	0.6%
5	College certificate	742	8.7%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	777	9.1%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	55	0.6%
8	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	197	2.3%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	501	5.9%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1007	11.8%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	357	4.2%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	360	4.2%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	566	6.6%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	90	1.1%
15	None of these	145	1.7%
97	No answer	235	2.8%
98	Not asked	2847	33.4%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_r3k27: Marital status

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]

Literal question Marital status. Click on what is most suitable to you:

Interviewer's instructions [Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where information was missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never been married	715	8.4%
2	Married	2852	33.5%
3	Legally registered partner	14	0.2%
4	Cohabitant	1052	12.4%
5	Divorced/separated	401	4.7%
6	Former cohabitant	217	2.5%
7	Former legally registered partner	5	0.1%
8	Widow/widower	132	1.6%
9	Other:	74	0.9%
97	No answer	200	2.3%
98	Not asked	2853	33.5%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w03_r3k27_9_other: Other: Marital status [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Marital status. Click on what is most suitable to you: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where information was missing]		
# w03_r3k28: Citizenship			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Citizenship. Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where information was missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norwegian citizen	5069	59.5%
2	Citizen of a different country. Please indicate which country	390	4.6%
97	No answer	196	2.3%
98	Not asked	2860	33.6%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k28_2_other: Citizenship: Other country [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Citizenship. Are you: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where information was missing]		
# w03_r3k29: Have you or your parents (mother, father or both) immigrated to Norway?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both) immigrated to Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents, and old respondents where information was missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4879	57.3%
2	I have immigrated to Norway myself	390	4.6%
3	Both of my parents immigrated to Norway, but I am born in Norway	31	0.4%
4	Mother immigrated to Norway, but I and my father have not immigrated	96	1.1%
5	Father immigrated to Norway, but I and my mother have not immigrated	67	0.8%
97	No answer	197	2.3%
98	Not asked	2855	33.5%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_r3k30_1: Homeland before immigration to Norway [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which country did you live in before immigrating?		

# w03_r3k30_1: Homeland before immigration to Norway [anonymised]			
	[Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R3K29=2]		
# w03_r3k30_2_1: Mother: Homeland before immigration to Norway [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Which country did you parents live in (mother, father or both) before they immigrated?		
Literal question	Mother: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R3K29=3 or R3K29=4 or R3K29=5]		
# w03_r3k30_2_2: Father: Homeland before immigration to Norway [anonymised]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Which country did you parents live in (mother, father or both) before they immigrated?		
Literal question	Father: [Anonymised: Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R3K29=3 or R3K29=4 or R3K29=5]		
# w03_r3hp1: Health condition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking, would you say that your health is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked new respondents]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1106	13.0%
2	Very good	2072	24.3%
3	Good	1519	17.8%
4	Quite good	498	5.8%
5	Poor	198	2.3%
97	No answer	195	2.3%
98	Not asked	2927	34.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_P1: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	4244	49.8%
2	Female	4271	50.2%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_P2: Region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		

# w03_P2: Region			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	2345	27.5%
2	Østlandet	2079	24.4%
3	Sørlandet	415	4.9%
4	Vestlandet	2244	26.4%
5	Trøndelag	745	8.7%
6	Nord-Norge	687	8.1%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_P3: County			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	1052	12.4%
2	Oslo	1293	15.2%
3	Østfold	440	5.2%
4	Vestfold	434	5.1%
5	Hedmark	258	3.0%
6	Oppland	262	3.1%
7	Buskerud	424	5.0%
8	Telemark	261	3.1%
9	Vest-Agder	265	3.1%
10	Aust-Agder	150	1.8%
11	Rogaland	771	9.1%
12	Hordaland	960	11.3%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	144	1.7%
14	Møre og Romsdal	369	4.3%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	584	6.9%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	161	1.9%
17	Nordland	351	4.1%
18	Troms	259	3.0%
19	Finmark	77	0.9%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_P4_1: Highest level of completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	877	10.3%
2	Upper secondary education	2627	30.9%
3	University/university college	4467	52.5%
97	No answer	544	6.4%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

w03_P4_2: Highest level of completed education [obtained from w01_k26]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	55	0.6%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	64	0.8%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	758	8.9%
4	College certificate	87	1.0%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	1103	13.0%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	1129	13.3%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	88	1.0%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	307	3.6%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	768	9.0%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1577	18.5%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	552	6.5%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	540	6.3%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	891	10.5%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	139	1.6%
15	None of these	222	2.6%
97	No answer	235	2.8%
Sysmiss		8496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8514 /-] [Invalid=8497 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	311	3.7%
2	1940-1949	1320	15.5%
3	1950-1959	1785	21.0%
4	1960-1969	1719	20.2%
5	1970-1979	1459	17.1%
6	1980-1989	1206	14.2%
7	1990 or later	714	8.4%
Sysmiss		8497	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_P5_2: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8514 /-] [Invalid=8497 /-]		

w03_P5_2: Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	3416	40.1%
2	1960-1989	4384	51.5%
3	1990 or later	714	8.4%
Sysmiss		8497	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w03_Weight_1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.6637814569685		325	3.8%
0.7610082379891		712	8.4%
0.8102572309058		407	4.8%
0.8302195181261		145	1.7%
0.8348581074181		649	7.6%
0.8427204966216		329	3.9%
0.8517917543275		303	3.6%
0.8602813255071		208	2.4%
0.8768263121166		217	2.5%
0.9199534327664		622	7.3%
0.9277622014818		105	1.2%
0.9511427862765		621	7.3%
0.9921530737978		574	6.7%
0.9970512259370		63	0.7%
1.0078772352091		193	2.3%
1.0216430722379		215	2.5%
1.0338683606403		198	2.3%
1.0607743716582		109	1.3%
1.1175062299907		524	6.2%
1.1322650689444		278	3.3%
1.1457621034680		67	0.8%
1.166501357521		194	2.3%
1.2179998597639		164	1.9%
1.2678090615406		99	1.2%
1.2731019003471		168	2.0%
1.2768845455336		65	0.8%
1.3336362720745		83	1.0%
1.3527938970774		284	3.3%
1.3904507595533		52	0.6%
1.3921790399809		173	2.0%
1.4009123977425		55	0.6%
1.4179344077877		60	0.7%
1.5330490279166		33	0.4%
1.6939087876372		126	1.5%
1.7581318532720		72	0.8%

# w03_Weight_1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2.0639867950452		23	0.3%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w03_Weight_2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8515 /-] [Invalid=8496 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.3578435216531		185	2.2%
0.3691803100328		159	1.9%
0.3923738227672		50	0.6%
0.3942041189307		194	2.3%
0.4107150593486		52	0.6%
0.4167207987323		118	1.4%
0.4178091026640		159	1.9%
0.4262955000775		134	1.6%
0.4396207487364		33	0.4%
0.4531709114135		95	1.1%
0.4842989596901		21	0.2%
0.5027209049244		519	6.1%
0.5135450891529		110	1.3%
0.5290840991177		311	3.7%
0.5359070747078		402	4.7%
0.5388375138456		137	1.6%
0.5446800817793		33	0.4%
0.5617812110516		91	1.1%
0.5660557225389		408	4.8%
0.5733230048517		341	4.0%
0.5887296813179		235	2.8%
0.6165931648657		28	0.3%
0.6375689310672		51	0.6%
0.6547918633720		20	0.2%
0.6655779688173		21	0.2%
0.6925829876742		111	1.3%
0.7025676007872		109	1.3%
0.7042791891023		74	0.9%
0.7430679877564		42	0.5%
0.7630678922657		32	0.4%
0.8020314875197		49	0.6%
0.8119550874851		28	0.3%
0.8124501766421		35	0.4%
0.8193763791584		81	1.0%
0.8324664914119		7	0.1%
0.8333133590639		73	0.9%
0.8371176349573		49	0.6%

w03_Weight_2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.8450013035672		23	0.3%
0.8524313225157		74	0.9%
0.8540971124590		23	0.3%
0.8574376856316		18	0.2%
0.8626096605011		11	0.1%
0.8791994258012		15	0.2%
0.8933081461713		8	0.1%
0.9181341759883		76	0.9%
0.9187369650508		31	0.4%
0.9224432691801		31	0.4%
0.9302731721791		7	0.1%
0.9537170360805		30	0.4%
0.9544440626404		96	1.1%
0.9546137188984		26	0.3%
0.9633311782446		19	0.2%
0.9649069375610		29	0.3%
0.9948383171624		37	0.4%
0.9997497260570		7	0.1%
1.0007395756469		39	0.5%
1.0106050357166		8	0.1%
1.0244081297206		31	0.4%
1.0366665056329		13	0.2%
1.0422007942674		252	3.0%
1.0447429043716		46	0.5%
1.0466721528743		62	0.7%
1.0635299232461		133	1.6%
1.0636453372563		6	0.1%
1.0796292603967		61	0.7%
1.0957187123141		169	2.0%
1.1025181664882		21	0.2%
1.1205307392809		30	0.4%
1.1353295227507		25	0.3%
1.1375879695624		74	0.9%
1.1431719306743		55	0.6%
1.1488630867407		5	0.1%
1.1571838314239		17	0.2%
1.1696584711891		13	0.2%
1.1740539505556		147	1.7%
1.1916465660865		74	0.9%
1.2212963531457		17	0.2%
1.2251938476218		71	0.8%
1.2331969886757		61	0.7%
1.2481850837906		50	0.6%
1.2484105003493		211	2.5%
1.2644671537051		165	1.9%

w03_Weight_2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.2677900251162		35	0.4%
1.2712403625767		7	0.1%
1.2765475263505		12	0.1%
1.2803404091941		2	0.0%
1.2899902435957		70	0.8%
1.3080464212923		117	1.4%
1.3109427938825		9	0.1%
1.3345462646285		102	1.2%
1.3372457332001		4	0.0%
1.3564552079495		19	0.2%
1.3942139880052		6	0.1%
1.3959469459908		11	0.1%
1.4047039404185		6	0.1%
1.4338648466087		25	0.3%
1.4347809539003		11	0.1%
1.4538008019446		45	0.5%
1.4779788291356		25	0.3%
1.5146878721909		31	0.4%
1.5257199613371		9	0.1%
1.5371981958611		3	0.0%
1.5417168499504		15	0.2%
1.5908724304804		63	0.7%
1.6674751198360		36	0.4%
1.6984933194524		11	0.1%
1.7113916224136		66	0.8%
1.7628902035889		4	0.0%
1.8951825220681		28	0.3%
2.0137915071740		17	0.2%
2.0286026523027		72	0.8%
2.0695729359265		1	0.0%
2.0773675408158		64	0.8%
2.0936596366355		24	0.3%
2.1069996603920		18	0.2%
2.1943841762851		14	0.2%
2.2818960052591		9	0.1%
2.3322165004793		21	0.2%
2.5454725616465		67	0.8%
2.6421267762123		20	0.2%
2.8280876058717		27	0.3%
2.9365876095799		14	0.2%
3.0626851193532		8	0.1%
3.0808353194906		48	0.6%
3.1729984523040		10	0.1%
3.1778817710225		24	0.3%
3.1965091998099		8	0.1%

# w03_Weight_2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3.2066604287437		16	0.2%
3.4296328098951		9	0.1%
3.7101975885158		36	0.4%
3.7230680080958		29	0.3%
3.7940173381441		28	0.3%
3.8852127441882		25	0.3%
3.9421275828785		21	0.2%
4.1336213411381		5	0.1%
4.2069344715133		31	0.4%
4.5443131091427		28	0.3%
5.0534572165677		5	0.1%
5.1506512761101		8	0.1%
5.5967030165673		17	0.2%
8.4890285382247		2	0.0%
10.420853105166		3	0.0%
Sysmiss		8496	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4Recruited: Time of recruitment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	2687	42.7%
2	Wave 3	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4_u: [Respondents are randomized in four groups]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1558	24.7%
2		1608	25.5%
3		1548	24.6%
4		1583	25.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4_Answered_date: Date and time for survey response			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	Date and time for survey respons in the ISO date format: yy-mm-dd		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2015-03-09		1529	24.3%
2015-03-10		841	13.4%
2015-03-11		324	5.1%

r4_Answered_date: Date and time for survey response

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2015-03-12		980	15.6%
2015-03-13		365	5.8%
2015-03-14		143	2.3%
2015-03-15		149	2.4%
2015-03-16		608	9.7%
2015-03-17		243	3.9%
2015-03-18		107	1.7%
2015-03-19		320	5.1%
2015-03-20		113	1.8%
2015-03-21		43	0.7%
2015-03-22		68	1.1%
2015-03-23		50	0.8%
2015-03-24		34	0.5%
2015-03-25		192	3.0%
2015-03-26		60	1.0%
2015-03-27		29	0.5%
2015-03-28		16	0.3%
2015-03-29		15	0.2%
2015-03-30		11	0.2%
2015-03-31		8	0.1%
2015-04-01		7	0.1%
2015-04-02		6	0.1%
2015-04-03		7	0.1%
2015-04-04		3	0.0%
2015-04-05		6	0.1%
2015-04-06		9	0.1%
2015-04-07		6	0.1%
2015-04-08		5	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k11: Satisfaction with democracy



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you about the way in which democracy works in Norway?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1036	16.5%
2	Satisfied	3864	61.4%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1092	17.3%
4	Slightly satisfied	238	3.8%
5	Not satisfied at all	40	0.6%
97		27	0.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k204: Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r4k204: Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	295	 4.7%
2	The Conservative Party of Norway	1349	 21.4%
3	The Progress Party	410	 6.5%
4	The Liberal Party	371	 5.9%
5	The Socialist Left Party	304	 4.8%
6	The Centre Party	245	 3.9%
7	The Green Party	364	 5.8%
8	The Labour Party	2345	 37.2%
9	The Red Party	120	 1.9%
10	Would not vote	199	 3.2%
11	Not entitled to vote	146	 2.3%
12	Other:	109	 1.7%
97		40	 0.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k204_12_other: Other: Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there was a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		

r4k43_1: Would vote for following party in municipal elections

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Municipal and county elections will be held during the autumn. If you are going to vote, which parties or lists will you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Red Party	142	2.3%
2	The Socialist Left Party	283	4.5%
3	The Labour Party	1886	30.0%
4	The Centre Party	262	4.2%
5	The Christian Democrats	263	4.2%
6	The Liberal Party	329	5.2%
7	The Conservative Party	1311	20.8%
8	The Progress Party	352	5.6%
9	The Green Party	299	4.7%
10	The Coastal Party	8	0.1%
11	Joint lists	23	0.4%
12	Other lists	80	1.3%
13	Haven't decided who to vote for	817	13.0%
14	Not entitled to vote	50	0.8%
15	Will not vote	140	2.2%
97	No answer	52	0.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k43_2: Would vote for following party in county elections

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Municipal and county elections will be held during the autumn. If you are going to vote, which parties or lists will you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Red Party	118	1.9%
2	The Socialist Left Party	276	4.4%
3	The Labour Party	1829	29.0%
4	The Centre Party	213	3.4%
5	The Christian Democrats	249	4.0%
6	The Liberal Party	300	4.8%
7	The Conservative Party	1199	19.0%
8	The Progress Party	341	5.4%
9	The Green Party	298	4.7%
10	The Coastal Party	9	0.1%
11	Joint lists	4	0.1%
12	Other lists	42	0.7%
13	Haven't decided who to vote for	853	13.5%
14	Not entitled to vote	55	0.9%
15	Will not vote	184	2.9%
97	No answer	327	5.2%

r4k43_2: Would vote for following party in county elections

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k202: How satisfied are you with the current government?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the current government?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	162	2.6%
2	Satisfied	1567	24.9%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1984	31.5%
4	Slightly satisfied	1733	27.5%
5	Not satisfied at all	834	13.2%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k6_1: Like or dislike: The Christian Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	436	6.9%
2	Really dislike	783	12.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	1572	25.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1533	24.3%
5	Like somewhat	1339	21.3%
6	Really like	438	7.0%
7	Intensely like	71	1.1%
97	No answer	125	2.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k6_2: Like or dislike: The Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	274	4.4%
2	Really dislike	819	13.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	1290	20.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	765	12.1%
5	Like somewhat	1517	24.1%

r4k6_2: Like or dislike: The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Really like	1224	19.4%
7	Intensely like	278	4.4%
97	No answer	130	2.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k6_3: Like or dislike: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Progress Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1951	31.0%
2	Really dislike	1227	19.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	916	14.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	571	9.1%
5	Like somewhat	932	14.8%
6	Really like	418	6.6%
7	Intensely like	151	2.4%
97	No answer	131	2.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k6_4: Like or dislike: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	314	5.0%
2	Really dislike	602	9.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	1243	19.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1821	28.9%
5	Like somewhat	1516	24.1%
6	Really like	552	8.8%
7	Intensely like	82	1.3%
97	No answer	167	2.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k6_5: Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party

r4k6_5: Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	952	15.1%
2	Really dislike	959	15.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1167	18.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1297	20.6%
5	Like somewhat	1117	17.7%
6	Really like	516	8.2%
7	Intensely like	131	2.1%
97	No answer	158	2.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k6_6: Like or dislike: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Centre Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	501	8.0%
2	Really dislike	801	12.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1292	20.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2031	32.3%
5	Like somewhat	1115	17.7%
6	Really like	304	4.8%
7	Intensely like	71	1.1%
97	No answer	182	2.9%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k6_7: Like or dislike: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	459	7.3%
2	Really dislike	526	8.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	767	12.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2098	33.3%
5	Like somewhat	1419	22.5%
6	Really like	669	10.6%
7	Intensely like	187	3.0%
97	No answer	172	2.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4k6_8: Like or dislike: The Labour Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Labour Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	239	3.8%
2	Really dislike	368	5.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	766	12.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	837	13.3%
5	Like somewhat	1666	26.5%
6	Really like	1710	27.2%
7	Intensely like	571	9.1%
97	No answer	140	2.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k6_9: Like or dislike: The Red Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Red Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1444	22.9%
2	Really dislike	1052	16.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	889	14.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1639	26.0%
5	Like somewhat	745	11.8%
6	Really like	273	4.3%
7	Intensely like	83	1.3%
97	No answer	172	2.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k8_1: Placement on political scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In politics people often talk about the “left wing” and the “right wing”. Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those who are politically on the far right. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	43	0.7%
2	1	65	1.0%
3	2	213	3.4%
4	3	418	6.6%
5	4	391	6.2%

r4k8_1: Placement on political scale

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	5	362	5.7%
7	6	331	5.3%
8	7	360	5.7%
9	8	250	4.0%
10	9	68	1.1%
11	10 Right	47	0.7%
97	No answer	94	1.5%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	45	0.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k9_1: 1. Most important political issues personally [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r4k9_2: 2. Most important political issues personally [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r4k9_3: 3. Most important political issues personally [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r4k10: How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	Do you think it is:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1681	26.7%
2	Good	3368	53.5%
3	Somewhat good	818	13.0%
4	Neither good nor bad	214	3.4%
5	Somewhat bad	148	2.4%

# r4k10: How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Bad	39	0.6%
7	Very bad	12	0.2%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	184	2.9%
2	Agree	423	6.7%
3	Agree somewhat	868	13.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	638	10.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	1008	16.0%
6	Disagree	1739	27.6%
7	Strongly disagree	1379	21.9%
97	No answer	58	0.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Commercial private schools should be allowed.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	373	5.9%
2	Agree	1040	16.5%
3	Agree somewhat	1250	19.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	853	13.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	905	14.4%
6	Disagree	997	15.8%
7	Strongly disagree	822	13.1%
97	No answer	57	0.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv30_3: Statement: Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at a lower cost, if they were run by the private sector.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		

r4dv30_3: Statement: Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at a lower cost, if they were run by the private sector.

Literal question Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at a lower cost, if they were run by the private sector.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	447	7.1%
2	Agree	972	15.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1501	23.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	733	11.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	895	14.2%
6	Disagree	1043	16.6%
7	Strongly disagree	656	10.4%
97	No answer	50	0.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv31_1: Statement: The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?

Literal question The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.

Interviewer's instructions [Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	372	5.9%
2	Agree	696	11.1%
3	Agree somewhat	776	12.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	300	4.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	252	4.0%
6	Disagree	193	3.1%
7	Strongly disagree	64	1.0%
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv31_2: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?

Literal question It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.

Interviewer's instructions [Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	251	4.0%
2	Agree	586	9.3%
3	Agree somewhat	591	9.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	402	6.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	369	5.9%

r4dv31_2: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	290	4.6%
7	Strongly disagree	158	2.5%
97	No answer	40	0.6%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv31_3: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	79	1.3%
2	Agree	183	2.9%
3	Agree somewhat	359	5.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	590	9.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	518	8.2%
6	Disagree	642	10.2%
7	Strongly disagree	271	4.3%
97	No answer	45	0.7%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1330	21.1%
2	Agree	1136	18.0%
3	Agree somewhat	782	12.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1060	16.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	790	12.5%
6	Disagree	752	11.9%
7	Strongly disagree	381	6.1%
97	No answer	66	1.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	548	8.7%
2	Agree	1128	17.9%
3	Agree somewhat	1176	18.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	662	10.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	1128	17.9%
6	Disagree	955	15.2%
7	Strongly disagree	633	10.1%
97	No answer	67	1.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dvh_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2639	41.9%
2	Agree	1711	27.2%
3	Agree somewhat	550	8.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	513	8.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	319	5.1%
6	Disagree	284	4.5%
7	Strongly disagree	215	3.4%
97	No answer	66	1.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dt16_1: Place parties on political scale: The Christian Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Christian Democrats
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	16	0.3%
2	1	34	0.5%
3	2	52	0.8%
4	3	116	1.8%

r4dt16_1: Place parties on political scale: The Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	4	274	4.4%
6	5	585	9.3%
7	6	696	11.1%
8	7	386	6.1%
9	8	158	2.5%
10	9	50	0.8%
11	10 Right	38	0.6%
97	No answer	222	3.5%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	60	1.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dt16_2: Place parties on political scale: The Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Conservative Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	4	0.1%
2	1	6	0.1%
3	2	8	0.1%
4	3	13	0.2%
5	4	9	0.1%
6	5	37	0.6%
7	6	152	2.4%
8	7	468	7.4%
9	8	778	12.4%
10	9	608	9.7%
11	10 Right	369	5.9%
97	No answer	203	3.2%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	32	0.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dt16_3: Place parties on political scale: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

# r4dt16_3: Place parties on political scale: The Progress Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	9	0.1%
2	1	8	0.1%
3	2	4	0.1%
4	3	23	0.4%
5	4	34	0.5%
6	5	31	0.5%
7	6	43	0.7%
8	7	117	1.9%
9	8	348	5.5%
10	9	608	9.7%
11	10 Right	1223	19.4%
97	No answer	203	3.2%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	36	0.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dt16_4: Place parties on political scale: The Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?		
Literal question	The Liberal Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	173	2.7%
2	1	108	1.7%
3	2	188	3.0%
4	3	223	3.5%
5	4	309	4.9%
6	5	475	7.5%
7	6	515	8.2%
8	7	272	4.3%
9	8	95	1.5%
10	9	24	0.4%
11	10 Right	17	0.3%
97	No answer	232	3.7%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	56	0.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dt16_5: Place parties on political scale: The Socialist Left Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		

# r4dt16_5: Place parties on political scale: The Socialist Left Party			
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?		
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	385	6.1%
2	1	678	10.8%
3	2	775	12.3%
4	3	398	6.3%
5	4	86	1.4%
6	5	37	0.6%
7	6	14	0.2%
8	7	9	0.1%
9	8	6	0.1%
10	9	3	0.0%
11	10 Right	6	0.1%
97	No answer	230	3.7%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	60	1.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dt16_6: Place parties on political scale: The Centre Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?		
Literal question	The Centre Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	37	0.6%
2	1	72	1.1%
3	2	142	2.3%
4	3	315	5.0%
5	4	686	10.9%
6	5	688	10.9%
7	6	302	4.8%
8	7	99	1.6%
9	8	29	0.5%
10	9	11	0.2%
11	10 Right	10	0.2%
97	No answer	231	3.7%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	65	1.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4dt16_7: Place parties on political scale: The Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?		
Literal question	The Green Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	184	2.9%
2	1	261	4.1%
3	2	398	6.3%
4	3	447	7.1%
5	4	444	7.1%
6	5	388	6.2%
7	6	91	1.4%
8	7	35	0.6%
9	8	14	0.2%
10	9	6	0.1%
11	10 Right	9	0.1%
97	No answer	304	4.8%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	106	1.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dt16_8: Place parties on political scale: The Labour Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?		
Literal question	The Labour Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	54	0.9%
2	1	111	1.8%
3	2	261	4.1%
4	3	631	10.0%
5	4	671	10.7%
6	5	446	7.1%
7	6	169	2.7%
8	7	51	0.8%
9	8	22	0.3%
10	9	9	0.1%
11	10 Right	14	0.2%
97	No answer	207	3.3%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	41	0.7%

r4dt16_8: Place parties on political scale: The Labour Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dt16_9: Place parties on political scale: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	1275	20.2%
2	1	637	10.1%
3	2	159	2.5%
4	3	51	0.8%
5	4	33	0.5%
6	5	33	0.5%
7	6	12	0.2%
8	7	5	0.1%
9	8	11	0.2%
10	9	2	0.0%
11	10 Right	23	0.4%
97	No answer	316	5.0%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
99	No answer	130	2.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 or DV29 is asked in wave 1 or DV202 is asked in wave 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	429	6.8%
2	Agree	499	7.9%
3	Agree somewhat	436	6.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	347	5.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	263	4.2%
6	Disagree	343	5.4%
7	Strongly disagree	215	3.4%
97	No answer	21	0.3%
98	Not asked	3744	59.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

# r4dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway.			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv29_2: Statement: Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 or DV29 is asked in wave 1 or DV202 is asked in wave 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	246	3.9%
2	Agree	328	5.2%
3	Agree somewhat	516	8.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	340	5.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	339	5.4%
6	Disagree	501	8.0%
7	Strongly disagree	267	4.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3744	59.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv29_3: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 or DV29 is asked in wave 1 or DV202 is asked in wave 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	184	2.9%
2	Agree	392	6.2%
3	Agree somewhat	474	7.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	763	12.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	294	4.7%
6	Disagree	339	5.4%
7	Strongly disagree	89	1.4%
97	No answer	18	0.3%
98	Not asked	3744	59.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv29_4: Statement: It is better for a country if most people share the same traditions and customs.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	It is better for a country if most people share the same traditions and customs.		

r4dv29_4: Statement: It is better for a country if most people share the same traditions and customs.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 or DV29 is asked in wave 1 or DV202 is asked in wave 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	134	2.1%
2	Agree	293	4.7%
3	Agree somewhat	514	8.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	378	6.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	436	6.9%
6	Disagree	574	9.1%
7	Strongly disagree	205	3.3%
97	No answer	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	3744	59.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran2: [Randomizes if U=1]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1558 /-] [Invalid=15453 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	404	25.9%
2	2	410	26.3%
3	3	373	23.9%
4	4	371	23.8%
Sysmiss		15453	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri1a: Voluntary work: carried out any voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question We will now turn to some questions about voluntary work.

Literal question During the last 12 months have you carried out any voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=1 and ran2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	177	2.8%
2	No	225	3.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5893	93.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri1b: Voluntary work: made any voluntary contributions to voluntary organisations

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question We will now turn to some questions about voluntary work.

Literal question During the last 12 months have you made any voluntary contributions to voluntary organisations?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=1 and ran2=2]

# r4fri1b: Voluntary work: made any voluntary contributions to voluntary organisations			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	188	3.0%
2	No	221	3.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5887	93.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri1c: Voluntary work: carried out any voluntary work for voluntary organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now turn to some questions about voluntary work.		
Literal question	During the last 12 months have you carried out any voluntary work for voluntary organisations?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran2=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	175	2.8%
2	No	198	3.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5924	94.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri1d: Voluntary work: undertaken any voluntary contracts for voluntary organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now turn to some questions about voluntary work.		
Literal question	During the last 12 months have you undertaken any voluntary contracts for voluntary organisations?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and ran2=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	178	2.8%
2	No	191	3.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5926	94.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri2: Voluntary work: time spent on voluntary work during an ordinary week			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I do not undertake voluntary work	609	9.7%
2	Less than half an hour	309	4.9%
3	Between half an hour and one hour	184	2.9%

# r4fri2: Voluntary work: time spent on voluntary work during an ordinary week			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	1 to 2 hours	202	3.2%
5	2 to 5 hours	127	2.0%
6	More than 5 hours	111	1.8%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri3: Voluntary work: time spent for an average Norwegian			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Roughly speaking how much time do you think an average Norwegian spends on voluntary work during an ordinary week?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Less than half an hour	652	10.4%
3	Between half an hour and one hour	467	7.4%
4	1 to 2 hours	295	4.7%
5	2 to 5 hours	78	1.2%
6	More than 5 hours	22	0.3%
97	No answer	44	0.7%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri4_1: Voluntary work: I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	154	2.4%
2	Agree	432	6.9%
3	Agree somewhat	187	3.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	497	7.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	40	0.6%
6	Disagree	51	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	39	0.6%
97	No answer	158	2.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri4_2: Voluntary work: I always want to engage in voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		

# r4fri4_2: Voluntary work: I always want to engage in voluntary work			
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I always want to engage in voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	83	1.3%
2	Agree	273	4.3%
3	Agree somewhat	340	5.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	386	6.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	148	2.4%
6	Disagree	120	1.9%
7	Strongly disagree	43	0.7%
97	No answer	165	2.6%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri4_3: Voluntary work: Time flies when I am doing voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	Time flies when I am doing voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	81	1.3%
2	Agree	319	5.1%
3	Agree somewhat	259	4.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	566	9.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	70	1.1%
6	Disagree	41	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	49	0.8%
97	No answer	173	2.7%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri4_4: Voluntary work: I feel forced to participate more than I want to			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel forced to participate more than I want to		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	23	0.4%
2	Agree	43	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	160	2.5%

r4fri4_4: Voluntary work: I feel forced to participate more than I want to

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	522	8.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	167	2.7%
6	Disagree	320	5.1%
7	Strongly disagree	158	2.5%
97	No answer	165	2.6%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4fri4_5: Voluntary work: I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	38	0.6%
2	Agree	98	1.6%
3	Agree somewhat	256	4.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	478	7.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	169	2.7%
6	Disagree	272	4.3%
7	Strongly disagree	88	1.4%
97	No answer	159	2.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri4_6: Voluntary work: I feel that my involvement is not appreciated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel that my involvement is not appreciated		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	16	0.3%
2	Agree	36	0.6%
3	Agree somewhat	88	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	534	8.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	152	2.4%
6	Disagree	389	6.2%
7	Strongly disagree	171	2.7%
97	No answer	172	2.7%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri5: I hvor stor grad er du tilfreds med livet nå om dagen?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	279	4.4%
2	To a great degree	875	13.9%

r4fri5: I hvor stor grad er du tilfreds med livet nå om dagen?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	To some degree	325	5.2%
4	To a small degree	54	0.9%
5	Not at all	14	0.2%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri6: All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	264	4.2%
2	To a great degree	843	13.4%
3	To some degree	343	5.4%
4	To a small degree	59	0.9%
5	Not at all	18	0.3%
97	No answer	31	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri7_1: 0-10: HAPPY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale of 0-10, to what extent did you feel happy, worried or depressed yesterday?
Literal question	HAPPY
Interviewer's instructions	0 means that you did not feel it at all yesterday, while 10 means that you felt it all the time. [Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not at all	14	0.2%
2	1	35	0.6%
3	2	50	0.8%
4	3	55	0.9%
5	4	89	1.4%
6	5	188	3.0%
7	6	228	3.6%
8	7	309	4.9%
9	8	295	4.7%
10	9	154	2.4%
11	10 all the time	66	1.0%
12	No answer	18	0.3%
97	No answer	57	0.9%

r4fri7_1: 0-10: HAPPY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri7_2: 0-10: WORRIED

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale of 0-10, to what extent did you feel happy, worried or depressed yesterday?
Literal question	WORRIED
Interviewer's instructions	0 means that you did not feel it at all yesterday, while 10 means that you felt it all the time. [Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not at all	210	3.3%
2	1	223	3.5%
3	2	270	4.3%
4	3	196	3.1%
5	4	145	2.3%
6	5	127	2.0%
7	6	76	1.2%
8	7	67	1.1%
9	8	36	0.6%
10	9	22	0.3%
11	10 all the time	14	0.2%
12	No answer	19	0.3%
97	No answer	153	2.4%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri7_3: 0-10: DEPRESSED

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale of 0-10, to what extent did you feel happy, worried or depressed yesterday?
Literal question	DEPRESSED
Interviewer's instructions	0 means that you did not feel it at all yesterday, while 10 means that you felt it all the time. [Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not at all	788	12.5%
2	1	197	3.1%
3	2	102	1.6%
4	3	70	1.1%
5	4	45	0.7%
6	5	39	0.6%
7	6	31	0.5%

# r4fri7_3: 0-10: DEPRESSED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	7	25	0.4%
9	8	15	0.2%
10	9	6	0.1%
11	10 all the time	8	0.1%
12	No answer	34	0.5%
97	No answer	198	3.1%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4fri8_1: Statement: In most ways my life is almost ideal	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:
Literal question	In most ways my life is almost ideal
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	93	1.5%
2	Agree	443	7.0%
3	Agree somewhat	478	7.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	215	3.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	154	2.4%
6	Disagree	90	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	43	0.7%
97	No answer	42	0.7%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4fri8_2: Statement: My circumstances are excellent	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:
Literal question	My circumstances are excellent
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	158	2.5%
2	Agree	580	9.2%
3	Agree somewhat	398	6.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	131	2.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	150	2.4%
6	Disagree	76	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.4%
97	No answer	42	0.7%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%

r4fri8_2: Statement: My circumstances are excellent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri8_3: Statement: So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:
Literal question	So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	204	3.2%
2	Agree	571	9.1%
3	Agree somewhat	386	6.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	127	2.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	136	2.2%
6	Disagree	76	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	22	0.3%
97	No answer	36	0.6%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri8_4: Statement: I am satisfied with my life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:
Literal question	I am satisfied with my life
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	230	3.7%
2	Agree	760	12.1%
3	Agree somewhat	297	4.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	81	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	96	1.5%
6	Disagree	42	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	18	0.3%
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri8_5: Statement: If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life:

# r4fri8_5: Statement: If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything			
Literal question	If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	68	1.1%
2	Agree	252	4.0%
3	Agree somewhat	369	5.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	171	2.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	367	5.8%
6	Disagree	196	3.1%
7	Strongly disagree	99	1.6%
97	No answer	36	0.6%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri9_1: Satisfied with: Your standard of living			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	Your standard of living?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	406	6.4%
2	Satisfied	876	13.9%
3	Slightly satisfied	193	3.1%
4	Not very satisfied	50	0.8%
5	Not at all satisfied	11	0.2%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri9_2: Satisfied with: Your health			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	Your health?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	323	5.1%
2	Satisfied	709	11.3%
3	Slightly satisfied	327	5.2%
4	Not very satisfied	115	1.8%
5	Not at all satisfied	59	0.9%
97	No answer	25	0.4%

r4fri9_2: Satisfied with: Your health

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri9_3: Satisfied with: What you are achieving in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	What you are achieving in life?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	162	2.6%
2	Satisfied	871	13.8%
3	Slightly satisfied	378	6.0%
4	Not very satisfied	86	1.4%
5	Not at all satisfied	24	0.4%
97	No answer	37	0.6%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri9_4: Satisfied with: Your personal relationships

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your personal relationships?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	247	3.9%
2	Satisfied	834	13.2%
3	Slightly satisfied	349	5.5%
4	Not very satisfied	75	1.2%
5	Not at all satisfied	19	0.3%
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri9_5: Satisfied with: How safe you feel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	How safe you feel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

r4fri9_5: Satisfied with: How safe you feel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	416	6.6%
2	Satisfied	839	13.3%
3	Slightly satisfied	213	3.4%
4	Not very satisfied	44	0.7%
5	Not at all satisfied	17	0.3%
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri9_6: Satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	143	2.3%
2	Satisfied	600	9.5%
3	Slightly satisfied	519	8.2%
4	Not very satisfied	184	2.9%
5	Not at all satisfied	54	0.9%
97	No answer	58	0.9%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri9_7: Satisfied with: The prospects for safety in the future

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The prospects for safety in the future?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	208	3.3%
2	Satisfied	831	13.2%
3	Slightly satisfied	354	5.6%
4	Not very satisfied	94	1.5%
5	Not at all satisfied	40	0.6%
97	No answer	31	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4fri9_8: Satisfied with: The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	160	2.5%
2	Satisfied	706	11.2%
3	Slightly satisfied	434	6.9%
4	Not very satisfied	187	3.0%
5	Not at all satisfied	45	0.7%
97	No answer	26	0.4%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri9_9: Satisfied with: The quality of nature and the environment in your local area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	553	8.8%
2	Satisfied	755	12.0%
3	Slightly satisfied	170	2.7%
4	Not very satisfied	38	0.6%
5	Not at all satisfied	12	0.2%
97	No answer	30	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri9_10: Satisfied with: Your job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	Your job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	238	3.8%
2	Satisfied	625	9.9%
3	Slightly satisfied	240	3.8%
4	Not very satisfied	60	1.0%
5	Not at all satisfied	33	0.5%

# r4fri9_10: Satisfied with: Your job			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Not relevant	316	5.0%
97	No answer	46	0.7%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri10: Your life, five years in the future			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about your life situation in five years' time, do you think it will be ...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worse than it is at the moment	97	1.5%
2	Similar to how it is now	974	15.5%
3	Better than it is at the moment	461	7.3%
97	No answer	26	0.4%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri11: Generally speaking, how good is your health?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking, how good is your health?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	237	3.8%
2	Very good	704	11.2%
3	Fairly good	495	7.9%
4	Poor	93	1.5%
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri12: Suffer from chronic illnesses or health problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems which are expected to last for at least six months or more?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	593	9.4%
2	No	872	13.8%
3	Do not wish to reply	64	1.0%
97	No answer	29	0.5%

# r4fri12: Suffer from chronic illnesses or health problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri13: Have been prevented from undertaking any activities due to health problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	During the last six months have you been prevented from undertaking any activities that people usually do due to health problems?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, very inhibited	94	1.5%
2	Yes, inhibited	375	6.0%
3	No, not inhibited	1045	16.6%
97	No answer	44	0.7%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri14: Have participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Have you participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year in an attempt to bring about improvements in society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	224	3.6%
2	No	1305	20.7%
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri15: Who organized the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	If you cast your mind back to the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in, who was it organised by?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and R4FRI14=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A voluntary organisation, union or party	188	3.0%
2	Individuals or a non-organised group or network	34	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6073	96.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4fri16: Type of organisation, union or party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	What type of organisation, union or party was it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and R4FRI15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir	2	0.0%
2	Athletics and sport	1	0.0%
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	1	0.0%
4	Education, training and research	13	0.2%
5	Health, nursing and rescue work	2	0.0%
6	Social services and substance abuse care	3	0.0%
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection	19	0.3%
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	4	0.1%
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	0	
10	Rights, support and advocacy work	25	0.4%
11	Political parties	17	0.3%
12	International exchange, emergency help, aid and human rights work	21	0.3%
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	66	1.0%
14	Religion and faiths, including the Church of Norway	2	0.0%
15	Immigrant organisations	0	
16	Other	11	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6109	97.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri16_16_other: Other: Type of organisation, union or party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What type of organisation, union or party was it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r4fri17: How did you first hear about this protest?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How did you first hear about this protest?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and R4FRI14=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Through an organisation, union or party which I am a member of	91	1.4%
2	Through colleagues at work or fellow students	12	0.2%
3	Through social networks, friends and acquaintances	62	1.0%
4	Through the media	47	0.7%
5	In a different way	11	0.2%

# r4fri17: How did you first hear about this protest?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6073	96.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri18: In what way did you first hear about this protest?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In what way did you first hear about this protest?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and R4FRI14=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Talking face to face	31	0.5%
2	Telephone conversation	5	0.1%
3	SMS	9	0.1%
4	Posters/notices	8	0.1%
5	Newspapers/magazines	23	0.4%
6	Online newspapers	9	0.1%
7	E-mail	47	0.7%
8	Facebook	54	0.9%
9	Other social media	5	0.1%
10	TV/radio	17	0.3%
11	Other	14	0.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6073	96.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri19: Active within non-profit organizations in youth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	If you think back to your youth, to what extent were you actively involved with associations and organisations?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very involved	380	6.0%
2	Slightly involved	550	8.7%
3	Not very involved	376	6.0%
4	Not at all involved	199	3.2%
5	Don't know	31	0.5%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	4739	75.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri20: Which memberships are important			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		

# r4fri20: Which memberships are important			
Literal question	You have previously stated that you are a member of one or more of the voluntary organisations listed below. Which membership category do you consider to be the most important one for you?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to be member in more than one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir	69	1.1%
2	Athletics and sport	110	1.7%
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	65	1.0%
4	Education, training and research	13	0.2%
5	Health, nursing and rescue work	16	0.3%
6	Social services and substance abuse care	11	0.2%
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection	27	0.4%
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	37	0.6%
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	20	0.3%
10	Rights, support and advocacy work	13	0.2%
11	Political parties	25	0.4%
12	International exchange, emergency help, aid and human rights work	40	0.6%
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	61	1.0%
14	Religion and faiths, including the Church of Norway	45	0.7%
15	Immigrant organisations	1	0.0%
16	Other	0	
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5731	91.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri21_1: Text: Reason for joining [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You have previously stated that you are a member of a voluntary organisation operating in the following area: "^(w03_FR2)^". What was the most important reason for your decision to join this organisation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to be member in one voluntary organization.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r4fri21_2: Text: Reason for joining [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What was the most important reason for your decision to join this organisation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if R4FRI20 is answered] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r4fri22: Category of voluntary work [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	You have previously stated that you have undertaken voluntary work one or more of the voluntary organisations listed below. Which category encompasses the organisation for which you have done most voluntary work during the past year?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for more than one voluntary organization.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		

r4fri22: Category of voluntary work [anonymized]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir	61	1.0%
2	Athletics and sport	112	1.8%
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	50	0.8%
4	Education, training and research	21	0.3%
5	Health, nursing and rescue work	19	0.3%
6	Social services and substance abuse care	11	0.2%
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection	7	0.1%
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	37	0.6%
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	31	0.5%
10	Rights, support and advocacy work	8	0.1%
11	Political parties	12	0.2%
12	International exchange, emergency help, aid and human rights work	12	0.2%
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	25	0.4%
14	Religion and faiths, including the Church of Norway	43	0.7%
15	Immigrant organisations	1	0.0%
16	Other	0	
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5836	92.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri23_1: Voluntary work, duration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	You have previously stated that you have undertaken voluntary work for a voluntary organisation operating in the following area: "^(w03_FR2)^". How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for more than one voluntary organization.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than a month	27	0.4%
2	One month-six months	13	0.2%
3	Six months-one year	14	0.2%
4	1-2 years	49	0.8%
5	3-5 years	48	0.8%
6	6-10 years	27	0.4%
7	11-25 years	41	0.7%
8	More than 25 years	23	0.4%
97	No answer	27	0.4%
98	Not asked	6028	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4fri23_2: Voluntary work, duration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

# r4fri23_2: Voluntary work, duration			
Literal question	How long did you do voluntary work for this organisation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if R4FRI22 is answered]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than a month	20	0.3%
2	One month-six months	12	0.2%
3	Six months-one year	26	0.4%
4	1-2 years	67	1.1%
5	3-5 years	93	1.5%
6	6-10 years	81	1.3%
7	11-25 years	83	1.3%
8	More than 25 years	59	0.9%
97	No answer	1106	17.6%
98	Not asked	4750	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri24_1: Statement: I feel better about myself or more meaningful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? When I do voluntary work for this organisation....		
Literal question	I feel better about myself or more meaningful		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	0.6%
2	Agree	201	3.2%
3	Agree somewhat	195	3.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	218	3.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	20	0.3%
6	Disagree	15	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	39	0.6%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri24_2: Statement: I feel that I learn new and interesting things			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? When I do voluntary work for this organisation....		
Literal question	I feel that I learn new and interesting things		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	64	1.0%
2	Agree	257	4.1%

# r4fri24_2: Statement: I feel that I learn new and interesting things			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	209	3.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	117	1.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	25	0.4%
6	Disagree	13	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	40	0.6%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4fri24_3: Statement: I obtain experiences which could be useful for undertaking non-voluntary work	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? When I do voluntary work for this organisation....
Literal question	I obtain experiences which could be useful for undertaking non-voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	0.8%
2	Agree	194	3.1%
3	Agree somewhat	153	2.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	186	3.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	43	0.7%
6	Disagree	40	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.1%
97	No answer	53	0.8%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4fri24_4: Statement: I have the opportunity to do something tangible about an issue which interests me	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? When I do voluntary work for this organisation....
Literal question	I have the opportunity to do something tangible about an issue which interests me
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	98	1.6%
2	Agree	293	4.7%
3	Agree somewhat	164	2.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	106	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	17	0.3%
6	Disagree	8	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%

# r4fri24_4: Statement: I have the opportunity to do something tangible about an issue which interests me			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	39	0.6%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri24_5: Statement: I have the opportunity to spend time with friends or acquaintances			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? When I do voluntary work for this organisation....		
Literal question	I have the opportunity to spend time with friends or acquaintances		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	93	1.5%
2	Agree	286	4.5%
3	Agree somewhat	164	2.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	99	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	23	0.4%
6	Disagree	19	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	6	0.1%
97	No answer	40	0.6%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri24_6: Statement: I forget any problems that otherwise affect my life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? When I do voluntary work for this organisation....		
Literal question	I forget any problems that otherwise affect my life		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.5%
2	Agree	95	1.5%
3	Agree somewhat	124	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	241	3.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	47	0.7%
6	Disagree	100	1.6%
7	Strongly disagree	49	0.8%
97	No answer	43	0.7%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4fri25_1: Statement: The work I do has clearly defined targets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the work that you do for this organisation?		
Literal question	The work I do has clearly defined targets		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	70	1.1%
2	Agree	297	4.7%
3	Agree somewhat	179	2.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	100	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	18	0.3%
6	Disagree	11	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.0%
97	No answer	54	0.9%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri25_2: Statement: It is easy for me to find out if I am doing my work well			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the work that you do for this organisation?		
Literal question	It is easy for me to find out if I am doing my work well		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	46	0.7%
2	Agree	289	4.6%
3	Agree somewhat	176	2.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	125	2.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	29	0.5%
6	Disagree	7	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.0%
97	No answer	55	0.9%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri25_3: Statement: The work I do is extremely useful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the work that you do for this organisation?		
Literal question	The work I do is extremely useful		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		

# r4fri25_3: Statement: The work I do is extremely useful			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	61	1.0%
2	Agree	283	4.5%
3	Agree somewhat	191	3.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	113	1.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	18	0.3%
6	Disagree	5	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	2	0.0%
97	No answer	57	0.9%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri25_4: Statement: I am interested in the future of the organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the work that you do for this organisation?		
Literal question	I am interested in the future of the organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	109	1.7%
2	Agree	336	5.3%
3	Agree somewhat	113	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	103	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	7	0.1%
6	Disagree	5	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	2	0.0%
97	No answer	55	0.9%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri25_5: Statement: The organisation's values are very similar to my own values			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the work that you do for this organisation?		
Literal question	The organisation's values are very similar to my own values		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	92	1.5%
2	Agree	287	4.6%
3	Agree somewhat	120	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	158	2.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	14	0.2%

r4fri25_5: Statement: The organisation's values are very similar to my own values

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	6	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	53	0.8%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4fri25_6: Statement: I am proud of being able to say that I am associated with this organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the work that you do for this organisation?		
Literal question	I am proud of being able to say that I am associated with this organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	116	1.8%
2	Agree	285	4.5%
3	Agree somewhat	115	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	138	2.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	9	0.1%
6	Disagree	11	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	56	0.9%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri26_1: Satisfied with organization: manages its volunteers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with the way in which the organisation...		
Literal question	manages its volunteers		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	47	0.7%
2	To a great degree	273	4.3%
3	To some degree	286	4.5%
4	To a small degree	50	0.8%
5	Not at all	17	0.3%
97	No answer	57	0.9%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri26_2: Satisfied with organization: provide volunteers with training			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with the way in which the organisation...		
Literal question	provide volunteers with training		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	39	0.6%

# r4fri26_2: Satisfied with organization: provide volunteers with training			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	To a great degree	217	3.4%
3	To some degree	301	4.8%
4	To a small degree	86	1.4%
5	Not at all	25	0.4%
97	No answer	62	1.0%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri26_3: Satisfied with organization: solves problems which arise in connection with voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with the way in which the organisation...		
Literal question	solves problems which arise in connection with voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	40	0.6%
2	To a great degree	269	4.3%
3	To some degree	286	4.5%
4	To a small degree	52	0.8%
5	Not at all	15	0.2%
97	No answer	68	1.1%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri27: Satisfied with organization, overall			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you with your experience of being a volunteer with this organisation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	83	1.3%
2	To a great degree	354	5.6%
3	To some degree	202	3.2%
4	To a small degree	25	0.4%
5	Not at all	11	0.2%
97	No answer	55	0.9%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4fri28: How likely is it that you will still be working as a volunteer for this organisation two years from now?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

# r4fri28: How likely is it that you will still be working as a volunteer for this organisation two years from now?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How likely is it that you will still be working as a volunteer for this organisation two years from now?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=1 and if the respondent reported in wave 3 to have undertaken voluntary work for at least one voluntary organization.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	168	2.7%
2	Likely	220	3.5%
3	Somewhat likely	135	2.1%
4	Not very likely	99	1.6%
5	Not likely at all	59	0.9%
97	No answer	49	0.8%
98	Not asked	5567	88.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran3: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1607 /-] [Invalid=15404 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	811	50.5%
2	2	796	49.5%
Sysmiss		15404	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km2_1: Statement: The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to know something about your views about society in general, but especially about climate issues. First we will ask you about society. Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	23	0.4%
2	Disagree	67	1.1%
3	Disagree somewhat	56	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	100	1.6%
5	Agree somewhat	218	3.5%
6	Agree	221	3.5%
7	Strongly Agree	117	1.9%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km2_2: Statement: Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r4km2_2: Statement: Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to know something about your views about society in general, but especially about climate issues. First we will ask you about society. Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
2	Disagree	49	0.8%
3	Disagree somewhat	92	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	98	1.6%
5	Agree somewhat	262	4.2%
6	Agree	190	3.0%
7	Strongly Agree	91	1.4%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km2_3: Statement: I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to know something about your views about society in general, but especially about climate issues. First we will ask you about society. Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	22	0.3%
2	Disagree	79	1.3%
3	Disagree somewhat	71	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	77	1.2%
5	Agree somewhat	269	4.3%
6	Agree	197	3.1%
7	Strongly Agree	84	1.3%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km2_4: Statement: Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to know something about your views about society in general, but especially about climate issues. First we will ask you about society. Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.		

r4km2_4: Statement: Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	25	0.4%
2	Disagree	51	0.8%
3	Disagree somewhat	119	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	180	2.9%
5	Agree somewhat	191	3.0%
6	Agree	181	2.9%
7	Strongly Agree	44	0.7%
97	No answer	20	0.3%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km2_5: Statement: Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to know something about your views about society in general, but especially about climate issues. First we will ask you about society. Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	44	0.7%
2	Disagree	145	2.3%
3	Disagree somewhat	167	2.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	162	2.6%
5	Agree somewhat	169	2.7%
6	Agree	90	1.4%
7	Strongly Agree	17	0.3%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km2_6: Statement: If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to know something about your views about society in general, but especially about climate issues. First we will ask you about society. Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	40	0.6%
2	Disagree	86	1.4%

# r4km2_6: Statement: If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree somewhat	92	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	129	2.0%
5	Agree somewhat	280	4.4%
6	Agree	124	2.0%
7	Strongly Agree	46	0.7%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran4: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=808 /-] [Invalid=16203 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	176	21.8%
2	2	147	18.2%
3	3	140	17.3%
4	4	184	22.8%
5	5	161	19.9%
Sysmiss		16203	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R4KM3a: Vignette 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=176 /-] [Invalid=16835 /-]		
Literal question	<p>We are interested in knowing how people perceive and interpret texts about climate issues. Below you will find a text about this very subject. We would ask you to read through the whole text and then answer a few questions. We are keen to know what you think about the content and we understand that you will not remember all the details.</p> <p>If the world continues to release climate gasses at the current rate, climate changes in Norway would cause substantial increases in precipitation. Norsk Næringsliv (Norwegian Trade and Industry) wishes to exploit this opportunity in order to promote investments in the development of hydroelectric power and wind power. Norway could then export more oil and gas and thus strengthen the Norwegian economy. But the radical Grønn Verden (Green World) environmental organisation is promoting its industry-hostile agenda in an attempt to curb the oil industry. However, Norsk Næringsliv wishes to reveal the undue political pressure being exerted by the environmental lobby. They have emphasised that Norway would not have to impose harmful restrictions on individuals and trade and industry if more oil and gas was extracted. At the same time Norway could then fund reductions in foreign emissions and continue with its economic growth.</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and r4ran4=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exposed for vignette	176	100.0%
Sysmiss		16835	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R4KM3b: Vignette 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=147 /-] [Invalid=16864 /-]		
Literal question	<p>We are interested in knowing how people perceive and interpret texts about climate issues. Below you will find a text about this very subject. We would ask you to read through the whole text and then answer a few questions. We are keen to know what you think about the content and we understand that you will not remember all the details.</p>		

R4KM3b: Vignette 2

If the world continues to release climate gasses at the current rate, climate changes in Norway would cause substantial increases in precipitation and dramatic rises in sea levels. People throughout the country would consequently be exposed to considerable risks and their lifestyle would suffer. Norsk Næringsliv wishes to combat climate change by using a quota trading system. This would allow companies to reduce their CO2 emissions by purchasing and selling climate quotas. However, the radical Grønn Verden environmental organisation is promoting its own destructive agenda. They are fighting to undermine free market forces. While Norsk Næringsliv wishes to reveal the undue political pressure being exerted by the environmental lobby. They have emphasised that climate quotas would remove the need for more controlled emissions which threaten growth. With the freedom to invest, Norwegian trade and industry could retain its economic growth and reduce CO2 emissions at the same time.

Post-question [Asked if U=2 and r4ran4=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exposed for vignette	147	100.0%
Sysmiss		16864	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

R4KM3c: Vignette 3

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=140 /-] [Invalid=16871 /-]

Literal question We are interested in knowing how people perceive and interpret texts about climate issues. Below you will find a text about this very subject. We would ask you to read through the whole text and then answer a few questions. We are keen to know what you think about the content and we understand that you will not remember all the details.

If the world continues to release climate gasses at the current rate, climate changes in Norway would cause substantial increases in precipitation. The Grønn Verden environmental organisation wishes to exploit this opportunity to promote investments in hydroelectric power and wind power. Norway could then reduce its exports of oil and gas and thus reduce emissions. But the for-profit trade and industry organisation, Norsk Næringsliv, is promoting its own greedy agenda in order to strengthen the oil industry. However, Grønn Verden wishes to reveal the undue political pressure being exerted by the petroleum lobby. They have emphasised that developing a strong energy sector based on renewable sources of energy would help to ensure continued prosperity in Norway. We could then cut CO2 emissions at both national and international level without the energy requirements of individuals and trade and industry being affected.

Post-question [Asked if U=2 and r4ran4=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exposed for vignette	140	100.0%
Sysmiss		16871	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

R4KM3d: Vignette 4

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=184 /-] [Invalid=16827 /-]

Literal question We are interested in knowing how people perceive and interpret texts about climate issues. Below you will find a text about this very subject. We would ask you to read through the whole text and then answer a few questions. We are keen to know what you think about the content and we understand that you will not remember all the details.

If the world continues to release climate gasses at the current rate, climate changes in Norway would cause substantial increases in precipitation and dramatic rises in sea levels. People throughout the country would consequently be exposed to considerable risks and their lifestyle would suffer. The Grønn Verden environmental organisation wants to combat climate change by introducing a tax on the climate gas, CO2, in order to reduce emissions. But the for-profit trade and industry organisation, Norsk Næringsliv, is promoting its own greedy agenda. They are fighting constantly against solutions such as taxing CO2 emissions in order to enrich themselves. However, Grønn Verden wishes to reveal the undue political pressure being exerted by the petroleum lobby. They have emphasised that a CO2 tax would remove the financial benefits enjoyed by climate-hostile businesses. By using the extra income generated by such a tax, society could redistribute wealth more fairly and support investments in renewable energy.

Post-question [Asked if U=2 and r4ran4=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exposed for vignette	184	100.0%
Sysmiss		16827	

# R4KM3d: Vignette 4			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R4KM3e: Vignette 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=161 /-] [Invalid=16850 /-]		
Literal question	<p>We are interested in knowing how people perceive and interpret texts about climate issues. Below you will find a text about this very subject. We would ask you to read through the whole text and then answer a few questions. We are keen to know what you think about the content and we understand that you will not remember all the details.</p> <p>The text contains the possible consequences of climate change which have been identified by climate research for Norway during this century if the world's climate gas emissions remain at current levels.</p> <p>Average precipitation will increase by 23%. Extreme precipitation will increase by up to 70%. The number of days with high precipitation will increase. The average air temperature will increase by 4.6 degrees. Winters will be 6 degrees warmer. The number of days with snow will be reduced by up to 3 months. There will be almost no snow along the coast and in low-lying areas. The sea level will increase by up to 50 cm in Oslo and by 80 cm in Bergen. The sea temperature in the North Sea and along the coast will increase by up to 2.5 degrees. The sea will become more acid (the pH level of the sea will drop) to a level which has not been seen during the last 20 million years. The Arctic will be ice-free during the summer.</p>		
Post-question	[Asked if U=2 and r4ran4=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exposed for vignette	161	100.0%
Sysmiss		16850	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km4a_1: Response to text: In your opinion, how much of a threat does the text think that climate change poses?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, how much of a threat does the text think that climate change poses?		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>Please answer by using a scale of zero (0) to , where zero represents "no threat whatsoever" and 10 represents "extreme threat".</p> <p>[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]</p>		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not threat whatsoever	26	0.4%
2	1	15	0.2%
3	2	36	0.6%
4	3	61	1.0%
5	4	43	0.7%
6	5	95	1.5%
7	6	64	1.0%
8	7	113	1.8%
9	8	136	2.2%
10	9	89	1.4%
11	10 extreme threat	70	1.1%
97	No answer	48	0.8%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
99	No answer	15	0.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km4b_1: Response to text: How much confidence do have about the veracity of the scenarios presented in the text?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		

r4km4b_1: Response to text: How much confidence do have about the veracity of the scenarios presented in the text?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How much confidence do have about the veracity of the scenarios presented in the text?
Interviewer's instructions	Please answer by using a scale of zero (0) to , where zero represents "no confidence" and 10 represents ""full confidence". [Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 no confidence	63	1.0%
2	1	42	0.7%
3	2	64	1.0%
4	3	84	1.3%
5	4	83	1.3%
6	5	134	2.1%
7	6	76	1.2%
8	7	94	1.5%
9	8	59	0.9%
10	9	19	0.3%
11	10 full confidence	16	0.3%
97	No answer	61	1.0%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
99	No answer	16	0.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km4c_1: Response to text: What is your overall reaction to the text you have read?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	What is your overall reaction to the text you have read?
Interviewer's instructions	Please answer by using a scale of zero (0) to , where zero represents "highly negative" and 10 represents ""highly positive". [Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 highly negative	41	0.7%
2	1	47	0.7%
3	2	72	1.1%
4	3	89	1.4%
5	4	113	1.8%
6	5	172	2.7%
7	6	58	0.9%
8	7	71	1.1%
9	8	33	0.5%
10	9	11	0.2%
11	10 highly positive	4	0.1%
97	No answer	74	1.2%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
99	No answer	26	0.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km4d_1: How much of a threat do you think climate change will pose to you personally during the next 50 years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How much of a threat do you think climate change will pose to you personally during the next 50 years?
Interviewer's instructions	Please answer by using a scale of zero (0) to , where zero represents "no threat whatsoever" and 10 represents "extreme threat". [Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not threat whatsoever	30	0.5%
2	1	42	0.7%
3	2	76	1.2%
4	3	91	1.4%
5	4	69	1.1%
6	5	111	1.8%
7	6	106	1.7%
8	7	103	1.6%
9	8	87	1.4%
10	9	24	0.4%
11	10 extreme threat	26	0.4%
97	No answer	39	0.6%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
99	No answer	7	0.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km4e_1: Important for Norway to reduce climate gas emissions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think it is for Norway to reduce climate gas emissions?
Interviewer's instructions	Please answer by using a scale of zero (0) to , where zero represents "not at all important" and 10 represents "extremely important". [Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 not at all important	18	0.3%
2	1	17	0.3%
3	2	26	0.4%
4	3	45	0.7%
5	4	34	0.5%
6	5	67	1.1%
7	6	58	0.9%
8	7	115	1.8%
9	8	137	2.2%
10	9	89	1.4%
11	10 extremely important	163	2.6%
97	No answer	38	0.6%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
99	No answer	4	0.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

# r4km4e_1: Important for Norway to reduce climate gas emissions			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km4f_1: How much of a problem do you think climate change will be for Norway during the next 50 years?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How much of a problem do you think climate change will be for Norway during the next 50 years?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please answer by using a scale of zero (0) to , where zero represents "absolutely no problem" and 10 represents "disastrous". [Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 absolutely no problem	12	0.2%
2	1	11	0.2%
3	2	41	0.7%
4	3	65	1.0%
5	4	66	1.0%
6	5	106	1.7%
7	6	120	1.9%
8	7	178	2.8%
9	8	118	1.9%
10	9	41	0.7%
11	10 highly negative	13	0.2%
97	No answer	36	0.6%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
99	No answer	4	0.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km4g_1: Reaction to organization: Grønn Verden			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Two organisations are mentioned in the text that you have just read. Please quantify your reaction to these organisations on a scale of zero (0) to , where zero means "highly negative" and 10 means ""highly positive".		
Literal question	Grønn Verden		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 highly negative	27	0.4%
2	1	22	0.3%
3	2	42	0.7%
4	3	55	0.9%
5	4	59	0.9%
6	5	109	1.7%
7	6	69	1.1%
8	7	74	1.2%
9	8	44	0.7%
10	9	25	0.4%
11	10 highly positive	18	0.3%
97	No answer	243	3.9%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%

# r4km4g_1: Reaction to organization: Grønn Verden			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No answer	24	0.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km4g_2: Reaction to organization: Norsk Næringsliv			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Two organisations are mentioned in the text that you have just read. Please quantify your reaction to these organisations on a scale of zero (0) to , where zero means "highly negative" and 10 means ""highly positive".		
Literal question	Norsk Næringsliv		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 highly negative	37	0.6%
2	1	35	0.6%
3	2	53	0.8%
4	3	66	1.0%
5	4	103	1.6%
6	5	132	2.1%
7	6	51	0.8%
8	7	41	0.7%
9	8	20	0.3%
10	9	4	0.1%
11	10 highly positive	6	0.1%
97	No answer	244	3.9%
98	Not asked	5486	87.1%
99	No answer	19	0.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km5_1_1: Statement: Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	48	0.8%
2	Agree	207	3.3%
3	Agree somewhat	189	3.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	172	2.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	91	1.4%
6	Disagree	59	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.3%
97	No answer	13	0.2%

r4km5_1_1: Statement: Everyone should have equal opportunities for succeeding or failing without the authorities becoming involved.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km5_1_2: Statement: Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Market competition is almost always the best way of meeting people's requirements.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	23	0.4%
2	Agree	109	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	185	2.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	159	2.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	152	2.4%
6	Disagree	111	1.8%
7	Strongly disagree	44	0.7%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km5_1_3: Statement: If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	If the system is fair the cleverest people should earn the most money.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	0.5%
2	Agree	161	2.6%
3	Agree somewhat	258	4.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	127	2.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	106	1.7%
6	Disagree	73	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.5%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km5_1_4: Statement: The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

# r4km5_1_4: Statement: The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries			
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	The world would be more peaceful if wealth was more evenly distributed between countries.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	104	1.7%
2	Agree	249	4.0%
3	Agree somewhat	203	3.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	115	1.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	60	1.0%
6	Disagree	41	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.2%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km5_1_5: Statement: Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Society needs more justice so that wealth can be distributed more evenly.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	83	1.3%
2	Agree	217	3.4%
3	Agree somewhat	224	3.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	130	2.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	84	1.3%
6	Disagree	38	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km5_1_6: Statement: I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	74	1.2%
2	Agree	200	3.2%

r4km5_1_6: Statement: I support tax reforms which would make companies and people on high incomes pay more tax.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	239	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	85	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	98	1.6%
6	Disagree	66	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.4%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km5_2_1: Statement: The best way of looking after future generations is to keep our customs.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	The best way of looking after future generations is to keep our customs.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	0.6%
2	Agree	130	2.1%
3	Agree somewhat	258	4.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	123	2.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	134	2.1%
6	Disagree	82	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	19	0.3%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km5_2_2: Statement: Society works best when people strictly obey all the laws and regulations.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Society works best when people strictly obey all the laws and regulations.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	76	1.2%
2	Agree	324	5.1%
3	Agree somewhat	241	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	60	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	65	1.0%
6	Disagree	9	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	14	0.2%

# r4km5_2_2: Statement: Society works best when people strictly obey all the laws and regulations.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km5_2_3: Statement: Having respect for authority is one of the most important things that children can learn.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Having respect for authority is one of the most important things that children can learn.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.6%
2	Agree	128	2.0%
3	Agree somewhat	267	4.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	106	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	120	1.9%
6	Disagree	94	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	33	0.5%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km5_2_4: Statement: Cooperation with other people rarely works.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Cooperation with other people rarely works.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.0%
2	Agree	12	0.2%
3	Agree somewhat	31	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	37	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	111	1.8%
6	Disagree	376	6.0%
7	Strongly disagree	212	3.4%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km5_2_5: Statement: The future is too uncertain to allow us to make proper plans.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		

# r4km5_2_5: Statement: The future is too uncertain to allow us to make proper plans.			
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	The future is too uncertain to allow us to make proper plans.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5	0.1%
2	Agree	22	0.3%
3	Agree somewhat	69	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	81	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	168	2.7%
6	Disagree	353	5.6%
7	Strongly disagree	83	1.3%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km5_2_6: Statement: I feel that life is a lottery.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	I feel that life is a lottery.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	0.2%
2	Agree	32	0.5%
3	Agree somewhat	126	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	132	2.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	120	1.9%
6	Disagree	262	4.2%
7	Strongly disagree	94	1.5%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4mobil_r4km6: [Tester om respondentent benytter en mobil enhet. Tester dersom U=2 og ran3=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Non-mobile	630	10.0%
1	Mobile	162	2.6%
97		4	0.1%
98		5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r4km6: Image experiment: Which of these images best illustrates your understanding of how the climate system works.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	<p>People disagree about how the climate system works. The five images below illustrate five different perspectives. Each picture portrays the earth's climate system as being a ball balancing on a line, and each one has a different ability to withstand man-made climate changes.</p> <p>Which of these images best illustrates your understanding of how the climate system works.</p>
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2 & mobil_R4KM6 =0. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. Respondents using mobile device are not exposed to the experiment. See appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gradual - The earth's climate is changing slowly. Climate change will gradually result in dangerous consequences.	290	4.6%
2	Fragile - The climate on earth is delicately balanced. Small climate changes will gradually create sudden and disastrous consequences.	41	0.7%
3	Stable - The earth's climate is very stable. Climate change will result in minor or no consequences.	15	0.2%
4	Threshold - The earth's climate is stable within certain limits. If there are minor climate changes the climate will return to a stable balance. If there are major changes the consequences will be disastrous.	180	2.9%
5	Governed by chance - The earth's climate is governed by chance and unpredictable. We do not know what will happen.	87	1.4%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	5667	90.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km7: Where would you place your views about the relationship between humans and nature on this scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Some people think that humans are at the centre of the whole organic system (nature). Others think that humans are just a small part of the organic system. These two views have been placed at either extreme of the scale below. Where would you place your views about the relationship between humans and nature on this scale?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Humans are at the centre of the organic system	83	1.3%
2	2	149	2.4%
3	3	291	4.6%
4	4	363	5.8%
5	5	322	5.1%
6	6	170	2.7%
7	7 Humans are a small part of the organic system	195	3.1%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km8_1: Statement: Humans are entitled to change their natural environment in order to satisfy their requirements .

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

r4km8_1: Statement: Humans are entitled to change their natural environment in order to satisfy their requirements .

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Humans are entitled to change their natural environment in order to satisfy their requirements .
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	27	0.4%
2	Agree	54	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	202	3.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	142	2.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	329	5.2%
6	Disagree	527	8.4%
7	Strongly disagree	295	4.7%
97	No answer	32	0.5%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km8_2: Statement: The so-called organic crisis" facing humanity has been highly exaggerated.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	The so-called organic crisis" facing humanity has been highly exaggerated.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.5%
2	Agree	84	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	284	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	201	3.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	284	4.5%
6	Disagree	471	7.5%
7	Strongly disagree	219	3.5%
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km8_3: Statement: The balance of nature is extremely sensitive and easy to disturb.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	The balance of nature is extremely sensitive and easy to disturb.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	200	3.2%

r4km8_3: Statement: The balance of nature is extremely sensitive and easy to disturb.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	509	8.1%
3	Agree somewhat	471	7.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	174	2.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	139	2.2%
6	Disagree	63	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.3%
97	No answer	31	0.5%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km8_4: Statement: Norway needs economic growth in order to be able to protect the environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Norway needs economic growth in order to be able to protect the environment
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.5%
2	Agree	140	2.2%
3	Agree somewhat	273	4.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	459	7.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	260	4.1%
6	Disagree	307	4.9%
7	Strongly disagree	99	1.6%
97	No answer	39	0.6%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km9_1: Trust: Climate researchers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?
Literal question	Climate researchers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	148	2.4%
2	To a great degree	352	5.6%
3	To some degree	220	3.5%
4	To a small degree	40	0.6%
5	Not at all	14	0.2%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

# r4km9_1: Trust: Climate researchers			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km9_2: Trust: Journalists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?		
Literal question	Journalists		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	3	0.0%
2	To a great degree	38	0.6%
3	To some degree	246	3.9%
4	To a small degree	323	5.1%
5	Not at all	161	2.6%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km9_3: Trust: Social debaters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?		
Literal question	Social debaters		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	4	0.1%
2	To a great degree	29	0.5%
3	To some degree	376	6.0%
4	To a small degree	281	4.5%
5	Not at all	73	1.2%
97	No answer	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km9_4: Trust: Environmentalists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?		
Literal question	Environmentalists		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	25	0.4%
2	To a great degree	161	2.6%
3	To some degree	372	5.9%

r4km9_4: Trust: Environmentalists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	To a small degree	146	2.3%
5	Not at all	65	1.0%
97	No answer	27	0.4%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4km9_5: Trust: Politicians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?		
Literal question	Politicians		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	2	0.0%
2	To a great degree	20	0.3%
3	To some degree	300	4.8%
4	To a small degree	330	5.2%
5	Not at all	114	1.8%
97	No answer	30	0.5%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km9_6: Trust: Friends and family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?		
Literal question	Friends and family		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	9	0.1%
2	To a great degree	57	0.9%
3	To some degree	397	6.3%
4	To a small degree	224	3.6%
5	Not at all	75	1.2%
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km9_7: Trust: Others, please specify who			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?		
Literal question	Others, please specify who		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	9	0.1%
2	To a great degree	27	0.4%
3	To some degree	113	1.8%
4	To a small degree	47	0.7%
5	Not at all	65	1.0%

# r4km9_7: Trust: Others, please specify who			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	535	8.5%
98	Not asked	5501	87.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km9_7_other: Trust: Others, please specify who			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you trust the following groups in respect of information about climate change?		
Literal question	Others, please specify who		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran3=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r4km12: What do you think should be done about climate change?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think should be done about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers, a couple of sentences would be nice, or just a few words if that is better for you. [Asked if U=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r4ran22: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1586 /-] [Invalid=15425 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	415	26.2%
2	2	392	24.7%
3	3	351	22.1%
4	4	428	27.0%
Sysmiss		15425	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13a_1: Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions, quotas			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the total number of quotas available to industry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	5	0.1%
2	Negative	21	0.3%

r4km13a_1: Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions, quotas

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat negative	24	0.4%
4	Neither positive nor negative	70	1.1%
5	Somewhat positive	121	1.9%
6	Positive	116	1.8%
7	Very positive	49	0.8%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5882	93.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13a_2: Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	18	0.3%
2	Negative	28	0.4%
3	Somewhat negative	30	0.5%
4	Neither positive nor negative	159	2.5%
5	Somewhat positive	91	1.4%
6	Positive	54	0.9%
7	Very positive	24	0.4%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5882	93.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13a_3: Positive towards: Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	16	0.3%
2	Negative	46	0.7%
3	Somewhat negative	47	0.7%
4	Neither positive nor negative	123	2.0%
5	Somewhat positive	85	1.3%
6	Positive	61	1.0%

# r4km13a_3: Positive towards: Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Very positive	30	0.5%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5882	93.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13a_4: Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of, renewable energy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Increase funding for research on, and the development of, renewable energy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	2	0.0%
2	Negative	0	
3	Somewhat negative	3	0.0%
4	Neither positive nor negative	20	0.3%
5	Somewhat positive	56	0.9%
6	Positive	159	2.5%
7	Very positive	169	2.7%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5882	93.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13b_1: Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the total number of quotas available to industry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	4	0.1%
2	Negative	15	0.2%
3	Somewhat negative	24	0.4%
4	Neither positive nor negative	66	1.0%
5	Somewhat positive	102	1.6%
6	Positive	140	2.2%
7	Very positive	32	0.5%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5905	93.8%

# r4km13b_1: Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13b_2: Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	9	0.1%
2	Negative	29	0.5%
3	Somewhat negative	27	0.4%
4	Neither positive nor negative	138	2.2%
5	Somewhat positive	99	1.6%
6	Positive	66	1.0%
7	Very positive	14	0.2%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5905	93.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13b_3: Positive towards: Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	15	0.2%
2	Negative	36	0.6%
3	Somewhat negative	49	0.8%
4	Neither positive nor negative	123	2.0%
5	Somewhat positive	81	1.3%
6	Positive	48	0.8%
7	Very positive	30	0.5%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5905	93.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13b_4: Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r4km13b_4: Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Pre-question		We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:	
Literal question		Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=2 and ran22=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	2	0.0%
2	Negative	3	0.0%
3	Somewhat negative	2	0.0%
4	Neither positive nor negative	18	0.3%
5	Somewhat positive	46	0.7%
6	Positive	153	2.4%
7	Very positive	161	2.6%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5905	93.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13c_1: Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Pre-question		We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:	
Literal question		Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=2 and ran22=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	2	0.0%
2	Negative	7	0.1%
3	Somewhat negative	15	0.2%
4	Neither positive nor negative	38	0.6%
5	Somewhat positive	106	1.7%
6	Positive	133	2.1%
7	Very positive	43	0.7%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5946	94.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km13c_2: Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Pre-question		We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:	
Literal question		Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground	

r4km13c_2: Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran22=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	9	0.1%
2	Negative	28	0.4%
3	Somewhat negative	34	0.5%
4	Neither positive nor negative	127	2.0%
5	Somewhat positive	70	1.1%
6	Positive	53	0.8%
7	Very positive	16	0.3%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5946	94.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13c_3: Positive towards: Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:

Literal question Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran22=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	12	0.2%
2	Negative	31	0.5%
3	Somewhat negative	48	0.8%
4	Neither positive nor negative	122	1.9%
5	Somewhat positive	66	1.0%
6	Positive	42	0.7%
7	Very positive	17	0.3%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5946	94.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13c_4: Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:

Literal question Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=2 and ran22=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	2	0.0%
2	Negative	3	0.0%
3	Somewhat negative	3	0.0%

r4km13c_4: Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither positive nor negative	15	0.2%
5	Somewhat positive	33	0.5%
6	Positive	136	2.2%
7	Very positive	152	2.4%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5946	94.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13d_1: Positive towards: Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2, total emissions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	7	0.1%
2	Negative	9	0.1%
3	Somewhat negative	21	0.3%
4	Neither positive nor negative	47	0.7%
5	Somewhat positive	119	1.9%
6	Positive	146	2.3%
7	Very positive	68	1.1%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5869	93.2%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13d_2: Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	15	0.2%
2	Negative	24	0.4%
3	Somewhat negative	43	0.7%
4	Neither positive nor negative	179	2.8%
5	Somewhat positive	83	1.3%
6	Positive	51	0.8%
7	Very positive	18	0.3%

r4km13d_2: Positive towards: Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	5869	93.2%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13d_3: Positive towards: Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Reduce the tax benefits which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	17	0.3%
2	Negative	34	0.5%
3	Somewhat negative	46	0.7%
4	Neither positive nor negative	152	2.4%
5	Somewhat positive	86	1.4%
6	Positive	51	0.8%
7	Very positive	29	0.5%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5869	93.2%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4km13d_4: Positive towards: Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Increase funding for research on, and the development of renewable energy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran22=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	3	0.0%
2	Negative	2	0.0%
3	Somewhat negative	2	0.0%
4	Neither positive nor negative	16	0.3%
5	Somewhat positive	59	0.9%
6	Positive	153	2.4%
7	Very positive	184	2.9%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5869	93.2%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4ran5: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1578 /-] [Invalid=15433 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	520	33.0%
2	2	554	35.1%
3	3	504	31.9%
System		15433	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km14a: For or against climate agreement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	You may well be aware that statesmen from all over the world will be meeting in Paris in November 2015 in order to negotiate a new international agreement designed to restrict climate gas emissions. Suppose that these statesmen propose an agreement under which Norway would undertake a commitment to make substantial reductions in its climate gas emissions. Would you support or oppose Norway signing such an agreement?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran5=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour	113	1.8%
2	In favour	198	3.1%
3	Slightly in favour	68	1.1%
4	Neither for nor against	69	1.1%
5	Slightly against	34	0.5%
6	Against	23	0.4%
7	Strongly against	11	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5777	91.7%
System		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km14b: For or against climate agreement, China is in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	You may well be aware that statesmen from all over the world will be meeting in Paris in November 2015 in order to negotiate a new international agreement designed to restrict climate gas emissions. Suppose that these statesmen propose an agreement under which Norway would undertake a commitment to make substantial reductions in its climate gas emissions. Furthermore, China also undertakes a similar commitment to reduce its climate gas emissions. Would you support or oppose Norway signing such an agreement?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran5=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour	171	2.7%
2	In favour	224	3.6%
3	Slightly in favour	78	1.2%
4	Neither for nor against	41	0.7%
5	Slightly against	20	0.3%
6	Against	9	0.1%
7	Strongly against	7	0.1%

# r4km14b: For or against climate agreement, China is in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5743	91.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km14c: For or against climate agreement, China is not in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	<p>You may well be aware that statesmen from all over the world will be meeting in Paris in November 2015 in order to negotiate a new international agreement designed to restrict climate gas emissions. Suppose that these statesmen propose an agreement under which Norway would undertake a commitment to make substantial reductions in its climate gas emissions. However, China does not undertake a similar commitment to reduce its climate gas emissions.</p> <p>Would you support or oppose Norway signing such an agreement?</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran5=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour	58	0.9%
2	In favour	143	2.3%
3	Slightly in favour	73	1.2%
4	Neither for nor against	58	0.9%
5	Slightly against	54	0.9%
6	Against	64	1.0%
7	Strongly against	47	0.7%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5793	92.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km15: Does the Progress Party support measures that increase the cost of emitting greenhouse gases in Norway?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, does the Progress Party support measures that increase the cost of emitting greenhouse gases in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	353	5.6%
2	No	1090	17.3%
97	No answer	165	2.6%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc1: Should Norway play an active or reduced role in international politics?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think it is best for Norway in the future to play an active role in international politics, or should Norway preferably have a reduced role?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		

r4oc1: Should Norway play an active or reduced role in international politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Active role in international politics	1141	18.1%
2	Non-active role in international politics	386	6.1%
97	No answer	21	0.3%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc25: Statement: Local news more interesting than national or international news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements "I often feel that the news about what is taking place in my local area is more interesting than national and international news items."
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	47	0.7%
2	Agree	201	3.2%
3	Agree somewhat	341	5.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	290	4.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	238	3.8%
6	Disagree	321	5.1%
7	Strongly disagree	106	1.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad1: Attitude towards advertising on bus stops

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	We will now be asking a variety of questions about politics, public services and the media. Several Norwegian municipalities have in recent years entered into contracts with companies that provide and maintain bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories in return for the companies being allowed to advertise in connection with these. What is your opinion of this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and if the respondent is inhabitant in a defined group of municipalities.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I am opposed to municipal funding of bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories with the aid of advertising	221	3.5%
2	I support municipal funding of bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories with the aid of advertising	531	8.4%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5532	87.9%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad10_1: Proposal: The authorities propose merging the municipality in which you reside with one or more neighbouring municipalities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

r4pad10_1: Proposal: The authorities propose merging the municipality in which you reside with one or more neighbouring municipalities

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	What is your opinion about the following two proposals?
Literal question	The authorities propose merging the municipality in which you reside with one or more neighbouring municipalities
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good suggestion	182	2.9%
2	Good suggestion	380	6.0%
3	Fairly good suggestion	301	4.8%
4	Neither good nor bad suggestion	247	3.9%
5	Not very good suggestion	147	2.3%
6	Bad suggestion	153	2.4%
7	Very bad suggestion	117	1.9%
97	No answer	21	0.3%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad10_2: Proposal: Your municipality proposes providing more municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities than it does at the moment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	What is your opinion about the following two proposals?
Literal question	Your municipality proposes providing more municipal services in cooperation with one or more neighbouring municipalities than it does at the moment.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good suggestion	189	3.0%
2	Good suggestion	464	7.4%
3	Fairly good suggestion	379	6.0%
4	Neither good nor bad suggestion	228	3.6%
5	Not very good suggestion	126	2.0%
6	Bad suggestion	83	1.3%
7	Very bad suggestion	50	0.8%
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad11: Willing to pay more in taxes to retain current municipal structure

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Some estimates suggest that the current municipal structure is costing society more. If you could choose, would you be willing to pay an extra NOK 1,000 in tax per year to maintain the current municipal structure?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

# r4pad11: Willing to pay more in taxes to retain current municipal structure			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	337	5.4%
2	No	824	13.1%
3	Don't know	375	6.0%
97	Ikke svart	12	0.2%
98	Ikke spurt	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad12_1: Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation produces better services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following two statements?		
Literal question	Cooperation between the municipalities on the supply of services (inter-municipal cooperation) gives better quality than if individual municipalities supply the services themselves.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Totally agree	161	2.6%
2	Agree	395	6.3%
3	Agree somewhat	447	7.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	338	5.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	98	1.6%
6	Disagree	71	1.1%
7	Totally disagree	21	0.3%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad12_2: Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following two statements?		
Literal question	Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible for the contents of the policies that are implemented		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Totally agree	75	1.2%
2	Agree	221	3.5%
3	Agree somewhat	427	6.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	383	6.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	184	2.9%
6	Disagree	181	2.9%
7	Totally disagree	52	0.8%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%

# r4pad12_2: Statement: Inter-municipal cooperation makes it harder for voters to know who is responsible			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad13: What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think results in the best services for you and your municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	That my municipality provides the services itself	302	4.8%
2	That my municipality cooperates more with other municipalities	623	9.9%
3	That my municipality merges with other municipalities	406	6.4%
4	Don't know	205	3.3%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad14_1: Like or dislike party leaders: Erna Solberg (Cons.)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you like or dislike the following party leaders?		
Literal question	Erna Solberg (Cons.)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly dislike	82	1.3%
2	Significantly dislike	129	2.0%
3	Somewhat dislike	243	3.9%
4	Neither dislike nor like	263	4.2%
5	Somewhat like	410	6.5%
6	Significantly like	314	5.0%
7	Strongly like	85	1.3%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad14_2: Like or dislike party leaders: Jonas Gahr Støre (Lab.)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you like or dislike the following party leaders?		
Literal question	Jonas Gahr Støre (Lab.)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly dislike	42	0.7%

r4pad14_2: Like or dislike party leaders: Jonas Gahr Støre (Lab.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Significantly dislike	66	1.0%
3	Somewhat dislike	144	2.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	282	4.5%
5	Somewhat like	399	6.3%
6	Significantly like	416	6.6%
7	Strongly like	173	2.7%
97	No answer	26	0.4%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad14_3: Like or dislike party leaders: Siv Jensen (Progress Party)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you like or dislike the following party leaders?
Literal question	Siv Jensen (Progress Party)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly dislike	383	6.1%
2	Significantly dislike	261	4.1%
3	Somewhat dislike	298	4.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	230	3.7%
5	Somewhat like	221	3.5%
6	Significantly like	94	1.5%
7	Strongly like	36	0.6%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad15: Local election in the fall, tight race?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	As regards the local government elections this autumn, to what extent do you think that there will be close competition between the parties standing for election in respect of who will be elected to the Municipal Council where you live?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely close competition	93	1.5%
2	Very close competition	457	7.3%
3	Some competition	558	8.9%
4	Not much competition	166	2.6%
5	No competition at all	27	0.4%
6	Don't know	231	3.7%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%

# r4pad15: Local election in the fall, tight race?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad155_1: Local election in the fall, chosen a party yet?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Some people have very definite ideas about which party they will vote for during the local government elections in the autumn. Others have not made a decision yet. To what extent are you sure about which party you will end up voting for?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - I am very sure	405	6.4%
1	1	119	1.9%
2	2	157	2.5%
3	3	114	1.8%
4	4	77	1.2%
5	5	89	1.4%
6	6	68	1.1%
7	7	104	1.7%
8	8	120	1.9%
9	9	61	1.0%
10	10 - I am very unsure	127	2.0%
97	No answer	81	1.3%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
99	No answer	26	0.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran7: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1542 /-] [Invalid=15469 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	307	19.9%
2	2	312	20.2%
3	3	315	20.4%
4	4	308	20.0%
5	5	300	19.5%
Sysmiss		15469	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad16a: Article about NAV: Postitive or negative impression?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran7=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		

# r4pad16a: Article about NAV: Postitive or negative impression?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	10	0.2%
2	Positive	51	0.8%
3	Somewhat positive	61	1.0%
4	Neither positive nor negative	81	1.3%
5	Somewhat negative	59	0.9%
6	Negative	31	0.5%
7	Very negative	11	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5990	95.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad16b: Article about NAV: Postitive or negative impression?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran7=2. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	4	0.1%
2	Positive	45	0.7%
3	Somewhat positive	48	0.8%
4	Neither positive nor negative	66	1.0%
5	Somewhat negative	76	1.2%
6	Negative	48	0.8%
7	Very negative	21	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5985	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad16c: Article about NAV: Postitive or negative impression?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran7=3. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	5	0.1%
2	Positive	34	0.5%
3	Somewhat positive	35	0.6%
4	Neither positive nor negative	50	0.8%
5	Somewhat negative	101	1.6%
6	Negative	52	0.8%
7	Very negative	36	0.6%

# r4pad16c: Article about NAV: Postitive or negative impression?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5982	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad16d: Article about NAV: Postitive or negative impression?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example show the introduction to a hypothetical article about NAV (the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration) in the Norwegian newspapers. How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran7=4. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	24	0.4%
2	Positive	67	1.1%
3	Somewhat positive	58	0.9%
4	Neither positive nor negative	53	0.8%
5	Somewhat negative	64	1.0%
6	Negative	27	0.4%
7	Very negative	14	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5989	95.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad16e: Postitive or negative impression of NAV?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How positive or negative is your impression of NAV?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran7=5. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	6	0.1%
2	Positive	34	0.5%
3	Somewhat positive	56	0.9%
4	Neither positive nor negative	51	0.8%
5	Somewhat negative	89	1.4%
6	Negative	38	0.6%
7	Very negative	24	0.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5997	95.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad17: Use more or less money on NAV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that the government should spend more or less money on running NAV during the forthcoming years?		

r4pad17: Use more or less money on NAV

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more money	126	2.0%
2	A bit more money	413	6.6%
3	Neither more nor less money	722	11.5%
4	A bit less money	179	2.8%
5	A lot less money	75	1.2%
97	No answer	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad18_1: NAV in the media, what effects: you opinion of NAV?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question When the media report on NAV, they often write about either how individuals experience meeting NAV (so-called "individual cases") or about the more general aspects of NAV, where they focus on wider contexts and often refer to statistics (so-called "context cases").

Which of these two types of news do you think has the greatest impact on...

Literal question ... your views about NAV?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Individual cases are the only types of news which affect my views about NAV	48	0.8%
2	Individual cases affect my views about NAV more than context cases	277	4.4%
3	Both affect my views in equal measure	742	11.8%
4	Context cases affect my views about NAV more than individual cases	384	6.1%
5	Context cases are the only types of news which affect my views about NAV	43	0.7%
97	No answer	54	0.9%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad18_2: NAV in the media, what effects: Norwegians opinion of NAV?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question When the media report on NAV, they often write about either how individuals experience meeting NAV (so-called "individual cases") or about the more general aspects of NAV, where they focus on wider contexts and often refer to statistics (so-called "context cases").

Which of these two types of news do you think has the greatest impact on...

Literal question ... other Norwegians' views about NAV?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Individual cases are the only types of news which affect my views about NAV	144	2.3%

r4pad18_2: NAV in the media, what effects: Norwegians opinion of NAV?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Individual cases affect my views about NAV more than context cases	542	8.6%
3	Both affect my views in equal measure	596	9.5%
4	Context cases affect my views about NAV more than individual cases	106	1.7%
5	Context cases are the only types of news which affect my views about NAV	24	0.4%
97	No answer	136	2.2%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4pad19: Have you personally been in contact with NAV?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Have you personally been in contact with NAV?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, as a user of NAV's services	634	10.1%
2	Yes, as a NAV employee	15	0.2%
3	Yes, both as an employee and a user of NAV's services	25	0.4%
4	Yes, but in other ways	338	5.4%
5	No	520	8.3%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran8: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1539 /-] [Invalid=15472 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	255	16.6%
2	2	250	16.2%
3	3	252	16.4%
4	4	268	17.4%
5	5	251	16.3%
6	6	263	17.1%
Sysmiss		15472	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad20a: Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example shows the introduction to a hypothetical article which could appear in online Norwegian papers. Based on the information provided in this case do you consider this to be a typical example of a news article (written by a journalist) or a typical example of an advertisement (written by an advertiser)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran8=1. Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	This is a typical news article	57	0.9%
2	Looks more like a news article	71	1.1%
3	This is just as much a new article as it is an advertisement	69	1.1%
4	Looks more like an advertisement	40	0.6%
5	This is a typical advertisement	12	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6042	96.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4pad20b: Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example shows the introduction to a hypothetical article which could appear in online Norwegian papers. Based on the information provided in this case do you consider this to be a typical example of a news article (written by a journalist) or a typical example of an advertisement (written by an advertiser)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran8=2. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	This is a typical news article	27	0.4%
2	Looks more like a news article	46	0.7%
3	This is just as much a new article as it is an advertisement	61	1.0%
4	Looks more like an advertisement	74	1.2%
5	This is a typical advertisement	37	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6047	96.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad20c: Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example shows the introduction to a hypothetical article which could appear in online Norwegian papers. Based on the information provided in this case do you consider this to be a typical example of a news article (written by a journalist) or a typical example of an advertisement (written by an advertiser)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran8=3. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	This is a typical news article	34	0.5%
2	Looks more like a news article	35	0.6%
3	This is just as much a new article as it is an advertisement	62	1.0%
4	Looks more like an advertisement	72	1.1%
5	This is a typical advertisement	44	0.7%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6045	96.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad20d: Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example shows the introduction to a hypothetical article which could appear in online Norwegian papers. Based on the information provided in this case do you consider this to be a typical example of a news article (written by a journalist) or a typical example of an advertisement (written by an advertiser)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran8=4. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	This is a typical news article	35	0.6%
2	Looks more like a news article	103	1.6%
3	This is just as much a new article as it is an advertisement	64	1.0%
4	Looks more like an advertisement	48	0.8%

# r4pad20d: Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	This is a typical advertisement	10	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	6029	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad20e: Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example shows the introduction to a hypothetical article which could appear in online Norwegian papers. Based on the information provided in this case do you consider this to be a typical example of a news article (written by a journalist) or a typical example of an advertisement (written by an advertiser)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran8=5. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	This is a typical news article	23	0.4%
2	Looks more like a news article	59	0.9%
3	This is just as much a new article as it is an advertisement	70	1.1%
4	Looks more like an advertisement	73	1.2%
5	This is a typical advertisement	25	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6046	96.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad20f: Article in online newspaper: News or advertisement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This example shows the introduction to a hypothetical article which could appear in online Norwegian papers. Based on the information provided in this case do you consider this to be a typical example of a news article (written by a journalist) or a typical example of an advertisement (written by an advertiser)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran8=6. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	This is a typical news article	16	0.3%
2	Looks more like a news article	59	0.9%
3	This is just as much a new article as it is an advertisement	75	1.2%
4	Looks more like an advertisement	65	1.0%
5	This is a typical advertisement	45	0.7%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6034	95.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad21: Article in online newspaper: Confidence in information			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	This is also an example which shows the introduction to a hypothetical case which could appear in online Norwegian papers. How much confidence do you have in the information provided in cases of this type?		

r4pad21: Article in online newspaper: Confidence in information

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. See appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	24	0.4%
2	High confidence	237	3.8%
3	Some confidence	794	12.6%
4	Low confidence	398	6.3%
5	Not much confidence	60	1.0%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	4749	75.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran9: [Randomizes if U=3]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1536 /-] [Invalid=15475 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	550	35.8%
2	2	500	32.6%
3	3	486	31.6%
Sysmiss		15475	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad22: Prize, 1000 NOK or 1800 NOK

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Literal question As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are being included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. If you win you can choose to receive NOK 1,000 or NOK 1,800.
Which would you choose?

Interviewer's instructions Please tick one of the options.

[Asked if U=3 and ran9<3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes please, I would like to receive NOK 1,000	230	3.7%
2	Yes please, I would like to receive NOK 1,800	789	12.5%
97	No answer	31	0.5%
98	Not asked	5247	83.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad23a: Text: Chose 1000 NOK over 1800 NOK

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question You said yes to receiving NOK 1,000 instead of receiving NOK 1,800. Can you state briefly why you selected this option?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3 and R4PAD22=1]

[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

# r4pad23b: Text: Chose 1800 NOK over 1000 NOK			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You said yes to receiving NOK 1,800 instead of receiving NOK 1,000. Can you state briefly why you selected this option?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and R4PAD22=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r4ran11: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1535 /-] [Invalid=15476 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	747	48.7%
2	2	788	51.3%
Sysmiss		15476	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc17_1: Economic aid: Increase, keep or reduce			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	We would now like to ask you a few questions about Norway's economic aid schemes. Some people think that the amount that the Norwegian authorities spend on economic aid should be increased, while others think it should be kept at the current level or reduced. What is your opinion. Do you think that the Norwegian authorities should increase, keep at the current level or reduce the amount spent on economic aid?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increase	127	2.0%
2	Keep at the current level	511	8.1%
3	Reduce	87	1.4%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	5550	88.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc17_1a: Economic aid: Increase			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should increase allocations for economic aid quite substantially, or just slightly?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=1 & R4OC17_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite substantially	76	1.2%
2	Just slightly	51	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6170	98.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4oc17_1b: Economic aid: Reduce			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should reduce allocations for economic aid quite substantially, or just slightly?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=1 & R4OC17_1=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite substantially	46	0.7%
2	Just slightly	40	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6210	98.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc18_1a: Economic aid: Moral obligation to offer economic aid to the poor in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to offer economic aid to the poor in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=1. Question is asked on the same page as R4OC18_1B]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	380	6.0%
2	Slightly agree	317	5.0%
3	Slightly disagree	36	0.6%
4	Strongly disagree	3	0.0%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5550	88.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc18_1b: Economic aid: Moral obligation to offer economic aid to the poor in Norway or other countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Who do you believe the Norwegian authorities have the greatest moral obligation to provide economic aid to?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=1. Question is asked on the same page as R4OC18_1A]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The poor in other countries	23	0.4%
2	The poor in Norway	354	5.6%
3	The authorities have an equally great moral obligation to assist both	356	5.7%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5550	88.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc19_1: Economic aid: Effectiveness for the poor in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How effective do you think Norway's economic aid programmes have been for reducing poverty in Norway?		

# r4oc19_1: Economic aid: Effectiveness for the poor in Norway			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=3 and ran11=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	72	1.1%
2	Slightly effective	388	6.2%
3	Not very effective	261	4.1%
4	Not at all effective	16	0.3%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5550	88.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc20_1: Economic aid: Why are recipients poor			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		Why do you think most recipients of economic aid are poor?	
Interviewer's instructions		Please use the scale below where 1 represents "Because they don't work hard enough" and 7 represents "Due to circumstances beyond their control". [Asked if U=3 and ran11=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Because they don't work hard enough	14	0.2%
2	2	27	0.4%
3	3	72	1.1%
4	4	193	3.1%
5	5	237	3.8%
6	6	101	1.6%
7	7 Due to circumstances beyond their control	91	1.4%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5550	88.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc21_1: Economic aid: The poor lacked basic necessities before they got aid			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		How likely is it that the recipients of economic aid lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before they received aid from Norwegian authorities?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=3 and ran11=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	105	1.7%
2	Not very likely	396	6.3%
3	Quite unlikely	221	3.5%
4	Not at all likely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	18	0.3%
98	Not asked	5550	88.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4oc17_2: Development aid: Increase, keep or reduce	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	We would now like to ask you some questions about Norway's development aid programmes. Some people think that the amount the Norwegian authorities spend on development aid should be increased, while others think it should be kept at the current level or reduced. In your opinion, should the Norwegian authorities increase, keep at the current level or reduce the amount spent on development aid?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increase	164	2.6%
2	Keep at the current level	433	6.9%
3	Reduce	179	2.8%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5509	87.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4oc17_2a: Development aid: Increase	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should increase allocations for development aid quite substantially, or just slightly?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=2 & R4OC17_2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite substantially	134	2.1%
2	Just slightly	29	0.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6133	97.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4oc17_2b: Development aid: Reduce	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should reduce allocations for development aid quite substantially, or just slightly?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=2 & R4OC17_2=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite substantially	136	2.2%
2	Just slightly	43	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6118	97.2%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4oc18_2a: Development aid: Moral obligation to offer aid to the poor in other countries	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

# r4oc18_2a: Development aid: Moral obligation to offer aid to the poor in other countries			
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian authorities have a moral obligation to offer development aid to the poor in other countries?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=2. Question is asked on the same page as R4OC18_2B]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	337	5.4%
2	Slightly agree	338	5.4%
3	Slightly disagree	76	1.2%
4	Strongly disagree	31	0.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5509	87.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc18_2b: Development aid: Moral obligation to offer aid to the poor in Norway or other countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Who do you believe the Norwegian authorities have the greatest moral obligation to provide development aid to?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=2. Question is asked on the same page as R4OC18_2A]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The poor in other countries	48	0.8%
2	The poor in Norway	257	4.1%
3	The authorities have an equally great moral obligation to assist both	473	7.5%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5509	87.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc19_2: Development aid: Effectiveness for the poor in other countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How effective do you think Norway's development aid programmes have been for reducing poverty in other countries?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran11=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	22	0.3%
2	Slightly effective	410	6.5%
3	Not very effective	280	4.4%
4	Not at all effective	69	1.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5509	87.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc20_2: Development aid: Why are recipients poor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Why do you think that most recipients of development aid are poor?		

# r4oc20_2: Development aid: Why are recipients poor			
Interviewer's instructions		Please use the scale below where 1 represents "Because they don't work hard enough" and 7 represents "Due to circumstances beyond their control". [Asked if U=3 and ran11=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Because they don't work hard enough	15	0.2%
2	2	9	0.1%
3	3	15	0.2%
4	4	66	1.0%
5	5	156	2.5%
6	6	194	3.1%
7	7 Due to circumstances beyond their control	326	5.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5509	87.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc21_2: Development aid: The poor lacked basic necessities before they got aid			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		How likely is it that the recipients of development aid lacked basic necessities such as shelter and nutritious food before they received aid from Norwegian authorities?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=3 and ran11=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	314	5.0%
2	Not very likely	402	6.4%
3	Quite unlikely	48	0.8%
4	Not at all likely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	5509	87.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45d_1: Statement: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Pre-question		We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:	
Literal question		Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=3 and ran9=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	0.2%
2	Agree	31	0.5%
3	Agree somewhat	92	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	83	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	56	0.9%

r4dv45d_1: Statement: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	131	2.1%
7	Strongly disagree	75	1.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5811	92.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45d_2: Statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	A patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran9=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	10	0.2%
2	Agree	26	0.4%
3	Agree somewhat	68	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	67	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	59	0.9%
6	Disagree	153	2.4%
7	Strongly disagree	98	1.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5811	92.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45d_3: Statement: Taxes on booze and cigarettes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. Such products should therefore be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran9=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	72	1.1%
2	Agree	102	1.6%
3	Agree somewhat	122	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	55	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	31	0.5%
6	Disagree	65	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	33	0.5%

r4dv45d_3: Statement: Taxes on booze and cigarettes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5811	92.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45d_4: Statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	People with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran9=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.5%
2	Agree	90	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	91	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	64	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	45	0.7%
6	Disagree	105	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	55	0.9%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5811	92.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45d_5: Statement: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	If someone becomes ill, continuing to live an unhealthy lifestyle could ruin the effects of their treatment. So, if ill patients leading an unhealthy lifestyle do not change their habits, should the help they receive be given lower priority in the future than the help received by patients who have a healthy lifestyle?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran9=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.3%
2	Agree	55	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	109	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	61	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	60	1.0%
6	Disagree	117	1.9%
7	Strongly disagree	58	0.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5811	92.3%

# r4dv45d_5: Statement: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45d_6: Statement: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	If a specific agreement has been entered into between a doctor and a patient about changing the patient's lifestyle and the patient fails to comply with the agreement, should future treatment of the patient be given lower priority than that given to patients who comply with such agreements?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran9=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.4%
2	Agree	71	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	122	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	68	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	56	0.9%
6	Disagree	97	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	44	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5811	92.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran21: [Randomizes if U=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1533 /-] [Invalid=15478 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	178	11.6%
2	2	216	14.1%
3	3	205	13.4%
4	4	160	10.4%
5	5	195	12.7%
6	6	175	11.4%
7	7	212	13.8%
8	8	192	12.5%
Sysmiss		15478	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51a: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		

r4dv51a: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years

Literal question Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3 and ran21=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	46	0.7%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	65	1.0%
3	Don't know	65	1.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6119	97.2%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv51b: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.

Literal question Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3 and ran21=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	78	1.2%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	68	1.1%
3	Don't know	70	1.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6081	96.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv51c: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Pre-question Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.

Literal question Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=3 and ran21=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	101	1.6%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	41	0.7%
3	Don't know	60	1.0%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6092	96.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv51d: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have participated actively in their local communities while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran21=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	60	1.0%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	44	0.7%
3	Don't know	56	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6137	97.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51e: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran21=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	33	0.5%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	83	1.3%
3	Don't know	76	1.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6102	96.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51f: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran21=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	45	0.7%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	73	1.2%
3	Don't know	57	0.9%

# r4dv51f: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6122	97.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51g: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran21=7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	69	1.1%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	75	1.2%
3	Don't know	61	1.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6085	96.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51h: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have participated actively in their local communities while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and ran21=8]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	66	1.0%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	68	1.1%
3	Don't know	55	0.9%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6105	97.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv52a: How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and either of the following variables =1: R4DV51a, R4DV51b, R4DV51c, R4DV51d, R4DV51e, R4DV51f, R4DV51g=1, or R4DV51h]		

r4dv52a: How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	67	1.1%
2	Strong	174	2.8%
3	Fairly strong	149	2.4%
4	Not very strong	79	1.3%
5	Not at all strong	25	0.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5799	92.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv52b: How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=3 and either of the following variables =1: R4DV51a, R4DV51b, R4DV51c, R4DV51d, R4DV51e, R4DV51f, R4DV51g, or R4DV51h]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	81	1.3%
2	Strong	142	2.3%
3	Fairly strong	159	2.5%
4	Not very strong	84	1.3%
5	Not at all strong	47	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5780	91.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv1: Pro and con regarding immigrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask a few questions about current issues in Norway today, e.g. immigration and ethnic diversity. We are interested in your own opinion on these issues and we would ask you answer these questions to the best of your ability.
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	57	0.9%
2	Great advantage	306	4.9%
3	Slightly advantageous	673	10.7%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	202	3.2%
5	A slight disadvantage	222	3.5%
6	A great disadvantage	77	1.2%
7	Very great disadvantage	36	0.6%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4713	74.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv4: How important is immigration policy to you personally?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	181	2.9%
2	Important	579	9.2%
3	Somewhat important	602	9.6%
4	Not very important	192	3.0%
5	Not important at all	23	0.4%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4713	74.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv6: Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	322	5.1%
2	The Progress Party	282	4.5%
3	The Conservative Party	265	4.2%
4	The Socialist Left Party	100	1.6%
5	The Centre Party	21	0.3%
6	The Christian Democrats	97	1.5%
7	The Liberal Party	99	1.6%
8	The Red Party	33	0.5%
9	The Green Party	13	0.2%
10	Other (please state which)	16	0.3%
11	None	301	4.8%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	4713	74.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv6_10_other: Other: Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r4dv41: Statement: Men are more entitled to jobs than women during a downturn in the labour market.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Men are more entitled to jobs than women during a downturn in the labour market.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.5%
2	Agree	15	0.2%
3	Agree somewhat	48	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	108	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	50	0.8%
6	Disagree	322	5.1%
7	Strongly disagree	998	15.8%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	4713	74.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran13: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1583 /-] [Invalid=15428 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	302	19.1%
2	2	307	19.4%
3	3	302	19.1%
4	4	339	21.4%
5	5	333	21.0%
Sysmiss		15428	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42a: Allow stand at local shopping centre: An anti-Islamic group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that an anti-Islamic group has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a group should be allowed to do this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	18	0.3%

# r4dv42a: Allow stand at local shopping centre: An anti-Islamic group			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	41	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	44	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	46	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	26	0.4%
6	Disagree	56	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	69	1.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5995	95.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42b: Allow stand at local shopping centre: A right wing extremist group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that a right wing extremist group has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a group should be allowed to do this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	17	0.3%
2	Agree	44	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	41	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	28	0.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	24	0.4%
6	Disagree	45	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	102	1.6%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5990	95.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42c: Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Progress Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that the Progress Party has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this party should be allowed to do this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	90	1.4%
2	Agree	95	1.5%
3	Agree somewhat	19	0.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	56	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	6	0.1%
6	Disagree	17	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5995	95.2%

# r4dv42c: Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Progress Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
System		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42d: Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that the Liberal Party has asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this party should be allowed to do this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	84	1.3%
2	Agree	108	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	33	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	79	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	9	0.1%
6	Disagree	12	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5958	94.6%
System		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42e_1: Allow stand at local shopping centre: An anti-Islamic group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that an anti-Islamic group, a right wing extremist group, the Progress Party and the Liberal Party have asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that these groups should be allowed to do this?		
Literal question	An anti-Islamic group		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.5%
2	Agree	50	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	38	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	20	0.3%
6	Disagree	48	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	100	1.6%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5964	94.7%
System		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42e_2: Allow stand at local shopping centre: A right wing extremist group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		

# r4dv42e_2: Allow stand at local shopping centre: A right wing extremist group			
Pre-question	Imagine that an anti-Islamic group, a right wing extremist group, the Progress Party and the Liberal Party have asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that these groups should be allowed to do this?		
Literal question	A right wing extremist group		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	25	0.4%
2	Agree	41	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	28	0.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	36	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	17	0.3%
6	Disagree	57	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	120	1.9%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5964	94.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42e_3: Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Progress Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that an anti-Islamic group, a right wing extremist group, the Progress Party and the Liberal Party have asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that these groups should be allowed to do this?		
Literal question	The Progress Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	69	1.1%
2	Agree	90	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	34	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	57	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	17	0.3%
6	Disagree	23	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	30	0.5%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5964	94.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv42e_4: Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that an anti-Islamic group, a right wing extremist group, the Progress Party and the Liberal Party have asked for permission to have a stand for spreading their views at your local shopping centre. To what extent do you agree or disagree that these groups should be allowed to do this?		
Literal question	The Liberal Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran13=5]		

r4dv42e_4: Allow stand at local shopping centre: The Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	71	1.1%
2	Agree	97	1.5%
3	Agree somewhat	32	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	61	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	16	0.3%
6	Disagree	18	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	24	0.4%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5964	94.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran14: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1581 /-] [Invalid=15430 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	276	17.5%
2	2	257	16.3%
3	3	284	18.0%
4	4	274	17.3%
5	5	247	15.6%
6	6	243	15.4%
Sysmiss		15430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv43a: Allow rental of local meeting room: Prophet's Ummah

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that the Muslim fundamentalist group, Prophet's Ummah, has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Prophet's Ummah should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran14=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.2%
2	Agree	44	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	16	0.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	29	0.5%
6	Disagree	51	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	77	1.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6021	95.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv43b: Allow rental of local meeting room: SIAN

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r4dv43b: Allow rental of local meeting room: SIAN			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine that the anti-Islamic group, Stop the Islamisation of Norway (SIAN), has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that SIAN should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran14=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	34	0.5%
2	Agree	47	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	36	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	42	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	22	0.3%
6	Disagree	36	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	35	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6040	95.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv43c: Allow rental of local meeting room: The Progress Party			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine that the Progress Party has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Progress Party should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran14=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	87	1.4%
2	Agree	113	1.8%
3	Agree somewhat	19	0.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	50	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	6	0.1%
6	Disagree	5	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6013	95.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv43d: Allow rental of local meeting room: Muslims			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine that a Muslim congregation has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Muslim congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran14=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	0.8%

r4dv43d: Allow rental of local meeting room: Muslims

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	117	1.9%
3	Agree somewhat	32	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	35	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	18	0.3%
6	Disagree	9	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6023	95.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv43e: Allow rental of local meeting room: Free Church

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that a Free Church congregation has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Free Church congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran14=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	42	0.7%
2	Agree	85	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	35	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	52	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	9	0.1%
6	Disagree	6	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6050	96.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv43f: Allow rental of local meeting room: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that the Liberal Party has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Liberal Party should be allowed to do this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran14=6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	84	1.3%
2	Agree	94	1.5%
3	Agree somewhat	15	0.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	42	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	4	0.1%
6	Disagree	2	0.0%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%

# r4dv43f: Allow rental of local meeting room: The Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6054	96.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran16: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1580 /-] [Invalid=15431 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	249	15.8%
2	2	283	17.9%
3	3	253	16.0%
4	4	264	16.7%
5	5	266	16.8%
6	6	265	16.8%
Sysmiss		15431	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv47a: Limit work immigration: Some of the poorest are from Romania			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are the Roma people from Romania and some of them come to Norway to seek work so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran16=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.5%
2	Agree	54	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	48	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	38	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	26	0.4%
6	Disagree	43	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6048	96.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv47b: Limit work immigration: Some of the poorest are from Spain			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are from Spain and some of them come to Norway to seek work so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran16=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	10	0.2%
2	Agree	28	0.4%

# r4dv47b: Limit work immigration: Some of the poorest are from Spain			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	48	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	57	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	46	0.7%
6	Disagree	63	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	26	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6014	95.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv47c: Limit work immigration: Some of the poorest are from Poland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are from Poland and some of them come to Norway to seek work so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran16=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.2%
2	Agree	26	0.4%
3	Agree somewhat	57	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	44	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	51	0.8%
6	Disagree	47	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6044	96.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv47d: Limit immigration for beggars: Some of the poorest are from Romania			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are the Roma people from Romania and some of them come to Norway to beg so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran16=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	62	1.0%
2	Agree	79	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	53	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	28	0.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	16	0.3%
6	Disagree	14	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%

# r4dv47d: Limit immigration for beggars: Some of the poorest are from Romania			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6033	95.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv47e: Limit immigration for beggars: Some of the poorest are from Spain			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are from Spain and some of them come to Norway to beg so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran16=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.6%
2	Agree	71	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	56	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	19	0.3%
6	Disagree	29	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6031	95.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv47f: Limit immigration for beggars: Some of the poorest are from Poland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	The financial crisis has caused considerable poverty in Europe. Some of the poorest Europeans are from Poland and some of them come to Norway to beg so that their families can have a better life. To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce agreements designed to limit this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran16=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	50	0.8%
2	Agree	67	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	55	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	35	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	26	0.4%
6	Disagree	25	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6032	95.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran18: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1576 /-] [Invalid=15435 /-]		

# r4ran18: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	274	17.4%
2	2	268	17.0%
3	3	276	17.5%
4	4	270	17.1%
5	5	222	14.1%
6	6	266	16.9%
Sysmiss		15435	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv48a: Statement: Schools should allow Muslim pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
Literal question	Schools should allow Muslim pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran18=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	20	0.3%
2	Agree	64	1.0%
3	Agree somewhat	64	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	44	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	23	0.4%
6	Disagree	31	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6023	95.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv48b: Statement: Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
Literal question	Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran18=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.2%
2	Agree	46	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	38	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	42	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	40	0.6%
6	Disagree	56	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.5%
97	No answer	3	0.0%

r4dv48b: Statement: Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6029	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv48c: Statement: Schools should encourage muslim pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
Literal question	Schools should encourage Muslim pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran18=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	6	0.1%
2	Agree	28	0.4%
3	Agree somewhat	29	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	91	1.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	29	0.5%
6	Disagree	55	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	34	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6021	95.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv48d: Statement: Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
Literal question	Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals in school districts which have a lot of Muslim pupils?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran18=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%
2	Agree	19	0.3%
3	Agree somewhat	33	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	47	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	30	0.5%
6	Disagree	71	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	64	1.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6027	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv48e: Statement: Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?		
Literal question	Schools should allow all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran18=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	18	0.3%
2	Agree	43	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	31	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	45	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	28	0.4%
6	Disagree	34	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	22	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6075	96.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv48f: Statement: Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?		
Literal question	Schools should encourage all pupils to celebrate Muslim festivals.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran18=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%
2	Agree	6	0.1%
3	Agree somewhat	9	0.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	34	0.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	26	0.4%
6	Disagree	97	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	88	1.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6031	95.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran19: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1576 /-] [Invalid=15435 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	387	24.6%
2	2	371	23.5%
3	3	435	27.6%
4	4	383	24.3%

r4ran19: [Randomizes if U=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15435	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv49a: How long should immigrants live in Norway for before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How long should immigrants live in Norway for before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran19=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	They should have full access immediately	53	0.8%
2	1 years	41	0.7%
3	2 years	28	0.4%
4	3 years	58	0.9%
5	4 years	12	0.2%
6	5 years	91	1.4%
7	6 years	6	0.1%
8	7 years	16	0.3%
9	8 years	3	0.0%
10	9 years	1	0.0%
11	10 years	38	0.6%
12	They should never have full access	21	0.3%
97	No answer	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	5910	93.9%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv49b: How long should immigrants work for in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How long should immigrants work for in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran19=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	They should have full access immediately	62	1.0%
2	1 years	53	0.8%
3	2 years	31	0.5%
4	3 years	59	0.9%
5	4 years	12	0.2%
6	5 years	78	1.2%
7	6 years	6	0.1%
8	7 years	10	0.2%
9	8 years	3	0.0%
10	9 years	0	
11	10 years	36	0.6%
12	They should never have full access	12	0.2%
97	No answer	9	0.1%

# r4dv49b: How long should immigrants work for in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5926	94.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv49c: How long should immigrants work for and pay tax in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How long should immigrants work for and pay tax in Norway before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran19=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	They should have full access immediately	74	1.2%
2	1 years	74	1.2%
3	2 years	48	0.8%
4	3 years	58	0.9%
5	4 years	14	0.2%
6	5 years	90	1.4%
7	6 years	8	0.1%
8	7 years	12	0.2%
9	8 years	1	0.0%
10	9 years	0	
11	10 years	30	0.5%
12	They should never have full access	11	0.2%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	5862	93.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv49d: How long should immigrants work for in Norway and contribute towards Norwegian society before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How long should immigrants work for in Norway and contribute towards Norwegian society before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran19=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	They should have full access immediately	59	0.9%
2	1 years	64	1.0%
3	2 years	44	0.7%
4	3 years	46	0.7%
5	4 years	13	0.2%
6	5 years	72	1.1%
7	6 years	2	0.0%
8	7 years	15	0.2%
9	8 years	4	0.1%
10	9 years	0	

r4dv49d: How long should immigrants work for in Norway and contribute towards Norwegian society before they obtain full access to welfare benefits?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	10 years	35	0.6%
12	They should never have full access	16	0.3%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5914	93.9%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran20: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1576 /-] [Invalid=15435 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	403	25.6%
2	2	384	24.4%
3	3	394	25.0%
4	4	395	25.1%
Sysmiss		15435	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv50a: Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that...
Literal question	...people have different views, but Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	11	0.2%
2	Agree	45	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	54	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	84	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	63	1.0%
6	Disagree	75	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	67	1.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5894	93.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv50b: Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that...
Literal question	...people have different views, but Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran20=2]

r4dv50b: Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	6	0.1%
2	Agree	18	0.3%
3	Agree somewhat	21	0.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	49	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	62	1.0%
6	Disagree	94	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	129	2.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5913	93.9%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv50c: Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that...
Literal question	...Muslim ideas about how women should behave in their private lives should be respected.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran20=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	9	0.1%
2	Agree	41	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	33	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	80	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	58	0.9%
6	Disagree	63	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	106	1.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5903	93.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv50d: Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that...
Literal question	...Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran20=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	8	0.1%
2	Agree	8	0.1%
3	Agree somewhat	18	0.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	39	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	43	0.7%
6	Disagree	131	2.1%

# r4dv50d: Agree or disagree: Muslim ideas about how women should behave should be respected.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	144	2.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5902	93.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran28: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1575 /-] [Invalid=15436 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	193	12.3%
2	2	203	12.9%
3	3	193	12.3%
4	4	199	12.6%
5	5	198	12.6%
6	6	188	11.9%
7	7	188	11.9%
8	8	213	13.5%
Sysmiss		15436	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51a_2: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	50	0.8%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	78	1.2%
3	Don't know	64	1.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6104	96.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51b_2: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		

r4dv51b_2: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian**Interviewer's instructions** [Asked if U=4 and ran28=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	63	1.0%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	79	1.3%
3	Don't know	60	1.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6094	96.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# r4dv51c_2: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]**Pre-question** Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.**Literal question** Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?**Interviewer's instructions** [Asked if U=4 and ran28=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	71	1.1%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	66	1.0%
3	Don't know	56	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6104	96.9%
Sysmiss		10714	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# r4dv51d_2: Asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]**Pre-question** Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.**Literal question** Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have participated actively in their local communities while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?**Interviewer's instructions** [Asked if U=4 and ran28=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	69	1.1%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	51	0.8%
3	Don't know	79	1.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6098	96.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# r4dv51e_2: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

# r4dv51e_2: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	40	0.6%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	95	1.5%
3	Don't know	61	1.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6099	96.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51f_2: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, learned Norwegian			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have learnt Norwegian while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	40	0.6%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	71	1.1%
3	Don't know	74	1.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6109	97.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv51g_2: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.		
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have found a job while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	68	1.1%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	48	0.8%
3	Don't know	68	1.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%

r4dv51g_2: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, got a job

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6109	97.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv51h_2: Muslim asylum seekers: Lived in Norway for many years, participated actively in their local communities	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have participated actively in their local communities while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran28=8]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	74	1.2%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	61	1.0%
3	Don't know	75	1.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6084	96.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv52a_2: Opinion about asylum seekers being granted residence	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and either of the following variables =1: R4DV51a_2, R4DV51b_2, R4DV51c_2, R4DV51d_2=1, R4DV51e_2, R4DV51f_2, R4DV51g_2, or R4DV51h_2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	46	0.7%
2	Strong	157	2.5%
3	Fairly strong	143	2.3%
4	Not very strong	92	1.5%
5	Not at all strong	33	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5822	92.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv52b_2: Opinion about asylum seekers not being granted residence	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and either of the following variables =1: R4DV51a_2, R4DV51b_2, R4DV51c_2, R4DV51d_2, R4DV51e_2, R4DV51f_2, R4DV51g_2, or R4DV51h_2=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	87	1.4%
2	Strong	148	2.4%
3	Fairly strong	123	2.0%
4	Not very strong	108	1.7%
5	Not at all strong	76	1.2%

# r4dv52b_2: Opinion about asylum seekers not being granted residence			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5748	91.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran27: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1574 /-] [Invalid=15437 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	281	17.9%
2	2	269	17.1%
3	3	281	17.9%
4	4	258	16.4%
5	5	258	16.4%
6	6	227	14.4%
Sysmiss		15437	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv53a: Caricatures poking fun: Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which poke fun at the religious beliefs of Muslims. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures.</p> <p>What is your personal opinion?</p> <p>It is...</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completely wrong to publish such caricatures	30	0.5%
2	wrong	20	0.3%
3	slightly wrong	37	0.6%
4	neither right nor wrong	64	1.0%
5	slightly right	41	0.7%
6	right	44	0.7%
7	completely right to publish such caricatures	44	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6016	95.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv53b: Caricatures poking fun: Jews			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which poke fun at the religious beliefs of Jews. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures.</p> <p>What is your personal opinion?</p>		

# r4dv53b: Caricatures poking fun: Jews			
	It is...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completely wrong to publish such caricatures	19	0.3%
2	wrong	28	0.4%
3	slightly wrong	41	0.7%
4	neither right nor wrong	57	0.9%
5	slightly right	36	0.6%
6	right	38	0.6%
7	completely right to publish such caricatures	47	0.7%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6028	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4dv53c: Caricatures poking fun: Religious beliefs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which poke fun at the religious beliefs of people with deeply held beliefs. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures.</p> <p>What is your personal opinion?</p> <p>It is...</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completely wrong to publish such caricatures	32	0.5%
2	wrong	32	0.5%
3	slightly wrong	43	0.7%
4	neither right nor wrong	59	0.9%
5	slightly right	29	0.5%
6	right	33	0.5%
7	completely right to publish such caricatures	51	0.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6016	95.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4dv53d: Caricatures mocking: Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which mock the religious beliefs of Muslims. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures.</p> <p>What is your personal opinion?</p> <p>It is...</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=4]		

# r4dv53d: Caricatures mocking: Muslims			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completely wrong to publish such caricatures	23	0.4%
2	wrong	25	0.4%
3	slightly wrong	45	0.7%
4	neither right nor wrong	63	1.0%
5	slightly right	27	0.4%
6	right	34	0.5%
7	completely right to publish such caricatures	39	0.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6039	95.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv53e: Caricatures mocking: Jews			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which mock the religious beliefs of Jews. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completely wrong to publish such caricatures	19	0.3%
2	wrong	36	0.6%
3	slightly wrong	37	0.6%
4	neither right nor wrong	57	0.9%
5	slightly right	37	0.6%
6	right	32	0.5%
7	completely right to publish such caricatures	38	0.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6039	95.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv53f: Caricatures mocking: Religious beliefs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Some people think that it is wrong if the newspapers publish caricatures which mock the religious beliefs of people with deeply held beliefs. Others think that it is right for newspapers to publish such caricatures. What is your personal opinion? It is...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran27=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completely wrong to publish such caricatures	18	0.3%
2	wrong	28	0.4%

r4dv53f: Caricatures mocking: Religious beliefs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	slightly wrong	26	0.4%
4	neither right nor wrong	52	0.8%
5	slightly right	29	0.5%
6	right	30	0.5%
7	completely right to publish such caricatures	41	0.7%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6070	96.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran23: [Randomizes if U=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1574 /-] [Invalid=15437 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	253	16.1%
2	2	276	17.5%
3	3	279	17.7%
4	4	248	15.8%
5	5	247	15.7%
6	6	271	17.2%
Sysmiss		15437	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4mi5a: Ban on sexual services: For or against

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Literal question	In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual services. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...
-------------------------	---

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran23=1]
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely in favour of the ban	47	0.7%
2	In favour of the ban	77	1.2%
3	Slightly in favour of the ban	15	0.2%
4	Neither for nor against the ban	55	0.9%
5	Slightly against the ban	17	0.3%
6	Against the ban	25	0.4%
7	Completely against the ban	14	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6044	96.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4mi5b: Ban on sexual services: Makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

# r4mi5b: Ban on sexual services: Makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse			
Literal question	In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual services. Some people have opposed the ban because it makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse and consequently puts their lives and health in danger. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran23=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely in favour of the ban	38	0.6%
2	In favour of the ban	78	1.2%
3	Slightly in favour of the ban	22	0.3%
4	Neither for nor against the ban	63	1.0%
5	Slightly against the ban	30	0.5%
6	Against the ban	27	0.4%
7	Completely against the ban	11	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6021	95.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4mi5c: Ban on sexual services: ECHR may invalidate ban			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual services. The European Court of Human Rights may declare the ban to be invalid. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran23=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely in favour of the ban	40	0.6%
2	In favour of the ban	82	1.3%
3	Slightly in favour of the ban	27	0.4%
4	Neither for nor against the ban	65	1.0%
5	Slightly against the ban	24	0.4%
6	Against the ban	30	0.5%
7	Completely against the ban	9	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6018	95.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4mi5d: Ban on sexual services: ECHR may invalidate ban, makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual services. The European Court of Human Rights may declare the ban to be invalid because it makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse and consequently puts their lives and health in danger. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...		

# r4mi5d: Ban on sexual services: ECHR may invalidate ban, makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran23=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely in favour of the ban	22	0.3%
2	In favour of the ban	68	1.1%
3	Slightly in favour of the ban	25	0.4%
4	Neither for nor against the ban	65	1.0%
5	Slightly against the ban	23	0.4%
6	Against the ban	28	0.4%
7	Completely against the ban	10	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6049	96.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4mi5e: Ban on sexual services: Supreme Court of Canada declared a similar ban to be invalid			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual services. In 2013 the Supreme Court of Canada declared a similar ban to be invalid because it makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse and consequently puts their lives and health in danger. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran23=5]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely in favour of the ban	27	0.4%
2	In favour of the ban	60	1.0%
3	Slightly in favour of the ban	29	0.5%
4	Neither for nor against the ban	56	0.9%
5	Slightly against the ban	33	0.5%
6	Against the ban	31	0.5%
7	Completely against the ban	10	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6050	96.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4mi5f: Ban on sexual services: The Norwegian Supreme Court may declare the ban to be invalid			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		In 2008 the Norwegian Parliament (the Storting) passed the Norwegian law prohibiting the buying of sexual services. The Norwegian Supreme Court may declare the ban to be invalid because it makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse and consequently puts their lives and health in danger. What do you think about the ban on purchasing sexual services in Norway? I am...	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if U=4 and ran23=6]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely in favour of the ban	25	0.4%

# r4mi5f: Ban on sexual services: The Norwegian Supreme Court may declare the ban to be invalid			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	In favour of the ban	66	1.0%
3	Slightly in favour of the ban	29	0.5%
4	Neither for nor against the ban	65	1.0%
5	Slightly against the ban	38	0.6%
6	Against the ban	33	0.5%
7	Completely against the ban	9	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6026	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran17: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=15438 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	522	33.2%
2	2	520	33.1%
3	3	531	33.8%
Sysmiss		15438	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45a_1: Statement: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	11	0.2%
2	Agree	29	0.5%
3	Agree somewhat	89	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	80	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	56	0.9%
6	Disagree	173	2.7%
7	Strongly disagree	79	1.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5775	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45a_2: Statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider.		

# r4dv45a_2: Statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees			
	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	A patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	7	0.1%
2	Agree	20	0.3%
3	Agree somewhat	53	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	59	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	60	1.0%
6	Disagree	194	3.1%
7	Strongly disagree	122	1.9%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5775	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45a_3: Statement: Taxes on booze and cigarettes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. Such products should therefore be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	66	1.0%
2	Agree	108	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	136	2.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	57	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	46	0.7%
6	Disagree	75	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5775	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45a_4: Statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	People with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=1]		

r4dv45a_4: Statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.5%
2	Agree	67	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	116	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	57	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	46	0.7%
6	Disagree	132	2.1%
7	Strongly disagree	65	1.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5775	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45a_5: Statement: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	If someone becomes ill, continuing to live an unhealthy lifestyle could ruin the effects of their treatment. So, if ill patients leading an unhealthy lifestyle do not change their habits, should the help they receive be given lower priority in the future than the help received by patients who have a healthy lifestyle?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	15	0.2%
2	Agree	52	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	136	2.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	56	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	62	1.0%
6	Disagree	118	1.9%
7	Strongly disagree	77	1.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5775	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45a_6: Statement: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	If a specific agreement has been entered into between a doctor and a patient about changing the patient's lifestyle and the patient fails to comply with the agreement, should future treatment of the patient be given lower priority than that given to patients who comply with such agreements?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.3%
2	Agree	63	1.0%

r4dv45a_6: Statement: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	127	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	80	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	61	1.0%
6	Disagree	110	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	54	0.9%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5775	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45b_1: Just statement: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	It is fair that access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	14	0.2%
2	Agree	37	0.6%
3	Agree somewhat	105	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	79	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	64	1.0%
6	Disagree	145	2.3%
7	Strongly disagree	69	1.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5777	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45b_2: Just statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	It is fair that a patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	9	0.1%
2	Agree	23	0.4%
3	Agree somewhat	67	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	67	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	71	1.1%

r4dv45b_2: Just statement: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	185	2.9%
7	Strongly disagree	92	1.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5777	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45b_3: Just statement: Taxes on booze and cigarettes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. It is therefore fair that such products should be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	90	1.4%
2	Agree	160	2.5%
3	Agree somewhat	119	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	39	0.6%
6	Disagree	41	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5777	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45b_4: Just statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	It is fair that people with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	0.6%
2	Agree	82	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	120	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	74	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	52	0.8%
6	Disagree	93	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	55	0.9%
97	No answer	7	0.1%

# r4dv45b_4: Just statement: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5777	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45b_5: Just statement: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	If someone becomes ill, continuing to live an unhealthy lifestyle could ruin the effects of their treatment. So, if ill patients leading an unhealthy lifestyle do not change their habits, is it fair that the help they receive should be given lower priority in the future than the help received by patients who have a healthy lifestyle?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.2%
2	Agree	59	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	127	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	65	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	61	1.0%
6	Disagree	124	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	63	1.0%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5777	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45b_6: Just statement: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	If a specific agreement has been entered into between a doctor and a patient about changing the patient's lifestyle and the patient fails to comply with the agreement, is it fair that future treatment of the patient should be given lower priority than that given to patients who comply with such agreements?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	17	0.3%
2	Agree	84	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	135	2.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	66	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	60	1.0%
6	Disagree	89	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	58	0.9%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5777	91.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r4dv45c_1: Unertain about cause of illness: Access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced for unhealthy people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. There is often considerable uncertainty about the determination of whether or not a patient's lifestyle is actually the cause of their state of health. The extent to which the patient concerned is able to avoid his/her unhealthy lifestyle is also often unclear. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	It is fair that access to very limited benefits such as organ transplants should be reduced if the patient concerned has an unhealthy lifestyle
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	14	0.2%
2	Agree	39	0.6%
3	Agree somewhat	122	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	71	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	55	0.9%
6	Disagree	159	2.5%
7	Strongly disagree	67	1.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5766	91.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv45c_2: Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients must pay more in fees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. There is often considerable uncertainty about the determination of whether or not a patient's lifestyle is actually the cause of their state of health. The extent to which the patient concerned is able to avoid his/her unhealthy lifestyle is also often unclear. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	It is fair that a patient with an unhealthy lifestyle should contribute more towards treatment than a patient with a healthy lifestyle
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	7	0.1%
2	Agree	21	0.3%
3	Agree somewhat	64	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	67	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	73	1.2%
6	Disagree	175	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	121	1.9%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5766	91.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv45c_3: Unertain about cause of illness: Taxes on booze and cigarettes	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. There is often considerable uncertainty about the determination of whether or not a patient's lifestyle is actually the cause of their state of health. The extent to which the patient concerned is able to avoid his/her unhealthy lifestyle is also often unclear. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The consumption of some products, e.g. alcohol and tobacco, increases the risk of disease and thus also overall health expenditure. It is therefore fair that such products should be taxed in order to fund the costs incurred in respect of increases in such risky behaviour
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	92	1.5%
2	Agree	179	2.8%
3	Agree somewhat	111	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	47	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	31	0.5%
6	Disagree	39	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5766	91.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv45c_4: Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients should pay more in insurance	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider. There is often considerable uncertainty about the determination of whether or not a patient's lifestyle is actually the cause of their state of health. The extent to which the patient concerned is able to avoid his/her unhealthy lifestyle is also often unclear. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	It is fair that people with unhealthy lifestyles, e.g. smokers and people who consume too much alcohol, should pay more for health insurance than people with healthy lifestyles
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	39	0.6%
2	Agree	87	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	117	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	73	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	50	0.8%
6	Disagree	93	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	69	1.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5766	91.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4dv45c_5: Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients should be given less priority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider.</p> <p>There is often considerable uncertainty about the determination of whether or not a patient's lifestyle is actually the cause of their state of health. The extent to which the patient concerned is able to avoid his/her unhealthy lifestyle is also often unclear.</p> <p>To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:</p>		
Literal question	<p>If someone becomes ill, continuing to live an unhealthy lifestyle could ruin the effects of their treatment. So, if ill patients leading an unhealthy lifestyle do not change their habits, is it fair that the help they receive should be given lower priority in the future than the help received by patients who have a healthy lifestyle?</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.4%
2	Agree	62	1.0%
3	Agree somewhat	112	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	69	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	63	1.0%
6	Disagree	125	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	73	1.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5766	91.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv45c_6: Unertain about cause of illness: Unhealthy patients that does not follow agreement should be given less priority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We now have a few questions about health which we would like you to consider.</p> <p>There is often considerable uncertainty about the determination of whether or not a patient's lifestyle is actually the cause of their state of health. The extent to which the patient concerned is able to avoid his/her unhealthy lifestyle is also often unclear.</p> <p>To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:</p>		
Literal question	<p>If a specific agreement has been entered into between a doctor and a patient about changing the patient's lifestyle and the patient fails to comply with the agreement, is it fair that future treatment of the patient should be given lower priority than that given to patients who comply with such agreements?</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran17=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	23	0.4%
2	Agree	86	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	118	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	64	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	75	1.2%
6	Disagree	108	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	54	0.9%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5766	91.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4ran15: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1568 /-] [Invalid=15443 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	823	52.5%
2	2	745	47.5%
Sysmiss		15443	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_2: Lower priority of health care: Overweight/obesity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Overweight/obesity		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	66	1.0%
2	No	650	10.3%
3	Don't know	100	1.6%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_3: Lower priority of health care: Smoking			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Smoking		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	209	3.3%
2	No	526	8.4%
3	Don't know	77	1.2%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_4: Lower priority of health care: High alcohol consumption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	High alcohol consumption		

# r4dv46a_4: Lower priority of health care: High alcohol consumption			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	199	3.2%
2	No	523	8.3%
3	Don't know	91	1.4%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_5: Lower priority of health care: Abuse of medication/drugs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Abuse of medication/drugs		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	221	3.5%
2	No	492	7.8%
3	Don't know	99	1.6%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_6: Lower priority of health care: Lack of physical activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Lack of physical activity		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	92	1.5%
2	No	626	9.9%
3	Don't know	93	1.5%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_7: Lower priority of health care: Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		

# r4dv46a_7: Lower priority of health care: Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury			
Literal question	Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	202	3.2%
2	No	479	7.6%
3	Don't know	130	2.1%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_8: Lower priority of health care: Poor diet			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Poor diet		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	67	1.1%
2	No	631	10.0%
3	Don't know	114	1.8%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_9: Lower priority of health care: A combination of these			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	A combination of these		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	112	1.8%
2	No	533	8.5%
3	Don't know	167	2.7%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46a_10: Lower priority of health care: Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		

# r4dv46a_10: Lower priority of health care: Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle			
Pre-question	Do you think that the help people receive with their health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if the state of health of the person concerned can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	256	4.1%
2	No	404	6.4%
3	Don't know	153	2.4%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5474	86.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46b_1: Lower priority of personal health care: Overweight/obesity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Overweight/obesity		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	121	1.9%
2	No	509	8.1%
3	Don't know	101	1.6%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46b_2: Lower priority of personal health care: Smoking			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Smoking		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	243	3.9%
2	No	411	6.5%
3	Don't know	79	1.3%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46b_3: Lower priority of personal health care: High alcohol consumption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		

# r4dv46b_3: Lower priority of personal health care: High alcohol consumption			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	High alcohol consumption		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	238	3.8%
2	No	411	6.5%
3	Don't know	83	1.3%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46b_4: Lower priority of personal health care: Abuse of medication/drugs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Abuse of medication/drugs		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	239	3.8%
2	No	397	6.3%
3	Don't know	96	1.5%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4dv46b_5: Lower priority of personal health care: Lack of physical activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Lack of physical activity		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	123	2.0%
2	No	522	8.3%
3	Don't know	86	1.4%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46b_6: Lower priority of personal health care: Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Hazardous sports which result in illness of injury		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	198	3.1%
2	No	425	6.7%
3	Don't know	107	1.7%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46b_7: Lower priority of personal health care: Poor diet			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Poor diet		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	115	1.8%
2	No	524	8.3%
3	Don't know	90	1.4%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4dv46b_8: Lower priority of personal health care: A combination of these			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	A combination of these		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	165	2.6%
2	No	436	6.9%
3	Don't know	115	1.8%
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv46b_9: Lower priority of personal health care: Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the help you receive with your health should be given a lower priority when compared to that received by others if your state of health can be seen to be linked to the following lifestyle choices:		
Literal question	Failure to comply with an agreement about changing one's lifestyle		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran15=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	260	4.1%
2	No	349	5.5%
3	Don't know	122	1.9%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5552	88.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran24: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1565 /-] [Invalid=15446 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	342	21.9%
2	2	391	25.0%
3	3	404	25.8%
4	4	428	27.3%
Sysmiss		15446	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv55a: Allow religious schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		

r4dv55a: Allow religious schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum

Literal question To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's children to religious schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran24=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	14	0.2%
2	Agree	73	1.2%
3	Agree somewhat	52	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	70	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	31	0.5%
6	Disagree	55	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	46	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5955	94.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv55b: Allow Christian schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Literal question To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's children to Christian schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran24=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	47	0.7%
2	Agree	110	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	65	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	75	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	28	0.4%
6	Disagree	33	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5906	93.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv55c: Allow Muslim schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Literal question To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's children to Muslim schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if U=4 and ran24=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.2%
2	Agree	61	1.0%
3	Agree somewhat	59	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	75	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	36	0.6%

# r4dv55c: Allow Muslim schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	79	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	78	1.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5893	93.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv55d: Allow private schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it should be possible to send one's children to private schools which follow the Norwegian curriculum?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran24=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	79	1.3%
2	Agree	125	2.0%
3	Agree somewhat	64	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	48	0.8%
6	Disagree	36	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5869	93.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran25: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1564 /-] [Invalid=15447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	539	34.5%
2	2	514	32.9%
3	3	511	32.7%
Sysmiss		15447	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv56a: Do you think that begging should be made illegal?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that begging should be made illegal?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran25=1. Respondents are not exposed to vidual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	236	3.7%
2	No	196	3.1%
3	Don't know	106	1.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%

# r4dv56a: Do you think that begging should be made illegal?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5758	91.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv56b: Picture of beggar: Do you think that begging should be made illegal?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Here is a picture of someone begging.		
Literal question	Do you think that begging should be made illegal?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran25=2. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	233	3.7%
2	No	185	2.9%
3	Don't know	95	1.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5783	91.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv56c: Picture of beggar: Do you think that begging should be made illegal?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Here is a picture of someone begging.		
Literal question	Do you think that begging should be made illegal?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran25=3. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. See appendix.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	230	3.7%
2	No	176	2.8%
3	Don't know	103	1.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5786	91.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran45: [Randomizes if U=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1564 /-] [Invalid=15447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	540	34.5%
2	2	508	32.5%
3	3	516	33.0%
Sysmiss		15447	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35a_1: Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r4dv35a_1: Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	91	1.4%
2	Good	192	3.0%
3	Somewhat good	105	1.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	107	1.7%
5	Somewhat bad	24	0.4%
6	Bad	10	0.2%
7	Very bad	6	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5757	91.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35a_2: Description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	39	0.6%
2	Good	84	1.3%
3	Somewhat good	102	1.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	176	2.8%
5	Somewhat bad	32	0.5%
6	Bad	63	1.0%
7	Very bad	39	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5757	91.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35a_3: Description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	88	1.4%
2	Good	197	3.1%

r4dv35a_3: Description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat good	113	1.8%
4	Neither good nor bad	102	1.6%
5	Somewhat bad	21	0.3%
6	Bad	11	0.2%
7	Very bad	5	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5757	91.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv35a_4: Description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	105	1.7%
2	Good	191	3.0%
3	Somewhat good	82	1.3%
4	Neither good nor bad	98	1.6%
5	Somewhat bad	30	0.5%
6	Bad	21	0.3%
7	Very bad	9	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5757	91.4%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4dv35b_1: Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	45	0.7%
2	Good	123	2.0%
3	Somewhat good	93	1.5%
4	Neither good nor bad	136	2.2%
5	Somewhat bad	49	0.8%
6	Bad	36	0.6%
7	Very bad	17	0.3%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5789	91.9%

# r4dv35b_1: Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35b_2: Description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about Roma people.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about Roma people.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	33	0.5%
2	Good	80	1.3%
3	Somewhat good	81	1.3%
4	Neither good nor bad	143	2.3%
5	Somewhat bad	50	0.8%
6	Bad	70	1.1%
7	Very bad	42	0.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5789	91.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35b_3: Description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people due to my own convictions.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Roma people due to my own convictions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	38	0.6%
2	Good	127	2.0%
3	Somewhat good	100	1.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	129	2.0%
5	Somewhat bad	55	0.9%
6	Bad	31	0.5%
7	Very bad	17	0.3%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5789	91.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35b_4: Description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		

# r4dv35b_4: Description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.			
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	92	1.5%
2	Good	160	2.5%
3	Somewhat good	88	1.4%
4	Neither good nor bad	107	1.7%
5	Somewhat bad	26	0.4%
6	Bad	16	0.3%
7	Very bad	10	0.2%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5789	91.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35c_1: Description: It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	67	1.1%
2	Good	166	2.6%
3	Somewhat good	86	1.4%
4	Neither good nor bad	121	1.9%
5	Somewhat bad	29	0.5%
6	Bad	25	0.4%
7	Very bad	15	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5781	91.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35c_2: Description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	19	0.3%
2	Good	66	1.0%
3	Somewhat good	67	1.1%
4	Neither good nor bad	160	2.5%

# r4dv35c_2: Description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat bad	55	0.9%
6	Bad	83	1.3%
7	Very bad	60	1.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5781	91.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35c_3: Description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims due to my own convictions.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims due to my own convictions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	54	0.9%
2	Good	166	2.6%
3	Somewhat good	97	1.5%
4	Neither good nor bad	137	2.2%
5	Somewhat bad	24	0.4%
6	Bad	15	0.2%
7	Very bad	19	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5781	91.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv35c_4: Description: I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=4 and ran45=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	80	1.3%
2	Good	175	2.8%
3	Somewhat good	84	1.3%
4	Neither good nor bad	102	1.6%
5	Somewhat bad	38	0.6%
6	Bad	17	0.3%
7	Very bad	15	0.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5781	91.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4dv40: Most important measure for preventing or punishing foreign fighters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In order to prevent Norwegians from participating in war on behalf of other countries some people think that it is important to have stricter penalties for foreign fighters. Others think that it is important to prevent radicalisation at an early stage. What do you think is most important?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stricter penalties	369	5.9%
2	Prevention	1103	17.5%
3	Neither of these	74	1.2%
97	No answer	4751	75.4%
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran26: [Randomizes if U=0]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1564 /-] [Invalid=15447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	509	32.5%
2	2	567	36.3%
3	3	488	31.2%
Sysmiss		15447	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc24a: Statement: The government should introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please read the following statement and insert a tick to show the extent to which you agree or disagree with it.		
Literal question	The government should introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=0 and ran26=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	100	1.6%
2	Agree	200	3.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	116	1.8%
4	Disagree	74	1.2%
5	Strongly disagree	18	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5788	91.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc24b: Statement: The government should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please read the following statement and insert a tick to show the extent to which you agree or disagree with it.		

# r4oc24b: Statement: The government should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.			
Literal question	The government should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=0 and ran26=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	25	0.4%
2	Agree	97	1.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	100	1.6%
4	Disagree	234	3.7%
5	Strongly disagree	105	1.7%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5730	91.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc24c: Do you think that the government should or should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that the government should or should not introduce measures designed to reduce income disparity?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=0 and ran26=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It definitely should	151	2.4%
2	It probably should	156	2.5%
3	I do not have an opinion about this	71	1.1%
4	It probably should not	79	1.3%
5	It definitely should not	25	0.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5809	92.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km1: How concerned are you about climate change?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and or if the respondents answered r3KM23 in wave 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	254	4.0%
2	Concerned	663	10.5%
3	Slightly concerned	697	11.1%
4	Not very concerned	321	5.1%
5	Not at all concerned	58	0.9%
97	No answer	48	0.8%
98	Not asked	4256	67.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4km10: Global warming and consequences for the weather			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	If nothing is done to prevent it, do you believe global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather over the next 100 years, or do you believe global warming will not lead to this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and or if the respondent answered km2017 in wave 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Global warming will lead to more harmful extreme weather	1774	28.2%
2	Global warming will not lead to more harmful extreme weather	229	3.6%
97	No answer	84	1.3%
98	Not asked	4210	66.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km11_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if the respondent answered km41 in wave 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	96	1.5%
2	Agree	401	6.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1178	18.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	624	9.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	357	5.7%
6	Disagree	243	3.9%
7	Strongly disagree	59	0.9%
97	No answer	48	0.8%
98	Not asked	3291	52.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4km11_2: Statement: The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the challenging climate changes facing us.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the challenging climate changes facing us.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if the respondent answered km41 in wave 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	504	8.0%
2	Agree	981	15.6%
3	Agree somewhat	872	13.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	275	4.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	151	2.4%

r4km11_2: Statement: The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the challenging climate changes facing us.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	133	2.1%
7	Strongly disagree	54	0.9%
97	No answer	36	0.6%
98	Not asked	3291	52.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc2: View on climate change

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your views on climate change?
Interviewer's instructions	Choose one option. [Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I believe that the climate is not changing.	12	0.2%
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	169	2.7%
3	I think that the climate is changing, but that it has only been slightly affected by humans.	668	10.6%
4	I think that the climate is changing, mainly as a result of human influences.	1793	28.5%
97	No answer	45	0.7%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc3: Winter (2014-15): warmer, colder or normal?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Has the winter (2014-15) where you live been warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Colder	29	0.5%
2	Normal	553	8.8%
3	Warmer	2071	32.9%
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc4: Winter (2013-14): warmer, colder or normal?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	When you consider the previous winter (2013-14), was it warmer than normal, colder than normal, or normal where you live?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

r4oc4: Winter (2013–14): warmer, colder or normal?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Colder	299	4.7%
2	No different	1015	16.1%
3	Warmer	1306	20.7%
97	No answer	67	1.1%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc5: Winter (2014–15): More or less precipitation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Was there more precipitation, less precipitation or normal precipitation this winter (2014-15) where you live?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less precipitation	717	11.4%
2	Normal	1056	16.8%
3	More precipitation	853	13.5%
97	No answer	61	1.0%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc6: Winter (2013–14): More or less precipitation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	When you consider the previous winter (2013-14), was there more precipitation, less precipitation or normal precipitation where you live?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less precipitation	629	10.0%
2	Normal	1319	20.9%
3	More precipitation	664	10.5%
97	No answer	75	1.2%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc7_1: Experienced extreme weather: Extreme snow storm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?
Literal question	Extreme snow storm
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	206	3.3%

# r4oc7_1: Experienced extreme weather: Extreme snow storm			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	2179	34.6%
97	No answer	302	4.8%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc7_2: Experienced extreme weather: Extreme cold			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Extreme cold		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	122	1.9%
2	No	2250	35.7%
97	No answer	315	5.0%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc7_3: Experienced extreme weather: Extreme high winds			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Extreme high winds		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1463	23.2%
2	No	1097	17.4%
97	No answer	127	2.0%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc7_4: Experienced extreme weather: Extreme rainstorm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Extreme rainstorm		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1144	18.2%
2	No	1361	21.6%
97	No answer	182	2.9%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%

# r4oc7_4: Experienced extreme weather: Extreme rainstorm			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc7_5: Experienced extreme weather: Extreme heat wave			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Extreme heat wave		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	544	8.6%
2	No	1862	29.6%
97	No answer	281	4.5%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc7_6: Experienced extreme weather: Flood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Flood		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	339	5.4%
2	No	2043	32.4%
97	No answer	305	4.8%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc7_7: Experienced extreme weather: Wildfire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Wildfire		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	67	1.1%
2	No	2291	36.4%
97	No answer	329	5.2%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4oc7_8: Experienced extreme weather: Drought			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Drought		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	343	5.4%
2	No	2036	32.3%
97	No answer	308	4.9%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4oc7_9: Experienced extreme weather: Other unusual weather			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Other unusual weather		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	157	2.5%
2	No	1364	21.7%
97	No answer	1166	18.5%
98	Not asked	3610	57.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4oc7_9_other: Experienced extreme weather: Other unusual weather			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you personally during the past year experienced the various forms of extreme weather or natural disasters listed below?		
Literal question	Other unusual weather		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only respondents recruited in wave 1 are asked] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r4ran6: [Randomizes if U=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1576 /-] [Invalid=15435 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	800	50.8%
2	2	776	49.2%
Sysmiss		15435	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc22a: Woman working with people, tired and depressed: Sick-leave fine?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Gunn is 40 years old. In her job she meets many people, but she also works quite a lot on a computer. When she visits her doctor she says that she feels tired and depressed. Do you think that it would be reasonable for Gunn to be signed off sick for a couple of weeks?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, definitely	20	0.3%
2	Yes, probably	183	2.9%
3	No, probably not	457	7.3%
4	No, definitely not	136	2.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5497	87.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc22b: Man working with computers, tired and depressed: Sick-leave fine?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Lars is 40 years old. In his job he meets many people, but he also works quite a lot on a computer. When he visits his doctor he says that he feels tired and depressed. Do you think that is would be reasonable for Lars to be signed off sick for a couple of weeks?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, definitely	24	0.4%
2	Yes, probably	186	3.0%
3	No, probably not	417	6.6%
4	No, definitely not	135	2.1%
97	No answer	14	0.2%

r4oc22b: Man working with computers, tired and depressed: Sick-leave fine?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5521	87.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc23_1: Statement: People suffering from depression can pull themselves together if they want to

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?
Literal question	People suffering from depression can pull themselves together if they want to
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	15	0.2%
2	2	98	1.6%
3	3	297	4.7%
4	4	558	8.9%
5	5 - Completely untrue	596	9.5%
97	No answer	44	0.7%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc23_2: Statement: Depression is a sign of weakness

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?
Literal question	Depression is a sign of weakness
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	15	0.2%
2	2	43	0.7%
3	3	111	1.8%
4	4	342	5.4%
5	5 - Completely untrue	1054	16.7%
97	No answer	43	0.7%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc23_3: Statement: Depression is not a proper medical condition

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?
Literal question	Depression is not a proper medical condition
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

r4oc23_3: Statement: Depression is not a proper medical condition

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	42	0.7%
2	2	84	1.3%
3	3	186	3.0%
4	4	330	5.2%
5	5 - Completely untrue	918	14.6%
97	No answer	48	0.8%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc23_4: Statement: People suffering from depression are dangerous

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?
Literal question	People suffering from depression are dangerous
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	21	0.3%
2	2	71	1.1%
3	3	223	3.5%
4	4	431	6.8%
5	5 - Completely untrue	816	13.0%
97	No answer	46	0.7%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc23_5: Statement: It is best to avoid people who are suffering from depression

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?
Literal question	It is best to avoid people who are suffering from depression in order to prevent oneself from becoming depressed too
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	9	0.1%
2	2	44	0.7%
3	3	114	1.8%
4	4	362	5.7%
5	5 - Completely untrue	1037	16.5%
97	No answer	42	0.7%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4oc23_6: Statement: People suffering from depression are unpredictable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?		
Literal question	People suffering from depression are unpredictable		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	30	0.5%
2	2	197	3.1%
3	3	423	6.7%
4	4	520	8.3%
5	5 - Completely untrue	390	6.2%
97	No answer	48	0.8%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc23_7: Statement: If I was suffering from depression I would not tell anyone			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?		
Literal question	If I was suffering from depression I would not tell anyone		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	66	1.0%
2	2	251	4.0%
3	3	327	5.2%
4	4	442	7.0%
5	5 - Completely untrue	476	7.6%
97	No answer	46	0.7%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc23_8: Statement: I would not employ anyone who I knew had been depressed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I would not employ anyone who I knew had been depressed		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	54	0.9%
2	2	131	2.1%
3	3	326	5.2%
4	4	442	7.0%
5	5 - Completely untrue	609	9.7%

# r4oc23_8: Statement: I would not employ anyone who I knew had been depressed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	46	0.7%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc23_9: Statement: I would not vote for a politician who I knew had been depressed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I would not vote for a politician who I knew had been depressed		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if U=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Very true	56	0.9%
2	2	79	1.3%
3	3	193	3.1%
4	4	393	6.2%
5	5 - Completely untrue	838	13.3%
97	No answer	49	0.8%
98	Not asked	4689	74.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4mobil_bw: [Selecting non-mobile users for Bandwagon experiment (PAD2 and PAD6)]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6206 /-] [Invalid=10805 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Non-mobile	4992	80.4%
1	Mobile	1214	19.6%
Sysmiss		10805	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran30: [Randomizes non-mobile users to either PAD2 or PAD6]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4992 /-] [Invalid=12019 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	2534	50.8%
2	2	2458	49.2%
Sysmiss		12019	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4qcount_1: [Stores aggregated distribution for each groups defined in BW_groupsPAD2. Stores if ran30=1Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2519 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1,13		1	0.0%

r4qcount_1: [Stores aggregated distribution for each groups defined in BW_groupsPAD2. Stores if ran30=1Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1,14		425	16.9%
11,172		423	16.8%
17,165		422	16.8%
19,162		398	15.8%
19,188		424	16.8%
19,19		426	16.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4bw_groupspad2: [Allocates respondents in groups. First 15 respondents gets the value 0. Subsequent respondents are chronologically defined in groups of 425. Defines groups if ran30=1.Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2534 /-] [Invalid=14477 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Group 0	15	0.6%
1	Group 1	426	16.8%
2	Group 2	422	16.7%
3	Group 3	426	16.8%
4	Group 4	424	16.7%
5	Group 5	423	16.7%
6	Group 6	398	15.7%
7	Group 7	0	
Sysmiss		14477	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.









r4pad2start: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15 /-] [Invalid=16996 /-]
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=0. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	7	46.7%
2	Agree	3	20.0%
3	Agree somewhat	3	20.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1	6.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	1	6.7%
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16996	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.







# r4pad2start_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 /-] [Invalid=16997 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD2start. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=0. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	1	7.1%
2	Agree	13	92.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Systemmiss		16997	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran1: [Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=16585 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	191	44.8%
2	2	235	55.2%
Systemmiss		16585	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2a1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=190 /-] [Invalid=16821 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=1 and BW_ran1=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	82	43.2%
2	Agree	61	32.1%
3	Agree somewhat	22	11.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	8	4.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	10	5.3%
6	Disagree	3	1.6%
7	Strongly disagree	4	2.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Systemmiss		16821	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2a2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=235 /-] [Invalid=16776 /-]		

# r4pad2a2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=1 and BW_ran1=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	93	 39.6%
2	Agree	75	 31.9%
3	Agree somewhat	22	 9.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	18	 7.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	3	 1.3%
6	Disagree	13	 5.5%
7	Strongly disagree	11	 4.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16776	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2a1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=182 /-] [Invalid=16829 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD2A1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	17	 9.3%
2	Agree	165	 90.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16829	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran2: [Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=16589 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	216	 51.2%
2	2	206	 48.8%
Sysmiss		16589	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2b1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=216 /-] [Invalid=16795 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		

# r4pad2b1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=2 and BW_ran2=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	99	45.8%
2	Agree	66	30.6%
3	Agree somewhat	24	11.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	8	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	10	4.6%
6	Disagree	8	3.7%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16795	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2b2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=205 /-] [Invalid=16806 /-]	
Literal question		The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=2 and BW_ran2=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	78	38.0%
2	Agree	70	34.1%
3	Agree somewhat	29	14.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	11	5.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	3.9%
6	Disagree	5	2.4%
7	Strongly disagree	4	2.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16806	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2b1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=208 /-] [Invalid=16803 /-]	
Literal question		The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Recodes R4PAD2B1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	19	9.1%
2	Agree	189	90.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	

# r4pad2b1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		16803	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran3: Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=3. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=16585 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	219	51.4%
2	2	207	48.6%
Systemmiss		16585	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2c1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=216 /-] [Invalid=16795 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=3 and BW_ran3=1. Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	96	44.4%
2	Agree	60	27.8%
3	Agree somewhat	33	15.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	8	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	3.7%
6	Disagree	6	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	5	2.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Systemmiss		16795	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2c2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=207 /-] [Invalid=16804 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=3 and BW_ran3=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	84	40.6%
2	Agree	70	33.8%
3	Agree somewhat	24	11.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	13	6.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	7	3.4%
6	Disagree	7	3.4%

# r4pad2c2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	2	1.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16804	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2c1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=208 /-] [Invalid=16803 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD2C1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=3. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	19	9.1%
2	Agree	189	90.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16803	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran4: Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=4. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=16587 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	197	46.5%
2	2	227	53.5%
Sysmiss		16587	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2d1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=197 /-] [Invalid=16814 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=4 and BW_ran4=1. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	88	44.7%
2	Agree	65	33.0%
3	Agree somewhat	19	9.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	14	7.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	7	3.6%
6	Disagree	3	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.5%
97	No answer	0	

# r4pad2d1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16814	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2d2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=226 /-] [Invalid=16785 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=4 and BW_ran4=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	89	 39.4%
2	Agree	73	 32.3%
3	Agree somewhat	31	 13.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	13	 5.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	5	 2.2%
6	Disagree	8	 3.5%
7	Strongly disagree	7	 3.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16785	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2d1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=183 /-] [Invalid=16828 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD2D1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=4. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	11	 6.0%
2	Agree	172	 94.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16828	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran5: [Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=5. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=16588 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	192	 45.4%
2	2	231	 54.6%
Sysmiss		16588	

r4bw_ran5: [Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=5. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad2e1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=192 /-] [Invalid=16819 /-]
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=5 and BW_ran5=1. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	74	38.5%
2	Agree	68	35.4%
3	Agree somewhat	20	10.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	11	5.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	4.2%
6	Disagree	8	4.2%
7	Strongly disagree	3	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16819	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad2e2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=231 /-] [Invalid=16780 /-]
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=5 and BW_ran5=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	96	41.6%
2	Agree	70	30.3%
3	Agree somewhat	21	9.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	26	11.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	5	2.2%
6	Disagree	9	3.9%
7	Strongly disagree	4	1.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16780	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad2e1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=181 /-] [Invalid=16830 /-]
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?

# r4pad2e1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD2E1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=5. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	19	10.5%
2	Agree	162	89.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16830	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran6: [Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=6. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=398 /-] [Invalid=16613 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	194	48.7%
2	2	204	51.3%
Sysmiss		16613	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2f1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=192 /-] [Invalid=16819 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=6 and BW_ran6=1. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	77	40.1%
2	Agree	64	33.3%
3	Agree somewhat	23	12.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	16	8.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	6	3.1%
6	Disagree	5	2.6%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16819	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2f2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=201 /-] [Invalid=16810 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=6 and BW_ran6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		

# r4pad2f2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	87	 43.3%
2	Agree	53	 26.4%
3	Agree somewhat	29	 14.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	11	 5.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	7	 3.5%
6	Disagree	7	 3.5%
7	Strongly disagree	7	 3.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16810	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2f1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=176 /-] [Invalid=16835 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD2F1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=6. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	12	 6.8%
2	Agree	164	 93.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16835	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran7: [Randomizes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=7. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
Sysmiss		17011	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad2g1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]		
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=7 and BW_ran7=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	

r4pad2g1: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad2g2: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1, BW_groupsPAD2=7 and BW_ran7=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad2g1_recode: Mandatory measles vaccine for all children








Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]
Literal question	The vaccination of children has been heavily debated by the media recently. Some people think that it should be compulsory for all children to have measles vaccinations. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD2G1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if ran30=1 and BW_groupsPAD2=7. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	0	
2	Agree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad3: How strong is your opinion on the vaccine issue?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r4pad3: How strong is your opinion on the vaccine issue?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2504 /-] [Invalid=14507 /-]		
Literal question	How strong are your views about this question?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	553	 22.1%
2	Strong	934	 37.3%
3	Fairly strong	669	 26.7%
4	Weak	236	 9.4%
5	I do not have an opinion about this	112	 4.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad4: How good do you feel that your knowledge about the vaccine-topic is?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2481 /-] [Invalid=14530 /-]		
Literal question	How good do you feel that your knowledge about this subject is?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	240	 9.7%
2	Good	969	 39.1%
3	Some knowledge	968	 39.0%
4	Not much knowledge	264	 10.6%
5	No knowledge at all	40	 1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14530	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad5: Estimates of Norwegians attitude mandatory vaccinations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2504 /-] [Invalid=14507 /-]		
Literal question	If you had to make a guess, how many citizens in Norway do you think would agree that it should be compulsory for all children to be vaccinated against measles.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost everyone	697	 27.8%
2	Around 2 out of 3	1187	 47.4%
3	Around half	408	 16.3%
4	Around 1 out of 3	167	 6.7%
5	Almost no-one	45	 1.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r4qcount2_1: [Stores aggregated distribution for each groups defined in BW_groupsPAD6. Stores if ran30=2Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2443 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3,4		422	17.3%
50,114		72	2.9%
50,115		350	14.3%
57,11		422	17.3%
58,101		351	14.4%
59,101		68	2.8%
60,99		333	13.6%
61,113		425	17.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4bw_groupspad6: [Allocates respondents in groups. First 15 respondents gets the value 0. Subsequent respondents are chronologically defined in groups of 425. Defines groups if ran30=1.Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2458 /-] [Invalid=14553 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Allokerer respondenter inn i grupper. Første 15 får verdien 0. Påfølgende respondenter kronologisk definert i grupper på 425. Definerer grupper dersom ran30=1.En del av Bogwagon eksperimentet. Se skjermbilde i appendiks]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Group 0	15	0.6%
1	Group 1	422	17.2%
2	Group 2	422	17.2%
3	Group 3	425	17.3%
4	Group 4	419	17.0%
5	Group 5	422	17.2%
6	Group 6	333	13.5%
7	Group 7	0	
Sysmiss		14553	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad6start: Support for academic boycott of Israel

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]











Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=15 /-] [Invalid=16996 /-]

Literal question Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=0. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	2	13.3%
3	Agree somewhat	2	13.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	8	53.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	2	13.3%
6	Disagree	1	6.7%

# r4pad6start: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16996	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6start_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7 /-] [Invalid=17004 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD6start. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount2_1. Recodes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=0. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	3	42.9%
2	Agree	4	57.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17004	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran1pad6: [Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=16589 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	199	47.2%
2	2	223	52.8%
Sysmiss		16589	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6a1: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=199 /-] [Invalid=16812 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=1 and BW_ran1PAD6=1. Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	26	13.1%
2	Agree	45	22.6%
3	Agree somewhat	37	18.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	35	17.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	11	5.5%
6	Disagree	25	12.6%
7	Strongly disagree	20	10.1%
97	No answer	0	

# r4pad6a1: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16812	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6a2: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=221 /-] [Invalid=16790 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=1 and BW_ran1PAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	 14.5%
2	Agree	29	 13.1%
3	Agree somewhat	41	 18.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	62	 28.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	11	 5.0%
6	Disagree	27	 12.2%
7	Strongly disagree	19	 8.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16790	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6a1_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164 /-] [Invalid=16847 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD6A1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount2_1. Recodes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=1. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	56	 34.1%
2	Agree	108	 65.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16847	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran2pad6: [Randomiserer dersom ran30=2 og BW_groupsPAD6=2. En del av Bogwagon eksperimentet. Se skjerm bilde i appendiks]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=16589 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	223	 52.8%
2	2	199	 47.2%
Sysmiss		16589	

r4bw_ran2pad6: [Randomiserer dersom ran30=2 og BW_groupsPAD6=2. En del av Bogwagon eksperimentet. Se skjerm bilde i appendiks]

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad6b1: Support for academic boycott of Israel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=221 /-] [Invalid=16790 /-]
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=2 and BW_ran2PAD6=1. Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	13.1%
2	Agree	36	16.3%
3	Agree somewhat	48	21.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	47	21.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	22	10.0%
6	Disagree	21	9.5%
7	Strongly disagree	18	8.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16790	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad6b2: Support for academic boycott of Israel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=196 /-] [Invalid=16815 /-]
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=2 and BW_ran2PAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	23	11.7%
2	Agree	39	19.9%
3	Agree somewhat	47	24.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	35	17.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	18	9.2%
6	Disagree	18	9.2%
7	Strongly disagree	16	8.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16815	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad6b1_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=16837 /-]
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?

# r4pad6b1_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD6B1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount2_1. Recodes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	61	35.1%
2	Agree	113	64.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16837	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran3pad6: [Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix][Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=425 /-] [Invalid=16586 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	201	47.3%
2	2	224	52.7%
Sysmiss		16586	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6c1: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=199 /-] [Invalid=16812 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=3 and BW_ran3PAD6=1. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	34	17.1%
2	Agree	26	13.1%
3	Agree somewhat	41	20.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	39	19.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	19	9.5%
6	Disagree	23	11.6%
7	Strongly disagree	17	8.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16812	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6c2: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=220 /-] [Invalid=16791 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=3 and BW_ran3PAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		

# r4pad6c2: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	40	18.2%
2	Agree	41	18.6%
3	Agree somewhat	48	21.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	45	20.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	9	4.1%
6	Disagree	21	9.5%
7	Strongly disagree	16	7.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16791	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6c1_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=160 /-] [Invalid=16851 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD6C1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount2_1. Recodes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=3. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	59	36.9%
2	Agree	101	63.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16851	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran4pad6: [Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=4. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=16592 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	199	47.5%
2	2	220	52.5%
Sysmiss		16592	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6d1: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=197 /-] [Invalid=16814 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=4 and BW_ran4PAD6=1. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	28	14.2%
2	Agree	35	17.8%

# r4pad6d1: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	49	24.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	35	17.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	4.1%
6	Disagree	24	12.2%
7	Strongly disagree	18	9.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16814	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4pad6d2: Support for academic boycott of Israel	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=216 /-] [Invalid=16795 /-]
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=4 and BW_ran4PAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	14.4%
2	Agree	42	19.4%
3	Agree somewhat	33	15.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	56	25.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	13	6.0%
6	Disagree	21	9.7%
7	Strongly disagree	20	9.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16795	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4pad6d1_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=162 /-] [Invalid=16849 /-]
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD6D1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount2_1. Recodes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=4. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	50	30.9%
2	Agree	112	69.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16849	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4bw_ran5pad6: [Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=5. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=16589 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	205	48.6%
2	2	217	51.4%
Sysmiss		16589	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad6e1: Support for academic boycott of Israel

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=202 /-] [Invalid=16809 /-]

Literal question Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=5 and BW_ran5PAD6=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	23	11.4%
2	Agree	36	17.8%
3	Agree somewhat	40	19.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	44	21.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	17	8.4%
6	Disagree	26	12.9%
7	Strongly disagree	16	7.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16809	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad6e2: Support for academic boycott of Israel






Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=212 /-] [Invalid=16799 /-]

Literal question Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=5 and BW_ran5PAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	27	12.7%
2	Agree	27	12.7%
3	Agree somewhat	36	17.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	68	32.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	11	5.2%
6	Disagree	21	9.9%
7	Strongly disagree	22	10.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16799	

# r4pad6e2: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6e1_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=158 /-] [Invalid=16853 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R4PAD6E1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount2_1. Recodes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=5. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	59	 37.3%
2	Agree	99	 62.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16853	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran6pad6: [Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=6. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=333 /-] [Invalid=16678 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	163	 48.9%
2	2	170	 51.1%
Sysmiss		16678	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6f1: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=160 /-] [Invalid=16851 /-]		
Literal question	Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=6 and BW_ran6PAD6=1. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	20	 12.5%
2	Agree	35	 21.9%
3	Agree somewhat	27	 16.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	31	 19.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	12	 7.5%
6	Disagree	24	 15.0%
7	Strongly disagree	11	 6.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16851	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6f2: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r4pad6f2: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=168 /-] [Invalid=16843 /-]	
Literal question		Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=6 and BW_ran6PAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	17.3%
2	Agree	26	15.5%
3	Agree somewhat	31	18.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	48	28.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	12	7.1%
6	Disagree	11	6.5%
7	Strongly disagree	11	6.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16843	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6f1_recode: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=129 /-] [Invalid=16882 /-]	
Literal question		Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Recodes R4PAD6F1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount2_1. Recodes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=6. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	47	36.4%
2	Agree	82	63.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16882	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4bw_ran7pad6: [Randomizes if ran30=2 and BW_groupsPAD6=7. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
Sysmiss		17011	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad6g1: Support for academic boycott of Israel			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]	
Literal question		Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?	

r4pad6g1: Support for academic boycott of Israel

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=7 and BW_ran7PAD6=1. Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad6g2: Support for academic boycott of Israel

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]

Literal question Certain universities and academic organisations have supported an academic boycott of Israel due to Israel's treatment of the Palestinians. To what extent do you agree or disagree about such an academic boycott of Israel?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if ran30=2, BW_groupsPAD6=7 and BW_ran7PAD6=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. See screenshot in appendix. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4pad7: How strong is your opinion on the question of boycott?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2408 /-] [Invalid=14603 /-]

Literal question How strong are your views about this question?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if ran30=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	244	10.1%
2	Strong	531	22.1%
3	Fairly strong	784	32.6%
4	Weak	529	22.0%
5	I do not have an opinion about this	320	13.3%
97	No answer	0	

# r4pad7: How strong is your opinion on the question of boycott?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14603	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad8: How good do you feel that your knowledge about the issue of boycott?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2404 /-] [Invalid=14607 /-]		
Literal question	How good do you feel that your knowledge about this subject is?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	108	4.5%
2	Good	661	27.5%
3	Some knowledge	1074	44.7%
4	Not much knowledge	478	19.9%
5	No knowledge at all	83	3.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14607	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4pad9: Estimates of Norwegians attitude towards boycott of Israel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2394 /-] [Invalid=14617 /-]		
Literal question	If you had to make a guess, how many citizens in Norway do you think would agree with such an academic boycott of Israel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran30=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment. Contact Sveinung Arnesen for information about the experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost everyone	22	0.9%
2	Around 2 out of 3	450	18.8%
3	Around half	807	33.7%
4	Around 1 out of 3	893	37.3%
5	Almost no-one	222	9.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14617	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran1: [Randomizes]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6201 /-] [Invalid=10810 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	3109	50.1%
2	2	3092	49.9%
Sysmiss		10810	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4ran1_1: [Randomizes if ran1=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3109 /-] [Invalid=13902 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	428	13.8%
2	2	429	13.8%
3	3	444	14.3%
4	4	425	13.7%
5	5	483	15.5%
6	6	454	14.6%
7	7	446	14.3%
Sysmiss		13902	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv44a: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young muslim			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young Muslim calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran1=1 and ran1_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	75	1.2%
2	Likely	176	2.8%
3	Somewhat likely	92	1.5%
4	Not very likely	59	0.9%
5	Not likely at all	23	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5869	93.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv44b: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young Roma			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young Roma person calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran1=1 and ran1_1=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	27	0.4%
2	Likely	80	1.3%
3	Somewhat likely	97	1.5%
4	Not very likely	134	2.1%
5	Not likely at all	87	1.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5868	93.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4dv44c: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young Progress Party politician			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young Progress Party politician calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran1=1 and ran1_1=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	155	2.5%
2	Likely	193	3.1%
3	Somewhat likely	57	0.9%
4	Not very likely	23	0.4%
5	Not likely at all	9	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5853	92.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv44d: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young member of the Hells Angels			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young member of the Hells Angels calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran1=1 and ran1_1=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	9	0.1%
2	Likely	53	0.8%
3	Somewhat likely	56	0.9%
4	Not very likely	159	2.5%
5	Not likely at all	142	2.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5872	93.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv44e: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young member of the Prophet's Ummah			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young member of the fundamentalist group, the Prophet's Ummah, calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran1=1 and ran1_1=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	4	0.1%
2	Likely	34	0.5%
3	Somewhat likely	36	0.6%
4	Not very likely	152	2.4%
5	Not likely at all	251	4.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%

# r4dv44e: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young member of the Prophet's Ummah			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5814	92.3%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv44f: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a Roma person			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A Roma person calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran1=1 and ran1_1=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	22	0.3%
2	Likely	74	1.2%
3	Somewhat likely	82	1.3%
4	Not very likely	156	2.5%
5	Not likely at all	116	1.8%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5843	92.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4dv44g: Renting out apartment: Likelihood of inviting a young nursing student			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that you own a flat and that you want to rent it out. A young nursing student calls you expressing interest. How likely is it that you would invite the person concerned to come and view it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran1=1 and ran1_1=7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	182	2.9%
2	Likely	225	3.6%
3	Somewhat likely	22	0.3%
4	Not very likely	8	0.1%
5	Not likely at all	1	0.0%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5851	92.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran32: [Randomizes]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3539 /-] [Invalid=13472 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1220	34.5%
2	2	1151	32.5%
3	3	1168	33.0%
Sysmiss		13472	

# r4ran32: [Randomizes]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran33: [Randomizes if ran32=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1220 /-] [Invalid=15791 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	307	25.2%
2	2	304	24.9%
3	3	299	24.5%
4	4	310	25.4%
Sysmiss		15791	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc8a: Enough oil for about 25 years or depleted in 15 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now. Others say that at the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years. Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	233	3.7%
2	Oil will be used up in 15 years	65	1.0%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5990	95.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc8b: Exhausted oil reserves in about 15 years or enough oil in 25 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that that at the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years. Others say that we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now. Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran33=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oil will be used up in 15 years	57	0.9%
2	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	240	3.8%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5993	95.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc8c: Enough oil for about 25 years or depleted in 15 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? We will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now, or at the rate we are using our oil, it will all be used up in 15 years.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran33=3]		

r4oc8c: Enough oil for about 25 years or depleted in 15 years

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	230	3.7%
2	Oil will be used up in 15 years	63	1.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5998	95.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc8d: Exhausted oil reserves for about 15 years or enough oil in 25 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Which of these ideas would you guess is most nearly right? At the rate we are using our oil, it will be all used up in 15 years, or we will still have plenty of oil 25 years from now.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran33=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oil will be used up in 15 years	78	1.2%
2	There will be plenty of oil in 25 years	229	3.6%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5987	95.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran34: [Randomizes if ran32=1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1220 /-] [Invalid=15791 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	362	29.7%
2	2	294	24.1%
3	3	294	24.1%
4	4	270	22.1%
Sysmiss		15791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc9a: Cause of crime: individuals or social conditions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Which in your opinion is more to blame for crime and lawlessness in this country - individuals or social conditions?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran34=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Individuals more to blame	183	2.9%
2	Social conditions more to blame	171	2.7%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5935	94.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc9b: Cause of crime: social factors or individuals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Which in your opinion is more to blame for crime and lawlessness in this country - social conditions or individuals?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran34=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Social conditions more to blame	155	2.5%
2	Individuals more to blame	131	2.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	6003	95.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc9c: Statement: Individuals are more to blame than social conditions for crime and lawlessness in this country.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Individuals are more to blame than social conditions for crime and lawlessness in this country.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran34=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	147	2.3%
2	Disagree	141	2.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6003	95.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc9d: Statement: Social conditions are more to blame than individuals for crime and lawlessness in this country.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Social conditions are more to blame than individuals for crime and lawlessness in this country.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran34=4]

# r4oc9d: Statement: Social conditions are more to blame than individuals for crime and lawlessness in this country.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	158	2.5%
2	Disagree	105	1.7%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6027	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran35: [Randomizes if ran32=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1220 /-] [Invalid=15791 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	269	22.0%
2	2	304	24.9%
3	3	310	25.4%
4	4	337	27.6%
Sysmiss		15791	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc10a: Do you think the government should or should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the government should or should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran35=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government should see to it	186	3.0%
2	Government should not see to it	73	1.2%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	6028	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc10b: Statement: The government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? The government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran35=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	179	2.8%
2	Disagree	121	1.9%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5993	95.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4oc10c: Statement: The government should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? The government should not see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran35=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	70	1.1%
2	Disagree	231	3.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5987	95.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc10d: Do you think the government should not or should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the government should not or should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran35=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government should not see to it	101	1.6%
2	Government should see to it	215	3.4%
97	No answer	21	0.3%
98	Not asked	5960	94.6%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran36: [Randomizes if ran32=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1219 /-] [Invalid=15792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	625	51.3%
2	2	594	48.7%
Sysmiss		15792	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc11a: Statements: Politics is complicated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran36=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	289	4.6%
2	Disagree	321	5.1%
97	No answer	15	0.2%

r4oc11a: Statements: Politics is complicated

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5672	90.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc11b: Politics is so complicated that you can not understand what is happening

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Would you say that sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like you can't really understand what's going on, or that you really can understand what's going on?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran36=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sometimes so complicated can't understand	403	6.4%
2	Can understand all the time	180	2.9%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5703	90.6%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran37: [Randomizes if ran32=1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=15793 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	304	25.0%
2	2	315	25.9%
3	3	286	23.5%
4	4	313	25.7%
Sysmiss		15793	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc12a: Courts: Strict enough towards criminals, no perception

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or do you not have an opinion on that?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran37=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too harshly	4	0.1%
2	Not harshly enough	189	3.0%
3	No opinion	109	1.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5993	95.2%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc12b: Courts: Strict enough towards criminals, missing information

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

# r4oc12b: Courts: Strict enough towards criminals, missing information			
Literal question	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or don't you have enough information about the courts to say?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran37=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too harshly	4	0.1%
2	Not harshly enough	158	2.5%
3	Don't have enough information to say	149	2.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5982	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc12c: Courts: Strict enough towards criminals, do not know			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or don't you know?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran37=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too harshly	2	0.0%
2	Not harshly enough	159	2.5%
3	I don't know	119	1.9%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6011	95.5%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc12d: Courts: Strict enough towards criminals			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals, or don't you know?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran37=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too harshly	16	0.3%
2	Not harshly enough	269	4.3%
97	No answer	28	0.4%
98	Not asked	5984	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran38: [Randomizes if ran32=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1217 /-] [Invalid=15794 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	272	22.4%
2	2	316	26.0%
3	3	316	26.0%

# r4ran38: [Randomizes if ran32=1]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	313	25.7%
Sysmiss		15794	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc13a: Those who work in government are smart people or people who do not know what they are doing, no opinion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people, or do you think that quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing, or do you not have an opinion on that?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran38=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Are smart	72	1.1%
2	They don't know what they're doing	90	1.4%
3	No opinion	102	1.6%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	6025	95.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc13b: Those who work in government are people who do not know what they are doing or smart people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government don't seem to know what they are doing, or do you feel that almost all of them are smart people?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran38=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	They don't know what they're doing	159	2.5%
2	Are smart	138	2.2%
97	No answer	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	5981	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc13c: Those who work in government are smart people or people who do not know what they're doing, do not know			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people, or do you think that quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing, or don't you know?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran38=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Are smart	83	1.3%
2	They don't know what they're doing	104	1.7%
3	Don't know	125	2.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%

r4oc13c: Those who work in government are smart people or people who do not know what they're doing, do not know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5981	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc13d: Those who work in government are smart people or people who do not know what they're doing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people, or do you think that quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran38=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Are smart	157	2.5%
2	They don't know what they're doing	139	2.2%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	5984	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran39: [Randomizes if ran32=1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1217 /-] [Invalid=15794 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	294	24.2%
2	2	300	24.7%
3	3	316	26.0%
4	4	307	25.2%
Sysmiss		15794	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc14a: Mandatory labor union membership, or against

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	If there is a union at a particular company or business, do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members, or are you opposed to this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran39=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, all in union	103	1.6%
2	No, oppose	180	2.9%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	6003	95.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc14b: Mandatory labor union membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

# r4oc14b: Mandatory labor union membership			
Literal question	If there is a union at a particular company or business, do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran39=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	76	1.2%
2	No	218	3.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5997	95.2%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc14c: Mandatory labor union membership, or up to each individual to decide			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	If there is a union at a particular company or business, do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members, or should it be left to the individuals to decide whether or not he wants to be in the union?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran39=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All should be required	52	0.8%
2	Left to individuals	262	4.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5981	95.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc14d: Against mandatory labor union membership, or for it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	If there is a union at a particular company or business, are you opposed to all the workers there being required to be union members, or do you think that all the workers there should be required to be union members?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran39=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oppose	181	2.9%
2	All in union	112	1.8%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5990	95.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4ran40: [Randomizes if ran32=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1215 /-] [Invalid=15796 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	585	48.1%
2	2	630	51.9%
Sysmiss		15796	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r4oc15aa: Allow labor unions to spend money in support to select or beat candidates for political positions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think labor unions should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	141	2.2%
2	No	424	6.7%
97	No answer	20	0.3%
98	Not asked	5712	90.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc15ab: Allow businesses to spend money to support select or beat candidates for political positions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think businesses should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	131	2.1%
2	No	430	6.8%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	5712	90.7%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc15ba: Allow businesses to spend money to support select or beat candidates for political positions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think businesses should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran40=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	125	2.0%
2	No	481	7.6%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	5667	90.0%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4oc15bb: Allow labor unions to spend money in support to select or beat candidates for political positions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think labor unions should be permitted to spend their money to help elect or defeat candidates for political offices?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran40=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	137	2.2%

r4oc15bb: Allow labor unions to spend money in support to select or beat candidates for political positions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	464	7.4%
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	5667	90.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4ran41: [Randomizes if ran32=1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1213 /-] [Invalid=15798 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	612	50.5%
2	2	601	49.5%
Sysmiss		15798	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc16a: Can trust others, or cant't be too careful

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran41=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most people can be trusted	465	7.4%
2	Can't be too careful	137	2.2%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5685	90.3%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4oc16b: Can't be too careful, or can trust others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, would you say that you can't be too careful in dealing with people or that most people can be trusted?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran32=1 and ran41=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Can't be too careful	152	2.4%
2	Most people can be trusted	441	7.0%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5696	90.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k20: Description of life situation or occupation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	We will now ask you some questions about your background.

# r4k20: Description of life situation or occupation			
		Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked respondents where information is missing]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	74	1.2%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	5	0.1%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	2	0.0%
4	Unemployed, wanting a job, but not actively seeking work	4	0.1%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	3	0.0%
6	Retired	15	0.2%
7	Civilian or military service	0	
8	At home, looking after children or others	1	0.0%
9	Other	12	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	6165	97.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k21: Works in following business			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Literal question		What type of company do you work for?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked respondents where information is missing]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government, county or municipal administration	10	0.2%
2	Public sector (e.g. school or the health service)	15	0.2%
3	Publicly owned company	4	0.1%
4	Private company	37	0.6%
5	Self-employed	7	0.1%
6	Other:	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6223	98.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k21_6_other: Other: Works in following business			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question		What type of company do you work for?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked respondents where information is missing] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]	
# r4k323: Title of main occupation [anonymized]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question		What is the name or title of your main profession? Please enter your full job title. Please be as specific as possible.	

# r4k323: Title of main occupation [anonymized]			
Interviewer's instructions	Here are a few examples: Nurse. 6th form college teacher. Shop assistant. [Asked respondents where information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r4k323a: Main tasks or duties in main occupation [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are your main tasks or duties in your main profession? Please provide as many details as possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	Here are a few examples: Provide assistance and administer medication at an old people's home. Prepare teaching material. Teach Norwegian and mathematics and mark exams. Sell shoes and help customers in a shop. [Asked respondents where information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r4k23b: Responsible for directing other employees in the main job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Are you responsible for managing the work of other employees in your main job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	53	0.8%
2	Yes, I manage 10 or more employees.	11	0.2%
3	Yes, I manage less than 10 employees.	10	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6223	98.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k24: Workplace in oil or gas industry, or closely linked to it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Is your workplace in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I work for the oil and gas industry	4	0.1%
2	Yes, my work is closely associated with the oil and gas industry	4	0.1%
3	No	66	1.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6223	98.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k25: Current income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	What is your current income?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing]		

r4k25: Current income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	< 150 000	37	0.6%
2	150 001 - 300 000	33	0.5%
3	300 001 - 400 000	29	0.5%
4	400 001 - 500 000	19	0.3%
5	500 001 - 600 000	31	0.5%
6	600 001 - 700 000	6	0.1%
7	700 001 - 1 000 000	7	0.1%
8	>1 000 000	8	0.1%
97	No answer	73	1.2%
98	Not asked	6054	96.1%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k26: Highest completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]
Literal question	What is your highest level of completed education?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	4	0.1%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	1	0.0%
3	Secondary school (grunnskole, 7-årig folkeskole, framhaldskole, realskole)	6	0.1%
4	County college certificate	1	0.0%
5	6th form college/end of formal schooling, humanities subjects, university preparatory course, 1-year Post-leaving Certificate course (VK2, VG stage 3, gymnas)	15	0.2%
6	6th form college/end of formal schooling, vocational subjects/ courses (VK2, VK3, VG stage 3, vocational certificate, journeyman's certificate)	16	0.3%
7	Preliminary course for university/college without credits	2	0.0%
8	Certificate for additional further education (technical college)	3	0.0%
9	University/college, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (2 and 2½ year associate degree)	11	0.2%
10	Completed 3-4 years of college education (Bachelor, BA, B.Sc., teacher's training college, nursing college, nursery teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	30	0.5%
11	Completed 3-4 years of university education (Bachelor, BA, B.Sc.)	11	0.2%
12	Completed 5-6 years of college education (Masters, main subject)	6	0.1%
13	Completed 5-6 years of university education (Masters, main subject), longer professional education (Bachelor of Divinity, Bachelor of Psychology, Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine, Master of Science, architect, business economist (13))	8	0.1%
14	Researcher (Ph.D)	3	0.0%
15	None of these	3	0.0%
97	No answer	26	0.4%
98	Not asked	6151	97.7%
Sysmiss		10714	

r4k26: Highest completed education

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k27: Marital status

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Literal question Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked respondents where information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never been married, had a partner or legally registered partner	14	0.2%
2	Married	50	0.8%
3	Legally registered partner	1	0.0%
4	Partner	31	0.5%
5	Divorced/separated	12	0.2%
6	Former partner	3	0.0%
7	Former legally registered partner	0	
8	Widow/widower	5	0.1%
9	Other:	2	0.0%
97	No answer	18	0.3%
98	Not asked	6161	97.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k27_9_other: Other: Marital status

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked respondents where information is missing]

[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

r4k28: Nationality

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

Literal question Nationality: Are you:

Interviewer's instructions [Asked respondents where information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Norwegian citizen	97	1.5%
2	A citizen of another country. Please state which	24	0.4%
97	No answer	18	0.3%
98	Not asked	6158	97.8%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4k28_2_other: Citizen of another country [anonymized]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question Statsborger i annet land. Vennligst skriv hvilket

# r4k28_2_other: Citizen of another country [anonymized]			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r4k29: Mother or father immigrated to Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both parents) immigrated to Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	99	1.6%
2	I have immigrated to Norway	13	0.2%
3	Both my parents have immigrated to Norway, but I was born in Norway	3	0.0%
4	My mother immigrated to Norway, but my father and I did not	5	0.1%
5	My father immigrated to Norway, but my mother and I did not	2	0.0%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	6159	97.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k30_1: Country before immigration [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which country did you live in before you immigrated to Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		

# r4k30_2_1: Mothers country of origin [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Which country did your parents (mother, father or both) live in before they immigrated to Norway?		
Literal question	Mother:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r4k30_2_2: Fathers country of origin [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Hvilket land bodde dine foreldre (mor, far eller begge) i før de innvandret?		
Literal question	Father:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents where information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r4k40: Belongs to a religion or a religious denomination			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Literal question	Do you belong to a religion or a religious community?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Church of Norway	4110	65.3%
2	The Catholic Church	97	1.5%
3	An orthodox church (Greek, Russian, other)	41	0.7%
4	Other protestant faiths (e.g. free churches, Anglican church, Pentecostalists, etc.)	187	3.0%
5	Other Christian faiths (e.g. Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons)	24	0.4%
6	The Mosaic faith (Jewish)	5	0.1%
7	Islam (Muslim)	42	0.7%
8	Eastern religions (e.g. Buddhism, Hinduism, Sikh, Shintoism, Taoism, Confucianism)	31	0.5%
9	Other non-Christian religions	28	0.4%
10	I don't belong to any religion or religious communities.	1583	25.1%
97	No answer	149	2.4%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k41: Attending religious meetings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6155 /-] [Invalid=10856 /-]		
Literal question	Apart from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, how often would you say that you attend religions meetings at the moment?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	13	0.2%
2	More than once a week	96	1.6%
3	Once a week	192	3.1%
4	At least once a month	302	4.9%
5	Only at special festivals	1308	21.3%

# r4k41: Attending religious meetings			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Less often	1513	24.6%
7	Never	2731	44.4%
97	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		10856	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4k42: Living with children under 16 years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6126 /-] [Invalid=10885 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	4542	74.1%
2	1	672	11.0%
3	2	679	11.1%
4	3	205	3.3%
5	4	25	0.4%
6	5	1	0.0%
7	6 or more	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		10885	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4P1: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3144	49.9%
2	Female	3153	50.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4P2: Region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	1761	28.0%
2	Østlandet	1538	24.4%
3	Sørlandet	306	4.9%
4	Vestlandet	1658	26.3%
5	Trøndelag	545	8.7%
6	Nord-Norge	489	7.8%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4P3: County			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

# r4P3: County			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	790	12.5%
2	Oslo	971	15.4%
3	Østfold	327	5.2%
4	Vestfold	322	5.1%
5	Hedmark	190	3.0%
6	Oppland	191	3.0%
7	Buskerud	323	5.1%
8	Telemark	185	2.9%
9	Vest-Agder	196	3.1%
10	Aust-Agder	110	1.7%
11	Rogaland	556	8.8%
12	Hordaland	729	11.6%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	104	1.7%
14	Møre og Romsdal	269	4.3%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	429	6.8%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	116	1.8%
17	Nordland	249	4.0%
18	Troms	185	2.9%
19	Finmark	55	0.9%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4P4_1: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	619	9.8%
2	Upper secondary education	1908	30.3%
3	University/university college	3514	55.8%
97	No answer	256	4.1%
Sysmiss		10714	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r4P4_2: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	28	0.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	41	0.7%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	550	8.7%
4	College certificate	50	0.8%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	812	12.9%

# r4P4_2: Highest level of completed education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	798	12.7%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	80	1.3%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	218	3.5%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	587	9.3%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1254	19.9%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	423	6.7%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	445	7.1%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	688	10.9%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	117	1.9%
15	None of these	176	2.8%
97	No answer	30	0.5%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.










# r4P5_1: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	232	3.7%
2	1940-1949	1060	16.8%
3	1950-1959	1416	22.5%
4	1960-1969	1303	20.7%
5	1970-1979	1016	16.1%
6	1980-1989	828	13.1%
7	1990 or later	442	7.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4P5_2: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	2708	43.0%
2	1960-1989	3147	50.0%
3	1990 or later	442	7.0%
Sysmiss		10714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r4_Weight_1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]

# r4_Weight_2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6297 /-] [Invalid=10714 /-]		
# r5u: Sub-group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	U1	1325	 24.3%
2	U2	1431	 26.3%
3	U3	1277	 23.4%
4	U4	1418	 26.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rekrutert: Time of recruitment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1st Wave	2460	 45.1%
3	3rd wave	2991	 54.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k1: Interest in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how interested are you in politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	625	 11.5%
2	Interested	2549	 46.8%
3	Somewhat interested	1761	 32.3%
4	Not very interested	443	 8.1%
5	Not interested at all	63	 1.2%
97	No answer	10	 0.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5timespent_r5k1: Time spent on r5k1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-] [Mean=686.737 /-] [StdDev=20080.352 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5K1]		
# r5k2: Voted in local elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Did you vote in the local government elections this autumn?		

# r5k2: Voted in local elections			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=0]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I voted in both the municipal and county council elections	4333	79.5%
2	Yes, but I only voted in the municipal council election	457	8.4%
3	Yes, but I only voted in the county council election	15	0.3%
4	No	598	11.0%
97	No answer	48	0.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k43_1: Voted for following party at local elections			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		What party did you vote for in the local election?	
Literal question		Municipal council election	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=0 and R5K2<=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Red Party	163	3.0%
2	The Socialist Left Party	284	5.2%
3	The Labour Party	1482	27.2%
4	The Christian Democrats	233	4.3%
5	The Liberal Party	335	6.1%
6	The Conservative Party	1142	21.0%
7	The Progress Party	360	6.6%
8	The Green Party	283	5.2%
9	The Centre Party	326	6.0%
10	Other party/local list	155	2.8%
11	Did not vote	14	0.3%
12	Not entitled to vote	2	0.0%
97	No answer	68	1.2%
98	Not asked	604	11.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k43_2: Voted for following party at county council election			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		What party did you vote for in the local election?	
Literal question		County council election	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=0 and R5K2<=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Red Party	127	2.3%
2	The Socialist Left Party	242	4.4%
3	The Labour Party	1389	25.5%
4	The Christian Democrats	233	4.3%

r5k43_2: Voted for following party at county council election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	The Liberal Party	260	4.8%
6	The Conservative Party	1044	19.2%
7	The Progress Party	325	6.0%
8	The Green Party	302	5.5%
9	The Centre Party	259	4.8%
10	Other party/local list	81	1.5%
11	Did not vote	117	2.1%
12	Not entitled to vote	10	0.2%
97	No answer	452	8.3%
98	Not asked	610	11.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k204: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	254	4.7%
2	The Conservative Party	1166	21.4%
3	The Progress Party	569	10.4%
4	The Liberal Party	294	5.4%
5	The Socialist Left Party	275	5.0%
6	The Centre Party	283	5.2%
7	The Green Party	274	5.0%
8	The Labour Party	1802	33.1%
9	The Red Party	151	2.8%
10	Would not vote	117	2.1%
11	Not entitled to vote	89	1.6%
12	Other:	117	2.1%
97	No answer	60	1.1%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k204_12_other: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r5pad15_1: Likelihood of voting for: The Christian Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

# r5pad15_1: Likelihood of voting for: The Christian Democrats			
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?		
Literal question	The Christian Democrats		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	548	10.1%
2	1	131	2.4%
3	2	112	2.1%
4	3	82	1.5%
5	4	75	1.4%
6	5	83	1.5%
7	6	42	0.8%
8	7	38	0.7%
9	8	19	0.3%
10	9	23	0.4%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	40	0.7%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad15_2: Likelihood of voting for: The Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?		
Literal question	The Conservative Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	258	4.7%
2	1	111	2.0%
3	2	83	1.5%
4	3	92	1.7%
5	4	85	1.6%
6	5	92	1.7%
7	6	74	1.4%
8	7	62	1.1%
9	8	88	1.6%
10	9	70	1.3%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	179	3.3%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad15_3: Likelihood of voting for: The Progress Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		

# r5pad15_3: Likelihood of voting for: The Progress Party	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	644	11.8%
2	1	97	1.8%
3	2	81	1.5%
4	3	58	1.1%
5	4	49	0.9%
6	5	45	0.8%
7	6	36	0.7%
8	7	30	0.6%
9	8	35	0.6%
10	9	29	0.5%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	91	1.7%
97	No answer	82	1.5%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad15_4: Likelihood of voting for: The Liberal Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?
Literal question	The Liberal Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	325	6.0%
2	1	110	2.0%
3	2	127	2.3%
4	3	130	2.4%
5	4	119	2.2%
6	5	109	2.0%
7	6	67	1.2%
8	7	53	1.0%
9	8	53	1.0%
10	9	35	0.6%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	58	1.1%
97	No answer	91	1.7%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad15_5: Likelihood of voting for: The Socialist Left Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	488	9.0%
2	1	127	2.3%
3	2	93	1.7%
4	3	94	1.7%
5	4	64	1.2%
6	5	76	1.4%
7	6	38	0.7%
8	7	54	1.0%
9	8	44	0.8%
10	9	33	0.6%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	82	1.5%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad15_6: Likelihood of voting for: The Centre Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?
Literal question	The Centre Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	390	7.2%
2	1	175	3.2%
3	2	126	2.3%
4	3	108	2.0%
5	4	118	2.2%
6	5	104	1.9%
7	6	37	0.7%
8	7	29	0.5%
9	8	21	0.4%
10	9	22	0.4%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	51	0.9%
97	No answer	96	1.8%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad15_7: Likelihood of voting for: The Green Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?
Literal question	The Green Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	442	8.1%
2	1	129	2.4%
3	2	95	1.7%
4	3	97	1.8%
5	4	91	1.7%
6	5	95	1.7%
7	6	57	1.0%
8	7	61	1.1%
9	8	43	0.8%
10	9	29	0.5%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	54	1.0%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad15_8: Likelihood of voting for: The Labour Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?
Literal question	The Labour Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	164	3.0%
2	1	51	0.9%
3	2	70	1.3%
4	3	70	1.3%
5	4	66	1.2%
6	5	113	2.1%
7	6	61	1.1%
8	7	82	1.5%
9	8	95	1.7%
10	9	111	2.0%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	312	5.7%
97	No answer	82	1.5%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad15_9: Likelihood of voting for: The Red Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Some people are certain that they will always vote for the same political party. Others make their minds up each time. We are going to name some parties. Can you indicate how probable it is that you might vote for them at some point?
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I am certain that I will never vote for this party	679	12.5%
2	1	142	2.6%
3	2	78	1.4%
4	3	61	1.1%
5	4	45	0.8%
6	5	52	1.0%
7	6	30	0.6%
8	7	27	0.5%
9	8	26	0.5%
10	9	17	0.3%
11	10 I am certain that I will vote for this party at some point	38	0.7%
97	No answer	82	1.5%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5timespent_r5pad15: Time spent on r5pad15	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1277 /-] [Invalid=15734 /-] [Mean=1836.559 /-] [StdDev=37464.675 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5PAD15_1 to R5PAD15_9]

# r5ran20: [Randomizes if u=3]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1277 /-] [Invalid=15734 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	413	32.3%
2	2	442	34.6%
3	3	422	33.0%
Sysmiss		15734	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5ocrecsm1a: Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	43	0.8%

# r5ocreasm1a: Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Satisfied	206	3.8%
3	Somewhat satisfied	115	2.1%
4	Slightly satisfied	40	0.7%
5	Not satisfied at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm1b: Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	43	0.8%
2	Satisfied	214	3.9%
3	Somewhat satisfied	125	2.3%
4	Slightly satisfied	50	0.9%
5	Not satisfied at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm1c_1: Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Extremely dissatisfied	8	0.1%
2	1	3	0.1%
3	2	10	0.2%
4	3	24	0.4%
5	4	33	0.6%
6	5	98	1.8%
7	6	63	1.2%
8	7	91	1.7%
9	8	57	1.0%
10	9	23	0.4%
11	10 Extremely satisfied	12	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

# r5ocreasm1c_1: Satisfaction with present state of the economy in Norway			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm2a: Satisfaction with the government of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	5	0.1%
2	Satisfied	114	2.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	152	2.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	106	1.9%
5	Not satisfied at all	25	0.5%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm2b: Satisfaction with the government of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	9	0.2%
2	Satisfied	123	2.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	163	3.0%
4	Slightly satisfied	109	2.0%
5	Not satisfied at all	29	0.5%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm2c_1: Satisfaction with the government of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Extremely dissatisfied	17	0.3%
2	1	29	0.5%
3	2	33	0.6%
4	3	61	1.1%
5	4	46	0.8%
6	5	72	1.3%

# r5ocreasm2c_1: Satisfaction with the government of Norway			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	6	53	1.0%
8	7	60	1.1%
9	8	27	0.5%
10	9	12	0.2%
11	10 Extremely satisfied	3	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm3a: Satisfaction with democracy in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	69	1.3%
2	Satisfied	220	4.0%
3	Somewhat satisfied	79	1.4%
4	Slightly satisfied	33	0.6%
5	Not satisfied at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm3b: Satisfaction with democracy in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	70	1.3%
2	Satisfied	232	4.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	100	1.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	24	0.4%
5	Not satisfied at all	8	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm3c_1: Satisfaction with democracy in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?		

# r5ocreasm3c_1: Satisfaction with democracy in Norway			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Extremely dissatisfied	3	0.1%
2	1	3	0.1%
3	2	9	0.2%
4	3	23	0.4%
5	4	23	0.4%
6	5	52	1.0%
7	6	54	1.0%
8	7	74	1.4%
9	8	103	1.9%
10	9	56	1.0%
11	10 Extremely satisfied	17	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4a_1: Trust in institutions: The parliament			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		How high is your trust in the following institutions?	
Literal question		The parliament	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	49	0.9%
2	High trust	234	4.3%
3	Some trust	102	1.9%
4	Low trust	20	0.4%
5	No trust at all	4	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4a_2: Trust in institutions: The county council			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		How high is your trust in the following institutions?	
Literal question		The county council	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5	0.1%
2	High trust	143	2.6%
3	Some trust	195	3.6%

# r5ocreasm4a_2: Trust in institutions: The county council			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low trust	55	1.0%
5	No trust at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4a_3: Trust in institutions: The municipal council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	The municipal council		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	9	0.2%
2	High trust	157	2.9%
3	Some trust	189	3.5%
4	Low trust	46	0.8%
5	No trust at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4a_4: Trust in institutions: The EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	The EU		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	7	0.1%
2	High trust	72	1.3%
3	Some trust	182	3.3%
4	Low trust	105	1.9%
5	No trust at all	37	0.7%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4a_5: Trust in institutions: The judiciary			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		

# r5ocreasm4a_5: Trust in institutions: The judiciary			
Literal question	The judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	108	2.0%
2	High trust	216	4.0%
3	Some trust	69	1.3%
4	Low trust	11	0.2%
5	No trust at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4a_6: Trust in institutions: Politicians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	Politicians		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4	0.1%
2	High trust	71	1.3%
3	Some trust	232	4.3%
4	Low trust	91	1.7%
5	No trust at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4a_7: Trust in institutions: The police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	The police		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	45	0.8%
2	High trust	263	4.8%
3	Some trust	84	1.5%
4	Low trust	15	0.3%
5	No trust at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5timespent_r5ocreasm4a: Time spent on r5ocreasm4a			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1277 /-] [Invalid=15734 /-] [Mean=84.31 /-] [StdDev=2391.594 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5OCRECSM4A_1 to R5OCRECSM4A_7]		
# r5ocreasm4b_1: Trust in institutions: The parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	The parliament		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	50	0.9%
2	High trust	240	4.4%
3	Some trust	116	2.1%
4	Low trust	27	0.5%
5	No trust at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4b_2: Trust in institutions: The county council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	The county council		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	14	0.3%
2	High trust	130	2.4%
3	Some trust	208	3.8%
4	Low trust	73	1.3%
5	No trust at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4b_3: Trust in institutions: The municipal council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	The municipal council		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		

r5ocreasm4b_3: Trust in institutions: The municipal council

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	15	0.3%
2	High trust	164	3.0%
3	Some trust	192	3.5%
4	Low trust	52	1.0%
5	No trust at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm4b_4: Trust in institutions: The EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?
Literal question	The EU
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4	0.1%
2	High trust	80	1.5%
3	Some trust	179	3.3%
4	Low trust	122	2.2%
5	No trust at all	46	0.8%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm4b_5: Trust in institutions: The judiciary

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?
Literal question	The judiciary
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	112	2.1%
2	High trust	215	3.9%
3	Some trust	83	1.5%
4	Low trust	19	0.3%
5	No trust at all	4	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5ocreasm4b_6: Trust in institutions: Politicians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	Politicians		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3	0.1%
2	High trust	71	1.3%
3	Some trust	237	4.3%
4	Low trust	106	1.9%
5	No trust at all	16	0.3%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm4b_7: Trust in institutions: The police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How high is your trust in the following institutions?		
Literal question	The police		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	78	1.4%
2	High trust	229	4.2%
3	Some trust	99	1.8%
4	Low trust	24	0.4%
5	No trust at all	6	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5timespent_r5ocreasm4b: Time spent on r5ocreasm4b			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1277 /-] [Invalid=15734 /-] [Mean=241.846 /-] [StdDev=6154.675 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5OCRECSM4B_1 to R5OCRECSM4B_7]		
# r5ocreasm4c_1: Trust in institutions on a scale: The parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please indicate on a score of 0-10 how much you personally trust each of these institutions. 0 means you do not trust the institution at all and 10 means you have complete trust.		
Literal question	The parliament		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]		

r5ocreasm4c_1: Trust in institutions on a scale: The parliament

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not trust at all	4	0.1%
2	1	6	0.1%
3	2	10	0.2%
4	3	9	0.2%
5	4	36	0.7%
6	5	51	0.9%
7	6	51	0.9%
8	7	72	1.3%
9	8	108	2.0%
10	9	37	0.7%
11	10 Complete trust	37	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm4c_2: Trust in institutions on a scale: The judiciary

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate on a score of 0-10 how much you personally trust each of these institutions. 0 means you do not trust the institution at all and 10 means you have complete trust.
Literal question	The judiciary
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not trust at all	6	0.1%
2	1	3	0.1%
3	2	5	0.1%
4	3	7	0.1%
5	4	18	0.3%
6	5	34	0.6%
7	6	36	0.7%
8	7	67	1.2%
9	8	108	2.0%
10	9	89	1.6%
11	10 Complete trust	49	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm4c_3: Trust in institutions on a scale: The police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate on a score of 0-10 how much you personally trust each of these institutions. 0 means you do not trust the institution at all and 10 means you have complete trust.
Literal question	The police

# r5ocreasm4c_3: Trust in institutions on a scale: The police			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not trust at all	5	0.1%
2	1	2	0.0%
3	2	9	0.2%
4	3	12	0.2%
5	4	20	0.4%
6	5	46	0.8%
7	6	53	1.0%
8	7	71	1.3%
9	8	109	2.0%
10	9	54	1.0%
11	10 Complete trust	40	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5timespent_r5ocreasm4c: Time spent on r5ocreasm4c			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1277 /-] [Invalid=15734 /-] [Mean=11.973 /-] [StdDev=37.498 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5OCRECSM4C_1 to R5OCRECSM4C_3]	
# r5k10: Perception of economic situation in Norway			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway? Do you think it is:	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	655	12.0%
2	Good	2107	38.7%
3	Quite good	692	12.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	333	6.1%
5	Quite bad	298	5.5%
6	Bad	71	1.3%
7	Very bad	11	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k202: Satisfaction with the current government			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		How satisfied are you with the current government?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]	

r5k202: Satisfaction with the current government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	132	2.4%
2	Satisfied	1121	20.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1399	25.7%
4	Slightly satisfied	1118	20.5%
5	Not satisfied at all	390	7.2%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k11: Satisfaction with the way democracy works in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you about the way in which democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	675	12.4%
2	Satisfied	2268	41.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	854	15.7%
4	Slightly satisfied	264	4.8%
5	Not satisfied at all	67	1.2%
97	No answer	46	0.8%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad1: What do you associate with the word democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you connect with the word democracy?
Interviewer's instructions	<p>We ask you to please write down the first things that come to you when you think about this word. We want all types of answers. A couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.</p> <p>[Asked if u=2]</p> <p>[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]</p>

r5timespent_r5pad1: Time spent on r5pad1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1431 /-] [Invalid=15580 /-] [Mean=2123.98 /-] [StdDev=37089.699 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5PAD1]

r5k12_1: Trust in institutions: The parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence do you have in the following institutions and entities?
Literal question	The Norwegian parliament

# r5k12_1: Trust in institutions: The parliament			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	267	4.9%
2	High trust	2059	37.8%
3	Some trust	1461	26.8%
4	Low trust	293	5.4%
5	No trust at all	53	1.0%
97	No answer	41	0.8%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k12_2: Trust in institutions: The county council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence do you have in the following institutions and entities?		
Literal question	The county council		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	63	1.2%
2	High trust	1136	20.8%
3	Some trust	2188	40.1%
4	Low trust	584	10.7%
5	No trust at all	109	2.0%
97	No answer	94	1.7%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k12_3: Trust in institutions: The municipal council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence do you have in the following institutions and entities?		
Literal question	The municipal council		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	71	1.3%
2	High trust	1355	24.9%
3	Some trust	2087	38.3%
4	Low trust	551	10.1%
5	No trust at all	70	1.3%
97	No answer	40	0.7%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5k12_4: Trust in institutions: The EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence do you have in the following institutions and entities?		
Literal question	The EU		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	44	0.8%
2	High trust	705	12.9%
3	Some trust	1860	34.1%
4	Low trust	1155	21.2%
5	No trust at all	354	6.5%
97	No answer	56	1.0%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k12_5: Trust in institutions: The judiciary			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence do you have in the following institutions and entities?		
Literal question	The law courts		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	987	18.1%
2	High trust	2358	43.3%
3	Some trust	624	11.4%
4	Low trust	146	2.7%
5	No trust at all	21	0.4%
97	No answer	38	0.7%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k12_6: Trust in institutions: Politicians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much confidence do you have in the following institutions and entities?		
Literal question	Politicians		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	19	0.3%
2	High trust	792	14.5%
3	Some trust	2327	42.7%
4	Low trust	856	15.7%
5	No trust at all	132	2.4%

r5k12_6: Trust in institutions: Politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	48	0.9%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k12_7: Trust in institutions: The police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much confidence do you have in the following institutions and entities?
Literal question	The police
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=2 OR u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	596	10.9%
2	High trust	2375	43.6%
3	Some trust	949	17.4%
4	Low trust	193	3.5%
5	No trust at all	26	0.5%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	1277	23.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5timespent_r5k12: Time spent on r5k12

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4174 /-] [Invalid=12837 /-] [Mean=873.175 /-] [StdDev=23638.608 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5K12_1 to R5K12_7]

r5k15_1: Statement: Most people can be trusted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
Interviewer's instructions	State your opinion on the scale below. This goes from 0 to 10, where 0 means "Cannot be careful enough" and 10 means "Most people can be trusted". [Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Cannot be careful enough	67	1.2%
2	1	43	0.8%
3	2	77	1.4%
4	3	196	3.6%
5	4	224	4.1%
6	5	620	11.4%
7	6	660	12.1%
8	7	1365	25.0%
9	8	1182	21.7%

r5k15_1: Statement: Most people can be trusted

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	9	494	9.1%
11	10 Most people can be trusted	501	9.2%
97	No answer	22	0.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_1: Like or dislike: The Christian Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Christian Democrats
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	538	9.9%
2	Really dislike	771	14.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	1229	22.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1270	23.3%
5	Like somewhat	1106	20.3%
6	Really like	383	7.0%
7	Intensely like	74	1.4%
97	No answer	80	1.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_2: Like or dislike: The Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Conservative Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	188	3.4%
2	Really dislike	601	11.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	976	17.9%
4	Neither dislike nor like	759	13.9%
5	Like somewhat	1450	26.6%
6	Really like	1135	20.8%
7	Intensely like	263	4.8%
97	No answer	79	1.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_3: Like or dislike: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

r5k6_3: Like or dislike: The Progress Party

Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1535	28.2%
2	Really dislike	1039	19.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	821	15.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	505	9.3%
5	Like somewhat	854	15.7%
6	Really like	462	8.5%
7	Intensely like	155	2.8%
97	No answer	80	1.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_4: Like or dislike: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Liberal Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	289	5.3%
2	Really dislike	548	10.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	1005	18.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1470	27.0%
5	Like somewhat	1464	26.9%
6	Really like	486	8.9%
7	Intensely like	87	1.6%
97	No answer	102	1.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_5: Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	794	14.6%
2	Really dislike	845	15.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	928	17.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1101	20.2%
5	Like somewhat	1017	18.7%

r5k6_5: Like or dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Really like	538	9.9%
7	Intensely like	143	2.6%
97	No answer	85	1.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_6: Like or dislike: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Centre Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	274	5.0%
2	Really dislike	523	9.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	1067	19.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1758	32.3%
5	Like somewhat	1241	22.8%
6	Really like	407	7.5%
7	Intensely like	83	1.5%
97	No answer	98	1.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_7: Like or dislike: The Green Party











Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Green Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	837	15.4%
2	Really dislike	723	13.3%
3	Dislike somewhat	871	16.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1051	19.3%
5	Like somewhat	1204	22.1%
6	Really like	556	10.2%
7	Intensely like	122	2.2%
97	No answer	87	1.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k6_8: Like or dislike: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

# r5k6_8: Like or dislike: The Labour Party			
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Labour Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	183	 3.4%
2	Really dislike	329	 6.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	696	 12.8%
4	Neither dislike nor like	706	 13.0%
5	Like somewhat	1566	 28.7%
6	Really like	1515	 27.8%
7	Intensely like	377	 6.9%
97	No answer	79	 1.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k6_9: Like or dislike: The Red Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Red Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1148	 21.1%
2	Really dislike	959	 17.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	816	 15.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1240	 22.7%
5	Like somewhat	780	 14.3%
6	Really like	320	 5.9%
7	Intensely like	87	 1.6%
97	No answer	101	 1.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k8_1: Placement on political scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those that are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those that are politically on the far right.		
Literal question	Where would you position yourself on such a scale?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	93	 1.7%
2	1	168	 3.1%
3	2	435	 8.0%
4	3	767	 14.1%

# r5k8_1: Placement on political scale			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	4	651	11.9%
6	5	896	16.4%
7	6	649	11.9%
8	7	835	15.3%
9	8	595	10.9%
10	9	175	3.2%
11	10 Right	129	2.4%
97	No answer	58	1.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k9_1: [1] most important political issues [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? Please Write down the three most important issues, where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k9_2: [2] most important political issues [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? Please Write down the three most important issues, where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k9_3: [3] most important political issues [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally? Please Write down the three most important issues, where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5dt16_1: Placement on political scale: The Christian Democrats			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?		
Literal question	The Christian Democrats		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	51	0.9%
2	1	49	0.9%

r5dt16_1: Placement on political scale: The Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	2	132	2.4%
4	3	266	4.9%
5	4	626	11.5%
6	5	1467	26.9%
7	6	1203	22.1%
8	7	809	14.8%
9	8	356	6.5%
10	9	117	2.1%
11	10 Right	66	1.2%
97	No answer	309	5.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_2: Placement on political scale: The Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Conservative Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	6	0.1%
2	1	13	0.2%
3	2	9	0.2%
4	3	20	0.4%
5	4	35	0.6%
6	5	135	2.5%
7	6	236	4.3%
8	7	792	14.5%
9	8	1613	29.6%
10	9	1394	25.6%
11	10 Right	914	16.8%
97	No answer	284	5.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_3: Placement on political scale: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	21	0.4%

r5dt16_3: Placement on political scale: The Progress Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	1	17	0.3%
3	2	24	0.4%
4	3	33	0.6%
5	4	54	1.0%
6	5	165	3.0%
7	6	104	1.9%
8	7	229	4.2%
9	8	618	11.3%
10	9	1291	23.7%
11	10 Right	2615	48.0%
97	No answer	280	5.1%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_4: Placement on political scale: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Liberal Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	427	7.8%
2	1	252	4.6%
3	2	385	7.1%
4	3	497	9.1%
5	4	574	10.5%
6	5	1069	19.6%
7	6	1026	18.8%
8	7	605	11.1%
9	8	214	3.9%
10	9	78	1.4%
11	10 Right	37	0.7%
97	No answer	287	5.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_5: Placement on political scale: The Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

r5dt16_5: Placement on political scale: The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	790	14.5%
2	1	1745	32.0%
3	2	1507	27.6%
4	3	658	12.1%
5	4	196	3.6%
6	5	184	3.4%
7	6	40	0.7%
8	7	13	0.2%
9	8	17	0.3%
10	9	13	0.2%
11	10 Right	4	0.1%
97	No answer	284	5.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_6: Placement on political scale: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Centre Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	52	1.0%
2	1	87	1.6%
3	2	285	5.2%
4	3	636	11.7%
5	4	1205	22.1%
6	5	1777	32.6%
7	6	678	12.4%
8	7	290	5.3%
9	8	85	1.6%
10	9	27	0.5%
11	10 Right	18	0.3%
97	No answer	311	5.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_7: Placement on political scale: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Green Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

r5dt16_7: Placement on political scale: The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	483	8.9%
2	1	575	10.5%
3	2	790	14.5%
4	3	975	17.9%
5	4	942	17.3%
6	5	1036	19.0%
7	6	178	3.3%
8	7	73	1.3%
9	8	28	0.5%
10	9	14	0.3%
11	10 Right	17	0.3%
97	No answer	340	6.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_8: Placement on political scale: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Labour Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	134	2.5%
2	1	235	4.3%
3	2	681	12.5%
4	3	1201	22.0%
5	4	1185	21.7%
6	5	1021	18.7%
7	6	415	7.6%
8	7	169	3.1%
9	8	85	1.6%
10	9	24	0.4%
11	10 Right	24	0.4%
97	No answer	277	5.1%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dt16_9: Placement on political scale: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people sometimes talk about the left wing and the right wing. Where would you place the following parties on a scale where 0 represents furthest to the left and 10 represents furthest to the right?
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

r5dt16_9: Placement on political scale: The Red Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	3123	57.3%
2	1	1029	18.9%
3	2	339	6.2%
4	3	172	3.2%
5	4	110	2.0%
6	5	215	3.9%
7	6	46	0.8%
8	7	43	0.8%
9	8	22	0.4%
10	9	26	0.5%
11	10 Right	27	0.5%
97	No answer	299	5.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be lowered

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	153	2.8%
2	Agree	287	5.3%
3	Agree somewhat	701	12.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	604	11.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	978	17.9%
6	Disagree	1428	26.2%
7	Strongly disagree	1255	23.0%
97	No answer	45	0.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Commercial private schools should be allowed.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	323	5.9%
2	Agree	840	15.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1030	18.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	650	11.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	839	15.4%
6	Disagree	863	15.8%
7	Strongly disagree	860	15.8%
97	No answer	46	0.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv30_3: Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at a lower cost if they were run by the private sector.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	383	7.0%
2	Agree	735	13.5%
3	Agree somewhat	1320	24.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	559	10.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	758	13.9%
6	Disagree	928	17.0%
7	Strongly disagree	733	13.4%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5timespent_r5dv30: Time spent on r5dv30			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-] [Mean=386.047 /-] [StdDev=10481.305 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5DV30_1 to R5DV30_3]		
# r5dv31_1: Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.		

# r5dv31_1: Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=0]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	776	14.2%
2	Agree	1438	26.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1469	26.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	647	11.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	581	10.7%
6	Disagree	331	6.1%
7	Strongly disagree	127	2.3%
97	No answer	82	1.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv31_2: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?	
Literal question		It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=0]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	433	7.9%
2	Agree	1168	21.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1089	20.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	842	15.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	829	15.2%
6	Disagree	603	11.1%
7	Strongly disagree	405	7.4%
97	No answer	82	1.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv31_3: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?	
Literal question		Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=0]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	178	3.3%
2	Agree	385	7.1%
3	Agree somewhat	622	11.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1299	23.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	987	18.1%
6	Disagree	1302	23.9%
7	Strongly disagree	575	10.5%

r5dv31_3: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	103	1.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1133	20.8%
2	Agree	969	17.8%
3	Agree somewhat	611	11.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	977	17.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	697	12.8%
6	Disagree	668	12.3%
7	Strongly disagree	333	6.1%
97	No answer	63	1.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	350	6.4%
2	Agree	790	14.5%
3	Agree somewhat	951	17.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	482	8.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	1126	20.7%
6	Disagree	985	18.1%
7	Strongly disagree	711	13.0%
97	No answer	56	1.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dvh_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

# r5dvh_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights			
Literal question	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2316	42.5%
2	Agree	1609	29.5%
3	Agree somewhat	436	8.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	368	6.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	269	4.9%
6	Disagree	210	3.9%
7	Strongly disagree	189	3.5%
97	No answer	54	1.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5nfc_1: Statement: I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	362	6.6%
2	Agree	1439	26.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1643	30.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1277	23.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	423	7.8%
6	Disagree	176	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	37	0.7%
97	No answer	94	1.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5nfc_2: Statement: I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	776	14.2%
2	Agree	2315	42.5%
3	Agree somewhat	1449	26.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	619	11.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	154	2.8%
6	Disagree	40	0.7%

# r5nfc_2: Statement: I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	88	1.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5nfc_3: Statement: I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	614	11.3%
2	Agree	1826	33.5%
3	Agree somewhat	1321	24.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1057	19.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	344	6.3%
6	Disagree	168	3.1%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.5%
97	No answer	96	1.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5nte_1: Statement: I have an opinion about most things			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	I have an opinion about most things		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	333	6.1%
2	Agree	1526	28.0%
3	Agree somewhat	2008	36.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	697	12.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	568	10.4%
6	Disagree	243	4.5%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.6%
97	No answer	45	0.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5nte_2: Statement: I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		

# r5nte_2: Statement: I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong			
Literal question	I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1158	21.2%
2	Agree	2382	43.7%
3	Agree somewhat	1121	20.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	437	8.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	210	3.9%
6	Disagree	76	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.4%
97	No answer	46	0.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km1: Climate change: What should be done			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think should be done about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.</p> <p>[Asked if u=1 OR u=3 OR u=4]</p> <p>[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]</p>		
# r5timespent_r5km1: Time spent on r5km1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4007 /-] [Invalid=13004 /-] [Mean=1293.951 /-] [StdDev=22571.634 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5KM1]		
# r5km5: To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Let us assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it. To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change? Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=3 OR u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is impossible to prevent harmful climate change.	353	6.5%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to prevent harmful climate change.	2502	45.9%
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to prevent harmful climate change.	1086	19.9%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	20	0.4%
97	No answer	59	1.1%
98	Not asked	1431	26.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5fr1_1: Volunteering: Arts and Culture, bands and choirs			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]	209	4.8%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	95.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]	283	6.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	93.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_3: Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]	237	5.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	94.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_4: Volunteering: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r5fr1_4: Volunteering: Education, training and research			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and university colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and university colleges. Vocational/t	145	3.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	96.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]	69	1.6%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	98.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_6: Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer ce	87	2.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	97.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5fr1_7: Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]	41	1.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	98.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]	188	4.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	95.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_9: Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]	149	3.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	96.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_10: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		

# r5fr1_10: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]	44	1.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	98.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_11: Volunteering: Political parties			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Political parties [Youth political parties]	40	1.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	98.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_12: Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]	54	1.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	98.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_13: Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		

# r5fr1_13: Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]	101	2.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	97.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association] (14)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]	103	2.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	97.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_15: Volunteering: Immigrant organisations			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]	10	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	99.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_16: Volunteering: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other, specify	35	0.8%

# r5fr1_16: Volunteering: Other, specify			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	99.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_17: No, not volunteering			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	422	9.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	90.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr1_16_other: Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5utfortfrivilligarbeid: Done voluntary work?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1. Selects respondents who has answered positively on one or more categories in R5FR1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	465	8.5%
1	Yes	854	15.7%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5flereorg: Done voluntary work for more then one organization?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1. Selects respondents who has answered positively on more than one category in R5FR1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	810	14.9%
1	Yes	509	9.3%

# r5flereorg: Done voluntary work for more then one organization?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr17: How much time spent volunteering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last four weeks, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and utfortFrivilligArbeid=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Note the number of hours	560	10.3%
2	Have not done any voluntary work in the last four weeks	286	5.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	4597	84.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr17_1_other: How much time spent volunteering, hours			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=554 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last four weeks, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and utfortFrivilligArbeid=1]		
# r5fri31ag_1: Describing volunteer work: Satisfactory			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Satisfactory		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	169	3.1%
2	Well	598	11.0%
3	Quite well	271	5.0%
4	Neither well nor poorly	162	3.0%
5	Quite poorly	8	0.1%
6	Poorly	6	0.1%
7	Very poorly	9	0.2%
97	No answer	102	1.9%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fri31ag_2: Describing volunteer work: Rewarding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		

# r5fri31ag_2: Describing volunteer work: Rewarding			
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Rewarding		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	228	4.2%
2	Well	601	11.0%
3	Quite well	252	4.6%
4	Neither well nor poorly	127	2.3%
5	Quite poorly	9	0.2%
6	Poorly	7	0.1%
7	Very poorly	7	0.1%
97	No answer	94	1.7%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fri31ag_3: Describing volunteer work: Exciting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Exciting		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	104	1.9%
2	Well	368	6.8%
3	Quite well	321	5.9%
4	Neither well nor poorly	326	6.0%
5	Quite poorly	58	1.1%
6	Poorly	20	0.4%
7	Very poorly	9	0.2%
97	No answer	119	2.2%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fri31ag_4: Describing volunteer work: Important			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Important		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	408	7.5%
2	Well	543	10.0%
3	Quite well	175	3.2%

# r5fri31ag_4: Describing volunteer work: Important			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither well nor poorly	101	1.9%
5	Quite poorly	6	0.1%
6	Poorly	1	0.0%
7	Very poorly	3	0.1%
97	No answer	88	1.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fri31ag_5: Describing volunteer work: Fun			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Fun		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	105	1.9%
2	Well	387	7.1%
3	Quite well	380	7.0%
4	Neither well nor poorly	272	5.0%
5	Quite poorly	45	0.8%
6	Poorly	15	0.3%
7	Very poorly	8	0.1%
97	No answer	113	2.1%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fri31ag_6: Describing volunteer work: Challenging			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Challenging		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	111	2.0%
2	Well	386	7.1%
3	Quite well	308	5.7%
4	Neither well nor poorly	309	5.7%
5	Quite poorly	60	1.1%
6	Poorly	22	0.4%
7	Very poorly	13	0.2%
97	No answer	116	2.1%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

# r5fri31ag_6: Describing volunteer work: Challenging			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fri31ag_7: Describing volunteer work: Disappointing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Disappointing		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	4	0.1%
2	Well	26	0.5%
3	Quite well	66	1.2%
4	Neither well nor poorly	379	7.0%
5	Quite poorly	164	3.0%
6	Poorly	326	6.0%
7	Very poorly	213	3.9%
97	No answer	147	2.7%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr31hm_1: Describing volunteer work: Emotionally exhausting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Emotionally exhausting		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	19	0.3%
2	Well	86	1.6%
3	Quite well	192	3.5%
4	Neither well nor poorly	376	6.9%
5	Quite poorly	150	2.8%
6	Poorly	258	4.7%
7	Very poorly	131	2.4%
97	No answer	113	2.1%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr31hm_2: Describing volunteer work: Physically tiring			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Physically tiring		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		

r5fr31hm_2: Describing volunteer work: Physically tiring

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	12	0.2%
2	Well	86	1.6%
3	Quite well	217	4.0%
4	Neither well nor poorly	414	7.6%
5	Quite poorly	159	2.9%
6	Poorly	244	4.5%
7	Very poorly	76	1.4%
97	No answer	117	2.1%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr31hm_3: Describing volunteer work: Frustrating

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Frustrating
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	7	0.1%
2	Well	53	1.0%
3	Quite well	182	3.3%
4	Neither well nor poorly	391	7.2%
5	Quite poorly	181	3.3%
6	Poorly	269	4.9%
7	Very poorly	124	2.3%
97	No answer	118	2.2%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr31hm_4: Describing volunteer work: Depressing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Depressing
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	2	0.0%
2	Well	16	0.3%
3	Quite well	60	1.1%
4	Neither well nor poorly	342	6.3%
5	Quite poorly	151	2.8%
6	Poorly	354	6.5%
7	Very poorly	270	5.0%

r5fr31hm_4: Describing volunteer work: Depressing

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	130	2.4%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5fr31hm_5: Describing volunteer work: Time consuming			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Time consuming		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	68	1.2%
2	Well	280	5.1%
3	Quite well	323	5.9%
4	Neither well nor poorly	327	6.0%
5	Quite poorly	91	1.7%
6	Poorly	92	1.7%
7	Very poorly	35	0.6%
97	No answer	109	2.0%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr31hm_6: Describing volunteer work: Easy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or poorly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Easy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	41	0.8%
2	Well	217	4.0%
3	Quite well	243	4.5%
4	Neither well nor poorly	528	9.7%
5	Quite poorly	126	2.3%
6	Poorly	51	0.9%
7	Very poorly	13	0.2%
97	No answer	106	1.9%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# fr30_4: I want to do more voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	I want to do more voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and utfortFrivilligArbeid=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	49	0.9%
2	Agree	213	3.9%

# fr30_4: I want to do more voluntary work			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	252	4.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	217	4.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	62	1.1%
6	Disagree	50	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4597	84.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr19: Could you imagine doing voluntary work?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Could you imagine doing voluntary work?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and utfortFrivilligArbeid=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	334	6.1%
2	No	121	2.2%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4986	91.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr20: Considering quitting with volunteering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Do you sometimes consider stopping doing voluntary work for one or more organisations where you are currently active?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR1_17!=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	217	4.0%
2	No	638	11.7%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	4572	83.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr21: Considering quitting in the following organization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-17] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and flereOrg=1 AND R5FR20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]	21	0.4%

# r5fr21: Considering quitting in the following organization			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]	21	0.4%
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]	12	0.2%
4	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and University colleges. Vocational/t	10	0.2%
5	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]	4	0.1%
6	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer ce	3	0.1%
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]	0	
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]	15	0.3%
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]	12	0.2%
10	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]	2	0.0%
11	Political parties [Youth political parties]	4	0.1%
12	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]	3	0.1%
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]	19	0.3%
14	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]	3	0.1%
15	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]	1	0.0%
16	Other, specify	4	0.1%
17	No	0	
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5310	97.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5fr21_16_other: Considering quitting in the following organization, other [anonymized]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What organisation are you considering stopping doing voluntary work for?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and flereOrg=1 AND R5FR20=1]

# r5fr21_16_other: Considering quitting in the following organization, other [anonymized]			
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5fr22: How long have you been doing volunteer work within this organization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How long have you been doing voluntary work within this organisation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than a month	6	0.1%
2	One month-six months	6	0.1%
3	Six months-one year	12	0.2%
4	1-2 years	45	0.8%
5	3-5 years	58	1.1%
6	6-10 years	41	0.8%
7	11-25 years	32	0.6%
8	More than 25 years	14	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5234	96.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr23_1: Considering quitting volunteer work: Changed life situation			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children becoming older etc.)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changed life situation (work, situation at home, relocation, children becoming older etc.)	83	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5234	98.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr23_2: Considering quitting volunteer work: Changed interest or motivation			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Changed interest or motivation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changed interest or motivation	100	1.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5234	98.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5fr23_3: Considering quitting volunteer work: Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conditions related to the organisation or the voluntary work	39	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5234	99.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr23_4: Considering quitting volunteer work: Something else			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Something else (please specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Something else (please specify)	37	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5234	99.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr23_4_other: Considering quitting volunteer work: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why are you considering stopping doing voluntary work?		
Literal question	Other		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR20=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5fr24_1: Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Not enough time			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping voluntary work?		
Literal question	Not enough time		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not enough time	51	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5368	99.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr24_2: Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Moving away from the area			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r5fr24_2: Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Moving away from the area			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping voluntary work?		
Literal question	Moving away from the area		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moving away from the area	13	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5368	99.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr24_3: Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Children stopping the activities			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping voluntary work?		
Literal question	Children stopping the activities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Children stopping the activities	19	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5368	99.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr24_4: Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Health problems or old age			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping voluntary work?		
Literal question	Health problems or old age		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Health problems or old age	15	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5368	99.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr24_5: Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Other			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping voluntary work?		
Literal question	Other		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR23_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other, specify	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5368	99.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5fr24_5_other: Considering quitting volunteer work because of life situation: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What is it about your life situation that is causing you to consider stopping voluntary work?		
Literal question	Other		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R5FR23_1=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5fr32: Satisfied with life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly satisfied	242	4.4%
2	Very satisfied	733	13.4%
3	Fairly satisfied	279	5.1%
4	Not very satisfied	47	0.9%
5	Not at all satisfied	9	0.2%
97	No answer	15	0.3%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr33: Think that what you're doing in life is meaningful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly meaningful	239	4.4%
2	Very meaningful	691	12.7%
3	Fairly meaningful	308	5.7%
4	Not very meaningful	47	0.9%
5	Not at all meaningful	8	0.1%
97	No answer	32	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr34_1: 0-10: scale for happy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	On a scale of 0-10, how were you yesterday?		
Literal question	Happy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		

r5fr34_1: 0-10: scale for happy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not at all	11	0.2%
2	1	13	0.2%
3	2	38	0.7%
4	3	57	1.0%
5	4	64	1.2%
6	5	145	2.7%
7	6	182	3.3%
8	7	269	4.9%
9	8	290	5.3%
10	9	159	2.9%
11	10 All the time	58	1.1%
97	No answer	39	0.7%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr34_2: 0-10: scale for concerned

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale of 0-10, how were you yesterday?
Literal question	Concerned
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not at all	141	2.6%
2	1	169	3.1%
3	2	216	4.0%
4	3	158	2.9%
5	4	137	2.5%
6	5	166	3.0%
7	6	90	1.7%
8	7	86	1.6%
9	8	56	1.0%
10	9	24	0.4%
11	10 All the time	7	0.1%
97	No answer	75	1.4%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr34_3: 0-10: scale for depressed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	On a scale of 0-10, how were you yesterday?
Literal question	Depressed
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

r5fr34_3: 0-10: scale for depressed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not at all	663	12.2%
2	1	183	3.4%
3	2	123	2.3%
4	3	71	1.3%
5	4	43	0.8%
6	5	61	1.1%
7	6	32	0.6%
8	7	30	0.6%
9	8	16	0.3%
10	9	13	0.2%
11	10 All the time	8	0.1%
97	No answer	82	1.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr35_1: Statement: In most ways my life is almost ideal

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life?
Literal question	In most ways my life is almost ideal
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	83	1.5%
2	Agree	401	7.4%
3	Agree somewhat	369	6.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	199	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	118	2.2%
6	Disagree	94	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.5%
97	No answer	34	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr35_2: Statement: My circumstances are excellent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life?
Literal question	My circumstances are excellent
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	141	2.6%
2	Agree	523	9.6%
3	Agree somewhat	292	5.4%

r5fr35_2: Statement: My circumstances are excellent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	140	2.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	119	2.2%
6	Disagree	61	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	33	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr35_3: Statement: So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life?
Literal question	So far I have got most of the things I have wanted in life
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	159	2.9%
2	Agree	542	9.9%
3	Agree somewhat	295	5.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	126	2.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	107	2.0%
6	Disagree	48	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	33	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr35_4: Statement: I am satisfied with my life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life?
Literal question	I am satisfied with my life
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	207	3.8%
2	Agree	636	11.7%
3	Agree somewhat	244	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	68	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	80	1.5%
6	Disagree	50	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.2%
97	No answer	31	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

r5fr35_4: Statement: I am satisfied with my life

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr35_5: Statement: If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life?
Literal question	If I could relive my life, I would not really change anything
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	50	0.9%
2	Agree	242	4.4%
3	Agree somewhat	321	5.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	148	2.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	295	5.4%
6	Disagree	164	3.0%
7	Strongly disagree	70	1.3%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr36_1: Satisfied with: Standard of living

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your standard of living?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	335	6.1%
2	Satisfied	742	13.6%
3	Slightly satisfied	180	3.3%
4	Not very satisfied	16	0.3%
5	Not satisfied	22	0.4%
6	Not at all satisfied	7	0.1%
7	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	23	0.4%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr36_2: Satisfied with: Health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your health?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

r5fr36_2: Satisfied with: Health

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	231	4.2%
2	Satisfied	613	11.2%
3	Slightly satisfied	290	5.3%
4	Not very satisfied	73	1.3%
5	Not satisfied	58	1.1%
6	Not at all satisfied	37	0.7%
7	Not relevant	1	0.0%
97	No answer	22	0.4%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr36_3: Satisfied with: What you are achieving in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	What you are achieving in life?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	133	2.4%
2	Satisfied	700	12.8%
3	Slightly satisfied	354	6.5%
4	Not very satisfied	59	1.1%
5	Not satisfied	34	0.6%
6	Not at all satisfied	16	0.3%
7	Not relevant	1	0.0%
97	No answer	28	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr36_4: Satisfied with: Personal relationships

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your personal relationships?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	215	3.9%
2	Satisfied	668	12.3%
3	Slightly satisfied	329	6.0%
4	Not very satisfied	50	0.9%
5	Not satisfied	22	0.4%
6	Not at all satisfied	12	0.2%
7	Not relevant	1	0.0%

r5fr36_4: Satisfied with: Personal relationships

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	28	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr36_5: Satisfied with: How safe you feel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	How safe you feel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	321	5.9%
2	Satisfied	723	13.3%
3	Slightly satisfied	189	3.5%
4	Not very satisfied	28	0.5%
5	Not satisfied	22	0.4%
6	Not at all satisfied	13	0.2%
7	Not relevant	1	0.0%
97	No answer	28	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr36_6: Satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighborhood community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	127	2.3%
2	Satisfied	506	9.3%
3	Slightly satisfied	392	7.2%
4	Not very satisfied	154	2.8%
5	Not satisfied	70	1.3%
6	Not at all satisfied	23	0.4%
7	Not relevant	19	0.3%
97	No answer	34	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5fr36_7: Satisfied with: Prospect of safety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r5fr36_7: Satisfied with: Prospect of safety			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	The prospects for safety in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	154	2.8%
2	Satisfied	671	12.3%
3	Slightly satisfied	320	5.9%
4	Not very satisfied	80	1.5%
5	Not satisfied	39	0.7%
6	Not at all satisfied	32	0.6%
7	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr36_8: Satisfied with: The amount of time to do the things you like			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	123	2.3%
2	Satisfied	534	9.8%
3	Slightly satisfied	371	6.8%
4	Not very satisfied	153	2.8%
5	Not satisfied	75	1.4%
6	Not at all satisfied	43	0.8%
7	Not relevant	0	
97	No answer	26	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5fr36_9: Satisfied with: Quality of nature and the environment in the natural area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	The quality of nature and the environment in your local nature?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	469	8.6%
2	Satisfied	645	11.8%

# r5fr36_9: Satisfied with: Quality of nature and the environment in the natural area			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Slightly satisfied	147	2.7%
4	Not very satisfied	26	0.5%
5	Not satisfied	10	0.2%
6	Not at all satisfied	3	0.1%
7	Not relevant	1	0.0%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5fr36_10: Satisfied with: Your job	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your job
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	183	3.4%
2	Satisfied	486	8.9%
3	Slightly satisfied	230	4.2%
4	Not very satisfied	41	0.8%
5	Not satisfied	27	0.5%
6	Not at all satisfied	18	0.3%
7	Not relevant	293	5.4%
97	No answer	47	0.9%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5fr37: Life situation in five years	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	If you think about your life situation in five years' time, do you think it will be ...
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worse than it is now	109	2.0%
2	About the same as now	857	15.7%
3	Better than it is now	332	6.1%
97	No answer	27	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# fri11: In general, how good is your health?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

# fri11: In general, how good is your health?			
Literal question	Generally speaking, how good is your health?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	347	6.4%
2	Good	742	13.6%
3	Not very good	184	3.4%
4	Poor	26	0.5%
97	No answer	26	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# fri12: Have chronic illness or health problem expected to persist at least 6 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems that are expected to last for at least six months or more?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	549	10.1%
2	No	701	12.9%
3	Do not wish to reply	48	0.9%
97	No answer	27	0.5%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# fri13: Prevented from performing activity due to health problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	During the last six months have you been prevented from undertaking any activities that people usually do due to health problems?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, very much prevented	73	1.3%
2	Yes, prevented	316	5.8%
3	No, not prevented	901	16.5%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5friend: Number of friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	About how many friends do you have at the moment? These are people you can relax with, talk to about private topics or ask for help.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		

r5friend: Number of friends

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	19	0.3%
2	1-2	158	2.9%
3	3-5	450	8.3%
4	6-10	430	7.9%
5	More than 10	244	4.5%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	4126	75.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5frnet_1: People that you know who: Could help you find a job

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ...
Literal question	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?
Interviewer's instructions	More than one answer possible [Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?	609	12.8%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4126	86.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5frnet_2: People that you know who: Could lend you a large sum of money

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ...
Literal question	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?
Interviewer's instructions	More than one answer possible [Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?	703	14.5%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4126	85.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5frnet_3: People that you know who: Is in a high position in business, public administration or politics

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ...
Literal question	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?
Interviewer's instructions	More than one answer possible [Asked if u=1]

r5frnet_3: People that you know who: Is in a high position in business, public administration or politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?	442	9.6%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4126	90.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5frnet_4: People that you know who: No such people

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1307 /-] [Invalid=15704 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ...
Literal question	There are no such people among the people I know personally
Interviewer's instructions	More than one answer possible [Asked if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	There are no such people among the people I know personally	335	100.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mobil_bw: mobile_bw

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5420 /-] [Invalid=11591 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Selecting non-mobile users for Bandwagon experiment (PAD2 and PAD6)]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mobile phone	4239	78.2%
1	Mobile phone	1181	21.8%
Sysmiss		11591	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

qcount_1: 1 ()

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2083 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores aggregated distribution for each group defined in BW_groupsPAD2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		423	20.3%
8		845	40.6%
9		815	39.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# bw_groupspad2: BWgroupsPAD2	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2094 /-] [Invalid=14917 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Ifu=2 or u=3 or mobil_bw=0, allocates respondents in groups. First 10 respondents gets the value 0. Subsequent respondents are chronologically defined in groups of 425.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Group 0	11	0.5%
1	Group 1	423	20.2%
2	Group 2	426	20.3%
3	Group 3	423	20.2%
4	Group 4	422	20.2%
5	Group 5	389	18.6%
6	Group 6	0	
7	Group 7	0	
8	1 ()	0	
Sysmiss		14917	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad2start: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=0]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1	0.0%
2	Agree	1	0.0%
3	Agree somewhat	2	0.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1	0.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	1	0.0%
6	Disagree	4	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.0%
97	No answer	1098	20.1%
98	Not asked	4342	79.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5pad2start_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R5PAD2start. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if BW_groupsPAD2=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	6	0.1%
2	Agree	4	0.1%
97	No answer	1099	20.2%
98	Not asked	4342	79.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

# r5pad2start_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# bw_ran1: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=16588 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	224	53.0%
2	2	199	47.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16588	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2a1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=1 and BW_ran1=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.4%
2	Agree	45	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	42	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	17	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	24	0.4%
6	Disagree	42	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	32	0.6%
97	No answer	649	11.9%
98	Not asked	4579	84.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2a2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt hvis u=2 eller u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=1 og BW_ran1=2. Respondentene er ikke eksponert for visuell stimuli.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.6%
2	Agree	47	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	32	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	13	0.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	19	0.3%
6	Disagree	27	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	30	0.6%

# r5pad2a2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	649	11.9%
98	Not asked	4604	84.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2a1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R5PAD2A1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=1 and BW_ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	98	1.8%
2	Agree	108	2.0%
97	No answer	666	12.2%
98	Not asked	4579	84.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# bw_ran2: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=16585 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	229	53.8%
2	2	197	46.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16585	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2b1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=2 and BW_ran2=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.6%
2	Agree	61	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	36	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	16	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	21	0.4%
6	Disagree	29	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	32	0.6%
97	No answer	649	11.9%
98	Not asked	4574	83.9%

# r5pad2b1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2b2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=2 and BW_ran2=2. Respondents are not exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.6%
2	Agree	47	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	37	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	16	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	19	0.3%
6	Disagree	23	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	19	0.3%
97	No answer	651	11.9%
98	Not asked	4606	84.5%
Systemmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2b1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R5PAD2B1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=2 and BW_ran2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	82	1.5%
2	Agree	130	2.4%
97	No answer	665	12.2%
98	Not asked	4574	83.9%
Systemmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# bw_ran3: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=16588 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	214	50.6%
2	2	209	49.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Systemmiss		16588	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5pad2c1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=3 and BW_ran3=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	26	0.5%
2	Agree	60	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	32	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	11	0.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	31	0.6%
6	Disagree	26	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.5%
97	No answer	440	8.1%
98	Not asked	4797	88.0%
Systemiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2c2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=3 and BW_ran3=2. Respondents are not exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	28	0.5%
2	Agree	49	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	48	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	21	0.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	15	0.3%
6	Disagree	21	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	26	0.5%
97	No answer	441	8.1%
98	Not asked	4802	88.1%
Systemiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2c1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	[Rekoder R5PAD2C1. Lagrer gruppeverdi [uenig, enig] i qcount_1. Rekoder hvis u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=3 og BW_ran3=1]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R5PAD2C1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=3 and BW_ran3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	85	1.6%
2	Agree	118	2.2%

r5pad2c1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	451	8.3%
98	Not asked	4797	88.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bw_ran4: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=16589 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	229	54.3%
2	2	193	45.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16589	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad2d1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=4 and BW_ran4=1. Respondents are exposed to vidual stimulation.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	25	0.5%
2	Agree	55	1.0%
3	Agree somewhat	40	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	18	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	27	0.5%
6	Disagree	26	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	38	0.7%
97	No answer	426	7.8%
98	Not asked	4796	88.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad2d2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=4 and BW_ran4=2. Respondents are not exposed to vidual stimulation.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	28	0.5%
2	Agree	41	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	37	0.7%

# r5pad2d2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	26	0.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	20	0.4%
6	Disagree	12	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.5%
97	No answer	427	7.8%
98	Not asked	4832	88.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2d1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R5PAD2D1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=4 and BW_ran4=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	91	1.7%
2	Agree	120	2.2%
97	No answer	444	8.1%
98	Not asked	4796	88.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# bw_ran5: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=389 /-] [Invalid=16622 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	197	50.6%
2	2	192	49.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		16622	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2e1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=5 and BW_ran5=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.4%
2	Agree	44	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	42	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	14	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	24	0.4%

# r5pad2e1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	27	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.5%
97	No answer	430	7.9%
98	Not asked	4824	88.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2e2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=5 and BW_ran5=2. Respondents are not exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	22	0.4%
2	Agree	47	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	41	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	15	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	21	0.4%
6	Disagree	24	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.4%
97	No answer	431	7.9%
98	Not asked	4829	88.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2e1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R5PAD2E1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=5 and BW_ran5=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	76	1.4%
2	Agree	107	2.0%
97	No answer	444	8.1%
98	Not asked	4824	88.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# bw_ran6: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=6]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	0	

# bw_ran6: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 and BW_groupsPAD2=6]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2f1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=6 and BW_ran6=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5451	100.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2f2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=6 and BW_ran6=2. Respondents are not exposed to vizual stimulation.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5451	100.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2f1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		

# r5pad2f1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Interviewer's instructions		[Recodes R5PAD2F1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=6 and BW_ran6=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	0	
2	Agree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5451	100.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# bw_ran7: [Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=7]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=17011 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=2 or u=3 andBW_groupsPAD2=7]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		17011	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2g1: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=7 and BW_ran7=1. Respondents are exposed to vizual stimulation.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5451	100.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad2g2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=7 and BW_ran7=2. Respondents are not exposed to vizual stimulation.]	

r5pad2g2: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	0	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	0	
5	Disagree somewhat	0	
6	Disagree	0	
7	Strongly disagree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5451	100.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad2g1_recode: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Recodes R5PAD2G1. Stores group value [disagree,agree] in qcount_1. Recodes if u=2 or u=3, BW_groupsPAD2=7 and BW_ran7=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Disagree	0	
2	Agree	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5451	100.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad3: The extent to which one has a strong opinion on the refugee issue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	To what extent would you say that you have a strong opinion on this question?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Part of the Bandwagon experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	151	2.8%
2	To a great extent	366	6.7%
3	To some extent	407	7.5%
4	Hardly at all	57	1.0%
5	Not at all	6	0.1%
97	No answer	290	5.3%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad4: Knowledge about the number of refugees Norway has decided to accept

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How much knowledge do you think you have about how many refugees Norway has agreed to accept in the next three years?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Part of the Bandwagon experiment.]

r5pad4: Knowledge about the number of refugees Norway has decided to accept

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good knowledge	65	1.2%
2	Good knowledge	372	6.8%
3	Some knowledge	453	8.3%
4	Not much knowledge	81	1.5%
5	No knowledge at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	296	5.4%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad5: Guess the number of residents who agreed to accept more Syrian refugees than has been agreed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	If you were to guess, how many of Norway's citizens do you think would agree that the country should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Part of the Bandwagon experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost everyone	3	0.1%
2	About 2 out of 3	180	3.3%
3	About half	387	7.1%
4	About 1 out of 3	338	6.2%
5	Almost no-one	62	1.1%
97	No answer	307	5.6%
98	Not asked	4174	76.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad3aa: Reason for the answer about Syrian refugees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Can you write the reason for your answer about Syrian refugees?
Interviewer's instructions	<p>We want all types of answers. A couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.</p> <p>[Asked if u=2. Part of the Bandwagon experiment.]</p> <p>[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]</p>

r5ran24: [Randomizes if u=2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1427 /-] [Invalid=15584 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	294	20.6%
2	2	282	19.8%
3	3	287	20.1%
4	4	297	20.8%

r5ran24: [Randomizes if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5	267	18.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		15584	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad6a: PST has requested wiretapping: Muslim fundamentalist group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) has asked for permission to listen to the telephone calls of members or sympathisers of a Muslim fundamentalist group because they believe this will help them in investigating a terrorist case. Some people believe PST should be allowed to do so because they can prevent a terrorist plot at an early stage, others believe they should not be allowed because this would be too much of an intrusion into the private lives of the group.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran24=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	121	2.2%
2	Agree	93	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	52	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	7	0.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	8	0.1%
6	Disagree	8	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5157	94.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad6b: PST has requested wiretapping: Muslim community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) has asked for permission to listen to the telephone calls of members or sympathisers of a Muslim congregation because they believe this will help them in investigating a terrorist case. Some people believe PST should be allowed to do so because they can prevent a terrorist plot at an early stage, others believe they should not be allowed because this would be too much of an intrusion into the private lives of the group.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran24=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	85	1.6%
2	Agree	72	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	67	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	20	0.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	13	0.2%
6	Disagree	16	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.1%

# r5pad6b: PST has requested wiretapping: Muslim community			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5169	94.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad6c: PST has requested wiretapping: Right-wing group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that the Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) has asked for permission to listen to the telephone calls of members or sympathisers of an extreme right wing group because they believe this will help them in investigating a terrorist case. Some people believe PST should be allowed to do so because they can prevent a terrorist plot at an early stage, others believe they should not be allowed because this would be too much of an intrusion into the private lives of the group.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran24=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	93	1.7%
2	Agree	104	1.9%
3	Agree somewhat	56	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	11	0.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	12	0.2%
6	Disagree	6	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	4	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5164	94.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad6d: PST has requested wiretapping: The Progress Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that the Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) has asked for permission to listen to the telephone calls of members or sympathisers of the Progress Party (Fremskrittspartiet) because they believe this will help them in investigating a terrorist case. Some people believe PST should be allowed to do so because they can prevent a terrorist plot at an early stage, others believe they should not be allowed because this would be too much of an intrusion into the private lives of the group.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran24=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	36	0.7%
2	Agree	56	1.0%
3	Agree somewhat	77	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	29	0.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	30	0.6%
6	Disagree	34	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%

# r5pad6d: PST has requested wiretapping: The Progress Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5154	94.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad6e: PST has requested wiretapping: Social group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that the Norwegian Police Security Service (PST) has asked for permission to listen to the telephone calls of a social group because they believe this will help them in investigating a terrorist case. Some people believe PST should be allowed to do so because they can prevent a terrorist plot at an early stage, others believe they should not be allowed because this would be too much of an intrusion into the private lives of the group.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Norwegian Police Security Service should be allowed to listen to telephone calls in this way?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran24=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	72	1.3%
2	Agree	62	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	71	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	13	0.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	20	0.4%
6	Disagree	13	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	14	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5184	95.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran2: [Randomizes if u=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1270 /-] [Invalid=15741 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	337	26.5%
2	2	295	23.2%
3	3	295	23.2%
4	4	343	27.0%
Sysmiss		15741	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc3a: Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send to the neighboring municipality, determined by referendum			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Consider a proposal to accept an additional 50 Syrian refugees into your municipality and provide basic integration services, such as medical care and shelter. These refugees are included in the 8,000 quota and would otherwise be assigned to a neighboring municipality.		
Literal question	Tenk deg at du skal gi din personlige stemme i en offentlig folkeavstemning om dette forslaget. Ville du umiddelbart stemt for eller imot dette forslaget?		

r5oc3a: Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send to the neighboring municipality, determined by referendum

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3 and ran2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	242	4.4%
2	Against	92	1.7%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5114	93.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc3b: Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send to the neighboring municipality, determined by municipal council

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Pre-question Consider a proposal to accept an additional 50 Syrian refugees into your municipality and provide basic integration services, such as medical care and shelter. These refugees are included in the 8,000 quota and would otherwise be assigned to a neighboring municipality.

Literal question Tenk deg at kommunestyret stemmer over dette forslaget. Ville du at kommunestyret skulle stemme for eller imot forslaget på dine vegne?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3 and ran2=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	205	3.8%
2	Against	86	1.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5156	94.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc3c: Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send out of the country, determined by referendum

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Pre-question Consider a proposal to accept an additional 50 Syrian refugees into your municipality and provide basic integration services, such as medical care and shelter. These refugees are not included in the 8,000 quota and would otherwise not be allowed to enter the country.

Literal question Imagine that you are personally voting in a public referendum on this proposal. Would you like to directly vote for or against this proposal?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3 and ran2=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	184	3.4%
2	Against	103	1.9%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5156	94.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc3d: Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send out of the country, determined by municipal council

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

# r5oc3d: Suggestion: Accept another 50 Syrian refugees or send out of the country, determined by municipal council			
Pre-question	Consider a proposal to accept an additional 50 Syrian refugees into your municipality and provide basic integration services, such as medical care and shelter. These refugees are not included in the 8,000 quota and would otherwise not be allowed to enter the country.		
Literal question	Imagine that your municipal council is voting on this proposal. Would you like your municipal council to vote for or against the proposal on your behalf?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran2=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	216	4.0%
2	Against	119	2.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5108	93.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran25: [Randomizes if u=2 OR u=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2692 /-] [Invalid=14319 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2 OR u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	667	24.8%
2	2	691	25.7%
3	3	652	24.2%
4	4	682	25.3%
Sysmiss		14319	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2a_1: Statement, only own municipality: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	The wind turbines will have negative effects locally		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	77	1.4%
2	Slightly disagree	186	3.4%
3	Slightly agree	232	4.3%
4	Strongly agree	62	1.1%
97	No answer	1142	21.0%
98	Not asked	3752	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2a_2: Statement, only own municipality: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

# r5km2a_2: Statement, only own municipality: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	29	0.5%
2	Slightly disagree	69	1.3%
3	Slightly agree	294	5.4%
4	Strongly agree	164	3.0%
97	No answer	1143	21.0%
98	Not asked	3752	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2a_3: Statement, only own municipality: The proposal is reasonable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	The proposal is reasonable		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	59	1.1%
2	Slightly disagree	98	1.8%
3	Slightly agree	294	5.4%
4	Strongly agree	106	1.9%
97	No answer	1142	21.0%
98	Not asked	3752	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5km2a_4: Statement, only own municipality: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	36	0.7%
2	Slightly disagree	86	1.6%
3	Slightly agree	326	6.0%
4	Strongly agree	106	1.9%
97	No answer	1145	21.0%
98	Not asked	3752	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2b_1: Statement, own and other municipality: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will occur in your municipality and another municipality in the county. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	The wind turbines will have negative effects locally		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	62	1.1%
2	Slightly disagree	190	3.5%
3	Slightly agree	233	4.3%
4	Strongly agree	70	1.3%
97	No answer	1145	21.0%
98	Not asked	3751	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2b_2: Statement, own and other municipality: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will occur in your municipality and another municipality in the county. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable		

# r5km2b_2: Statement, own and other municipality: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	38	0.7%
2	Slightly disagree	78	1.4%
3	Slightly agree	290	5.3%
4	Strongly agree	147	2.7%
97	No answer	1147	21.0%
98	Not asked	3751	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2b_3: Statement, own and other municipality: The proposal is reasonable			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will occur in your municipality and another municipality in the county. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?	
Literal question		The proposal is reasonable	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	50	0.9%
2	Slightly disagree	106	1.9%
3	Slightly agree	308	5.7%
4	Strongly agree	89	1.6%
97	No answer	1147	21.0%
98	Not asked	3751	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2b_4: Statement, own and other municipality: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will occur in your municipality and another municipality in the county. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?	
Literal question		It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	35	0.6%
2	Slightly disagree	77	1.4%
3	Slightly agree	331	6.1%
4	Strongly agree	112	2.1%
97	No answer	1145	21.0%

r5km2b_4: Statement, own and other municipality: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3751	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km2c_1: Statement, only own municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?
Literal question	The wind turbines will have negative effects locally
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	65	1.2%
2	Slightly disagree	159	2.9%
3	Slightly agree	224	4.1%
4	Strongly agree	80	1.5%
97	No answer	1182	21.7%
98	Not asked	3741	68.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km2c_2: Statement, only own municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?
Literal question	The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	51	0.9%
2	Slightly disagree	72	1.3%
3	Slightly agree	282	5.2%
4	Strongly agree	125	2.3%
97	No answer	1180	21.6%
98	Not asked	3741	68.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km2c_3: Statement, only own municipality, compensation: The proposal is reasonable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r5km2c_3: Statement, only own municipality, compensation: The proposal is reasonable			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	The proposal is reasonable		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	67	1.2%
2	Slightly disagree	112	2.1%
3	Slightly agree	260	4.8%
4	Strongly agree	86	1.6%
97	No answer	1185	21.7%
98	Not asked	3741	68.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2c_4: Statement, only own municipality, compensation: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	30	0.6%
2	Slightly disagree	100	1.8%
3	Slightly agree	278	5.1%
4	Strongly agree	115	2.1%
97	No answer	1187	21.8%
98	Not asked	3741	68.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2d_1: Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		

r5km2d_1: Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will have negative effects locally

Literal question The wind turbines will have negative effects locally

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	68	1.2%
2	Slightly disagree	209	3.8%
3	Slightly agree	208	3.8%
4	Strongly agree	69	1.3%
97	No answer	1148	21.1%
98	Not asked	3749	68.8%
Systemiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km2d_2: Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Pre-question
 The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by.
 Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?

Literal question The wind turbines will make the energy supply more sustainable

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	33	0.6%
2	Slightly disagree	74	1.4%
3	Slightly agree	286	5.2%
4	Strongly agree	158	2.9%
97	No answer	1151	21.1%
98	Not asked	3749	68.8%
Systemiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km2d_3: Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The proposal is reasonable

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Pre-question
 The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by.
 Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?

Literal question The proposal is reasonable

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	48	0.9%
2	Slightly disagree	104	1.9%

# r5km2d_3: Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: The proposal is reasonable			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Slightly agree	298	5.5%
4	Strongly agree	109	2.0%
97	No answer	1143	21.0%
98	Not asked	3749	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km2d_4: Statement, own and other municipality, compensation: It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The government is considering giving permission for more new wind turbines, so as to increase production of renewable energy in Norway. However such wind turbines can be controversial, because they can be intrusive in the natural surroundings and cause irritating noise for people who live close by. Let us say that the county council proposes to build new wind turbines in the county in which you live and that this will only occur in the municipality that you live in. The government will also offer money to residents to compensate for the negative effects of the new wind turbines. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statements below?		
Literal question	It is my duty as a citizen to help ensure Norway's energy supply		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo and ran25=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	24	0.4%
2	Slightly disagree	104	1.9%
3	Slightly agree	320	5.9%
4	Strongly agree	104	1.9%
97	No answer	1150	21.1%
98	Not asked	3749	68.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km3: Vote if there were a referendum on windmills			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Let us say that the proposal that you just read about will be subject to a referendum. Which way would you vote?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3 and the respondent does not live in Oslo]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	1596	29.3%
2	Against	619	11.4%
97	No answer	307	5.6%
98	Not asked	2929	53.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_1: Cause of global warming: Deforestation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Deforestation		

# r5km4_1: Cause of global warming: Deforestation			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	1121	20.6%
2	Does not cause global warming	111	2.0%
3	Don't know	148	2.7%
97	No answer	51	0.9%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_2: Cause of global warming: CO2 from industry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2) from industry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	1230	22.6%
2	Does not cause global warming	48	0.9%
3	Don't know	110	2.0%
97	No answer	43	0.8%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_3: Cause of global warming: Driving			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Car driving		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	1187	21.8%
2	Does not cause global warming	99	1.8%
3	Don't know	100	1.8%
97	No answer	45	0.8%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_4: Cause of global warming: Oil furnaces for heating			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Oil-fired heating for buildings		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		

# r5km4_4: Cause of global warming: Oil furnaces for heating			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	1036	19.0%
2	Does not cause global warming	153	2.8%
3	Don't know	190	3.5%
97	No answer	52	1.0%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_5: Cause of global warming: Gas-fired power stations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Gas-fired power stations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	914	16.8%
2	Does not cause global warming	163	3.0%
3	Don't know	294	5.4%
97	No answer	60	1.1%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_6: Cause of global warming: Chemical insecticides			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Chemical insecticides		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	495	9.1%
2	Does not cause global warming	357	6.5%
3	Don't know	520	9.5%
97	No answer	59	1.1%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_7: Cause of global warming: Nuclear power stations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Nuclear power stations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		

# r5km4_7: Cause of global warming: Nuclear power stations			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	477	8.8%
2	Does not cause global warming	582	10.7%
3	Don't know	318	5.8%
97	No answer	54	1.0%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_8: Cause of global warming: Thinner ozone layer in the upper atmosphere			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	A thinner ozone layer in the upper atmosphere		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	749	13.7%
2	Does not cause global warming	219	4.0%
3	Don't know	401	7.4%
97	No answer	62	1.1%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_9: Cause of global warming: Use of spray cans			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	The use of spray cans		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	672	12.3%
2	Does not cause global warming	325	6.0%
3	Don't know	378	6.9%
97	No answer	56	1.0%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_10: Cause of global warming: Extraction of oil and gas			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Extraction of oil and gas		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		

# r5km4_10: Cause of global warming: Extraction of oil and gas			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	993	18.2%
2	Does not cause global warming	180	3.3%
3	Don't know	198	3.6%
97	No answer	60	1.1%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_11: Cause of global warming: Production of hydropower in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Production of hydro-electric power in Norway		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	26	0.5%
2	Does not cause global warming	1172	21.5%
3	Don't know	170	3.1%
97	No answer	63	1.2%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km4_12: Cause of global warming: Particle emissions when coal, oil or gas is burned			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As you see it, which of the following factors are causes of global warming?		
Literal question	Particle emissions when coal, oil or gas is burned		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Causes global warming	1132	20.8%
2	Does not cause global warming	112	2.1%
3	Don't know	136	2.5%
97	No answer	51	0.9%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran26: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1413 /-] [Invalid=15598 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomiserer hvis u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	702	49.7%
2	2	711	50.3%

# r5ran26: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15598	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km7a: Do you think your own home will be exposed to future damage due to sea-level rise / high rainfall			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think your own home will be exposed to future damage because of rising sea level or heavy precipitation (floods, landslides etc.)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran26=1. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5KM7B]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very exposed	2	0.0%
2	Quite exposed	17	0.3%
3	Somewhat exposed	65	1.2%
4	Not very exposed	216	4.0%
5	Not exposed	380	7.0%
6	Don't know	16	0.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4749	87.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km7b: Would you emphasized the danger of damage by sea-level rise / high rainfall in the design of your own home today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	If you were choosing or designing your own home today, would you attach importance to the risk of damage because of rising sea level or heavy precipitation (floods, landslides etc.)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran26=1. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5KM7A]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I would not attach importance to this	50	0.9%
2	I would attach a little importance to this	68	1.2%
3	I would attach some importance to this	218	4.0%
4	I would attach quite a lot of importance to this	231	4.2%
5	I would attach great importance to this	130	2.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4749	87.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran27: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1413 /-] [Invalid=15598 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomiserer hvis u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	732	51.8%
2	2	681	48.2%

r5ran27: [Randomizes if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15598	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km8a: Agree-disagree: The government should spend more money in the country to prevent damage from rising sea levels, floods or landslides

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that the authorities should spend more money in the country as a whole to prevent damage from rising sea level, flooding or landslides?
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran27=1]
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	130	2.4%
2	Agree	285	5.2%
3	Agree somewhat	194	3.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	76	1.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	21	0.4%
6	Disagree	10	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	4719	86.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km8b: Agree-disagree: The government should spend more money in your municipality to prevent damage from rising sea levels, floods or landslides

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that the authorities should spend more money in your municipality to prevent damage from rising sea level, flooding or landslides?
-------------------------	---

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran27=2]
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	90	1.7%
2	Agree	193	3.5%
3	Agree somewhat	155	2.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	139	2.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	40	0.7%
6	Disagree	40	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4770	87.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km9_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?
---------------------	--

# r5km9_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems			
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve our climate problems.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	71	1.3%
2	Agree	220	4.0%
3	Agree somewhat	539	9.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	285	5.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	168	3.1%
6	Disagree	86	1.6%
7	Strongly disagree	24	0.4%
97	No answer	38	0.7%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km9_2: Statement: Citizens in rich countries like Norway should reduce consumption to solve the climate challenge			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the challenging climate changes facing us.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	226	4.1%
2	Agree	448	8.2%
3	Agree somewhat	442	8.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	132	2.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	59	1.1%
6	Disagree	56	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	33	0.6%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km11: Would you say that your workplace is in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Would you say that your workplace is in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and the respondent has received this question in previous waves without answering]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I work in the oil or gas industry	8	0.1%
2	Yes, my work is closely associated with the oil or gas industry	13	0.2%
3	No	429	7.9%
97	No answer	27	0.5%

# r5km11: Would you say that your workplace is in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4974	91.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km6: How concerned are you about climate change?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	140	2.6%
2	Concerned	410	7.5%
3	Slightly concerned	547	10.0%
4	Not very concerned	252	4.6%
5	Not at all concerned	52	1.0%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5km10: Statements that describes your view on climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your views on climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	Select one of the alternatives. [Asked if u=2 and the respondent has received this question in previous waves without answering]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I think that the climate is not changing.	0	
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	2	0.0%
3	I think that the climate is changing, but that it has only been slightly affected by humans.	10	0.2%
4	I think that the climate is changing, mainly as a result of human influences.	16	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5420	99.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran1: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1411 /-] [Invalid=15600 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	720	51.0%
2	2	691	49.0%

# r5ran1: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_norm_array: Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4a_norm			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1411 /-] [Invalid=15600 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses a value between 1 and 7, if u=2 and ran1=1. Thus selecting a random question in R5OC4a_norm]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		110	15.3%
2		81	11.2%
3		100	13.9%
4		102	14.2%
5		115	16.0%
6		120	16.7%
7		92	12.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_pos_array: Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4a_pos			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1411 /-] [Invalid=15600 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses a value between 1 and 8, if u=2 and ran1=1. Thus selecting a random question in R5OC4a_pos]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		83	11.5%
2		91	12.6%
3		100	13.9%
4		73	10.1%
5		109	15.1%
6		78	10.8%
7		90	12.5%
8		96	13.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_norm_1: Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_norm_array=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	23	0.4%
2	Somewhat willing	53	1.0%
3	Somewhat unwilling	23	0.4%
4	Not willing at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5341	98.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r5oc4a_norm_2: Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment if the government reduced other taxes by the same amount

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government reduced other taxes you pay by the same amount?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_norm_array=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	33	0.6%
2	Somewhat willing	34	0.6%
3	Somewhat unwilling	8	0.1%
4	Not willing at all	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5370	98.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4a_norm_3: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government used extra revenues on environmental protection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_norm_array=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	14	0.3%
2	Somewhat willing	47	0.9%
3	Somewhat unwilling	21	0.4%
4	Not willing at all	16	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5351	98.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4a_norm_4: Willing to pay higher taxes: if authorities used extra revenues on environmental protection and other taxes were reduced by the same amount

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment and reduced other taxes you pay by the same amount
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_norm_array=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	19	0.3%
2	Somewhat willing	55	1.0%
3	Somewhat unwilling	16	0.3%
4	Not willing at all	9	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5349	98.1%
Sysmiss		11560	

r5oc4a_norm_4: Willing to pay higher taxes: if authorities used extra revenues on environmental protection and other taxes were reduced by the same amount

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4a_norm_5: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra revenues on environmental protection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_norm_array=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	10	0.2%
2	Somewhat willing	70	1.3%
3	Somewhat unwilling	17	0.3%
4	Not willing at all	17	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5336	97.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4a_norm_6: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra income on environmental protection and reduced other taxes by the same amount

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment and reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_norm_array=6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	24	0.4%
2	Somewhat willing	63	1.2%
3	Somewhat unwilling	21	0.4%
4	Not willing at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5331	97.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4a_norm_7: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to reduce other taxes by the same amount

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government promised to reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_norm_array=7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	33	0.6%
2	Somewhat willing	42	0.8%
3	Somewhat unwilling	11	0.2%
4	Not willing at all	5	0.1%

# r5oc4a_norm_7: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to reduce other taxes by the same amount			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5359	98.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_pos_1: How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	20	0.4%
2	2	27	0.5%
3	3	26	0.5%
4	4	8	0.1%
5	5 Not at all	2	0.0%
97	No answer	96	1.8%
98	Not asked	5272	96.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_pos_2: How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	4	0.1%
2	2	31	0.6%
3	3	32	0.6%
4	4	21	0.4%
5	5 Not at all	2	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5360	98.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_pos_3: How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	23	0.4%
2	2	37	0.7%

r5oc4a_pos_3: How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	30	0.6%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5351	98.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc4a_pos_4: How much do you think pollution will harm the health of people in Norway in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm the health of people in Norway in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	11	0.2%
2	2	21	0.4%
3	3	32	0.6%
4	4	6	0.1%
5	5 Not at all	2	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5378	98.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_pos_5: How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	33	0.6%
2	2	47	0.9%
3	3	18	0.3%
4	4	11	0.2%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5342	98.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_pos_6: How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	11	0.2%
2	2	22	0.4%
3	3	35	0.6%
4	4	9	0.2%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5373	98.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5oc4a_pos_7: How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	19	0.3%
2	2	43	0.8%
3	3	18	0.3%
4	4	9	0.2%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5361	98.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4a_pos_8: How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4a_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4a_pos_array=8]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	9	0.2%
2	2	42	0.8%
3	3	34	0.6%
4	4	10	0.2%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5355	98.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4b_pos_array: Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4b_pos			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1411 /-] [Invalid=15600 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses a value between 1 and 8, if u=2 and ran1=2. Thus selecting a random question in R5OC4b_pos]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		65	9.4%
2		81	11.7%
3		84	12.2%
4		88	12.7%
5		79	11.4%
6		109	15.8%
7		84	12.2%
8		101	14.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5oc4b_norm_array: Background variable for random selection of question in r5oc4b_norm			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1411 /-] [Invalid=15600 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses a value between 1 and 7, if u=2 and ran1=2. Thus selecting a random question in R5OC4b_norm]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	91	13.2%
2		99	14.3%
3		107	15.5%
4		92	13.3%
5		86	12.4%
6		121	17.5%
7		95	13.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc4b_pos_1: How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming global health today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	12	0.2%
2	2	33	0.6%
3	3	17	0.3%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5386	98.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc4b_pos_2: How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming the health of people in Norway today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	2	0.0%
2	2	24	0.4%
3	3	33	0.6%
4	4	19	0.3%
5	5 Not at all	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5370	98.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc4b_pos_3: How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm global health in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	17	0.3%
2	2	31	0.6%
3	3	30	0.6%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5367	98.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4b_pos_4: How much do you think pollution will harm the health of people in Norway in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm the health of people in Norway in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	3	0.1%
2	2	32	0.6%
3	3	35	0.6%
4	4	16	0.3%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5363	98.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4b_pos_5: How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming the global environment today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	23	0.4%
2	2	28	0.5%
3	3	20	0.4%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5 Not at all	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5372	98.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5oc4b_pos_6: How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution is harming the environment in Norway today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	11	0.2%
2	2	37	0.7%
3	3	48	0.9%
4	4	13	0.2%
5	5 Not at all	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5342	98.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4b_pos_7: How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm the global environment in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	19	0.3%
2	2	33	0.6%
3	3	23	0.4%
4	4	8	0.1%
5	5 Not at all	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5367	98.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4b_pos_8: How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think pollution will harm the environment in Norway in the future?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_pos at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_pos_array=8]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very much	8	0.1%
2	2	33	0.6%
3	3	37	0.7%
4	4	18	0.3%
5	5 Not at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5350	98.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5oc4b_norm_1: Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_norm_array=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	23	0.4%
2	Somewhat willing	39	0.7%
3	Somewhat unwilling	20	0.4%
4	Not willing at all	9	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5360	98.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4b_norm_2: Willing to pay higher taxes: to protect the environment if the government reduced other taxes by the same amount			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government reduced other taxes you pay by the same amount?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_norm_array=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	29	0.5%
2	Somewhat willing	46	0.8%
3	Somewhat unwilling	12	0.2%
4	Not willing at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5352	98.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc4b_norm_3: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government used extra revenues on environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_norm_array=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	17	0.3%
2	Somewhat willing	52	1.0%
3	Somewhat unwilling	21	0.4%
4	Not willing at all	16	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5344	98.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r5oc4b_norm_4: Willing to pay higher taxes: if authorities used extra revenues on environmental protection and other taxes were reduced by the same amount

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government spent the extra money on protecting the environment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_norm_array=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	20	0.4%
2	Somewhat willing	46	0.8%
3	Somewhat unwilling	17	0.3%
4	Not willing at all	8	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5359	98.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4b_norm_5: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra revenues on environmental protection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_norm_array=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	17	0.3%
2	Somewhat willing	43	0.8%
3	Somewhat unwilling	15	0.3%
4	Not willing at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5365	98.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4b_norm_6: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra income on environmental protection and reduced other taxes by the same amount

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes, if the government promised it would spend the extra money on protecting the environment and reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_norm_array=6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	27	0.5%
2	Somewhat willing	55	1.0%
3	Somewhat unwilling	19	0.3%
4	Not willing at all	16	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5330	97.8%

r5oc4b_norm_6: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to spend extra income on environmental protection and reduced other taxes by the same amount

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc4b_norm_7: Willing to pay higher taxes: if the government promised to reduce other taxes by the same amount

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Literal question	How willing would you be to pay higher taxes in order to protect the environment, if the government promised to reduce other taxes you pay by the same amount?
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents are asked a question in R5OC4b_norm at random. Asked if u=2, ran1=1 and r5oc4b_norm_array=7]
-----------------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	31	0.6%
2	Somewhat willing	50	0.9%
3	Somewhat unwilling	10	0.2%
4	Not willing at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5356	98.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5km12: Thought Experiment: 900 kr for the other and 100 kr for you

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Literal question	Now for a thinking experiment: imagine that a stranger on the street asks you and another random passer-by to play a game. The idea of the game is that this stranger wishes to share 1,000 kroner between you and the other player. The stranger proposes to give 900 kroner to the other player and 100 kroner to you. You can say either yes or no to this offer. If you say yes, you get the amount that the stranger suggested. If you say no, neither you nor the other player gets anything. What would you do in this situation?
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 OR u=3]
-----------------------------------	-----------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Say yes and accept the offer	1295	23.8%
2	Say no and reject the offer	1321	24.2%
97	No answer	92	1.7%
98	Not asked	2743	50.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ran5: [Randomizes if u=2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1408 /-] [Invalid=15603 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]
-----------------------------------	---------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	452	32.1%
2	2	514	36.5%
3	3	442	31.4%
Sysmiss		15603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc6: Mauritius: Difficult economic conditions mean that people can be compared to very sick or paralyzed patients

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Foreign aid is money that the Norwegian government sends to people in poor countries to help them fight poverty. A lot of the aid money that the Norway sends overseas goes to less developed countries like Mauritius [and poor Mauritian families like the one pictured above.] The families often receive the aid as particular goods and services, such as school materials or doctors visits.</p> <p>Please answer the following questions. Don't worry if you've never heard of Mauritius or don't know much about it. Just give your best answers.</p> <p>For the first three questions, 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 5 means you agree completely with the statement on the right. If your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.</p>
Literal question	Because of difficult economic circumstances, people in Mauritius are like extremely sick or paralyzed patients. They ARE COMPLETELY UNABLE to help themselves / Despite difficult economic circumstances, individuals in Mauritius ARE ABLE to do things that can help themselves get richer
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vidual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vidual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Because of difficult economic circumstances, people in Mauritius are like extremely sick or paralyzed patients. They ARE COMPLETELY UNABLE to help themselves	19	0.3%
2	2	95	1.7%
3	3	417	7.6%
4	4	367	6.7%
5	5 Despite difficult economic circumstances, individuals in Mauritius ARE ABLE to do things that can help themselves get richer	467	8.6%
97	No answer	66	1.2%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc7: Mauritius: Regarding welfare, the individuals are victims of circumstances beyond their control

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Foreign aid is money that the Norwegian government sends to people in poor countries to help them fight poverty. A lot of the aid money that the Norway sends overseas goes to less developed countries like Mauritius [and poor Mauritian families like the one pictured above.] The families often receive the aid as particular goods and services, such as school materials or doctors visits.</p> <p>Please answer the following questions. Don't worry if you've never heard of Mauritius or don't know much about it. Just give your best answers.</p> <p>For the first three questions, 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 5 means you agree completely with the statement on the right. If your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.</p>
Literal question	When it comes to their well-being, individuals in Mauritius are victims of circumstances beyond their control / Individuals in Mauritius are capable of taking planned actions that improve their economic well-being
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vidual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vidual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 When it comes to their well-being, individuals in Mauritius are victims of circumstances beyond their control	84	1.5%
2	2	267	4.9%
3	3	470	8.6%
4	4	273	5.0%

r5oc7: Mauritius: Regarding welfare, the individuals are victims of circumstances beyond their control

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5 Individuals in Mauritius are capable of taking planned actions that improve their economic well-being	250	4.6%
97	No answer	87	1.6%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc8: Mauritius: There is a lot of Mauritius and its inhabitants themselves can do to change their situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Foreign aid is money that the Norwegian government sends to people in poor countries to help them fight poverty. A lot of the aid money that the Norway sends overseas goes to less developed countries like Mauritius [and poor Mauritian families like the one pictured above.] The families often receive the aid as particular goods and services, such as school materials or doctors visits.</p> <p>Please answer the following questions. Don't worry if you've never heard of Mauritius or don't know much about it. Just give your best answers.</p> <p>For the first three questions, 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 5 means you agree completely with the statement on the right. If your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.</p>
Literal question	There is A LOT that Mauritius and its citizens can do by themselves to improve their own livelihoods / There is LITTLE that Mauritius and its citizens can do by themselves to improve their own livelihoods
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 There is A LOT that Mauritius and its citizens can do by themselves to improve their own livelihoods	268	4.9%
2	2	401	7.4%
3	3	552	10.1%
4	4	115	2.1%
5	5 There is LITTLE that Mauritius and its citizens can do by themselves to improve their own livelihoods	22	0.4%
97	No answer	73	1.3%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc9: How close do you feel culturally to the people of Mauritius?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How close do you feel culturally to the people of Mauritius?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	12	0.2%
2	Somewhat close	185	3.4%
3	Only a little bit close	398	7.3%
4	Not close at all	760	13.9%
97	No answer	76	1.4%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%

# r5oc9: How close do you feel culturally to the people of Mauritius?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
System		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc10: How much would it bother you if an immigrant from Mauritius married a relative of yours?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much would it bother you if an immigrant from Mauritius married a relative of yours?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vidual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vidual stimulation See appendix.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Would not bother me at all	783	14.4%
2	Would bother me slightly	453	8.3%
3	Would bother me a good deal	91	1.7%
4	Would bother me a lot	31	0.6%
97	No answer	73	1.3%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
System		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc11: How much per capita Norwegian do you think the government should spend on foreign aid?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Each year the Norwegian government gives about \$600 of each Norwegian's income to foreign countries as foreign aid. Many people think this is too low, others think it is too high, and still others think it is about right. How much per Norwegian do you think the government should spend on foreign aid?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vidual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vidual stimulation See appendix.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	\$0. Norway should not give any foreign aid	35	0.6%
2	\$1 to \$299. Norway should lower the amount by a lot	109	2.0%
3	\$300 to \$599. Norway should lower the amount by a little	153	2.8%
4	\$600. The current amount is about right	641	11.8%
5	\$601 to \$899. Norway should raise the amount by a little	249	4.6%
6	\$900 to \$1199. Norway should raise the amount by a lot	96	1.8%
7	\$1200 or more. Norway should raise the amount by a huge sum	82	1.5%
97	No answer	66	1.2%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
System		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5oc12: Should Norway's foreign aid to Mauritius increased, reduced or kept equal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What about aid to Mauritius specifically? Last year, foreign aid from wealthy countries to Mauritius was about 1% of that country's total income. Do you think that Norwegian spending on foreign aid to Mauritius should increase, decrease or be kept about the same?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vidual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vidual stimulation See appendix.]		

r5oc12: Should Norway's foreign aid to Mauritius increased, reduced or kept equal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It should decrease to zero	41	0.8%
2	It should decrease a lot	63	1.2%
3	It should decrease a little	120	2.2%
4	It should stay the same	702	12.9%
5	It should increase a little	321	5.9%
6	It should increase a lot	61	1.1%
7	It should increase by a huge sum	18	0.3%
97	No answer	105	1.9%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc13: Statement: Norway has a moral obligation to provide financial assistance to poor countries like Mauritius

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Norway DOES have a moral obligation to financially assist foreign poor countries like Mauritius
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Norway DOES have a moral obligation to financially assist foreign poor countries like Mauritius	464	8.5%
2	2	344	6.3%
3	3	342	6.3%
4	4	112	2.1%
5	5 Norway DOES NOT have a moral obligation to financially assist foreign poor countries like Mauritius	104	1.9%
97	No answer	65	1.2%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc14: What percentage of Mauritius' population think you are black?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What percent of people in Mauritius are black?
Interviewer's instructions	Note that you have only 15 seconds to answer this question. Just take your best guess if you do not know. [Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 %.	14	0.3%
2	10 %.	54	1.0%
3	20 %.	94	1.7%
4	30 %.	148	2.7%
5	40 %.	140	2.6%
6	50 %.	200	3.7%

# r5oc14: What percentage of Mauritius' population think you are black?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	60 %.	180	3.3%
8	70 %.	203	3.7%
9	80 %.	158	2.9%
10	90 %.	134	2.5%
11	100 %.	24	0.4%
97	No answer	82	1.5%
98	Not asked	4020	73.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc15_1: News about African countries: Violence, war and terrorism	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	Violence, war, and terrorism
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Violence, war, and terrorism	876	17.7%
97	No answer	41	0.8%
98	Not asked	4020	81.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc15_2: News about African countries: Business, markets, and trade	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	Business, markets, and trade
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Business, markets, and trade	59	1.4%
97	No answer	41	1.0%
98	Not asked	4020	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc15_3: News about African countries: Growing economies	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	Growing economies
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

r5oc15_3: News about African countries: Growing economies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Growing economies	282	6.5%
97	No answer	41	0.9%
98	Not asked	4020	92.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc15_4: News about African countries: Poverty, hunger, and famine

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	Poverty, hunger, and famine
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Poverty, hunger, and famine	723	15.1%
97	No answer	41	0.9%
98	Not asked	4020	84.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc15_5: News about African countries: HIV/AIDS, Ebola, and other diseases

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	HIV/AIDS, Ebola, and other diseases
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIV/AIDS, Ebola, and other diseases	552	12.0%
97	No answer	41	0.9%
98	Not asked	4020	87.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5oc15_6: News about African countries: Entertainment and sports

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	Entertainment and sports
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Entertainment and sports	16	0.4%
97	No answer	41	1.0%
98	Not asked	4020	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc15_7: News about African countries: Other	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	Other (fill in the blank)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other (fill in the blank)	32	0.8%
97	No answer	41	1.0%
98	Not asked	4020	98.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc15_8: News about African countries: I do not hear news about African countries	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	When you think about news stories you have heard about African countries, which of the following topics come to mind? You may choose up to two.
Literal question	I do not hear news about African countries
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I do not hear news about African countries	12	0.3%
97	No answer	41	1.0%
98	Not asked	4020	98.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5oc15_7_other: News about African countries: Other [anonymized]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Note: If ran5=1 Or 2=Respondents was exposed to vizual stimulation, if ran5=3 respondents was not exposed to vizual stimulation See appendix.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# r5ocreasm5a_1: 1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Extremely dissatisfied	3	0.1%
2	1	3	0.1%
3	2	6	0.1%
4	3	19	0.3%
5	4	21	0.4%
6	5	64	1.2%

r5ocreasm5a_1: 1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	6	54	1.0%
8	7	111	2.0%
9	8	95	1.7%
10	9	27	0.5%
11	10 Extremely satisfied	3	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm5b_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Very dissatisfied	3	0.1%
2	1	3	0.1%
3	2	12	0.2%
4	3	21	0.4%
5	4	29	0.5%
6	5	54	1.0%
7	6	64	1.2%
8	7	105	1.9%
9	8	87	1.6%
10	9	36	0.7%
11	10 Very satisfied	23	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm5c_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	On the whole how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Very dissatisfied	4	0.1%
2	1	2	0.0%
3	2	4	0.1%
4	3	21	0.4%
5	4	40	0.7%
6	5	61	1.1%
7	6	63	1.2%

r5ocreasm5c_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the current economic situation in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	7	115	2.1%
9	8	70	1.3%
10	9	23	0.4%
11	10 Very satisfied	11	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm6a_1: 1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the way the government is doing its job

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Extremely dissatisfied	14	0.3%
2	1	9	0.2%
3	2	21	0.4%
4	3	59	1.1%
5	4	45	0.8%
6	5	68	1.2%
7	6	57	1.0%
8	7	68	1.2%
9	8	51	0.9%
10	9	10	0.2%
11	10 Extremely satisfied	0	
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm6b_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the way the government is doing its job

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Very dissatisfied	18	0.3%
2	1	7	0.1%
3	2	41	0.8%
4	3	55	1.0%
5	4	51	0.9%
6	5	56	1.0%
7	6	69	1.3%
8	7	66	1.2%

# r5ocreasm6b_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the way the government is doing its job			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	8	53	1.0%
10	9	15	0.3%
11	10 Very satisfied	5	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm6c_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the way the government is doing its job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the Norwegian government, how satisfied are you with the way it is doing its job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Very dissatisfied	15	0.3%
2	1	21	0.4%
3	2	20	0.4%
4	3	50	0.9%
5	4	45	0.8%
6	5	61	1.1%
7	6	56	1.0%
8	7	86	1.6%
9	8	42	0.8%
10	9	13	0.2%
11	10 Very satisfied	1	0.0%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm7a_1: 1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the way democracy works			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Extremely dissatisfied	4	0.1%
2	1	3	0.1%
3	2	4	0.1%
4	3	11	0.2%
5	4	27	0.5%
6	5	41	0.8%
7	6	47	0.9%
8	7	98	1.8%
9	8	110	2.0%

r5ocreasm7a_1: 1-10, Extremely: satisfied with the way democracy works

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	9	50	0.9%
11	10 Extremely satisfied	10	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm7b_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the way democracy works

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Very dissatisfied	3	0.1%
2	1	5	0.1%
3	2	10	0.2%
4	3	11	0.2%
5	4	24	0.4%
6	5	41	0.8%
7	6	45	0.8%
8	7	95	1.7%
9	8	100	1.8%
10	9	62	1.1%
11	10 Very satisfied	37	0.7%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5ocreasm7c_1: 1-10, Very: satisfied with the way democracy works			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	And on the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Very dissatisfied	2	0.0%
2	1	3	0.1%
3	2	4	0.1%
4	3	15	0.3%
5	4	32	0.6%
6	5	42	0.8%
7	6	36	0.7%
8	7	94	1.7%
9	8	106	1.9%
10	9	46	0.8%
11	10 Very satisfied	25	0.5%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm8a_1: 1-10, Trust: The parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please indicate on a score of 0-10 how much you personally trust each of these institutions. 0 means you do not trust the institution at all and 10 means you have complete trust.		
Literal question	The parliament		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not trust at all	4	0.1%
2	1	5	0.1%
3	2	6	0.1%
4	3	13	0.2%
5	4	27	0.5%
6	5	37	0.7%
7	6	56	1.0%
8	7	70	1.3%
9	8	104	1.9%
10	9	55	1.0%
11	10 Complete trust	27	0.5%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5ocreasm8a_2: 1-10, Trust: The judiciary	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate on a score of 0-10 how much you personally trust each of these institutions. 0 means you do not trust the institution at all and 10 means you have complete trust.
Literal question	The judiciary
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not trust at all	1	0.0%
2	1	5	0.1%
3	2	4	0.1%
4	3	8	0.1%
5	4	10	0.2%
6	5	22	0.4%
7	6	29	0.5%
8	7	53	1.0%
9	8	103	1.9%
10	9	97	1.8%
11	10 Complete trust	70	1.3%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5ocreasm8a_3: 1-10, Trust: The police	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate on a score of 0-10 how much you personally trust each of these institutions. 0 means you do not trust the institution at all and 10 means you have complete trust.
Literal question	The police
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not trust at all	2	0.0%
2	1	7	0.1%
3	2	3	0.1%
4	3	7	0.1%
5	4	13	0.2%
6	5	29	0.5%
7	6	36	0.7%
8	7	68	1.2%
9	8	105	1.9%
10	9	85	1.6%
11	10 Complete trust	47	0.9%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5038	92.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5ocreasm8b_1: 1-5, Trust: The parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please mark how much you personally trust each of the institutions and actors listed below.		
Literal question	The parliament		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No trust at all	6	0.1%
2	1	27	0.5%
3	2	108	2.0%
4	3	220	4.0%
5	4 Complete trust	74	1.4%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm8b_2: 1-5, Trust: The judiciary			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please mark how much you personally trust each of the institutions and actors listed below.		
Literal question	The judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No trust at all	5	0.1%
2	1	19	0.3%
3	2	59	1.1%
4	3	195	3.6%
5	4 Complete trust	156	2.9%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ocreasm8b_3: 1-5, Trust: The police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please mark how much you personally trust each of the institutions and actors listed below.		
Literal question	The police		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No trust at all	10	0.2%
2	1	28	0.5%
3	2	73	1.3%
4	3	216	4.0%
5	4 Complete trust	108	2.0%

r5ocreasm8b_3: 1-5, Trust: The police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5009	91.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm8c_1: 1-5, Trust: The parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Please mark how much you personally trust each of the institutions and actors listed below.
Literal question	The parliament
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No trust at all	6	0.1%
2	1	21	0.4%
3	2	105	1.9%
4	3	212	3.9%
5	4 Complete trust	66	1.2%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm8c_2: 1-5, Trust: The judiciary

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Please mark how much you personally trust each of the institutions and actors listed below.
Literal question	The judiciary
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No trust at all	3	0.1%
2	1	12	0.2%
3	2	69	1.3%
4	3	227	4.2%
5	4 Complete trust	99	1.8%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ocreasm8c_3: 1-5, Trust: The police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Please mark how much you personally trust each of the institutions and actors listed below.
Literal question	The police
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran20=3]

r5ocreasm8c_3: 1-5, Trust: The police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No trust at all	4	0.1%
2	1	26	0.5%
3	2	89	1.6%
4	3	224	4.1%
5	4 Complete trust	66	1.2%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5029	92.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv1: Advantage / disadvantage for Norway that immigrants are coming to live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	21	0.4%
2	Great advantage	204	3.7%
3	Slightly advantageous	487	8.9%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	220	4.0%
5	A slight disadvantage	294	5.4%
6	A great disadvantage	122	2.2%
7	Very great disadvantage	57	1.0%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv4: How important is immigration policy to you personally?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	200	3.7%
2	Important	557	10.2%
3	Somewhat important	525	9.6%
4	Not very important	114	2.1%
5	Not important at all	11	0.2%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv6: Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r5dv6: Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	276	5.1%
2	The Progress Party	304	5.6%
3	The Conservative Party	196	3.6%
4	The Socialist Left Party	105	1.9%
5	The Centre Party	29	0.5%
6	The Christian Democrats	95	1.7%
7	The Liberal Party	74	1.4%
8	The Red Party	29	0.5%
9	The Green Party	14	0.3%
10	Other	11	0.2%
11	None	237	4.3%
97	No answer	48	0.9%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv6_10_other: Which party do you think has the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question		Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
# r5ran6: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1410 /-] [Invalid=15601 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	691	49.0%
2	2	719	51.0%
Sysmiss		15601	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_negative: Beggars: Does the respondent express a general negative attitude?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?	
Interviewer's instructions		Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	384	7.0%

r5rom1a_LL_negative: Beggars: Does the respondent express a general negative attitude?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	223	4.1%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom1a_LL_positive: Beggars: Does the respondent express a general positive attitude?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	594	10.9%
1	Yes	13	0.2%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom1a_LL_neutral: Beggars: Does the respondent express a general neutral attitude?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	236	4.3%
1	Yes	371	6.8%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom1a_LL_mentroma: Beggars: Does the respondent mention 'Roma people' or similar?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	451	8.3%
1	Yes	156	2.9%
97	No answer	84	1.5%

# r5rom1a_LL_mentroma: Beggars: Does the respondent mention 'Roma people' or similar?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_foreign: Beggars: Does the respondent mention foreign countries or foreign citizens?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	394	7.2%
1	Yes	213	3.9%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_homeless: Beggars: Does the respondent mention 'homeless' or synonymous words?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	539	9.9%
1	Yes	68	1.2%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_sympathy: Beggars: Does the respondent express sympathy?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	571	10.5%
1	Yes	36	0.7%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

# r5rom1a_LL_sympathy: Beggars: Does the respondent express sympathy?			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_poor: Beggars: Does the respondent mention poverty?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	448	8.2%
1	Yes	159	2.9%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_immigration: Beggars: Does the respondent relate this word to immigration policies?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	559	10.3%
1	Yes	48	0.9%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_welfare: Beggars: Does the respondent relate this word to welfare policies?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	555	10.2%
1	Yes	52	1.0%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_reason: Beggars: Does the respondent question the reason for begging?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

# r5rom1a_LL_reason: Beggars: Does the respondent question the reason for begging?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	586	10.8%
1	Yes	21	0.4%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_organized: Beggars: Does the respondent mention the word organized or similar?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	561	10.3%
1	Yes	46	0.8%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_criminal: Beggars: Does the respondent mention 'criminal' or criminal activities?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	560	10.3%
1	Yes	47	0.9%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_workattitude: Beggars: Does the respondent mention work attitude or similar?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer.		

# r5rom1a_LL_workattitude: Beggars: Does the respondent mention work attitude or similar?			
		[Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	572	10.5%
1	Yes	35	0.6%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_lazy: Beggars: Does the respondent mention the word 'lazy' or synonymous words?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	590	10.8%
1	Yes	17	0.3%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_beggarscup: Beggars: Does the respondent mention the beggars cup?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	565	10.4%
1	Yes	42	0.8%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1a_LL_gypsy: Beggars: Does the respondent mention the word gypsy or 'sigøyner'?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the word "beggar"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=1]		

# r5rom1a_LL_gypsy: Beggars: Does the respondent mention the word gypsy or 'sigøyner'?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	594	10.9%
1	Yes	13	0.2%
97	No answer	84	1.5%
98	Not asked	4760	87.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_negative: Roma people: Does the respondent express a general negative attitude?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	451	8.3%
1	Yes	185	3.4%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_positive: Roma people: Does the respondent express a general positive attitude?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	617	11.3%
1	Yes	19	0.3%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_neutral: Roma people: Does the respondent express a general neutral attitude?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	207	3.8%
1	Yes	429	7.9%

r5rom1b_LL_neutral: Roma people: Does the respondent express a general neutral attitude?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom1b_LL_mentbeggar: Roma people: Does the respondent mention the word beggar or synonymous words?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	372	6.8%
1	Yes	264	4.8%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom1b_LL_poor: Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'poverty' or synonymous words?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	535	9.8%
1	Yes	101	1.9%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom1b_LL_sympathy: Roma people: Does the respondent express sympathy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	616	11.3%
1	Yes	20	0.4%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%

# r5rom1b_LL_sympathy: Roma people: Does the respondent express sympathy?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_discrimination: Roma people: Does the respondent mention discrimination or similar terms?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	546	10.0%
1	Yes	90	1.7%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_integration: Roma people: Does the respondent mention integration work or similar?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	605	11.1%
1	Yes	31	0.6%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_lazy: Roma people: Does the respondent mention the word 'lazy' or synonymous words?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	624	11.4%
1	Yes	12	0.2%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5rom1b_LL_workattitude: Roma people: Does the respondent mention work attitude or similar?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	613	11.2%
1	Yes	23	0.4%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_globalization: Roma people: Does the respondent relate this word to immigration policies or global issues?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	600	11.0%
1	Yes	36	0.7%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_welfare: Roma people: Does the respondent relate this word to welfare policies?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	623	11.4%
1	Yes	13	0.2%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_organized: Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'organized' or synonymous words?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		

# r5rom1b_LL_organized: Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'organized' or synonymous words?			
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	614	11.3%
1	Yes	22	0.4%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_criminal: Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'criminal' or other criminal activities?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	556	10.2%
1	Yes	80	1.5%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_gypsy: Roma people: Does the respondent mention the word 'gypsy' or 'sigøyner'?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	492	9.0%
1	Yes	144	2.6%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_violence: Roma people: Does the respondent mention violence?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words “Roma people”?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer.		

# r5rom1b_LL_violence: Roma people: Does the respondent mention violence?			
		[Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	631	11.6%
1	Yes	5	0.1%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_noaffiliation: Roma people: Does the respondent mention affiliation-issue or similar?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?	
Interviewer's instructions		Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	617	11.3%
1	Yes	19	0.3%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_mafiafamily: Roma people: Does the respondent mention family or similar words describing a network or Mafia-tendencies?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?	
Interviewer's instructions		Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	630	11.6%
1	Yes	6	0.1%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5rom1b_LL_travelers: Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'traveling' or synonymous words?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	546	10.0%
1	Yes	90	1.7%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_free: Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'freedom' or synonymous words?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	633	11.6%
1	Yes	3	0.1%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom1b_LL_dirty: Roma people: Does the respondent mention 'dirty' or synonymous words?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think about when you hear the words "Roma people"?		
Interviewer's instructions	Write as much or as little as you wish. We are grateful for all types of answer. [Asked if u=4 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	611	11.2%
1	Yes	25	0.5%
97	No answer	83	1.5%
98	Not asked	4732	86.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom2_1: Statement: Roma people are particularly gifted artistically			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Below is a list of statements that have been made about Roma people. As you see it, how true or untrue are these statement?		

# r5rom2_1: Statement: Roma people are particularly gifted artistically			
Literal question	Roma people are particularly gifted artistically		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely true	3	0.1%
2	Largely true	71	1.3%
3	Somewhat true	286	5.2%
4	Hardly true at all	197	3.6%
5	Completely untrue	92	1.7%
6	Impossible to answer	719	13.2%
97	No answer	50	0.9%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom2_2: Statement: Roma people do not want to work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Below is a list of statements that have been made about Roma people. As you see it, how true or untrue are these statement?		
Literal question	Roma people do not want to work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely true	101	1.9%
2	Largely true	192	3.5%
3	Somewhat true	328	6.0%
4	Hardly true at all	251	4.6%
5	Completely untrue	120	2.2%
6	Impossible to answer	378	6.9%
97	No answer	48	0.9%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom2_3: Statement: Roma people are criminals			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Below is a list of statements that have been made about Roma people. As you see it, how true or untrue are these statement?		
Literal question	Roma people are criminals		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely true	60	1.1%
2	Largely true	126	2.3%
3	Somewhat true	499	9.2%
4	Hardly true at all	279	5.1%
5	Completely untrue	64	1.2%
6	Impossible to answer	340	6.2%

r5rom2_3: Statement: Roma people are criminals

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	50	0.9%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom2_4: Statement: Roma people love their families

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Below is a list of statements that have been made about Roma people. As you see it, how true or untrue are these statement?
Literal question	Roma people love their families
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely true	124	2.3%
2	Largely true	506	9.3%
3	Somewhat true	248	4.5%
4	Hardly true at all	45	0.8%
5	Completely untrue	20	0.4%
6	Impossible to answer	429	7.9%
97	No answer	46	0.8%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom2_5: Statement: Roma people are honest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Below is a list of statements that have been made about Roma people. As you see it, how true or untrue are these statement?
Literal question	Roma people are honest
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely true	8	0.1%
2	Largely true	83	1.5%
3	Somewhat true	285	5.2%
4	Hardly true at all	319	5.9%
5	Completely untrue	166	3.0%
6	Impossible to answer	510	9.4%
97	No answer	47	0.9%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom2_6: Statement: Roma people have always created problems in the country they live in

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Below is a list of statements that have been made about Roma people. As you see it, how true or untrue are these statement?

r5rom2_6: Statement: Roma people have always created problems in the country they live in

Literal question	Roma people have always created problems in the country they live in
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely true	64	1.2%
2	Largely true	154	2.8%
3	Somewhat true	359	6.6%
4	Hardly true at all	271	5.0%
5	Completely untrue	97	1.8%
6	Impossible to answer	429	7.9%
97	No answer	44	0.8%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ran7: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1408 /-] [Invalid=15603 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	370	26.3%
2	2	352	25.0%
3	3	338	24.0%
4	4	348	24.7%
Sysmiss		15603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom3a_1: Like/dislike Spanish people: becoming your neighbours

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Spanish people
Literal question	...becoming your neighbours
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	3	0.1%
2	Dislike	3	0.1%
3	Dislike a little	5	0.1%
4	Neither like nor dislike	154	2.8%
5	Like a little	37	0.7%
6	Like	138	2.5%
7	Very much like	29	0.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5081	93.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5rom3a_2: Like/dislike Spanish people: coming to Norway as immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Spanish people		
Literal question	...coming to Norway as immigrants		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	4	0.1%
2	Dislike	10	0.2%
3	Dislike a little	20	0.4%
4	Neither like nor dislike	157	2.9%
5	Like a little	44	0.8%
6	Like	111	2.0%
7	Very much like	23	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5081	93.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom3a_3: Like/dislike Spanish people: becoming part of your family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Spanish people		
Literal question	...becoming part of your family		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	6	0.1%
2	Dislike	4	0.1%
3	Dislike a little	23	0.4%
4	Neither like nor dislike	159	2.9%
5	Like a little	37	0.7%
6	Like	110	2.0%
7	Very much like	29	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5081	93.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom3b_1: Like/dislike Roma people: becoming your neighbours			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Roma people		
Literal question	...becoming your neighbours		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	51	0.9%

r5rom3b_1: Like/dislike Roma people: becoming your neighbours

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Dislike	61	1.1%
3	Dislike a little	68	1.2%
4	Neither like nor dislike	140	2.6%
5	Like a little	9	0.2%
6	Like	14	0.3%
7	Very much like	1	0.0%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5099	93.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom3b_2: Like/dislike Roma people: coming to Norway as immigrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Roma people
Literal question	...coming to Norway as immigrants
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	32	0.6%
2	Dislike	50	0.9%
3	Dislike a little	74	1.4%
4	Neither like nor dislike	155	2.8%
5	Like a little	15	0.3%
6	Like	14	0.3%
7	Very much like	1	0.0%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5099	93.5%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom3b_3: Like/dislike Roma people: becoming part of your family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Roma people
Literal question	...becoming part of your family
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	58	1.1%
2	Dislike	67	1.2%
3	Dislike a little	55	1.0%
4	Neither like nor dislike	128	2.3%
5	Like a little	12	0.2%
6	Like	20	0.4%
7	Very much like	2	0.0%
97	No answer	10	0.2%

# r5rom3b_3: Like/dislike Roma people: becoming part of your family			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5099	93.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom3c_1: Like/dislike Polish people: becoming your neighbours			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Polish people		
Literal question	...becoming your neighbours		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	5	0.1%
2	Dislike	4	0.1%
3	Dislike a little	21	0.4%
4	Neither like nor dislike	198	3.6%
5	Like a little	21	0.4%
6	Like	79	1.4%
7	Very much like	7	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5113	93.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom3c_2: Like/dislike Polish people: coming to Norway as immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Polish people		
Literal question	...coming to Norway as immigrants		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	1	0.0%
2	Dislike	13	0.2%
3	Dislike a little	35	0.6%
4	Neither like nor dislike	171	3.1%
5	Like a little	38	0.7%
6	Like	68	1.2%
7	Very much like	7	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5113	93.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom3c_3: Like/dislike Polish people: becoming part of your family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		

# r5rom3c_3: Like/dislike Polish people: becoming part of your family			
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Polish people		
Literal question	...becoming part of your family		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	7	0.1%
2	Dislike	18	0.3%
3	Dislike a little	28	0.5%
4	Neither like nor dislike	184	3.4%
5	Like a little	26	0.5%
6	Like	63	1.2%
7	Very much like	7	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5113	93.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom3d_1: Like/dislike Muslims: becoming your neighbours			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Muslims		
Literal question	...becoming your neighbours		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	18	0.3%
2	Dislike	20	0.4%
3	Dislike a little	39	0.7%
4	Neither like nor dislike	213	3.9%
5	Like a little	15	0.3%
6	Like	36	0.7%
7	Very much like	6	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5103	93.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5rom3d_2: Like/dislike Muslims: coming to Norway as immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Muslims		
Literal question	...coming to Norway as immigrants		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	19	0.3%
2	Dislike	31	0.6%
3	Dislike a little	64	1.2%

r5rom3d_2: Like/dislike Muslims: coming to Norway as immigrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither like nor dislike	173	3.2%
5	Like a little	26	0.5%
6	Like	31	0.6%
7	Very much like	3	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5103	93.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom3d_3: Like/dislike Muslims: becoming part of your family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent would you like or dislike Muslims
Literal question	...becoming part of your family
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much dislike	43	0.8%
2	Dislike	48	0.9%
3	Dislike a little	49	0.9%
4	Neither like nor dislike	155	2.8%
5	Like a little	14	0.3%
6	Like	28	0.5%
7	Very much like	10	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5103	93.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5rom4: How widespread do you think negative attitudes to Roma people are in Norway today?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How widespread do you think negative attitudes to Roma people are in Norway today?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very widespread	425	7.8%
2	Widespread	705	12.9%
3	Somewhat widespread	243	4.5%
4	Not very widespread	18	0.3%
5	Not widespread at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r5dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	243	4.5%
2	Agree	297	5.4%
3	Agree somewhat	265	4.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	158	2.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	141	2.6%
6	Disagree	204	3.7%
7	Strongly disagree	88	1.6%
97	No answer	22	0.4%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv29_2: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	142	2.6%
2	Agree	255	4.7%
3	Agree somewhat	266	4.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	389	7.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	144	2.6%
6	Disagree	161	3.0%
7	Strongly disagree	37	0.7%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv29_3: Statement: Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	163	3.0%

r5dv29_3: Statement: Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	184	3.4%
3	Agree somewhat	271	5.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	199	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	174	3.2%
6	Disagree	260	4.8%
7	Strongly disagree	144	2.6%
97	No answer	23	0.4%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad2_dv: Agree-disagree: Norway should take in more Syrian refugees than agreed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next three years than has been agreed?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	188	3.4%
2	Agree	337	6.2%
3	Agree somewhat	271	5.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	134	2.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	145	2.7%
6	Disagree	150	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	164	3.0%
97	No answer	29	0.5%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ran8: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1404 /-] [Invalid=15607 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	251	17.9%
2	2	214	15.2%
3	3	208	14.8%
4	4	264	18.8%
5	5	258	18.4%
6	6	209	14.9%
Sysmiss		15607	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv8a: Anti-Islamic group wants to meet politician

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r5dv8a: Anti-Islamic group wants to meet politician			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine that a representative of an anti-Islamic group wishes to meet an established politician for a TV debate on NRK. Some people believe that the established politician should attend. Others believe that the established politician should not attend because it is wrong to draw attention to such a group. What about you? Do you think...	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran8=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that the politician should attend	48	0.9%
2	Strongly that the politician should attend	65	1.2%
3	Somewhat strongly that the politician should attend	61	1.1%
4	Neither one way nor the other	34	0.6%
5	Somewhat strongly that the politician should not attend	18	0.3%
6	Strongly that the politician should not attend	10	0.2%
7	Very Strongly that the politician should not attend	10	0.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5200	95.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv8b: Anti-Islamic group wants to meet a politician, a politician has agreed			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine that a representative of an anti-Islamic group wishes to meet an established politician for a TV debate on NRK. The established politician has agreed to attend. Others believe that the established politician should not attend because it is wrong to draw attention to such a group. What about you? Do you think...	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran8=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that the politician should attend	27	0.5%
2	Strongly that the politician should attend	65	1.2%
3	Somewhat strongly that the politician should attend	46	0.8%
4	Neither one way nor the other	58	1.1%
5	Somewhat strongly that the politician should not attend	8	0.1%
6	Strongly that the politician should not attend	3	0.1%
7	Very strongly that the politician should not attend	6	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5237	96.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv8c: Extreme right wing group wants to meet politician			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine that a representative of an extreme right wing group wishes to meet an established politician for a TV debate on NRK. Some people believe that the established politician should attend. Others believe that the established politician should not attend because it is wrong to draw attention to such a group. What about you?	

# r5dv8c: Extreme right wing group wants to meet politician			
	Do you think...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that the politician should attend	27	0.5%
2	Strongly that the politician should attend	61	1.1%
3	Somewhat strongly that the politician should attend	47	0.9%
4	Neither one way nor the other	30	0.6%
5	Somewhat strongly that the politician should not attend	13	0.2%
6	Strongly that the politician should not attend	15	0.3%
7	Very strongly that the politician should not attend	11	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5243	96.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5dv8d: Extreme right wing group wants to meet politician, a politician has agreed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that a representative of an extreme right wing group wishes to meet an established politician for a TV debate on NRK. The established politician has agreed to attend. Others believe that the established politician should not attend because it is wrong to draw attention to such a group. What about you? Do you think...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that the politician should attend	38	0.7%
2	Strongly that the politician should attend	64	1.2%
3	Somewhat strongly that the politician should attend	56	1.0%
4	Neither one way nor the other	54	1.0%
5	Somewhat strongly that the politician should not attend	18	0.3%
6	Strongly that the politician should not attend	13	0.2%
7	Very strongly that the politician should not attend	16	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5187	95.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5dv8e: Muslim fundamentalist group wants to meet politician	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that a representative of a Muslim fundamentalist group wishes to meet an established politician for a TV debate on NRK. Some people believe that the established politician should attend. Others believe that the established politician should not attend because it is wrong to draw attention to such a group. What about you? Do you think...
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=5]

r5dv8e: Muslim fundamentalist group wants to meet politician

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that the politician should attend	35	0.6%
2	Strongly that the politician should attend	52	1.0%
3	Somewhat strongly that the politician should attend	55	1.0%
4	Neither one way nor the other	59	1.1%
5	Somewhat strongly that the politician should not attend	22	0.4%
6	Strongly that the politician should not attend	16	0.3%
7	Very strongly that the politician should not attend	15	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5193	95.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv8f: Muslim fundamentalist group wants to meet politician, a politician has agreed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	<p>Imagine that a representative of a Muslim fundamentalist group wishes to meet an established politician for a TV debate on NRK.</p> <p>The established politician has agreed to attend. Others believe that the established politician should not attend because it is wrong to draw attention to such a group. What about you?</p> <p>Do you think...</p>
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that the politician should attend	35	0.6%
2	Strongly that the politician should attend	55	1.0%
3	Somewhat strongly that the politician should attend	43	0.8%
4	Neither one way nor the other	34	0.6%
5	Somewhat strongly that the politician should not attend	13	0.2%
6	Strongly that the politician should not attend	10	0.2%
7	Very strongly that the politician should not attend	13	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5242	96.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ran9: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1403 /-] [Invalid=15608 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	256	18.2%
2	2	231	16.5%
3	3	266	19.0%
4	4	231	16.5%
5	5	216	15.4%
6	6	203	14.5%

# r5ran9: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15608	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv9a: Allow demonstration: PEGIDA Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The group PEGIDA Norway wishes to demonstrate from time to time. Some people believe that PEGIDA Norway should not be allowed to demonstrate because they spread hatred against Muslims. Others believe that they should be allowed because freedom of speech is important.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.4%
2	Agree	55	1.0%
3	Agree somewhat	71	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	47	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	18	0.3%
6	Disagree	27	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	6	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	5195	95.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv9b: Allow demonstration: PEGIDA Norway, 4500 followers on Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The group PEGIDA Norway wishes to demonstrate from time to time. They have 4,500 followers on Facebook. Some people believe that PEGIDA Norway should not be allowed to demonstrate because they spread hatred against Muslims. Others believe that they should be allowed because freedom of speech is important.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.6%
2	Agree	50	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	47	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	36	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	27	0.5%
6	Disagree	18	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	18	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5220	95.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv9c: Allow demonstration: PEGIDA Norway, close international ties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r5dv9c: Allow demonstration: PEGIDA Norway, close international ties			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		The group PEGIDA Norway wishes to demonstrate from time to time. PEGIDA Norway is part of an international PEGIDA network that has close ties. Some people believe that PEGIDA Norway should not be allowed to demonstrate because they spread hatred against Muslims. Others believe that they should be allowed because freedom of speech is important.	
Literal question		To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran9=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	0.5%
2	Agree	69	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	60	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	28	0.5%
6	Disagree	26	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5185	95.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv9d: Allow demonstration: "No to a Mosque in Larvik"			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		The group "No to a mosque in Larvik" wishes to demonstrate from time to time Some people believe that "No to a mosque in Larvik" should not be allowed to demonstrate because they spread hatred against Muslims. Others believe that they should be allowed because freedom of speech is important.	
Literal question		To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran9=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.8%
2	Agree	66	1.2%
3	Agree somewhat	53	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	38	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	14	0.3%
6	Disagree	10	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	2	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5220	95.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv9e: Allow demonstration: "No to a Mosque in Larvik", 28 followers on Facebook			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		The group "No to a mosque in Larvik" wishes to demonstrate from time to time They have 28 followers on Facebook. Some people believe that "No to a mosque in Larvik" should not be allowed to demonstrate because they spread hatred against Muslims. Others believe that they should be allowed because freedom of speech is important.	
Literal question		To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?	

# r5dv9e: Allow demonstration: "No to a Mosque in Larvik", 28 followers on Facebook			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran9=5]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	49	0.9%
2	Agree	74	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	39	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	30	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	9	0.2%
6	Disagree	9	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	2	0.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5235	96.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv9f: Allow demonstration: "No to a Mosque in Larvik", without close links to others			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Pre-question		The group "No to a mosque in Larvik" wishes to demonstrate from time to time "No to a mosque in Larvik" is a local group without close links with others. Some people believe that "No to a mosque in Larvik" should not be allowed to demonstrate because they spread hatred against Muslims. Others believe that they should be allowed because freedom of speech is important.	
Literal question		To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to demonstrate?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran9=6]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	42	0.8%
2	Agree	64	1.2%
3	Agree somewhat	44	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	27	0.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	16	0.3%
6	Disagree	6	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5248	96.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran10: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1403 /-] [Invalid=15608 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	343	24.4%
2	2	383	27.3%
3	3	371	26.4%
4	4	306	21.8%
Sysmiss		15608	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5dv10a: Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters that threaten immigrants in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that threaten immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	10	0.2%
2	Agree	41	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	50	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	51	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	54	1.0%
6	Disagree	87	1.6%
7	Strongly disagree	44	0.8%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5108	93.7%
Systemiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv10b: Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters expressing hatred towards immigrants in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that express hatred towards immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	16	0.3%
2	Agree	45	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	71	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	58	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	63	1.2%
6	Disagree	92	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	33	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5068	93.0%
Systemiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv10c: Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters expressing prejudice against immigrants in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that express prejudice towards immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	26	0.5%
2	Agree	93	1.7%

r5dv10c: Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters expressing prejudice against immigrants in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	103	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	61	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	39	0.7%
6	Disagree	29	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5080	93.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv10d: Agree/disagree: Publish readers' letters expressing a negative view of immigrants in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Newspaper editors sometimes receive letters from readers that express a negative view towards immigrants in Norway. To what extent do you agree or disagree that newspaper editors should publish such readers' letters?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.6%
2	Agree	97	1.8%
3	Agree somewhat	70	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	56	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	26	0.5%
6	Disagree	15	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5145	94.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ran11: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1401 /-] [Invalid=15610 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	358	25.6%
2	2	361	25.8%
3	3	338	24.1%
4	4	344	24.6%
Sysmiss		15610	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv11a_1: Allow: Politician threatens immigrants in Norway on Facebook

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Imagine that a politician in Norway has written something on Facebook that threatens immigrants in Norway. Some people think that parties in Norway should allow a politician who writes such things on Facebook to stand for election, while others think that parties in Norway should not allow this. What about you?

# r5dv11a_1: Allow: Politician threatens immigrants in Norway on Facebook			
	Do you think...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran1 l=1. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV11_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that parties should allow this	9	0.2%
2	Strongly that parties should allow this	23	0.4%
3	Somewhat strongly that parties should allow this	38	0.7%
4	You have no opinion on this at all	58	1.1%
5	Somewhat strongly that parties should not allow this	75	1.4%
6	Strongly that parties should not allow this	86	1.6%
7	Very strongly that parties should not allow this	64	1.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5093	93.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv11b_1: Allow: Politician expresses hatred towards immigrants in Norway on Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that a politician in Norway has written something on Facebook that expresses hatred towards immigrants in Norway. Some people think that parties in Norway should allow a politician who writes such things on Facebook to stand for election, while others think that parties in Norway should not allow this. What about you? Do you think...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran1 l=2. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV11_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that parties should allow this	11	0.2%
2	Strongly that parties should allow this	24	0.4%
3	Somewhat strongly that parties should allow this	46	0.8%
4	You have no opinion on this at all	67	1.2%
5	Somewhat strongly that parties should not allow this	71	1.3%
6	Strongly that parties should not allow this	67	1.2%
7	Very strongly that parties should not allow this	64	1.2%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5090	93.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv11c_1: Allow: Politician express prejudice against immigrants in Norway on Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Imagine that a politician in Norway has written something on Facebook that expresses prejudice towards immigrants in Norway. Some people think that parties in Norway should allow a politician who writes such things on Facebook to stand for election, while others think that parties in Norway should not allow this. What about you? Do you think...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran1 l=3. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV11_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that parties should allow this	17	0.3%
2	Strongly that parties should allow this	37	0.7%
3	Somewhat strongly that parties should allow this	63	1.2%

r5dv11c_1: Allow: Politician express prejudice against immigrants in Norway on Facebook

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	You have no opinion on this at all	66	1.2%
5	Somewhat strongly that parties should not allow this	64	1.2%
6	Strongly that parties should not allow this	52	1.0%
7	Very strongly that parties should not allow this	32	0.6%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5113	93.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5dv11d_1: Allow: Politician expressing a negative view of immigrants in Norway on Facebook			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		Imagine that a politician in Norway has written something on Facebook that expresses a negative view towards immigrants in Norway. Some people think that parties in Norway should allow a politician who writes such things on Facebook to stand for election, while others think that parties in Norway should not allow this. What about you? Do you think...	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran11=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV11_2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly that parties should allow this	27	0.5%
2	Strongly that parties should allow this	42	0.8%
3	Somewhat strongly that parties should allow this	71	1.3%
4	You have no opinion on this at all	70	1.3%
5	Somewhat strongly that parties should not allow this	54	1.0%
6	Strongly that parties should not allow this	36	0.7%
7	Very strongly that parties should not allow this	31	0.6%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5107	93.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv11_2: Allow: Likely to vote for candidates who write such on Facebook			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		How likely is it that you might vote at some time for candidates who have written such things on Facebook?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV11A_1 to R5DV11D_1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	29	0.5%
2	Likely	55	1.0%
3	Fairly likely	63	1.2%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	234	4.3%
5	Fairly unlikely	99	1.8%
6	Unlikely	272	5.0%
7	Very unlikely	616	11.3%
97	No answer	50	0.9%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran12: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1395 /-] [Invalid=15616 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	344	24.7%
2	2	341	24.4%
3	3	346	24.8%

# r5ran12: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	364	26.1%
Sysmiss		15616	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv12a: Allow posters that are: critical of Islam			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Some organisations in Norway wish to hang up posters in public places that are critical of Islam. Some people are against allowing such posters in public places, others are in favour of allowing them because freedom of speech is so important. We would like to know what you think about allowing such posters. Are you..		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran12=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour of allowing them	14	0.3%
2	In favour of allowing them	41	0.8%
3	Somewhat in favour of allowing them	56	1.0%
4	Neither for nor against	66	1.2%
5	Somewhat against allowing them	58	1.1%
6	Against allowing them	74	1.4%
7	Strongly against allowing them	30	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5107	93.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv12b: Allow posters that are: offensive to Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Some organisations in Norway wish to hang up posters in public places that could be offensive to Muslims. Some people are against allowing such posters in public places, others are in favour of allowing them because freedom of speech is so important. We would like to know what you think about allowing such posters. Are you..		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran12=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour of allowing them	25	0.5%
2	In favour of allowing them	54	1.0%
3	Somewhat in favour of allowing them	41	0.8%
4	Neither for nor against	58	1.1%
5	Somewhat against allowing them	52	1.0%
6	Against allowing them	67	1.2%
7	Strongly against allowing them	41	0.8%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5110	93.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv12c: Allow posters that: expresses hatred towards Muslims in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		

r5dv12c: Allow posters that: expresses hatred towards Muslims in Norway

Literal question Some organisations in Norway wish to hang up posters in public places that express hatred towards to Muslims in Norway. Some people are against allowing such posters in public places, others are in favour of allowing them because freedom of speech is so important. We would like to know what you think about allowing such posters. Are you..

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran12=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour of allowing them	6	0.1%
2	In favour of allowing them	10	0.2%
3	Somewhat in favour of allowing them	17	0.3%
4	Neither for nor against	46	0.8%
5	Somewhat against allowing them	55	1.0%
6	Against allowing them	95	1.7%
7	Strongly against allowing them	111	2.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5105	93.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv12d: Allow posters that: threaten Muslims in Norway

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Literal question Some organisations in Norway wish to hang up posters in public places that threaten Muslims in Norway. Some people are against allowing such posters in public places, others are in favour of allowing them because freedom of speech is so important. We would like to know what you think about allowing such posters. Are you..

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran12=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour of allowing them	3	0.1%
2	In favour of allowing them	12	0.2%
3	Somewhat in favour of allowing them	14	0.3%
4	Neither for nor against	31	0.6%
5	Somewhat against allowing them	49	0.9%
6	Against allowing them	120	2.2%
7	Strongly against allowing them	131	2.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5087	93.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ran13: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1394 /-] [Invalid=15617 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	219	15.7%
2	2	235	16.9%
3	3	273	19.6%
4	4	232	16.6%
5	5	220	15.8%

# r5ran13: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	6	215	15.4%
Sysmiss		15617	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv14a: Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran13=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to publish	149	2.7%
2	Should not be allowed to publish	66	1.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5232	96.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv14b: Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran13=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to publish	93	1.7%
2	Should not be allowed to publish	136	2.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5216	95.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv14c: Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Should newspapers in Norway be allowed to publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran13=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to publish	94	1.7%
2	Should not be allowed to publish	174	3.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5178	95.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5dv14d: Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran13=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should publish	125	2.3%
2	Should not publish	98	1.8%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5219	95.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv14e: Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on unreasonable grounds?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran13=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should publish	39	0.7%
2	Should not publish	177	3.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5231	96.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv14f: Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Should newspapers in Norway publish letters that are intensely critical about immigrants on inaccurate grounds?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran13=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should publish	42	0.8%
2	Should not publish	171	3.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5236	96.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv15: How strong are your views about this matter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How strong are your views about this matter:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		

# r5dv15: How strong are your views about this matter			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	141	2.6%
2	Strong	527	9.7%
3	Fairly strong	533	9.8%
4	Not strong	157	2.9%
97	No answer	60	1.1%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran14: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1394 /-] [Invalid=15617 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	243	17.4%
2	2	236	16.9%
3	3	222	15.9%
4	4	240	17.2%
5	5	238	17.1%
6	6	215	15.4%
Sysmiss		15617	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv16a: Publish cartoons that: Pokes fun of Muslims and their religious values			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that poke fun at Muslims and their religious values?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran14=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	15	0.3%
2	Agree	39	0.7%
3	Agree somewhat	36	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	45	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	34	0.6%
6	Disagree	41	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5208	95.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv16b: Publish cartoons that: Pokes fun of traditional religious values			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that poke fun at traditional religious values?		

# r5dv16b: Publish cartoons that: Pokes fun of traditional religious values			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran14=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.4%
2	Agree	44	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	32	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	34	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	34	0.6%
6	Disagree	41	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	26	0.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5215	95.7%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv16c: Publish cartoons that: Ridicule Muslims and their religious values			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that ridicule Muslims and their religious values?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran14=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.4%
2	Agree	23	0.4%
3	Agree somewhat	34	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	38	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	27	0.5%
6	Disagree	34	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	38	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5229	95.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv16d: Publish cartoons that: Ridelices traditional religious values			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that ridicule traditional religious values?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran14=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	20	0.4%
2	Agree	45	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	42	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	36	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	27	0.5%
6	Disagree	38	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	30	0.6%

# r5dv16d: Publish cartoons that: Ridicules traditional religious values			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5211	95.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv16e: Publish cartoons that: Show contempt toward Muslims and their religious values			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that show contempt for Muslims and their religious values?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran14=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	23	0.4%
2	Agree	34	0.6%
3	Agree somewhat	35	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	34	0.6%
6	Disagree	39	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5213	95.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv16f: Publish cartoons that: Show contempt towards traditional religious values			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that newspapers should publish cartoons that show contempt for traditional religious values?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran14=6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.4%
2	Agree	29	0.5%
3	Agree somewhat	24	0.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	33	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	30	0.6%
6	Disagree	47	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5236	96.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran15: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1394 /-] [Invalid=15617 /-]		

r5ran15: [Randomizes if u=4]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	152	10.9%
2	2	164	11.8%
3	3	153	11.0%
4	4	181	13.0%
5	5	194	13.9%
6	6	182	13.1%
7	7	183	13.1%
8	8	185	13.3%
System		15617	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17a: Support/oppose: Some women choose to quit their job when they have children in order to get more time with the kids

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Literal question Some women choose to stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran15=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	25	0.5%
2	Support	32	0.6%
3	Support somewhat	25	0.5%
4	Neither support nor oppose	46	0.8%
5	Oppose somewhat	9	0.2%
6	Oppose	8	0.1%
7	Oppose a lot	6	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5299	97.2%
System		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17b: Support/oppose: Some women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Literal question Some women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran15=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	5	0.1%
2	Support	23	0.4%
3	Support somewhat	12	0.2%
4	Neither support nor oppose	57	1.0%
5	Oppose somewhat	19	0.3%
6	Oppose	31	0.6%

r5dv17b: Support/oppose: Some women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Oppose a lot	15	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5287	97.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17c: Support/oppose: Some women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Some women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran15=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	15	0.3%
2	Support	45	0.8%
3	Support somewhat	28	0.5%
4	Neither support nor oppose	46	0.8%
5	Oppose somewhat	6	0.1%
6	Oppose	9	0.2%
7	Oppose a lot	3	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5298	97.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17d: Support/oppose: Some women stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Some women stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran15=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	3	0.1%
2	Support	19	0.3%
3	Support somewhat	15	0.3%
4	Neither support nor oppose	49	0.9%
5	Oppose somewhat	27	0.5%
6	Oppose	40	0.7%
7	Oppose a lot	22	0.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5270	96.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17e: Support/oppose: Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran15=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	6	0.1%
2	Support	32	0.6%
3	Support somewhat	23	0.4%
4	Neither support nor oppose	83	1.5%
5	Oppose somewhat	28	0.5%
6	Oppose	11	0.2%
7	Oppose a lot	8	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5257	96.4%
Systemiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17f: Support/oppose: Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Some Muslim women choose to stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran15=6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	0	
2	Support	2	0.0%
3	Support somewhat	7	0.1%
4	Neither support nor oppose	73	1.3%
5	Oppose somewhat	38	0.7%
6	Oppose	35	0.6%
7	Oppose a lot	24	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5269	96.7%
Systemiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17g: Support/oppose: Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran15=7]

r5dv17g: Support/oppose: Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, so as to have more time with the children

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	6	0.1%
2	Support	26	0.5%
3	Support somewhat	27	0.5%
4	Neither support nor oppose	84	1.5%
5	Oppose somewhat	19	0.3%
6	Oppose	13	0.2%
7	Oppose a lot	6	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5268	96.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv17h: Support/oppose: Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Some Muslim women stop working when they have children, because the family wishes this. To what extent are you in support of or opposed to this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran15=8]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	2	0.0%
2	Support	8	0.1%
3	Support somewhat	6	0.1%
4	Neither support nor oppose	77	1.4%
5	Oppose somewhat	29	0.5%
6	Oppose	31	0.6%
7	Oppose a lot	27	0.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5266	96.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv18: Do you agree or disagree that Muslim girls should be allowed to wear a veil at school?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that Muslim girls should be allowed to wear a veil at school?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	375	6.9%
2	Disagree	983	18.0%
97	No answer	60	1.1%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5ran16_1: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=375 /-] [Invalid=16636 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	188	50.1%
2	2	187	49.9%
Sysmiss		16636	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran16_2: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=983 /-] [Invalid=16028 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	492	50.1%
2	2	491	49.9%
Sysmiss		16028	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv19a: Consideration: Veil makes girls stand out from fellow pupils			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		If you take into consideration that this means that they are set apart from their fellow pupils, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were not allowed to wear a veil at school?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran16_1=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%
2	Agree	5	0.1%
3	Agree somewhat	25	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	51	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	32	0.6%
6	Disagree	48	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	24	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5263	96.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv19b: Consideration: The use of veil in schools is a complex issue, not allowed			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Literal question		If you take into consideration how complex these questions are, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were not allowed to wear a veil at school?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran16_1=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1	0.0%

r5dv19b: Consideration: The use of veil in schools is a complex issue, not allowed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	10	0.2%
3	Agree somewhat	25	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	39	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	45	0.8%
6	Disagree	50	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5264	96.6%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv20a: Consideration: Cultural and religious traditions are imporant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	If you take into consideration how important cultural and religious traditions are in people's lives, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were not allowed to wear a veil at school?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran16_1=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%
2	Agree	5	0.1%
3	Agree somewhat	31	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	86	1.6%
6	Disagree	173	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	145	2.7%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4959	91.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv20b: Consideration: The use of veil in schools is a complex issue, allowed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	If you take into consideration how complex these questions are, how much do you agree or disagree that it would have been better if they were allowed to wear a veil at school?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran16_1=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%
2	Agree	0	
3	Agree somewhat	27	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	51	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	76	1.4%
6	Disagree	195	3.6%
7	Strongly disagree	134	2.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4960	91.0%

# r5dv20b: Consideration: The use of veil in schools is a complex issue, allowed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv21_1: Statement: Muslims have a great many positive things to bring to Norwegian culture			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Muslims have a great many positive things to bring to Norwegian culture.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	204	3.7%
2	Slightly agree	689	12.6%
3	Slightly disagree	292	5.4%
4	Strongly disagree	176	3.2%
97	No answer	57	1.0%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv21_2: Statement: Muslim men dominate the women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Muslim men dominate the women.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	624	11.4%
2	Slightly agree	600	11.0%
3	Slightly disagree	89	1.6%
4	Strongly disagree	37	0.7%
97	No answer	68	1.2%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv21_3: Statement: The western European mode of living is not consistent with the Muslim mode of living			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	The western European mode of living is not consistent with the Muslim mode of living.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	271	5.0%
2	Slightly agree	483	8.9%
3	Slightly disagree	470	8.6%

r5dv21_3: Statement: The western European mode of living is not consistent with the Muslim mode of living

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Strongly disagree	133	2.4%
97	No answer	61	1.1%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv21_4: Statement: Most Muslims in Norway respect other people's culture and mode of living

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Most Muslims in Norway respect other people's culture and mode of living.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	291	5.3%
2	Slightly agree	650	11.9%
3	Slightly disagree	303	5.6%
4	Strongly disagree	118	2.2%
97	No answer	56	1.0%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv21_5: Statement: Muslims in Norway bring their children up in an authoritarian way

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Muslims in Norway bring their children up in an authoritarian way.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	166	3.0%
2	Slightly agree	772	14.2%
3	Slightly disagree	324	5.9%
4	Strongly disagree	49	0.9%
97	No answer	107	2.0%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv22: Would you turned back time in Norway with 20-30 years, or do you prefer the present-day Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Let us suppose that it is possible to turn the clock back to Norway as it was 20-30 years ago. Would you do it, or do you prefer present-day Norway on the whole?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

r5dv22: Would you turned back time in Norway with 20-30 years, or do you prefer the present-day Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Would turn the clock back	289	5.3%
2	Prefer present-day Norway	799	14.7%
3	Don't know	289	5.3%
97	No answer	41	0.8%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv23_1: Do you think people care most about their own private lives or what is best for society as a whole

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	Do you think that people these days are most concerned about their own private lives or about what is best for society as a whole?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Mostly about their own private lives	281	5.2%
2	2	347	6.4%
3	3	420	7.7%
4	4	174	3.2%
5	5	95	1.7%
6	6	23	0.4%
7	7 Mostly about society as a whole	10	0.2%
8	Don't know	33	0.6%
97	No answer	35	0.6%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv24: Statement: Violent crime should be punished much more heavily than it is today

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Violent crime should be punished much more heavily than it is today.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	679	12.5%
2	Somewhat agree	472	8.7%
3	Neutral	150	2.8%
4	Somewhat disagree	45	0.8%
5	Strongly disagree	13	0.2%
6	Don't know	20	0.4%
97	No answer	39	0.7%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r5ran17: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1391 /-] [Invalid=15620 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	269	19.3%
2	2	278	20.0%
3	3	304	21.9%
4	4	286	20.6%
5	5	254	18.3%
Sysmiss		15620	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv26a: Agree/disagree: Even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government may consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	Even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government may consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country.		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	0.5%
2	Agree	78	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	56	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	31	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	23	0.4%
6	Disagree	25	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	24	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5182	95.1%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv26b: Agree/disagree: The European Court of Human Rights has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	The European Court of Human Rights has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country.		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	0.6%
2	Agree	79	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	65	1.2%

r5dv26b: Agree/disagree: The European Court of Human Rights has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	18	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	28	0.5%
6	Disagree	30	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	20	0.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5173	94.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv26c: Agree/disagree: The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child states that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child states that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country.
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	45	0.8%
2	Agree	88	1.6%
3	Agree somewhat	63	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	22	0.4%
6	Disagree	17	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	5147	94.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv26d: Agree/disagree: The Canadian Supreme Court has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	The Canadian Supreme Court has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country.
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.8%
2	Agree	76	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	67	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	23	0.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	24	0.4%
6	Disagree	25	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	22	0.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5165	94.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv26e: Agree/disagree: The Norwegian Supreme Court has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	The Norwegian Supreme Court has declared that even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, in the children's best interest the government should consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country.
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	0.6%
2	Agree	68	1.2%
3	Agree somewhat	51	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	34	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	25	0.5%
6	Disagree	16	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	26	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5197	95.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5ran18: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1389 /-] [Invalid=15622 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

r5ran18: [Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	332	23.9%
2	2	339	24.4%
3	3	360	25.9%
4	4	358	25.8%
Sysmiss		15622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv27a: Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In 2008, the Norwegian parliament (the Storting) passed an act that prohibits the purchase of sexual services in Norway.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran18=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	83	1.5%
2	Agree	89	1.6%
3	Agree somewhat	33	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	52	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	24	0.4%
6	Disagree	29	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5119	93.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv27b: Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services, Amnesty International has gone out against ban

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	In 2008, the Norwegian parliament (the Storting) passed an act that prohibits the purchase of sexual services in Norway. Amnesty International opposes such a ban on prostitution, because it makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse and consequently puts their lives and health in danger.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran18=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	53	1.0%
2	Agree	61	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	40	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	68	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	54	1.0%
6	Disagree	35	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5112	93.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

# r5dv27b: Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services, Amnesty International has gone out against ban			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv27c: Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services, WHO has gone out against ban			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	In 2008, the Norwegian parliament (the Storting) passed an act that prohibits the purchase of sexual services in Norway. The World Health Organisation opposes such a ban on prostitution, because it makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse and consequently puts their lives and health in danger.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran18=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	1.0%
2	Agree	78	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	31	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	83	1.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	41	0.8%
6	Disagree	46	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	22	0.4%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5091	93.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv27d: Agree/disagree: Prohibition on purchasing sexual services, The UN Special Rapporteur opposes such a ban			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	In 2008, the Norwegian parliament (the Storting) passed an act that prohibits the purchase of sexual services in Norway. The UN Special Rapporteur opposes such a ban on prostitution, because it makes prostitutes more vulnerable to abuse and consequently puts their lives and health in danger.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the prohibition on purchasing sexual services in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran18=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	1.0%
2	Agree	63	1.2%
3	Agree somewhat	41	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	85	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	40	0.7%
6	Disagree	46	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5093	93.4%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5dv28_1: Statement: Real Norwegian culture is now disappearing so fast that we must do everything in our power to save it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		

r5dv28_1: Statement: Real Norwegian culture is now disappearing so fast that we must do everything in our power to save it

Pre-question	Below are a number of statements about Norwegian society with which you may agree or disagree. We are interested in your personal opinion about these.
Literal question	Real Norwegian culture is now disappearing so fast that we must do everything in our power to save it.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV28_feedback]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	99	1.8%
2	Agree	171	3.1%
3	Agree somewhat	296	5.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	171	3.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	166	3.0%
6	Disagree	310	5.7%
7	Strongly disagree	151	2.8%
97	No answer	54	1.0%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv28_2: Statement: I am afraid that ordinary people in Norway will not have much freedom left in a few year's time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Below are a number of statements about Norwegian society with which you may agree or disagree. We are interested in your personal opinion about these.
Literal question	I am afraid that ordinary people in Norway will not have much freedom left in a few year's time.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV28_feedback]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	53	1.0%
2	Agree	137	2.5%
3	Agree somewhat	239	4.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	195	3.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	180	3.3%
6	Disagree	407	7.5%
7	Strongly disagree	154	2.8%
97	No answer	53	1.0%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv28_3: Statement: Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Below are a number of statements about Norwegian society with which you may agree or disagree. We are interested in your personal opinion about these.
Literal question	Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about.

r5dv28_3: Statement: Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV28_feedback]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	42	0.8%
2	Agree	125	2.3%
3	Agree somewhat	240	4.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	343	6.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	131	2.4%
6	Disagree	272	5.0%
7	Strongly disagree	203	3.7%
97	No answer	62	1.1%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv28_4: Statement: Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Pre-question Below are a number of statements about Norwegian society with which you may agree or disagree. We are interested in your personal opinion about these.

Literal question Most people in Norway do not realise how much their lives are being controlled by secret plans made in hidden fora that we never find out about.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV28_feedback]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	66	1.2%
2	Agree	198	3.6%
3	Agree somewhat	385	7.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	284	5.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	170	3.1%
6	Disagree	216	4.0%
7	Strongly disagree	41	0.8%
97	No answer	58	1.1%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv28_5: Statement: There are forces in society that could change Norway in a way that makes the country worse to live in

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Pre-question Below are a number of statements about Norwegian society with which you may agree or disagree. We are interested in your personal opinion about these.

Literal question There are forces in society that could change Norway in a way that makes the country worse to live in.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV28_feedback]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	84	1.5%

r5dv28_5: Statement: There are forces in society that could change Norway in a way that makes the country worse to live in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	204	3.7%
3	Agree somewhat	444	8.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	240	4.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	129	2.4%
6	Disagree	205	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	53	1.0%
97	No answer	59	1.1%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv28_6: Statement: As soon as immigrants come to Norway they try to undermine society by refusing to follow our laws

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	Below are a number of statements about Norwegian society with which you may agree or disagree. We are interested in your personal opinion about these.
Literal question	As soon as immigrants come to Norway they try to undermine society by refusing to follow our laws.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV28_feedback]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	58	1.1%
2	Agree	96	1.8%
3	Agree somewhat	245	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	178	3.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	195	3.6%
6	Disagree	390	7.2%
7	Strongly disagree	202	3.7%
97	No answer	54	1.0%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5dv28_feedback: Feedback and comments on statements [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Use the text box below if you have any feedback or comments about the statements.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Note: Question is asked on the same page as R5DV28_1 to R5DV28_6] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r5dv39: How often do you think, read or talk about July 22nd

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	It's now been more than four years since the attacks of 22 July 2011. When it comes to you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]

# r5dv39: How often do you think, read or talk about July 22nd			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	7	0.1%
2	Weekly	93	1.7%
3	A few times a month	369	6.8%
4	Less often, but it happens	818	15.0%
5	Never	91	1.7%
97	No answer	40	0.7%
98	Not asked	4033	74.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran3: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1390 /-] [Invalid=15621 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	656	47.2%
2	2	734	52.8%
Sysmiss		15621	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran3_1: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=656 /-] [Invalid=16355 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	324	49.4%
2	2	332	50.6%
Sysmiss		16355	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5ran3_2: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=734 /-] [Invalid=16277 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	345	47.0%
2	2	389	53.0%
Sysmiss		16277	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5pad10a_1: Dictator: Choose to give the following amount to the other person			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	To take part in the lottery, please answer within 10 seconds.		
Literal question	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):		
Interviewer's instructions	Before receiving this question, the respondent was shown an info-node on a separate page with the following text:		

r5pad10a_1: Dictator: Choose to give the following amount to the other person

"As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are included in a draw for an extra cash prize. 2 people are drawn out: person A and person B. Person A receives 2,000 kroner and chooses how much is to be shared with person B. Go to the next page to make a decision."

[Asked if u=2 and ran3_1=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	21	0.4%
1	100	3	0.1%
2	200	1	0.0%
3	300	3	0.1%
4	400	4	0.1%
5	500	13	0.2%
6	600	0	
7	700	2	0.0%
8	800	5	0.1%
9	900	7	0.1%
10	1000	228	4.2%
11	1100	1	0.0%
12	1200	6	0.1%
13	1300	2	0.0%
14	1400	2	0.0%
15	1500	6	0.1%
16	1600	0	
17	1700	0	
18	1800	1	0.0%
19	1900	0	
20	2000	15	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5127	94.1%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

timespent_r5pad10a: Time spent on r5pad10a

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1390 /-] [Invalid=15621 /-] [Mean=3.485 /-] [StdDev=7.414 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5PAD10a_1]

r5pad10b_1: Dictator: Choose to give the following amount to the other person

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	To take part in the lottery, please wait at least 10 seconds before answering:
Literal question	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
Interviewer's instructions	<p>Before receiving this question, the respondent was shown an info-node on a separate page with the following text:</p> <p>"As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are included in a draw for an extra cash prize. 2 people are drawn out: person A and person B. Person A receives 2,000 kroner and chooses how much is to be shared with person B. Go to the next page to make a decision."</p> <p>[Asked if u=2 and ran3_1=2]</p>

r5pad10b_1: Dictator: Choose to give the following amount to the other person

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	12	0.2%
1	100	4	0.1%
2	200	1	0.0%
3	300	0	
4	400	0	
5	500	13	0.2%
6	600	1	0.0%
7	700	2	0.0%
8	800	2	0.0%
9	900	2	0.0%
10	1000	262	4.8%
11	1100	1	0.0%
12	1200	3	0.1%
13	1300	1	0.0%
14	1400	0	
15	1500	2	0.0%
16	1600	0	
17	1700	1	0.0%
18	1800	0	
19	1900	0	
20	2000	19	0.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5119	93.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

timespent_r5pad10b: Time spent on r5pad10b

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1389 /-] [Invalid=15622 /-] [Mean=5.554 /-] [StdDev=11.519 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5PAD10b_1]

r5pad7_8_background: Background variable for r5pad7 and r5pad8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for r5pad7 and r5pad8]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not relevant	3487	64.0%
1	Asked R4PAD22 and R5PAD7	385	7.1%
2	Not asked R4PAD22, but R5PAD7	628	11.5%
3	Asked R4PAD22, not R5PAD7	353	6.5%
4	Not asked R4PAD22, and not R5PAD7	598	11.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad7: Extra prize of 1000 or 1800 kroner, explain your choice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r5pad7: Extra prize of 1000 or 1800 kroner, explain your choice			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. If you win you must choose to receive either 1,000 kroner or 1,800 kroner. Before you indicate your choice on the next page, can you briefly explain why you made this choice?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=3 R5PAD7_background=1 OR R5PAD7_background=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r5pad8: Extra prize of 1000 or 1800 kroner, what do you choose			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are being included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. If you win you must choose between receiving 1,000 kroner or 1,800 kroner. Which would you choose?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please mark one of the options. [Asked if u=1 OR u=3 and R5PAD8_background=1 OR R5PAD8_background=2 OR R5PAD7_background=1 OR R5PAD7_background=2] [R5PAD7_background/R5PAD8_background are background variables and is not included in the dataset]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes please, I would like to receive 1,000 kroner	548	10.1%
2	Yes please, I would like to receive 1,800 kroner	1325	24.3%
97	No answer	727	13.3%
98	Not asked	2851	52.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# timespent_r5pad8: Time spent on r5pad8			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2565 /-] [Invalid=14446 /-] [Mean=320.441 /-] [StdDev=12465.66 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Tidsangivelsesvariabel - viser tidsbruken på R5PAD8]		
# r5dictatorgame_1: Dictator Game: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Pre-question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are being included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. 2 people are drawn out: person A and person B. Person A receives 2,000 kroner and chooses how much is to be shared with person B.		
Literal question	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=0]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	310	5.7%
1	100	12	0.2%
2	200	31	0.6%
3	300	10	0.2%
4	400	14	0.3%
5	500	257	4.7%
6	600	11	0.2%
7	700	22	0.4%

r5dictatorgame_1: Dictator Game: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	800	53	1.0%
9	900	31	0.6%
10	1000	4171	76.5%
11	1100	11	0.2%
12	1200	16	0.3%
13	1300	3	0.1%
14	1400	2	0.0%
15	1500	30	0.6%
16	1600	4	0.1%
17	1700	1	0.0%
18	1800	3	0.1%
19	1900	2	0.0%
20	2000	250	4.6%
97	No answer	207	3.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

timespent_dictatorgame: Time spent on dictatorgame

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5336 /-] [Invalid=11675 /-] [Mean=73.635 /-] [StdDev=2303.145 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5Dictatorgame_1]

r5pad12a_1: 10 seconds to answer: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	To take part in the lottery, please answer within 10 seconds.
Literal question	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
Interviewer's instructions	<p>Before receiving this question, the respondent was shown an info-node on a separate page with the following text:</p> <p>"As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are being included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. 2 people are drawn out: person A and person B. Person A receives 2,000 kroner and chooses how much is to be shared with person B. Go to the next page to make a decision."</p> <p>[Asked if u=2 and ran3_2=1]</p>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	17	0.3%
1	100	2	0.0%
2	200	2	0.0%
3	300	0	
4	400	1	0.0%
5	500	12	0.2%
6	600	1	0.0%
7	700	1	0.0%
8	800	1	0.0%
9	900	1	0.0%

r5pad12a_1: 10 seconds to answer: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	1000	280	5.1%
11	1100	3	0.1%
12	1200	1	0.0%
13	1300	0	
14	1400	0	
15	1500	1	0.0%
16	1600	0	
17	1700	0	
18	1800	1	0.0%
19	1900	0	
20	2000	12	0.2%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	5106	93.7%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

timespent_r5pad12a: Time spent on r5pad12a

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1388 /-] [Invalid=15623 /-] [Mean=2.596 /-] [StdDev=5.151 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5PAD12a_1]

r5pad12b_1: Wait 10 seconds to answer: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	To take part in the lottery, please wait at least 10 seconds before answering:
Literal question	If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person (between 0 and 2,000):
Interviewer's instructions	<p>Before receiving this question, the respondent was shown an info-node on a separate page with the following text:</p> <p>"As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you are being included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. 2 people are drawn out: person A and person B. Person A receives 2,000 kroner and chooses how much is to be shared with person B. Go to the next page to make a decision."</p> <p>[Asked if u=2 and ran3_2=2]</p>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	22	0.4%
1	100	0	
2	200	1	0.0%
3	300	2	0.0%
4	400	0	
5	500	17	0.3%
6	600	2	0.0%
7	700	2	0.0%
8	800	2	0.0%
9	900	2	0.0%
10	1000	314	5.8%

r5pad12b_1: Wait 10 seconds to answer: If I receive 2,000 kroner, I choose to give the following amount to the other person

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	1100	2	0.0%
12	1200	2	0.0%
13	1300	0	
14	1400	0	
15	1500	4	0.1%
16	1600	0	
17	1700	0	
18	1800	0	
19	1900	1	0.0%
20	2000	13	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	5062	92.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

timespent_r5pad12b: Time spent on r5pad12b

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1388 /-] [Invalid=15623 /-] [Mean=5.537 /-] [StdDev=10.382 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Time stamp variable - records time spent on R5PAD12b_1]

r5ran4: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1259 /-] [Invalid=15752 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	308	24.5%
2	2	306	24.3%
3	3	317	25.2%
4	4	328	26.1%
Sysmiss		15752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad13a_1: Joint contribution: The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group and loses his or her 1,000 kroner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Three participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel are drawn to win an extra cash prize. These three are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. The final amount of the cash prize depends on what choices the people in the group make in the decisions below.</p> <p>Each participant is to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group and loses his or her 1,000 kroner.</p> <p>The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two. The final cash prize is each individual's portion of the kitty and the money that was kept.</p>
Literal question	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran4=1]

r5pad13a_1: Joint contribution: The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group and loses his or her 1,000 kroner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	4	0.1%
1	100	4	0.1%
2	200	7	0.1%
3	300	19	0.3%
4	400	8	0.1%
5	500	129	2.4%
6	600	14	0.3%
7	700	12	0.2%
8	800	9	0.2%
9	900	5	0.1%
10	1000	82	1.5%
97	No answer	15	0.3%
98	Not asked	5143	94.3%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad13b_1: Joint contribution: The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group, but keeps his or her 1,000 kroner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Three participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel are drawn to win an extra cash prize. These three are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. The final amount of the cash prize depends on what choices the people in the group make in the decisions below.</p> <p>Each participant is to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group, but keeps his or her 1,000 kroner.</p> <p>The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two. The final cash prize is each individual's portion of the kitty and the money that was kept.</p>
Literal question	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran4=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	9	0.2%
1	100	6	0.1%
2	200	7	0.1%
3	300	21	0.4%
4	400	10	0.2%
5	500	129	2.4%
6	600	9	0.2%
7	700	6	0.1%
8	800	3	0.1%
9	900	2	0.0%
10	1000	90	1.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	5145	94.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad13c_1: Joint contribution: A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group and loses his or her 1,000 kroner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Three participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel are drawn to win an extra cash prize. These three are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. The final amount of the cash prize depends on what choices the people in the group make in the decisions below.</p> <p>Each participant is to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group and loses his or her 1,000 kroner.</p> <p>The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two. The final cash prize is each individual's portion of the kitty and the money that was kept.</p>
Literal question	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran4=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	25	0.5%
1	100	7	0.1%
2	200	13	0.2%
3	300	41	0.8%
4	400	9	0.2%
5	500	135	2.5%
6	600	2	0.0%
7	700	4	0.1%
8	800	1	0.0%
9	900	2	0.0%
10	1000	65	1.2%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5134	94.2%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5pad13d_1: Joint contribution: A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group, but keeps his or her 1,000 kroner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Three participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel are drawn to win an extra cash prize. These three are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. The final amount of the cash prize depends on what choices the people in the group make in the decisions below.</p> <p>Each participant is to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group, but keeps his or her 1,000 kroner.</p> <p>The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two. The final cash prize is each individual's portion of the kitty and the money that was kept.</p>
Literal question	If you were drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran4=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	28	0.5%
1	100	4	0.1%
2	200	21	0.4%

r5pad13d_1: Joint contribution: A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group, but keeps his or her 1,000 kroner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	300	22	0.4%
4	400	10	0.2%
5	500	134	2.5%
6	600	3	0.1%
7	700	4	0.1%
8	800	3	0.1%
9	900	1	0.0%
10	1000	71	1.3%
97	No answer	27	0.5%
98	Not asked	5123	94.0%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k20: Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you some questions about your background.
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	43	0.8%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	6	0.1%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	2	0.0%
4	Unemployed, wanting a job, but not actively seeking work	2	0.0%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	1	0.0%
6	Retired	3	0.1%
7	Civilian or military service	0	
8	At home, looking after children or others	0	
9	Other	2	0.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5386	98.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k21: What type of company do you work for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Literal question	What type of company do you work for?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government, county or municipal administration	4	0.1%
2	Public sector (e.g. school or the health service)	12	0.2%
3	Publicly owned company	1	0.0%
4	Private company	22	0.4%

# r5k21: What type of company do you work for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Self-employed	2	0.0%
6	Other:	2	0.0%
97	No answer	22	0.4%
98	Not asked	5386	98.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k21_6_other: What type of company do you work for, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What type of company do you work for?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k323: Name/title of main profession [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What is the name or title of your main profession?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter your full job title. Please be as specific as possible. Here are a few examples: Nurse. 6th form college teacher. Shop assistant. [Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k323a: Main tasks and responsibilities in main profession [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are your main tasks or duties in your main profession?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please provide as many details as possible. Here are a few examples: Provide assistance and administer medication at an old people's home. Prepare teaching material. Teach Norwegian and mathematics and mark exams. Sell shoes and help customers in a shop. [Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k23b: Are you responsible for managing the work of other employees in your main job?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Are you responsible for managing the work of other employees in your main job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	28	0.5%
2	Yes, I manage 10 or more employees.	5	0.1%
3	Yes, I manage less than 10 employees.	9	0.2%
97	No answer	23	0.4%
98	Not asked	5386	98.8%
Sysmiss		11560	

r5k23b: Are you responsible for managing the work of other employees in your main job?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k25: What is your current income?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked respondents if background information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	< 150 000	18	0.3%
2	150 001 - 300 000	17	0.3%
3	300 001 - 400 000	21	0.4%
4	400 001 - 500 000	20	0.4%
5	500 001 - 600 000	12	0.2%
6	600 001 - 700 000	2	0.0%
7	700 001 - 1 000 000	9	0.2%
8	>1 000 000	3	0.1%
97	No answer	41	0.8%
98	Not asked	5308	97.4%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5k26: What is your highest level of completed education?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

Literal question What is your highest level of completed education?

Post-question [Spurt respondentene hvis bakgrunnsinformasjon mangler]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked respondents if background information is missing]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	4	0.1%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	1	0.0%
3	Secondary school (grunnskole, 7-årig folkeskole, framhaldskole, realskole)	2	0.0%
4	County college certificate	0	
5	6th form college/end of formal schooling, humanities subjects, university preparatory course, 1-year Post-leaving Certificate course (VK2, VG stage 3, gymnas)	14	0.3%
6	6th form college/end of formal schooling, vocational subjects/courses (VK2, VK3, VG stage 3, vocational certificate, journeyman's certificate)	11	0.2%
7	Preliminary course for university/college without credits	1	0.0%
8	Certificate for additional further education (technical college)	1	0.0%
9	University/college, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (2 and 2½ year associate degree)	8	0.1%
10	Completed 3-4 years of college education (Bachelor, BA, B.Sc., teacher's training college, nursing college, nursery teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	9	0.2%
11	Completed 3-4 years of university education (Bachelor, BA, B.Sc.)	4	0.1%
12	Completed 5-6 years of college education (Masters, main subject)	4	0.1%
13	Completed 5-6 years of university education (Masters, main subject), longer professional education (Bachelor of Divinity, Bachelor of Psychology, Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of	5	0.1%

# r5k26: What is your highest level of completed education?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
	Veterinary Medicine, Master of Science, architect, business economist		
14	Researcher (Ph.D)	1	0.0%
15	None of these	0	
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	5375	98.6%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k27: Marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never been married, had a partner or legally registered partner	5	0.1%
2	Married	27	0.5%
3	Legally registered partner	0	
4	Partner	17	0.3%
5	Divorced/separated	4	0.1%
6	Former partner	3	0.1%
7	Former legally registered partner	0	
8	Widow/widower	5	0.1%
9	Other:	2	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5383	98.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k27_9_other: Marital status, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Marital status		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k28: Nationality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Nationality: Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Norwegian citizen	54	1.0%
2	A citizen of another country. Please state which	6	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%

# r5k28: Nationality			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5386	98.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k28_2_other: Citizen of another country [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Nationality: Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k29: Have you or your parents immigrated to Norway?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Have you or your parents (mother, father or both parents) immigrated to Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	52	1.0%
2	I have immigrated to Norway	9	0.2%
3	Both my parents have immigrated to Norway, but I was born in Norway	0	
4	My mother immigrated to Norway, but my father and I did not	0	
5	My father immigrated to Norway, but my mother and I did not	1	0.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5385	98.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5k30_1: What country did you live in before you immigrated? [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which country did you live in before you immigrated to Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k30_2_1: Mothers country of origin [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Which country did your parents (mother, father or both) live in before they immigrated to Norway?		
Literal question	Mother		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k30_2_2: Fathers country of origin [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Hvilket land bodde dine foreldre (mor, far eller begge) i før de innvandret?		
Literal question	Father		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r5k40: Religious affiliation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Do you belong to a religion or a religious community?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Church of Norway	512	9.4%
2	The Catholic Church	15	0.3%
3	An orthodox church (Greek, Russian, other)	6	0.1%
4	Other protestant faiths (e.g. free churches, Anglican church, Pentecostalists, etc.)	25	0.5%
5	Other Christian faiths (e.g. Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons)	5	0.1%
6	The Mosaic faith (Jewish)	1	0.0%
7	Islam (Muslim)	15	0.3%
8	Eastern religions (e.g. Buddhism, Hinduism, Sikh, Shintoism, Taoism, Confucianism)	5	0.1%
9	Other non-Christian religions	6	0.1%
10	I don't belong to any religion or religious communities.	176	3.2%
97	No answer	62	1.1%
98	Not asked	4623	84.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5k41: Attend religious meetings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Apart from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, how often would you say that you attend religions meetings at the moment?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	2	0.0%
2	More than once a week	17	0.3%
3	Once a week	19	0.3%
4	At least once a month	38	0.7%
5	Only at special festivals	204	3.7%
6	Less often	182	3.3%
7	Never	297	5.4%
97	No answer	62	1.1%
98	Not asked	4630	84.9%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5k42: Living with children under 16			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked respondents if background information is missing]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	542	9.9%
2	1	87	1.6%
3	2	104	1.9%
4	3	37	0.7%
5	4	3	0.1%
6	5	2	0.0%
7	6 or more	0	
97	No answer	68	1.2%
98	Not asked	4608	84.5%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5p1: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2738	50.2%
2	Female	2713	49.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5p2: Region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

# r5p2: Region			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	1525	28.0%
2	Østlandet	1322	24.3%
3	Sørlandet	272	5.0%
4	Vestlandet	1440	26.4%
5	Trøndelag	467	8.6%
6	Nord-Norge	425	7.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5p3: County			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	668	12.3%
2	Oslo	857	15.7%
3	Østfold	297	5.4%
4	Vestfold	284	5.2%
5	Hedmark	149	2.7%
6	Oppland	157	2.9%
7	Buskerud	277	5.1%
8	Telemark	158	2.9%
9	Vest-Agder	181	3.3%
10	Aust-Agder	91	1.7%
11	Rogaland	485	8.9%
12	Hordaland	630	11.6%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	83	1.5%
14	Møre og Romsdal	242	4.4%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	367	6.7%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	100	1.8%
17	Nordland	216	4.0%
18	Troms	157	2.9%
19	Finmark	52	1.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5p4_1: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	495	9.1%
2	Upper secondary education	1636	30.0%
3	University/university college	3114	57.1%
97	No answer	206	3.8%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r5p4_2: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	24	0.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	36	0.7%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	435	8.0%
4	College certificate	50	0.9%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	695	12.7%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	684	12.5%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	75	1.4%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	182	3.3%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	514	9.4%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1093	20.1%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	369	6.8%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	387	7.1%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	651	11.9%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	100	1.8%
15	None of these	143	2.6%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5p5_1: Year of birth			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	215	3.9%
2	1940-1949	940	17.2%
3	1950-1959	1256	23.0%
4	1960-1969	1161	21.3%
5	1970-1979	874	16.0%
6	1980-1989	661	12.1%
7	1990 or later	344	6.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5p5_2: Year of birth			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]	

# r5p5_2: Year of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	2411	44.2%
2	1960-1989	2696	49.5%
3	1990 or later	344	6.3%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r5_Weight_1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
# r5_Weight_2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5451 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
# r6u: Sub-group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	U1	1190	24.5%
2	U2	1249	25.7%
3	U3	1164	24.0%
4	U4	1256	25.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6rekruttert: Time of recruitment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	2208	45.4%
3	Wave 3	2651	54.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k13: How often do you discuss politics?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How often would you say that you discuss politics with others?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	1473	30.3%
2	Sometimes	2584	53.2%
3	Rarely	740	15.2%
4	Never	53	1.1%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6tilfreds_1: Satisfied with: Life at the moment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	Life at the moment?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1135	23.4%
2	Satisfied	2790	57.4%
3	Somewhat satisfied	684	14.1%
4	Slightly satisfied	180	3.7%
5	Not satisfied at all	35	0.7%
97	No Answer	35	0.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6tilfreds_2: Satisfied with: Your personal economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	Your personal economy?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	873	18.0%
2	Satisfied	2650	54.5%
3	Somewhat satisfied	860	17.7%
4	Slightly satisfied	325	6.7%
5	Not satisfied at all	97	2.0%
97	No Answer	54	1.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6tilfreds_3: Satisfied with: The government in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	The present government in Norway?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	258	5.3%
2	Satisfied	1422	29.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1555	32.0%
4	Slightly satisfied	1120	23.1%
5	Not satisfied at all	450	9.3%
97	No Answer	54	1.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6tilfreds_4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

r6tilfreds_4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:
Literal question	The way in which democracy works in Norway?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	508	10.5%
2	Satisfied	2487	51.2%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1320	27.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	392	8.1%
5	Not satisfied at all	98	2.0%
97	No Answer	54	1.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k10: Perception of the economic situation in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
Post-question	Do you think it is:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	431	8.9%
2	Good	2338	48.1%
3	Quite good	1113	22.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	452	9.3%
5	Quite bad	411	8.5%
6	Bad	80	1.6%
7	Very bad	16	0.3%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k204: Would vote for following party if parliamentary election tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	221	4.5%
2	The Progress party	563	11.6%
3	The Conservative Party of Norway	1149	23.6%
4	The Liberal Party	251	5.2%
5	The Socialist Left Party	255	5.2%
6	The Centre Party	246	5.1%
7	The Green Party	212	4.4%
8	The Labour Party	1481	30.5%
9	The Red Party	138	2.8%
10	Would not vote	161	3.3%
11	Not entitled to vote	70	1.4%

# r6k204: Would vote for following party if parliamentary election tomorrow			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Other:	83	1.7%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k204_12_other: Would vote for following party if parliamentary election tomorrow, other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6k6_1: Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Christian Democrats		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	484	10.0%
2	Really dislike	773	15.9%
3	Dislike somewhat	1108	22.8%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1218	25.1%
5	Like somewhat	891	18.3%
6	Really like	265	5.5%
7	Intensely like	57	1.2%
97	No answer	63	1.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Conservative Party of Norway		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	155	3.2%
2	Really dislike	525	10.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	955	19.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	631	13.0%
5	Like somewhat	1235	25.4%
6	Really like	1033	21.3%
7	Intensely like	265	5.5%
97	No answer	60	1.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6k6_3: Like/dislike: The Progress Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Progress Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1332	27.4%
2	Really dislike	940	19.3%
3	Dislike somewhat	680	14.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	431	8.9%
5	Like somewhat	740	15.2%
6	Really like	490	10.1%
7	Intensely like	193	4.0%
97	No answer	53	1.1%
System		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k6_4: Like/dislike: The Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Liberal Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	452	9.3%
2	Really dislike	664	13.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1025	21.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1156	23.8%
5	Like somewhat	1103	22.7%
6	Really like	348	7.2%
7	Intensely like	46	0.9%
97	No answer	65	1.3%
System		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k6_5: Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	821	16.9%
2	Really dislike	840	17.3%
3	Dislike somewhat	895	18.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	913	18.8%
5	Like somewhat	828	17.0%
6	Really like	414	8.5%

r6k6_5: Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Intensely like	91	1.9%
97	No answer	57	1.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k6_6: Like/dislike: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Centre Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	253	5.2%
2	Really dislike	544	11.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1050	21.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1663	34.2%
5	Like somewhat	943	19.4%
6	Really like	281	5.8%
7	Intensely like	59	1.2%
97	No answer	66	1.4%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	971	20.0%
2	Really dislike	764	15.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	791	16.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	878	18.1%
5	Like somewhat	916	18.9%
6	Really like	402	8.3%
7	Intensely like	83	1.7%
97	No answer	54	1.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k6_8: Like/dislike: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Labour Party

r6k6_8: Like/dislike: The Labour Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	180	3.7%
2	Really dislike	367	7.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	741	15.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	749	15.4%
5	Like somewhat	1365	28.1%
6	Really like	1148	23.6%
7	Intensely like	257	5.3%
97	No answer	52	1.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k6_9: Like/dislike: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Red Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1162	23.9%
2	Really dislike	919	18.9%
3	Dislike somewhat	725	14.9%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1072	22.1%
5	Like somewhat	635	13.1%
6	Really like	216	4.4%
7	Intensely like	53	1.1%
97	No answer	77	1.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k9_1: [1] Most important political issues [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
Interviewer's instructions	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r6k9_2: [2] Most important political issues [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
Interviewer's instructions	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r6k9_3: [3] Most important political issues [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r6k9_3: [3] Most important political issues [anonymized]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?		
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6ran3: [Randomizes if u=1 or u=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2354 /-] [Invalid=14657 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1 or u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1140	48.4%
2	2	1214	51.6%
Sysmiss		14657	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km61a: Thoughts when you hear/read the words climate change [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the words climate change?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 or u=3 and ran3=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6km61b: Thoughts when you hear/read the words environmental problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the words environmental problems?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 or u=3 and ran3=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6km5: To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Let us assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it.		
Literal question	To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change?		
Post-question	Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 or u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is impossible to prevent harmful climate change.	125	2.6%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to prevent harmful climate change.	787	16.2%

# r6km5: To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to prevent harmful climate change.	261	5.4%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	13	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km12: Climate change: what should be done			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think should be done about climate change?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be reduced			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	122	2.5%
2	Agree	264	5.4%
3	Somewhat agree	670	13.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	550	11.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	898	18.5%
6	Disagree	1352	27.8%
7	Strongly disagree	973	20.0%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Commercial private schools should be allowed.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	267	5.5%
2	Agree	777	16.0%
3	Somewhat agree	958	19.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	704	14.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	709	14.6%

# r6dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	742	15.3%
7	Strongly disagree	668	13.7%
97	No answer	34	0.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv30_3: Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	290	6.0%
2	Agree	683	14.1%
3	Somewhat agree	1219	25.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	599	12.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	675	13.9%
6	Disagree	833	17.1%
7	Strongly disagree	531	10.9%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv31_1: Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	688	14.2%
2	Agree	1344	27.7%
3	Somewhat agree	1332	27.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	539	11.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	449	9.2%
6	Disagree	323	6.6%
7	Strongly disagree	105	2.2%
97	No answer	79	1.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv31_2: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.		

r6dv31_2: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	399	8.2%
2	Agree	1051	21.6%
3	Somewhat agree	998	20.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	756	15.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	706	14.5%
6	Disagree	512	10.5%
7	Strongly disagree	359	7.4%
97	No answer	78	1.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv31_3: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	173	3.6%
2	Agree	355	7.3%
3	Somewhat agree	608	12.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1127	23.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	846	17.4%
6	Disagree	1131	23.3%
7	Strongly disagree	528	10.9%
97	No answer	91	1.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1049	21.6%
2	Agree	869	17.9%
3	Somewhat agree	586	12.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	850	17.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	574	11.8%
6	Disagree	560	11.5%
7	Strongly disagree	311	6.4%
97	No answer	60	1.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	284	5.8%
2	Agree	667	13.7%
3	Somewhat agree	847	17.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	414	8.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	1023	21.1%
6	Disagree	886	18.2%
7	Strongly disagree	684	14.1%
97	No answer	54	1.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6dvh_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2012	41.4%
2	Agree	1412	29.1%
3	Somewhat agree	409	8.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	366	7.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	248	5.1%
6	Disagree	210	4.3%
7	Strongly disagree	144	3.0%
97	No answer	58	1.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6oc_1: Statement: The difference between men's and women's behaviour is determined by genetic conditions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you think that these statements are completely true or not true at all?		
Literal question	I think that the difference between men's and women's behaviour and personality is largely determined by genetic conditions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1. Not true at all	157	3.2%
2	2.	213	4.4%
3	3.	222	4.6%
4	4.	246	5.1%
5	5.	187	3.8%
6	6.	99	2.0%
7	7. Completely true	47	1.0%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6oc_2: Statement: Intelligence is determined by genetic conditions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you think that these statements are completely true or not true at all?		
Literal question	I find that intelligence is a property that is largely determined by genetic conditions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1. Not true at all	124	2.6%
2	2.	146	3.0%

# r6oc_2: Statement: Intelligence is determined by genetic conditions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3.	201	4.1%
4	4.	262	5.4%
5	5.	263	5.4%
6	6.	143	2.9%
7	7. Completely true	34	0.7%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6oc_3: Statement: Genetic differences between Asians and Europeans a reason for the differences in abilities between individuals in these groups			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you think that these statements are completely true or not true at all?		
Literal question	I think that genetic differences between Asians and Europeans are an important reason for the differences in abilities between individuals from these groups.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1. Not true at all	526	10.8%
2	2.	244	5.0%
3	3.	134	2.8%
4	4.	157	3.2%
5	5.	62	1.3%
6	6.	27	0.6%
7	7. Completely true	19	0.4%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6oc_4: Statement: Parents and children have similiar behaviour and character traits because they share genetic heredity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you think that these statements are completely true or not true at all?		
Literal question	I think that the main reason why parents and children have such similar behaviour and such similar character traits is that they share genetic heredity.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1. Not true at all	58	1.2%
2	2.	159	3.3%
3	3.	207	4.3%
4	4.	327	6.7%
5	5.	223	4.6%
6	6.	139	2.9%

r6oc_4: Statement: Parents and children have similiar behaviour and character traits because they share genetic heredity

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	7. Completely true	60	1.2%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran1: [Randomizes if u=1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1182 /-] [Invalid=15829 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	588	49.7%
2	2	594	50.3%
Sysmiss		15829	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6fr1_1: Volunteering: Art and Culture, band and choir

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		510	10.5%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	78	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6fr1_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		465	9.6%
1	Athletics and sport	123	2.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

# r6fr1_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_3: Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		483	9.9%
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	105	2.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_4: Volunteering: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and university colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		543	11.2%
1	Education, training and research	45	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		557	11.5%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	31	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%

# r6fr1_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_6: Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		550	11.3%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	38	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_7: Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		574	11.8%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	14	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		492	10.1%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	96	2.0%

# r6fr1_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_9: Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		515	10.6%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	73	1.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_10: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		566	11.6%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	22	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_11: Volunteering: Political parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		573	11.8%
1	Political parties	15	0.3%

# r6fr1_11: Volunteering: Political parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_12: Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		566	11.6%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	22	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_13: Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		541	11.1%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	47	1.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fr1_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		

r6fr1_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		545	11.2%
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	43	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6fr1_15: Volunteering: Immigrant organisations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		580	11.9%
1	Immigrant organisations	8	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6fr1_16: Volunteering: Other, specify

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		577	11.9%
1	Other, specify	11	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6fr1_16_other: Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# r6fr1_17: No, not volunteering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		381	7.8%
1	No	207	4.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4271	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fri40: Done voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations last 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	During the last 12 months have you carried out any voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran1=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	278	5.7%
2	No	311	6.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4265	87.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fri2_1: Volunteering: Art and Culture, band and choir			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		209	4.3%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	69	1.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fri2_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		

# r6frie2_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport			
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		180	3.7%
1	Athletics and sport	98	2.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frie2_3: Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		210	4.3%
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	68	1.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frie2_4: Volunteering: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and university colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		238	4.9%
1	Education, training and research	40	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frie2_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]		

# r6frii2_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		263	5.4%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	15	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_6: Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		245	5.0%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	33	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_7: Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		266	5.5%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	12	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		

r6frii2_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		222	4.6%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	56	1.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_9: Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		250	5.1%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	28	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_10: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		267	5.5%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	11	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_11: Volunteering: Political parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		262	5.4%

# r6frii2_11: Volunteering: Political parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Political parties	16	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_12: Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		264	5.4%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	14	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_13: Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		257	5.3%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	21	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		231	4.8%

# r6frii2_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	47	1.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_15: Volunteering: Immigrant organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		273	5.6%
1	Immigrant organisations	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_16: Volunteering: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		266	5.5%
1	Other, specify	12	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_16_other: Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6frii2_17: No, not volunteering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		

# r6frii2_17: No, not volunteering			
Pre-question	In which areas have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in the last 12 months?		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R6FRI40=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		276	5.7%
1	No	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	94.3%
Systemmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii1: How much time spent volunteering during an ordinary week, last year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I do not undertake voluntary work	0	
2	Less than half an hour	56	1.2%
3	Between half an hour and one hour	48	1.0%
4	1 to 2 hours	62	1.3%
5	2 to 5 hours	58	1.2%
6	More than 5 hours	41	0.8%
7	Not sure	5	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4588	94.4%
Systemmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_1: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Art and Culture, band and choir			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events		
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	3	0.1%
2	More than half	12	0.2%
3	About half	12	0.2%
4	Less than half	43	0.9%
5	None, or almost none	73	1.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4712	97.0%

# r6frii2_1: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Art and Culture, band and choir			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_2: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events		
Literal question	Athletics and sport		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	5	0.1%
2	More than half	13	0.3%
3	About half	25	0.5%
4	Less than half	57	1.2%
5	None, or almost none	118	2.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4638	95.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_3: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	3	0.1%
2	More than half	10	0.2%
3	About half	19	0.4%
4	Less than half	51	1.0%
5	None, or almost none	85	1.7%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4686	96.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_4: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media?		

# r6frii2_4: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Education, training and research			
	e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events		
Literal question	Education, training and research		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	0	
2	More than half	9	0.2%
3	About half	11	0.2%
4	Less than half	19	0.4%
5	None, or almost none	39	0.8%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4774	98.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_5: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	1	0.0%
2	More than half	1	0.0%
3	About half	7	0.1%
4	Less than half	13	0.3%
5	None, or almost none	20	0.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4813	99.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frii2_6: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	2	0.0%
2	More than half	4	0.1%

r6frii2_6: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Social services and substance abuse care

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	About half	4	0.1%
4	Less than half	14	0.3%
5	None, or almost none	43	0.9%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4788	98.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_7: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Nature, environmental and animal protection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	1	0.0%
2	More than half	6	0.1%
3	About half	9	0.2%
4	Less than half	5	0.1%
5	None, or almost none	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4833	99.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_8: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	2	0.0%
2	More than half	7	0.1%
3	About half	17	0.3%
4	Less than half	43	0.9%
5	None, or almost none	78	1.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4707	96.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_9: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Housing co-operatives and housing associations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events

r6frii2_9: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Housing co-operatives and housing associations

Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	3	0.1%
2	More than half	4	0.1%
3	About half	17	0.3%
4	Less than half	17	0.3%
5	None, or almost none	57	1.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4758	97.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_10: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Rights, support and advocacy work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	0	
2	More than half	8	0.2%
3	About half	4	0.1%
4	Less than half	5	0.1%
5	None, or almost none	15	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4826	99.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_11: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Political parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	Political parties
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	1	0.0%
2	More than half	4	0.1%
3	About half	5	0.1%

r6frii2_11: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Political parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Less than half	11	0.2%
5	None, or almost none	9	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4828	99.4%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_12: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	6	0.1%
2	More than half	2	0.0%
3	About half	5	0.1%
4	Less than half	10	0.2%
5	None, or almost none	11	0.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4823	99.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_13: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	2	0.0%
2	More than half	3	0.1%
3	About half	14	0.3%
4	Less than half	27	0.6%
5	None, or almost none	21	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4791	98.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

r6frii2_13: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_14: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	1	0.0%
2	More than half	8	0.2%
3	About half	4	0.1%
4	Less than half	19	0.4%
5	None, or almost none	57	1.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4769	98.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frii2_15: How much volunteering done with the aid of internet/social media: Immigrant organisations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Approximately how much of the voluntary work you do for the organisations listed below is work that is done with the aid of the internet and/or social media? e.g. information work, organising events or activities, invitations to events
Literal question	Immigrant organisations
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRII2 or R6FR1. The respondents are only asked about the organisations they selected in R6FRII2 or R6FR1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of it	0	
2	More than half	2	0.0%
3	About half	0	
4	Less than half	5	0.1%
5	None, or almost none	5	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4846	99.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6fri42_1: Statement: Volunteers provide something different from what professionals offer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	There are some statements below about organised voluntary work. To what extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?

# r6fri42_1: Statement: Volunteers provide something different from what professionals offer			
Literal question	Volunteers provide something different from what professionals offer, such as a different type of commitment or experience of life		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
2	Disagree	31	0.6%
3	Agree	595	12.2%
4	Strongly agree	461	9.5%
5	Don't know	67	1.4%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fri42_2: Statement: Would be no need for voluntary work if the public sector took full responsibility			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are some statements below about organised voluntary work. To what extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?		
Literal question	If the public sector took full responsibility, there would be no need for voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	350	7.2%
2	Disagree	663	13.6%
3	Agree	71	1.5%
4	Strongly agree	32	0.7%
5	Don't know	54	1.1%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fri42_3: Statement: Moral responsibility to do voluntary work during your life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are some statements below about organised voluntary work. To what extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?		
Literal question	Doing voluntary work during your life is a moral responsibility that everyone has		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	49	1.0%
2	Disagree	184	3.8%
3	Agree	511	10.5%
4	Strongly agree	329	6.8%
5	Don't know	96	2.0%
97	No answer	21	0.4%

# r6fri42_3: Statement: Moral responsibility to do voluntary work during your life			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fri42_4: Statement: Voluntary work a threat to paid work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are some statements below about organised voluntary work. To what extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?		
Literal question	Voluntary work is a threat to paid work and is used so as to be able to cut society's expenses		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	521	10.7%
2	Disagree	539	11.1%
3	Agree	39	0.8%
4	Strongly agree	25	0.5%
5	Don't know	44	0.9%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6fri42_5: Statement: Voluntary work helps people to have an active role in a democratic society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are some statements below about organised voluntary work. To what extent do you agree or disagree with these statements?		
Literal question	Voluntary work helps people to have an active role in a democratic society		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	21	0.4%
2	Disagree	30	0.6%
3	Agree	523	10.8%
4	Strongly agree	540	11.1%
5	Don't know	55	1.1%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran2: [Randomizes if u=1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1179 /-] [Invalid=15832 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	581	49.3%

# r6ran2: [Randomizes if u=1]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	598	50.7%
Sysmiss		15832	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friva_1: Statement: I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	59	1.2%
2	Agree	204	4.2%
3	Somewhat agree	99	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	148	3.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	11	0.2%
6	Disagree	15	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	4278	88.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friva_2: Statement: Always want to engage in voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I always want to engage in voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	0.6%
2	Agree	110	2.3%
3	Somewhat agree	159	3.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	141	2.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	52	1.1%
6	Disagree	46	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.2%
97	No answer	36	0.7%
98	Not asked	4278	88.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friva_3: Statement: Time flies when I am doing voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		

# r6friva_3: Statement: Time flies when I am doing voluntary work			
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	Time flies when I am doing voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	0.7%
2	Agree	139	2.9%
3	Somewhat agree	127	2.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	194	4.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	25	0.5%
6	Disagree	20	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	39	0.8%
98	Not asked	4278	88.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friva_4: Statement: I feel forced to participate more than I want to			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel forced to participate more than I want to		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%
2	Agree	21	0.4%
3	Somewhat agree	69	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	163	3.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	94	1.9%
6	Disagree	146	3.0%
7	Strongly disagree	46	0.9%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	4278	88.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friva_5: Statement: Doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	6	0.1%
2	Agree	36	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	99	2.0%

r6friva_5: Statement: Doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	158	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	67	1.4%
6	Disagree	148	3.0%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.6%
97	No answer	36	0.7%
98	Not asked	4278	88.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friva_6: Statement: My involvement is not appreciated

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	I feel that my involvement is not appreciated
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%
2	Agree	16	0.3%
3	Somewhat agree	26	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	163	3.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	64	1.3%
6	Disagree	198	4.1%
7	Strongly disagree	72	1.5%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	4278	88.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv1_1: Contribution to the refugee situation: Donation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last year. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/equipment)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]


Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		767	15.8%
1	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/equipment)	411	8.5%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv1_2: Contribution to the refugee situation: Physical contribution

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r6friiv1_2: Contribution to the refugee situation: Physical contribution			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last year. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1141	23.5%
1	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)	37	0.8%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv1_3: Contribution to the refugee situation: Administrative contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last year. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1148	23.6%
1	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)	30	0.6%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv1_4: Contribution to the refugee situation: Other form of contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last year. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other form of contribution; specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1130	23.3%
1	Other form of contribution, specify:	48	1.0%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	3669	75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6friiv1_4_other: Contribution to the refugee situation: Other form of contribution [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last year. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other form of contribution; specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6friiv1_5: Contribution to the refugee situation: No			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last year. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	No, none of these		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		471	 9.7%
1	No, none of these	707	 14.6%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	3669	 75.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv2_1: Contribution in Norway or abroad? Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Did you make the contribution in Norway or abroad? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Norway		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		23	 0.5%
1	Norway	437	 9.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	 90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv2_2: Contribution in Norway or abroad? Abroad			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Did you make the contribution in Norway or abroad? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Abroad; specify country:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		

r6friiv2_2: Contribution in Norway or abroad? Abroad

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		430	8.8%
1	Abroad; specify country:	30	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv2_2_other: Contribution in Norway or abroad? Abroad [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Did you make the contribution in Norway or abroad? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Abroad; specify country:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r6friiv3_1: What kind of contribution: Gave money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Gave money
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		146	3.0%
1	Gave money	314	6.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv3_2: What kind of contribution: Gave clothing/equipment/food

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Gave clothing/equipment/food
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		244	5.0%
1	Gave clothing/equipment/food	216	4.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6friiv3_3: What kind of contribution: Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		446	9.2%
1	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees	14	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_4: What kind of contribution: Acted as visiting friend/social contact			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Acted as visiting friend/social contact		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		440	9.1%
1	Acted as visiting friend/social contact	20	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_5: What kind of contribution: Helped with homework/lessons			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with homework/lessons		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		451	9.3%
1	Helped with homework/lessons	9	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_6: What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r6friiv3_6: What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Organised gatherings		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		447	9.2%
1	Organised gatherings	13	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_7: What kind of contribution: Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		442	9.1%
1	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching	18	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_8: What kind of contribution: Helped with organisation and activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		442	9.1%
1	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)	18	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_9: What kind of contribution: Housed refugees at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		

# r6friiv3_9: What kind of contribution: Housed refugees at home			
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Housed refugees at home		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		453	9.3%
1	Housed refugees at home	7	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_10: What kind of contribution: Administered/coordinated volunteers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Administered/coordinated volunteers		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		448	9.2%
1	Administered/coordinated volunteers	12	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_11: What kind of contribution: Information work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Information work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		439	9.0%
1	Information work	21	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_12: What kind of contribution: Editing/moderating websites			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		

# r6friiv3_12: What kind of contribution: Editing/moderating websites			
Literal question	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		458	9.4%
1	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_13: What kind of contribution: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		443	9.1%
1	Other, specify:	17	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv3_13_other: What kind of contribution: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6friiv4_1: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A traditional voluntary organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		185	3.8%
1	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)	275	5.7%
97	No answer	0	

# r6friiv4_1: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A traditional voluntary organisation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv4_2: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A newly-formed initiative/organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		408	8.4%
1	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)	52	1.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv4_3: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A public body			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		425	8.7%
1	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)	35	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv4_4: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A private body			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A private body		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		391	8.0%
1	A private body	69	1.4%
97	No answer	0	

# r6friiv4_4: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: A private body			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv4_5: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: An asylum seekers' centre			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		438	9.0%
1	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)	22	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv4_6: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: On own initiative/together with friends and family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Organised work on own initiative/together with friends and family		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		418	8.6%
1	Organised work on own initiative/together with friends and family	42	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6friiv4_7: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		429	8.8%
1	Other, specify:	31	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv4_7_other: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6friiv5_1: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by an organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by an organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		340	7.0%
1	Was invited by an organisation	120	2.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_2: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via a Facebook group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		

r6friiv5_2: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via a Facebook group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		440	9.1%
1	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:	20	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Systemmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv5_2_other: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via a Facebook group [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r6friiv5_3: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via the municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Was invited via the municipality in which I live
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		446	9.2%
1	Was invited via the municipality in which I live	14	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Systemmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv5_4: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via an asylum seekers' centre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		457	9.4%
1	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Systemmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6friiv5_5: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via a private company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via a private company		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		456	9.4%
1	Was invited via a private company	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_6: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by my own workplace/place of study			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		441	9.1%
1	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study	19	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_7: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by close friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by close friends		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		411	8.5%
1	Was invited by close friends	49	1.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_8: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r6friiv5_8: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by acquaintances		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		419	8.6%
1	Was invited by acquaintances	41	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_9: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by other persons			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by other persons		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		439	9.0%
1	Was invited by other persons	21	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_10: How did you become a volunteer: Took the initiative myself			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Took the initiative myself		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		271	5.6%
1	Took the initiative myself	189	3.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_11: How did you become a volunteer: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees?		

# r6friiv5_11: How did you become a volunteer: Other			
	More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		426	8.8%
1	Other, specify:	34	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv5_11_other: How did you become a volunteer: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6friiv6_1: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Through a voluntary organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Through a voluntary organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		331	6.8%
1	Through a voluntary organisation	128	2.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6friiv6_2: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		296	6.1%

r6friiv6_2: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio	163	3.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_3: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By e-mail

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible
Literal question	By e-mail
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		431	8.9%
1	By e-mail	28	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_4: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On Facebook

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible
Literal question	On Facebook
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		351	7.2%
1	On Facebook	108	2.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_5: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other social media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible
Literal question	On other social media (e.g. Twitter)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

r6friiv6_5: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other social media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		451	9.3%
1	On other social media (e.g. Twitter)	8	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_6: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other websites

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible
Literal question	On other websites
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		440	9.1%
1	On other websites	19	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_7: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By SMS text

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible
Literal question	By SMS text
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		424	8.7%
1	By SMS text	35	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_8: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible

r6friiv6_8: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By telephone

Literal question | By telephone

Interviewer's instructions | [Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		439	9.0%
1	By telephone	20	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_9: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By face-to-face contact

Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question | How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer?
More than one answer possible

Literal question | By face-to-face contact

Interviewer's instructions | [Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		373	7.7%
1	By face-to-face contact	86	1.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_10: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places

Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question | How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer?
More than one answer possible

Literal question | Other places; specify:

Interviewer's instructions | [Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		435	9.0%
1	Other places; specify:	24	0.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4399	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6friiv6_10_other: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places [anonymized]

Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r6friiv6_10_other: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places [anonymized]

Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Other places; specify:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and if the respondents has answered positively on one or more categories in R6FRIIV1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r6frivb_1: Statement: I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	I am enthusiastic about my voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	53	1.1%
2	Agree	161	3.3%
3	Somewhat agree	108	2.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	169	3.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	9	0.2%
6	Disagree	23	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	14	0.3%
97	No answer	61	1.3%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6frivb_2: Statement: Always want to engage in voluntary work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	I always want to engage in voluntary work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	28	0.6%
2	Agree	96	2.0%
3	Somewhat agree	131	2.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	155	3.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	60	1.2%
6	Disagree	51	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.5%
97	No answer	52	1.1%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6frivb_3: Statement: Time flies when I am doing voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	Time flies when I am doing voluntary work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.6%
2	Agree	113	2.3%
3	Somewhat agree	93	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	229	4.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	29	0.6%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.2%
97	No answer	64	1.3%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frivb_4: Statement: I feel forced to participate more than I want to			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel forced to participate more than I want to		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	7	0.1%
2	Agree	25	0.5%
3	Somewhat agree	51	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	180	3.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	67	1.4%
6	Disagree	146	3.0%
7	Strongly disagree	59	1.2%
97	No answer	63	1.3%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frivb_5: Statement: Doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel that doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	0.3%

# r6frivb_5: Statement: Doing voluntary work has a detrimental effect on other things			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	44	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	91	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	161	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	56	1.2%
6	Disagree	130	2.7%
7	Strongly disagree	43	0.9%
97	No answer	60	1.2%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6frivb_6: Statement: My involvement is not appreciated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	If you consider your involvement in voluntary work, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	I feel that my involvement is not appreciated		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran2=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	9	0.2%
2	Agree	12	0.2%
3	Somewhat agree	23	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	184	3.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	56	1.2%
6	Disagree	176	3.6%
7	Strongly disagree	71	1.5%
97	No answer	67	1.4%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran4: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1242 /-] [Invalid=15769 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	596	48.0%
2	2	646	52.0%
Sysmiss		15769	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km62a: Thoughts when you hear/read the word antibiotics?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the word antibiotics?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		

# r6km62a: Thoughts when you hear/read the word antibiotics?			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran4=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6km62b: Thoughts when you hear/read the words antibiotics-resistant bacteria?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the words antibiotics-resistant bacteria?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran4=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6km236: Concern about climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	141	2.9%
2	Concerned	408	8.4%
3	Somewhat concerned	447	9.2%
4	Slightly concerned	196	4.0%
5	Not concerned at all	48	1.0%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km9_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	0.8%
2	Agree	172	3.5%
3	Somewhat agree	490	10.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	276	5.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	136	2.8%
6	Disagree	97	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	28	0.6%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%

# r6km9_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km9_2: Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	204	4.2%
2	Agree	373	7.7%
3	Somewhat agree	381	7.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	106	2.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	67	1.4%
6	Disagree	73	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.6%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km63: Climate change, statement closest to your views			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following statements comes closest to your views regarding the reasons for climate change:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Climate change is only caused by natural processes	15	0.3%
2	Climate change is primarily caused by natural processes	82	1.7%
3	Climate change is caused partly by natural processes and partly by people	606	12.5%
4	Climate change is primarily caused by people	484	10.0%
5	Climate change is only caused by people	28	0.6%
6	There is no climate change	2	0.0%
7	Don't know	20	0.4%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km64: Climate change, positive/negative for Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Climate change will affect each country in different ways.		
Literal question	How positive or negative do you think climate change will be for Norway?		

r6km64: Climate change, positive/negative for Norway

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only positive	9	0.2%
2	More positive than negative	150	3.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	289	5.9%
4	More negative than positive	729	15.0%
5	Only negative	50	1.0%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6km21_1: Feelings when it comes to climate change: Hope

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?

Literal question Hope

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	79	1.6%
2	Slightly	213	4.4%
3	Somewhat	672	13.8%
4	Strongly	234	4.8%
5	Very strongly	15	0.3%
97	No answer	36	0.7%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6km21_2: Feelings when it comes to climate change: Sadness

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?

Literal question Sadness

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	168	3.5%
2	Slightly	319	6.6%
3	Somewhat	533	11.0%
4	Strongly	162	3.3%
5	Very strongly	28	0.6%
97	No answer	39	0.8%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6km21_3: Feelings when it comes to climate change: Fear			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	200	4.1%
2	Slightly	417	8.6%
3	Somewhat	475	9.8%
4	Strongly	94	1.9%
5	Very strongly	23	0.5%
97	No answer	40	0.8%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km21_4: Feelings when it comes to climate change: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	300	6.2%
2	Slightly	411	8.5%
3	Somewhat	364	7.5%
4	Strongly	106	2.2%
5	Very strongly	23	0.5%
97	No answer	45	0.9%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km21_5: Feelings when it comes to climate change: Guilt			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?		
Literal question	Guilt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	251	5.2%
2	Slightly	426	8.8%
3	Somewhat	472	9.7%
4	Strongly	50	1.0%
5	Very strongly	9	0.2%

# r6km21_5: Feelings when it comes to climate change: Guilt			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	41	0.8%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km65: For or against: Norway being part of Paris Agreement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In Paris in December 2015, most countries came to an international agreement to limit global warming to less than two degrees.		
Literal question	Are you for or against Norway being part of this agreement?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	27	0.6%
2	Somewhat against	30	0.6%
3	Neither for nor against	197	4.1%
4	Somewhat for	295	6.1%
5	Strongly for	683	14.1%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# rankm66: [Randomizes if u=2. A randomization variable that determines whether the answerlist in R6KM66 is displayed in order or in reversed order.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1242 /-] [Invalid=15769 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2. A randomization variable that determines whether the answerlist in R6KM66 is displayed in order or in reversed order.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In order	621	50.0%
2	In flipped order	621	50.0%
Sysmiss		15769	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km66: Attitude: Development in the petroleum industry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Production of oil and gas is an important industry for Norway. The industry provides many jobs and considerable income for society. At the same time, burning fossil energy is the main cause of climate change and activities on the Norwegian continental shelf contribute about 1/4 of Norway's greenhouse gas emissions. Opening new areas is also controversial because it can affect life in the sea and at the ice edge. The political parties have different opinions about how the petroleum industry should be developed over the next 20 to 30 years. There is disagreement over both how large the industry should be and whether new areas should be opened.		
Literal question	Which of the following alternatives is closest to your view?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2. rankM66 determines whether the answerlist in R6KM66 is displayed in order or in reversed order.]		

r6km66: Attitude: Development in the petroleum industry

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In 20 to 30 years, the industry should be as developed as possible. We should open up to new search areas and if possible facilitate further growth.	71	1.5%
2	In 20 to 30 years, the industry should be as developed as it is today. We should make environmental considerations like we do today, and open up new search areas where environmentally justifiable, while encouraging to maintain the industry.	470	9.7%
3	In 20 to 30 years, the industry should be less developed than today. We should therefore not open up new areas, and searches should be limited to areas where activity has already been established.	380	7.8%
4	In 20 to 30 years, the industry should be considerably less developed than today. We should therefore not allow searches for new resources, and we should only permit production in existing fields until they have been depleted.	198	4.1%
5	In 20 to 30 years, the industry should be discontinued. We should therefore not allow searches for new fields. The production in existing fields should be reduced considerably within the next 5 years and closed down within the course of the time period.	86	1.8%
97	No answer	44	0.9%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6km66_open: Thoughts about Norwegian oil and gas industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you would like to share some completely anonymous thoughts about the Norwegian oil and gas industry, you are welcome to do so here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

r6ran5_1: [Randomizes if u=2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1237 /-] [Invalid=15774 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	613	49.6%
2	2	624	50.4%
Sysmiss		15774	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6ran5_2: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1237 /-] [Invalid=15774 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	626	50.6%
2	2	611	49.4%
Sysmiss		15774	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran5_3: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1237 /-] [Invalid=15774 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	628	50.8%
2	2	609	49.2%
Sysmiss		15774	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran5_4: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1237 /-] [Invalid=15774 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	632	51.1%
2	2	605	48.9%
Sysmiss		15774	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km67_1: Positive/negative about: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the number of quotas available to industry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?		
Literal question	Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the number of quotas available to industry.		
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_1 or R6KM67_2 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	59	1.2%
2	Positive	184	3.8%
3	Somewhat positive	184	3.8%
4	Neiter positive nor negative	121	2.5%
5	Somewhat negative	21	0.4%
6	Negative	16	0.3%

r6km67_1: Positive/negative about: Tighten up the regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe by cutting the number of quotas available to industry

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Very negative	7	0.1%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	4246	87.4%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6km67_2: Positive/negative about: Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Europe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Tighten up the regulations governing total permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe.
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_1 or R6KM67_2 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	98	2.0%
2	Positive	227	4.7%
3	Somewhat positive	166	3.4%
4	Neither positive nor negative	81	1.7%
5	Somewhat negative	17	0.3%
6	Negative	9	0.2%
7	Very negative	10	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4235	87.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6km67_3: Positive/negative about: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_3 or R6KM67_4 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	34	0.7%
2	Positive	136	2.8%
3	Somewhat positive	133	2.7%
4	Neither positive nor negative	207	4.3%
5	Somewhat negative	49	1.0%
6	Negative	29	0.6%
7	Very negative	15	0.3%

# r6km67_3: Positive/negative about: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	4233	87.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km67_4: Positive/negative about: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground, such as the “moon landing” project at Mongstad			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?		
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground, such as the so-called “moon landing” project at Mongstad.		
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_3 or R6KM67_4 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	30	0.6%
2	Positive	125	2.6%
3	Somewhat positive	134	2.8%
4	Neiter positive nor negative	195	4.0%
5	Somewhat negative	47	1.0%
6	Negative	33	0.7%
7	Very negative	20	0.4%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	4248	87.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km67_5: Positive/negative about: Increase funding for renewable energy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?		
Literal question	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy		
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_5 or R6KM67_6 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	271	5.6%
2	Positive	233	4.8%
3	Somewhat positive	67	1.4%
4	Neiter positive nor negative	31	0.6%
5	Somewhat negative	4	0.1%
6	Negative	2	0.0%
7	Very negative	1	0.0%
97	No answer	19	0.4%

# r6km67_5: Positive/negative about: Increase funding for renewable energy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4231	87.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km67_6: Positive/negative about: Increase funding for renewable energy, even though this means less money for other purposes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?		
Literal question	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy, even if this means that less money is available for other important purposes.		
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_5 or R6KM67_6 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	147	3.0%
2	Positive	212	4.4%
3	Somewhat positive	168	3.5%
4	Neiter positive nor negative	51	1.0%
5	Somewhat negative	15	0.3%
6	Negative	2	0.0%
7	Very negative	4	0.1%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4250	87.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km67_7: Positive/negative about: Increased government support for geothermal energy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?		
Literal question	Increased government support for developing geothermal energy where one drills down to the geothermal energy under the Earth's surface.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents are asked R6KM67_7, long as u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	216	4.4%
2	Positive	485	10.0%
3	Somewhat positive	244	5.0%
4	Neiter positive nor negative	221	4.5%
5	Somewhat negative	19	0.4%
6	Negative	10	0.2%
7	Very negative	4	0.1%
97	No answer	50	1.0%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%

# r6km67_7: Positive/negative about: Increased government support for geothermal energy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km67_8: Positive/negative about: Attempt to change weather and climate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?		
Literal question	Attempt to change the weather and climate by, for example, sending up sulphur particles to block the sunlight. This measure would probably reduce the temperature on Earth for a certain time, but would not help with problems such as ocean acidification		
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_8 or R6KM67_9 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	10	0.2%
3	Somewhat positive	23	0.5%
4	Neiter positive nor negative	131	2.7%
5	Somewhat negative	100	2.1%
6	Negative	175	3.6%
7	Very negative	168	3.5%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	4227	87.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km67_9: Positive/negative about: Attempt to change weather and climate, artificial volcano			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	We will now ask you about your opinion on a number of measures that have been proposed in order to reduce climate change. Many experts believe that the measures mentioned below will work. However, there may be disagreement about whether or not these are good measures to implement. How positive or negative are you about the measures mentioned here?		
Literal question	Attempt to change the weather and climate by, for example, sending up sulphur particles to block the sunlight. This could work as a kind of artificial volcano. During the course of history, major volcanic eruptions have reduced the temperature on Earth for a period. This measure would probably reduce the temperature on Earth for a certain time, but would not help with problems such as ocean acidification		
Interviewer's instructions	[The questions in grid R6KM67 are randomized pairwise. R6KM67_8 or R6KM67_9 is displayed to the respondent, dependent on ran5_4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	10	0.2%
3	Somewhat positive	16	0.3%
4	Neiter positive nor negative	153	3.1%
5	Somewhat negative	81	1.7%
6	Negative	169	3.5%
7	Very negative	161	3.3%
97	No answer	15	0.3%

# r6km67_9: Positive/negative about: Attempt to change weather and climate, artificial volcano			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4254	87.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km68: Heard about this proposal to let part of the world's coal, oil and gas stay in the ground/under the seabed?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Some people have said recently that only part of the world's coal, oil and gas can be used if the climate is to be kept relatively stable, and have proposed that the rest must remain underground or below the seabed. Others have said that the world needs reliable, cheap energy and that we therefore need to continue to use coal, oil and gas.		
Literal question	Have you heard about this proposal to let part of the world's coal, oil and gas stay in the ground or under the seabed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I have heard about it.	618	12.7%
2	No, I have not heard about it.	600	12.3%
97	No answer	31	0.6%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6km69: Good/bad decision that the Oil Fund should sell its investments in companies involved in coal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	In 2015, the Norwegian parliament (the Storting) decided that the Government Pension Fund Global (the "Oil Fund") should sell its investments in companies involved in coal mining and coal-fired power.		
Literal question	As you see it, was this a good or a bad decision?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	234	4.8%
2	Good	435	9.0%
3	Quite good	191	3.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	255	5.2%
5	Quite bad	56	1.2%
6	Bad	29	0.6%
7	Very bad	13	0.3%
97	No answer	36	0.7%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6trans1: Thoughts when you read/hear the words 'transgender persons'?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you read or hear the words transgender person?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		

# r6trans1: Thoughts when you read/hear the words 'transgender persons'?			
		[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]	
# r6ran15: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1228 /-] [Invalid=15783 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	340	27.7%
2	2	305	24.8%
3	3	289	23.5%
4	4	294	23.9%
Sysmiss		15783	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6trans2a: Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Some people have a gender identity or gender expression that differs from the norm for their biological gender. These are often called transgender persons. Many transgender persons wish to change their legal gender so that their official documents (passport, driving licence etc.) are in accordance with their perceived gender identity.</p> <p>What is required for a person to be allowed to change legal gender varies from country to country. The list below gives the most common rules.</p>		
Literal question	Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran15=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Requirement for sterilisation, diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment	7	0.1%
2	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment	44	0.9%
3	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual	63	1.3%
4	No absolute requirements, the person decides	186	3.8%
5	It should not be possible to change legal gender	28	0.6%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	4519	93.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6trans2b: Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Some people have a gender identity or gender expression that differs from the norm for their biological gender. These are often called transgender persons. Many transgender persons wish to change their legal gender so that their official documents (passport, driving licence etc.) are in accordance with their perceived gender identity.</p> <p>What is required for a person to be allowed to change legal gender varies from country to country. The list below gives the most common rules and examples of where these rules apply.</p>		
Literal question	Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran15=2]		

# r6trans2b: Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Requirement for sterilisation, diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment (practised in Romania and Slovenia for example).	3	0.1%
2	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment (practised in Sweden, Spain and Estonia for example).	41	0.8%
3	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual (practised in Austria, the Netherlands and Germany for example).	72	1.5%
4	No absolute requirements, the person decides after reaching the age of 16 (practised in Denmark, Argentina and Malta for example).	144	3.0%
5	It should not be possible to change legal gender	27	0.6%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6trans2c: Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply (Norway included)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Some people have a gender identity or gender expression that differs from the norm for their biological gender. These are often called transgender persons. Many transgender persons wish to change their legal gender so that their official documents (passport, driving licence etc.) are in accordance with their perceived gender identity.</p> <p>What is required for a person to be allowed to change legal gender varies from country to country. The list below gives the most common rules and examples of where these rules apply.</p>		
Literal question	Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran15=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Requirement for sterilisation, diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment (the current practice in Norway)	50	1.0%
2	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment (practised in Sweden, Spain and Estonia for example).	39	0.8%
3	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual (practised in Austria, the Netherlands and Germany for example).	38	0.8%
4	No absolute requirements, the person decides after reaching the age of 16 (practised in Denmark, Argentina and Malta for example).	128	2.6%
5	It should not be possible to change legal gender	23	0.5%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4570	94.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6trans2d: Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply (Norway included) + changing the rules in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Some people have a gender identity or gender expression that differs from the norm for their biological gender. These are often called transgender persons. Many transgender persons wish to change their legal gender so that their official documents (passport, driving licence etc.) are in accordance with their perceived gender identity.</p> <p>What is required for a person to be allowed to change legal gender varies from country to country. The list below gives the most common rules and examples of where these rules apply. In Norway a process is currently under way to change the rules. The proposed law is that persons aged over 16 should decide their legal gender themselves.</p>		

r6trans2d: Requirement for changing legal gender: Most common rules + where they apply (Norway included) + changing the rules in Norway

Literal question Which of these rules do you think should apply in Norway?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 and ran15=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Requirement for sterilisation, diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment (practised in Norway, Romania and Slovenia for example).	11	0.2%
2	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual and medical treatment (practised in Sweden, Spain and Estonia for example).	18	0.4%
3	Requirement for diagnosis as transsexual (practised in Austria, the Netherlands and Germany for example).	30	0.6%
4	No absolute requirements, the person decides after reaching the age of 16 (practised in Denmark, Argentina and Malta for example). This is the new proposal in Norway.	193	4.0%
5	It should not be possible to change legal gender	27	0.6%
97	No answer	15	0.3%
98	Not asked	4565	93.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran16: [Randomizes if u=2]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1225 /-] [Invalid=15786 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	426	34.8%
2	2	413	33.7%
3	3	386	31.5%
Sysmiss		15786	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6trans3a: Agree/disagree: Should introduce the possibility of putting "A" in passport

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Literal question How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce the possibility of putting "A" (for annet - other) as a third gender alternative in the passport, in addition to "M" (man) and "K" (kvinne - woman)?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 and ran16=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	34	0.7%
2	Agree	64	1.3%
3	Somewhat agree	35	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	102	2.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	35	0.7%
6	Disagree	76	1.6%
7	Strongly disagree	76	1.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4433	91.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

# r6trans3a: Agree/disagree: Should introduce the possibility of putting "A" in passport			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6trans3b: Agree/disagree: Should introduce the possibility of putting "A" in passport, working to secure a change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Organisations for transgender persons are working to secure a change in which persons who do not identify as either a man or a woman have a third alternative (A for annet - other) in passports and other official documents.		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce the possibility of putting "A" (for annet - other) as a third gender alternative in the passport, in addition to "M" (man) and "K" (kvinne - woman)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran16=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	27	0.6%
2	Agree	68	1.4%
3	Somewhat agree	50	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	96	2.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	40	0.8%
6	Disagree	62	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	58	1.2%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	4446	91.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6trans3c: Agree/disagree: Should introduce the possibility of putting "A" in passport, working to secure a change, number of countries have introduced it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Organisations for transgender persons are working to secure a change in which persons who do not identify as either a man or a woman have a third alternative (A for annet - other) in passports and other official documents. A number of countries have introduced such an arrangement.		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should introduce the possibility of putting "A" (for annet - other) as a third gender alternative in the passport, in addition to "M" (man) and "K" (kvinne - woman)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran16=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.7%
2	Agree	72	1.5%
3	Somewhat agree	39	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	112	2.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	24	0.5%
6	Disagree	54	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	45	0.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4473	92.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran17: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		

# r6ran17: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1222 /-] [Invalid=15789 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	392	32.1%
2	2	436	35.7%
3	3	394	32.2%
Sysmiss		15789	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6leg1a: Agree/disagree: Risk of persecution because of sexual orientation should be a basis for a residence permit			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Pre-question		Some persons who seek asylum receive a residence permit in Norway because they risk persecution in their home country because of their sexual orientation (homosexual/lesbian/bisexual) or gender identity (transgender).	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that the risk of persecution because of sexual orientation or gender identity should be a basis for a residence permit in Norway?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=2 and ran17=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	51	1.0%
2	Agree	105	2.2%
3	Somewhat agree	89	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	53	1.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	35	0.7%
6	Disagree	26	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4467	91.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6leg1b: Agree/disagree: Risk of persecution because of sexual orientation should be a basis for a residence permit, accordance with current Norwegian law			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Pre-question		Some persons who seek asylum receive a residence permit in Norway because they risk persecution in their home country because of their sexual orientation (homosexual/lesbian/bisexual) or gender identity (transgender). This is in accordance with current Norwegian law.	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that the risk of persecution because of sexual orientation or gender identity should be a basis for a residence permit in Norway?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=2 and ran17=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	79	1.6%
2	Agree	125	2.6%
3	Somewhat agree	81	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	47	1.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	32	0.7%
6	Disagree	43	0.9%

r6leg1b: Agree/disagree: Risk of persecution because of sexual orientation should be a basis for a residence permit, accordance with current Norwegian law

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4423	91.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6leg1c: Agree/disagree: Risk of persecution because of sexual orientation should be a basis for a residence permit, accordance with current Norwegian law + similiar laws in other European countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Some persons who seek asylum receive a residence permit in Norway because they risk persecution in their home country because of their sexual orientation (homosexual/lesbian/bisexual) or gender identity (transgender). This is in accordance with current Norwegian law. There are similar laws in many other European countries.
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that the risk of persecution because of sexual orientation or gender identity should be a basis for a residence permit in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran17=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	74	1.5%
2	Agree	124	2.6%
3	Somewhat agree	86	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	39	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	26	0.5%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4465	91.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6leg2_1: Statement: Should prioritise asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/transgender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Norway should prioritise accepting asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/bisexual/transgender because they are particularly vulnerable to maltreatment and discrimination in refugee camps in their neighbouring countries.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	58	1.2%
2	Agree	201	4.1%
3	Somewhat agree	268	5.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	282	5.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	127	2.6%
6	Disagree	152	3.1%
7	Strongly disagree	117	2.4%
97	No answer	44	0.9%

# r6leg2_1: Statement: Should prioritise asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/transgender			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6leg2_2: Statement: Should be possible to send asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/transgender if they can avoid persecution and discrimination by hiding their orientation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	It should be possible to send asylum seekers who are homosexual/lesbian/bisexual/transgender back to their home countries if they can avoid persecution and discrimination there by hiding their orientation.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	60	1.2%
2	Agree	117	2.4%
3	Somewhat agree	135	2.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	254	5.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	220	4.5%
6	Disagree	267	5.5%
7	Strongly disagree	145	3.0%
97	No answer	51	1.0%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6leg2_3: Statement: Those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left are telling the truth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	38	0.8%
2	Agree	217	4.5%
3	Somewhat agree	161	3.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	460	9.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	160	3.3%
6	Disagree	110	2.3%
7	Strongly disagree	56	1.2%
97	No answer	47	1.0%
98	Not asked	3610	74.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6ran18: [Randomizes if u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1222 /-] [Invalid=15789 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	387	31.7%
2	2	433	35.4%
3	3	402	32.9%
Sysmiss		15789	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv73a: Opinion of: Right of reservation from abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>The question of health personnel being able to reserve themselves from taking part in abortions is controversial in many countries.</p> <p>In Norway a proposal has been put forward to increase the possibility of general practitioners reserving themselves from giving referrals for abortion.</p>		
Literal question	What is your opinion of this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran18=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree. Doctors should be fully allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	34	0.7%
2	Partly agree. Doctors should in some cases be allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	84	1.7%
3	Strongly disagree. Doctors should not be allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	264	5.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4472	92.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv73b: Opinion of: Right of reservation from abortion, anti-abortion-activists wants to make it hard for women to obtain legal abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>The question of health personnel being able to reserve themselves from taking part in abortions is controversial in many countries.</p> <p>Anti-abortion activists worldwide are working to strengthen the right to reservation and that health personnel and institutions should reserve themselves, so as to make it difficult for women to obtain legal abortions. In many parts of Latin America it is practically impossible to obtain a legal abortion because so many have used the right to reservation.</p> <p>In Norway a proposal has been put forward to increase the possibility of general practitioners reserving themselves from giving referrals for abortion.</p>		
Literal question	What is your opinion of this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran18=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree. Doctors should be fully allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	44	0.9%

r6dv73b: Opinion of: Right of reservation from abortion, anti-abortion-activists wants to make it hard for women to obtain legal abortion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Partly agree. Doctors should in some cases be allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	100	2.1%
3	Strongly disagree. Doctors should not be allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	279	5.7%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4426	91.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv73c: Opinion of: Right of reservation from abortion, campaigners for a strong right of reservation concerned about doctors being compelled to act counter to their religious/ethical convictions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>The question of health personnel being able to reserve themselves from taking part in abortions is controversial in many countries.</p> <p>Campaigners for a strong right of reservation are concerned about doctors and other health workers being compelled to act counter to their religious or ethical convictions or having to give up their jobs.</p> <p>In Norway a proposal has been put forward to increase the possibility of general practitioners reserving themselves from giving referrals for abortion.</p>
Literal question	What is your opinion of this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran18=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree. Doctors should be fully allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	51	1.0%
2	Partly agree. Doctors should in some cases be allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	95	2.0%
3	Strongly disagree. Doctors should not be allowed to reserve themselves from referring patients for abortions.	250	5.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4457	91.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran6: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1163 /-] [Invalid=15848 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	383	32.9%
2	2	378	32.5%
3	3	402	34.6%
Sysmiss		15848	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad1a: Likelihood of taking vaccine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

# r6pad1a: Likelihood of taking vaccine			
Pre-question	Imagine that a new infectious disease has arisen in the world and that it is on its way to Norway. Those who are infected get flu-like symptoms, but usually become completely well again. A few deaths have been recorded due to the virus, and there is a fear that it might mutate and become dangerous for many more people. A vaccine against the disease has been developed, but has not been fully tested with regard to side effects.		
Literal question	How likely is it that you would take the vaccine in such a situation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran6=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	23	0.5%
2	Likely	58	1.2%
3	Somewhat likely	103	2.1%
4	Slightly likely	151	3.1%
5	Not likely at all	48	1.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4476	92.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad1b: Likelihood of taking vaccine, part of the population not vaccinated and is dependent on most of the population taking it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a new infectious disease has arisen in the world and that it is on its way to Norway. Those who are infected get flu-like symptoms, but usually become completely well again. A few deaths have been recorded due to the virus, and there is a fear that it might mutate and become dangerous for many more people. A vaccine against the disease has been developed, but has not been fully tested with regard to side effects. There will always be part of the population that is not vaccinated due to their young age, allergy or poor health. This group is entirely dependent on most of the population being vaccinated, so that they are not infected.		
Literal question	How likely is it that you would take the vaccine in such a situation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran6=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	89	1.8%
2	Likely	103	2.1%
3	Somewhat likely	106	2.2%
4	Slightly likely	54	1.1%
5	Not likely at all	24	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4481	92.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad1c: Likelihood of taking vaccine, your own body stimulates rapid mobilisation against disease by taking the vaccine			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a new infectious disease has arisen in the world and that it is on its way to Norway. Those who are infected get flu-like symptoms, but usually become completely well again. A few deaths have been recorded due to the virus, and there is a fear that it might mutate and become dangerous for many more people. A vaccine against the disease has been developed, but has not been fully tested with regard to side effects. The vaccine stimulates your body to rapid mobilisation against the disease, thus keeping you healthy.		

r6pad1c: Likelihood of taking vaccine, your own body stimulates rapid mobilisation against disease by taking the vaccine

Literal question	How likely is it that you would take the vaccine in such a situation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran6=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	47	1.0%
2	Likely	73	1.5%
3	Somewhat likely	116	2.4%
4	Slightly likely	122	2.5%
5	Not likely at all	43	0.9%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4457	91.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran7: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1163 /-] [Invalid=15848 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	305	26.2%
2	2	292	25.1%
3	3	274	23.6%
4	4	292	25.1%
Sysmiss		15848	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad2a_1: Statement: Interested to read about issue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:
Literal question	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=1. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	7	0.1%
2	Agree	36	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	50	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	50	1.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	40	0.8%
6	Disagree	65	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	49	1.0%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6pad2a_2: Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:		
Literal question	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=1. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	0.3%
2	Agree	59	1.2%
3	Somewhat agree	50	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	77	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	34	0.7%
6	Disagree	42	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	22	0.5%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad2b_1: Statement: Interested to read about issue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:		
Literal question	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=2. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2	0.0%
2	Agree	23	0.5%
3	Somewhat agree	42	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	62	1.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	29	0.6%
6	Disagree	74	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	53	1.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad2b_2: Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:		
Literal question	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=2. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		

r6pad2b_2: Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.4%
2	Agree	60	1.2%
3	Somewhat agree	43	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	74	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	28	0.6%
6	Disagree	36	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	26	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad2c_1: Statement: Interested to read about issue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:
Literal question	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=3. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	10	0.2%
2	Agree	28	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	40	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	36	0.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	34	0.7%
6	Disagree	67	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	48	1.0%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad2c_2: Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:
Literal question	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=3. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	0.6%
2	Agree	45	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	46	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	53	1.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	28	0.6%

# r6pad2c_2: Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	53	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad2d_1: Statement: Interested to read about issue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:		
Literal question	This is an issue I would be very interested to read about on a normal day.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=4. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5	0.1%
2	Agree	38	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	35	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	69	1.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	40	0.8%
6	Disagree	64	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	38	0.8%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad2d_2: Statement: Issue is about the Conservative Party and Erna Solberg			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	This is a hypothetical example of the introduction to an issue in Norwegian online newspapers. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about the article:		
Literal question	This issue is about the Conservative political party and prime minister Erna Solberg.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=4. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	16	0.3%
2	Agree	50	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	46	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	85	1.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	30	0.6%
6	Disagree	45	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6pad3a_1: Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	47	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	98	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	48	1.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	68	1.4%
6	Disagree	32	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3a_2: Statement: Trusts the journalists' work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	I trust the journalists' work in general.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	45	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	89	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	80	1.6%
6	Disagree	40	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6pad3a_3: Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2	0.0%
2	Agree	41	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	58	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	52	1.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	89	1.8%
6	Disagree	42	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	14	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3a_4: Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	28	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	67	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	94	1.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	60	1.2%
6	Disagree	38	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3a_5: Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	8	0.2%

r6pad3a_5: Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	47	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	83	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	37	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	85	1.7%
6	Disagree	32	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4554	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad3b_1: Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:
Literal question	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1	0.0%
2	Agree	49	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	93	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	38	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	63	1.3%
6	Disagree	35	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad3b_2: Statement: Trusts the journalists' work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:
Literal question	I trust the journalists' work in general.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2	0.0%
2	Agree	44	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	85	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	38	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	59	1.2%
6	Disagree	47	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.2%

# r6pad3b_2: Statement: Trusts the journalists' work			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3b_3: Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	7	0.1%
2	Agree	30	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	54	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	83	1.7%
6	Disagree	54	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3b_4: Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2	0.0%
2	Agree	21	0.4%
3	Somewhat agree	58	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	104	2.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	53	1.1%
6	Disagree	36	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3b_5: Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		

# r6pad3b_5: Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers			
Pre-question	To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1	0.0%
2	Agree	35	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	84	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	80	1.6%
6	Disagree	36	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3c_1: Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent an advertisement. Several Norwegian newspapers have introduced such advertisements that look like editorial.</p> <p>To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:</p>		
Literal question	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=3. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%
2	Agree	34	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	70	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	78	1.6%
6	Disagree	40	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3c_2: Statement: Trusts the journalists' work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent an advertisement. Several Norwegian newspapers have introduced such advertisements that look like editorial.</p> <p>To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:</p>		
Literal question	I trust the journalists' work in general.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=3. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		

# r6pad3c_2: Statement: Trusts the journalists' work			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	6	0.1%
2	Agree	43	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	56	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	49	1.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	74	1.5%
6	Disagree	37	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	6	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3c_3: Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent an advertisement. Several Norwegian newspapers have introduced such advertisements that look like editorial.</p> <p>To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:</p>		
Literal question	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=3. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	8	0.2%
2	Agree	32	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	60	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	41	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	58	1.2%
6	Disagree	60	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3c_4: Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent an advertisement. Several Norwegian newspapers have introduced such advertisements that look like editorial.</p> <p>To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:</p>		
Literal question	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=3. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%
2	Agree	16	0.3%

# r6pad3c_4: Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat agree	56	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	99	2.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	51	1.0%
6	Disagree	36	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3c_5: Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent an advertisement. Several Norwegian newspapers have introduced such advertisements that look like editorial. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=3. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1	0.0%
2	Agree	25	0.5%
3	Somewhat agree	62	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	30	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	82	1.7%
6	Disagree	54	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3d_1: Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent a portrait interview. Several Norwegian newspapers write such portrait interviews. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	I generally trust the information that is given in the Norwegian online newspapers that I read.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=4. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%
2	Agree	38	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	74	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	58	1.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	57	1.2%

# r6pad3d_1: Statement: Trusts the information in the Norwegian online newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	43	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	11	0.2%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3d_2: Statement: Trusts the journalists' work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent a portrait interview. Several Norwegian newspapers write such portrait interviews.</p> <p>To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:</p>		
Literal question	I trust the journalists' work in general.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=4. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%
2	Agree	33	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	74	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	49	1.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	65	1.3%
6	Disagree	47	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	14	0.3%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3d_3: Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent a portrait interview. Several Norwegian newspapers write such portrait interviews.</p> <p>To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:</p>		
Literal question	I trust the newspapers to clearly mark what is advertising and what is editorial.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=4. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5	0.1%
2	Agree	33	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	39	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	62	1.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	81	1.7%
6	Disagree	52	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	11	0.2%
97	No answer	9	0.2%

# r6pad3d_3: Statement: Trusts the newspaper to mark what is advertising and what is editorial			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3d_4: Statement: If just seen a real piece, trusts other news from the same newspaper			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent a portrait interview. Several Norwegian newspapers write such portrait interviews. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	If the piece I just saw had been real, I would have trusted other news from the same newspaper.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=4. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	29	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	53	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	113	2.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	52	1.1%
6	Disagree	29	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad3d_5: Statement: Easy to see what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Notice the text box above. It is taken from the piece you just saw. This means that the hypothetical article you just saw would represent a portrait interview. Several Norwegian newspapers write such portrait interviews. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements about Norwegian online newspapers:		
Literal question	It is easy to see the difference between what is advertising and what is editorial in Norwegian online newspapers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran7=4. Note: Respondents are exposed to visual stimulation (picture). See appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%
2	Agree	35	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	67	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	67	1.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	77	1.6%
6	Disagree	28	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	6	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r6pad8a_randomQorder: Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8A is asked first, second, or third.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-] [Mean=2.054 /-] [StdDev=0.807 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8A is asked first, second, or third.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	First	347	29.9%
2	Second	402	34.7%
3	Third	410	35.4%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8b_randomQorder: Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8B is asked first, second, or third.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-] [Mean=1.942 /-] [StdDev=0.83 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8B is asked first, second, or third.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	First	434	37.4%
2	Second	358	30.9%
3	Third	367	31.7%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_randomQorder: Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8C is asked first, second, or third.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-] [Mean=2.003 /-] [StdDev=0.81 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. Determines whether R6PAD8C is asked first, second, or third.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	First	378	32.6%
2	Second	399	34.4%
3	Third	382	33.0%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep1_age: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	173	14.9%
2	30 years	172	14.8%
3	40 years	167	14.4%
4	50 years	152	13.1%
5	60 years	164	14.2%

# r6pad8a_rep1_age: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: age			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	70 years	165	14.2%
7	80 years	166	14.3%
Sysmiss		15852	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad8a_rep1_gender: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Female	566	48.8%
2	Male	593	51.2%
Sysmiss		15852	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad8a_rep1_region: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nord-Norge	203	17.5%
2	Midt-Norge	186	16.0%
3	Vestlandet	189	16.3%
4	Sørlandet	214	18.5%
5	Østlandet	180	15.5%
6	Oslo-området	187	16.1%
Sysmiss		15852	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad8a_rep1_relationship: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: relationship			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	407	35.1%
2	Living alone	400	34.5%
3	Cohabitant	352	30.4%
Sysmiss		15852	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r6pad8a_rep1_education: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elementary school	294	25.4%
2	High school	288	24.8%
3	University	292	25.2%
4	Ph.D.	285	24.6%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep1_religion: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	380	32.8%
2	Christianity	380	32.8%
3	Islam	399	34.4%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep1_work: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8a: work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nursery employee	180	15.5%
2	Farmer	193	16.7%
3	Oil worker	195	16.8%
4	Self-employed	182	15.7%
5	IT-consultant	211	18.2%
6	No work experience	198	17.1%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep2_age: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

r6pad8a_rep2_age: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: age

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	158	13.6%
2	30 years	143	12.3%
3	40 years	186	16.0%
4	50 years	169	14.6%
5	60 years	157	13.5%
6	70 years	166	14.3%
7	80 years	180	15.5%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep2_gender: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Female	586	50.6%
2	Male	573	49.4%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep2_region: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nord-Norge	208	17.9%
2	Midt-Norge	175	15.1%
3	Vestlandet	188	16.2%
4	Sørlandet	200	17.3%
5	Østlandet	196	16.9%
6	Oslo-området	192	16.6%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep2_relationship: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: relationship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	399	34.4%

r6pad8a_rep2_relationship: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: relationship

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Living alone	372	32.1%
3	Cohabitant	388	33.5%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep2_education: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elementary school	286	24.7%
2	High school	277	23.9%
3	University	274	23.6%
4	Ph.D.	322	27.8%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep2_religion: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	391	33.7%
2	Christianity	384	33.1%
3	Islam	384	33.1%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a_rep2_work: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8A variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8A and rep2_8A are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nursery employee	164	14.2%
2	Farmer	199	17.2%
3	Oil worker	194	16.7%
4	Self-employed	183	15.8%
5	IT-consultant	230	19.8%
6	No work experience	189	16.3%
Sysmiss		15852	

r6pad8a_rep2_work: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8a: work

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8b_rep1_stance_1: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8b: stance 1

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8B variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8B and rep2_8B are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	161	13.9%
2	Agree	166	14.3%
3	Somewhat agree	155	13.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	176	15.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	155	13.4%
6	Disagree	165	14.2%
7	Strongly disagree	181	15.6%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8b_rep1_stance_2: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8b: stance 2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8B variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8B and rep2_8B are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	182	15.7%
2	Agree	167	14.4%
3	Somewhat agree	164	14.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	171	14.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	157	13.5%
6	Disagree	139	12.0%
7	Strongly disagree	179	15.4%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8b_rep1_stance_3: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8b: stance 3

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8B variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8B and rep2_8B are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	172	14.8%
2	Agree	158	13.6%
3	Somewhat agree	168	14.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	170	14.7%

r6pad8b_rep1_stance_3: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8b: stance 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	179	15.4%
6	Disagree	164	14.2%
7	Strongly disagree	148	12.8%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8b_rep2_stance_1: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8b: stance 1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8B variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8B and rep2_8B are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	165	14.2%
2	Agree	169	14.6%
3	Somewhat agree	159	13.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	169	14.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	158	13.6%
6	Disagree	167	14.4%
7	Strongly disagree	172	14.8%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8b_rep2_stance_2: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8b: stance 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8B variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8B and rep2_8B are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	185	16.0%
2	Agree	182	15.7%
3	Somewhat agree	152	13.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	161	13.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	165	14.2%
6	Disagree	152	13.1%
7	Strongly disagree	162	14.0%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8b_rep2_stance_3: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8b: stance 3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8B variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8B and rep2_8B are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

r6pad8b_rep2_stance_3: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8b: stance 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	149	12.9%
2	Agree	163	14.1%
3	Somewhat agree	166	14.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	169	14.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	179	15.4%
6	Disagree	171	14.8%
7	Strongly disagree	162	14.0%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_age: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	148	12.8%
2	30 years	154	13.3%
3	40 years	179	15.4%
4	50 years	162	14.0%
5	60 years	171	14.8%
6	70 years	177	15.3%
7	80 years	168	14.5%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_gender: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Female	598	51.6%
2	Male	561	48.4%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_region: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

r6pad8c_rep1_region: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: region

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nord-Norge	182	15.7%
2	Midt-Norge	190	16.4%
3	Vestlandet	198	17.1%
4	Sørlandet	218	18.8%
5	Østlandet	194	16.7%
6	Oslo-området	177	15.3%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_relationship: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: relationship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	393	33.9%
2	Living alone	382	33.0%
3	Cohabitant	384	33.1%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_education: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elementary school	280	24.2%
2	High school	276	23.8%
3	University	295	25.5%
4	Ph.D.	308	26.6%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_religion: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	418	36.1%
2	Christianity	368	31.8%
3	Islam	373	32.2%

r6pad8c_rep1_religion: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: religion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_work: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nursery employee	170	14.7%
2	Farmer	213	18.4%
3	Oil worker	190	16.4%
4	Self-employed	198	17.1%
5	IT-consultant	209	18.0%
6	No work experience	179	15.4%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_stance_1: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: stance 1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	125	10.8%
2	Agree	182	15.7%
3	Somewhat agree	168	14.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	165	14.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	177	15.3%
6	Disagree	173	14.9%
7	Strongly disagree	169	14.6%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_stance_2: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: stance 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	159	13.7%
2	Agree	157	13.5%
3	Somewhat agree	159	13.7%

r6pad8c_rep1_stance_2: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: stance 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	204	17.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	158	13.6%
6	Disagree	168	14.5%
7	Strongly disagree	154	13.3%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep1_stance_3: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 1 in r6pad8c: stance 3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep1_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	159	13.7%
2	Agree	160	13.8%
3	Somewhat agree	166	14.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	164	14.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	181	15.6%
6	Disagree	167	14.4%
7	Strongly disagree	162	14.0%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_age: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	173	14.9%
2	30 years	173	14.9%
3	40 years	160	13.8%
4	50 years	142	12.3%
5	60 years	176	15.2%
6	70 years	183	15.8%
7	80 years	152	13.1%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_gender: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Female	601	51.9%
2	Male	558	48.1%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_region: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

r6pad8c_rep2_region: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: region

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nord-Norge	191	16.5%
2	Midt-Norge	198	17.1%
3	Vestlandet	175	15.1%
4	Sørlandet	197	17.0%
5	Østlandet	220	19.0%
6	Oslo-området	178	15.4%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_relationship: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: relationship

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	371	32.0%
2	Living alone	374	32.3%
3	Cohabitant	414	35.7%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_education: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: education

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elementary school	280	24.2%
2	High school	304	26.2%
3	University	273	23.6%
4	Ph.D.	302	26.1%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_religion: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: religion

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

r6pad8c_rep2_religion: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: religion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	396	34.2%
2	Christianity	378	32.6%
3	Islam	385	33.2%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_work: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nursery employee	173	14.9%
2	Farmer	198	17.1%
3	Oil worker	190	16.4%
4	Self-employed	207	17.9%
5	IT-consultant	190	16.4%
6	No work experience	201	17.3%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_stance_1: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: stance 1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	169	14.6%
2	Agree	168	14.5%
3	Somewhat agree	139	12.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	185	16.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	150	12.9%
6	Disagree	184	15.9%
7	Strongly disagree	164	14.2%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8c_rep2_stance_2: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: stance 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

r6pad8c_rep2_stance_2: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: stance 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	187	16.1%
2	Agree	164	14.2%
3	Somewhat agree	163	14.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	160	13.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	151	13.0%
6	Disagree	158	13.6%
7	Strongly disagree	176	15.2%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pac8c_rep2_stance_3: Choice of hypothetical political representative. Background variable for representative 2 in r6pad8c: stance 3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1159 /-] [Invalid=15852 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Part of conjunction experiment. The rep2_8C variables constitutes the full background of one of six representatives. rep1_8C and rep2_8C are presented together. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	169	14.6%
2	Agree	157	13.5%
3	Somewhat agree	178	15.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	162	14.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	166	14.3%
6	Disagree	167	14.4%
7	Strongly disagree	160	13.8%
Sysmiss		15852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad8a: Choice of hypothetical political representatives with demographic characteristics.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Choice of political representative 1 of 3
Literal question	Below we are presenting you with two hypothetical members of parliament with differing characteristics. Let us say that you have to choose one of these to represent you in parliament. Please read the description of each representative carefully. Then, please indicate which representative you would prefer to represent you.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Note: Part of conjunction experiment. Collects the preference of the respondents when they are asked to choose between representative 1 and representative 2. The order of which R6PAD8A-C is asked, is randomized. The contents of the question is also randomly determined, based on the r6pad8a_rep variables. See appendix for question layout.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I prefer representative 1	549	11.3%
2	I prefer representative 2	557	11.5%
97	No answer	58	1.2%
98	Not asked	3695	76.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6pad8b: Choice of hypothetical political representatives with political characteristics.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Choice of political representative 2 of 3		
Literal question	Below we are presenting you with two hypothetical members of parliament with differing characteristics. Let us say that you have to choose one of these to represent you in parliament. Please read the description of each representative carefully. Then, please indicate which representative you would prefer to represent you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Note: Part of conjunction experiment. Collects the preference of the respondents when they are asked to choose between representative 1 and representative 2. The order of which R6PAD8A-C is asked, is randomized. The contents of the question is randomly determined, based on the r6pad8n_rep variables. See appendix for question layout.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I prefer representative 1	566	11.6%
2	I prefer representative 2	536	11.0%
97	No answer	62	1.3%
98	Not asked	3695	76.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad8c: Choice of hypothetical political representatives with demographic and political characteristics.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Choice of political representative 3 of 3		
Literal question	Below we are presenting you with two hypothetical members of parliament with differing characteristics. Let us say that you have to choose one of these to represent you in parliament. Please read the description of each representative carefully. Then, please indicate which representative you would prefer to represent you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Note: Part of conjunction experiment. Collects the preference of the respondents when they are asked to choose between representative 1 and representative 2. The order of which R6PAD8A-C is asked, is randomized. The contents of the question is also randomly determined, based on the r6pad8c_rep variables. See appendix for question layout.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I prefer representative 1	559	11.5%
2	I prefer representative 2	545	11.2%
97	No answer	60	1.2%
98	Not asked	3695	76.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran11: [Randomizes if u=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1152 /-] [Invalid=15859 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	561	48.7%
2	2	591	51.3%
Sysmiss		15859	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad9a: Agree/disagree: Accept more Syrian refugees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r6pad9a: Agree/disagree: Accept more Syrian refugees			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran11=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	50	1.0%
2	Agree	115	2.4%
3	Somewhat agree	134	2.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	70	1.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	79	1.6%
6	Disagree	50	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	60	1.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4298	88.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad9b: Agree/disagree: Accept more Syrian refugees than what has been agreed			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Literal question		How much do you agree or disagree that Norway should accept more Syrian refugees over the next two years than has been agreed?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran11=2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	47	1.0%
2	Agree	111	2.3%
3	Somewhat agree	118	2.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	81	1.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	71	1.5%
6	Disagree	63	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	98	2.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4268	87.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran12: [Randomizes if u=3]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1152 /-] [Invalid=15859 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	548	47.6%
2	2	604	52.4%
Sysmiss		15859	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad10a: Reasoning for answer about Syrian refugees [anonymized]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	

# r6pad10a: Reasoning for answer about Syrian refugees [anonymized]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Can you write the reason for your answer about Syrian refugees?		
Post-question	We want all types of answers. A couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran12=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6pad11: To what extent do you have a strong opinion on this question?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent would you say that you have a strong opinion on this question?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	148	3.0%
2	To a great extent	381	7.8%
3	Somewhat	504	10.4%
4	Slightly	101	2.1%
5	Not at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3695	76.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad12: How good is your knowledge about the refugee question?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How good do you feel that your knowledge about the refugee question is?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good knowledge	52	1.1%
2	Good knowledge	469	9.7%
3	Some knowledge	542	11.2%
4	Not much knowledge	65	1.3%
5	No knowledge at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	3695	76.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran32: [Randomizes if u=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1150 /-] [Invalid=15861 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	587	51.0%
2	2	563	49.0%

# r6ran32: [Randomizes if u=3]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15861	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad13a: How many of Norway's citizens: Agrees that the country should accept more Syrian refugees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	If you were to guess, how many of Norway's citizens do you think would agree that the country should accept more Syrian refugees?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all	7	0.1%
2	About 2 out of 3	71	1.5%
3	About half	220	4.5%
4	About 1 out of 3	235	4.8%
5	Almost none	44	0.9%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4272	87.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad13b: How many of the people you know: Agrees that the country should accept more Syrian refugees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	If you were to guess, how many of the people you know do you think would agree that the country should accept more Syrian refugees?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all	26	0.5%
2	About 2 out of 3	80	1.6%
3	About half	179	3.7%
4	About 1 out of 3	151	3.1%
5	Almost none	120	2.5%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4296	88.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad10b: Reasoning for answer about Syrian refugees [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Can you write the reason for your answer about Syrian refugees?		
Post-question	We want all types of answers. A couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran12=2]		
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		

r6pad14: Opinion of: Municipalities that have entered into contracts with companies that provide and maintain for example bus shelters in return for the companies being allowed to advertise

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Several Norwegian municipalities have in recent years entered into contracts with companies that provide and maintain bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories in return for the companies being allowed to advertise in connection with these.
Literal question	What is your opinion of this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and if the respondent is inhabitant in a defined group of municipalities.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I am opposed to municipal funding of bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories with the aid of advertising	142	2.9%
2	I support municipal funding of bus shelters, city bicycles and public lavatories with the aid of advertising	419	8.6%
97	No answer	15	0.3%
98	Not asked	4283	88.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran9: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1147 /-] [Invalid=15864 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	292	25.5%
2	2	289	25.2%
3	3	270	23.5%
4	4	296	25.8%
Sysmiss		15864	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4a: Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: How much do you want to give to a random person?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you and two other participants can be drawn out to win an extra cash prize of 1,000 kroner. If you are drawn out, you must all make two decisions. As decision 1, you must choose how much of the 1,000 kroner you would give a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel. As decision 2, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the one of the other two persons who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 kr	73	1.5%
2	250 kr	43	0.9%
3	500 kr	128	2.6%
4	750 kr	0	
5	1000 kr	24	0.5%
97	No answer	24	0.5%

# r6pad4a: Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: How much do you want to give to a random person?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4a_beslutning2: Drawn out to win cash prize. Wish/doesn't wish to go to decision 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=1. Asked on the same page as R6PAD4A]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I do not wish to go to Decision 2	95	2.0%
2	Go on to Decision 2	142	2.9%
97	No answer	55	1.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4a1_1: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=97.482 /-] [StdDev=33.857 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=1, and R6PAD4A_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 0 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		69	1.4%
97	No answer	67	1.4%
98	Not asked	4717	97.1%
500		4	0.1%
900		1	0.0%
2000		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4a1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=97.862 /-] [StdDev=27.503 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=1, and R6PAD4A_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 250 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		52	1.1%
50		1	0.0%
97	No answer	68	1.4%
98	Not asked	4717	97.1%
100		1	0.0%

# r6pad4a1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
200		1	0.0%
250		17	0.3%
300		1	0.0%
1750		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4a1_3: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=98.609 /-] [StdDev=32.288 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=1, and R6PAD4A_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 500 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		55	1.1%
97	No answer	64	1.3%
98	Not asked	4717	97.1%
167		1	0.0%
200		2	0.0%
250		3	0.1%
400		1	0.0%
450		1	0.0%
500		14	0.3%
1500		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4a1_4: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=100.021 /-] [StdDev=36.277 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=1, and R6PAD4A_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 750 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		30	0.6%
97	No answer	69	1.4%
98	Not asked	4717	97.1%
100		1	0.0%
200		1	0.0%
250		23	0.5%
300		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
375		1	0.0%

# r6pad4a1_4: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
417		1	0.0%
500		5	0.1%
600		1	0.0%
700		1	0.0%
750		6	0.1%
1250		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4a1_5: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=102.461 /-] [StdDev=55.217 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=1, and R6PAD4A_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 1 000 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		32	0.7%
50		1	0.0%
97	No answer	58	1.2%
98	Not asked	4717	97.1%
200		1	0.0%
250		2	0.0%
300		1	0.0%
400		1	0.0%
500		33	0.7%
667		1	0.0%
700		1	0.0%
750		1	0.0%
900		1	0.0%
1000		9	0.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4b: Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you and two other participants can be drawn out to win an extra cash prize of 1,000 kroner. If you are drawn out, you must all make two decisions. As decision 1, you must choose how much of the 1,000 kroner you would give a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel. As decision 2, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the one of the other two persons who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=2]		

r6pad4b: Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 kr	61	1.3%
2	250 kr	44	0.9%
3	500 kr	124	2.6%
4	750 kr	5	0.1%
5	1000 kr	15	0.3%
97	No answer	40	0.8%
98	Not asked	4570	94.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4b_beslutning2: Drawn out to win cash prize. Wish/doesn't wish to go to decision 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=2. Asked on the same page as R6PAD4B]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I do not wish to go to Decision 2	78	1.6%
2	Go on to Decision 2	160	3.3%
97	No answer	51	1.0%
98	Not asked	4570	94.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4b1_1: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=102.402 /-] [StdDev=60.228 /-]
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=2, and R6PAD4B_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 0 kr]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		41	0.8%
10		1	0.0%
97	No answer	63	1.3%
98	Not asked	4699	96.7%
200		1	0.0%
250		13	0.3%
500		27	0.6%
750		4	0.1%
1000		9	0.2%
2000		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4b1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=99.905 /-] [StdDev=35.981 /-]

# r6pad4b1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=2, and R6PAD4B_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 250 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		31	0.6%
50		1	0.0%
97	No answer	83	1.7%
98	Not asked	4699	96.7%
100		1	0.0%
125		3	0.1%
150		1	0.0%
200		1	0.0%
250		24	0.5%
259		1	0.0%
500		5	0.1%
750		7	0.1%
1000		2	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4b1_3: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=99.333 /-] [StdDev=33.255 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3, ran9=2, and R6PAD4B_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 500 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		47	1.0%
97	No answer	81	1.7%
98	Not asked	4699	96.7%
100		1	0.0%
200		2	0.0%
250		5	0.1%
300		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
500		20	0.4%
900		1	0.0%
1000		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4b1_4: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=98.67 /-] [StdDev=31.628 /-]		

r6pad4b1_4: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:

Pre-question Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.

Literal question Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3, ran9=2, and R6PAD4B_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 750 kr]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-250		1	0.0%
0		46	0.9%
97	No answer	88	1.8%
98	Not asked	4699	96.7%
200		1	0.0%
250		11	0.2%
300		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
375		2	0.0%
500		4	0.1%
600		1	0.0%
750		2	0.0%
1000		1	0.0%
1200		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4b1_5: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=99.021 /-] [StdDev=44.696 /-]

Pre-question Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.

Literal question Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3, ran9=2, and R6PAD4B_beslutning2 =2. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 1 000 kr]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-500		1	0.0%
0		53	1.1%
97	No answer	87	1.8%
98	Not asked	4699	96.7%
200		1	0.0%
250		2	0.0%
450		1	0.0%
500		8	0.2%
800		1	0.0%
950		1	0.0%
1000		4	0.1%
1800		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4c: Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

# r6pad4c: Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you and two other participants can be drawn out to win an extra cash prize of 1,000 kroner. If you are drawn out, you must all make two decisions. As decision 1, you must choose how much of the 1,000 kroner you would give a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel. As decision 2, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the one of the other two persons who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 kr	75	1.5%
2	250 kr	35	0.7%
3	500 kr	123	2.5%
4	750 kr	4	0.1%
5	1000 kr	20	0.4%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	4589	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4c1_1: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=97.094 /-] [StdDev=36.778 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=3. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 0 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		124	2.6%
97	No answer	131	2.7%
98	Not asked	4589	94.4%
100		1	0.0%
250		1	0.0%
500		8	0.2%
1000		5	0.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4c1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=97.868 /-] [StdDev=28.57 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=3. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 250 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		86	1.8%

r6pad4c1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		3	0.1%
97	No answer	146	3.0%
98	Not asked	4589	94.4%
100		1	0.0%
125		4	0.1%
150		1	0.0%
200		2	0.0%
250		15	0.3%
259		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
500		6	0.1%
750		4	0.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4c1_3: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=202.04 /-] [StdDev=7178.76 /-]
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=3. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 500 kr]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		84	1.7%
97	No answer	136	2.8%
98	Not asked	4589	94.4%
100		3	0.1%
150		1	0.0%
200		2	0.0%
250		15	0.3%
300		1	0.0%
400		1	0.0%
500		26	0.5%
500500		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4c1_4: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=100.76 /-] [StdDev=40.192 /-]
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=3. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 750 kr]

r6pad4c1_4: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		49	1.0%
50		1	0.0%
90		1	0.0%
97	No answer	148	3.0%
98	Not asked	4589	94.4%
100		2	0.0%
150		3	0.1%
200		3	0.1%
250		35	0.7%
300		1	0.0%
350		2	0.0%
375		5	0.1%
400		1	0.0%
500		9	0.2%
600		1	0.0%
750		8	0.2%
1000		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4c1_5: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the most has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=104.823 /-] [StdDev=70.419 /-]
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has kept most of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=3. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 1 000 kr]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		60	1.2%
97	No answer	129	2.7%
98	Not asked	4589	94.4%
100		1	0.0%
200		1	0.0%
250		8	0.2%
300		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
400		2	0.0%
500		44	0.9%
700		1	0.0%
750		5	0.1%
900		1	0.0%
999		1	0.0%
1000		15	0.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4d: Drawn out to win cash prize. Decision 1: If drawn out, how much do you want to give to a random person?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you and two other participants can be drawn out to win an extra cash prize of 1,000 kroner. If you are drawn out, you must all make two decisions. As decision 1, you must choose how much of the 1,000 kroner you would give a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel. As decision 2, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the one of the other two persons who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Decision 1: If you were drawn out, how much of the 1,000 kroner would you give to a randomly selected member of the Norwegian Citizen Panel?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 kr	75	1.5%
2	250 kr	52	1.1%
3	500 kr	131	2.7%
4	750 kr	3	0.1%
5	1000 kr	25	0.5%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4563	93.9%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4d1_1: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 0 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=105.384 /-] [StdDev=78.963 /-]
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=4. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 0 kr]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		93	1.9%
1		1	0.0%
97	No answer	111	2.3%
98	Not asked	4563	93.9%
100		4	0.1%
200		1	0.0%
250		13	0.3%
420		1	0.0%
500		40	0.8%
550		1	0.0%
750		6	0.1%
800		1	0.0%
1000		24	0.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad4d1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=255.486 /-] [StdDev=10761.621 /-]

r6pad4d1_2: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 250 kr, reduce the prize with:

Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=4. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 250 kr]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		64	1.3%
1		1	0.0%
97	No answer	146	3.0%
98	Not asked	4563	93.9%
100		2	0.0%
125		2	0.0%
200		4	0.1%
250		51	1.0%
300		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
420		1	0.0%
500		7	0.1%
750		15	0.3%
750250		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6pad4d1_3: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 500 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=99.709 /-] [StdDev=38.749 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=4. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 500 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		90	1.9%
50		1	0.0%
97	No answer	153	3.1%
98	Not asked	4563	93.9%
150		1	0.0%
200		1	0.0%
250		11	0.2%
400		1	0.0%
420		1	0.0%
500		37	0.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4d1_4: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 750 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=98.677 /-] [StdDev=37.021 /-]		
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=4. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 750 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		90	1.9%
25		1	0.0%
97	No answer	166	3.4%
98	Not asked	4563	93.9%
125		1	0.0%
200		2	0.0%
250		19	0.4%
375		1	0.0%
420		1	0.0%
500		4	0.1%
600		1	0.0%
750		10	0.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad4d1_5: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-] [Mean=99.407 /-] [StdDev=55.872 /-]		

# r6pad4d1_5: Decision 2: If the one who got to keep the least has 1 000 kr, reduce the prize with:			
Pre-question	Decision 2: In this decision, you can choose to reduce the final prize of the person who has given least of his or her 1,000 kroner.		
Literal question	Then I wish to reduce the prize to this person by the following amount:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran9=4. Note: Respondents are presented with a sum of 1 000 kr]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		110	2.3%
97	No answer	159	3.3%
98	Not asked	4563	93.9%
200		2	0.0%
250		1	0.0%
300		1	0.0%
420		1	0.0%
500		5	0.1%
750		1	0.0%
800		1	0.0%
1000		15	0.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran10: [Randomizes if u=3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1145 /-] [Invalid=15866 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	544	47.5%
2	2	601	52.5%
Sysmiss		15866	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad5a1: Take a decision			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Vi vil nå be deg om å svare på et spørsmål som handler om å ta en beslutning. Vi trekker ut 4 personer som blir betalt ut fra beslutningen de tar. Du er helt anonym og skal kun ta én beslutning.</p> <p>Du kan velge å gå til venstre eller høyre. Du blir tilfeldig plassert med en annen person som skal ta det samme valget. Hvor mye du tjener avhenger av valgene dere tar:</p> <p>Hvis begge går til venstre , får dere 800 kroner hver. Hvis du går til venstre og den andre går til høyre, får du 0 kroner og den andre får 1200 kroner Hvis du går til høyre og den andre går til venstre, får du 1200 kroner og den andre får 0 kroner Hvis begge går til høyre, får dere 400 kroner hver.</p> <p>Uansett hva den andre personen velger, tjener du mest ved å gå til høyre. Den samlede betalingen er høyest hvis begge går til venstre.</p>		
Literal question	Please take a decision.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran10=1. Note: Respondents are asked to read a text on the previous page which contextualises the decision they are asked to make. The text is different from the one presented before R6PAD5B1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I choose to go to the left	330	6.8%

# r6pad5a1: Take a decision			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	I choose to go to the right	188	3.9%
97	No answer	26	0.5%
98	Not asked	4315	88.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad5b1: Before the next question, take a decision			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Vi vil nå be deg om å svare på et spørsmål som handler om å ta en beslutning. Vi trekker ut 4 personer som blir betalt ut fra beslutningen de tar. Du er helt anonym og skal kun ta én beslutning.</p> <p>Du kan velge å gå til venstre eller høyre. Du blir tilfeldig plassert med en annen person som skal ta det samme valget. Hvor mye du tjener avhenger av valgene dere tar:</p> <p>Hvis begge går til venstre, får dere 800 kroner hver. Hvis du går til venstre og den andre går til høyre, får du 0 kroner og den andre får 1200 kroner Hvis du går til høyre og den andre går til venstre, får du 1200 kroner og den andre får 0 kroner Hvis begge går til høyre, får dere 400 kroner hver</p> <p>Uansett hva den andre personen velger, tjener du mest ved å gå til høyre. Den samlede betalingen er høyest hvis begge går til venstre.</p>		
Literal question	Before you go on to the next question, please take a decision.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran10=2. Note: Respondents are asked to read a text on the previous page which contextualises the decision they are asked to make. The text is different from the one presented before R6PAD5A1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I choose to go to the left	341	7.0%
2	I choose to go to the right	220	4.5%
97	No answer	40	0.8%
98	Not asked	4258	87.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad6_background1: R6PAD6 background variable: age			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=14694 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. List of values that are randomly selected into the question. All randomizations are independent of each other. See R6PAD8 in appendix]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22-year-old	328	14.2%
2	30-year-old	337	14.5%
3	40-year-old	314	13.6%
4	50-year-old	334	14.4%
5	60-year-old	328	14.2%
6	70-year-old	345	14.9%
7	80-year-old	331	14.3%
Sysmiss		14694	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6pad6_background2: R6PAD6 background variable: gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=14694 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Experiment. List of values that are randomly selected into the question. All randomizations are independent of each other. See R6PAD8 in appendix]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	woman	1175	50.7%
2	man	1142	49.3%
Sysmiss		14694	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad6_background3: R6PAD6 background variable: region			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=14694 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Experiment. List of values that are randomly selected into the question. All randomizations are independent of each other. See R6PAD8 in appendix]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nord-Norge	368	15.9%
2	Midt-Norge	388	16.7%
3	Vestlandet	414	17.9%
4	Sørlandet	378	16.3%
5	Østlandet	378	16.3%
6	Oslo-området	391	16.9%
Sysmiss		14694	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad6_background4: R6PAD6 background variable: education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=14694 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Experiment. List of values that are randomly selected into the question. All randomizations are independent of each other. See R6PAD8 in appendix]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	primary education	810	35.0%
2	secondary school education	785	33.9%
3	university education	722	31.2%
Sysmiss		14694	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6pad6_background5: R6PAD6 background variable: wage			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=14694 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Experiment. List of values that are randomly selected into the question. All randomizations are independent of each other. See R6PAD8 in appendix]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	75 000	298	12.9%
2	225 000	282	12.2%
3	350 000	285	12.3%
4	450 000	298	12.9%

r6pad6_background5: R6PAD6 background variable: wage

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	550 000	317	13.7%
6	650 000	262	11.3%
7	850 000	292	12.6%
8	above 1 000 000	283	12.2%
Sysmiss		14694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad6_background6: R6PAD6 background variable: choice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=14694 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. List of values that are randomly selected into the question. All randomizations are independent of each other. See R6PAD8 in appendix]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	give away 0 of the 2000 kroners	239	10.3%
2	give away 500 of the 2000 kroners	215	9.3%
3	give away 1000 of the 2000 kroners	226	9.8%
4	give away 1500 of the 2000 kroners	212	9.1%
5	give away 2000 of the 2000 kroners	235	10.1%
6	keep 0 of the 2000 kroners him/herself	217	9.4%
7	keep 500 of the 2000 kroners him/herself	236	10.2%
8	keep 1000 of the 2000 kroners him/herself	247	10.7%
9	keep 1500 of the 2000 kroners him/herself	236	10.2%
10	keep 2000 of the 2000 kroners him/herself	254	11.0%
Sysmiss		14694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6pad6: To what extent is this choice acceptable?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel, in the last round you were included in a draw for an extra monetary prize. 2 people were drawn out: person A and person B. Person A received 2,000 kroner and had to choose how much to share with person B. Who was actually drawn out is secret, but imagine that the person who received the money was a [age] [gender] from [region], with [education] and an annual income of [wage] kroner. The person chose to [choice].
Literal question	To what extent do you think that this choice is acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=3 and Note: the question wording is dependent on values given in the R6PAD6_background variables]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	640	13.2%
2	To a great extent	655	13.5%
3	Somewhat	467	9.6%
4	Slightly	290	6.0%
5	Not at all	195	4.0%
97	No answer	107	2.2%
98	Not asked	2505	51.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6pad7: Reasoning for your answer about how acceptable this choice was [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Can you give a reason for your answer about how acceptable this choice was?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 or u=3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6dv26: How should the government tighten up on various social security schemes?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine a situation in which the government is forced to tighten up on various social security schemes such as sickness benefit and unemployment benefit.		
Literal question	There are three main ways of tightening up and we ask you to put a cross against the alternative that you think the government should choose:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Raise the requirements for receiving benefit (this means having to work longer before being able to receive benefit and will mean that some who could have previously received benefit will not receive any benefit at all).	432	8.9%
2	Decrease the duration of benefit (this means not being able to receive benefit for as long as previously).	325	6.7%
3	Decrease the level of benefit (this means that everyone receiving benefit get a bit less than they would have done previously).	456	9.4%
97	No answer	43	0.9%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r65dv5: How great an advantage/disadvantage that immigrants come here?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	25	0.5%
2	Great advantage	152	3.1%
3	An advantage to some extent	466	9.6%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	164	3.4%
5	A disadvantage to some extent	279	5.7%
6	Great disadvantage	103	2.1%
7	Very great disadvantage	59	1.2%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv6: How important is immigration policy to you personally?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

# r6dv6: How important is immigration policy to you personally?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	200	4.1%
2	Important	550	11.3%
3	Somewhat important	413	8.5%
4	Slightly important	81	1.7%
5	Not important at all	9	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv7: Party with the best immigration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	225	4.6%
2	The Progress Party	329	6.8%
3	The Conservative Party	193	4.0%
4	The Socialist Left Party	88	1.8%
5	The Centre Party	22	0.5%
6	The Christian Democrats	75	1.5%
7	The Liberal Party	68	1.4%
8	The Red Party	24	0.5%
9	The Green Party	15	0.3%
10	Other	6	0.1%
11	None	179	3.7%
97	No answer	32	0.7%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv7_10_other: Party with the best immigration policy, other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r6dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	218	4.5%
2	Agree	229	4.7%
3	Somewhat agree	225	4.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	164	3.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	147	3.0%
6	Disagree	187	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	81	1.7%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv29_2: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	114	2.3%
2	Agree	203	4.2%
3	Somewhat agree	206	4.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	402	8.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	125	2.6%
6	Disagree	147	3.0%
7	Strongly disagree	49	1.0%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv29_3: Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Look at the statements below. How much do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	144	3.0%

r6dv29_3: Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	151	3.1%
3	Somewhat agree	230	4.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	173	3.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	148	3.0%
6	Disagree	272	5.6%
7	Strongly disagree	129	2.7%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran19: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1254 /-] [Invalid=15757 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	266	21.2%
2	2	318	25.4%
3	3	337	26.9%
4	4	333	26.6%
Sysmiss		15757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv60a: Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: Formal apology

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that an elected politician in Norway expresses hate against immigrants on his Facebook page. The political party he belongs to reacts to this by demanding a formal apology. The politician protests against the reaction and believes it is too severe.
Literal question	What do you think about the party's reaction?
Post-question	The reaction is:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	5	0.1%
2	Too severe	9	0.2%
3	Slightly too severe	17	0.3%
4	Just right	131	2.7%
5	Slightly too mild	26	0.5%
6	Too mild	44	0.9%
7	Much too mild	32	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4593	94.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6dv60b: Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: A fine of 1 000 kr			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that an elected politician in Norway expresses hate against immigrants on his Facebook page. The political party he belongs to reacts to this by imposing a small fine of 1,000 kroner. The politician protests against the reaction and believes it is too severe.		
Literal question	What do you think about the party's reaction?		
Post-question	The reaction is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	10	0.2%
2	Too severe	15	0.3%
3	Slightly too severe	9	0.2%
4	Just right	57	1.2%
5	Slightly too mild	28	0.6%
6	Too mild	74	1.5%
7	Much too mild	115	2.4%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4541	93.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv60c: Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: A fine of 100 000 kr			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that an elected politician in Norway expresses hate against immigrants on his Facebook page. The political party he belongs to reacts to this by imposing a large fine of 100,000 kroner. The politician protests against the reaction and believes it is too severe.		
Literal question	What do you think about the party's reaction?		
Post-question	The reaction is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	29	0.6%
2	Too severe	54	1.1%
3	Slightly too severe	64	1.3%
4	Just right	125	2.6%
5	Slightly too mild	9	0.2%
6	Too mild	25	0.5%
7	Much too mild	19	0.4%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	4522	93.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv60d: Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: Exclusion from the party for life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r6dv60d: Party's reaction, politician expresses hate: Exclusion from the party for life			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that an elected politician in Norway expresses hate against immigrants on his Facebook page. The political party he belongs to reacts to this by excluding him from the party for life. The politician protests against the reaction and believes it is too severe.		
Literal question	What do you think about the party's reaction?		
Post-question	The reaction is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	15	0.3%
2	Too severe	36	0.7%
3	Slightly too severe	71	1.5%
4	Just right	189	3.9%
5	Slightly too mild	10	0.2%
6	Too mild	6	0.1%
7	Much too mild	5	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4526	93.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv61_1: Feeling about the party's reaction: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Two different parties were involved in the example you just read about: The politician who wrote the Facebook piece and his party who reacted to it. We ask you to think about the persons in the party who decided what the reaction should be. To what extent do you have the following feelings about their decision?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	477	9.8%
2	Slightly	308	6.3%
3	Somewhat	231	4.8%
4	To a great extent	90	1.9%
5	To a very great extent	21	0.4%
97	No answer	129	2.7%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv61_2: Feeling about the party's reaction: Frustration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Two different parties were involved in the example you just read about: The politician who wrote the Facebook piece and his party who reacted to it. We ask you to think about the persons in the party who decided what the reaction should be. To what extent do you have the following feelings about their decision?		
Literal question	Frustration		

r6dv61_2: Feeling about the party's reaction: Frustration

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	355	7.3%
2	Slightly	254	5.2%
3	Somewhat	310	6.4%
4	To a great extent	172	3.5%
5	To a very great extent	61	1.3%
97	No answer	104	2.1%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv61_3: Feeling about the party's reaction: Enthusiasm

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question Two different parties were involved in the example you just read about: The politician who wrote the Facebook piece and his party who reacted to it. We ask you to think about the persons in the party who decided what the reaction should be. To what extent do you have the following feelings about their decision?

Literal question Enthusiasm

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	436	9.0%
2	Slightly	301	6.2%
3	Somewhat	287	5.9%
4	To a great extent	78	1.6%
5	To a very great extent	18	0.4%
97	No answer	136	2.8%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran20: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=15761 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	254	20.3%
2	2	251	20.1%
3	3	240	19.2%
4	4	249	19.9%
5	5	256	20.5%
Sysmiss		15761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv63a: Reasonable that No to the EU receives support

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

# r6dv63a: Reasonable that No to the EU receives support			
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group No to the EU has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No, it is unreasonable	117	2.4%
2	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:	124	2.6%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	4605	94.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv63a_2_other: Yes, reasonable that No to the EU receives the following amount yearly:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group No to the EU has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6dv63b: Reasonable that HRS receives support			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group Human Rights Service (HRS), fronted by Hege Storhaug, has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No, it is unreasonable	128	2.6%
2	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:	104	2.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4608	94.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv63b_2_other: Yes, reasonable that HRS receives the following amount yearly:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group Human Rights Service (HRS), fronted by Hege Storhaug, has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=2]		

# r6dv63b_2_other: Yes, reasonable that HRS receives the following amount yearly:			
		[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]	
# r6dv63c: Reasonable that SIAN receives support			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group SIAN (Stop the Islamisation of Norway) has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No, it is unreasonable	168	3.5%
2	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:	66	1.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4619	95.1%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv63c_2_other: Yes, reasonable that SIAN receives the following amount yearly:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group SIAN (Stop the Islamisation of Norway) has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=3] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6dv63d: Reasonable that PEGIDA Norway receives support			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group PEGIDA Norway (Patriotic Europeans against the Islamisation of Europe) has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No, it is unreasonable	192	4.0%
2	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:	45	0.9%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	4610	94.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv63d_2_other: Yes, reasonable that PEGIDA Norway receives the following amount yearly:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# r6dv63d_2_other: Yes, reasonable that PEGIDA Norway receives the following amount yearly:			
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the group PEGIDA Norway (Patriotic Europeans against the Islamisation of Europe) has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=4] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6dv63e: Reasonable that The Norwegian Resistance Movement receives support			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the neo-Nazi group The Norwegian Resistance Movement has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Do you think it would be reasonable for this group to receive public support?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No, it is unreasonable	245	5.0%
2	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:	8	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4603	94.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv63e_2_other: Yes, reasonable that The Norwegian Resistance Movement receives the following amount yearly:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Each year, the Norwegian government gives some financial support to various voluntary groups. Imagine that the neo-Nazi group The Norwegian Resistance Movement has applied for annual public support for its activities out of the national pot.		
Literal question	Yes, it would be reasonable for the group to receive the following amount a year in public support:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran20=5] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6l1b: Thoughts when you read/hear the words 'asylum seeker'?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you read or hear the words asylum seeker?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r6dv64: Does it worry you that last year, more asylum seekers came than anticipated?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Last year, more asylum seekers came to Norway than anticipated.		

# r6dv64: Does it worry you that last year, more asylum seekers came than anticipated?			
Literal question	Is this something that worries you?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, to a very great extent	198	4.1%
2	Yes, to a great extent	270	5.6%
3	Yes, somewhat	461	9.5%
4	No, not much	256	5.3%
5	No, not at all	49	1.0%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv65: Norwegian authorities' handling of the asylum situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel that the Norwegian authorities have handled the asylum situation so far?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	25	0.5%
2	Well	177	3.6%
3	Quite well	279	5.7%
4	Neither well nor badly	187	3.8%
5	Quite badly	300	6.2%
6	Badly	197	4.1%
7	Very badly	68	1.4%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv66: Do you have an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Many new asylum seekers' centres have been established in Norway in the last year.		
Literal question	Do you have an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	611	12.6%
2	Yes, my neighbourhood has got a new asylum seekers' centre in the last year	261	5.4%
3	Yes, my neighbourhood has had an asylum seekers' centre for more than a year	209	4.3%
4	Don't know	154	3.2%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%

# r6dv66: Do you have an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv67aja: Opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66 = 2 or 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is OK	278	5.7%
2	It is not OK	89	1.8%
3	It doesn't matter	100	2.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv67anei: Opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66 = 1 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is OK	328	6.8%
2	It is not OK	226	4.7%
3	It doesn't matter	202	4.2%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv67b: How strong are your views about this?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How strong are your views about this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	130	2.7%
2	Strong	338	7.0%
3	Fairly strong	402	8.3%
4	Not very strong	258	5.3%
5	Not strong at all	97	2.0%
97	No answer	31	0.6%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

# r6dv67b: How strong are your views about this?			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68ja_1: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Followed matters on TV, radio etc.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>		
Literal question	Followed matters concerning the asylum seekers' centre on TV, radio, newspapers etc.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	85	1.7%
2	A good fit	231	4.8%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	85	1.7%
4	A bad fit	23	0.5%
5	A very bad fit	19	0.4%
6	Not relevant	21	0.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68ja_2: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Followed discussions in social media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>		
Literal question	Followed discussions about the asylum seekers' centre in social media, such as Facebook		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	26	0.5%
2	A good fit	118	2.4%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	80	1.6%
4	A bad fit	72	1.5%
5	A very bad fit	80	1.6%
6	Not relevant	89	1.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6dv68ja_3: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Attended information meetings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>		
Literal question	Attended information meetings about the asylum seekers' centre		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	8	0.2%
2	A good fit	21	0.4%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	39	0.8%
4	A bad fit	64	1.3%
5	A very bad fit	176	3.6%
6	Not relevant	157	3.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68ja_4: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in social media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>		
Literal question	Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	6	0.1%
2	A good fit	23	0.5%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	31	0.6%
4	A bad fit	38	0.8%
5	A very bad fit	180	3.7%
6	Not relevant	185	3.8%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68ja_5: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in social media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		

r6dv68ja_5: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in social media

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	0	
2	A good fit	7	0.1%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	20	0.4%
4	A bad fit	22	0.5%
5	A very bad fit	239	4.9%
6	Not relevant	177	3.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_6: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	1	0.0%
2	A good fit	0	
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	17	0.3%
4	A bad fit	18	0.4%
5	A very bad fit	244	5.0%
6	Not relevant	183	3.8%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_7: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

r6dv68ja_7: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	2	0.0%
2	A good fit	7	0.1%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	27	0.6%
4	A bad fit	30	0.6%
5	A very bad fit	211	4.3%
6	Not relevant	187	3.8%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_8: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in protests to stop it being set up

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Participated in protests to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	1	0.0%
2	A good fit	9	0.2%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	11	0.2%
4	A bad fit	19	0.4%
5	A very bad fit	268	5.5%
6	Not relevant	155	3.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_9: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative to protest to stop it being set up

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

r6dv68ja_9: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative to protest to stop it being set up

Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Taken the initiative to protest to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	0	
2	A good fit	6	0.1%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	15	0.3%
4	A bad fit	22	0.5%
5	A very bad fit	272	5.6%
6	Not relevant	150	3.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_10: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	12	0.2%
2	A good fit	41	0.8%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	54	1.1%
4	A bad fit	50	1.0%
5	A very bad fit	170	3.5%
6	Not relevant	132	2.7%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_11: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

r6dv68ja_11: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers

Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	8	0.2%
2	A good fit	23	0.5%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	63	1.3%
4	A bad fit	53	1.1%
5	A very bad fit	181	3.7%
6	Not relevant	132	2.7%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_12: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Said hello to some of the asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	41	0.8%
2	A good fit	119	2.4%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	64	1.3%
4	A bad fit	30	0.6%
5	A very bad fit	114	2.3%
6	Not relevant	96	2.0%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_13: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Become friends with some of the asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p>

r6dv68ja_13: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Become friends with some of the asylum seekers

Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.
I have:

Literal question Become friends with some of the asylum seekers

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	12	0.2%
2	A good fit	25	0.5%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	89	1.8%
4	A bad fit	43	0.9%
5	A very bad fit	175	3.6%
6	Not relevant	118	2.4%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_14: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?

Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.
I have:

Literal question Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	22	0.5%
2	A good fit	72	1.5%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	50	1.0%
4	A bad fit	33	0.7%
5	A very bad fit	168	3.5%
6	Not relevant	117	2.4%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68ja_15: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?

Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.

# r6dv68ja_15: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers			
	I have:		
Literal question	Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers as far as possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	14	0.3%
2	A good fit	20	0.4%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	71	1.5%
4	A bad fit	37	0.8%
5	A very bad fit	212	4.4%
6	Not relevant	108	2.2%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68ja_16: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Tolerated the establishment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>		
Literal question	Tolerated the establishment of the asylum seekers' centre		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	89	1.8%
2	A good fit	181	3.7%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	96	2.0%
4	A bad fit	12	0.2%
5	A very bad fit	24	0.5%
6	Not relevant	61	1.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68ja_17: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>		
Literal question	Other:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]		

# r6dv68ja_17: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	2	0.0%
2	A good fit	5	0.1%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	23	0.5%
4	A bad fit	1	0.0%
5	A very bad fit	6	0.1%
6	Not relevant	53	1.1%
97	No answer	380	7.8%
98	Not asked	4389	90.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6dv68ja_17_other: Reaction to getting an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. How have you reacted to getting an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I have:</p>
Literal question	Other:
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=2 or R6SV66=3]</p> <p>[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]</p>

# r6dv68nei_1: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Followed matters on TV, radio etc.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	141	2.9%
2	A good fit	393	8.1%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	139	2.9%
4	A bad fit	36	0.7%
5	A very bad fit	18	0.4%
6	Not relevant	24	0.5%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6dv68nei_2: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Followed discussions in social media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>		
Literal question	Followed discussions about the asylum seekers' centre in social media, such as Facebook		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	58	1.2%
2	A good fit	203	4.2%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	134	2.8%
4	A bad fit	141	2.9%
5	A very bad fit	104	2.1%
6	Not relevant	108	2.2%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_3: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Attended information meetings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>		
Literal question	Attended information meetings about the asylum seekers' centre		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	83	1.7%
2	A good fit	242	5.0%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	178	3.7%
4	A bad fit	103	2.1%
5	A very bad fit	89	1.8%
6	Not relevant	51	1.0%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_4: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in social media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		

r6dv68nei_4: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in social media

Pre-question
 People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?
 Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.
 I would have:

Literal question
 Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar

Interviewer's instructions
 [Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	20	0.4%
2	A good fit	79	1.6%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	178	3.7%
4	A bad fit	136	2.8%
5	A very bad fit	191	3.9%
6	Not relevant	144	3.0%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_5: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Full name, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in social media

Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question
 People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?
 Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.
 I would have:

Literal question
 Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre using my full name in social media or similar

Interviewer's instructions
 [Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	4	0.1%
2	A good fit	15	0.3%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	71	1.5%
4	A bad fit	123	2.5%
5	A very bad fit	371	7.6%
6	Not relevant	164	3.4%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_6: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area

Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

r6dv68nei_6: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area

Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>
Literal question	Written something negative about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	5	0.1%
2	A good fit	8	0.2%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	52	1.1%
4	A bad fit	98	2.0%
5	A very bad fit	418	8.6%
6	Not relevant	164	3.4%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_7: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Anonymously, written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in comments area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>
Literal question	Written something positive about the asylum seekers' centre in the comments area or similar, without giving my name
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	6	0.1%
2	A good fit	31	0.6%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	153	3.1%
4	A bad fit	120	2.5%
5	A very bad fit	277	5.7%
6	Not relevant	160	3.3%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_8: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in protests to stop it being set up

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p>

# r6dv68nei_8: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in protests to stop it being set up			
		Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions. I would have:	
Literal question		Participated in protests to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	17	0.3%
2	A good fit	33	0.7%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	66	1.4%
4	A bad fit	127	2.6%
5	A very bad fit	404	8.3%
6	Not relevant	100	2.1%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_9: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative to protest to stop it being set up			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Pre-question		People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react? Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions. I would have:	
Literal question		Taken the initiative to protest to stop the asylum seekers' centre being set up	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	6	0.1%
2	A good fit	13	0.3%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	63	1.3%
4	A bad fit	105	2.2%
5	A very bad fit	454	9.3%
6	Not relevant	105	2.2%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_10: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Pre-question		People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react? Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.	

r6dv68nei_10: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers

	I would have:
Literal question	Participated in voluntary work to help the asylum seekers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	27	0.6%
2	A good fit	199	4.1%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	283	5.8%
4	A bad fit	82	1.7%
5	A very bad fit	110	2.3%
6	Not relevant	45	0.9%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_11: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>
Literal question	Taken the initiative in activities for the asylum seekers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	17	0.3%
2	A good fit	106	2.2%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	336	6.9%
4	A bad fit	112	2.3%
5	A very bad fit	123	2.5%
6	Not relevant	49	1.0%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_12: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Said hello to some of the asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>

# r6dv68nei_12: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Said hello to some of the asylum seekers			
Literal question	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	94	1.9%
2	A good fit	345	7.1%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	205	4.2%
4	A bad fit	27	0.6%
5	A very bad fit	47	1.0%
6	Not relevant	28	0.6%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_13: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Become friends with some of the asylum seekers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>		
Literal question	Become friends with some of the asylum seekers		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	28	0.6%
2	A good fit	191	3.9%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	343	7.1%
4	A bad fit	75	1.5%
5	A very bad fit	61	1.3%
6	Not relevant	44	0.9%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_14: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>		
Literal question	Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]		

r6dv68nei_14: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Given gifts to the asylum seekers' centre

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	50	1.0%
2	A good fit	265	5.5%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	221	4.5%
4	A bad fit	70	1.4%
5	A very bad fit	89	1.8%
6	Not relevant	50	1.0%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_15: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>
Literal question	Avoided the centre and the asylum seekers as far as possible
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	26	0.5%
2	A good fit	63	1.3%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	170	3.5%
4	A bad fit	154	3.2%
5	A very bad fit	270	5.6%
6	Not relevant	62	1.3%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv68nei_16: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Tolerated the establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>
Literal question	Tolerated the establishment of the asylum seekers' centre
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	118	2.4%
2	A good fit	341	7.0%

# r6dv68nei_16: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Tolerated the establishment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	178	3.7%
4	A bad fit	40	0.8%
5	A very bad fit	41	0.8%
6	Not relevant	22	0.5%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_17: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>		
Literal question	Other:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good fit	2	0.0%
2	A good fit	9	0.2%
3	Neither a good nor bad fit	42	0.9%
4	A bad fit	3	0.1%
5	A very bad fit	6	0.1%
6	Not relevant	68	1.4%
97	No answer	635	13.1%
98	Not asked	4094	84.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv68nei_17_other: Reaction if you get an asylum seekers' centre close-by: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have reacted to the establishment of an asylum seekers' centre in their neighbourhood in different ways. If you should get an asylum seekers' centre close to where you live, how do you think you would react?</p> <p>Please mark how well or badly the following descriptions would fit your personal reactions.</p> <p>I would have:</p>		
Literal question	Other:		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if u=4 and R6SV66=1 or R6SV66=4]</p> <p>[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]</p>		
# r6dv69: Thoughts about getting asylum seekers in your neighbourhood [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# r6dv69: Thoughts about getting asylum seekers in your neighbourhood [anonymized]			
Literal question	If you would like to share some completely anonymous thoughts about getting asylum seekers in your neighbourhood, you are welcome to do so here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6ran21: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1238 /-] [Invalid=15773 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	640	51.7%
2	2	598	48.3%
Sysmiss		15773	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12aa_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are asylum seekers: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how asylum seekers are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are asylum seekers:		
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	34	0.7%
2	Slightly	221	4.5%
3	Somewhat	312	6.4%
4	To a great extent	52	1.1%
5	To a very great extent	5	0.1%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12aa_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are asylum seekers: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how asylum seekers are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are asylum seekers:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	23	0.5%
2	Slightly	156	3.2%
3	Somewhat	351	7.2%
4	To a great extent	81	1.7%
5	To a very great extent	7	0.1%

# r6dv12aa_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are asylum seekers: Warm			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ab_1: Personal view, to what extent are asylum seekers: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how you personally see asylum seekers. As you see it, to what extent are asylum seekers:		
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	17	0.3%
2	Slightly	73	1.5%
3	Somewhat	298	6.1%
4	To a great extent	170	3.5%
5	To a very great extent	16	0.3%
97	No answer	24	0.5%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ab_2: Personal view, to what extent are asylum seekers: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how you personally see asylum seekers. As you see it, to what extent are asylum seekers:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	18	0.4%
2	Slightly	51	1.0%
3	Somewhat	287	5.9%
4	To a great extent	194	4.0%
5	To a very great extent	23	0.5%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ba_1: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Contempt			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		

r6dv12ba_1: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Contempt

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	43	0.9%
2	Slightly	175	3.6%
3	Somewhat	318	6.5%
4	To a great extent	67	1.4%
5	To a very great extent	9	0.2%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv12ba_2: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Disgust

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?

Literal question Disgust

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	57	1.2%
2	Slightly	216	4.4%
3	Somewhat	284	5.8%
4	To a great extent	47	1.0%
5	To a very great extent	6	0.1%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv12ba_3: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Admiration

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?

Literal question Admiration

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	94	1.9%
2	Slightly	321	6.6%
3	Somewhat	179	3.7%
4	To a great extent	8	0.2%
5	To a very great extent	3	0.1%
97	No answer	35	0.7%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	

# r6dv12ba_3: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Admiration			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ba_4: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Pride			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Pride		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	120	2.5%
2	Slightly	327	6.7%
3	Somewhat	137	2.8%
4	To a great extent	14	0.3%
5	To a very great extent	3	0.1%
97	No answer	39	0.8%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ba_5: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Feel sorry for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Feel sorry for		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	8	0.2%
2	Slightly	50	1.0%
3	Somewhat	309	6.4%
4	To a great extent	225	4.6%
5	To a very great extent	19	0.4%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ba_6: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Sympathy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Sympathy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	8	0.2%

# r6dv12ba_6: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Sympathy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Slightly	51	1.0%
3	Somewhat	356	7.3%
4	To a great extent	185	3.8%
5	To a very great extent	11	0.2%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ba_7: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Envy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	281	5.8%
2	Slightly	205	4.2%
3	Somewhat	98	2.0%
4	To a great extent	25	0.5%
5	To a very great extent	0	
97	No answer	31	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ba_8: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Jealousy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Jealousy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	246	5.1%
2	Slightly	229	4.7%
3	Somewhat	110	2.3%
4	To a great extent	22	0.5%
5	To a very great extent	2	0.0%
97	No answer	31	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6dv12ba_9: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	59	1.2%
2	Slightly	178	3.7%
3	Somewhat	272	5.6%
4	To a great extent	91	1.9%
5	To a very great extent	7	0.1%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Systemiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12ba_10: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Fear			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	21	0.4%
2	Slightly	65	1.3%
3	Somewhat	298	6.1%
4	To a great extent	182	3.7%
5	To a very great extent	46	0.9%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Systemiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6dv12ba_11: People generally, to what extent do they feel this about asylum seekers: Worry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Worry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	17	0.3%
2	Slightly	66	1.4%
3	Somewhat	298	6.1%
4	To a great extent	185	3.8%
5	To a very great extent	47	1.0%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	4219	86.8%
Systemmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_1: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Contempt			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	382	7.9%
2	Slightly	136	2.8%
3	Somewhat	43	0.9%
4	To a great extent	13	0.3%
5	To a very great extent	5	0.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Systemmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_2: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Disgust			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Disgust		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	417	8.6%
2	Slightly	120	2.5%
3	Somewhat	27	0.6%

r6dv12bb_2: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Disgust

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	To a great extent	13	0.3%
5	To a very great extent	3	0.1%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv12bb_3: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Admiration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Admiration
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	101	2.1%
2	Slightly	151	3.1%
3	Somewhat	249	5.1%
4	To a great extent	67	1.4%
5	To a very great extent	8	0.2%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv12bb_4: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Pride

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Pride
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	163	3.4%
2	Slightly	218	4.5%
3	Somewhat	145	3.0%
4	To a great extent	32	0.7%
5	To a very great extent	4	0.1%
97	No answer	36	0.7%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv12bb_5: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Feel sorry for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

# r6dv12bb_5: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Feel sorry for			
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Feel sorry for		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	27	0.6%
2	Slightly	58	1.2%
3	Somewhat	257	5.3%
4	To a great extent	190	3.9%
5	To a very great extent	53	1.1%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_6: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Sympathy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Sympathy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	24	0.5%
2	Slightly	37	0.8%
3	Somewhat	238	4.9%
4	To a great extent	225	4.6%
5	To a very great extent	60	1.2%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_7: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Envy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	511	10.5%
2	Slightly	58	1.2%
3	Somewhat	8	0.2%
4	To a great extent	3	0.1%
5	To a very great extent	0	

# r6dv12bb_7: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Envy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_8: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Jealousy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Jealousy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	532	10.9%
2	Slightly	46	0.9%
3	Somewhat	3	0.1%
4	To a great extent	1	0.0%
5	To a very great extent	0	
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_9: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	454	9.3%
2	Slightly	99	2.0%
3	Somewhat	19	0.4%
4	To a great extent	5	0.1%
5	To a very great extent	4	0.1%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_10: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Fear			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		

# r6dv12bb_10: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Fear			
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	267	5.5%
2	Slightly	176	3.6%
3	Somewhat	107	2.2%
4	To a great extent	20	0.4%
5	To a very great extent	10	0.2%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv12bb_11: Personally, to what extent do you feel this about asylum seekers: Worry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Worry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran21=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	221	4.5%
2	Slightly	196	4.0%
3	Somewhat	121	2.5%
4	To a great extent	30	0.6%
5	To a very great extent	11	0.2%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4261	87.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran22: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1235 /-] [Invalid=15776 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	314	25.4%
2	2	310	25.1%
3	3	303	24.5%
4	4	308	24.9%
Sysmiss		15776	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv13a_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are refugees: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		

r6dv13a_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are refugees: Competent

Pre-question	Think about how refugees are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are refugees:
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	21	0.4%
2	Slightly	133	2.7%
3	Somewhat	128	2.6%
4	To a great extent	16	0.3%
5	To a very great extent	1	0.0%
97	No answer	15	0.3%
98	Not asked	4545	93.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv13a_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are refugees: Warm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Think about how refugees are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are refugees:
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	14	0.3%
2	Slightly	96	2.0%
3	Somewhat	164	3.4%
4	To a great extent	21	0.4%
5	To a very great extent	1	0.0%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4545	93.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv13b_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Roma people: Competent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Think about how Roma people are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are Roma people:
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	86	1.8%
2	Slightly	148	3.0%
3	Somewhat	54	1.1%
4	To a great extent	12	0.2%
5	To a very great extent	0	

# r6dv13b_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Roma people: Competent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4549	93.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv13b_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Roma people: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how Roma people are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are Roma people:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	48	1.0%
2	Slightly	144	3.0%
3	Somewhat	90	1.9%
4	To a great extent	18	0.4%
5	To a very great extent	0	
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4549	93.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv13c_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Muslims: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how Muslims are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are Muslims:		
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	13	0.3%
2	Slightly	78	1.6%
3	Somewhat	163	3.4%
4	To a great extent	36	0.7%
5	To a very great extent	3	0.1%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4556	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv13c_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Muslims: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how Muslims are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are Muslims:		

# r6dv13c_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are Muslims: Warm			
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	13	0.3%
2	Slightly	94	1.9%
3	Somewhat	155	3.2%
4	To a great extent	28	0.6%
5	To a very great extent	1	0.0%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	4556	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv13d_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are the followers of the Prohpet's Ummah: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how followers of the Muslim fundamentalist group the Prophet's Ummah are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are followers of the Muslim fundamentalist group the Prophet's Ummah:		
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	130	2.7%
2	Slightly	87	1.8%
3	Somewhat	51	1.0%
4	To a great extent	17	0.3%
5	To a very great extent	1	0.0%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	4551	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv13d_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are the followers of the Prohpet's Ummah: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how followers of the Muslim fundamentalist group the Prophet's Ummah are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are followers of the Muslim fundamentalist group the Prophet's Ummah:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran22=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	180	3.7%
2	Slightly	82	1.7%
3	Somewhat	21	0.4%
4	To a great extent	3	0.1%
5	To a very great extent	0	
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	4551	93.7%

# r6dv13d_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are the followers of the Prohpet's Ummah: Warm			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran23: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1230 /-] [Invalid=15781 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	303	24.6%
2	2	320	26.0%
3	3	307	25.0%
4	4	300	24.4%
Sysmiss		15781	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14a_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Liberal Party: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the of the Liberal political party are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the of the Liberal political party:		
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	9	0.2%
2	Slightly	57	1.2%
3	Somewhat	148	3.0%
4	To a great extent	66	1.4%
5	To a very great extent	4	0.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	4556	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14a_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Liberal Party: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the of the Liberal political party are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the of the Liberal political party:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	7	0.1%
2	Slightly	40	0.8%
3	Somewhat	150	3.1%
4	To a great extent	83	1.7%
5	To a very great extent	3	0.1%

# r6dv14a_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Liberal Party: Warm			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	4556	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14b_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Progress Party: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the Progress Party are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the Progress Party:		
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	20	0.4%
2	Slightly	84	1.7%
3	Somewhat	142	2.9%
4	To a great extent	59	1.2%
5	To a very great extent	2	0.0%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	4539	93.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14b_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Progress Party: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the Progress Party are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the Progress Party:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	30	0.6%
2	Slightly	108	2.2%
3	Somewhat	138	2.8%
4	To a great extent	28	0.6%
5	To a very great extent	1	0.0%
97	No answer	15	0.3%
98	Not asked	4539	93.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14c_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of HRS: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the of the Human Rights Service, fronted by Hege Storhaug, are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the Human Rights Service, fronted by Hege Storhaug:		

# r6dv14c_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of HRS: Competent			
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	22	0.5%
2	Slightly	67	1.4%
3	Somewhat	123	2.5%
4	To a great extent	46	0.9%
5	To a very great extent	9	0.2%
97	No answer	40	0.8%
98	Not asked	4552	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14c_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of HRS: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the of the Human Rights Service, fronted by Hege Storhaug, are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the Human Rights Service, fronted by Hege Storhaug:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	34	0.7%
2	Slightly	90	1.9%
3	Somewhat	97	2.0%
4	To a great extent	37	0.8%
5	To a very great extent	5	0.1%
97	No answer	44	0.9%
98	Not asked	4552	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14d_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the of the neo-Nazi group The Norwegian Resistance Movement are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the of the neo-Nazi group The Norwegian Resistance Movement:		
Literal question	Competent (skilful, self-assured, clever)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	133	2.7%
2	Slightly	111	2.3%
3	Somewhat	31	0.6%
4	To a great extent	8	0.2%
5	To a very great extent	1	0.0%

# r6dv14d_1: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Competent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4559	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv14d_2: Regarded by Norwegians, to what extent are members of The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about how members of the of the neo-Nazi group The Norwegian Resistance Movement are regarded by people in Norway generally. As people generally see it, to what extent are members of the of the neo-Nazi group The Norwegian Resistance Movement:		
Literal question	Warm (friendly, kind, honest)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran23=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	166	3.4%
2	Slightly	92	1.9%
3	Somewhat	25	0.5%
4	To a great extent	1	0.0%
5	To a very great extent	1	0.0%
97	No answer	15	0.3%
98	Not asked	4559	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran24: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1228 /-] [Invalid=15783 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	325	26.5%
2	2	299	24.3%
3	3	313	25.5%
4	4	291	23.7%
Sysmiss		15783	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv70a: Reaction other political parties, politician found guilty of expressing hate against colleague: Formal apology			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a politician in Norway has been found guilty in a local court of having written a Tweet that expressed hate against a colleague with an immigrant background. The leaders of the other political parties demand a formal apology from the politician and his party. The politician and his party protest against the reaction.		
Literal question	What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?		

r6dv70a: Reaction other political parties, politician found guilty of expressing hate against colleague: Formal apology

Post-question The reaction is:

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran24=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	9	0.2%
2	Too severe	13	0.3%
3	Slightly too severe	25	0.5%
4	Just right	193	4.0%
5	Slightly too mild	29	0.6%
6	Too mild	31	0.6%
7	Much too mild	14	0.3%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4534	93.3%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv70b: Reaction other political parties, politician found guilty of expressing hate against colleague: Exclusion from party for life

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question
Imagine that a politician in Norway has been found guilty in a local court of having written a Tweet that expressed hate against a colleague with an immigrant background. The leaders of the other political parties demand that the party excludes him for life.

The politician and his party protest against the reaction.

Literal question What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?

Post-question The reaction is:

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=4 and ran24=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	17	0.3%
2	Too severe	50	1.0%
3	Slightly too severe	60	1.2%
4	Just right	152	3.1%
5	Slightly too mild	5	0.1%
6	Too mild	5	0.1%
7	Much too mild	3	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4560	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv70c: Reaction other political parties, politician expressing hate against colleague: Formal apology

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Pre-question
Imagine that a politician in Norway has written a Tweet that expressed hate against a colleague with an immigrant background. The leaders of the other political parties demand a formal apology from the politician and his party.

The politician and his party protest against the reaction.

# r6dv70c: Reaction other political parties, politician expressing hate against colleague: Formal apology			
Literal question	What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?		
Post-question	The reaction is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran24=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	6	0.1%
2	Too severe	22	0.5%
3	Slightly too severe	16	0.3%
4	Just right	186	3.8%
5	Slightly too mild	26	0.5%
6	Too mild	27	0.6%
7	Much too mild	24	0.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4546	93.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv70d: Reaction other political parties, politician expressing hate against colleague: Exclusion from party for life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a politician in Norway has written a Tweet that expressed hate against a colleague with an immigrant background. The leaders of the other political parties demand that the party excludes him for life. The politician and his party protest against the reaction.		
Literal question	What do you think about the reaction of the leaders of the other political parties?		
Post-question	The reaction is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran24=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too severe	21	0.4%
2	Too severe	52	1.1%
3	Slightly too severe	69	1.4%
4	Just right	124	2.6%
5	Slightly too mild	7	0.1%
6	Too mild	5	0.1%
7	Much too mild	7	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4568	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv71_1: Feelings about demand: Enthusiasm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Three different parties were involved in the example you just read about: The politician who had written the Tweet, his party and the leaders of the other political parties who reacted to it. Please think about the leaders of the political parties who put forward the demand against the politician and his party. To what extent do you have the following feelings about their demand?		
Literal question	Enthusiasm		

# r6dv71_1: Feelings about demand: Enthusiasm			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	293	6.0%
2	Slightly	294	6.1%
3	Somewhat	337	6.9%
4	To a great extent	170	3.5%
5	To a very great extent	37	0.8%
97	No answer	125	2.6%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv71_2: Feelings about demand: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Three different parties were involved in the example you just read about: The politician who had written the Tweet, his party and the leaders of the other political parties who reacted to it. Please think about the leaders of the political parties who put forward the demand against the politician and his party. To what extent do you have the following feelings about their demand?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	478	9.8%
2	Slightly	299	6.2%
3	Somewhat	225	4.6%
4	To a great extent	98	2.0%
5	To a very great extent	26	0.5%
97	No answer	130	2.7%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv71_3: Feelings about demand: Frustraion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Three different parties were involved in the example you just read about: The politician who had written the Tweet, his party and the leaders of the other political parties who reacted to it. Please think about the leaders of the political parties who put forward the demand against the politician and his party. To what extent do you have the following feelings about their demand?		
Literal question	Frustration		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	416	8.6%
2	Slightly	273	5.6%
3	Somewhat	259	5.3%
4	To a great extent	148	3.0%
5	To a very great extent	35	0.7%

r6dv71_3: Feelings about demand: Frustraion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	125	2.6%
98	Not asked	3603	74.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran25: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1224 /-] [Invalid=15787 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	267	21.8%
2	2	240	19.6%
3	3	242	19.8%
4	4	257	21.0%
5	5	218	17.8%
Sysmiss		15787	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv72a: Agree/disagree: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Stricter rules for asylum are needed in order to secure women's safety in Norwegian society.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran25=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	41	0.8%
2	Agree	38	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	64	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	46	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	23	0.5%
6	Disagree	43	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4592	94.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv72b: Agree/disagree, statement HRS: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Representatives of the Human Rights Service, fronted by Hege Storhaug, have said that stricter rules for asylum are needed in order to secure women's safety in Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran25=2]

r6dv72b: Agree/disagree, statement HRS: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	0.6%
2	Agree	34	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	61	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	39	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	13	0.3%
6	Disagree	35	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4619	95.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv72c: Agree/disagree, statement PEGIDA: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Representatives of the PEGIDA Norway (Patriotic Europeans against the Islamisation of Europe), fronted by Max Hermansen, have said that stricter rules for asylum are needed in order to secure women's safety in Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran25=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	0.6%
2	Agree	22	0.5%
3	Somewhat agree	50	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	28	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	16	0.3%
6	Disagree	49	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	40	0.8%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4617	95.0%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv72d: Agree/disagree, statement The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Representatives of the neo-Nazi group The Norwegian Resistance Movement have said that stricter rules for asylum are needed in order to secure women's safety in Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran25=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	14	0.3%
2	Agree	16	0.3%
3	Somewhat agree	49	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	33	0.7%

r6dv72d: Agree/disagree, statement The Norwegian Resistance Movement: Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	13	0.3%
6	Disagree	65	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	66	1.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4602	94.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv72e: Agree/disagree, statement Progress Party : Stricter rules needed for asylum in order to secure women's safety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Representatives of the Progress Party have said that stricter rules for asylum are needed in order to secure women's safety in Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran25=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.7%
2	Agree	34	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	36	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	23	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	23	0.5%
6	Disagree	37	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4641	95.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran26: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1224 /-] [Invalid=15787 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	292	23.9%
2	2	323	26.4%
3	3	309	25.2%
4	4	300	24.5%
Sysmiss		15787	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv25a: Agree/disagree: Immigrants are entitled to have their traditions respected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

# r6dv25a: Agree/disagree: Immigrants are entitled to have their traditions respected			
Literal question	Immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran26=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	15	0.3%
2	Agree	84	1.7%
3	Somewhat agree	88	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	21	0.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	44	0.9%
6	Disagree	26	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4567	94.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv25b: Agree/disagree: Immigrants are entitled to have their traditions protected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Immigrants to Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran26=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	6	0.1%
2	Agree	39	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	89	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	44	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	56	1.2%
6	Disagree	57	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	20	0.4%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	4536	93.4%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv25c: Agree/disagree: Muslims are entitled to have their traditions respected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Muslims in Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures respected.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran26=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	20	0.4%
2	Agree	76	1.6%
3	Somewhat agree	99	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	28	0.6%

# r6dv25c: Agree/disagree: Muslims are entitled to have their traditions respected			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	42	0.9%
6	Disagree	24	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4550	93.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6dv25d: Agree/disagree: Muslims are entitled to have their traditions protected	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Muslims in Norway are entitled to have their traditions and cultures protected.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran26=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	8	0.2%
2	Agree	34	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	76	1.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	51	1.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	66	1.4%
6	Disagree	36	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4559	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r6ran27: [Randomizes if u=4]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1224 /-] [Invalid=15787 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	322	26.3%
2	2	268	21.9%
3	3	304	24.8%
4	4	330	27.0%
Sysmiss		15787	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv52a: Should asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.
Literal question	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran27=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	65	1.3%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	132	2.7%
3	Don't know	122	2.5%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4537	93.4%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv52b: Should asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and participated in local communities be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.
Literal question	Do you think that asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have participated actively in their local communities while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran27=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	101	2.1%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	80	1.6%
3	Don't know	83	1.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4591	94.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv52c: Should Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran27=3]

r6dv52c: Should Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	48	1.0%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	135	2.8%
3	Don't know	113	2.3%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4555	93.7%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv52d: Should Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and participated in local communities be granted residence, even if rejected appeals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Every year Norway rejects many asylum seekers. Asylum seekers are entitled to appeal about such decisions and some of them do appeal. Sometimes it takes several years before a final decision is made.
Literal question	Do you think that Muslim asylum seekers who have been living in Norway for several years and have participated actively in their local communities while they are waiting for a decision to be made should be granted residence, even if their appeals are rejected? Or do you think that they should not be allowed to stay?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran27=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to stay	97	2.0%
2	Should not be allowed to stay	114	2.3%
3	Don't know	118	2.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4529	93.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv53a: How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Literal question	How strong are your views about asylum seekers not being granted residence?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6DV52A-D=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	131	2.7%
2	Fairly strong	161	3.3%
3	Not very strong	99	2.0%
4	Not strong at all	69	1.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4398	90.5%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv53b: How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

# r6dv53b: How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?			
Literal question	How strong are your views about asylum seekers being granted residence?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and R6DV52A-D=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	77	1.6%
2	Fairly strong	132	2.7%
3	Not very strong	79	1.6%
4	Not strong at all	20	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4548	93.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6ran28: [Randomizes if u=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1223 /-] [Invalid=15788 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	339	27.7%
2	2	274	22.4%
3	3	301	24.6%
4	4	309	25.3%
Sysmiss		15788	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv54a: Confidence/lack of confidence: Muslims when they say they wish to be part of Norwegian society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?		
Post-question	I have:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran28=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great confidence	68	1.4%
2	Some confidence	117	2.4%
3	Neither confidence nor lack of it	72	1.5%
4	Some lack of confidence	55	1.1%
5	Great lack of confidence	24	0.5%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4520	93.0%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv54b: Confidence/lack of confidence: Muslim leaders when they say they wish to be part of Norwegian society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in Muslim leaders when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?		

r6dv54b: Confidence/lack of confidence: Muslim leaders when they say they wish to be part of Norwegian society

Post-question	I have:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran28=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great confidence	31	0.6%
2	Some confidence	78	1.6%
3	Neither confidence nor lack of it	57	1.2%
4	Some lack of confidence	70	1.4%
5	Great lack of confidence	36	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4585	94.4%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv54c: Confidence/lack of confidence: Muslim fundamentalists when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Literal question	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in Muslim fundamentalists when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?
Post-question	I have:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran28=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great confidence	5	0.1%
2	Some confidence	16	0.3%
3	Neither confidence nor lack of it	34	0.7%
4	Some lack of confidence	117	2.4%
5	Great lack of confidence	126	2.6%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4558	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv54d: Confidence/lack of confidence: Moderate Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Literal question	How much confidence or lack of confidence do you have in moderate Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society?
Post-question	I have:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran28=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great confidence	93	1.9%
2	Some confidence	106	2.2%
3	Neither confidence nor lack of it	57	1.2%
4	Some lack of confidence	35	0.7%
5	Great lack of confidence	12	0.2%

r6dv54d: Confidence/lack of confidence: Moderate Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of Norwegian society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4550	93.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6ran29: [Randomizes if u=4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1223 /-] [Invalid=15788 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	289	23.6%
2	2	326	26.7%
3	3	299	24.4%
4	4	309	25.3%
Sysmiss		15788	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv43a: Agree/disagree: Prophet's Ummah rent local meeting room

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the Muslim fundamentalist group, Prophet's Ummah, has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the Prophet's Ummah should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran29=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5	0.1%
2	Agree	21	0.4%
3	Somewhat agree	15	0.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	41	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	35	0.7%
6	Disagree	65	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	100	2.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4570	94.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6dv43b: Agree/disagree: SIAN rent local meeting room

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the anti-Islamic group, Stop the Islamisation of Norway (SIAN), has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that SIAN should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran29=2]

# r6dv43b: Agree/disagree: SIAN rent local meeting room			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	17	0.3%
2	Agree	51	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	57	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	80	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	41	0.8%
6	Disagree	42	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	33	0.7%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4533	93.3%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv43c: Agree/disagree: Muslim congregation rent local meeting room			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a Muslim congregation has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Muslim congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran29=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	50	1.0%
2	Agree	106	2.2%
3	Somewhat agree	38	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54	1.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	21	0.4%
6	Disagree	8	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4560	93.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6dv43d: Agree/disagree: Free Church congregation rent local meeting room			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a Free Church congregation has asked to hire a local meeting room in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathisers.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that such a Free Church congregation should be allowed to rent premises for this purpose?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran29=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	38	0.8%
2	Agree	106	2.2%
3	Somewhat agree	52	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	85	1.7%

r6dv43d: Agree/disagree: Free Church congregation rent local meeting room

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	9	0.2%
6	Disagree	11	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4550	93.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k33: What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
Post-question	Mark one alternative.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	2839	58.4%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	240	4.9%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	85	1.7%
4	Unemployed. Want a job, but not actively seeking work	33	0.7%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	155	3.2%
6	Retired	1066	21.9%
7	Civilian or military service	8	0.2%
8	At home, looking after children or others	46	0.9%
9	Other	263	5.4%
97	No answer	124	2.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6k33_9_other: What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days, other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days. Other
Interviewer's instructions	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r6k34_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2539	52.3%
1	Public central administration/authority	300	6.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	

# r6k34_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_2: What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2454	50.5%
1	Kindergarten/school/teaching	385	7.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_3: What industry do you work in: Health services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2472	50.9%
1	Health services	367	7.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2774	57.1%
1	Social services/child protection	65	1.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r6k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2698	55.5%
1	Nursing and care services	141	2.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_6: What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2762	56.8%
1	Defence/police/judicial system/security	77	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2770	57.0%
1	Agriculture/forestry/fishing	69	1.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		

# r6k34_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if R6K33=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2614	53.8%
1	Industry/technology	225	4.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_9: What industry do you work in: Building/construction			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Literal question		What industry do you (your company) work in?	
Post-question		It is possible to mark more than one answer.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if R6K33=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2617	53.9%
1	Building/construction	222	4.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Literal question		What industry do you (your company) work in?	
Post-question		It is possible to mark more than one answer.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if R6K33=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2692	55.4%
1	Retailing/shop	147	3.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_11: What industry do you work in: Transport/communications			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Literal question		What industry do you (your company) work in?	
Post-question		It is possible to mark more than one answer.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if R6K33=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2698	55.5%

# r6k34_11: What industry do you work in: Transport/communications			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Transport/communications	141	2.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_12: What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2738	56.3%
1	Culture/sport/organisations	101	2.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2762	56.8%
1	Media/advertising/PR/information	77	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_14: What industry do you work in: Research/analysis			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2724	56.1%
1	Research/analysis	115	2.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%

# r6k34_14: What industry do you work in: Research/analysis			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_15: What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2806	57.7%
1	Travel/hotels	33	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2814	57.9%
1	Restaurants/catering	25	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_17: What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2693	55.4%
1	Telecommunications/IT	146	3.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r6k34_18: What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2734	56.3%
1	Banking/insurance/finance	105	2.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2674	55.0%
1	Commercial services	165	3.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_20: What industry do you work in: Oil/gas			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2679	55.1%
1	Oil/gas	160	3.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_21: What industry do you work in: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		

# r6k34_21: What industry do you work in: Other			
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2651	54.6%
1	Other	188	3.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	2020	41.6%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k34_21_other: What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in? Other		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r6k35: Best description of the area you live in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	Which description best fits the area you live in?		
Post-question	We are thinking about Norwegian conditions here.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A city	1280	26.3%
2	A suburb or the outskirts of a city	886	18.2%
3	A small or medium sized town	1279	26.3%
4	A village	757	15.6%
5	A sparsely inhabited area	526	10.8%
97	No answer	131	2.7%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6k26: Highest level of completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Literal question	What is your highest level of completed education?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if the respondents has not answered this question in previous waves]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	0	
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	1	0.0%
3	Lower secondary school (grunnskole, 7-årig folkeskole, framhaldskole, realskole)	6	0.1%
4	County college certificate	1	0.0%

# r6k26: Highest level of completed education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Upper secondary/end of formal schooling, humanities subjects, university preparatory course, 1-year Post-leaving Certificate course (VK2, VG stage 3, gymnas) (5)	6	0.1%
6	Upper secondary/end of formal schooling, vocational subjects/courses (VK2, VK3, VG stage 3, vocational certificate, journeyman's certificate)	7	0.1%
7	Preliminary course for university/college without credits	1	0.0%
8	Certificate for additional further education (technical college)	1	0.0%
9	University/college, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (2 and 2½ year associate degree)	4	0.1%
10	Completed 3-4 years of college education (Bachelor, BA, B.Sc., teacher's training college, nursing college, nursery teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	8	0.2%
11	Completed 3-4 years of university education (Bachelor, BA, B.Sc.)	5	0.1%
12	Completed 5-6 years of college education (Master's, main subject)	8	0.2%
13	Completed 5-6 years of university education (Master's, main subject), longer professional education (Bachelor of Divinity, Bachelor of Psychology, Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine, Master of Science, architect, business economist)	2	0.0%
14	Researcher (Ph.D)	1	0.0%
15	None of these	2	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4799	98.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6P1: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2456	50.5%
2	Female	2403	49.5%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6P2: Region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	1387	28.5%
2	Østlandet	1181	24.3%
3	Sørlandet	231	4.8%
4	Vestlandet	1264	26.0%
5	Trøndelag	446	9.2%
6	Nord-Norge	350	7.2%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# R6P3: County			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	602	12.4%
2	Oslo	785	16.2%
3	Østfold	260	5.4%
4	Vestfold	244	5.0%
5	Hedmark	145	3.0%
6	Oppland	142	2.9%
7	Buskerud	256	5.3%
8	Telemark	134	2.8%
9	Vest-Agder	145	3.0%
10	Aust-Agder	86	1.8%
11	Rogaland	417	8.6%
12	Hordaland	556	11.4%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	73	1.5%
14	Møre og Romsdal	218	4.5%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	348	7.2%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	98	2.0%
17	Nordland	186	3.8%
18	Troms	120	2.5%
19	Finmark	44	0.9%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6P4_1: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	430	8.8%
2	Upper secondary education	1439	29.6%
3	University/university college	2806	57.7%
97	No answer	184	3.8%
Sysmiss		12152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r6P4_2: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	21	0.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	31	0.6%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	378	7.8%
4	College certificate	43	0.9%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	615	12.7%

r6P4_2: Highest level of completed education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	595	12.2%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	63	1.3%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	166	3.4%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	465	9.6%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	991	20.4%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	321	6.6%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	363	7.5%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	570	11.7%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	96	2.0%
15	None of these	136	2.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	190	3.9%
2	1940-1949	865	17.8%
3	1950-1959	1117	23.0%
4	1960-1969	1000	20.6%
5	1970-1979	794	16.3%
6	1980-1989	590	12.1%
7	1990 or later	303	6.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6P5_2: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	2172	44.7%
2	1960-1989	2384	49.1%
3	1990 or later	303	6.2%
Sysmiss		12152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6Weight_1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]

# r6Weight_2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4859 /-] [Invalid=12152 /-]		
# r7u: Respondent sub-group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	U1	1184	25.3%
2	U2	1199	25.6%
3	U3	1103	23.5%
4	U4	1203	25.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7recruited: Recruitment wave			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	2190	46.7%
3	Wave 3	2499	53.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7browser type: Browertype used by respondent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		1957	41.7%
Firefox		373	8.0%
IE		31	0.7%
InternetExplorer		741	15.8%
Mozilla		115	2.5%
Opera		4	0.1%
Safari		1467	31.3%
Unknown		1	0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7browser version: Browser version used by respondent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		155	3.3%
10		945	20.2%
10,3		1	0.0%
11		741	15.8%
27		1	0.0%
28		5	0.1%

r7browserversion: Browser version used by respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		3	0.1%
31		2	0.0%
33		3	0.1%
34		9	0.2%
36		1	0.0%
38		35	0.7%
39		2	0.0%
40		1	0.0%
41		3	0.1%
42		7	0.1%
43		4	0.1%
44		132	2.8%
45		11	0.2%
46		71	1.5%
47		11	0.2%
48		21	0.4%
49		366	7.8%
5		4	0.1%
5,1		6	0.1%
50		20	0.4%
51		408	8.7%
52		11	0.2%
53		99	2.1%
54		1096	23.4%
54,2		1	0.0%
56		7	0.1%
6		4	0.1%
6,1		5	0.1%
6,2		7	0.1%
7		33	0.7%
8		68	1.5%
9		316	6.7%
9,1		70	1.5%
9,8		4	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mobile: Determines if the respondents uses mobile

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	3396	72.4%
1	Mobile	1293	27.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0 0		3405	72.6%
106 284		1	0.0%
1098 800		1	0.0%
1112 800		2	0.0%
112 199		1	0.0%
1143 800		1	0.0%
115 320		1	0.0%
125 90		3	0.1%
128 90		52	1.1%
129 90		2	0.0%
131 180		1	0.0%
131 90		2	0.0%
132 90		1	0.0%
136 90		2	0.0%
139 90		1	0.0%
140 90		59	1.3%
141 90		1	0.0%
142 90		18	0.4%
143 90		2	0.0%
154 90		1	0.0%
160 284		7	0.1%
163 120		1	0.0%
166 120		7	0.1%
170 120		81	1.7%
171 115		1	0.0%
172 117		7	0.1%
173 120		1	0.0%
174 120		23	0.5%
178 120		1	0.0%
178 160		4	0.1%
186 117		6	0.1%
186 120		65	1.4%
186 125		21	0.4%
186 160		35	0.7%
187 120		2	0.0%
187 333		3	0.1%
188 117		1	0.0%
189 120		5	0.1%
193 120		3	0.1%
202 160		1	0.0%
205 120		4	0.1%
207 160		1	0.0%
209 138		40	0.9%

r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
213 120		1	0.0%
2176 1440		1	0.0%
220 138		1	0.0%
220 160		1	0.0%
230 156		1	0.0%
230 160		264	5.6%
246 160		4	0.1%
249 160		1	0.0%
252 160		1	0.0%
255 180		19	0.4%
256 180		36	0.8%
260 172		1	0.0%
262 180		5	0.1%
266 180		1	0.0%
268 180		1	0.0%
270 213		4	0.1%
272 180		1	0.0%
273 187		2	0.0%
279 180		10	0.2%
279 187		311	6.6%
280 180		3	0.1%
283 180		8	0.2%
284 180		3	0.1%
292 213		1	0.0%
295 187		7	0.1%
301 213		1	0.0%
302 213		4	0.1%
306 213		1	0.0%
307 180		1	0.0%
316 640		1	0.0%
324 512		1	0.0%
325 213		1	0.0%
325 722		1	0.0%
328 213		1	0.0%
331 722		1	0.0%
332 640		1	0.0%
333 213		1	0.0%
334 640		4	0.1%
335 640		2	0.0%
340 640		3	0.1%
341 240		2	0.0%
347 205		1	0.0%
350 240		1	0.0%
350 640		1	0.0%
361 722		1	0.0%

r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
363 640		1	0.0%
372 240		5	0.1%
373 240		3	0.1%
375 240		1	0.0%
378 240		1	0.0%
388 640		1	0.0%
394 240		3	0.1%
396 300		1	0.0%
398 300		1	0.0%
401 320		2	0.0%
410 240		1	0.0%
414 1024		1	0.0%
414 853		1	0.0%
416 853		1	0.0%
420 853		4	0.1%
421 853		1	0.0%
427 384		1	0.0%
452 384		3	0.1%
463 962		1	0.0%
492 324		1	0.0%
541 357		1	0.0%
579 400		1	0.0%
581 383		3	0.1%
596 451		1	0.0%
616 1280		1	0.0%
618 1280		3	0.1%
619 452		1	0.0%
623 1280		1	0.0%
625 381		1	0.0%
631 1280		4	0.1%
637 1024		1	0.0%
638 1024		1	0.0%
638 1280		2	0.0%
642 1280		1	0.0%
648 1024		2	0.0%
661 1280		6	0.1%
665 1280		1	0.0%
666 1280		7	0.1%
666 1281		1	0.0%
679 1280		8	0.2%
696 1280		1	0.0%
70 160		1	0.0%
740 533		1	0.0%
741 533		1	0.0%
74 0		1	0.0%

# r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
773 533		1	0.0%
894 768		2	0.0%
896 768		1	0.0%
903 600		1	0.0%
903 768		1	0.0%
904 768		1	0.0%
910 600		1	0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7device: Determines respondents device type.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	3463	73.9%
2	Touch	1217	26.0%
3	Generic	9	0.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7advancedwifeaturesenabled: Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
FALSE		17	0.4%
TRUE		4672	99.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ktilfreds_1: Satisfied with: Life at the moment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	Life at the moment?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Livet nå om dagen? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor tilfreds er du med:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1121	23.9%
2	Satisfied	2687	57.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	636	13.6%
4	Slightly satisfied	195	4.2%
5	Not satisfied at all	30	0.6%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

# r7ktilfreds_1: Satisfied with: Life at the moment			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ktilfreds_2: Satisfied with: Your personal economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	Your personal economy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Din personlige økonomi? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor tilfreds er du med		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	820	17.5%
2	Satisfied	2616	55.8%
3	Somewhat satisfied	787	16.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	317	6.8%
5	Not satisfied at all	83	1.8%
97	No answer	66	1.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ktilfreds_3: Satisfied with: The government in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	The present government in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Dagens regjering i Norge? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor tilfreds er du me		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	183	3.9%
2	Satisfied	1362	29.0%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1557	33.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	1135	24.2%
5	Not satisfied at all	386	8.2%
97	No answer	66	1.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ktilfreds_4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	The way in which democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Måten demokratiet fungerer på i Norge? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor ti		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	490	10.4%

r7ktilfreds_4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Satisfied	2473	52.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1182	25.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	391	8.3%
5	Not satisfied at all	90	1.9%
97	No answer	63	1.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k10: Perception of the economic situation in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
Post-question	Do you think it is:
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	569	12.1%
2	Good	2592	55.3%
3	Quite good	922	19.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	318	6.8%
5	Quite bad	212	4.5%
6	Bad	48	1.0%
7	Very bad	12	0.3%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k204: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	201	4.3%
2	The Conservative Party of Norway	1097	23.4%
3	The Progress Party	418	8.9%
4	The Liberal Party	232	4.9%
5	The Socialist Left Party	263	5.6%
6	The Centre Party	261	5.6%
7	The Green Party	177	3.8%
8	The Labour Party	1519	32.4%
9	The Red Party	126	2.7%
10	Would not vote	152	3.2%
11	Not entitled to vote	83	1.8%
12	Other:	124	2.6%
97	No answer	36	0.8%

# r7k204: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k204_12_other: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet: (Hvilket parti ville du ha stemt på dersom det var stortingsvalg i morgen?)		
# r7k6_1: Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Christian Democrats		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Kristelig Folkeparti (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	492	10.5%
2	Really dislike	769	16.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	1156	24.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1059	22.6%
5	Like somewhat	857	18.3%
6	Really like	224	4.8%
7	Intensely like	43	0.9%
97	No answer	89	1.9%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Conservative Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Høyre (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	197	4.2%
2	Really dislike	522	11.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	972	20.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	611	13.0%
5	Like somewhat	1143	24.4%
6	Really like	940	20.0%

r7k6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Intensely like	209	4.5%
97	No answer	95	2.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_3: Like/dislike: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Fremskrittspartiet (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1222	26.1%
2	Really dislike	925	19.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	721	15.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	460	9.8%
5	Like somewhat	712	15.2%
6	Really like	422	9.0%
7	Intensely like	135	2.9%
97	No answer	92	2.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_4: Like/dislike: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Liberal Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Venstre (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	439	9.4%
2	Really dislike	643	13.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1011	21.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1087	23.2%
5	Like somewhat	1034	22.1%
6	Really like	326	7.0%
7	Intensely like	53	1.1%
97	No answer	96	2.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_5: Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r7k6_5: Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Sosialistisk Venstreparti (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i No		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	708	15.1%
2	Really dislike	844	18.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	814	17.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	882	18.8%
5	Like somewhat	850	18.1%
6	Really like	408	8.7%
7	Intensely like	87	1.9%
97	No answer	96	2.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k6_6: Like/dislike: The Centre Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Centre Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Senterpartiet (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	264	5.6%
2	Really dislike	559	11.9%
3	Dislike somewhat	964	20.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1497	31.9%
5	Like somewhat	959	20.5%
6	Really like	299	6.4%
7	Intensely like	53	1.1%
97	No answer	94	2.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.		
Literal question	The Green Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Miljøpartiet De Grønne (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Nor		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	995	21.2%

r7k6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Really dislike	729	15.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	814	17.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	805	17.2%
5	Like somewhat	874	18.6%
6	Really like	320	6.8%
7	Intensely like	64	1.4%
97	No answer	88	1.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_8: Like/dislike: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Labour Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Arbeiderpartiet (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	142	3.0%
2	Really dislike	339	7.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	716	15.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	640	13.6%
5	Like somewhat	1357	28.9%
6	Really like	1167	24.9%
7	Intensely like	247	5.3%
97	No answer	81	1.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_9: Like/dislike: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Rødt (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1097	23.4%
2	Really dislike	839	17.9%
3	Dislike somewhat	714	15.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1027	21.9%
5	Like somewhat	617	13.2%
6	Really like	233	5.0%
7	Intensely like	57	1.2%

# r7k6_9: Like/dislike: The Red Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	105	2.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k9_1: [1] Most important political issues [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?		
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7k9_2: [2] Most important political issues [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?		
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7k9_3: [3] Most important political issues [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?		
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7ocreasm_mobil: R7OCRECSM_mobil			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1199 /-] [Invalid=15812 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not use smartphone	885	73.8%
1	Uses smartphone	314	26.2%
Sysmiss		15812	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran20: [Randomizes if u=2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1199 /-] [Invalid=15812 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	372	31.0%

r7ran20: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	410	34.2%
3	3	417	34.8%
Sysmiss		15812	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1a1_1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM1A1_1 (Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	104	2.2%
2	2	71	1.5%
3	3	108	2.3%
4	4	64	1.4%
5	Completely	20	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1a2_1: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM1A2_1 (Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	225	4.8%
2	2	46	1.0%
3	3	58	1.2%
4	4	29	0.6%
5	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1a3_1: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

r7ocreasm1a3_1: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM1A3_1 (Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	75	1.6%
2	2	77	1.6%
3	3	97	2.1%
4	4	96	2.0%
5	Completely	25	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1B1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	33	0.7%
1	1	6	0.1%
2	2	8	0.2%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	8	0.2%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	0	
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	4	0.1%
13	13	7	0.1%
14	14	9	0.2%
15	15	8	0.2%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	6	0.1%
18	18	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm1b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	19	7	0.1%
20	20	5	0.1%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	4	0.1%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	38	0.8%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	4	0.1%
28	28	10	0.2%
29	29	8	0.2%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	7	0.1%
32	32	5	0.1%
33	33	5	0.1%
34	34	4	0.1%
35	35	12	0.3%
36	36	9	0.2%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	5	0.1%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	4	0.1%
42	42	3	0.1%
43	43	4	0.1%
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	3	0.1%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1B1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

r7ocrecsm1b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	13	0.3%
1	1	0	
2	2	2	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	15	0.3%
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	0	
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	3	0.1%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	3	0.1%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	

r7ocreasm1b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	4	0.1%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	2	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	117	2.5%
1	1	6	0.1%
2	2	8	0.2%
3	3	6	0.1%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	12	0.3%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	6	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	8	0.2%
14	14	6	0.1%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	5	0.1%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	4	0.1%

r7ocreasm1b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	24	4	0.1%
25	25	32	0.7%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1b2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]		
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	39	0.8%
1	1	5	0.1%

r7ocrecsm1b2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	0	
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	20	0.4%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	0	
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	0	
35	35	0	
36	36	0	
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	

r7ocreasm1b2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1B3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	22	0.5%
1	1	0	
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	7	0.1%
13	13	10	0.2%
14	14	0	
15	15	5	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	8	0.2%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm1b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	7	0.1%
25	25	45	1.0%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	6	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	12	0.3%
31	31	7	0.1%
32	32	7	0.1%
33	33	5	0.1%
34	34	12	0.3%
35	35	10	0.2%
36	36	14	0.3%
37	37	7	0.1%
38	38	8	0.2%
39	39	7	0.1%
40	40	7	0.1%
41	41	3	0.1%
42	42	3	0.1%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	4	0.1%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	3	0.1%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	2	0.0%
50	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

r7ocrecsm1b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	1	0.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	0	
4	4	2	0.0%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	21	0.4%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	0	
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	4	0.1%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm1b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	3	0.1%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	7	0.1%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	9	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1c1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1C1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	16	0.3%
1	1	65	1.4%
2	2	7	0.1%
3	3	7	0.1%
4	4	2	0.0%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	10	0.2%
11	11	3	0.1%
12	12	6	0.1%
13	13	11	0.2%
14	14	3	0.1%
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	0	

r7ocreasm1c1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	22	0.5%
25	25	34	0.7%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	4	0.1%
33	33	6	0.1%
34	34	7	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	5	0.1%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	7	0.1%
39	39	5	0.1%
40	40	3	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	11	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1c1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1C1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	6	0.1%

r7ocrecsm1c1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	26	0.6%
2	2	3	0.1%
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	0	
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	0	
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	3	0.1%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	3	0.1%
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm1c1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	3	0.1%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	9	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1c2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1C2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	28	0.6%
1	1	174	3.7%
2	2	9	0.2%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	6	0.1%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	7	0.1%

r7ocreasm1c2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	25	12	0.3%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	4	0.1%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	0	
46	46	3	0.1%
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1c2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1C2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	11	0.2%
1	1	70	1.5%
2	2	4	0.1%

r7ocrecsm1c2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	0	
4	4	0	
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	0	
7	7	0	
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	1	0.0%
26	26	0	
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	0	
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	0	
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	

r7ocreasm1c2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1c3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1C3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	8	0.2%
1	1	57	1.2%
2	2	3	0.1%
3	3	5	0.1%
4	4	4	0.1%
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	10	0.2%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	9	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	8	0.2%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	5	0.1%

r7ocreasm1c3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	24	14	0.3%
25	25	32	0.7%
26	26	4	0.1%
27	27	6	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	5	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	8	0.2%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	3	0.1%
36	36	7	0.1%
37	37	7	0.1%
38	38	6	0.1%
39	39	5	0.1%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	5	0.1%
42	42	0	
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	0	
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	17	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm1c3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1C3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]		
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	3	0.1%

r7ocrecsm1c3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	17	0.4%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	3	0.1%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	0	
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	0	
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	0	
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	

r7ocrecsm1c3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	3	0.1%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	12	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2a1_1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM2A1_1 (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroverser

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	123	2.6%
2	2	76	1.6%
3	3	114	2.4%
4	4	33	0.7%
5	Completely	8	0.2%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2a2_1: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM2A2_1 (I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andr

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	91	1.9%
2	2	90	1.9%
3	3	136	2.9%
4	4	27	0.6%
5	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

r7ocreasm2a2_1: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2a3_1: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM2A3_1 (Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	152	3.2%
2	2	81	1.7%
3	3	85	1.8%
4	4	33	0.7%
5	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2B1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	33	0.7%
1	1	5	0.1%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	10	0.2%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	9	0.2%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	10	0.2%
12	12	11	0.2%
13	13	7	0.1%
14	14	6	0.1%

r70crecsm2b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	15	8	0.2%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	5	0.1%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	8	0.2%
20	20	4	0.1%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	4	0.1%
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	92	2.0%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	3	0.1%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	3	0.1%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r70crecsm2b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?

r7ocreasm2b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2B1.]
[Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]

Notes Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	12	0.3%
1	1	2	0.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	0	
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	3	0.1%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	37	0.8%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	

r7ocrecsm2b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R7OCRECS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	24	0.5%
1	1	5	0.1%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	8	0.2%
4	4	8	0.2%
5	5	4	0.1%
6	6	7	0.1%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	5	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	7	0.1%
14	14	7	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	6	0.1%
17	17	5	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	3	0.1%
25	25	106	2.3%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	7	0.1%
28	28	4	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	8	0.2%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	6	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	4	0.1%

r7ocreasm2b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37	37	0	
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	2	0.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	0	
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	2	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm2b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	53	1.1%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	0	
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?

r7ocreasm2b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2B3_mobile.]
[Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]

Notes Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	59	1.3%
1	1	9	0.2%
2	2	11	0.2%
3	3	8	0.2%
4	4	8	0.2%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	6	0.1%
9	9	9	0.2%
10	10	8	0.2%
11	11	6	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	5	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	5	0.1%
19	19	6	0.1%
20	20	4	0.1%
21	21	8	0.2%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	4	0.1%
25	25	52	1.1%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	4	0.1%
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	4	0.1%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	0	

r7ocreasm2b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	3	0.1%
42	42	0	
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	2	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	25	0.5%
1	1	0	
2	2	4	0.1%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm2b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	25	0.5%
26	26	0	
27	27	0	
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	0	
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2c1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?

r7ocreasm2c1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2C1_mobile.]
[Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]

Notes Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker b

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	8	0.2%
1	1	133	2.8%
2	2	6	0.1%
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	9	0.2%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	5	0.1%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	0	
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	25	0.5%
25	25	33	0.7%
26	26	8	0.2%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	4	0.1%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	

r7ocreasm2c1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	2	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	2	0.0%
50	Completely	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2c1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2C1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker b

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	4	0.1%
1	1	49	1.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	0	
5	5	0	
6	6	0	
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	2	0.0%

r70crecsm2c1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	19	0	
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	0	
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	4	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	9	0.2%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r70crecsm2c2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R70CRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R70CRECSM2C2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R70CREC

r7ocrecsm2c2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	6	0.1%
1	1	113	2.4%
2	2	3	0.1%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	9	0.2%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	10	0.2%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	4	0.1%
20	20	0	
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	24	0.5%
25	25	35	0.7%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	3	0.1%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	6	0.1%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	

r7ocreasm2c2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	0	
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2c2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2C2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	3	0.1%
1	1	45	1.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	0	
14	14	0	
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm2c2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	4	0.1%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	8	0.2%
31	31	6	0.1%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2c3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2C3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	10	0.2%
1	1	156	3.3%
2	2	9	0.2%

r7ocreasm2c3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	4	0.1%
5	5	4	0.1%
6	6	7	0.1%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	6	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	26	0.6%
26	26	4	0.1%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	4	0.1%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm2c3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm2c3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2C3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	51	1.1%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	0	
6	6	0	
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	5	0.1%
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	0	
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	0	
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	0	

r7ocrecsm2c3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	24	0	
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	5	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	2	0.0%
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi1: Personal thoughts when your hear the word "Muslim"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are your personal thoughts when you hear the word "Muslim"?
Post-question	Please write, using your own words, the first thing that comes into your mind. We are interested in both long and short answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

r7ran1: [Randomizes if u!=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3490 /-] [Invalid=13521 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1183	33.9%
2	2	1163	33.3%
3	3	1144	32.8%
Sysmiss		13521	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran1: [Randomizes if u!=2.]

Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u!=2.]
-----------------------------------	------------------------

r7km1a: Thoughts when you hear/read the words "oil and gas"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the words "oil and gas"?
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u!=2 and ran1=1.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

r7km1b: Thoughts when you hear/read the word "energy"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the word "energy"?
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u!=2 and ran1=2.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

r7km1c: Thoughts when you hear/read the word "reorganisation"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the word "reorganisation"?
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u!=2 and ran1=3.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

r7dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be reduced

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Skattetrykket bør senkes, selv om det fører til nedskjæringer i velferdsstaten. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgen)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	112	2.4%
2	Agree	296	6.3%

r7dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be reduced

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat agree	606	12.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	497	10.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	877	18.7%
6	Disagree	1266	27.0%
7	Strongly disagree	1007	21.5%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Commercial private schools should be allowed.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Kommersielle privatskoler bør være tillatt. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstander:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	299	6.4%
2	Agree	849	18.1%
3	Somewhat agree	962	20.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	591	12.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	696	14.8%
6	Disagree	656	14.0%
7	Strongly disagree	595	12.7%
97	No answer	41	0.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv30_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Heterofile og homofile par bør ha like rettigheter. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstander:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2118	45.2%
2	Agree	1284	27.4%
3	Somewhat agree	421	9.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	299	6.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	213	4.5%
6	Disagree	176	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	148	3.2%
97	No answer	30	0.6%

# r7dv30_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv30_4: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Det er bedre for et land hvis det finnes et mangfold av ulike religioner og livssyn. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følg		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	581	12.4%
2	Agree	1082	23.1%
3	Somewhat agree	1001	21.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	666	14.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	569	12.1%
6	Disagree	444	9.5%
7	Strongly disagree	317	6.8%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran40: [All respondents randomized.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1199 /-] [Invalid=15812 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	287	23.9%
2	2	333	27.8%
3	3	287	23.9%
4	4	292	24.4%
Sysmiss		15812	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents in sub-group u=2: asked if ran40!=4. U2 respondent are asked R7DVH_1 or R7PILOTKM2. All other respondents are asked.]		
Notes	Vi bør ikke tillate olje- og gassutvinning i området ved Lofoten og Vesterålen. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgen		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1056	22.5%
2	Agree	745	15.9%

# r7dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat agree	466	9.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	765	16.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	499	10.6%
6	Disagree	517	11.0%
7	Strongly disagree	311	6.6%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	310	6.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Flyktninger bør ha samme rett til sosialhjelp som nordmenn, selv om de ikke er norske statsborgere. (Hvor enig eller uen		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	300	6.4%
2	Agree	765	16.3%
3	Somewhat agree	822	17.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	472	10.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	950	20.3%
6	Disagree	810	17.3%
7	Strongly disagree	539	11.5%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvh_3: Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Mange offentlige aktiviteter kunne blitt utført både bedre og billigere, dersom de ble overlatt til private aktører. (
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	282	6.0%
2	Agree	715	15.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1148	24.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	608	13.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	683	14.6%
6	Disagree	779	16.6%

# r7dvh_3: Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	437	9.3%
97	No answer	37	0.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvh_4: Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Staten bør bidra til å redusere inntektsforskjeller i samfunnet. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstander:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	855	18.2%
2	Agree	1380	29.4%
3	Somewhat agree	1168	24.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	493	10.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	429	9.1%
6	Disagree	249	5.3%
7	Strongly disagree	80	1.7%
97	No answer	35	0.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran2: [All respondents randomized.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4681 /-] [Invalid=12330 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	2294	49.0%
2	2	2387	51.0%
Sysmiss		12330	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km13_1: Should put a greater stake into reducing emission in Norway, abroad or both			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Climate researchers are of the opinion that emission of greenhouse gases must be greatly reduced in order to counteract climate change caused by human activities. A debate is taking place to establish whether Norway should put a stake into such reductions in Norway or abroad. Reducing emissions abroad is often cheaper than in Norway, but measures abroad have also been criticised because the desired effect has not been achieved. What do you think?		
Literal question	Should the authorities put a greater stake into reducing emissions in Norway or abroad, or the same amount for both?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran2=1.]		
Notes	Klimaforskerne mener at utslipp av drivhusgasser må reduseres kraftig dersom man ønsker å motvirke menneskeskapte klim		

r7km13_1: Should put a greater stake into reducing emission in Norway, abroad or both

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The authorities should concentrate most on reducing emissions in Norway	521	11.1%
2	The authorities should concentrate most on reducing emissions abroad	308	6.6%
3	The authorities should concentrate on reducing emissions equally in Norway and abroad	1413	30.1%
97	No answer	52	1.1%
98	Not asked	2395	51.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km13_2: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statement below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with that?
Literal question	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad"
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran2=2.]
Notes	Se på utsagnet nedenfor. Hvor enig eller uenig er du i det? «Mesteparten av utslippsreduksjonene av klimagasser som Nor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	120	2.6%
2	Agree	167	3.6%
3	Somewhat agree	336	7.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	558	11.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	375	8.0%
6	Disagree	557	11.9%
7	Strongly disagree	254	5.4%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	2302	49.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7elite_1: Statement: We agree on which actions that needs to be completed, but little is happening because of the authorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	We are in agreement regarding most of the actions that need to be completed in this country, but very little is happening because the authorities are standing in the way.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Vi er enige om de fleste ting som må gjøres i dette landet, men lite skjer fordi myndighetene står i veien. (Hvor enig

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	151	3.2%
2	Agree	431	9.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1200	25.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1035	22.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	713	15.2%

r7elite_1: Statement: We agree on which actions that needs to be completed, but little is happening because of the authorities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	938	20.0%
7	Strongly disagree	150	3.2%
97	No answer	71	1.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7elite_2: Statement: Politicians maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Most of the professional politicians in Norway maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	De fleste yrkespolitikere i Norge har god kontakt med velgere i hele landet. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende på

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.3%
2	Agree	269	5.7%
3	Somewhat agree	808	17.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1033	22.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	1193	25.4%
6	Disagree	991	21.1%
7	Strongly disagree	319	6.8%
97	No answer	64	1.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7elite_3: Statement: Many important decisions made by small group without ordinary people's knowledge

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	In this country, many important decisions are made by a small group of people without the ordinary people being told.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Mange viktige avgjørelser i dette landet blir tatt av en liten gruppe mennesker uten at vanlige folk får vite om det. (

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	471	10.0%
2	Agree	1158	24.7%
3	Somewhat agree	1528	32.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	642	13.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	459	9.8%
6	Disagree	326	7.0%
7	Strongly disagree	47	1.0%
97	No answer	58	1.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7elite_4: Statement: Difference between politicians same as between ordinary people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	The differences amongst politicians are the same as between ordinary people.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Det er like stor forskjell mellom politikere som det er mellom folk ellers. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende pås		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	468	10.0%
2	Agree	1778	37.9%
3	Somewhat agree	1042	22.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	589	12.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	446	9.5%
6	Disagree	247	5.3%
7	Strongly disagree	57	1.2%
97	No answer	62	1.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran5: [Randomizes if u=2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1195 /-] [Invalid=15816 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	609	51.0%
2	2	586	49.0%
Sysmiss		15816	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7mi4_1: Do leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Some are of the opinion that leaders normally care mostly for themselves. Others are of the opinion that they try their best to do what they feel is right.		
Literal question	What is your opinion?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran5=1.]		
Notes	Noen mener at ledere i sin alminnelighet bryr seg mest om seg selv. Andre mener at de prøver så godt de kan å gjøre		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Leaders care greatly for themselves	28	0.6%
2	Leaders care mostly for themselves	111	2.4%
3	Leaders care somewhat for themselves	118	2.5%
4	Neither of these	69	1.5%
5	Leaders try somewhat to do what is right	133	2.8%
6	Leaders try mostly to do what is right	133	2.8%
7	Leaders try greatly to do what is right	10	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%

r7mi4_1: Do leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4080	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi4_2: Do Muslim leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Some are of the opinion that Muslim leaders normally care mostly for themselves. Others are of the opinion that they try their best to do what they feel is right.
Literal question	What is your opinion?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran5=2.]
Notes	Noen mener at muslimske ledere i sin alminnelighet bryr seg mest om seg selv. Andre mener at de prøver så godt de kan

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muslim leaders care greatly for themselves	68	1.5%
2	Muslim leaders care mostly for themselves	109	2.3%
3	Muslim leaders care somewhat for themselves	56	1.2%
4	Neither of these	158	3.4%
5	Muslim leaders try somewhat to do what is right	110	2.3%
6	Muslim leaders try mostly to do what is right	46	1.0%
7	Muslim leaders try greatly to do what is right	4	0.1%
97	No answer	35	0.7%
98	Not asked	4103	87.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad10_1: Statement: Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents should be able to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements?
Literal question	Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway should have the right to remain in the country if the parents are deported.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge bør ha krav på å få bli i landet dersom foreldrene

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	48	1.0%
2	Agree	150	3.2%
3	Somewhat agree	222	4.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	186	4.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	169	3.6%
6	Disagree	214	4.6%
7	Strongly disagree	94	2.0%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad10_2: Statement: If an employer supplies you with a phone, the employer should have the right to read text-messages/e-mails on the phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements?
Literal question	If an employer supplies you with a mobile phone for use in connection with your employment, the employer should have the right to read all the text messages or e-mails you send and receive with this phone.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Dersom en arbeidsgiver gir deg en mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid, bør arbeidsgiveren ha lov til å lese alle te

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.7%
2	Agree	108	2.3%
3	Somewhat agree	85	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	72	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	96	2.0%
6	Disagree	301	6.4%
7	Strongly disagree	388	8.3%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad10_3: Statement: Not possible to bring neighbour disputes to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements?
Literal question	It should not be possible to bring neighbour disputes (like the height of trees and fences) to court, without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Det bør ikke være lov å bringe nabokonflikter (for eksempel om høyde på trær og gjerder) inn for domstolene uten at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	422	9.0%
2	Agree	483	10.3%
3	Somewhat agree	79	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	14	0.3%
6	Disagree	24	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k15_1: Can most people be trusted?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

r7k15_1: Can most people be trusted?

Literal question Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?

Post-question State your opinion on the scale below. This goes from 0 to 10, where 0 means "Cannot be careful enough" and 10 means "Most people can be trusted".

Interviewer's instructions [All respondents asked.]

Notes R7K15_1 (Vil du si at de fleste mennesker i sin almindelighed er til å stole på, eller mener du at man ikke kan være f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - Cannot be careful enough	68	1.5%
2	1	50	1.1%
3	2	116	2.5%
4	3	242	5.2%
5	4	239	5.1%
6	5	537	11.5%
7	6	506	10.8%
8	7	1104	23.5%
9	8	973	20.8%
10	9	415	8.9%
11	10- Most people can be trusted	382	8.1%
97	No answer	57	1.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_version: Randomly selects a version of the conjunction-experiment. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly selects the number and combination of news and entertainment articles.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	4 news articles	1576	33.8%
2	6 news articles	1556	33.4%
3	4 entertainment articles and 2 news articles	1527	32.8%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_selections: The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly selects, between 1 and 3, how many news articles the respondent may choose.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 selection	1565	33.6%
2	2 selections	1497	32.1%
3	3 selections	1597	34.3%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likes01: Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with endorsements, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly decides whether the articles should be listed with endorsements, or not.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2374	51.0%
1	Yes	2285	49.0%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_1: Randomly chosen source for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Source for article 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	682	14.6%
2	TV2	683	14.7%
3	VG	655	14.1%
4	Dagbladet	675	14.5%
5	Aftenposten	660	14.2%
6	Klassekampen	668	14.3%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	636	13.7%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_2: Randomly chosen source for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Source for article 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	702	15.1%
2	TV2	643	13.8%
3	VG	680	14.6%
4	Dagbladet	688	14.8%
5	Aftenposten	620	13.3%
6	Klassekampen	670	14.4%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	656	14.1%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_3: Randomly chosen source for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Source for article 3]

r7pad14_sourcesRan_3: Randomly chosen source for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	653	14.0%
2	TV2	663	14.2%
3	VG	670	14.4%
4	Dagbladet	656	14.1%
5	Aftenposten	673	14.4%
6	Klassekampen	655	14.1%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	689	14.8%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_4: Randomly chosen source for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source for article 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	666	14.3%
2	TV2	647	13.9%
3	VG	678	14.6%
4	Dagbladet	680	14.6%
5	Aftenposten	651	14.0%
6	Klassekampen	658	14.1%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	679	14.6%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_5: Randomly chosen source for article 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3083 /-] [Invalid=13928 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source for article 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	478	15.5%
2	TV2	427	13.9%
3	VG	432	14.0%
4	Dagbladet	417	13.5%
5	Aftenposten	443	14.4%
6	Klassekampen	433	14.0%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	453	14.7%
Sysmiss		13928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_6: Randomly chosen source for article 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

r7pad14_sourcesRan_6: Randomly chosen source for article 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3083 /-] [Invalid=13928 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Source for article 6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	444	14.4%
2	TV2	424	13.8%
3	VG	447	14.5%
4	Dagbladet	474	15.4%
5	Aftenposten	441	14.3%
6	Klassekampen	441	14.3%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	412	13.4%
Sysmiss		13928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_1: Randomly chosen endorsement for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2285 /-] [Invalid=14726 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement for article 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 people recommend	754	33.0%
2	95 people recommend	248	10.9%
3	98 people recommend	239	10.5%
4	106 people recommend	269	11.8%
5	1054 people recommend	261	11.4%
6	1133 people recommend	278	12.2%
7	1211 people recommend	236	10.3%
Sysmiss		14726	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_2: Randomly chosen endorsement for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2285 /-] [Invalid=14726 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement for article 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 people recommend	751	32.9%
2	95 people recommend	244	10.7%
3	98 people recommend	275	12.0%
4	106 people recommend	245	10.7%
5	1054 people recommend	256	11.2%
6	1133 people recommend	235	10.3%
7	1211 people recommend	279	12.2%
Sysmiss		14726	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_3: Randomly chosen endorsement for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2285 /-] [Invalid=14726 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement for article 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 people recommend	768	33.6%
2	95 people recommend	274	12.0%
3	98 people recommend	234	10.2%
4	106 people recommend	237	10.4%
5	1054 people recommend	258	11.3%
6	1133 people recommend	264	11.6%
7	1211 people recommend	250	10.9%
Sysmiss		14726	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_4: Randomly chosen endorsement for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2285 /-] [Invalid=14726 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement for article 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 people recommend	719	31.5%
2	95 people recommend	266	11.6%
3	98 people recommend	261	11.4%
4	106 people recommend	270	11.8%
5	1054 people recommend	243	10.6%
6	1133 people recommend	250	10.9%
7	1211 people recommend	276	12.1%
Sysmiss		14726	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_5: Randomly chosen endorsement for article 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1514 /-] [Invalid=15497 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement for article 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 people recommend	497	32.8%
2	95 people recommend	167	11.0%
3	98 people recommend	174	11.5%
4	106 people recommend	175	11.6%
5	1054 people recommend	158	10.4%
6	1133 people recommend	168	11.1%
7	1211 people recommend	175	11.6%
Sysmiss		15497	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_6: Randomly chosen endorsement for article 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1514 /-] [Invalid=15497 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement for article 6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 people recommend	511	33.8%
2	95 people recommend	164	10.8%
3	98 people recommend	171	11.3%
4	106 people recommend	188	12.4%
5	1054 people recommend	167	11.0%
6	1133 people recommend	169	11.2%
7	1211 people recommend	144	9.5%
Sysmiss		15497	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pad14_headlinesRan_1: Randomly chosen headline for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles.]
<i>Frequency table not shown (1332 Modalities)</i>	
# r7pad14_headlinesRan_2: Randomly chosen headline for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles.]
<i>Frequency table not shown (1332 Modalities)</i>	
# r7pad14_headlinesRan_3: Randomly chosen headline for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles.]
<i>Frequency table not shown (1332 Modalities)</i>	
# r7pad14_headlinesRan_4: Randomly chosen headline for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles.]
<i>Frequency table not shown (1332 Modalities)</i>	
# r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen headline for article 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3083 /-] [Invalid=13928 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles.]
<i>Frequency table not shown (1332 Modalities)</i>	
# r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen headline for article 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3083 /-] [Invalid=13928 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles.]
<i>Frequency table not shown (1332 Modalities)</i>	
# r7selexp2_selections: The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

r7selexp2_selections: The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly selects, between 1 and 3, how many news articles the respondent may choose.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 selection	1516	32.5%
2	2 selections	1566	33.6%
3	3 selections	1577	33.8%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sources01: Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with sources, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly decides whether the articles should be listed with source or not.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2339	50.2%
1	Yes	2320	49.8%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_valanceandparty: Randomly decides whether the article headlines should appear with party and valence, or any combination of the two. Background variable conjunction experiment R7selexp2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly decides whether the respondent will be exposed to valance and party, or any combination of the two.]

Notes Dikotom hvorvidt respondenten får overskrifter med valør og parti, og øvrige kombinasjoner.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	With party and valence	1184	25.4%
2	With party, without valence	1195	25.6%
3	Without party, with valence	1118	24.0%
4	Without party and valence	1162	24.9%
Sysmiss		12352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_1: Randomly chosen source for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=14691 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [If sources are to be listed: source for article 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	327	14.1%
2	TV2	358	15.4%
3	VG	359	15.5%
4	Dagbladet	307	13.2%
5	Aftenposten	326	14.1%
6	Klassekampen	302	13.0%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	341	14.7%

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_1: Randomly chosen source for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		14691	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_2: Randomly chosen source for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=14691 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If sources are to be listed: source for article 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	326	14.1%
2	TV2	350	15.1%
3	VG	328	14.1%
4	Dagbladet	324	14.0%
5	Aftenposten	317	13.7%
6	Klassekampen	323	13.9%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	352	15.2%
Sysmiss		14691	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_3: Randomly chosen source for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=14691 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If sources are to be listed: source for article 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	340	14.7%
2	TV2	350	15.1%
3	VG	327	14.1%
4	Dagbladet	307	13.2%
5	Aftenposten	313	13.5%
6	Klassekampen	344	14.8%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	339	14.6%
Sysmiss		14691	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_4: Randomly chosen source for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=14691 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If sources are to be listed: source for article 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	316	13.6%
2	TV2	363	15.6%
3	VG	316	13.6%
4	Dagbladet	351	15.1%

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_4: Randomly chosen source for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Aftenposten	302	13.0%
6	Klassekampen	326	14.1%
7	Dagens Næringsliv	346	14.9%
Sysmiss		14691	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_1: Randomly chosen headline for article 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles.]

Frequency table not shown (1440 Modalities)

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_2: Randomly chosen headline for article 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles.]

Frequency table not shown (1440 Modalities)

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_3: Randomly chosen headline for article 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles.]

Frequency table not shown (1440 Modalities)

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_4: Randomly chosen headline for article 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=12352 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles.]

Frequency table not shown (1440 Modalities)

r7selexp_order: The order of which r7pad14 and r7selexp is asked. The order is randomized.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4647 /-] [Invalid=12364 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores the order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R7PAD14,R7SELEXP2	2330	50.1%
2	R7SELEXP2,R7PAD14	2317	49.9%
Sysmiss		12364	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_4rows_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['1']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		831	17.7%
1	Article chosen by respondent	743	15.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	3113	66.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_4rows_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['2']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		803	17.1%
1	Article chosen by respondent	771	16.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	3113	66.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_4rows_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

r7pad14_4rows_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['3']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		813	17.3%
1	Article chosen by respondent	761	16.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	3113	66.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_4rows_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['4']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		768	16.4%
1	Article chosen by respondent	806	17.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	3113	66.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_6rows_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

r7pad14_grows_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]

Notes ^f('R7PAD14_body')['1']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2043	43.6%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1036	22.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	1610	34.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_grows_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]

Notes ^f('R7PAD14_body')['2']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2112	45.0%
1	Article chosen by respondent	967	20.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_grows_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

r7pad14_grows_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]

Notes ^f('R7PAD14_body')['3']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2078	44.3%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1001	21.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_grows_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]

Notes ^f('R7PAD14_body')['4']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2079	44.3%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1000	21.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_grows_5: Article 5. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

r7pad14_grows_5: Article 5. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]

Notes ^f('R7PAD14_body')[5]^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2147	45.8%
1	Article chosen by respondent	932	19.9%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_grows_6: Article 6. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]

Notes ^f('R7PAD14_body')[6]^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2066	44.1%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1013	21.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

r7selexp2_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')[1]^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediasaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2415	51.5%
1	Article chosen by respondent	2236	47.7%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')[2]^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediasaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2364	50.4%
1	Article chosen by respondent	2287	48.8%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]

r7selexp2_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
-----------------------------------	---

Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')[3]^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediasaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2395	51.1%
1	Article chosen by respondent	2256	48.1%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
---------------------	--

Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
-------------------------	---

Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnese@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
-----------------------------------	---

Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')[4]^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediasaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2421	51.6%
1	Article chosen by respondent	2230	47.6%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7Error_selexp: 36 respondents experienced an error in experiment r7pad14 and r7selexp2. The error stopped them from progressing in the questionnaire.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not experience an error	4653	99.2%
1	Did experience an error	36	0.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_age_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

r7pad6_age_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad6.

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	162	14.8%
2	30 years	153	14.0%
3	40 years	150	13.7%
4	50 years	135	12.4%
5	60 years	169	15.5%
6	70 years	169	15.5%
7	80 years	154	14.1%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_gender_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Gender. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	553	50.6%
2	Female	539	49.4%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_location_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Location. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo	168	15.4%
2	Eastern Norway	195	17.9%
3	Southern Norway	186	17.0%
4	Western Norway	186	17.0%
5	Central Norway	168	15.4%
6	Northern Norway	189	17.3%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_maritalstatus_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Live alone	358	32.8%
2	Cohabitant	398	36.4%
3	Married	336	30.8%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pad6_education_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Education. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary education	258	23.6%
2	Upper secondary education	280	25.6%
3	University degree	275	25.2%
4	Doctorate	279	25.5%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6_religion_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Religion. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	349	32.0%
2	Christianity	383	35.1%
3	Islam	360	33.0%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6_workexp_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	186	17.0%
2	Care worker	208	19.0%
3	Farmer	175	16.0%
4	Oil worker	183	16.8%
5	Self employed	168	15.4%
6	IT consultant	172	15.8%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6_age_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	147	13.5%
2	30 years	159	14.6%
3	40 years	146	13.4%
4	50 years	165	15.1%
5	60 years	166	15.2%

# r7pad6_age_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	70 years	155	14.2%
7	80 years	154	14.1%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6_gender_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Gender. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	543	49.7%
2	Female	549	50.3%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6_location_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Location. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo	187	17.1%
2	Eastern Norway	181	16.6%
3	Southern Norway	180	16.5%
4	Western Norway	171	15.7%
5	Central Norway	190	17.4%
6	Northern Norway	183	16.8%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6_maritalstatus_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Marital status. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Live alone	380	34.8%
2	Cohabitant	337	30.9%
3	Married	375	34.3%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6_education_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad6.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary education	276	25.3%

r7pad6_education_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Upper secondary education	283	25.9%
3	University degree	263	24.1%
4	Doctorate	270	24.7%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_religion_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Religion. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	345	31.6%
2	Christianity	367	33.6%
3	Islam	380	34.8%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_workexp_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	165	15.1%
2	Care worker	194	17.8%
3	Farmer	184	16.8%
4	Oil worker	175	16.0%
5	Self employed	183	16.8%
6	IT consultant	191	17.5%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pad7_age_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	149	13.6%
2	30 years	159	14.6%
3	40 years	147	13.5%
4	50 years	188	17.2%
5	60 years	165	15.1%
6	70 years	130	11.9%
7	80 years	154	14.1%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_gender_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Gender. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	564	51.6%
2	Female	528	48.4%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_location_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Location. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo	195	17.9%
2	Eastern Norway	188	17.2%
3	Southern Norway	174	15.9%
4	Western Norway	178	16.3%
5	Central Norway	161	14.7%
6	Northern Norway	196	17.9%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_martialstatus_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Live alone	368	33.7%
2	Cohabitant	344	31.5%
3	Married	380	34.8%

# r7pad7_martialstatus_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_education_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Education. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary education	256	23.4%
2	Upper secondary education	293	26.8%
3	University degree	281	25.7%
4	Doctorate	262	24.0%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_religion_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Religion. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	368	33.7%
2	Christianity	348	31.9%
3	Islam	376	34.4%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_workexp_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	166	15.2%
2	Care worker	183	16.8%
3	Farmer	189	17.3%
4	Oil worker	176	16.1%
5	Self employed	207	19.0%
6	IT consultant	171	15.7%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_age_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	164	15.0%

r7pad7_age_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad7.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	30 years	153	14.0%
3	40 years	166	15.2%
4	50 years	141	12.9%
5	60 years	149	13.6%
6	70 years	157	14.4%
7	80 years	162	14.8%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_gender_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Gender. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	539	49.4%
2	Female	553	50.6%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_location_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Location. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo	174	15.9%
2	Eastern Norway	189	17.3%
3	Southern Norway	177	16.2%
4	Western Norway	163	14.9%
5	Central Norway	182	16.7%
6	Northern Norway	207	19.0%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_maritalstatus_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Live alone	361	33.1%
2	Cohabitant	367	33.6%
3	Married	364	33.3%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_education_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r7pad7_education_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary education	274	25.1%
2	Upper secondary education	290	26.6%
3	University degree	301	27.6%
4	Doctorate	227	20.8%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_religion_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Religion. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	358	32.8%
2	Christianity	377	34.5%
3	Islam	357	32.7%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad7_workexp_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad7.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	158	14.5%
2	Care worker	179	16.4%
3	Farmer	163	14.9%
4	Oil worker	207	19.0%
5	Self employed	197	18.0%
6	IT consultant	188	17.2%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_age_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	141	12.9%
2	30 years	176	16.1%
3	40 years	133	12.2%
4	50 years	146	13.4%
5	60 years	162	14.8%
6	70 years	177	16.2%

# r7pad8_age_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Age. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	80 years	157	14.4%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_gender_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Gender. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	528	48.4%
2	Female	564	51.6%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_location_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Location. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo	165	15.1%
2	Eastern Norway	182	16.7%
3	Southern Norway	193	17.7%
4	Western Norway	164	15.0%
5	Central Norway	199	18.2%
6	Northern Norway	189	17.3%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_maritalstatus_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Martial status. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Live alone	383	35.1%
2	Cohabitant	356	32.6%
3	Married	353	32.3%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_education_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Education. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary education	303	27.7%
2	Upper secondary education	264	24.2%

r7pad8_education_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Education. Background variable for r7pad8.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	University degree	257	23.5%
4	Doctorate	268	24.5%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_religion_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Religion. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	346	31.7%
2	Christianity	369	33.8%
3	Islam	377	34.5%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_workexp_1: Characteristic for profile 1: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	180	16.5%
2	Care worker	164	15.0%
3	Farmer	180	16.5%
4	Oil worker	176	16.1%
5	Self employed	182	16.7%
6	IT consultant	210	19.2%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_age_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Age. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	22 years	141	12.9%
2	30 years	156	14.3%
3	40 years	146	13.4%
4	50 years	158	14.5%
5	60 years	147	13.5%
6	70 years	164	15.0%
7	80 years	180	16.5%
Sysmiss		15919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pad8_gender_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Gender. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	542	49.6%
2	Female	550	50.4%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_location_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Location. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo	163	14.9%
2	Eastern Norway	200	18.3%
3	Southern Norway	192	17.6%
4	Western Norway	203	18.6%
5	Central Norway	179	16.4%
6	Northern Norway	155	14.2%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_maritalstatus_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Marital status. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Live alone	376	34.4%
2	Cohabitant	361	33.1%
3	Married	355	32.5%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_education_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Education. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary education	259	23.7%
2	Upper secondary education	280	25.6%
3	University degree	283	25.9%
4	Doctorate	270	24.7%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7pad8_religion_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Religion. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	349	32.0%
2	Christianity	350	32.1%
3	Islam	393	36.0%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad8_workexp_2: Characteristic for profile 2: Work experience. Background variable for r7pad8.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	173	15.8%
2	Care worker	178	16.3%
3	Farmer	190	17.4%
4	Oil worker	175	16.0%
5	Self employed	178	16.3%
6	IT consultant	198	18.1%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran34: [Randomizes if u=3.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=15919 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	559	51.2%
2	2	533	48.8%
Sysmiss		15919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad6a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, “The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society”			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined persons of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.</p> <p>Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:</p>		
Literal question	<p>“The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.”</p> <p>[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between profiles of two persons, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]		

r7pad6a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, “The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society”

Notes	1 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	290	6.2%
2	Person 2	259	5.5%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4130	88.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6b: Attitudes related to background: Politicians, “The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined politicians of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.</p> <p>Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:</p>
Literal question	<p>“The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society.”</p> <p>[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]</p>
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=2. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between two profiles of political representatives, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	1 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician 1	264	5.6%
2	Politician 2	256	5.5%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	4156	88.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, “Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined persons of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.</p> <p>Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:</p>
Literal question	<p>“Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.”</p> <p>[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]</p>
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between profiles of two persons, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	2 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

r7pad7a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, “Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens”

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	285	6.1%
2	Person 2	262	5.6%
97	No answer	12	0.3%
98	Not asked	4130	88.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7b: Attitudes related to background: Politicians, “Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined politicians of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.</p> <p>Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:</p>
Literal question	<p>“Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.”</p> <p>[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]</p>
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=2. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between two profiles of political representatives, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	2 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician 1	247	5.3%
2	Politician 2	268	5.7%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4156	88.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, “Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined persons of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.</p> <p>Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:</p>
Literal question	<p>“Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.”</p> <p>[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]</p>
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between profiles of two persons, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	3 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	259	5.5%

r7pad8a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, “Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad”

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Person 2	283	6.0%
97	No answer	17	0.4%
98	Not asked	4130	88.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8b: Attitudes related to background: Politicians, “Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad”

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	<p>People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined politicians of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.</p> <p>Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:</p>
Literal question	<p>“Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad.”</p> <p>[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]</p>
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=2. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between two profiles of political representatives, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	3 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician 1	259	5.5%
2	Politician 2	253	5.4%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	4156	88.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_1: Volunteering: Art and culture, band and choir

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Kunst og kultur, korps og kor[Musikk, teater og dans. Kunst, brukskunst og arkitektur. Historie, litteratur og språk. Mu

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		971	20.7%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	199	4.2%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7fri1_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Idrett og sport[Alle typer idrett, sport og trim, skytterlag, bedriftsidrett og idrett for funksjonshemmede] (Har du i l		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		887	18.9%
1	Athletics and sport	283	6.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_3: Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Hobby, fritid, utendørsaktivitet[Friluftsliv og speideren. Motor og båtforening. Dyreeierklubber. Pensjonistlag. Studen		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		951	20.3%
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	219	4.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_4: Volunteering: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and university colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Utdanning, opplæring og forskning[Barne- og ungdomsskoler inkludert FAU i offentlige skoler, men dugnader bare i private		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1051	22.4%
1	Education, training and research	119	2.5%
97	No answer	14	0.3%

# r7fri1_4: Volunteering: Education, training and research			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Helse, pleie og redningsarbeid[Sykehus. Rehabilitering. Sykehjem. Omsorgsbolig og hjemmetjenestene. Psykiatriske institus		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1105	23.6%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	65	1.4%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_6: Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Sosiale tjenester og rusmiddelomsorg[Barnehager. Røde kors. Blå kors. Norsk folkehjelp. Familierådgiving. Krisesentre		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1091	23.3%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	79	1.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_7: Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		

# r7fri1_7: Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Notes	Natur-, miljø- og dyrevern[Natur- og miljøvern. Områdevern og områdeforvaltning. Dyrevern. Veterinærtjenester] (Har		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1139	24.3%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	31	0.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Velforeninger, grendelag og nærmiljø[Forsamlingshus] (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønn		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1027	21.9%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	143	3.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_9: Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Borettslag og boligbyggelag[Huseierforening] (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeids		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1034	22.1%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	136	2.9%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_10: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		

# r7fri1_10: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Rettigheits-, støtte- og påvirkningsarbeid[Funksjonshemmede og syke. Kvinnesak. Mållag. Etniske minoriteter. Svake/utsa		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1146	24.4%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	24	0.5%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_11: Volunteering: Political parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Politiske partier[Ungdomspartier] (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1152	24.6%
1	Political parties	18	0.4%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_12: Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Internasjonal utveksling, nødhjelp, bistand og menneskerettighetsarbeid[Internasjonale vennskaps- og utvekslingsprogramm		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1125	24.0%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	45	1.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7fri1_13: Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Yrkes-, bransje- og fagforeninger[Arbeidstakerorganisasjoner. Arbeidsgiverorganisasjoner. Bransje- og næringssammenslutn		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1099	23.4%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	71	1.5%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Religion og livssyn inkludert den norske kirke[Organisasjoner tilknyttet den norske kirke. Misjon. Protestantisk frikirke		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1089	23.2%
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	81	1.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_15: Volunteering: Immigrant organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Innvandrerorganisasjoner[Organisasjoner knyttet til landbakgrunn, kultur, identitet utenfor Norge] (Har du i løpet av de		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1154	24.6%
1	Immigrant organisations	16	0.3%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

r7fri1_15: Volunteering: Immigrant organisations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7fri1_16: Volunteering: Other, specify			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige orga		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1139	24.3%
1	Other, specify	31	0.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1_16_other: Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Other, specify		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige orga		
# r7fri1_17: No, not volunteering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Nei (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner på		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		795	17.0%
1	No	375	8.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri2: How much time spent volunteering during a week, last year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?		

# r7fri2: How much time spent volunteering during a week, last year			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_17!=1.]		
Notes	Om du tenker på det siste året under ett, omtrent hvor mye tid brukte du på frivillig arbeid i en vanlig uke?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than half an hour	274	5.8%
2	Between half an hour and one hour	153	3.3%
3	1 to 2 hours	157	3.3%
4	2 to 5 hours	110	2.3%
5	More than 5 hours	84	1.8%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3880	82.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1b_1: Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Health			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You have answered that you have carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations within health, nursing and rescue work. Within which of these areas have you carried out voluntary work?		
Literal question	Health		
Post-question	Flere kryss mulig		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_5=1.]		
Notes	Helse (Du har svart at du har utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner innenfor helse, pl		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		33	0.7%
1	Health	31	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4624	98.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri1b_2: Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Nursing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You have answered that you have carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations within health, nursing and rescue work. Within which of these areas have you carried out voluntary work?		
Literal question	Nursing		
Post-question	Flere kryss mulig		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_5=1.]		
Notes	Pleie (Du har svart at du har utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner innenfor helse, pl		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		36	0.8%
1	Nursing	28	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4624	98.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7fri1b_3: Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Emergency/Rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You have answered that you have carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations within health, nursing and rescue work. Within which of these areas have you carried out voluntary work?		
Literal question	Emergency/Rescue work		
Post-question	Flere kryss mulig		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_5=1.]		
Notes	Beredskap/Redningsarbeid (Du har svart at du har utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		51	1.1%
1	Emergency/Rescue work	13	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4624	98.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4_1: Knowledge of emergency work in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	When someone is missing, has been injured out in nature or when large accidents occur, an extensive apparatus of volunteers is activated to assist the police in searching for missing persons. These volunteers are members of different emergency response organisations and have undergone training in searching, tracking, first aid, navigation etc.		
Literal question	How good is your knowledge of emergency work in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Hvor godt vil du si at du kjenner til beredskapsarbeidet i Norge? (Når noen er savnet, har blitt skadet ute i naturen el		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	41	0.9%
2	Good	432	9.2%
3	Slightly	532	11.3%
4	Very little	129	2.8%
5	Not at all	27	0.6%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4_2: Knowledge of voluntary emergency organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	When someone is missing, has been injured out in nature or when large accidents occur, an extensive apparatus of volunteers is activated to assist the police in searching for missing persons. These volunteers are members of different emergency response organisations and have undergone training in searching, tracking, first aid, navigation etc.		
Literal question	How good is your knowledge of the voluntary emergency organisations?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Hvor godt vil du si at du kjenner til de frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene? (Når noen er savnet, har blitt skadet ute		

# r7fri4_2: Knowledge of voluntary emergency organisations			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	30	0.6%
2	Good	442	9.4%
3	Slightly	544	11.6%
4	Very little	113	2.4%
5	Not at all	28	0.6%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_1: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: NAK air services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	NAK air services		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	NAKs flytjeneste (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1113	23.7%
1	NAK air services	55	1.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_2: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian rescue dogs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Norwegian rescue dogs		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Norske redningshunder (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		326	7.0%
1	Norwegian rescue dogs	842	18.0%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_3: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services)		

# r7fri4c_3: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		292	6.2%
1	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services)	876	18.7%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_4: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Speleological Society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Norwegian Speleological Society		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Norsk Grotteforbund (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1120	23.9%
1	Norwegian Speleological Society	48	1.0%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_5: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Radio Relay League			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Norwegian Radio Relay League (amateur radio organisation)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Norsk Radio Relæ Liga (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1076	22.9%
1	Norwegian Radio Relay League (amateur radio organisation)	92	2.0%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_6: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Rovers response group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (The Norwegian Guide and Scout Association - Rovers response group)		

# r7fri4c_6: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Rovers response group			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1089	23.2%
1	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (The Norwegian Guide and Scout Association - Rovers response group)	79	1.7%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_7: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Red Cross			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	The Norwegian Red Cross		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Røde Kors Hjelpekorps (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		22	0.5%
1	The Norwegian Red Cross	1146	24.4%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4c_8: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?		
Literal question	The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Redningsselskapet (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		74	1.6%
1	The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue	1094	23.3%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4d: Member of an organisation which takes part in emergency response work in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Are you a member of an organisation which takes part in the emergency response work in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		

r7fri4d: Member of an organisation which takes part in emergency response work in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	154	3.3%
2	No	1004	21.4%
97	No answer	26	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4e: Participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Have you participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, several times	58	1.2%
2	Yes, once	102	2.2%
3	No	997	21.3%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4f: Has assisted the police/voluntary organisation as a volunteer during an accident

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Have you as a volunteer assisted the police or a voluntary organisation during an accident?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Notes	Har du som frivillig bistått politi eller frivillige organisasjoner ved en ulykke?
--------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, several times	61	1.3%
2	Yes, once	118	2.5%
3	No	962	20.5%
97	No answer	43	0.9%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran38: [Randomizes if u=1 and R7FRI4d=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1004 /-] [Invalid=16007 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1 and R7FRI4d=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	503	50.1%
2	2	501	49.9%
Sysmiss		16007	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4g: Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation? (Members of emergency organisations undergoes courses and must be ready at a moments notice).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Members of emergency response organisations must undergo several different training courses in areas like first aid, navigation, communication and wilderness tracking. These must also be ready for action at a moments notice.
Literal question	Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=1.]
Notes	Medlemmer i beredskapsorganisasjoner må gjennomføre flere ulike kurs innenfor områder som førstehjelp, navigasjon, sa

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	112	2.4%
2	No	177	3.8%
3	Don't know	211	4.5%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4186	89.3%
Systemmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4g1: If no, why not? [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If no, why not?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=1 AND R7FRI4g=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r7fri4h: Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	90	1.9%
2	No	135	2.9%
3	Don't know	271	5.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4188	89.3%
Systemmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4h1: If no, why not? [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If no, why not?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=2 AND R7FRI4h=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# r7fri4i: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by the police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	If you were asked by the police to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Dersom du ble spurt av politiet om å bistå ved et søk og redningsoppdrag, hvor sannsynlig er det at du ville deltatt?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	597	12.7%
2	Most likely	400	8.5%
3	Neither one way or the other	73	1.6%
4	Most unlikely	54	1.2%
5	Very unlikely	39	0.8%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Systemmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4j: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by voluntary organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	If you were asked by a voluntary organisation to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Dersom du ble bedt av en frivillig organisasjon om å bistå ved et søk og redningsoppdrag, hvor sannsynlig er det at du		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	425	9.1%
2	Most likely	475	10.1%
3	Neither one way or the other	145	3.1%
4	Most unlikely	61	1.3%
5	Very unlikely	41	0.9%
97	No answer	37	0.8%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Systemmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4k: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by family/someone you know			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	If you were asked by your family or someone you know to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Dersom du ble bedt av familien din eller andre i din omgangskrets om å delta i et søk og redningsoppdrag, hvor sannsynl		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	851	18.1%
2	Most likely	241	5.1%
3	Neither one way or the other	21	0.4%

r7fri4k: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by family/someone you know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Most unlikely	22	0.5%
5	Very unlikely	16	0.3%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_1: Statement: Voluntary organisation's role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The voluntary organisations' role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	De frivillige organisasjonenes rolle i beredskapsarbeidet er lite synlig i media. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	117	2.5%
2	Somewhat agree	429	9.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	305	6.5%
4	Somewhat disagree	268	5.7%
5	Completely agree	39	0.8%
97	No answer	26	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_2: Statement: Important to my participation in rescue work that I personally know the leader/members

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	If I am to participate as a volunteer in rescue work it is important to me that I know the leader or other members of the organisation on a personal basis.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Hvis jeg skal delta som frivillig i redningsarbeid er det viktig at jeg kjenner ledere eller andre medlemmer av organisas

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	41	0.9%
2	Somewhat agree	224	4.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	400	8.5%
4	Somewhat disagree	324	6.9%
5	Completely agree	164	3.5%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_3: Statement: Emergency response work public responsibility limited to public institutions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r7fri4l_3: Statement: Emergency response work public responsibility limited to public institutions			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Emergency response work should be the responsibility of the public authorities and limited to public institutions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Beredskapsarbeid bør være et offentlig ansvar forbeholdt offentlige institusjoner. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følg		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	64	1.4%
2	Somewhat agree	189	4.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	424	9.0%
4	Somewhat disagree	376	8.0%
5	Completely agree	102	2.2%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4l_4: Statement: Voluntary organisations should have an important role in emergency response work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Voluntary organisations should have an important role in emergency response work in Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Frivillige organisasjoner bør ha en viktig rolle i beredskapsarbeidet i Norge. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	251	5.4%
2	Somewhat agree	569	12.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	270	5.8%
4	Somewhat disagree	50	1.1%
5	Completely agree	14	0.3%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri4l_5: Statement: Essential for my participation as a volunteer that employer provides paid leave			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	If I should participate in voluntary rescue work it is essential that the employer provides paid leave during assignments.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Hvis jeg skal delta i frivillig redningsarbeid er det avgjørende at arbeidsgiver gir meg fri med lønn under oppdrag. (H		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	177	3.8%
2	Somewhat agree	285	6.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	417	8.9%

r7fri4l_5: Statement: Essential for my participation as a volunteer that employer provides paid leave

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat disagree	146	3.1%
5	Completely agree	113	2.4%
97	No answer	46	1.0%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri11: How good is your health?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how good is your health?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	322	6.9%
2	Good	673	14.4%
3	Not very good	152	3.2%
4	Bad	15	0.3%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri12: Do you suffer from health problems expected to last for at least six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems that are expected to last for at least six months or more?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Har du noen former for kronisk sykdom eller helseproblem som er forventet å vedvare minst seks måneder eller lengre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	488	10.4%
2	No	617	13.2%
3	Do not wish to reply	49	1.0%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri13: Has, due to health problems, been prevented from undertaking usual activities during the last six months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	During the last six months have you been prevented due to health problems from undertaking any activities that people usually carry out?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	I løpet av de seks siste månedene, har du på grunn av helseproblemer vært hindret fra å utføre aktiviteter som folk

r7fri13: Has, due to health problems, been prevented from undertaking usual activities during the last six months

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, very much prevented	59	1.3%
2	Yes, prevented	303	6.5%
3	No, not prevented	797	17.0%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri32: Extent of satisfaction with life at the moment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely satisfied	205	4.4%
2	Very satisfied	660	14.1%
3	Somewhat	260	5.5%
4	Slightly	31	0.7%
5	Not at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri33: To what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	219	4.7%
2	To a great extent	620	13.2%
3	Somewhat	263	5.6%
4	To a small extent	46	1.0%
5	Not at all	8	0.2%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_1: How satisfied with: Your standard of living

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your standard of living

# r7fri36_1: How satisfied with: Your standard of living			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=1.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	335	7.1%
2	Satisfied	659	14.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	128	2.7%
4	Not very satisfied	19	0.4%
5	Not satisfied	14	0.3%
6	Not satisfied at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	24	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri36_2: How satisfied with: Your health			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		How satisfied are you with ...	
Literal question		Your health	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=1.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	212	4.5%
2	Satisfied	572	12.2%
3	Somewhat satisfied	245	5.2%
4	Not very satisfied	70	1.5%
5	Not satisfied	35	0.7%
6	Not satisfied at all	22	0.5%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri36_3: How satisfied with: What you are achieving in life			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		How satisfied are you with ...	
Literal question		What you are achieving in life	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=1.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	141	3.0%
2	Satisfied	627	13.4%
3	Somewhat satisfied	284	6.1%
4	Not very satisfied	59	1.3%
5	Not satisfied	26	0.6%
6	Not satisfied at all	12	0.3%
97	No answer	35	0.7%

# r7fri36_3: How satisfied with: What you are achieving in life			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri36_4: How satisfied with: Personal relationships			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	Your personal relationships		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	221	4.7%
2	Satisfied	624	13.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	243	5.2%
4	Not very satisfied	47	1.0%
5	Not satisfied	15	0.3%
6	Not satisfied at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri36_5: How satisfied with: Feeling secure			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	How secure you feel		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	336	7.2%
2	Satisfied	638	13.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	135	2.9%
4	Not very satisfied	26	0.6%
5	Not satisfied	14	0.3%
6	Not satisfied at all	8	0.2%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri36_6: How satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...		
Literal question	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community		

r7fri36_6: How satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	111	2.4%
2	Satisfied	502	10.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	328	7.0%
4	Not very satisfied	122	2.6%
5	Not satisfied	33	0.7%
6	Not satisfied at all	25	0.5%
97	No answer	63	1.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_7: How satisfied with: The prospects for security in the future

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Pre-question How satisfied are you with ...

Literal question The prospects for security in the future

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	159	3.4%
2	Satisfied	588	12.5%
3	Somewhat satisfied	281	6.0%
4	Not very satisfied	72	1.5%
5	Not satisfied	31	0.7%
6	Not satisfied at all	20	0.4%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_8: How satisfied with: The amount of time to do things you like doing

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Pre-question How satisfied are you with ...

Literal question The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=1.]

Notes Mengden tid du har til å gjøre tingene du liker å gjøre (Hvor fornøyd er du med...)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	147	3.1%
2	Satisfied	502	10.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	297	6.3%
4	Not very satisfied	127	2.7%
5	Not satisfied	43	0.9%
6	Not satisfied at all	38	0.8%

r7fri36_8: How satisfied with: The amount of time to do things you like doing

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_9: How satisfied with: Quality of nature and the environment in local area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	454	9.7%
2	Satisfied	550	11.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	116	2.5%
4	Not very satisfied	25	0.5%
5	Not satisfied	9	0.2%
6	Not satisfied at all	1	0.0%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_10: How satisfied with: Your job

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your job
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	176	3.8%
2	Satisfied	429	9.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	160	3.4%
4	Not very satisfied	40	0.9%
5	Not satisfied	22	0.5%
6	Not satisfied at all	18	0.4%
7	Not relevant	300	6.4%
97	No answer	39	0.8%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7friend: Amount of friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

# r7friend: Amount of friends	
Literal question	Approximately how many friends do you have at the moment?
Post-question	These are people you can relax with, talk to about private topics or ask for help.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Omtrent hvor mange venner har du for tiden? Dette er mennesker du kan slappe av med, snakke med om private tema, eller sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	19	0.4%
2	1-2	157	3.3%
3	3-5	409	8.7%
4	6-10	350	7.5%
5	More than 10	224	4.8%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7frnet_1: Are there any people you know personally who: Could help you find a job	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible
Literal question	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Vil kunne hjelpe deg å finne en jobb, hvis det blir nødvendig? (Er det i omgangskretsen din personer som...)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		624	13.3%
1	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?	538	11.5%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7frnet_2: Are there any people you know personally who: Could lend you a large amount of money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Vil kunne låne deg et større pengebeløp (f.eks. 20 000 kroner)? (Er det i omgangskretsen din personer som...)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		535	11.4%
1	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?	627	13.4%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7frnet_3: Are there any people you know personally who: Have a high position in business/public/politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Sitter i en høy stilling i næringslivet, i offentlig administrasjon eller i politikken? (Er det i omgangskretsen din pe		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		782	16.7%
1	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?	380	8.1%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7frnet_4: No such people among those I know personally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible		
Literal question	There are no such people among the people I know personally		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Jeg har ingen slike personer i min omgangskrets (Er det i omgangskretsen din personer som...)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		850	18.1%
1	There are no such people among the people I know personally	312	6.7%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7fri5: How often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?		
Post-question	Take into account business travel, holiday trips and week-end trips etc.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Weekly	34	0.7%
2	Monthly	345	7.4%
3	At least once every half year	551	11.8%
4	At least once a year	161	3.4%
5	Less often	47	1.0%
6	Never	15	0.3%
7	Don't know	7	0.1%
97	No answer	24	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri5b: Has been travelling continuously at least 3 weeks during the last year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Have you been travelling continuously for at least 3 weeks during the last year?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and RANGE (R7FRI5,1,5).]		
Notes	Har du oppholdt deg på reise i minst 3 uker sammenhengende i løpet av det siste året?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	318	6.8%
2	No	817	17.4%
3	Don't know	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3551	75.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri5c_1: Length of longest lasting travel last year			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How long was the period of your longest lasting travel during the last year?		
Post-question	Note number of weeks:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI5b=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4371	99.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri6: Time spent on hobbies and recreational activities a normal week			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		

# r7fri6: Time spent on hobbies and recreational activities a normal week			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How much time do you spend on hobbies and recreational activities during a normal week?		
Post-question	For example participation in sporting activities, band, choir, outdoor activities, organisational meetings and gatherings. Take into account both organised and unorganised activities.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than 5 hours	302	6.4%
2	2-5 hours	385	8.2%
3	1-2 hours	195	4.2%
4	Less than 1 hour	97	2.1%
5	Do not participate in any hobby or recreational activities	150	3.2%
6	Don't know	32	0.7%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7_1: Assists: Relatives not living with you, neighbours/friends/colleagues			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Relatives not living with you, neighbours, friends or colleagues (for example giving lifts, shopping, gardening, tidying and cleaning, supervision and attention, care or any other type of assistance).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Slektninger du ikke bor sammen med, naboer, venner eller kolleger (f.eks. hjelp til skyss, matinnkjøp, hagearbeid, ryddi		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		627	13.4%
1	Relatives not living with you, neighbours, friends or colleagues (for example giving lifts, shopping, gardening, tidying and cleaning, supervision and attention, care or any other type of assistance).	535	11.4%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7_2: Assists: Someone in your home with special care requirements			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Someone in your household that have special care requirements (for example assistance due to age, illness or disabilities).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Noen i din egen husholdning som har spesielle omsorgsbehov (f.eks. hjelp til eldre, syke og funksjonshemmede). (Gir du re		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1013	21.6%

# r7fri7_2: Assists: Someone in your home with special care requirements			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Someone in your household that have special care requirements (for example assistance due to age, illness or disabilities).	149	3.2%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7_3: Assists: No			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		660	14.1%
1	No	502	10.7%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7_4: Assists: Don't know			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Don't know		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1120	23.9%
1	Don't know	42	0.9%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_1: Provides type of assistance: Visits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Visits, contact		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		222	4.7%

# r7fri7b_1: Provides type of assistance: Visits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Visits, contact	389	8.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_2: Provides type of assistance: Supervision			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Supervision or attention (for example, looking after children, regular telephone calls, other types of attention)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Notes	Pass eller tilsyn (f.eks. barnepass, regelmessig telefonkontakt, annet pass) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		329	7.0%
1	Supervision or attention (for example, looking after children, regular telephone calls, other types of attention)	282	6.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_3: Provides type of assistance: Personal care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Personal care (for example hygiene, assistance with medicines, mobility)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Notes	Personlig omsorg (f.eks. hygiene, hjelp til medisiner, forflytning) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		539	11.5%
1	Personal care (for example hygiene, assistance with medicines, mobility)	72	1.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_4: Provides type of assistance: Housework			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Housework (for example, washing, cooking, shopping for food)		

# r7fri7b_4: Provides type of assistance: Housework			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		449	9.6%
1	Housework (for example, washing, cooking, shopping for food)	162	3.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_5: Provides type of assistance: Administrative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Administrative tasks (for example contact authorities, health care or banking)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Notes	Administrative oppgaver (f.eks. kontakt med myndigheter, helsehjelp eller bank) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		447	9.5%
1	Administrative tasks (for example contact authorities, health care or banking)	164	3.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_6: Provides type of assistance: Transport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Transport (for example giving lifts in your car, collect/deliver to kindergarten/to school)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Notes	Transport (f.eks. skyss med bil, hente/levere i barnehage/på skole) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		315	6.7%
1	Transport (for example giving lifts in your car, collect/deliver to kindergarten/to school)	296	6.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_7: Provides type of assistance: Gardening and the like			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide?		

# r7fri7b_7: Provides type of assistance: Gardening and the like			
	More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gardening, repair work in the home and the like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		375	8.0%
1	Gardening, repair work in the home and the like.	236	5.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_8: Provides type of assistance: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		497	10.6%
1	Other	114	2.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7b_9: Provides type of assistance: Don't know			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Don't know		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		609	13.0%
1	Don't know	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri7c: Total time spent assisting during a normal week			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How much time in total do you spend doing this during a normal week?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=2.]		

r7fri7c: Total time spent assisting during a normal week

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than 5 hours	88	1.9%
2	2-5 hours	181	3.9%
3	1-2 hours	200	4.3%
4	Less than 1 hour	104	2.2%
5	Don't know	37	0.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7d: Who do you assist the most?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Who do you assist the most?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spouse, partner, or the like	80	1.7%
2	Children/grand children	210	4.5%
3	Parents/in laws	160	3.4%
4	Siblings	41	0.9%
5	Grand parents	13	0.3%
6	Other relative or close relation	33	0.7%
7	Other relationship (for example neighbour or friend)	74	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_1: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Satisfactorily

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Satisfactorily
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Tilfredsstillende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	148	3.2%
2	Well	523	11.2%
3	Somewhat well	212	4.5%
4	Neither well nor badly	165	3.5%
5	Somewhat badly	13	0.3%
6	Badly	4	0.1%
7	Very badly	1	0.0%
97	No answer	118	2.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

# r7fr31_1: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Satisfactorily			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_2: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Rewarding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Rewarding		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Givende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passe		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	201	4.3%
2	Well	539	11.5%
3	Somewhat well	191	4.1%
4	Neither well nor badly	128	2.7%
5	Somewhat badly	9	0.2%
6	Badly	5	0.1%
7	Very badly	1	0.0%
97	No answer	110	2.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_3: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Exciting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Exciting		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Spennende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene pas		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	75	1.6%
2	Well	319	6.8%
3	Somewhat well	275	5.9%
4	Neither well nor badly	300	6.4%
5	Somewhat badly	56	1.2%
6	Badly	20	0.4%
7	Very badly	6	0.1%
97	No answer	133	2.8%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_4: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Important			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r7fr31_4: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Important			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Important		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Viktig (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passer		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	356	7.6%
2	Well	489	10.4%
3	Somewhat well	139	3.0%
4	Neither well nor badly	91	1.9%
5	Somewhat badly	5	0.1%
6	Badly	3	0.1%
7	Very badly	2	0.0%
97	No answer	99	2.1%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_5: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Fun			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Fun		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Morsomt (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passe		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	72	1.5%
2	Well	320	6.8%
3	Somewhat well	317	6.8%
4	Neither well nor badly	296	6.3%
5	Somewhat badly	35	0.7%
6	Badly	16	0.3%
7	Very badly	6	0.1%
97	No answer	122	2.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_6: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Challenging			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Challenging		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Utfordrende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene p		

# r7fr31_6: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Challenging			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	101	2.2%
2	Well	365	7.8%
3	Somewhat well	292	6.2%
4	Neither well nor badly	239	5.1%
5	Somewhat badly	34	0.7%
6	Badly	19	0.4%
7	Very badly	9	0.2%
97	No answer	125	2.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_7: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Disappointing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Disappointing		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Skuffende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene pas		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	7	0.1%
2	Well	31	0.7%
3	Somewhat well	60	1.3%
4	Neither well nor badly	340	7.3%
5	Somewhat badly	197	4.2%
6	Badly	256	5.5%
7	Very badly	134	2.9%
97	No answer	159	3.4%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_8: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Emotionally exhausting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Emotionally exhausting		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Følelsesmessig utmattende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	17	0.4%
2	Well	92	2.0%
3	Somewhat well	157	3.3%
4	Neither well nor badly	357	7.6%

# r7fr31_8: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Emotionally exhausting			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat badly	144	3.1%
6	Badly	176	3.8%
7	Very badly	93	2.0%
97	No answer	148	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_9: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Physically tiring			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Physically tiring		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Fysisk slitsomt (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelse)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	13	0.3%
2	Well	93	2.0%
3	Somewhat well	193	4.1%
4	Neither well nor badly	378	8.1%
5	Somewhat badly	133	2.8%
6	Badly	150	3.2%
7	Very badly	76	1.6%
97	No answer	148	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_10: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Frustrating			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Frustrating		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Frustrerende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	7	0.1%
2	Well	52	1.1%
3	Somewhat well	146	3.1%
4	Neither well nor badly	359	7.7%
5	Somewhat badly	150	3.2%
6	Badly	193	4.1%
7	Very badly	113	2.4%
97	No answer	164	3.5%

# r7fr31_10: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Frustrating			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_11: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Depressing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Depressing		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Deprimerende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	5	0.1%
2	Well	24	0.5%
3	Somewhat well	71	1.5%
4	Neither well nor badly	321	6.8%
5	Somewhat badly	148	3.2%
6	Badly	244	5.2%
7	Very badly	208	4.4%
97	No answer	163	3.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fr31_12: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Time consuming			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Time consuming		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Tidkrevende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene p		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	48	1.0%
2	Well	192	4.1%
3	Somewhat well	271	5.8%
4	Neither well nor badly	329	7.0%
5	Somewhat badly	79	1.7%
6	Badly	75	1.6%
7	Very badly	39	0.8%
97	No answer	151	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7fr31_13: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Easy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?		
Literal question	Easy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Lett (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passer?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	30	0.6%
2	Well	164	3.5%
3	Somewhat well	196	4.2%
4	Neither well nor badly	454	9.7%
5	Somewhat badly	105	2.2%
6	Badly	57	1.2%
7	Very badly	26	0.6%
97	No answer	152	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri14: Have you participated in any protests the past year to improve society?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Have you participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year in an attempt to bring about improvements in society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		
Notes	Har du det siste året deltatt i protestaksjoner eller demonstrasjoner i et forsøk på å få til forbedringer i samfunn		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	116	2.5%
2	No	1036	22.1%
97	No answer	32	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri15: Who organised the most recent protest you participated in?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	If you cast your mind back to the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in, who was it organised by?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI14=1.]		
Notes	Om du tenker tilbake på den siste aksjonen eller demonstrasjonen du deltok i, hvem var det som arrangerte denne?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A voluntary organisation, union or party	76	1.6%
2	Individuals or a non-organised group or network	39	0.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4573	97.5%

# r7fri15: Who organised the most recent protest you participated in?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri16: What type of organisation/union/party was it?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What type of organisation, union or party was it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI15=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Art and culture, band and choir	7	0.1%
2	Athletics and sport	1	0.0%
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	0	
4	Education, training and research	1	0.0%
5	Health, nursing and rescue work	2	0.0%
6	Social services and substance abuse care	2	0.0%
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection	19	0.4%
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	2	0.0%
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	0	
10	Rights, support and advocacy work	6	0.1%
11	Political parties	12	0.3%
12	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	9	0.2%
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	7	0.1%
14	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	1	0.0%
15	Immigrant organisations	3	0.1%
16	Other, specify	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4613	98.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7fri16_16_other: What type of organisation/union/party was it? Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What type of organisation, union or party was it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI15=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7fri17: How did you first hear about this protest?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How did you first hear about this protest?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI14=1.]		

r7fri17: How did you first hear about this protest?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Through an organisation, union or party of which I am a member	25	0.5%
2	Through colleagues at work or fellow students	3	0.1%
3	Through social networks, friends and acquaintances	54	1.2%
4	Through the media	28	0.6%
5	In a different way	5	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4573	97.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri18: The way you first heard about this protest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In what way did you first hear about this protest?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI14=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Talking face to face	15	0.3%
2	Telephone conversation	3	0.1%
3	SMS	3	0.1%
4	Posters/notices	1	0.0%
5	Newspapers/magazines	6	0.1%
6	Online newspaper	4	0.1%
7	E-mail	13	0.3%
8	Facebook	59	1.3%
9	Other social media	3	0.1%
10	TV/radio	3	0.1%
11	Other	4	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4573	97.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km2: Concern about climate change

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	149	3.2%
2	Concerned	418	8.9%
3	Somewhat concerned	382	8.1%
4	Slightly concerned	190	4.1%
5	Not concerned at all	44	0.9%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

# r7km2: Concern about climate change			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km3_2: Positive/negative: Tighten up regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Tighten up the regulations governing the permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Innskjerpe reglene for hvor mye CO2 industrien i Norge og Europa får slippe ut. (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til f		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	232	4.9%
2	Positive	464	9.9%
3	Somewhat positive	258	5.5%
4	Neither positive nor negative	150	3.2%
5	Somewhat negative	31	0.7%
6	Negative	24	0.5%
7	Very negative	12	0.3%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km3_3: Positive/negative: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed/underground			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Satse sterkere på fangst og lagring av CO2 under havbunnen eller jordoverflaten. (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til f		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	98	2.1%
2	Positive	256	5.5%
3	Somewhat positive	252	5.4%
4	Neither positive nor negative	371	7.9%
5	Somewhat negative	106	2.3%
6	Negative	53	1.1%
7	Very negative	29	0.6%
97	No answer	34	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7km3_4: Positive/negative: Increased funding for renewable energy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Øke støtten til forskning på og utbygging av fornybar energi. (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til følgende tiltak:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	582	12.4%
2	Positive	407	8.7%
3	Somewhat positive	110	2.3%
4	Neither positive nor negative	62	1.3%
5	Somewhat negative	8	0.2%
6	Negative	5	0.1%
7	Very negative	2	0.0%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km3_5: Positive/negative: Tighten up fiscal regulations to oil exploration on Norwegian Continental Shelf			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:		
Literal question	Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Skjerpe skattereglene for oljeleting på norsk sokkel (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til følgende tiltak:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	145	3.1%
2	Positive	205	4.4%
3	Somewhat positive	203	4.3%
4	Neither positive nor negative	388	8.3%
5	Somewhat negative	96	2.0%
6	Negative	94	2.0%
7	Very negative	36	0.8%
97	No answer	32	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km4: Discussion about cars, alternative closest to your view			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	A proposal that all new personal cars from 2025 should be powered by electricity or hydrogen is currently being debated in the Storting. If the proposal is adopted, it will not be possible to purchase new petrol or diesel cars after 2025.		
Literal question	Which of the following alternatives is closest to your view?		

# r7km4: Discussion about cars, alternative closest to your view			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Partiene på Stortinget diskuterer nå et forslag om at alle nye personbiler fra 2025 skal være elektriske eller bruke h		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In 2025 all new personal cars being sold should be powered by electricity or hydrogen.	468	10.0%
2	In 2025 it should still be possible to purchase new personal cars powered by diesel or petrol.	702	15.0%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km4_comment: Further comments [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7km5_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Teknologiske nyvinninger kommer til å løse klimaproblemene. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. I hvor stor grad er du enig ell		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	46	1.0%
2	Agree	209	4.5%
3	Somewhat agree	429	9.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	222	4.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	150	3.2%
6	Disagree	88	1.9%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.6%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km5_2: Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Innbyggere i rike land som Norge bør redusere forbruket sitt som en del av løsningen på klimautfordringen. (Se på ut		

r7km5_2: Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	220	4.7%
2	Agree	343	7.3%
3	Somewhat agree	339	7.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	109	2.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	80	1.7%
6	Disagree	51	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	34	0.7%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7km6: To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change, statement closest to your viewpoint			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Let us assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it. To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change?		
Literal question	Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	La oss forutsette at klimaendringer kan bli et stort problem for verden i fremtiden dersom ingenting gjøres med dem. I h		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is impossible to prevent harmful climate change.	106	2.3%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to prevent harmful climate change.	812	17.3%
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to prevent harmful climate change.	245	5.2%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	5	0.1%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km7_1: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Housing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4022 /-] [Invalid=12989 /-]		
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:		
Literal question	Housing		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]		
Notes	Boliger (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødvendige form		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		151	3.8%
2		194	4.8%
3		187	4.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	86.8%
Sysmiss		12989	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km7_2: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Roads			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3838 /-] [Invalid=13173 /-]		
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:		
Literal question	Roads		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]		
Notes	Vei (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødvendige formål.		

r7km7_2: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Roads

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		71	1.8%
2		111	2.9%
3		166	4.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	90.9%
Sysmiss		13173	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_3: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Food production

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4253 /-] [Invalid=12758 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Food production
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Matproduksjon (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødvendige

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		541	12.7%
2		125	2.9%
3		97	2.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	82.1%
Sysmiss		12758	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_4: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Railway/ Underground/Tramway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4031 /-] [Invalid=12980 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Railway/Underground/Tramway etc.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Jernbane/T-bane/bybane osv. (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til and

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		150	3.7%
2		226	5.6%
3		165	4.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	86.6%
Sysmiss		12980	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_5: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Commercial activity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3919 /-] [Invalid=13092 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Commercial activity
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Næringsvirksomhet (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødve

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		89	2.3%
2		173	4.4%
3		167	4.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	89.1%
Sysmiss		13092	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_6: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Public buildings

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4103 /-] [Invalid=12908 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Public buildings: School, sports etc.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Offentlige bygg: Skole, idrett osv (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		123	3.0%
2		240	5.8%
3		250	6.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	85.1%
Sysmiss		12908	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km8: Would install solar panels if authorities cover half the cost

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Would you install solar panels/solar collectors if you could receive a grant from the authorities to cover half the cost?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Ville du installert solcellepanel/solfangere dersom du fikk offentlig støtte til å dekke halvparten av kostnadene?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	645	13.8%
2	No	203	4.3%

# r7km8: Would install solar panels if authorities cover half the cost			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	I have already installed solar panels/solar collectors	10	0.2%
4	It is not possible for me to install solar panels/solar collectors in practice	304	6.5%
97	No answer	37	0.8%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km8_comment: Further comments [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7ran3: [Randomizes if u=2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1182 /-] [Invalid=15829 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	578	48.9%
2	2	604	51.1%
Sysmiss		15829	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pilotkm1_10_1_1: Balance between using nature and desire not to harm it			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Our politicians often face difficult decisions. This certainly applies to how to achieve a balance in terms of the needs of society to use nature and the desire not to harm nature.		
Literal question	Considering the current situation in Norway, how do you feel the balance is today?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much emphasis is placed on consideration to nature	16	0.3%
2	2	52	1.1%
3	3	130	2.8%
4	Good balance	452	9.6%
5	5	240	5.1%
6	6	173	3.7%
7	Too much emphasis is placed on consideration to society	67	1.4%
97	No answer	69	1.5%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7pilotkm1_10_2: How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran3=1.]		
Notes	Hvordan mener du at politikerne bør prioritere mellom naturhensyn og samfunnshensyn?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Consideration to nature should in general go before social considerations.	104	2.2%
2	Harming nature to a lesser degree can be acceptable, but only when absolutely necessary social considerations indicate this.	433	9.2%
3	Harming nature can be accepted, but only when absolutely necessary considerations for society indicate this.	35	0.7%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4111	87.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pilotkm1_10_2_flipped: How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran3=2.] [Compared to r7pilotkm1_10_2, scale flipped]		
Notes	Hvordan mener du at politikerne bør prioritere mellom naturhensyn og samfunnshensyn?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Harming nature can be accepted, but only when absolutely necessary considerations for society indicate this.	48	1.0%
2	Harming nature to a lesser degree can be acceptable, but only when absolutely necessary social considerations indicate this.	423	9.0%
3	Consideration to nature should in general go before social considerations.	123	2.6%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4085	87.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pilotkm1_comment: Further comments [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7ran4: [Randomizes if u=2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1182 /-] [Invalid=15829 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]		

r7ran4: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	262	22.2%
2	2	218	18.4%
3	3	229	19.4%
4	4	238	20.1%
5	5	235	19.9%
Sysmiss		15829	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_s1: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja opponents argue that an accident causing a major oil spillage will threaten the North Atlantic cod stocks that breed in this area, and that based on climate considerations and international obligations the speed of the extraction must be slowed down.
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=1 AND ran40=4.]
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer motstanderne for at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	21	0.4%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	33	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4633	98.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_s2: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja the proponents argue that the oil and gas extraction is important for workplaces, and that security surrounding the oil industry today is excellent and the chance of an accident causing a major spillages is minimal.
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=2 AND ran40=4.]
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer forkjemperne med at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	25	0.5%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	25	0.5%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4636	98.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pilotkm2_s3: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument, then proponent's argument			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja opponents argue that an accident causing a major oil spillage will threaten the North Atlantic cod stocks that breed in this area, and that based on climate considerations and international obligations the speed of the extraction must be slowed down. However, the the proponents argue that the oil and gas extraction is important for workplaces, and that security surrounding the oil industry today is excellent and the chance of an accident causing a major spillages is minimal.		
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=3 AND ran40=4.]		
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer motstanderne for at		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	26	0.6%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	27	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4633	98.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pilotkm2_s4: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument, then opponent's argument			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja the proponents argue that the oil and gas extraction is important for workplaces, and that security surrounding the oil industry today is excellent and the chance of an accident causing a major spillages is minimal. However, the opponents argue that an accident causing a major oil spillage will threaten the North Atlantic cod stocks that breed in this area, and that based on climate considerations and international obligations the speed of the extraction must be slowed down.		
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=4 AND ran40=4.]		
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer forkjemperne med at		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	23	0.5%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	31	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4633	98.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pilotkm2_s5: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.		

r7pilotkm2_s5: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=5 AND ran40=4.]		
Notes	Hva synes du, bør vi åpne opp for olje- og gassproduksjon i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja eller bør v		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	29	0.6%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	33	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4623	98.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_comment: Comments [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran40=4.]

r7km10_1: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Sinusitis

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Sinusitis		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Bihulebetennelse (For hvilke av de følgende sykdommer ville du ønsket antibiotika?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		657	14.0%
1	Sinusitis	524	11.2%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_2: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Bronchitis

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Bronchitis		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		787	16.8%
1	Bronchitis	394	8.4%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

# r7km10_2: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Bronchitis			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km10_3: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: The common cold			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	The common cold		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1166	24.9%
1	The common cold	15	0.3%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km10_4: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Streptococci			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Throat infection (streptococci)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Halsbetennelse (streptokokker) (For hvilke av de følgende sykdommer ville du ønsket antibiotika?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		449	9.6%
1	Throat infection (streptococci)	732	15.6%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km10_5: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Influenza			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Influenza		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1080	23.0%
1	Influenza	101	2.2%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7km10_6: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Ear infection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Ear infection		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		641	13.7%
1	Ear infection	540	11.5%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km10_comment: Comments [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7km12_1: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms last longer than expected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	That the symptoms last longer than I expect		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	At plagene varer lenger enn jeg forventer (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksj		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		557	11.9%
1	That the symptoms last longer than I expect	623	13.3%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7km12_2: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms more severe than expected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.		

r7km12_2: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms more severe than expected

Literal question	That the symptoms are much more severe than I expect
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At plagene er kraftigere enn jeg forventer (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		521	11.1%
1	That the symptoms are much more severe than I expect	659	14.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_3: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Need a doctor's certificate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That I need a doctor's certificate
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At jeg trenger sykemelding (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksjon. Hva er de v

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		940	20.0%
1	That I need a doctor's certificate	240	5.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_4: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Encouraged by others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That others (family, friends) encourage me to visit the doctor
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At andre (familie, venner) oppfordrer meg til å oppsøke lege (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1010	21.5%
1	That others (family, friends) encourage me to visit the doctor	170	3.6%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_5: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Others I know with similar symptoms were helped

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That others I know with similar symptoms were helped by the doctor
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At andre jeg kjenner med lignende plager fikk hjelp av legen (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1152	24.6%
1	That others I know with similar symptoms were helped by the doctor	28	0.6%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_6: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Other, note
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Annet, skriv: (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksjon. Hva er de viktigste års

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1129	24.1%
1	Other, note	51	1.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_6_other: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Other, note
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Annet, skriv: (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksjon. Hva er de viktigste års

# r7km12_comment: Comments [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7dvm1: Agree/disagree: A rejected adult asylum seeker should be allowed residence if the children are entitled to live in the country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Now we would like to know something about your views about society in general, and about the new diversity in Norway. Even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, the government may consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country.		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Notes	Vi ønsker nå å få vite noe om dine synspunkter på samfunnet generelt og det nye mangfoldet i Norge. Selv om en voks		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	182	3.9%
2	Agree	354	7.5%
3	Somewhat agree	262	5.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	98	2.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	104	2.2%
6	Disagree	84	1.8%
7	Strongly disagree	85	1.8%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran11: [Randomizes if u=2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=631 /-] [Invalid=16380 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2, R4DV29_1=97/98 and the respondent is recruited in wave 3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	226	35.8%
2	2	195	30.9%
3	3	210	33.3%
Sysmiss		16380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvm2a: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, Austrian Constitutional Court			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last three years the Constitutional Court (Austria) has ruled that a prohibition against begging was an illegal intervention on the basis of freedom of speech.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?		

# r7dvm2a: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, Austrian Constitutional Court			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran1=1.]		
Notes	I løpet av de siste tre årene har den østerrikske konstitusjonsdomstolen kommet til at et forbud mot tigging var et ul		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.9%
2	Agree	47	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	38	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	30	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	27	0.6%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4463	95.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvm2b: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, US Federal Court			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last three years the US Federal Court has ruled that a prohibition against begging was an illegal intervention on the basis of freedom of speech.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran1=2.]		
Notes	I løpet av de siste tre årene har den amerikanske føderale domstolen kommet til at et forbud mot tigging var et ulovli		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.9%
2	Agree	28	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	46	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	24	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	13	0.3%
6	Disagree	24	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4494	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvm2c: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran1=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	51	1.1%
2	Agree	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	39	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	22	0.5%

# r7dvm2c: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	17	0.4%
6	Disagree	21	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4479	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran12: [Randomizes if u=2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1179 /-] [Invalid=15832 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	130	11.0%
2	2	136	11.5%
3	3	129	10.9%
4	4	138	11.7%
5	5	132	11.2%
6	6	134	11.4%
7	7	126	10.7%
8	8	119	10.1%
9	9	135	11.5%
Sysmiss		15832	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv13a: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you know/respect			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 1 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you know and respect.		
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	80	1.7%
2	No	49	1.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4559	97.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv13b: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you don't agree with politically			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		

r7dv13b: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you don't agree with politically

Pre-question Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 1 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you usually don't agree with politically.

Literal question Would you have signed the petition?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 and ran12=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	98	2.1%
2	No	34	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4553	97.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13c: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you know/respect

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Pre-question Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 10 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you know and respect.

Literal question Would you have signed the petition?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 and ran12=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	80	1.7%
2	No	45	1.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4560	97.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13d: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you don't agree with politically

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Pre-question Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 10 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you usually don't agree with politically.

Literal question Would you have signed the petition?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2 and ran12=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	95	2.0%
2	No	41	0.9%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4551	97.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7dv13e: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you know/respect			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 30 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you know and respect.		
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=5.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	88	1.9%
2	No	42	0.9%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4557	97.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv13f: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you don't agree with politically			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 30 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you usually don't agree with politically.		
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	107	2.3%
2	No	26	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4555	97.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv13g: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 1 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition.		
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	71	1.5%
2	No	54	1.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4563	97.3%

# r7dv13g: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv13h: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 10 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition.		
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	70	1.5%
2	No	48	1.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4570	97.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7dv13i: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 30 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition.		
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=9.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	92	2.0%
2	No	40	0.9%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4554	97.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv13_2: Probability of such an action succeeding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How do you assess the probability of such an action succeeding?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	18	0.4%
2	Likely	91	1.9%
3	Somewhat likely	416	8.9%
4	Slightly likely	562	12.0%
5	Not likely at all	79	1.7%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv13_3: Has participated in a petition on the internet			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Have you ever participated in a petition on the internet?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, several times	327	7.0%
2	Yes, once	184	3.9%
3	No	658	14.0%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7dv13_3b: What was the last action you participated in about? [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What was the last action you participated in about?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and R7DV13_3=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7dv13_3c: What was the action you participated in about? [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What was the action you participated in about?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and R7DV13_3=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7ran6: [Randomizes if u=2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1178 /-] [Invalid=15833 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	187	15.9%
2	2	221	18.8%
3	3	199	16.9%
4	4	195	16.6%
5	5	185	15.7%
6	6	191	16.2%
Sysmiss		15833	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7mi5_1: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=1.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør skrives slik at de legger mer vekt på det		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	11	0.2%
2	Agree	38	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	47	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	34	0.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	16	0.3%
6	Disagree	25	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	13	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4502	96.0%

r7mi5_1: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_2: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, reflect the new cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=2.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør skrives slik at de reflekterer det nye kul

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	34	0.7%
2	Agree	65	1.4%
3	Somewhat agree	52	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	30	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	15	0.3%
6	Disagree	13	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	12	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4468	95.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_3: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=3.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør skrives slik at de legger mindre vekt på

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5	0.1%
2	Agree	11	0.2%
3	Somewhat agree	26	0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54	1.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	30	0.6%
6	Disagree	46	1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	24	0.5%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4490	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_4: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written in order to place more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=4.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør omskrives slik at de legger mer vekt på d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5	0.1%
2	Agree	41	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	53	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	36	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	17	0.4%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4494	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_5: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, reflect the new cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=5.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør omskrives slik at de reflekterer det nye k

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	17	0.4%
2	Agree	32	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	49	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	27	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	27	0.6%
6	Disagree	22	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	96.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_6: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

r7mi5_6: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity

Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=6.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør omskrives slik at de legger mindre vekt p

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	13	0.3%
3	Somewhat agree	25	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	75	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	18	0.4%
6	Disagree	37	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	20	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4498	95.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby1: How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Now we would like to know something about your views about society in general, and about the new diversity in Norway. Consider your local area.
Literal question	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Vi ønsker nå å få vite noe om dine synspunkter på samfunnet generelt og det nye mangfoldet i Norge. Tenk på ditt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very safe	829	17.7%
2	Safe	1133	24.2%
3	Somewhat safe	158	3.4%
4	Neither safe nor unsafe	54	1.2%
5	Somewhat unsafe	71	1.5%
6	Unsafe	18	0.4%
7	Very unsafe	4	0.1%
97	No answer	39	0.8%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby2: Has your local area become safer/more unsafe/no change last couple of years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In the last couple of years would you say your local area has become safer, more unsafe or has there been no change to safety?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Vil du si at nærmiljøet ditt i de siste par årene har blitt tryggere, mer utrygt eller har det ikke vært noen endring

# r7dvby2: Has your local area become safer/more unsafe/no change last couple of years			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Safer	98	2.1%
2	More unsafe	476	10.2%
3	No change to safety	1692	36.1%
97	No answer	40	0.9%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv5: Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Hvor stor fordel eller ulempe vil du si at det er for Norge at innvandrere kommer for å bosette seg her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	26	0.6%
2	Great advantage	175	3.7%
3	An advantage to some extent	419	8.9%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	189	4.0%
5	A disadvantage to some extent	241	5.1%
6	Great disadvantage	92	2.0%
7	Very great disadvantage	44	0.9%
97	No answer	17	0.4%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv6: How important is immigration policy to you?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	152	3.2%
2	Important	466	9.9%
3	Somewhat important	440	9.4%
4	Slightly important	113	2.4%
5	Not important at all	15	0.3%
97	No answer	17	0.4%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv7: Party with the best immigration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		

# r7dv7: Party with the best immigration policy			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	210	4.5%
2	The Progress Party	306	6.5%
3	The Conservative Party	158	3.4%
4	The Socialist Left Party	92	2.0%
5	The Centre Party	29	0.6%
6	The Christian Democrats	68	1.5%
7	The Liberal Party	59	1.3%
8	The Red Party	21	0.4%
9	The Green Party	6	0.1%
10	Other	14	0.3%
11	None	195	4.2%
97	No answer	45	1.0%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dv7_10_other: Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7ran7: [Randomizes if u=4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1192 /-] [Invalid=15819 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	196	16.4%
2	2	208	17.4%
3	3	199	16.7%
4	4	186	15.6%
5	5	198	16.6%
6	6	205	17.2%
Sysmiss		15819	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex1_1: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: You disagree with all standpoints			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party where you strongly disagree with all their standpoints wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.		

# r7dvex1_1: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: You disagree with all standpoints			
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=1.]		
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som du er dypt uenig med i alle saker ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	27	0.6%
2	No	167	3.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4493	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex1_2: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Promotes undemocratic values			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that promotes undemocratic values wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.		
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=2.]		
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som fremmer udemokratiske verdier ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til høst		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	94	2.0%
2	No	105	2.2%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4481	95.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex1_3: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect democratic ground rules			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that does not respect democratic ground rules wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.		
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=3.]		
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som ikke respekterer demokratiske spilleregler ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	95	2.0%
2	No	99	2.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4490	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex1_4: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect Norwegian legislation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		

# r7dvex1_4: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect Norwegian legislation			
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that does not respect Norwegian legislation wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.		
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=4.]		
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som ikke respekterer norsk lov ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til høsten		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	119	2.5%
2	No	65	1.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4503	96.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex1_5: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Used violence to achieve its goals			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that has used violence to achieve its goals wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.		
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=5.]		
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som har brukt vold for å oppnå sine mål ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	134	2.9%
2	No	61	1.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4491	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex1_6: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Responsible for a terrorist attack			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that is responsible for a terrorist attack wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.		
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=6.]		
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som er ansvarlig for et terrorangrep ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til h		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	135	2.9%
2	No	68	1.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4484	95.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7dvby5: How often do you spot persons you believe/know to be asylum seekers during a normal week			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you spot persons you believe or know to be asylum seekers where you go during a normal week?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]		
Notes	Hvor ofte ser du personer du antar eller vet er asylsøkere der du ferdes i løpet av en vanlig uke?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	181	3.9%
2	Once a week	436	9.3%
3	2-3 times a week	523	11.2%
4	4-6 times a week	289	6.2%
5	Daily	536	11.4%
6	Several times a day	278	5.9%
97	No answer	63	1.3%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby6: Amount of contact with asylum seekers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We are interested to know how much contact you have had with asylum seekers.		
Literal question	Would you say that you have had....		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]		
Notes	Vi er interessert i hvor mye kontakt du har hatt med asylsøkere. Vil du si at du har hatt...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	647	13.8%
2	Very little contact	785	16.7%
3	A little contact	454	9.7%
4	Some contact	200	4.3%
5	Quite a bit of contact	76	1.6%
6	A lot of contact	53	1.1%
7	Quite a lot of contact	27	0.6%
97	No answer	64	1.4%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby7: Contact with asylum seekers has been positive/negative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	In general, to what degree have you found the contact you have had to be negative or positive.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4, and if R7DVBY6>1.]		
Notes	Samlet sett, i hvilken grad har du opplevd den kontakten du har hatt som negativ eller positiv?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exclusively negative	12	0.3%

r7dvby7: Contact with asylum seekers has been positive/negative

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Mostly negative	52	1.1%
3	Somewhat more negative than positive	88	1.9%
4	A mixture of negative and positive	347	7.4%
5	Somewhat more positive than negative	237	5.1%
6	Mostly positive	622	13.3%
7	Exclusively positive	204	4.4%
97	No answer	453	9.7%
98	Not asked	2674	57.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8: Has asylum seekers' centre in local area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Recently more asylum seekers' centres have been both established and closed down in Norway.
Literal question	Do you have an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Det har den siste tiden blitt både opprettet og lagt ned flere asylmottak i Norge. Har du et asylmottak i ditt nærmiljø

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	698	14.9%
2	No	1164	24.8%
3	Don't know	391	8.3%
97	No answer	53	1.1%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8aja: When was the asylum seekers' centre established?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was established?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Recently (last half of 2016)	10	0.2%
2	Earlier this year (first half of 2016)	36	0.8%
3	In the Autumn last year (last half of 2015)	71	1.5%
4	In the Spring last year (first half of 2015)	35	0.7%
5	2 years ago (approximately 2014)	33	0.7%
6	3 years ago (approximately 2013)	10	0.2%
7	4 years ago (approximately 2012)	16	0.3%
8	5 years ago (approximately 2011)	19	0.4%
9	6 years or more ago (approximately 2010 or earlier)	77	1.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4380	93.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

# r7dvby8aja: When was the asylum seekers' centre established?			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby8bja: Distance from asylum seekers' centre to where you live			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Approximately what distance is it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Asylum seekers' centre is next door	15	0.3%
2	1 km	48	1.0%
3	2 km	46	1.0%
4	3 km	44	0.9%
5	4 km	30	0.6%
6	5 km	37	0.8%
7	More than 5 km	89	1.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4380	93.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby8anej: Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8>1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	133	2.8%
2	No	434	9.3%
3	Don't know	197	4.2%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3895	83.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby8aneija1: When was the asylum seekers' centre closed down?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was closed down?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8aNEI=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Recently (last half of 2016)	21	0.4%
2	Earlier this year (first half of 2016)	36	0.8%
3	In the Autumn last year (last half of 2015)	10	0.2%
4	In the Spring last year (first half of 2015)	6	0.1%
5	2 years ago (approximately 2014)	12	0.3%
6	3 years ago (approximately 2013)	7	0.1%

# r7dvby8aneija1: When was the asylum seekers' centre closed down?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	4 years ago (approximately 2012)	7	0.1%
8	5 years ago (approximately 2011)	5	0.1%
9	6 years or more ago (approximately 2010 or earlier)	26	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4556	97.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby8aneija2: Distance from closed down asylum seekers' centre to where you live			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Approximately what distance was it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8aNEI=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Asylum seekers' centre was next door	10	0.2%
2	1 km	26	0.6%
3	2 km	22	0.5%
4	3 km	20	0.4%
5	4 km	14	0.3%
6	5 km	13	0.3%
7	More than 5 km	27	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4556	97.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby8aja1: Opinion about having asylum seekers' centre in local area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4, and if R7DVBY8=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is OK	395	8.4%
2	It is not OK	140	3.0%
3	It doesn't matter	159	3.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	3991	85.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby8aneia1: Opinion about getting asylum seekers' centre in local area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4, and if R7DVBY8>1.]		

r7dvby8ane1: Opinion about getting asylum seekers' centre in local area

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is OK	563	12.0%
2	It is not OK	484	10.3%
3	It doesn't matter	494	10.5%
97	No answer	67	1.4%
98	Not asked	3081	65.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_1: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Said hello

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Hilst på noen av asylsøkerne. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ulike erfaringer m

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	400	8.5%
2	No	472	10.1%
3	Not relevant	171	3.6%
97	No answer	60	1.3%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_2: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Contact via the internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Had contact via the internet with asylum seekers (for example Facebook or Finn).
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Hatt kontakt på internett med asylsøkere (f.eks. på Facebook eller Finn). (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	52	1.1%
2	No	792	16.9%
3	Not relevant	178	3.8%
97	No answer	81	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_3: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become friends with some

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r7dvby9_3: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become friends with some			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:		
Literal question	Become friends with some of the asylum seekers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]		
Notes	Blitt venn med noen av asylsøkerne. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ulike erfarin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	80	1.7%
2	No	789	16.8%
3	Not relevant	158	3.4%
97	No answer	76	1.6%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby9_4: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Recieved assistance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:		
Literal question	Received assistance from one or more asylum seekers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]		
Notes	Fått hjelp av en eller flere asylsøkere. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ulike e		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	43	0.9%
2	No	815	17.4%
3	Not relevant	163	3.5%
97	No answer	82	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby9_5: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become member of support group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:		
Literal question	Become a member of a support group for asylum seekers (for example on the net).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]		
Notes	Blitt med i støttegruppe for asylsøkere (f.eks. på nett). (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	55	1.2%
2	No	833	17.8%

r7dvby9_5: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become member of support group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not relevant	136	2.9%
97	No answer	79	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_6: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Expressed scepticism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Expressed scepticism to asylum seekers to others.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Uttrykt skepsis overfor asylsøkerne til andre. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ul

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	200	4.3%
2	No	711	15.2%
3	Not relevant	114	2.4%
97	No answer	78	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_7: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Avoided as far as possible

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Avoided the asylum seekers as far as possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Unngått asylsøkerne så langt det lar seg gjøre. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort se

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	70	1.5%
2	No	770	16.4%
3	Not relevant	184	3.9%
97	No answer	79	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_comment: Other experiences [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	I have other experiences.

r7dvby9_comment: Other experiences [anonymized]

Literal question	Please write:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r7dvby10_1: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Admiration
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Beundring (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	28	0.6%
2	To a great extent	146	3.1%
3	Somewhat	388	8.3%
4	Slightly	295	6.3%
5	Not at all	151	3.2%
97	No answer	95	2.0%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby10_2: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Sympathy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Sympathy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Medfølelse (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	164	3.5%
2	To a great extent	457	9.7%
3	Somewhat	337	7.2%
4	Slightly	62	1.3%
5	Not at all	35	0.7%
97	No answer	48	1.0%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby10_3: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Anxiety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

# r7dvby10_3: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Anxiety			
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Anxiety		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]		
Notes	Angst (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelser om		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	8	0.2%
2	To a great extent	35	0.7%
3	Somewhat	146	3.1%
4	Slightly	448	9.6%
5	Not at all	379	8.1%
97	No answer	87	1.9%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby10_4: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Fear			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]		
Notes	Frykt (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelser om		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	20	0.4%
2	To a great extent	32	0.7%
3	Somewhat	157	3.3%
4	Slightly	433	9.2%
5	Not at all	379	8.1%
97	No answer	82	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby11_1: To what extent have you imagined what it is like arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent have you thought about or imagined what it is like to arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]		
Notes	R7DVBY11_1 (I hvilken grad har du tenkt på og forestilt deg hvordan det føles å komme til Norge som asylsøker?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	188	4.0%
2	To a great extent	539	11.5%
3	Somewhat	1044	22.3%

r7dvby11_1: To what extent have you imagined what it is like arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Slightly	345	7.4%
5	Not at all	130	2.8%
97	No answer	60	1.3%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7padpilot1: For/against: EU-membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There are now a couple of questions regarding EU membership for Norway.
Literal question	Firstly: Are you for or against?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I am in favour of EU membership for Norway	249	5.3%
2	I am against EU membership for Norway	651	13.9%
3	Don't know	175	3.7%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-144] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1082 /-] [Invalid=15929 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. A vignette is randomly selected from a population of 145 vignettes. The vignette is used in R7PADPILOT2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	12	1.1%
2	2	4	0.4%
3	3	7	0.6%
4	4	4	0.4%
5	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.	5	0.5%
6	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.	8	0.7%
7	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.	7	0.6%
8	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.	8	0.7%
9	9	3	0.3%
10	10	9	0.8%
11	11	12	1.1%
12	12	10	0.9%
13	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.	4	0.4%
14	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.	6	0.6%
15	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.	11	1.0%
16	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.	12	1.1%
17	17	6	0.6%
18	18	7	0.6%
19	19	9	0.8%
20	20	4	0.4%
21	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.	9	0.8%
22	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.	13	1.2%
23	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.	5	0.5%
24	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.	10	0.9%
25	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
26	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
27	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
28	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
29	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	10	0.9%
30	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	4	0.4%
31	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	8	0.7%
32	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	11	1.0%
33	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
34	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
35	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
36	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
37	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	8	0.7%

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	5	0.5%
39	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	6	0.6%
40	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	5	0.5%
41	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	12	1.1%
42	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
43	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
44	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
45	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	12	1.1%
46	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	8	0.7%
47	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	9	0.8%
48	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	9	0.8%
49	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
50	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
51	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	11	1.0%
52	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
53	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
54	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
55	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
56	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	9	0.8%
57	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
58	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
59	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
60	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	1	0.1%
61	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
62	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
63	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
64	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
65	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
66	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	15	1.4%
67	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	2	0.2%
68	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	10	0.9%
69	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	3	0.3%
70	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
71	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
72	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
73	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	14	1.3%
74	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
75	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
76	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	3	0.3%
77	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	7	0.6%

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
79	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
80	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
81	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
82	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
83	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
84	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
85	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
86	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	13	1.2%
87	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
88	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
89	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
90	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
91	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
92	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
93	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
94	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
95	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
96	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
97	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
98	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
99	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
100	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	12	1.1%
101	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
102	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
103	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
104	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	9	0.8%
105	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	11	1.0%
106	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	2	0.2%
107	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
108	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
109	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
110	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
111	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	12	1.1%
112	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
113	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
114	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
115	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	14	1.3%

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
116	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
117	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
118	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
119	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	11	1.0%
120	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
121	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
122	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
123	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
124	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
125	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
126	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
127	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
128	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
129	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
130	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
131	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
132	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
133	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
134	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
135	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
136	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
137	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
138	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
139	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
140	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
141	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
142	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
143	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
144	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
Sysmiss		15929	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7padpilot2: Should the government act according to result of advisory referendum even if majority in Storting disagree?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government needs to make important choices on behalf of the people. Imagine a new debate regarding EU membership for Norway and that an advisory referendum was held regarding the issue.

r7padpilot2: Should the government act according to result of advisory referendum even if majority in Storting disagree?

	^f('R7PADPILOT2_ran')^
Literal question	In such a case the government must act according to the result of the referendum even if the majority in the Storting disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Experiment using vignettes. The question wording varies in accordance with the vignette that is randomly selected in R7PADPILOT2_ran.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	817	17.4%
2	No	248	5.3%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Tigging bør være forbudt i Norge. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. Hvor enig eller uenig er du i dem?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	288	6.1%
2	Agree	256	5.5%
3	Somewhat agree	209	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	147	3.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	99	2.1%
6	Disagree	128	2.7%
7	Strongly disagree	51	1.1%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv29_2: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Norske muslimer er mer lojale overfor andre muslimer i verden enn overfor folk i dette landet. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	125	2.7%
2	Agree	191	4.1%

r7dv29_2: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat agree	238	5.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	345	7.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	119	2.5%
6	Disagree	132	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.5%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv29_3: Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Norge kommer til å miste sin identitet hvis flere muslimer kommer for å bo her. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. Hvor enig e

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	138	2.9%
2	Agree	135	2.9%
3	Somewhat agree	233	5.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	157	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	129	2.8%
6	Disagree	268	5.7%
7	Strongly disagree	112	2.4%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv39: How often do you think/read/talk about something related to 22. July?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	It's now been more than five years since the attacks on the government quarter (Regjeringskvartalet) and Utøya of 22 July 2011.
Literal question	As for you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Nå har det gått mer enn fem år siden angrepene på regjeringskvartalet og Utøya 22. juli 2011. Når det gjelder deg p

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	4	0.1%
2	Weekly	63	1.3%
3	A couple of times a month	261	5.6%
4	Less often, but it happens	732	15.6%

# r7dv39: How often do you think/read/talk about something related to 22. July?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Never	113	2.4%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby3_1: Extent of fear of new terrorist attack in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]		
Notes	R7DVBY3_1 (I hvor stor grad er du redd for at vi skal oppleve et nytt terrorangrep i Norge?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	94	2.0%
2	To a great extent	326	7.0%
3	Somewhat	948	20.2%
4	Slightly	769	16.4%
5	Not at all	117	2.5%
97	No answer	52	1.1%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvby4: Danger of terrorist attack become greater/less last couple of years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	In your experience, has the danger of a terrorist attack become greater or less during the last couple of years, or has there been no change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]		
Notes	Slik du opplever det, har terrorfaren i Norge blitt større eller mindre de siste par årene, eller har det ikke vært no		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Greater	1195	25.5%
2	Less	90	1.9%
3	No change to the danger of terror	961	20.5%
97	No answer	60	1.3%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad9_1: Statement: Can in general rely on the Supreme Court taking the right decisions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	We can in general rely on the Supreme Court of Norway taking the right decisions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]		

# r7pad9_1: Statement: Can in general rely on the Supreme Court taking the right decisions			
Notes		Vi kan som regel stole på at Norges Høyesterett tar riktige avgjørelser. (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad er du enig ell	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	187	4.0%
2	Agree	609	13.0%
3	Somewhat agree	147	3.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	76	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	38	0.8%
6	Disagree	13	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.0%
97	No answer	32	0.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad9_2: Statement: Power of the Supreme Court in controversial cases must be reduced			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	
Literal question		The power of the Supreme Court of Norway to decide some types of controversial cases must be reduced.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3.]	
Notes		Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør reduseres. (Generelt sett, i hvilken gra	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	19	0.4%
2	Agree	87	1.9%
3	Somewhat agree	138	2.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	351	7.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	104	2.2%
6	Disagree	284	6.1%
7	Strongly disagree	77	1.6%
97	No answer	43	0.9%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad9_3: Statement: Supreme Court favours some groups			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	
Literal question		The decisions from the Supreme Court of Norway favour some groups more than others.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3.]	
Notes		Avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre. (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad er du eni	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	14	0.3%
2	Agree	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	142	3.0%

r7pad9_3: Statement: Supreme Court favours some groups

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	450	9.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	79	1.7%
6	Disagree	259	5.5%
7	Strongly disagree	79	1.7%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad9_4: Statement: Abolish Supreme Court if it makes too many decisions most people disagree with

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	If the Supreme Court of Norway starts to make too many decisions most people disagree with, it might be an advantage to abolish the court altogether.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, kan det være like greit å avsk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	18	0.4%
2	Agree	39	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	112	2.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	213	4.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	141	3.0%
6	Disagree	328	7.0%
7	Strongly disagree	214	4.6%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran31: [Randomizes if u=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=15931 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	177	16.4%
2	2	173	16.0%
3	3	184	17.0%
4	4	187	17.3%
5	5	214	19.8%
6	6	145	13.4%
Sysmiss		15931	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11a: Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided these children have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=1.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	18	0.4%
2	Acceptable	64	1.4%
3	Somewhat acceptable	38	0.8%
4	Not very acceptable	41	0.9%
5	Not acceptable at all	14	0.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4512	96.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11b: Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided these children do not have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=2.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	20	0.4%
2	Acceptable	51	1.1%
3	Somewhat acceptable	39	0.8%
4	Not very acceptable	52	1.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	11	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4516	96.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11c: Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that these children have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?

r7pad11c: Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3 and ran31=3.]

Notes Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enste

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	33	0.7%
2	Acceptable	68	1.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	27	0.6%
4	Not very acceptable	36	0.8%
5	Not acceptable at all	15	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4505	96.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11d: Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Pre-question There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that these children do not have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.

Literal question In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3 and ran31=4.]

Notes Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enste

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	22	0.5%
2	Acceptable	57	1.2%
3	Somewhat acceptable	36	0.8%
4	Not very acceptable	50	1.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	19	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4502	96.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11e: Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Pre-question There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that these children have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.

Literal question In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=3 and ran31=5.]

Notes Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et kna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	25	0.5%
2	Acceptable	98	2.1%

r7pad11e: Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat acceptable	42	0.9%
4	Not very acceptable	27	0.6%
5	Not acceptable at all	17	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4475	95.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11f: Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that these children do not have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=6.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et kna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	17	0.4%
2	Acceptable	49	1.0%
3	Somewhat acceptable	34	0.7%
4	Not very acceptable	36	0.8%
5	Not acceptable at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4544	96.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran32: [Randomizes if u=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=15931 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	201	18.6%
2	2	164	15.2%
3	3	181	16.8%
4	4	186	17.2%
5	5	171	15.8%
6	6	177	16.4%
Sysmiss		15931	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12a: Acceptable: Decision, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

# r7pad12a: Acceptable: Decision, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company			
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that employers should be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.		
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=1.]		
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at arb		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	10	0.2%
2	Acceptable	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	33	0.7%
4	Not very acceptable	58	1.2%
5	Not acceptable at all	53	1.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4488	95.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad12b: Acceptable: Decision, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that employers are not to be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.		
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=2.]		
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at arb		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	51	1.1%
2	Acceptable	67	1.4%
3	Somewhat acceptable	13	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	17	0.4%
5	Not acceptable at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4525	96.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad12c: Acceptable: Unanimous, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that employers should be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.		
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=3.]		
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig a		

r7pad12c: Acceptable: Unanimous, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	6	0.1%
2	Acceptable	40	0.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	23	0.5%
4	Not very acceptable	58	1.2%
5	Not acceptable at all	47	1.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4508	96.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12d: Acceptable: Unanimous, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that employers should not be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=4.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig a

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	66	1.4%
2	Acceptable	70	1.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	15	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	19	0.4%
5	Not acceptable at all	12	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4503	96.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12e: Acceptable: Bare majority, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that employers should be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=5.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg Høyesterett ved et knapt flerta

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	7	0.1%
2	Acceptable	40	0.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	33	0.7%
4	Not very acceptable	42	0.9%
5	Not acceptable at all	45	1.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%

# r7pad12e: Acceptable: Bare majority, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4518	96.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad12f: Acceptable: Bare majority, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that employers should not be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.		
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=6.]		
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et knapt fle		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	57	1.2%
2	Acceptable	72	1.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	15	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	14	0.3%
5	Not acceptable at all	12	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4512	96.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran33: [Randomizes if u=3.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=15931 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	189	17.5%
2	2	177	16.4%
3	3	156	14.4%
4	4	163	15.1%
5	5	194	18.0%
6	6	201	18.6%
Sysmiss		15931	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad13a: Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.		
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=1.]		

# r7pad13a: Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation			
Notes		Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at personer som er involv	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	4	0.1%
2	Acceptable	16	0.3%
3	Somewhat acceptable	19	0.4%
4	Not very acceptable	80	1.7%
5	Not acceptable at all	64	1.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4500	96.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad13b: Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are not to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.	
Literal question		In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran33=2.]	
Notes		Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at personer som er involv	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	69	1.5%
2	Acceptable	71	1.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	13	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	12	0.3%
5	Not acceptable at all	7	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4512	96.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad13c: Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.	
Literal question		In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=3 and ran33=3.]	
Notes		Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig avgjørelse beslutte	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	2	0.0%
2	Acceptable	16	0.3%
3	Somewhat acceptable	24	0.5%
4	Not very acceptable	65	1.4%

r7pad13c: Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not acceptable at all	44	0.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4533	96.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13d: Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are not to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=4.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig avgjørelse beslutte

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	76	1.6%
2	Acceptable	57	1.2%
3	Somewhat acceptable	14	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	6	0.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4526	96.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13e: Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=5.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et knapt flertall på tre mot t

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	3	0.1%
2	Acceptable	23	0.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	28	0.6%
4	Not very acceptable	82	1.7%
5	Not acceptable at all	51	1.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4495	95.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pad13f: Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are not to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.		
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=6.]		
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et knapt flertall på tre mot t		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	82	1.7%
2	Acceptable	76	1.6%
3	Somewhat acceptable	26	0.6%
4	Not very acceptable	7	0.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4488	95.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran17: [Randomizes if u=4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1184 /-] [Invalid=15827 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	235	19.8%
2	2	221	18.7%
3	3	245	20.7%
4	4	225	19.0%
5	5	258	21.8%
Sysmiss		15827	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad1a: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Britain			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In Britain it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=1.]		
Notes	I Storbritannia er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og dom		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.7%

r7pad1a: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Britain

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	46	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	67	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	20	0.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	22	0.5%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4454	95.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1b: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Denmark

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In Denmark it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=2.]
Notes	I Danmark er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstolsb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	0.8%
2	Agree	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	57	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	19	0.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	20	0.4%
6	Disagree	35	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4468	95.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1c: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Germany

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In Germany it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.

r7pad1c: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Germany

Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=3.]
Notes	I Tyskland er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstols

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	0.7%
2	Agree	47	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	66	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	29	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	27	0.6%
6	Disagree	25	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4444	94.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1d: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in the USA

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In the USA it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=4.]
Notes	I USA er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstolsbehan

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.7%
2	Agree	34	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	59	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	25	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	23	0.5%
6	Disagree	32	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4464	95.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1e: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

# r7pad1e: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists			
Pre-question	Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=5.]		
Notes	Se for deg at myndighetene i Norge ber om lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstolsbehand		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.9%
2	Agree	53	1.1%
3	Somewhat agree	68	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	29	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	18	0.4%
6	Disagree	31	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4431	94.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad2_1: Done the last year to change society: Boycotted goods			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Boycotted goods.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Boikottet varer. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgende i et forsøk på å endre forhold i		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		911	19.4%
1	Boycotted goods.	269	5.7%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad2_2: Done the last year to change society: Purchased goods based on ethical/moral reasons			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Purchased specific goods based on ethical, environmental or moral reasons.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Kjøpt bestemte varer av etiske, miljømessige eller moralske grunner. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort no		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		800	17.1%

r7pad2_2: Done the last year to change society: Purchased goods based on ethical/moral reasons

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Purchased specific goods based on ethical, environmental or moral reasons.	380	8.1%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_3: Done the last year to change society: Purchased Fairtrade products despite cheaper alternatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Purchased Fairtrade products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Kjøpt fairtrade-produkter selv om det fantes andre, billigere alternativer. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		815	17.4%
1	Purchased Fairtrade products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.	365	7.8%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_4: Done the last year to change society: Purchased organic products despite cheaper alternatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Chosen organic products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Valgt økologiske varer selv om det fantes andre, billigere alternativer. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		728	15.5%
1	Chosen organic products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.	452	9.6%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_5: Done the last year to change society: Reduced/cut the amount of meat in diet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Reduced or cut the amount of meat in your diet.

# r7pad2_5: Done the last year to change society: Reduced/cut the amount of meat in diet			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Redusert eller kuttet kjøttforbruket ditt. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgende i et for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		841	17.9%
1	Reduced or cut the amount of meat in your diet.	339	7.2%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad2_6: Done the last year to change society: Purchased fewer good than normally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Purchased fewer goods than you would as a norm.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Kjøpt færre varer enn du ellers ville. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgende i et forsø		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		831	17.7%
1	Purchased fewer goods than you would as a norm.	349	7.4%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad2_7: Done the last year to change society: Purchased reusable products instead of new ones			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Purchased reusable products instead of new ones.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Handlet gjenbruksprodukter heller enn å kjøpe nytt. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgend		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		832	17.7%
1	Purchased reusable products instead of new ones.	348	7.4%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad2_8: Done the last year to change society: Participated in protest against brand/shop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society.		

# r7pad2_8: Done the last year to change society: Participated in protest against brand/shop			
	More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Participated in any protest actions against specific brands or shops.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Deltatt i aksjoner i protest mot bestemte varemerker eller forretninger. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1160	24.7%
1	Participated in any protest actions against specific brands or shops.	20	0.4%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad2_9: Done the last year to change society: Invested in company with a social profile you support			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Made investments in companies that have a social profile that you support.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Investert i selskaper som har en sosial profil som du støtter. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av de		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1129	24.1%
1	Made investments in companies that have a social profile that you support.	51	1.1%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad3_1: Statement: Politicians unable to do anything about problems in society, everyone must do their bit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	As politicians are unable to do anything about the problems in society, everyone must do their bit.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Siden politikerne ikke er i stand til å gjøre noe med samfunnsproblemene, må hver enkelt gjøre sitt. (I hvilken grad		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.7%
2	Agree	193	4.1%
3	Somewhat agree	363	7.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	242	5.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	111	2.4%
6	Disagree	179	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	39	0.8%
97	No answer	43	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

r7pad3_1: Statement: Politicians unable to do anything about problems in society, everyone must do their bit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad3_2: Statement: Politicians' responsibility to ensure that our society is sustainable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	It is the politicians' responsibility, not individuals', to ensure that our society is sustainable.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Det er politikerne og ikke enkeltindivers ansvar at samfunnet vi lever i er bærekraftig. (I hvilken grad er du enig el

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.7%
2	Agree	124	2.6%
3	Somewhat agree	244	5.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	154	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	290	6.2%
6	Disagree	260	5.5%
7	Strongly disagree	58	1.2%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pad3_3: Statement: Conscious decisions in our daily life can alter social development			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	By making conscious decisions in our daily life, it is possible to alter social development.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Ved at hver enkelt tar bevisste valg i hverdagen, er det mulig å endre samfunnsutviklingen. (I hvilken grad er du enig e		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	120	2.6%
2	Agree	521	11.1%
3	Somewhat agree	366	7.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	93	2.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	39	0.8%
6	Disagree	14	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.2%
97	No answer	41	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad3_4: Statement: Naive to believe major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	It is naive to believe that major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Notes	Det er naivt å tro at store, globale samfunnsutfordringer kan løses gjennom enkeltindividers livsstilsvalg. (I hvilken		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	1.1%
2	Agree	175	3.7%
3	Somewhat agree	258	5.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	153	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	272	5.8%
6	Disagree	194	4.1%
7	Strongly disagree	50	1.1%
97	No answer	49	1.0%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran8: [Randomizes if u=4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1176 /-] [Invalid=15835 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]		

r7ran8: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	232	19.7%
2	2	241	20.5%
3	3	239	20.3%
4	4	240	20.4%
5	5	224	19.0%
Sysmiss		15835	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_1: Should party be banned: Abolish all production of oil

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing all the production of oil along the Norwegian coast.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=1.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe all oljeproduksjon ved norskekysten.SI

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	51	1.1%
2	No	176	3.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4457	95.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_2: Should party be banned: Abolish free and fair elections

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing free and fair elections.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=2.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe frie og rettferdige valg. Slik du ser

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	175	3.7%
2	No	61	1.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4448	94.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_3: Should party be banned: Abolish freedom of the press

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing the freedom of the press.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=3.]

# r7dvex2_3: Should party be banned: Abolish freedom of the press			
Notes		Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe pressefriheten. Slik du ser det, bør	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	160	3.4%
2	No	75	1.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4450	94.9%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex2_4: Should party be banned: Abolish endorsement of the Convention on Human Rights			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing Norway's endorsement of the Convention on Human Rights.	
Literal question		In your opinion, should such a party be banned?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran8=4.]	
Notes		Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe Norges tilslutning til Menneskerettigh	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	122	2.6%
2	No	110	2.3%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4449	94.9%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvex2_5: Should party be banned: Abolish the rights of minorities			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing the rights of minorities.	
Literal question		In your opinion, should such a party be banned?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran8=5.]	
Notes		Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe minoriteteters rettigheter.Slik du ser d	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	120	2.6%
2	No	101	2.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4465	95.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran9: [Randomizes if u=4.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1176 /-] [Invalid=15835 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	282	24.0%

r7ran9: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	302	25.7%
3	3	313	26.6%
4	4	279	23.7%
Sysmiss		15835	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvg_1: Statement: Believable that those who state sexual orientation as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=1.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant når de oppgir seksue

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	7	0.1%
2	Agree	39	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	52	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	104	2.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	33	0.7%
6	Disagree	31	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	13	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4407	94.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvg_2: Statement: Believable that the reason to seek asylum is true

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=2.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant om årsaken til at de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	8	0.2%
2	Agree	68	1.5%
3	Somewhat agree	74	1.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	48	1.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	59	1.3%
6	Disagree	29	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	13	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%

# r7dvg_2: Statement: Believable that the reason to seek asylum is true			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4387	93.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvg_3: Statement: Believable that those who state conversion to new religion as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state conversion to a new religion as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=3.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant når de oppgir konver		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%
2	Agree	27	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	52	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	106	2.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	50	1.1%
6	Disagree	56	1.2%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4376	93.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvg_4: Statement: Believable that the asylum seekers who state that they are minors are telling the truth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state that they are minors when seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=4.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant når de oppgir at de		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%
2	Agree	36	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	44	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	69	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	65	1.4%
6	Disagree	36	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4410	94.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7ran10: [Randomizes if u=4.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1175 /-] [Invalid=15836 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if u=4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	182	15.5%
2	2	164	14.0%
3	3	170	14.5%
4	4	160	13.6%
5	5	184	15.7%
6	6	166	14.1%
7	7	149	12.7%
Sysmiss		15836	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvmkost1: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Literal question		How large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran10=1.]	
Notes		Hvor stor kostnad eller gevinst vil du si at innvandring er for den norske velferdsstaten?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	15	0.3%
2	Large cost	42	0.9%
3	A certain cost	54	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	13	0.3%
5	A certain benefit	42	0.9%
6	Great benefit	14	0.3%
7	Very great benefit	0	
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4507	96.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvmkost2: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]	
Pre-question		You may well be aware that the unemployment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 7%.	
Literal question		Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if u=4 and ran10=2.]	
Notes		Som du kanskje vet ligger arbeidsledigheten blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 7%. Hvis du tar dette i betraktning, hvor	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	22	0.5%
2	Large cost	30	0.6%
3	A certain cost	48	1.0%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	21	0.4%

# r7dvcost2: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	A certain benefit	32	0.7%
6	Great benefit	8	0.2%
7	Very great benefit	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4525	96.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvcost3: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the employment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 60%.		
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=3.]		
Notes	Som du kanskje vet ligger sysselsettingen blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 60%. Hvis du tar det i betraktning, hvor st		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	15	0.3%
2	Large cost	45	1.0%
3	A certain cost	58	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	8	0.2%
5	A certain benefit	30	0.6%
6	Great benefit	6	0.1%
7	Very great benefit	0	
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4519	96.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvcost4: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Expense			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the unemployment rate for immigrants means a significant expense for the Norwegian welfare state.		
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=4.]		
Notes	Som du kanskje vet fører arbeidsledighet blant innvandrere til en betydelig utgift for den norske velferdsstaten. Hvis d		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	18	0.4%
2	Large cost	50	1.1%
3	A certain cost	55	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	8	0.2%
5	A certain benefit	19	0.4%
6	Great benefit	5	0.1%
7	Very great benefit	1	0.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%

# r7dvmkost4: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Expense			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4529	96.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvmkost5: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the employment rate of immigrants means a significant income for the Norwegian welfare state.		
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=5.]		
Notes	Som du kanskje vet fører sysselsettingen av innvandrere i Norge til en betydelig inntekt for den norske velferdsstaten.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	15	0.3%
2	Large cost	25	0.5%
3	A certain cost	46	1.0%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	33	0.7%
5	A certain benefit	43	0.9%
6	Great benefit	11	0.2%
7	Very great benefit	2	0.0%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4505	96.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvmkost6: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%, expense			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the unemployment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 7%. This means a significant expense for the Norwegian welfare state.		
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=6.]		
Notes	Som du kanskje vet ligger arbeidsledigheten blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 7%. Dette fører til en betydelig utgift		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	14	0.3%
2	Large cost	38	0.8%
3	A certain cost	56	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	20	0.4%
5	A certain benefit	28	0.6%
6	Great benefit	6	0.1%
7	Very great benefit	1	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4523	96.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7dvcost7: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%, income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the employment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 60%. This means a significant income for the Norwegian welfare state.		
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=7.]		
Notes	Som du kanskje vet ligger sysselsettingen blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 60%. Dette fører til en betydelig inntekt		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	13	0.3%
2	Large cost	27	0.6%
3	A certain cost	53	1.1%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	8	0.2%
5	A certain benefit	30	0.6%
6	Great benefit	13	0.3%
7	Very great benefit	2	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4540	96.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7dvinn: How mild/strict should Norway's immigration politics be?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How mild or strict should Norway's immigration politics be?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very mild	5	0.1%
2	Mild	55	1.2%
3	Somewhat mild	110	2.3%
4	Neither mild nor strict	194	4.1%
5	Somewhat strict	388	8.3%
6	Strict	293	6.2%
7	Very strict	116	2.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7nfc_1: Statement: Prefers complicated rather than easy tasks			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]		
Notes	Jeg foretrekker kompliserte fremfor lette oppgaver. (Nå kommer noen litt andre typer spørsmål.Hvor enig eller uenig er		

# r7nfc_1: Statement: Prefers complicated rather than easy tasks			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	54	1.2%
2	Agree	181	3.9%
3	Somewhat agree	224	4.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	163	3.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	70	1.5%
6	Disagree	37	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	88	1.9%
98	Not asked	3865	82.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7nfc_2: Statement: Likes tasks where you come up with new solutions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]		
Notes	Jeg liker svært godt oppgaver som går ut på at man må komme opp med nye løsninger. (Nå kommer noen litt andre typer		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	110	2.3%
2	Agree	289	6.2%
3	Somewhat agree	198	4.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	100	2.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	32	0.7%
6	Disagree	9	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	83	1.8%
98	Not asked	3865	82.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7nfc_3: Statement: Would prefer a task that is intellectual rather than one needing little thought			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought.		
Interviewer's instructions	Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]		
Notes	Jeg ville foretrukket en oppgave som er intellektuell og innviklet fremfor en oppgave som krever lite tenking. (Nå komme		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	83	1.8%
2	Agree	235	5.0%
3	Somewhat agree	173	3.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	147	3.1%

r7nfc_3: Statement: Would prefer a task that is intellectual rather than one needing little thought

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	56	1.2%
6	Disagree	34	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	91	1.9%
98	Not asked	3865	82.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7nte_1: Statement: Opinion about most things

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I have an opinion about most things
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NTE in wave 5.]
Notes	Jeg har en mening om det aller meste. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	53	1.1%
2	Agree	190	4.1%
3	Somewhat agree	270	5.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	93	2.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	83	1.8%
6	Disagree	36	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	76	1.6%
98	Not asked	3885	82.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7nte_2: Statement: Important to have a view on whether something is right/wrong

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NTE in wave 5.]
Notes	Jeg synes det er viktig å mene om noe er rett eller galt. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	108	2.3%
2	Agree	312	6.7%
3	Somewhat agree	179	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	77	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	36	0.8%
6	Disagree	15	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	70	1.5%

r7nte_2: Statement: Important to have a view on whether something is right/wrong

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3885	82.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k13: How often do you discuss politics with others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How often would you say that you discuss politics with others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	213	4.5%
2	Sometimes	413	8.8%
3	Rarely	196	4.2%
4	Never	24	0.5%
97	No answer	71	1.5%
98	Not asked	3772	80.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k33: Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
Post-question	Mark one alternative.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=0.]
Notes	Hvilken av beskrivelsene under passer best på det du har gjort de siste 7 dagene?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	541	11.5%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	70	1.5%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	26	0.6%
4	Unemployed. Want a job, but not actively seeking work	10	0.2%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	32	0.7%
6	Retired	141	3.0%
7	Civilian or military service	1	0.0%
8	At home, looking after children or others	20	0.4%
9	Other	42	0.9%
97	No answer	83	1.8%
98	Not asked	3723	79.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k33_9_other: Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week? Other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
Post-question	Mark one alternative.

# r7k33_9_other: Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week? Other [anonymized]			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R6K33=0.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet (Hvilken av beskrivelsene under passer best på det du har gjort de siste 7 dagene?)		
# r7k34_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Notes	Offentlig sentralforvaltning/myndighet (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3406	72.6%
1	Public central administration/authority	275	5.9%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_2: What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Notes	Barnehage/skole/undervisning (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3249	69.3%
1	Kindergarten/school/teaching	432	9.2%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_3: What industry do you work in: Health services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3259	69.5%
1	Health services	422	9.0%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

# r7k34_3: What industry do you work in: Health services			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3600	76.8%
1	Social services/child protection	81	1.7%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Notes	Pleie- og omsorgstjenester (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3527	75.2%
1	Nursing and care services	154	3.3%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_6: What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Notes	Forsvar/politi/rettsvesen/vakthold (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3586	76.5%
1	Defence/police/judicial system/security	95	2.0%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

r7k34_6: What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3560	75.9%
1	Agriculture/forestry/fishing	121	2.6%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3451	73.6%
1	Industry/technology	230	4.9%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_9: What industry do you work in: Building/construction

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3422	73.0%
1	Building/construction	259	5.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7k34_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3512	74.9%
1	Retailing/shop	169	3.6%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_11: What industry do you work in: Transport/communications			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3515	75.0%
1	Transport/communications	166	3.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_12: What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Notes	Kultur/idrett/organisasjoner (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3552	75.8%
1	Cultur/sport/organisations	129	2.8%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		

# r7k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising			
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Notes	Media/reklame/PR/informasjon (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3598	76.7%
1	Media/advertising/PR/information	83	1.8%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_14: What industry do you work in: Research/analysis			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3536	75.4%
1	Research/analysis	145	3.1%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_15: What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3634	77.5%
1	Travels/hotels	47	1.0%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		

# r7k34_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering			
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3645	77.7%
1	Restaurants/catering	36	0.8%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_17: What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3527	75.2%
1	Telecommunications/IT	154	3.3%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_18: What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3562	76.0%
1	Banking/insurance/finance	119	2.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Notes	Forretningsmessig service-/tjenesteyting (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		

r7k34_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3505	74.7%
1	Commercial services	176	3.8%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_20: What industry do you work in: Oil/gas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3517	75.0%
1	Oil/gas	164	3.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7k34_21: What industry do you work in: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2790	59.5%
1	Other	891	19.0%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7k34_21_other: What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r7k35: Which description best fits the area you live in?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Which description best fits the area you live in?		
Post-question	We are thinking about Norwegian conditions here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R6K35 in wave 5.]		
Notes	Hvilken beskrivelse passer best på området du bor i? Vi tenker her på norske forhold.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A city	225	4.8%
2	A suburb or the outskirts of a city	185	3.9%
3	A small or medium sized town	240	5.1%
4	A village	137	2.9%
5	A sparsely inhabited area	103	2.2%
97	No answer	81	1.7%
98	Not asked	3718	79.3%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran24: [All respondents are randomized.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4581 /-] [Invalid=12430 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	789	17.2%

# r7ran24: [All respondents are randomized.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	778	17.0%
3	3	748	16.3%
4	4	762	16.6%
5	5	743	16.2%
6	6	761	16.6%
Sysmiss		12430	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran24_1: [Randomizes if ran24=1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=789 /-] [Invalid=16222 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if ran24=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	250	31.7%
2	2	259	32.8%
3	3	280	35.5%
Sysmiss		16222	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7matc1: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.		
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=1.]		
Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange.Norg		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	41	0.9%
2	1	167	3.6%
3	2	37	0.8%
4	3	3	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4439	94.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7matc1a: Should Norway allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=1.]		

ocr7matc1a: Should Norway allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	159	3.4%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	80	1.7%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4439	94.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc2: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=2.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	174	3.7%
2	1	462	9.9%
3	2	121	2.6%
4	3	11	0.2%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	3911	83.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc2a: Should Norway allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	428	9.1%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	316	6.7%
97	No answer	34	0.7%
98	Not asked	3911	83.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc3: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.

ocr7matc3: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=2.]
-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. No
--------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	48	1.0%
2	1	164	3.5%
3	2	40	0.9%
4	3	4	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4430	94.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc3a: Should Norway allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=2.]
-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Notes	Synes du Norge bør la immigranter fra muslimske land komme for å bosette seg her?
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	157	3.3%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	88	1.9%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4430	94.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc4: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
---------------------	---

Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=3.]
-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. No
--------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	44	0.9%
2	1	191	4.1%
3	2	43	0.9%
4	3	1	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%

ocr7matc4: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4409	94.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc4a: Should Norway allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=3.]
Notes	Synes du Norge bør la flyktninger fra muslimske land komme for å bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	186	4.0%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	89	1.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4409	94.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt1: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Muslim countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=3.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	73	1.6%
2	1	288	6.1%
3	2	314	6.7%
4	3	47	1.0%
5	4	10	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3941	84.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt2: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Eastern European countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

ocr7matt2: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Eastern European countries live here

Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=4.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	121	2.6%
2	1	338	7.2%
3	2	263	5.6%
4	3	30	0.6%
5	4	0	
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	3927	83.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt3: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, immigrants from Muslim countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=5.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	103	2.2%
2	1	312	6.7%
3	2	283	6.0%
4	3	30	0.6%
5	4	4	0.1%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	3946	84.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt4: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, refugees from Muslim countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.

ocr7matt4: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, refugees from Muslim countries live here

Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here
-------------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=6.]
-----------------------------------	----------------------

Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N
--------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	89	1.9%
2	1	281	6.0%
3	2	346	7.4%
4	3	32	0.7%
5	4	10	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	3928	83.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran25: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=15933 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]
-----------------------------------	---------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	207	19.2%
2	2	237	22.0%
3	3	211	19.6%
4	4	213	19.8%
5	5	210	19.5%
Sysmiss		15933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran26: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=15933 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]
-----------------------------------	---------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	212	19.7%
2	2	216	20.0%
3	3	197	18.3%
4	4	220	20.4%
5	5	233	21.6%
Sysmiss		15933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran27: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=15933 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

r7ran27: [Randomizes if u=3]**Interviewer's instructions** [Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	202	18.7%
2	2	209	19.4%
3	3	235	21.8%
4	4	231	21.4%
5	5	201	18.6%
Sysmiss		15933	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# r7ran28: [Randomizes if u=3]****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=15933 /-]**Interviewer's instructions** [Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	233	21.6%
2	2	205	19.0%
3	3	203	18.8%
4	4	213	19.8%
5	5	224	20.8%
Sysmiss		15933	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# r7ran29: [Randomizes if u=3]****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=15933 /-]**Interviewer's instructions** [Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	223	20.7%
2	2	187	17.3%
3	3	229	21.2%
4	4	230	21.3%
5	5	209	19.4%
Sysmiss		15933	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# r7ran30: [Randomizes if u=3]****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=15933 /-]**Interviewer's instructions** [Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	199	18.5%
2	2	209	19.4%
3	3	224	20.8%
4	4	214	19.9%
5	5	232	21.5%

# r7ran30: [Randomizes if u=3]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15933	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove1_1: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom.		
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=1.]		
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Mener du at denne etterforskningen av den russisk		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	67	1.4%
2	Somewhat appropriate	33	0.7%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	15	0.3%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	5	0.1%
5	Not at all appropriate	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	81	1.7%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4482	95.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove1_2: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. This put the Russian energy group under pressure and gave its European competitors an advantage.		
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=2.]		
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Dette satt det russiske energikonsernet under pr		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	60	1.3%
2	Somewhat appropriate	51	1.1%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	27	0.6%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	7	0.1%
5	Not at all appropriate	8	0.2%
6	Do not know	74	1.6%
7	Not specified	5	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4452	94.9%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

ocr7marinove1_3: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure according to Gazprom/Russian media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. According to Gazprom and Russian media, this put the Russian energy group under pressure and gave its European competitors an advantage.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=3.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Ifølge Gazprom og russiske medier satte dette de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	53	1.1%
2	Somewhat appropriate	44	0.9%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	22	0.5%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	1	0.0%
5	Not at all appropriate	4	0.1%
6	Do not know	78	1.7%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4478	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove1_4: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. There are indications that Gazprom set unfair prices for Eastern European countries.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=4.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Det finnes indikasjoner på at Gazprom fastsatte

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	64	1.4%
2	Somewhat appropriate	37	0.8%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	19	0.4%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	4	0.1%
5	Not at all appropriate	4	0.1%
6	Do not know	74	1.6%
7	Not specified	3	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4476	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove1_5: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries according to antitrust authorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

ocr7marinove1_5: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries according to antitrust authorities

Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. According to the European antitrust authorities and other European institutions, there are indications that Gazprom set unfair prices for Eastern European countries.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=5.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Ifølge EUs antitrustmyndigheter og andre EU-inst

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	74	1.6%
2	Somewhat appropriate	48	1.0%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	12	0.3%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	2	0.0%
5	Not at all appropriate	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	65	1.4%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4479	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_1: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly.
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=1.]
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Hvordan vurderer du det øk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	20	0.4%
2	Somewhat positively	42	0.9%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	35	0.7%
4	Somewhat negatively	58	1.2%
5	Very negatively	27	0.6%
6	Do not know	26	0.6%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4477	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_2: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. Such a rapid increase may escalate small incidents into regional wars.
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?

# ocr7marinove2_2: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=2.]		
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. En slik rask økning kan f		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	7	0.1%
2	Somewhat positively	39	0.8%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	36	0.8%
4	Somewhat negatively	62	1.3%
5	Very negatively	41	0.9%
6	Do not know	25	0.5%
7	Not specified	3	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4473	95.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove2_3: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents, pointed out by Russian experts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. Russian experts point out that such a rapid increase could escalate even small incidents into regional wars.		
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=3.]		
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Russiske eksperter peker p		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	10	0.2%
2	Somewhat positively	34	0.7%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	28	0.6%
4	Somewhat negatively	53	1.1%
5	Very negatively	51	1.1%
6	Do not know	15	0.3%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4492	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove2_4: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. This action is designed to support NATO allies in the face of Russian aggression in Eastern Ukraine.		
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=4.]		
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Denne aksjonen er utformet		

ocr7marinove2_4: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	23	0.5%
2	Somewhat positively	58	1.2%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	38	0.8%
4	Somewhat negatively	53	1.1%
5	Very negatively	23	0.5%
6	Do not know	19	0.4%
7	Not specified	3	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4469	95.3%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_5: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies, pointed out by US State Department

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. As the US State Department pointed out, this action is designed to support NATO allies in the face of Russian aggression in Eastern Ukraine.
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=5.]
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Som det amerikanske utenrik

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	15	0.3%
2	Somewhat positively	56	1.2%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	34	0.7%
4	Somewhat negatively	58	1.2%
5	Very negatively	39	0.8%
6	Do not know	25	0.5%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4456	95.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_1: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=1.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Hvordan vil du vurdere protes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	25	0.5%
2	Somewhat positively	37	0.8%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	34	0.7%

# ocr7marinove3_1: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat negatively	7	0.1%
5	Very negatively	5	0.1%
6	Do not know	79	1.7%
7	Not specified	8	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4487	95.7%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove3_2: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign. These protests and the subsequent events have been called a coup, using the guise of promoting democracy to expand the sphere of influence of the West.		
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=2.]		
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Disse protestene og de etterf		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	26	0.6%
2	Somewhat positively	39	0.8%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	35	0.7%
4	Somewhat negatively	18	0.4%
5	Very negatively	6	0.1%
6	Do not know	71	1.5%
7	Not specified	4	0.1%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4480	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove3_3: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West, according to Russian leadership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign. The Russian leadership has called these protests and the subsequent events a coup, using the guise of promoting democracy to expand the sphere of influence of the West.		
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=3.]		
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Det russiske lederskapet har k		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	33	0.7%
2	Somewhat positively	68	1.5%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	41	0.9%
4	Somewhat negatively	5	0.1%

ocr7marinove3_3: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovich: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West, according to Russian leadership

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very negatively	10	0.2%
6	Do not know	65	1.4%
7	Not specified	4	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4454	95.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_4: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovich: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovich to resign. The protests against Yanukovich were undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective for Ukraine.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovich?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=4.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovich til å gå av. Disse protestene mot Yanukovic

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	84	1.8%
2	Somewhat positively	70	1.5%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	20	0.4%
4	Somewhat negatively	5	0.1%
5	Very negatively	1	0.0%
6	Do not know	40	0.9%
7	Not specified	4	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4458	95.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_5: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovich: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective, noted by Norwegian/Western politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovich to resign. Norwegian and other Western politicians have noted that the protests against Yanukovich were undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective for Ukraine.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovich?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=5.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovich til å gå av. Norske og andre vestlige polit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	49	1.0%
2	Somewhat positively	54	1.2%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	26	0.6%

ocr7marinove3_5: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovich: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective, noted by Norwegian/Western politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat negatively	11	0.2%
5	Very negatively	6	0.1%
6	Do not know	47	1.0%
7	Not specified	3	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4488	95.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_1: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=1.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. Hvor troverdig mener du NATOs fo

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	13	0.3%
2	Rather reliable	70	1.5%
3	Partly reliable	70	1.5%
4	Rather unreliable	30	0.6%
5	Not at all reliable	3	0.1%
6	Do not know	40	0.9%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4456	95.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_2: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. However, Western allies have been increasingly critical of the policies of the Turkish President Erdogan. NATO could therefore hesitate to intervene if Turkey gets in a military conflict with Russia over Syria.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=2.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. Vestlige allierte har imidlertid

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	11	0.2%
2	Rather reliable	57	1.2%
3	Partly reliable	52	1.1%
4	Rather unreliable	31	0.7%
5	Not at all reliable	2	0.0%

ocr7marinove4_2: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Do not know	41	0.9%
7	Not specified	5	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4484	95.6%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_3: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies, argued by Russian government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. However, Western allies have been increasingly critical of the policies of the Turkish President Erdogan. The Russian government has argued that NATO could therefore hesitate to intervene if Turkey gets in a military conflict with Russia over Syria.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=3.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. Vestlige allierte har imidlertid

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	17	0.4%
2	Rather reliable	48	1.0%
3	Partly reliable	62	1.3%
4	Rather unreliable	12	0.3%
5	Not at all reliable	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	53	1.1%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4486	95.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_4: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. In the history of NATO, there has never been a case in which the Alliance has neglected its obligations and refused to support a member.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=4.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. I NATOs historie har det aldri v

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	25	0.5%
2	Rather reliable	66	1.4%
3	Partly reliable	56	1.2%
4	Rather unreliable	21	0.4%
5	Not at all reliable	3	0.1%
6	Do not know	38	0.8%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%

# ocr7marinove4_4: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4476	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove4_5: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations, pointed out by NATO officials			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. NATO officials point out that, in the history of NATO, there has never been a case in which the Alliance has neglected its obligations and refused to support a member.		
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=5.]		
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. NATO-tjenestemenn påpeker at i N		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	27	0.6%
2	Rather reliable	66	1.4%
3	Partly reliable	66	1.4%
4	Rather unreliable	14	0.3%
5	Not at all reliable	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	46	1.0%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4465	95.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove5_1: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm.		
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=1.]		
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	10	0.2%
2	Often	49	1.0%
3	Sometimes	79	1.7%
4	Seldom	41	0.9%
5	Never	4	0.1%
6	Do not know	35	0.7%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4466	95.2%

# ocr7marinove5_1: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove5_2: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. Because media publishing information on the issue can be accused of racism, press-freedom in Scandinavia has suffered.		
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=2.]		
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	3	0.1%
2	Often	43	0.9%
3	Sometimes	78	1.7%
4	Seldom	32	0.7%
5	Never	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	26	0.6%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4502	96.0%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr7marinove5_3: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered, suggested by Sputnik			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. Sputnik, the news agency founded by the Russian government, has suggested that because media publishing information on the issue can be accused of racism, press-freedom in Scandinavia has suffered.		
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=3.]		
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	8	0.2%
2	Often	47	1.0%
3	Sometimes	98	2.1%
4	Seldom	37	0.8%
5	Never	8	0.2%
6	Do not know	26	0.6%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	5	0.1%

ocr7marinove5_3: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered, suggested by Sputnik

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4460	95.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove5_4: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. The Norwegian channel NRK has sent a team and offered an honest look at the conditions in those areas.
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=4.]
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	7	0.1%
2	Often	54	1.2%
3	Sometimes	92	2.0%
4	Seldom	45	1.0%
5	Never	6	0.1%
6	Do not know	23	0.5%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4459	95.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove5_5: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look, pointed out by media experts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	It is sometimes difficult to find reporting in the media about the challenges faced when integrating refugees and foreigners, visible in places such as Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. Media experts point to the fact that the Norwegian channel NRK has sent a team and offered an honest look at the conditions in those areas, as proof that media reporting is free in Norway.
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=5.]
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utlendinger, synl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	5	0.1%
2	Often	45	1.0%
3	Sometimes	85	1.8%
4	Seldom	27	0.6%
5	Never	5	0.1%
6	Do not know	35	0.7%
7	Not specified	0	

ocr7marinove5_5: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look, pointed out by media experts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4480	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_1: How justified is an increase in military spending

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=1.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	68	1.5%
2	Somewhat justified	64	1.4%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	14	0.3%
4	Somewhat unjustified	19	0.4%
5	Not at all justified	7	0.1%
6	Do not know	23	0.5%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4490	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_2: How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. Such an increase may provoke a troop buildup by Russia on its Western borders as a defensive reaction to Norway's increased capabilities.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=2.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	49	1.0%
2	Somewhat justified	67	1.4%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	26	0.6%
4	Somewhat unjustified	25	0.5%
5	Not at all justified	15	0.3%
6	Do not know	25	0.5%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4480	95.5%

ocr7marinove6_2: How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_3: How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup, warned by Lavrov

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. Russian foreign minister Lavrov has warned that such an increase may provoke a troop buildup by Russia on its Western borders as a defensive reaction to Norway's increased capabilities.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=3.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	52	1.1%
2	Somewhat justified	74	1.6%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	22	0.5%
4	Somewhat unjustified	29	0.6%
5	Not at all justified	12	0.3%
6	Do not know	34	0.7%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4465	95.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_4: How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. The measures are a necessary response to Russia's growing military presence in the Baltic Sea region and to the deteriorating security situation.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=4.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	88	1.9%
2	Somewhat justified	60	1.3%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	11	0.2%
4	Somewhat unjustified	21	0.4%
5	Not at all justified	12	0.3%
6	Do not know	16	0.3%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4475	95.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_5: How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region, argued by Norwegian security experts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. Norwegian security experts have argued that such an increase is necessary to respond to Russia's growing military presence in the Baltic Sea region and to the deteriorating security situation.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=5.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	81	1.7%
2	Somewhat justified	85	1.8%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	21	0.4%
4	Somewhat unjustified	13	0.3%
5	Not at all justified	8	0.2%
6	Do not know	18	0.4%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4457	95.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores the order which OCR7MarinovE1-E6 is asked.]

r7ocrecsm_mobile2: R7OCRECSM_mobile2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1176 /-] [Invalid=15835 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Mobile - no	875	74.4%
1	Mobile - yes	301	25.6%
Sysmiss		15835	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3a1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to earlier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3A1_mobile.]

r70crecsm3a1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

[Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]

Notes For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	31	0.7%
1	1	9	0.2%
2	2	7	0.1%
3	3	6	0.1%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	8	0.2%
6	6	8	0.2%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	9	0.2%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	6	0.1%
11	11	8	0.2%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	9	0.2%
14	14	3	0.1%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	0	
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	5	0.1%
25	25	44	0.9%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	5	0.1%
29	29	5	0.1%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	5	0.1%
33	33	6	0.1%
34	34	5	0.1%
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	8	0.2%
38	38	4	0.1%
39	39	3	0.1%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	3	0.1%
42	42	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm3a1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	4	0.1%
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3a1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to earlier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3A1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	5	0.1%
1	1	1	0.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	4	0.1%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm3a1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	0	
25	25	15	0.3%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4603	98.2%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3a2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3A2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

r70crecsm3a2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	95	2.0%
1	1	8	0.2%
2	2	12	0.3%
3	3	10	0.2%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	11	0.2%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	6	0.1%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	0	
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	20	0.4%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	0	
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	4	0.1%
39	39	4	0.1%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	

r7ocreasm3a2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	3	0.1%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3a2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3A2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	36	0.8%
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
3	3	0	
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	2	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	

r7ocreasm3a2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	16	0.3%
26	26	0	
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	0	
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4602	98.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3a3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3A3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

r7ocrecsm3a3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	29	0.6%
1	1	5	0.1%
2	2	9	0.2%
3	3	10	0.2%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	6	0.1%
6	6	9	0.2%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	7	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	4	0.1%
13	13	5	0.1%
14	14	7	0.1%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	4	0.1%
20	20	4	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	3	0.1%
25	25	37	0.8%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	7	0.1%
30	30	8	0.2%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	13	0.3%
33	33	5	0.1%
34	34	9	0.2%
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	5	0.1%
37	37	4	0.1%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	6	0.1%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	3	0.1%
42	42	0	
43	43	4	0.1%

r7ocreasm3a3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	2	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3a3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3A3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	0	
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	0	

r7ocreasm3a3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	18	0.4%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	0	
29	29	0	
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	0	
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	3	0.1%
39	39	0	
40	40	3	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4602	98.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to ealier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3B1_mobile.]

r7ocrecsm3b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

[Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]

Notes For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	10	0.2%
1	1	45	1.0%
2	2	2	0.0%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	6	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	5	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	6	0.1%
17	17	6	0.1%
18	18	6	0.1%
19	19	6	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	5	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	16	0.3%
25	25	17	0.4%
26	26	5	0.1%
27	27	7	0.1%
28	28	6	0.1%
29	29	5	0.1%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	6	0.1%
32	32	7	0.1%
33	33	10	0.2%
34	34	6	0.1%
35	35	5	0.1%
36	36	8	0.2%
37	37	5	0.1%
38	38	5	0.1%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	3	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm3b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43	43	3	0.1%
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	3	0.1%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to earlier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3B1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	4	0.1%
1	1	26	0.6%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	0	
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	5	0.1%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	4	0.1%

r7ocreasm3b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	1	0.0%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	0	
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	0	
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	2	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

r7ocrecsm3b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	36	0.8%
1	1	112	2.4%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	9	0.2%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	3	0.1%
12	12	6	0.1%
13	13	6	0.1%
14	14	4	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	6	0.1%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	12	0.3%
26	26	5	0.1%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	3	0.1%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	5	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	

r7ocreasm3b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3b2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	18	0.4%
1	1	49	1.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	0	
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	0	
14	14	0	
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm3b2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	0	
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	0	
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	3	0.1%
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3B3_mobile] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

r7ocrecsm3b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	5	0.1%
1	1	42	0.9%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	4	0.1%
6	6	6	0.1%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	5	0.1%
9	9	10	0.2%
10	10	7	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	7	0.1%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	6	0.1%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	5	0.1%
23	23	4	0.1%
24	24	7	0.1%
25	25	10	0.2%
26	26	11	0.2%
27	27	9	0.2%
28	28	8	0.2%
29	29	11	0.2%
30	30	8	0.2%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	6	0.1%
33	33	13	0.3%
34	34	9	0.2%
35	35	8	0.2%
36	36	6	0.1%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	5	0.1%
39	39	9	0.2%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm3b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	4	0.1%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	2	0.0%
1	1	21	0.4%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	0	
6	6	0	
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	5	0.1%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	

r7ocreasm3b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	3	0.1%
25	25	0	
26	26	0	
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	4	0.1%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	5	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	0	
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	3	0.1%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	3	0.1%
44	44	4	0.1%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	4	0.1%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3c1_1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]		
Notes	R7OCREASM3C1_1 (Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	122	2.6%

r7ocreasm3c1_1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	99	2.1%
3	3	109	2.3%
4	4	57	1.2%
5	Completely	16	0.3%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3c2_1: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCREASM3C2_1 (Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	264	5.6%
2	2	47	1.0%
3	3	51	1.1%
4	4	29	0.6%
5	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm3c3_1: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCREASM3C3_1 (Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	91	1.9%
2	2	96	2.0%
3	3	108	2.3%
4	4	82	1.7%
5	Completely	26	0.6%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4a1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4A1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	47	1.0%
1	1	9	0.2%
2	2	6	0.1%
3	3	8	0.2%
4	4	8	0.2%
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	4	0.1%
12	12	10	0.2%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	11	0.2%
16	16	8	0.2%
17	17	10	0.2%
18	18	5	0.1%
19	19	5	0.1%
20	20	4	0.1%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	0	
24	24	5	0.1%
25	25	72	1.5%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	4	0.1%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm4a1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4a1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4A1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	9	0.2%
1	1	1	0.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	4	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	0	

r7ocrecsm4a1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	37	0.8%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	0	
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4601	98.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4a2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?

r7ocreasm4a2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4A2_mobile.]
[Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]

Notes I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R7OCRECS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	37	0.8%
1	1	8	0.2%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	6	0.1%
4	4	9	0.2%
5	5	12	0.3%
6	6	7	0.1%
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	7	0.1%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	6	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	5	0.1%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	5	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	7	0.1%
18	18	6	0.1%
19	19	0	
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	4	0.1%
25	25	87	1.9%
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	3	0.1%
36	36	0	
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm4a2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%
Systemmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4a2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4A2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	9	0.2%
1	1	1	0.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	0	
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm4a2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	32	0.7%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4601	98.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4a3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4A3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

r7ocrecsm4a3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	64	1.4%
1	1	11	0.2%
2	2	7	0.1%
3	3	7	0.1%
4	4	6	0.1%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	8	0.2%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	8	0.2%
10	10	7	0.1%
11	11	4	0.1%
12	12	6	0.1%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	4	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	5	0.1%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	60	1.3%
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	5	0.1%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	6	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	4	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm4a3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4a3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4A3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	15	0.3%
1	1	2	0.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	2	0.0%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	2	0.0%
13	13	0	
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm4a3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	26	0.6%
26	26	0	
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	0	
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	3	0.1%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	2	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4601	98.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4B1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør

r7ocrecsm4b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	16	0.3%
1	1	76	1.6%
2	2	4	0.1%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	6	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	8	0.2%
8	8	11	0.2%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	8	0.2%
12	12	11	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	7	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	5	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	4	0.1%
24	24	21	0.4%
25	25	38	0.8%
26	26	9	0.2%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	5	0.1%
29	29	0	
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	0	
32	32	0	
33	33	4	0.1%
34	34	0	
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	

r7ocreasm4b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4B1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1	0.0%
1	1	47	1.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%

r7ocreasm4b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	1	0.0%
26	26	0	
27	27	0	
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	9	0.2%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4580	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R7OCRECS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	6	0.1%
1	1	82	1.7%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	4	0.1%

r7ocrecsm4b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	6	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	9	0.2%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	5	0.1%
13	13	6	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	6	0.1%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	16	0.3%
25	25	40	0.9%
26	26	8	0.2%
27	27	8	0.2%
28	28	5	0.1%
29	29	7	0.1%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	7	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	4	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	0	
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	

r7ocreasm4b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4392	93.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	2	0.0%
1	1	39	0.8%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	0	
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	4	0.1%
23	23	0	
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	4	0.1%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm4b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	2	0.0%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4580	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4B3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	19	0.4%
1	1	108	2.3%
2	2	2	0.0%
3	3	5	0.1%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%

r7ocrecsm4b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	5	0.1%
14	14	4	0.1%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	6	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	5	0.1%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	4	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	15	0.3%
25	25	24	0.5%
26	26	5	0.1%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	5	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	4	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	2	0.0%

r7ocreasm4b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4392	93.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure position of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	41	0.9%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	6	0.1%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	4	0.1%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	5	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	0	

r7ocreasm4b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4580	97.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocreasm4c1_1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM4C1_1 (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontrover

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	154	3.3%
2	2	92	2.0%
3	3	116	2.5%
4	4	19	0.4%
5	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7ocreasm4c2_1: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM4C2_1 (I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andr

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	124	2.6%
2	2	107	2.3%
3	3	104	2.2%
4	4	44	0.9%
5	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	36	0.8%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7ocreasm4c3_1: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM4C3_1 (Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	173	3.7%
2	2	86	1.8%
3	3	84	1.8%
4	4	34	0.7%
5	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7ran37: [Randomizes if u=1 OR u=4.]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=14688 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1 OR u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1140	49.1%
2	2	1183	50.9%
Sysmiss		14688	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7qreview2a_1: Satisfied with: Questions in this survey	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:
Literal question	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=4, and if ran37=1.]
Notes	Spørsmålene du har svart på så langt i denne undersøkelsen? (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som delta

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	82	1.7%
2	Satisfied	637	13.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	366	7.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	34	0.7%
5	Not satisfied at all	8	0.2%
97	No answer	47	1.0%
98	Not asked	3515	75.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7qreview2a_2: Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:
Literal question	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=4, and if ran37=1.]
Notes	Å være deltaker i Norsk medborgerpanel. (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som deltaker oppfatter undersøk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	159	3.4%
2	Satisfied	618	13.2%
3	Somewhat satisfied	292	6.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	45	1.0%
5	Not satisfied at all	9	0.2%
97	No answer	51	1.1%
98	Not asked	3515	75.0%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7ran18: [Randomizes if u=1.]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1149 /-] [Invalid=15862 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	591	51.4%
2	2	558	48.6%
Sysmiss		15862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7pad4a: Contribution to joint kitty: Random person excluded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We now ask you to make a decision whereby three persons will be selected to receive money. The following situation is the background for the decision you must make:</p> <p>Three participants of the Norwegian Citizens Panel are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. Each person has to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group and is rewarded with 1,750 kroner. The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two.</p> <p>The final cash prize paid to the participants selected to receive money will be the individuals share of the joint kitty and the money being kept.</p>		
Literal question	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran18=1.]		
Notes	Vi ber deg nå om å ta en beslutning hvor tre personer vil trekkes ut til å motta penger. Følgende situasjon er bakgru		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	42	0.9%
2	100	15	0.3%
3	200	33	0.7%
4	300	49	1.0%
5	400	22	0.5%
6	500	199	4.2%
7	600	17	0.4%
8	700	9	0.2%
9	800	9	0.2%
10	900	5	0.1%
11	1000	157	3.3%
97	No answer	34	0.7%
98	Not asked	4098	87.4%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad4b: Contribution to joint kitty: Person who contributes the least excluded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We now ask you to make a decision whereby three persons will be selected to receive money. The following situation is the background for the decision you must make:</p> <p>Three participants of the Norwegian Citizens Panel are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. Each person has to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group and is rewarded with 1,750 kroner. The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two.</p> <p>The final cash prize paid to the participants selected to receive money will be the individuals share of the joint kitty and the money being kept.</p>		
Literal question	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran18=2.]		
Notes	Vi ber deg nå om å ta en beslutning hvor tre personer vil trekkes ut til å motta penger. Følgende situasjon er bakgru		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	76	1.6%
2	100	16	0.3%
3	200	22	0.5%
4	300	38	0.8%

# r7pad4b: Contribution to joint kitty: Person who contributes the least excluded			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	400	24	0.5%
6	500	161	3.4%
7	600	14	0.3%
8	700	13	0.3%
9	800	15	0.3%
10	900	7	0.1%
11	1000	145	3.1%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	4131	88.1%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7ran19: [Randomizes if u=4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1173 /-] [Invalid=15838 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	213	18.2%
2	2	206	17.6%
3	3	180	15.3%
4	4	198	16.9%
5	5	198	16.9%
6	6	178	15.2%
Sysmiss		15838	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad5a1_1: Choice between yellow/blue box			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box.</p> <p>If both choose the yellow box, you get 1,000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.</p>		
Literal question	Please take a decision.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	122	2.6%
2	Blue box	87	1.9%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4476	95.5%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad5b1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# r7pad5b1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box [anonymized]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box.</p> <p>If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.</p>		
Literal question	We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=1.] [Anonymized.]		
# r7pad5b2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Please take a decision:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	124	2.6%
2	Blue box	73	1.6%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4483	95.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad5c1_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box.</p> <p>If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.</p>		
Literal question	The other person has chosen the yellow box. Please take a decision:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=3.]		
Notes	R7PAD5C1_1 (Den andre personen har valgt den gule boksen. Vennligst ta en beslutning:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	105	2.2%
2	Blue box	69	1.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4509	96.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad5d1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# r7pad5d1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow [anonymized]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box.</p> <p>If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.</p>		
Literal question	<p>The other person has chosen the yellow box.</p> <p>We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if u=4 and ran19=4.]</p> <p>[Anonymized.]</p>		
Notes	Den andre personen har valgt den gule boksen. Før du tar beslutningen ønsker vi at du begrunner valget ditt.		
# r7pad5d2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Please take a decision:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	123	2.6%
2	Blue box	66	1.4%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4491	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7pad5e1_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box.</p> <p>If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.</p>		
Literal question	<p>The other person has chosen the blue box.</p> <p>Please take a decision:</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=5.]		
Notes	R7PAD5E1_1 (Den andre personen har valgt den blå boksen. Vennligst ta en beslutning:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	74	1.6%
2	Blue box	116	2.5%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4491	95.8%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r7pad5f1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue [anonymized]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box.</p> <p>If both choose the yellow box, you get 1,000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.</p>
Literal question	<p>The other person has chosen the blue box.</p> <p>We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.</p>
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if u=4 and ran19=6.]</p> <p>[Anonymized.]</p>
Notes	Den andre personen har valgt den blå boksen. Før du tar beslutningen ønsker vi at du begrunner valget ditt.

# r7pad5f2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Literal question	Please take a decision:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	70	1.5%
2	Blue box	97	2.1%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4511	96.2%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7qreview1_1: Satisfied with: Questions in this survey			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Spørsmålene du har svart på så langt i denne undersøkelsen? (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som delta		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	311	6.6%
2	Satisfied	2719	58.0%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1304	27.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	159	3.4%
5	Not satisfied at all	34	0.7%
97	No answer	162	3.5%
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7qreview1_2: Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:		
Literal question	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Å være deltaker i Norsk medborgerpanel. (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som deltaker oppfatter undersøk		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	664	14.2%
2	Satisfied	2582	55.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1020	21.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	181	3.9%
5	Not satisfied at all	58	1.2%
97	No answer	184	3.9%
98	Not asked	0	

r7qreview1_2: Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sysmiss	12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7municipalSize: Size of municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<10 000	818	17.4%
2	10 001 - 20 000	670	14.3%
3	20 001 - 60 000	1198	25.5%
4	60 000+	2003	42.7%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P1: Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2355	50.2%
2	Female	2334	49.8%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P2: Region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	1336	28.5%
2	Østlandet	1132	24.1%
3	Sørlandet	216	4.6%
4	Vestlandet	1235	26.3%
5	Trøndelag	425	9.1%
6	Nord-Norge	345	7.4%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P3: County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	583	12.4%
2	Oslo	753	16.1%
3	Østfold	240	5.1%
4	Vestfold	242	5.2%
5	Hedmark	131	2.8%
6	Oppland	137	2.9%

r7P3: County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Buskerud	243	5.2%
8	Telemark	139	3.0%
9	Vest-Agder	141	3.0%
10	Aust-Agder	75	1.6%
11	Rogaland	409	8.7%
12	Hordaland	550	11.7%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	82	1.7%
14	Møre og Romsdal	194	4.1%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	333	7.1%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	92	2.0%
17	Nordland	185	3.9%
18	Troms	117	2.5%
19	Finmark	43	0.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P4_1: Highest level of completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	429	9.1%
2	Upper secondary education	1380	29.4%
3	University/university college	2695	57.5%
97	No answer	185	3.9%
Sysmiss		12322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P4_2: Highest level of completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	18	0.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	33	0.7%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	378	8.1%
4	College certificate	43	0.9%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	600	12.8%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	565	12.0%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	52	1.1%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	163	3.5%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	450	9.6%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	949	20.2%

# r7P4_2: Highest level of completed education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	300	6.4%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	345	7.4%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	553	11.8%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	98	2.1%
15	None of these	116	2.5%
97	No answer	26	0.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7P5_1: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	178	3.8%
2	1940-1949	846	18.0%
3	1950-1959	1108	23.6%
4	1960-1969	957	20.4%
5	1970-1979	732	15.6%
6	1980-1989	560	11.9%
7	1990 or later	308	6.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7P5_2: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	2132	45.5%
2	1960-1989	2249	48.0%
3	1990 or later	308	6.6%
Sysmiss		12322	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r7Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
# r7Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=12322 /-]		
# r8interview_start: Date of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		

# r8interview_end: Date of when the respondentn completed the questionnaire.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# r8u: Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7. New thematical sub-groups are defined in wave 8. These are defined in variable R8v.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4435 /-] [Invalid=12576 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7. New thematical sub-groups are defined in wave 8. These are defined in variable R8v.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	U1	1119	25.2%
2	U2	1110	25.0%
3	U3	1077	24.3%
4	U4	1129	25.5%
Sysmiss		12576	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rekruttert: The wave the respondent was recruited.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rekruttert runde 1	2058	23.9%
3	Rekruttert runde 3	2377	27.6%
8	Rekruttert runde 8	4177	48.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_filter: Background variabel for R8FRflykt. Variable equals 1 if respondent is asked r6friiv1_1.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variabel for R8FRflykt.]		
Notes	Definerer respondenter som tidligere er spurt r6friiv1_1. Hvis verdi 1 på denne variabelen skal R8FRflykt stilles i pane		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Is not asked r6friiv1_1 tidligere	7722	89.7%
1	Is asked r6friiv1_1 tidligere	890	10.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km4_b_filter: Background variabel for R8KM4b. Variable equals 1 if respondent is asked r7dvh_1.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variabel for R8KM4b.]		
Notes	Definerer respondenter som tidligere er spurt r7dvh_1. Hvis verdi 1 på denne variabelen skal R8KM4_b stilles i paneldele		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Is not asked r7dvh_1 tidligere	5190	60.3%

# r8km4_b_filter: Background variabel for R8KM4b. Variable equals 1 if respondent is asked r7dvh_1.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Is asked r7dvh_1 tidligere	3422	39.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km5_filter: Background variabel for R8KM5. Variable equals 1 if respondent is asked R7KM5.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variabel for R8KM5.]		
Notes	Definerer respondenter som tidligere er spurt R7KM5. Hvis verdi 1 på denne variabelen skal R7KM5 stilles i pannedelen av		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Is not asked R7KM5 earlier	7696	89.4%
1	Is asked R7KM5 earlier	916	10.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8browserstype: Browertype used by respondent to complete the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browertype used by respondent]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		3873	45.0%
Firefox		677	7.9%
IE		38	0.4%
InternetExplorer		1250	14.5%
Mozilla		62	0.7%
Opera		2	0.0%
Safari		2708	31.4%
Unknown		2	0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8browserversion: Browser version used by respondent to complete the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browser version used by respondent]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.0		175	2.0%
10.0		1963	22.8%
10.1		19	0.2%
10.2		1	0.0%
10.3		1	0.0%
11.0		1251	14.5%
27.0		1	0.0%
28.0		1	0.0%
3.6		1	0.0%
30.0		2	0.0%

# r8browserversion: Browser version used by respondent to complete the questionnaire			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31.0		1	0.0%
33.0		2	0.0%
34.0		13	0.2%
37.0		2	0.0%
38.0		31	0.4%
39.0		3	0.0%
40.0		1	0.0%
41.0		2	0.0%
42.0		8	0.1%
43.0		6	0.1%
44.0		188	2.2%
45.0		9	0.1%
45.9		2	0.0%
46.0		51	0.6%
47.0		8	0.1%
48.0		21	0.2%
49.0		78	0.9%
5.0		6	0.1%
5.1		10	0.1%
50.0		19	0.2%
51.0		1143	13.3%
52.0		277	3.2%
53.0		9	0.1%
54.0		19	0.2%
55.0		93	1.1%
56.0		2430	28.2%
57.0		123	1.4%
58.0		3	0.0%
59.0		2	0.0%
6.0		3	0.0%
6.1		20	0.2%
7.0		26	0.3%
8.0		97	1.1%
9.0		409	4.7%
9.1		80	0.9%
9.8		2	0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mobil: Determines if the respondents uses smart phone to answer the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not use smart phone	6699	77.8%
1	Does use smart phone	1913	22.2%

# r8mobil: Determines if the respondents uses smart phone to answer the questionnaire			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8opplosing: Screen resolution of the applied device used to complete the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Screen resolution of the applied device]		
# r8enhetstype: Determines respondents device type used to complete the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	6849	79.5%
2	Touch	1744	20.3%
3	Generic	19	0.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8advancedwifeaturesenabled: Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
False		25	0.3%
True		8587	99.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8v: [The questionnaire is roughly divided into four groups. The respondents only answer questions connected to one of these four groups. This variable randomizes all respondents (new and old) into one of these four thematic groups.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[The questionnaire is roughly divided into four groups. The respondents only answer questions connected to one of these four groups. This variable randomizes all respondents (new and old) into one of these four thematic groups.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	v1	2226	25.8%
2	v2	2133	24.8%
3	v3	2114	24.5%
4	v4	2139	24.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k1: Interest in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		

# r8k1: Interest in politics			
Literal question	In general, how interested are you in politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1054	12.2%
2	Interested	3849	44.7%
3	Somewhat interested	2795	32.5%
4	Not very interested	747	8.7%
5	Not interested at all	149	1.7%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k204: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	354	4.1%
2	The Conservative Party	1916	22.2%
3	The Progress Party	794	9.2%
4	The Liberal Party	377	4.4%
5	The Socialist Left Party	470	5.5%
6	The Centre Party	844	9.8%
7	The Green Party	342	4.0%
8	The Labour Party	2464	28.6%
9	The Red Party	245	2.8%
10	Other:	211	2.5%
11	Would not vote	274	3.2%
12	Not entitled to vote	233	2.7%
97	No answer	88	1.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k204_10_other: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet: (Hvilket parti ville du ha stemt på dersom det var stortingsvalg i morgen?)		
# r8k8_1: Self-placement on political scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		

# r8k8_1: Self-placement on political scale			
Pre-question	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those who are politically on the far right.		
Literal question	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	R8K8_1 (I politikken snakker man ofte om 'venstresiden' og 'høyresiden'. Nedenfor er en skala der 0 representerer de som		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	128	1.5%
2	1	208	2.4%
3	2	632	7.3%
4	3	1226	14.2%
5	4	1202	14.0%
6	5	1677	19.5%
7	6	1098	12.7%
8	7	1178	13.7%
9	8	747	8.7%
10	9	219	2.5%
11	10 Right	174	2.0%
97	No answer	123	1.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km1: Thoughts when you hear/read the words "global warming"			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the words "global warming"?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers, a couple of sentences would be nice, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 OR v=3 and u=2.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r8k13: How much the political system in Norway allows you to have a say in what the government does			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much would you say the political system in Norway allows people like you to have a say in what the government does?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	I hvilken grad vil du si at det politiske systemet i Norge gir folk som deg innflytelse på det myndighetene gjør?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	100	1.2%
2	To a great extent	1156	13.4%
3	To some extent	4118	47.8%
4	To a small extent	2602	30.2%
5	Not at all	406	4.7%
97	No answer	230	2.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8k14: Statement: Sometimes politics is so complicated that I do not understand what it's about			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Sometimes politics is so complicated that people like me do not understand what it's about.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:Politikken er i blant så innviklet at folk som meg ikke forstår hva den		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	306	3.6%
2	Agree	1050	12.2%
3	Agree somewhat	2735	31.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1047	12.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	1281	14.9%
6	Disagree	1555	18.1%
7	Strongly disagree	380	4.4%
97	No answer	258	3.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k10: Perception of the economic situation in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?		
Post-question	Do you think it is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1271	14.8%
2	Good	4428	51.4%
3	Quite good	1437	16.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	532	6.2%
5	Quite bad	358	4.2%
6	Bad	98	1.1%
7	Very bad	27	0.3%
97	No answer	461	5.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8tilfreds3: Satisfied with: The government in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	323	3.8%
2	Satisfied	2346	27.2%
3	Somewhat satisfied	2740	31.8%

r8tilfreds3: Satisfied with: The government in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Dissatisfied	2296	26.7%
5	Very dissatisfied	740	8.6%
97	No answer	167	1.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8tilfreds4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	962	11.2%
2	Satisfied	4180	48.5%
3	Somewhat satisfied	2058	23.9%
4	Dissatisfied	744	8.6%
5	Very dissatisfied	160	1.9%
97	No answer	508	5.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8k15: Can most people be trusted?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Notes Vil du si at de fleste mennesker i sin alminnelighet er til å stole på, eller mener du at man ikke kan være forsiktig

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Cannot be careful enough	219	2.5%
2	2	134	1.6%
3	3	445	5.2%
4	4	580	6.7%
5	5	980	11.4%
6	6	791	9.2%
7	7	1737	20.2%
8	8	2117	24.6%
9	9	805	9.3%
10	10 - Most people can be trusted	751	8.7%
97	No answer	53	0.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8straff: Assertion: Violent crimes should be punished more severely

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

# r8straff: Assertion: Violent crimes should be punished more severely			
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?		
Literal question	Violent crimes should be punished far more severely than at present.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Voldsforbrytelser bør straffes langt hardere enn i dag.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2097	24.3%
2	Agree	2700	31.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1914	22.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1089	12.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	317	3.7%
6	Disagree	244	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	55	0.6%
97	No answer	196	2.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8vekst: Assertion: Economic growth should be safeguarded through the development of industry, even if it conflicts with environmental interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?		
Literal question	Economic growth should be safeguarded through the development of industry, even if it comes into conflict with environmental interests.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Økonomisk vekst bør sikres gjennom utbygging av industrien, selv om d		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	333	3.9%
2	Agree	1005	11.7%
3	Agree somewhat	1894	22.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1035	12.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	1817	21.1%
6	Disagree	1673	19.4%
7	Strongly disagree	683	7.9%
97	No answer	172	2.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8storstat: Assertion: Government should contribute to reducing income differences			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?		
Literal question	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Staten bør bidra til å redusere inntektsforskjeller i samfunnet.		

r8storstat: Assertion: Government should contribute to reducing income differences

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1612	18.7%
2	Agree	2711	31.5%
3	Agree somewhat	2265	26.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	784	9.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	595	6.9%
6	Disagree	331	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	100	1.2%
97	No answer	214	2.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8egeninnsats: Assertion: People should work hard through own efforts without counting on help

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?
Literal question	People should work hard to get ahead through their own efforts without counting on help from others.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Folk bør arbeide hardt for å komme seg opp og frem ved egen innsats u

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	512	5.9%
2	Agree	1972	22.9%
3	Agree somewhat	2947	34.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1090	12.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	1201	13.9%
6	Disagree	603	7.0%
7	Strongly disagree	159	1.8%
97	No answer	128	1.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8sparlikhet: Assertion: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?
Literal question	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Heterofile og homofile par bør ha like rettigheter.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3742	43.5%
2	Agree	2520	29.3%
3	Agree somewhat	749	8.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	535	6.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	357	4.1%
6	Disagree	326	3.8%

r8parlikhet: Assertion: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	219	2.5%
97	No answer	164	1.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8euint: Assertion: European integration through the EU gone too far

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?
Literal question	European integration through the EU has gone too far.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Europeisk integrasjon gjennom EU har gått for langt.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1197	13.9%
2	Agree	1695	19.7%
3	Agree somewhat	1869	21.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1914	22.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	740	8.6%
6	Disagree	792	9.2%
7	Strongly disagree	177	2.1%
97	No answer	228	2.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8innvandring: Assertion: Immigration a threat to Norwegian culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?
Literal question	Immigration poses a serious threat to Norwegian culture.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Innvandring utgjør en alvorlig trussel mot norsk kultur.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	851	9.9%
2	Agree	1059	12.3%
3	Agree somewhat	1846	21.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	894	10.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	1105	12.8%
6	Disagree	1797	20.9%
7	Strongly disagree	896	10.4%
97	No answer	164	1.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8bekym_ran: [Randomly chooses if R8BEKYM_comment should be asked to the respondent.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r8bekym_ran: [Randomly chooses if R8BEKYM_comment should be asked to the respondent.]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses if R8BEKYM_comment should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Do not get R8BEKYM_comment.	4385	50.9%
1	Get R8BEKYM_comment	4227	49.1%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8bekym: Concern about climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	1327	15.4%
2	Concerned	2948	34.2%
3	Somewhat concerned	2820	32.7%
4	Not particularly concerned	1215	14.1%
5	Not at all concerned	256	3.0%
97	No answer	46	0.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8bekym_comment: Comments to concern about climate change [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8BEKYM_ran=1. Asked on the same page as R8BEKYM.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8fr1_friv_0: Volunteering: Art and culture, band and choir			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Kunst og kultur, korps og kor (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for friv		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1941	22.5%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	280	3.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r8fr1_friv_1: Volunteering: Athletics and sport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Athletics and sport
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]
Notes	Idrett og sport (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organis

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1786	20.7%
1	Athletics and sport	435	5.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8fr1_friv_2: Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Hobby, fritid, utendørsaktivitet (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1895	22.0%
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	326	3.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_3: Volunteering: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Education, training and research		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Utdanning, opplæring og forskning (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2038	23.7%
1	Education, training and research	183	2.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_4: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Helse, pleie og redningsarbeid (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for fri		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2106	24.5%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	115	1.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8fr1_friv_5: Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Sosiale tjenester og rusmiddelomsorg (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats f		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2144	24.9%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	77	0.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_6: Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Natur-, miljø- og dyrevern (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivil		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2121	24.6%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	100	1.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_7: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Velforeninger, grendelag og nærmiljø (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1874	21.8%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	347	4.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

# r8fr1_friv_7: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_8: Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Borettslag og boligbyggelag (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivil		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2017	23.4%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	204	2.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_9: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Rettighets-, støtte- og påvirkningsarbeid (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2184	25.4%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	37	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_10: Volunteering: Political parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Political parties		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Politiske partier (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organ		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2150	25.0%
1	Political parties	71	0.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%

# r8fr1_friv_10: Volunteering: Political parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_11: Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Internasjonal utveksling, nødhjelp, bistand og menneskerettighetsarbeid (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2140	24.8%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	81	0.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_12: Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Yrkes-, bransje- og fagforeninger (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2091	24.3%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	130	1.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_13: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Religion og livssyn inkludert den norske kirke (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeid		

r8fr1_friv_13: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2067	24.0%
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	154	1.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8fr1_friv_14: Volunteering: Immigrant organisations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Immigrant organisations
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]
Notes	Innvandrerorganisasjoner (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillig

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2193	25.5%
1	Immigrant organisations	28	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8fr1_friv_15: Volunteering: Other, specify

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]
Notes	Annet, spesifiser (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organ

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2120	24.6%
1	Other, specify	101	1.2%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8fr1_friv_15_other: Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]

# r8fr1_friv_15_other: Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]			
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organ		
# r8fr1_friv_16: No, not volunteering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:		
Literal question	No		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Notes	Nei (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner på		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1393	16.2%
1	No	828	9.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1_friv_utfortarbeid: [Background variable. Variabel =1 if respondent has carried out volunteer work (R8FR1_friv).]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2220 /-] [Invalid=14791 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Variabel =1 if respondent has carried out volunteer work (R8FR1_friv).]		
Notes	Bakgrunnsvariabel. Koder R8FR1_friv om til dikotom som viser om respondenten har utført, eller ikke utført, frivillig a		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Ikke utført frivillig arbeid siste 12 mnd	847	38.2%
1	Utført frivillig arbeid siste 12 mnd	1373	61.8%
Sysmiss		14791	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frtidaar: Time spent on voluntary work an average week			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much time do you ordinarily spend on voluntary work in an average week?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FR1_friv_16!=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than half an hour	499	5.8%
2	Between half an hour and an hour	227	2.6%
3	1 to 2 hours	280	3.3%
4	2 to 5 hours	190	2.2%
5	More than five hours	92	1.1%
6	Not sure	91	1.1%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7214	83.8%

# r8frtidaar: Time spent on voluntary work an average week			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frfrivuker: Has during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Have you during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FR1_friv_16!=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	901	10.5%
2	No	463	5.4%
97	No answer	34	0.4%
98	Not asked	7214	83.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frfrivson: Has during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work on Sundays			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Have you during the past 4 weeks carried out voluntary work on Sundays?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfrivuker=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	378	4.4%
2	No	472	5.5%
97	No answer	51	0.6%
98	Not asked	7711	89.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frfrivsona: How many Sundays you have carried out voluntary work during the last 4 weeks			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	On how many Sundays have you carried out voluntary work during the last 4 weeks?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfrivson=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	212	2.5%
2	2	116	1.3%
3	3	21	0.2%
4	4	19	0.2%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	8234	95.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frfrivsonb_1: Hours of voluntary work carried out on an average Sunday during the last 4 weeks			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

r8frfrivsonb_1: Hours of voluntary work carried out on an average Sunday during the last 4 weeks

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	Over the last 4 weeks, about how many hours of voluntary work have you carried out on an average Sunday?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfrivson=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	R8FRfrivsonb_1 (I løpet av de siste 4 ukene, omtrent hvor mange timer frivillig arbeid utførte du på en gjennomsnittli

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5	0.1%
1		76	0.9%
2		113	1.3%
3		59	0.7%
4		35	0.4%
5		21	0.2%
6		12	0.1%
7		3	0.0%
8		8	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
97	No answer	41	0.5%
98	Not asked	8234	95.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frfrivsonb_1: Statement volunteering: Get involved in initiatives, but seldom in regular meetings

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below about volunteering. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	I will gladly get involved in specific initiatives started up by the organisation(s), but I am seldom able to participate in regular meetings
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FR1_friv_16!=1.]
Notes	Jeg stiller gjerne opp på bestemte tiltak organisasjonen(e) setter i gang, men orker sjelden å delta i vanlig møtevirksomhet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	61	0.7%
2	Agree	250	2.9%
3	Agree somewhat	290	3.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	232	2.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	171	2.0%
6	Disagree	251	2.9%
7	Strongly disagree	82	1.0%
97	No answer	61	0.7%
98	Not asked	7214	83.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8frutsagn_2: Statement volunteering: More content with myself			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Consider the statements below about volunteering. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	As a volunteer I am more content with myself		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FR1_friv_16!=1.]		
Notes	Som frivillig blir jeg mer fornøyd med meg selv (Se på utsagnene nedenfor om frivillighet. I hvor stor grad er du enig		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	121	1.4%
2	Agree	474	5.5%
3	Agree somewhat	342	4.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	285	3.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	37	0.4%
6	Disagree	44	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	24	0.3%
97	No answer	71	0.8%
98	Not asked	7214	83.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frutsagn_3: Statement volunteering: Feel important			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Consider the statements below about volunteering. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?		
Literal question	I feel important when I am working as a volunteer		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FR1_friv_16!=1.]		
Notes	Jeg føler meg betydningsfull når jeg arbeider som frivillig (Se på utsagnene nedenfor om frivillighet. I hvor stor gra		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	115	1.3%
2	Agree	410	4.8%
3	Agree somewhat	307	3.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	341	4.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	43	0.5%
6	Disagree	69	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	35	0.4%
97	No answer	78	0.9%
98	Not asked	7214	83.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_0: Member of organisation within: Arts and culture, bands and choirs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Arts and culture, bands and choirs		

# r8fr1medl_0: Member of organisation within: Arts and culture, bands and choirs			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_0=1.]		
Notes	Kunst og kultur, korps og kor (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kry		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		108	1.3%
1	Arts and culture, bands and choirs	172	2.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8332	96.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_1: Member of organisation within: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Athletics and sport		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_1=1.]		
Notes	Idrett og sport (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss av dersom d		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		128	1.5%
1	Athletics and sport	307	3.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8177	94.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_2: Member of organisation within: Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_2=1.]		
Notes	Hobby, fritid, utendørsaktivitet (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		152	1.8%
1	Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities	174	2.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8286	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_3: Member of organisation within: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		

# r8fr1medl_3: Member of organisation within: Education, training and research			
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Education, training and research		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_3=1.]		
Notes	Utdanning, oppl�ring og forskning (Du har tidligere svart at du har utf�rt frivillig arbeid innenfor f�lgende omr�der		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		111	1.3%
1	Education, training and research	71	0.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8429	97.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_4: Member of organisation within: Health, care and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Health, care and rescue work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_4=1.]		
Notes	Helse, pleie og redningsarbeid (Du har tidligere svart at du har utf�rt frivillig arbeid innenfor f�lgende omr�der. Kr		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		67	0.8%
1	Health, care and rescue work	48	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8497	98.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_5: Member of organisation within: Social services and substance abuse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_5=1.]		
Notes	Sosiale tjenester og rusmiddelomsorg (Du har tidligere svart at du har utf�rt frivillig arbeid innenfor f�lgende omr�d		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		45	0.5%
1	Social services and substance abuse	32	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8535	99.1%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8fr1medl_6: Member of organisation within: Nature, environment and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Nature, environment and animal protection		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_6=1.]		
Notes	Natur-, miljø- og dyrevern (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		48	0.6%
1	Nature, environment and animal protection	52	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8512	98.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_7: Member of organisation within: Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_7=1.]		
Notes	Velforeninger, grendelag og nærmiljø (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende omr		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		91	1.1%
1	Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community	256	3.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8265	96.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_8: Member of organisation within: Housing cooperatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Housing cooperatives and housing associations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_8=1.]		
Notes	Borettslag og boligbyggelag (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		48	0.6%
1	Housing cooperatives and housing associations	156	1.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8408	97.6%

# r8fr1medl_8: Member of organisation within: Housing cooperatives and housing associations			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_9: Member of organisation within: Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_9=1.]		
Notes	Rettinghets-, støtte- og påvirkningsarbeid (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		15	0.2%
1	Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work	22	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8575	99.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_10: Member of organisation within: Political parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Political parties		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_10=1.]		
Notes	Politiske partier (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss av dersom		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3	0.0%
1	Political parties	68	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8541	99.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_11: Member of organisation within: International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_11=1.]		
Notes	Internasjonal utveksling, nødhjelp, bistand og menneskerettighetsarbeid (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivil		

r8fr1medl_11: Member of organisation within: International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		28	0.3%
1	International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work	52	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8531	99.1%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8fr1medl_12: Member of organisation within: Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].
Literal question	Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_12=1.]
Notes	Yrkes-, bransje- og fagforeninger (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		22	0.3%
1	Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions	108	1.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8482	98.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8fr1medl_13: Member of organisation within: Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].
Literal question	Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_13=1.]
Notes	Religion og livssyn inkludert den norske kirke (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følge

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		18	0.2%
1	Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church	136	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8458	98.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8fr1medl_14: Member of organisation within: Immigrant organisations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].

# r8fr1medl_14: Member of organisation within: Immigrant organisations			
Literal question	Immigrant organisations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_14=1.]		
Notes	Innvandrerorganisasjoner (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss av		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		21	0.2%
1	Immigrant organisations	7	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8584	99.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1medl_15: Member of organisation within: [Other]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you are a member of one or more organizations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Other [^f('R8FR1_friv_15_other')^]]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_15=1.]		
Notes	^f('R8FR1_friv_15_other')^ (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		41	0.5%
1	[Other]	60	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8511	98.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frtidaarorg: Time spent participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation the last year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend participating in member activities in a voluntary organisation?		
Post-question	E.g. involved in training, exercises, membership meetings, social gatherings or similar		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FR1medl is answered.]		
Notes	Om du tenker på det siste året under ett, omtrent hvor mye tid har du brukt på å delta i medlemsaktiviteter i en friv		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than half an hour	250	2.9%
2	Between half an hour and one hour	189	2.2%
3	1 to 2 hours	251	2.9%
4	2 to 5 hours	214	2.5%
5	More than 5 hours	129	1.5%
6	Not sure	80	0.9%
97	No answer	285	3.3%
98	Not asked	7214	83.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8fr1verv_0: Position of trust within: Arts and culture, bands and choirs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Arts and culture, bands and choirs		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_0=1.]		
Notes	Kunst og kultur, korps og kor (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kry		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		180	2.1%
1	Arts and culture, bands and choirs	100	1.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8332	96.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_1: Position of trust within: Athletics and sport			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Athletics and sport		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_1=1.]		
Notes	Idrett og sport (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss av dersom d		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		289	3.4%
1	Athletics and sport	145	1.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8177	94.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_2: Position of trust within: Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_2=1.]		
Notes	Hobby, fritid, utendørsaktivitet (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		249	2.9%
1	Hobbies, leisure activities, outdoor activities	77	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8286	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8fr1verv_3: Position of trust within: Education, training and research			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Education, training and research		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_3=1.]		
Notes	Utdanning, oppl�ring og forskning (Du har tidligere svart at du har utf�rt frivillig arbeid innenfor f�lgende omr�der		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		145	1.7%
1	Education, training and research	37	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8429	97.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_4: Position of trust within: Health, care and rescue work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Health, care and rescue work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_4=1.]		
Notes	Helse, pleie og redningsarbeid (Du har tidligere svart at du har utf�rt frivillig arbeid innenfor f�lgende omr�der. Kr		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		97	1.1%
1	Health, care and rescue work	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8497	98.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_5: Position of trust within: Social services and substance abuse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_5=1.]		
Notes	Sosiale tjenester og rusmiddelomsorg (Du har tidligere svart at du har utf�rt frivillig arbeid innenfor f�lgende omr�d		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		59	0.7%
1	Social services and substance abuse	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8535	99.1%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8fr1verv_6: Position of trust within: Nature, environment and animal protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Nature, environment and animal protection		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_6=1.]		
Notes	Natur-, miljø- og dyrevern (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		88	1.0%
1	Nature, environment and animal protection	12	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8512	98.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_7: Position of trust within: Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_7=1.]		
Notes	Velforeninger, grendelag og nærmiljø (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende omr		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		219	2.5%
1	Neighbourhood associations, special interest groups and local community	128	1.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8265	96.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8fr1verv_8: Position of trust within: Housing cooperatives and housing associations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Housing cooperatives and housing associations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_8=1.]		
Notes	Borettslag og boligbyggelag (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		117	1.4%
1	Housing cooperatives and housing associations	87	1.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8408	97.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_9: Position of trust within: Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_9=1.]		
Notes	Rettinghets-, støtte- og påvirkningsarbeid (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		24	0.3%
1	Civil liberties, support work and advocacy work	13	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8575	99.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_10: Position of trust within: Political parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Political parties		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_10=1.]		
Notes	Politiske partier (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss av dersom		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		27	0.3%
1	Political parties	44	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8541	99.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8fr1verv_11: Position of trust within: International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_11=1.]		
Notes	Internasjonal utveksling, nødhjelp, bistand og menneskerettighetsarbeid (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivil		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		55	0.6%
1	International exchanges, emergency relief, aid and human rights work	25	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8531	99.1%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_12: Position of trust within: Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_12=1.]		
Notes	Yrkes-, bransje- og fagforeninger (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		53	0.6%
1	Professional associations, industry associations and trade unions	77	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8482	98.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_13: Position of trust within: Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_13=1.]		
Notes	Religion og livssyn inkludert den norske kirke (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følge		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		89	1.0%
1	Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church	65	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8458	98.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

# r8fr1verv_13: Position of trust within: Religion and spirituality including the Norwegian church			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_14: Position of trust within: Immigrant organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Immigrant organisations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_14=1.]		
Notes	Innvandrerorganisasjoner (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss av		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		23	0.3%
1	Immigrant organisations	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8584	99.7%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8fr1verv_15: Position of trust within: [Other]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	You have previously answered that you have carried out voluntary work in the following area(s). Tick if you have a position of trust in one or more organisations within [this area/these areas].		
Literal question	Other [^(R8FR1_friv_15_other)^]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1, R8FR1_friv_utfortArbeid=1 and R8FR1_friv_15=1.]		
Notes	^(R8FR1_friv_15_other)^ (Du har tidligere svart at du har utført frivillig arbeid innenfor følgende områder. Kryss		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		67	0.8%
1	[Other]	34	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8511	98.8%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1: Contribution refugee situation: Donation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/equipment)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_filter=0.]		
Notes	Donasjon (f.eks. pengegaver/klær/utstyr) (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere ti		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1369	15.9%
1	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/equipment)	605	7.0%

# r8frflykt_1: Contribution refugee situation: Donation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6629	77.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_2: Contribution refugee situation: Physical contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_filter=0.]		
Notes	Fysisk (f.eks. delt ut mat/klær/utstyr direkte til flyktningene) (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flykt		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1914	22.2%
1	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)	60	0.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6629	77.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3: Contribution refugee situation: Administrative contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_filter=0.]		
Notes	Administrativt (f.eks. koordinert handling/informasjonsarbeid) (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktnin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1937	22.5%
1	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)	37	0.4%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6629	77.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_4: Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation?		

# r8rflykt_4: Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution			
	More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other form of contribution; specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_filter=0.]		
Notes	Annen form for innsats, spesifiser: (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere til Norg)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1867	21.7%
1	Other form of contribution; specify:	107	1.2%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6629	77.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_4_other: Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other form of contribution; specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_filter=0.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annen form for innsats, spesifiser: (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere til Norg)		
# r8rflykt_5: Contribution refugee situation: No, none of these			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	No, none of these		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_filter=0.]		
Notes	Nei, ingen av disse (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere til Norge. Har du i løp		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		738	8.6%
1	No, none of these	1236	14.4%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6629	77.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt1_1: What kind of contribution: Gave money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this?		

# r8frflykt1_1: What kind of contribution: Gave money			
	More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gave money		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		271	3.1%
1	Gave money	440	5.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_2: What kind of contribution: Gave clothing/equipment/food			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gave clothing/equipment/food		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		335	3.9%
1	Gave clothing/equipment/food	376	4.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_3: What kind of contribution: Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Delt ut klær/utstyr/mat direkte til flyktninger (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		681	7.9%
1	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees	30	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_4: What kind of contribution: Acted as a refugee guide			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		

# r8frflykt1_4: What kind of contribution: Acted as a refugee guide			
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Acted as a refugee guide		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		701	8.1%
1	Acted as a refugee guide	10	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_5: What kind of contribution: Acted as visiting friend/social contact			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Acted as visiting friend/social contact		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		666	7.7%
1	Acted as visiting friend/social contact	45	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_6: What kind of contribution: Helped with homework/lessons			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with homework/lessons		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		689	8.0%
1	Helped with homework/lessons	22	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_7: What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		

# r8frflykt1_7: What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings			
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Organised gatherings		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		687	8.0%
1	Organised gatherings	24	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_8: What kind of contribution: Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Bidratt med norskoppl�ring/annen undervisning (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		674	7.8%
1	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching	37	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_9: What kind of contribution: Helped with organisation and activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Bidratt med organisering og aktiviteter (f.eks. p� asyilmottak) (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		687	8.0%
1	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)	24	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8frflykt1_10: What kind of contribution: Housed refugees at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Housed refugees at home		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		707	8.2%
1	Housed refugees at home	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_11: What kind of contribution: Administered/coordinated volunteers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Administered/coordinated volunteers		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		693	8.0%
1	Administered/coordinated volunteers	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_12: What kind of contribution: Information work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Information work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		683	7.9%
1	Information work	28	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8frflykt1_13: What kind of contribution: Editing/moderating websites			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Redigering/moderering av nettsider (inkl. sosiale medier) (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		707	8.2%
1	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_14: What kind of contribution: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		683	7.9%
1	Other, specify:	28	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt1_14_other: What kind of contribution: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8frflykt2_1: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Traditional voluntary organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		

# r8frflykt2_1: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Traditional voluntary organisation			
Literal question	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	En tradisjonell frivillig organisasjon (f.eks. Røde Kors, Norsk Folkehjelp) (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig inn		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		304	3.5%
1	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)	407	4.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt2_2: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Newly-formed initiative/organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Et nylig opprettet initiativ/organisasjon (f.eks. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Dråpen i havet) (I regi av hvem har du utf		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		652	7.6%
1	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)	59	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt2_3: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Public body			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	En offentlig virksomhet (kommune, etat, e.l.) (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktnin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		633	7.4%
1	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)	78	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8frflykt2_4: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Private body			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A private body		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	En privat virksomhet (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktnings situasjonen?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		610	7.1%
1	A private body	101	1.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt2_5: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Asylum seekers' centre			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Et asylmottak (privat eller offentlig) (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktnings situas		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		674	7.8%
1	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)	37	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt2_6: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Own initiative/together with friends and family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Organised work on own initiative/together with friends and family		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Organiserte arbeidet på egenhånd/sammen med kjente (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med f		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		666	7.7%
1	Organised work on own initiative/together with friends and family	45	0.5%
97	No answer	0	

# r8frflykt2_6: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Own initiative/together with friends and family			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt2_7: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktningssituasjonen?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		655	7.6%
1	Other, specify:	56	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt2_7_other: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktningssituasjonen?)		
# r8frflykt3_1: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by an organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert av en organisasjon (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		545	6.3%
1	Was invited by an organisation	163	1.9%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%

# r8frflykt3_1: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by organisation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_2: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert via en Facebookgruppe, spesifiser hvilken gruppe: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		678	7.9%
1	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:	30	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_2_other: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Ble invitert via en Facebookgruppe, spesifiser hvilken gruppe: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for		
# r8frflykt3_3: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via the municipality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via the municipality in which I live		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert via kommunen der jeg bor (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		674	7.8%
1	Was invited via the municipality in which I live	34	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	

# r8frflykt3_3: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via the municipality			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_4: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via asylum seekers' centre			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert via asylmottak (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		704	8.2%
1	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre	4	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_5: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via private company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via a private company		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert via en privat bedrift (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		701	8.1%
1	Was invited via a private company	7	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_6: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by own workplace/place of study			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert av min egen arbeidsplass/studiested (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		678	7.9%

# r8frflykt3_6: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by own workplace/place of study			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study	30	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_7: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by close friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by close friends		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert av nære venner (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		641	7.4%
1	Was invited by close friends	67	0.8%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_8: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by acquaintances		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert av bekjente (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		626	7.3%
1	Was invited by acquaintances	82	1.0%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_9: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by other persons			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by other persons		

# r8frflykt3_9: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by other persons			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Ble invitert av andre personer (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		675	7.8%
1	Was invited by other persons	33	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_10: How did you become a volunteer: Own initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Took the initiative myself		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Tok selv initiativet (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		431	5.0%
1	Took the initiative myself	277	3.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_11: How did you become a volunteer: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		674	7.8%
1	Other, specify:	34	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7901	91.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt3_11_other: How did you become a volunteer: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# r8rflykt3_11_other: How did you become a volunteer: Other [anonymized]	
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Other, specify:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)

# r8frflykt4_1: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.		
Literal question	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	Annonsering eller omtale i aviser, nettaviser, TV eller radio (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivil)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		430	5.0%
1	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio	277	3.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt4_2: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By e-mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.		
Literal question	By e-mail		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	På e-post (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		666	7.7%
1	By e-mail	41	0.5%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt4_3: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.		
Literal question	On Facebook		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]		
Notes	På Facebook (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)		

r8frflykt4_3: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On Facebook

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		545	6.3%
1	On Facebook	162	1.9%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt4_4: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On other social media (e. g. Twitter)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	On other social media (e. g. Twitter)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]
Notes	På andre sosiale medier (f.eks. Twitter) (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningsit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		689	8.0%
1	On other social media (e. g. Twitter)	18	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt4_5: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By SMS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	By SMS text
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]
Notes	På SMS (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningsituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		649	7.5%
1	By SMS text	58	0.7%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt4_6: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

r8frflykt4_6: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By telephone

Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	By telephone
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]
Notes	I telefonsamtale (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		684	7.9%
1	By telephone	23	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt4_7: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By face-to-face contact

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	By face-to-face contact
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]
Notes	Gjennom ansikt til ansikt kontakt (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		502	5.8%
1	By face-to-face contact	205	2.4%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt4_8: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	Other places, specify:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.]
Notes	Andre steder, spesifiser: (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		653	7.6%
1	Other places, specify:	54	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.0%

r8frflykt4_8: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	7902	91.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt4_8_other: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	Other places, specify:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRflykt_1=1 or R8FRflykt_2=1 or R8FRflykt_3=1 or R8FRflykt_4=1 or R8FRflykt_5=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Andre steder, spesifiser: (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)

r8frfb: How often do you use Facebook?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	How often do you use Facebook?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	1313	15.2%
2	Weekly	285	3.3%
3	A few times a month	84	1.0%
4	Less frequently	61	0.7%
5	Never	152	1.8%
6	I do not have a profile on Facebook	308	3.6%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	6386	74.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frfb_2: Your Facebook network consists mainly of people who

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	Does your Facebook network consist mainly of people who...
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have the same political views as myself	96	1.1%
2	Have different political views than myself	114	1.3%
3	Have political views that are both similar and dissimilar to my own	1466	17.0%
97	No answer	67	0.8%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%

# r8frfb_2: Your Facebook network consists mainly of people who			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frfb_3: Amount of political content you see on Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much of the content you see on Facebook is connected to politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	50	0.6%
2	Quite a bit	256	3.0%
3	Some	739	8.6%
4	Little	407	4.7%
5	Very little	259	3.0%
97	No answer	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frfb_4: Likes being exposed to political viewpoints/content on Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you like being exposed to political viewpoints and content on Facebook?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	36	0.4%
2	A lot	280	3.3%
3	Neither like or dislike it	719	8.3%
4	Somewhat	413	4.8%
5	To a very small extent	252	2.9%
97	No answer	43	0.5%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frfb_5: How often do you disagree with political viewpoints/content you see on Facebook?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you disagree with political viewpoints and content that you see on Facebook?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	102	1.2%
2	Often	488	5.7%
3	Sometimes	896	10.4%
4	Rarely	154	1.8%

r8frfb_5: How often do you disagree with political viewpoints/content you see on Facebook?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Never	35	0.4%
97	No answer	68	0.8%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frfb_6: Are discussions about politics on Facebook more/less conflict-ridden than similar discussions elsewhere?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	Do you see discussions about society and politics on Facebook as more, similarly or less conflict-ridden than similar discussions elsewhere?
Post-question	For example, television, newspapers, elsewhere on the internet
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]
Notes	Opplever du diskusjoner om samfunn og politikk på Facebook som mer, like mye eller mindre konfliktfylte enn lignende dis

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	648	7.5%
2	Similarly	680	7.9%
3	Less	317	3.7%
97	No answer	98	1.1%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frfb_7: To what extent do you follow Facebook groups you mostly disagree with politically?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you follow Facebook pages/groups that you mostly disagree with politically?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]
Notes	I hvor stor grad følger du facebook-sider/grupper som du stort sett er politisk uenig med?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	11	0.1%
2	To a great extent	39	0.5%
3	To some extent	259	3.0%
4	To a small extent	589	6.8%
5	Not at all	797	9.3%
97	No answer	48	0.6%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frfb_8: How often changed technical settings in order to see less posts/block/remove people on Facebook because of political disagreement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	How often have you changed the technical settings in order to see less posts, block or remove people from your friend list on Facebook because they post political content that you disagree with?

r8frfb_8: How often changed technical settings in order to see less posts/block/remove people on Facebook because of political disagreement?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]

Notes Hvor ofte har du endret tekniske innstillinger for å se færre innlegg, blokkere eller fjerne personer fra din vennelist

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	30	0.3%
2	Often	70	0.8%
3	Sometimes	355	4.1%
4	Rarely	467	5.4%
5	Never	781	9.1%
97	No answer	40	0.5%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frfbeks_ran: [Randomizes if v=1. Randomly chosen text that is appended to R8FRfbeks.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1740 /-] [Invalid=15271 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if v=1. Randomly chosen text that is appended to R8FRfbeks.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK	355	20.4%
2	VG	348	20.0%
3	TV2	354	20.3%
4	Dagbladet	357	20.5%
5	norske medier	326	18.7%
Sysmiss		15271	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frfbeks: Degree of trust in facts on Facebook posted by media institution

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Literal question Generally thinking, how much trust do you have in the facts you see on Facebook that are posted by [R8FRfbeks_ran]?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=1 and R8FRfb<5.]

Notes Hvis du tenker generelt, hvor stor tillit har du til fakta du ser på Facebook som blir postet av ^f('R8FRfbeks_ran')^?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - No confidence	69	0.8%
2	1	36	0.4%
3	2	117	1.4%
4	3	158	1.8%
5	4	126	1.5%
6	5	275	3.2%
7	6	177	2.1%
8	7	213	2.5%
9	8	179	2.1%
10	9	66	0.8%
11	10 - Complete trust	31	0.4%
12	Not applicable	278	3.2%

# r8frfbeks: Degree of trust in facts on Facebook posted by media institution			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	6869	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad10_ran: [Randomizes if v=1. Randomly chooses between R8PAD10_BN, R8PAD10_JN, R8PAD10_BA and R8PAD10_JA.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 /-] [Invalid=14803 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=1. Randomly chooses between R8PAD10_BN, R8PAD10_JN, R8PAD10_BA and R8PAD10_JA.]		
Notes	Tilfeldig om respondenten får R8PAD10_BN, R8PAD10_JN, R8PAD10_BA eller R8PAD10_JA.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Asked R8PAD10_BN	547	24.8%
2	Asked R8PAD10_JN	537	24.3%
3	Asked R8PAD10_BA	574	26.0%
4	Asked R8PAD10_JA	550	24.9%
Sysmiss		14803	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad10_bn_1: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 0			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person A can give their money away to another randomly selected person B. Person A can choose between giving nothing (NOK 0) to B, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various choices person A can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>		
Literal question	Give nothing to participant B (NOK 2000 to A, NOK 0 to B)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=1.]		
Notes	Gi ingenting til deltaker B (2000 kroner til A, 0 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles ove		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	166	1.9%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	131	1.5%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	95	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	68	0.8%
97	No answer	87	1.0%
98	Not asked	8065	93.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad10_bn_2: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 500			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		

r8pad10_bn_2: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 500

Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person A can give their money away to another randomly selected person B. Person A can choose between giving nothing (NOK 0) to B, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various choices person A can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>
Literal question	Give NOK 500 to participant B (NOK 1,500 to A, NOK 500 to B)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=1.]
Notes	Gi 500 kroner til deltaker B (1500 kroner til A, 500 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	30	0.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	121	1.4%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	201	2.3%
4	Very socially acceptable	105	1.2%
97	No answer	90	1.0%
98	Not asked	8065	93.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad10_bn_3: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 1000

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person A can give their money away to another randomly selected person B. Person A can choose between giving nothing (NOK 0) to B, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various choices person A can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>
Literal question	Give NOK 1,000 to participant B (NOK 1,000 to A, NOK 1,000 to B)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=1.]
Notes	Gi 1000 kroner til deltaker B (1000 kroner til A, 1000 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stille

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	20	0.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	21	0.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	99	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	339	3.9%
97	No answer	68	0.8%
98	Not asked	8065	93.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad10_bn_4: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 1500

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

r8pad10_bn_4: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 1500

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person A can give their money away to another randomly selected person B. Person A can choose between giving nothing (NOK 0) to B, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various choices person A can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>
Literal question	Give NOK 1,500 to participant B (NOK 500 to A, NOK 1,500 to B)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=1.]
Notes	Gi 1500 kroner til deltaker B (500 kroner til A, 1500 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	42	0.5%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	111	1.3%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	138	1.6%
4	Very socially acceptable	157	1.8%
97	No answer	99	1.1%
98	Not asked	8065	93.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad10_bn_5: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Give NOK 2000

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person A can give their money away to another randomly selected person B. Person A can choose between giving nothing (NOK 0) to B, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various choices person A can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>
Literal question	Give everything to participant B (NOK 0 to A, NOK 2,000 to B)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=1.]
Notes	Gi alt til deltaker B (0 kroner til A, 2000 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	108	1.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	89	1.0%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	91	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	162	1.9%
97	No answer	97	1.1%
98	Not asked	8065	93.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8pad10_jn_1: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 0			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person B" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person B similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person B is randomly selected to take some of the money for person A. Person B can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from person A, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various options person B can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>		
Literal question	Take nothing from participant A (NOK 2,000 to A, NOK 0 to B)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=2.]		
Notes	Ta ingenting fra deltaker A (2000 kroner til A, 0 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person B» stilles ove		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	64	0.7%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	24	0.3%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	60	0.7%
4	Very socially acceptable	323	3.8%
97	No answer	66	0.8%
98	Not asked	8075	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad10_jn_2: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 500			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person B" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person B similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person B is randomly selected to take some of the money for person A. Person B can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from person A, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various options person B can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>		
Literal question	Take NOK 500 from participant A (NOK 1,500 to A, NOK 500 to B)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=2.]		
Notes	Ta 500 kroner fra deltaker A (1500 kroner til A, 500 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person B» stilles		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	113	1.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	76	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	163	1.9%
4	Very socially acceptable	105	1.2%
97	No answer	80	0.9%
98	Not asked	8075	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8pad10_jn_3: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 1000			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person B" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person B similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person B is randomly selected to take some of the money for person A. Person B can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from person A, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various options person B can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>		
Literal question	Take NOK 1,000 from participant A (NOK 1,000 to A, NOK 1,000 to B)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=2.]		
Notes	Ta 1000 kroner fra deltaker A (1000 kroner til A, 1000 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person B» stille		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	133	1.5%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	75	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	120	1.4%
4	Very socially acceptable	135	1.6%
97	No answer	74	0.9%
98	Not asked	8075	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad10_jn_4: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 1500			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person B" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person B similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person B is randomly selected to take some of the money for person A. Person B can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from person A, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various options person B can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>		
Literal question	NOK 1,500 from participant A (NOK 500 to A, NOK 1,500 to B)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=2.]		
Notes	Ta 1500 kroner fra deltaker A (500 kroner til A, 1500 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person B» stilles		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	292	3.4%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	121	1.4%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	23	0.3%
4	Very socially acceptable	16	0.2%
97	No answer	85	1.0%
98	Not asked	8075	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8pad10_jn_5: Evaluate choice, distribution of NOK 2000: Take NOK 2000			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person B" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person B similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows: "Person A is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Person B is randomly selected to take some of the money for person A. Person B can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from person A, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000)".</p> <p>The table below shows the various options person B can make themselves. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the five actions are. By socially acceptable it is meant "to be in accordance with moral or appropriate social behaviour".</p>		
Literal question	Take everything from participant A (NOK 0 to A, NOK 2,000 to B)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=2.]		
Notes	Ta alt fra deltaker A (0 kroner til A, 2000 kroner til B) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person B» stilles overfor i		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	406	4.7%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	20	0.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	7	0.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	21	0.2%
97	No answer	83	1.0%
98	Not asked	8075	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad10_ba: Distribution of NOK 2000: I choose to give...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Participant A in the Citizen Panel randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Participant A can give their money to another randomly selected participant, participant B. If you are randomly selected to be a participant A, you need to choose whether, and if so how much, to give to participant B. What you give, is kept by participant B.</p> <p>You can choose between giving nothing (NOK 0) to the second participant, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p>		
Literal question	I choose to give...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=3.]		
Notes	Deltaker A i Medborgerpanelet trekkes ut til å motta 2000 kroner. Deltaker A kan gi av pengene sine til en annen uttrukket		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing (NOK 2,000 to you, NOK 0 to participant B)	166	1.9%
2	NOK 500 (NOK 1,500 to you, NOK 500 to participant B)	93	1.1%
3	NOK 1,000 (NOK 1,000 to you, NOK 1,000 to participant B)	267	3.1%
4	NOK 1,500 (NOK 500 to you, NOK 1,500 allocated to participant B)	5	0.1%
5	Everything (NOK 0 to you, NOK 2,000 to participant B)	22	0.3%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	8038	93.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8pad10_ja: Distribution of NOK 2000: I choose to take...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Participant A in the Citizen Panel is randomly selected to receive NOK 2,000. Someone else, participant B, is randomly selected to take some of the money from participant A. If you are randomly selected to be a participant B you must choose whether, and if so how much, you should take from participant A. What you do not take is kept by participant A. You can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the second participant, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).		
Literal question	I choose to take...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R8PAD10_ran=4.]		
Notes	Deltaker A i Medborgerpanelet trekkes ut til å motta 2000 kroner. En annen, deltaker B, trekkes ut til å kunne ta av pe		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing (NOK 0 to you, NOK 2,000 to participant A)	227	2.6%
2	NOK 500 (NOK 500 to you, NOK 1,500 to participant A)	40	0.5%
3	NOK 1,000 (NOK 1,000 to you, NOK 1000 Participant A)	250	2.9%
4	NOK 1,500 (NOK 1,500 to you, NOK 500 to participant A)	4	0.0%
5	Everything (NOK 2,000 to you, NOK 0 to participant A)	22	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8062	93.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_1: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Christian Democrats			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	The Christian Democrats		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Kristelig Folkeparti (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1726	20.0%
1	The Christian Democrats	405	4.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_2: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	The Conservative Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Høyre (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		

r8pad1_2: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1377	16.0%
1	The Conservative Party	754	8.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad1_3: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]
Notes	Fremskrittspartiet (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1685	19.6%
1	The Progress Party	446	5.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad1_4: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.
Literal question	The Liberal Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]
Notes	Venstre (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1886	21.9%
1	The Liberal Party	245	2.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad1_5: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.

# r8pad1_5: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Socialist Left Party			
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Sosialistisk Venstreparti (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1775	20.6%
1	The Socialist Left Party	356	4.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_6: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Centre Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	The Centre Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Senterpartiet (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1379	16.0%
1	The Centre Party	752	8.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_7: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	The Green Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Miljøpartiet De Grønne (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1974	22.9%
1	The Green Party	157	1.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_8: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Labour Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r8pad1_8: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Labour Party			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	The Labour Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Arbeiderpartiet (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		900	10.5%
1	The Labour Party	1231	14.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_9: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: The Red Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	The Red Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Rødt (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2071	24.0%
1	The Red Party	60	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_10: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	Other:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Annet: (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2075	24.1%
1	Other:	56	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%

# r8pad1_10: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: Other			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad1_10_other: Government most likely after this year's parliamentary election: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Which government is in your opinion the most likely after this year's parliamentary elections? Select one or more parties from the list.		
Literal question	Other:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet: (Hvilken regjering er etter din mening den mest sannsynlige etter årets stortingsvalg?)		
# r8km2_comment_ran: [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses if R8KM2_comment should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4244 /-] [Invalid=12767 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses if R8KM2_comment should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Respondent is not asked R8KM2_comment.	2143	50.5%
1	Respondent is asked R8KM2_comment.	2101	49.5%
Sysmiss		12767	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km2_answer_ran: [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomizes the answerlist of R8KM2. 1= not changing -> due to human action. 2= due to human action -> not changing.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4244 /-] [Invalid=12767 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomizes the answerlist of R8KM2. 1= not changing -> due to human action. 2= due to human action -> not changing.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not changing -> Changing due to human activity	2131	50.2%
2	Changing due to human activity-> Not changing	2113	49.8%
Sysmiss		12767	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km2: Which statement best describes your view on climate change?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Based on what you know, which of the following statements best describes your view on climate change?		
Post-question	Choose one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. The order of the answer list is randomized. Please see R8KM2_answer_ran.]		
Notes	Ut fra det du vet, hvilken av påstandene nedenfor beskriver best ditt syn på klimaendringer?		

# r8km2: Which statement best describes your view on climate change?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I believe that the climate is not changing.	19	0.2%
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	164	1.9%
3	I believe that the climate is changing, but that it has little to do with human action	1071	12.4%
4	I believe that the climate is changing, and that it to a large extent is due to human action.	2925	34.0%
97	No answer	68	0.8%
98	Not asked	4365	50.7%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km2_comment: Comments on your view on climate change [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3 and R8KM2_comment_ran=1. Asked on the same page as R8KM2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8km3_ran: [Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses R8KM3_a or R8KM3_b to ask the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2128 /-] [Invalid=14883 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses R8KM3_a or R8KM3_b to ask the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8KM3_a	1071	50.3%
2	Respondent is asked R8KM3_b	1057	49.7%
Systemmiss		14883	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km3_comment_ran: [Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses if R8KM3_comment should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2128 /-] [Invalid=14883 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses if R8KM3_comment should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Respondent is not asked R8KM3_comment	1050	49.3%
1	Respondent is asked R8KM3_comment	1078	50.7%
Systemmiss		14883	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km3_a: Party with the best climate policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Which party has the best climate policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM3_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	78	0.9%

r8km3_a: Party with the best climate policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	The Progress Party	31	0.4%
3	The Conservative Party	92	1.1%
4	The Socialist Left Party	80	0.9%
5	The Centre Party	51	0.6%
6	The Christian Democratic Party	10	0.1%
7	The Liberal Party	116	1.3%
8	Red	13	0.2%
9	The Green Party	268	3.1%
10	Other [write down]:	19	0.2%
11	None	248	2.9%
97	No answer	65	0.8%
98	Not asked	7541	87.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8km3_a_10_other: Party with the best climate policy, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party has the best climate policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM3_ran=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8km3_b: Party with the best environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Which party has the best environmental policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM3_ran=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	91	1.1%
2	The Progress Party	30	0.3%
3	The Conservative Party	92	1.1%
4	The Socialist Left Party	76	0.9%
5	The Centre Party	78	0.9%
6	The Christian Democratic Party	11	0.1%
7	The Liberal Party	98	1.1%
8	Red	15	0.2%
9	The Green Party	277	3.2%
10	Other [write down]:	14	0.2%
11	None	215	2.5%
97	No answer	60	0.7%
98	Not asked	7555	87.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km3_b_10_other: Party with the best environmental policy, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party has the best environmental policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM3_ran=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8km3_comment: Comments to party with the best climate/environmental politicy [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM3_comment_ran=1. Asked on the same page as R8KM3_a/b.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8km4_ran: [Randomizes if v=2 og R8KM4_b_filter=0. Randomly chooses R8KM4_a or R8KM4_b to ask the respondent. Randomizes only if the respondent has not answered on a similiar question in previous waves.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

r8km4_ran: [Randomizes if v=2 og R8KM4_b_filter=0. Randomly chooses R8KM4_a or R8KM4_b to ask the respondent. Randomizes only if the respondent has not answered on a similiar question in previous waves.]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=15729 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if v=2 og R8KM4_b_filter=0. Randomly chooses R8KM4_a or R8KM4_b to ask the respondent. Randomizes only if the respondent has not answered on a similiar question in previous waves.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8KM4_a	646	50.4%
2	Respondent is asked R8KM4_b	636	49.6%
Sysmiss		15729	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km4_a: Statement closest to your view: Should/should not be drilling for oil/gas off Lofoten/Vesterålen

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Literal question Which statement comes closest to your view:

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=2 and R8KM4_ran=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	There should be drilling for oil and gas off the Lofoten islands and Vesterålen.	236	2.7%
2	There should not be drilling for oil and gas off the Lofoten islands and Vesterålen.	393	4.6%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7966	92.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km4_b: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Pre-question Please look at the statement below.
We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.

Literal question To what extent do you agree/disagree with this?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=2 and R8KM4_ran=2.]

Notes Se på utsagnet nedenfor. Vi bør ikke tillate olje- og gassutvinning i området ved Lofoten og Vesterålen. I hvor stor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	177	2.1%
2	Agree	115	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	76	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	115	1.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	63	0.7%
6	Disagree	49	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	32	0.4%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	7976	92.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8km5_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM5_filter=0.]		
Notes	Teknologiske nyvinninger kommer til å løse klimaproblemene. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. I hvor stor grad er du enig ell		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	81	0.9%
2	Agree	279	3.2%
3	Agree somewhat	724	8.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	426	4.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	181	2.1%
6	Disagree	148	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	41	0.5%
97	No answer	48	0.6%
98	Not asked	6684	77.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km5_2: Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM5_filter=0.]		
Notes	Innbyggere i rike land som Norge bør redusere forbruket sitt som en del av løsningen på klimautfordringen. (Se på uts		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	338	3.9%
2	Agree	558	6.5%
3	Agree somewhat	509	5.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	211	2.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	107	1.2%
6	Disagree	114	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	62	0.7%
97	No answer	29	0.3%
98	Not asked	6684	77.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km7_ran: [Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses R8KM7_a or R8KM7_b to ask the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2122 /-] [Invalid=14889 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses R8KM7_a or R8KM7_b to ask the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8KM7_a	1076	50.7%

# r8km7_ran: [Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses R8KM7_a or R8KM7_b to ask the respondent.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Respondent is asked R8KM7_b	1046	49.3%
Sysmiss		14889	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km7_a_1: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Public transport instead of private cars			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society.</p> <p>Which of the following measures are the easiest for you to implement?</p> <p>Rate from 1 to 7 with the easiest as 1 and the hardest as 7.</p>		
Literal question	Utilising public transport instead of private cars		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=1.]		
Notes	Benytte kollektivtransport istedenfor privatbil (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det oft		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		139	1.6%
2		112	1.3%
3		76	0.9%
4		76	0.9%
5		79	0.9%
6		148	1.7%
7		259	3.0%
97	No answer	187	2.2%
98	Not asked	7536	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km7_a_2: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Reducing holiday travel by plane			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society.</p> <p>Which of the following measures are the easiest for you to implement?</p> <p>Rate from 1 to 7 with the easiest as 1 and the hardest as 7.</p>		
Literal question	Reducing holiday travel by plane		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=1.]		
Notes	Redusere feriereiser med fly (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det ofte at vi som enkeltm		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		123	1.4%
2		63	0.7%
3		72	0.8%
4		79	0.9%
5		103	1.2%

r8km7_a_2: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Reducing holiday travel by plane

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		192	2.2%
7		229	2.7%
97	No answer	215	2.5%
98	Not asked	7536	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_a_3: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Eating less meat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the easiest for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the easiest as 1 and the hardest as 7.
Literal question	Eating less meat
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=1.]
Notes	Spise mindre kjøtt (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det ofte at vi som enkeltmennesker

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		93	1.1%
2		95	1.1%
3		92	1.1%
4		126	1.5%
5		139	1.6%
6		158	1.8%
7		156	1.8%
97	No answer	217	2.5%
98	Not asked	7536	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_a_4: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying fewer goods

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the easiest for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the easiest as 1 and the hardest as 7.
Literal question	Buying fewer goods than before
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=1.]
Notes	Kjøpe færre varer enn tidligere (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det ofte at vi som en

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		99	1.1%
2		146	1.7%
3		162	1.9%

r8km7_a_4: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying fewer goods

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		177	2.1%
5		166	1.9%
6		93	1.1%
7		57	0.7%
97	No answer	176	2.0%
98	Not asked	7536	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_a_5: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying energy efficient appliances

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the easiest for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the easiest as 1 and the hardest as 7.
Literal question	Buying energy efficient appliances (e.g. white goods, heaters) despite there being cheaper alternatives
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=1.]
Notes	Kjøpe energieffektive varer (f.eks. hvitevarer, ovner) til tross for billigere alternativ (For å forhindre eller begren

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		113	1.3%
2		185	2.1%
3		165	1.9%
4		164	1.9%
5		125	1.5%
6		116	1.3%
7		56	0.7%
97	No answer	152	1.8%
98	Not asked	7536	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_a_6: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying things that will last a long time/can be reused

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the easiest for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the easiest as 1 and the hardest as 7.
Literal question	Buying things that will last a long time/can be reused
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=1.]
Notes	Kjøpe ting med lang varighet/ting som kan gjenbrukes (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes d

r8km7_a_6: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Buying things that will last a long time/can be reused

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		208	2.4%
2		210	2.4%
3		213	2.5%
4		152	1.8%
5		115	1.3%
6		49	0.6%
7		13	0.2%
97	No answer	116	1.3%
98	Not asked	7536	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_a_7: Rating environmental measures, from easiest to hardest to implement: Saving energy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the easiest for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the easiest as 1 and the hardest as 7.
Literal question	Saving energy (e.g. turning down the heating, turning off lights in rooms not in use)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=1.]
Notes	Spare energi (f.eks. skru ned varmen, slå av lys i rom som ikke er i bruk) (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige kl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		271	3.1%
2		193	2.2%
3		182	2.1%
4		100	1.2%
5		111	1.3%
6		62	0.7%
7		34	0.4%
97	No answer	123	1.4%
98	Not asked	7536	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_b_1: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Public transport instead of private cars

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the most difficult for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the hardest as 1 and the easiest as 7.

r8km7_b_1: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Public transport instead of private cars

Literal question	Utilising public transport instead of private cars
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=2.]
Notes	Benytte kollektivtransport istedenfor privatbil (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det oft

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		379	4.4%
2		122	1.4%
3		66	0.8%
4		57	0.7%
5		54	0.6%
6		89	1.0%
7		124	1.4%
97	No answer	155	1.8%
98	Not asked	7566	87.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_b_2: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Reducing holiday travel by plane

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the most difficult for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the hardest as 1 and the easiest as 7.
Literal question	Reducing holiday travel by plane
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=2.]
Notes	Redusere feriereiser med fly (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det ofte at vi som enkeltm

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		195	2.3%
2		170	2.0%
3		111	1.3%
4		89	1.0%
5		67	0.8%
6		82	1.0%
7		130	1.5%
97	No answer	202	2.3%
98	Not asked	7566	87.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_b_3: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Eating less meat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the most difficult for you to implement?

# r8km7_b_3: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Eating less meat			
	Rate from 1 to 7 with the hardest as 1 and the easiest as 7.		
Literal question	Eating less meat		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=2.]		
Notes	Spise mindre kjøtt (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det ofte at vi som enkeltmennesker		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		135	1.6%
2		158	1.8%
3		114	1.3%
4		93	1.1%
5		91	1.1%
6		106	1.2%
7		130	1.5%
97	No answer	219	2.5%
98	Not asked	7566	87.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km7_b_4: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Buying fewer goods			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society.</p> <p>Which of the following measures are the most difficult for you to implement?</p> <p>Rate from 1 to 7 with the hardest as 1 and the easiest as 7.</p>		
Literal question	Buying fewer goods than before		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=2.]		
Notes	Kjøpe færre varer enn tidligere (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes det ofte at vi som en		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		70	0.8%
2		136	1.6%
3		172	2.0%
4		154	1.8%
5		134	1.6%
6		89	1.0%
7		52	0.6%
97	No answer	239	2.8%
98	Not asked	7566	87.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km7_b_5: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Buying energy efficient appliances			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		

r8km7_b_5: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Buying energy efficient appliances

Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the most difficult for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the hardest as 1 and the easiest as 7.
Literal question	Buying energy efficient appliances (e.g. white goods, heaters) despite there being cheaper alternatives
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=2.]
Notes	Kjøpe energieffektive varer (f.eks. hvitevarer, ovner) til tross for billigere alternativ (For å forhindre eller begren

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		79	0.9%
2		134	1.6%
3		123	1.4%
4		133	1.5%
5		146	1.7%
6		126	1.5%
7		70	0.8%
97	No answer	235	2.7%
98	Not asked	7566	87.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km7_b_6: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Buying things that will last a long time/can be reused

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society. Which of the following measures are the most difficult for you to implement? Rate from 1 to 7 with the hardest as 1 and the easiest as 7.
Literal question	Buying things that will last a long time/can be reused
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=2.]
Notes	Kjøpe ting med lang varighet/ting som kan gjenbrukes (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige klimaendringer, hevdes d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		53	0.6%
2		86	1.0%
3		134	1.6%
4		130	1.5%
5		171	2.0%
6		153	1.8%
7		85	1.0%
97	No answer	234	2.7%
98	Not asked	7566	87.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8km7_b_7: Rating environmental measures, from hardest to easiest to implement: Saving energy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>In order to prevent or limit damaging climate change, it is often asserted that we as individuals must contribute towards a change-over to a low carbon society.</p> <p>Which of the following measures are the most difficult for you to implement?</p> <p>Rate from 1 to 7 with the hardest as 1 and the easiest as 7.</p>		
Literal question	Saving energy (e.g. turning down the heating, turning off lights in rooms not in use)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM7_ran=2.]		
Notes	Spare energi (f.eks. skru ned varmen, slå av lys i rom som ikke er i bruk) (For å forhindre eller begrense skadelige kl		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		94	1.1%
2		90	1.0%
3		117	1.4%
4		131	1.5%
5		111	1.3%
6		122	1.4%
7		168	2.0%
97	No answer	213	2.5%
98	Not asked	7566	87.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km7_comment: Comments to environmental measures [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=2. Asked on the same page as R8KM7_a/b.]</p> <p>[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]</p>		
# r8km8: To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Let us assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it.		
Literal question	To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	La oss forutsette at klimaendringer kan bli et stort problem for verden i fremtiden dersom ingenting gjøres med dem. I h		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is impossible to prevent harmful climate change	183	2.1%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to prevent harmful climate change	1428	16.6%
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to prevent harmful climate change.	441	5.1%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change	22	0.3%
97	No answer	59	0.7%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%

# r8km8: To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km8_comment: Comments to climate change [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2. Asked on the same page as R8KM8] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8km9_comment_ran: [Randomly chooses if R8KM9_comment should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2112 /-] [Invalid=14899 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses if R8KM9_comment should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Respondent is not asked R8KM3_comment	1028	48.7%
1	Respondent is asked R8KM3_comment	1084	51.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km9: How great is the diversity in opinion on climate change that emerges in the media?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, how great is the diversity in opinion on climate change that emerges in the media?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Hvor stort mangfold har du inntrykk av at det er i meningene som kommer frem i debatten om klimaendringer?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great diversity	68	0.8%
2	Great diversity	531	6.2%
3	Some diversity	927	10.8%
4	Little diversity	481	5.6%
5	No diversity at all	53	0.6%
97	No answer	73	0.8%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km9_comment: Comments to diversity in opinion on climate change [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM9_comment_ran=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8km10_ran: [Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses if R8KM10_a, R8KM10_b, R8KM10_c or R8KM10_d should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

r8km10_ran: [Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses if R8KM10_a, R8KM10_b, R8KM10_c or R8KM10_d should be asked to the respondent.]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2110 /-] [Invalid=14901 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2. Randomly chooses if R8KM10_a, R8KM10_b, R8KM10_c or R8KM10_d should be asked to the respondent.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8KM10_a	518	24.5%
2	Respondent is asked R8KM10_b	521	24.7%
3	Respondent is asked R8KM10_c	531	25.2%
4	Respondent is asked R8KM10_d	540	25.6%
Systemmiss		14901	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km10_a: Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions, critics argue courts should not decide

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	The lawsuit by environmental NGOs against the government over oil drilling in the Arctic, is an attempt to stop the Norwegian Government's planned oil drilling in the Arctic by taking the matter to court and getting the permit for oil exploration declared void. The environmental NGOs that are bringing the case are arguing that oil exploration in the Arctic is in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions. The critics argue that this is a political issue that the courts should not decide on.
Literal question	What do you think about this:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM10_ran=1.]
Notes	'Klimasøksmål Arktis' er et forsøk på å stanse den norske regjeringens planlagte oljeboring i Arktis ved å ta saken

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I think that the courts should make decisions on such questions.	191	2.2%
2	I do not think the courts should make decisions on such questions.	135	1.6%
3	I have no opinion on this	177	2.1%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	8094	94.0%
Systemmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km10_b: Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions + excerpts from law, critics argue courts should not decide

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	The lawsuit by environmental NGOs against the government over oil drilling in the Arctic, is an attempt to stop the Norwegian Government's planned oil drilling in the Arctic by taking the matter to court and getting the permit for oil exploration declared void. The environmental NGOs bringing the case are supported among others by leading lawyers who claim that oil exploration in the Arctic is in violation of Environmental provision (§112) of the Norwegian Constitution. This says among other things that "everyone has the right to an environment that ensures health and to a nature where productivity and diversity are preserved. Natural resources must be managed on the basis of comprehensive long-term considerations that will safeguard this right for future generations as well. State authorities must put into effect measures to implement these principles". The critics argue that this is a political issue that the courts should not decide on.
Literal question	What do you think about this:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM10_ran=2.]
Notes	'Klimasøksmål Arktis' er et forsøk på å stanse den norske regjeringens planlagte oljeboring i Arktis ved å ta saken

r8km10_b: Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions + excerpts from law, critics argue courts should not decide

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I think that the courts should make decisions on such questions.	209	2.4%
2	I do not think the courts should make decisions on such questions.	124	1.4%
3	I have no opinion on this	178	2.1%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	8091	94.0%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km10_c: Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions, critics argue courts should not decide because of power sharing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	The lawsuit by environmental NGOs against the government over oil drilling in the Arctic, is an attempt to stop the Norwegian Government's planned oil drilling in the Arctic by taking the matter to court and getting the permit for oil exploration declared void. The environmental NGOs that are bringing the case are arguing that oil exploration in the Arctic is in violation of the environmental provisions of the Norwegian Constitution. Critics argue that this is a political question that the courts should not decide on, due to the principles of power sharing between the government, parliament and judiciary. Leading law professors believe that if the court supports the plaintiffs that it will be a revolution in Norwegian legal tradition.
Literal question	What do you think about this:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM10_ran=3.]
Notes	'Klimasøksmål Arktis' er et forsøk på å stanse den norske regjeringens planlagte oljeboring i Arktis ved å ta saken

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I think that the courts should make decisions on such questions.	138	1.6%
2	I do not think the courts should make decisions on such questions.	154	1.8%
3	I have no opinion on this	225	2.6%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	8081	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km10_d: Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions, critics argue courts should not decide, similar proceedings in other countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	The lawsuit by environmental NGOs against the government over oil drilling in the Arctic, is an attempt to stop the Norwegian Government's planned oil drilling in the Arctic by taking the matter to court and getting the permit for oil exploration declared void. The environmental NGOs that are bringing the case are arguing that oil exploration in the Arctic is in violation of the environmental provisions of the Norwegian Constitution. The critics argue that this is a political issue that the courts should not decide on. Similar legal proceedings have been conducted in other countries.
Literal question	What do you think about this:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R8KM10_ran=4.]
Notes	'Klimasøksmål Arktis' er et forsøk på å stanse den norske regjeringens planlagte oljeboring i Arktis ved å ta saken

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I think that the courts should make decisions on such questions.	226	2.6%
2	I do not think the courts should make decisions on such questions.	133	1.5%
3	I have no opinion on this	174	2.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%

r8km10_d: Thoughts concerning lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling: Oil exploration in violation of the Constitution's environmental provisions, critics argue courts should not decide, similar proceedings in other countries

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8072	93.7%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8km10_comment: Comments to lawsuit against Arctic oil drilling [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r8skam1: Did you follow the television series SKAM?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	Did you follow the television series SKAM?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	1528	17.7%
2	A little	198	2.3%
3	A lot	113	1.3%
4	Yes, everything	259	3.0%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8skam2_1: Usually followed SKAM by: Watched on TV when episodes are sent on Friday nights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible
Literal question	Watched the episodes on TV when they are sent on Friday nights
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]
Notes	Sett episodene på TV når de sendes direkte på fredagskvelder (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		457	5.3%
1	Watched the episodes on TV when they are sent on Friday nights	113	1.3%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8skam2_2: Usually followed SKAM by: Streamed several episodes consecutively from nrk.no

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

# r8skam2_2: Usually followed SKAM by: Streamed several episodes consecutively from nrk.no			
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Streamed several episodes consecutively from nrk.no		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Notes	Sett flere episoder i strekk på nett-TV (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		181	2.1%
1	Streamed several episodes consecutively from nrk.no	389	4.5%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam2_3: Usually followed SKAM by: Visited official website			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Visited the official website (skam.p3.no)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Notes	Besøkt den offisielle nettsiden (skam.p3.no) (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		358	4.2%
1	Visited the official website (skam.p3.no)	212	2.5%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam2_4: Usually followed SKAM by: Visited Instagram			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Visited Instagram		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		495	5.7%
1	Visited Instagram	75	0.9%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam2_5: Usually followed SKAM by: Used mobile phone to watch episode/parts of episode			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r8skam2_5: Usually followed SKAM by: Used mobile phone to watch episode/parts of episode			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Used a mobile phone to watch episodes or parts of an episode		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Notes	Sett klipp eller episoder fra SKAM på mobilen (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		428	5.0%
1	Used a mobile phone to watch episodes or parts of an episode	142	1.6%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam2_6: Usually followed SKAM by: Read comments on official website			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Read comments on the official website (skam.p3.no)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Notes	Lest kommentarer på den offisielle nettsiden (skam.p3.no) (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		482	5.6%
1	Read comments on the official website (skam.p3.no)	88	1.0%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam2_7: Usually followed SKAM by: Read discussions on other websites/social media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Read discussions about SKAM on other websites or in social media		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Notes	Lest diskusjoner om SKAM på andre nettsider eller i sosiale medier (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		475	5.5%
1	Read discussions about SKAM on other websites or in social media	95	1.1%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8skam2_8: Usually followed SKAM by: Written comments about SKAM on official website			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Written comments about SKAM on the official website (skam.p3.no)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Notes	Skrevet kommentarer om SKAM på den offisielle nettsiden (skam.p3.no) (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		561	6.5%
1	Written comments about SKAM on the official website (skam.p3.no)	9	0.1%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam2_9: Usually followed SKAM by: Written comments about SKAM on other websites/social media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How have you usually followed SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Written comments about SKAM on other websites or in social media		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM1>1.]		
Notes	Skrevet kommentarer om SKAM på andre nettsider eller i sosiale medier (Hvordan har du pleid å følge med på SKAM?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		560	6.5%
1	Written comments about SKAM on other websites or in social media	10	0.1%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	8026	93.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam3: How often do you check the official SKAM website in the middle of a season?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you check the official SKAM website when it is in the middle of a season?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_3=1 or R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1.]		
Notes	Hvor ofte sjekker du den offisielle nettsiden til SKAM når det er midt i en sesong?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rarely	66	0.8%
2	Weekly	66	0.8%
3	Daily	55	0.6%
4	Several times per day	36	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8389	97.4%

# r8skam3: How often do you check the official SKAM website in the middle of a season?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam4_1: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Facebook			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which other websites than the official sites at nrk.no and Instagram have you visited to discuss or read about SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Facebook		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_7=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1 or R8SKAM2_9=1.]		
Notes	Facebook (Hvilke andre nettsteder enn de offisielle sidene på nrk.no og Instagram har du besøkt for å diskutere eller		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		78	0.9%
1	Facebook	69	0.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8464	98.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam4_2: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Tumblr			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which other websites than the official sites at nrk.no and Instagram have you visited to discuss or read about SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Tumblr		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_7=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1 or R8SKAM2_9=2.]		
Notes	Tumblr (Hvilke andre nettsteder enn de offisielle sidene på nrk.no og Instagram har du besøkt for å diskutere eller le		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		142	1.6%
1	Tumblr	5	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8464	98.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam4_3: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Reddit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which other websites than the official sites at nrk.no and Instagram have you visited to discuss or read about SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Reddit		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_7=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1 or R8SKAM2_9=3.]		
Notes	Reddit (Hvilke andre nettsteder enn de offisielle sidene på nrk.no og Instagram har du besøkt for å diskutere eller le		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		146	1.7%

r8skam4_3: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Reddit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reddit	1	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8464	98.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8skam4_4: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Twitter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which other websites than the official sites at nrk.no and Instagram have you visited to discuss or read about SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Twitter		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_7=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1 or R8SKAM2_9=4.]		
Notes	Twitter (Hvilke andre nettsteder enn de offisielle sidene på nrk.no og Instagram har du besøkt for å diskutere eller l		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		141	1.6%
1	Twitter	6	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8464	98.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam4_5: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which other websites than the official sites at nrk.no and Instagram have you visited to discuss or read about SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Other		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_7=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1 or R8SKAM2_9=5.]		
Notes	Annet (Hvilke andre nettsteder enn de offisielle sidene på nrk.no og Instagram har du besøkt for å diskutere eller les		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		128	1.5%
1	Other	19	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8464	98.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8skam4_5_other: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Which other websites than the official sites at nrk.no and Instagram have you visited to discuss or read about SKAM? Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Annet		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_7=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1 or R8SKAM2_9=6.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet (Hvilke andre nettsteder enn de offisielle sidene på nrk.no og Instagram har du besøkt for å diskutere eller les		
# r8skam4_6: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: None			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Which other websites than the official sites at nrk.no and Instagram have you visited to discuss or read about SKAM? Several answers are possible		

# r8skam4_6: Other than the official websites visited to discuss/read about SKAM: None			
Literal question	None		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8SKAM2_6=1 or R8SKAM2_7=1 or R8SKAM2_8=1 or R8SKAM2_9=7.]		
Notes	Ingen (Hvilke andre nettstedene enn de offisielle sidene på nrk.no og Instagram har du besøkt for å diskutere eller les		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		82	1.0%
1	None	65	0.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8464	98.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad7: Referendum on the merging of municipalities has been held in your municipality the last couple of years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	We want to know more about what people think about the use of referendums in cases involving the merging of municipalities.		
Literal question	Firstly, has a referendum on the merging of municipalities been held in your municipality during the last couple of years?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Notes	Vi ønsker å vite mer om hvordan folk ser på bruken av folkeavstemming i saker som handler om kommunesammenslåing.Før		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	610	7.1%
2	No	1258	14.6%
3	Don't know	225	2.6%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad8: Supporter/opponent of your municipality merging with other municipalities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How strong a supporter or opponent are you of the municipality you live in merging with one or more other municipalities?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Notes	Hvor sterk tilhenger eller motstander er du av at kommunen du bor i slår seg sammen med en eller flere andre kommuner?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong supporter	141	1.6%
2	Strong supporter	205	2.4%
3	Fairly strong supporter	328	3.8%
4	Neither supporter or opponent	934	10.8%
5	Fairly strong opponent	241	2.8%
6	Strong opponent	111	1.3%
7	Very strong opponent	102	1.2%
97	No answer	52	0.6%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8pad9_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Randomly chooses if R8PAD9A_1 or R8PAD9A_2 should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2108 /-] [Invalid=14903 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Randomly chooses if R8PAD9A_1 or R8PAD9A_2 should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8PAD9_1	1036	49.1%
2	Respondent is asked R8PAD9_2	1072	50.9%
Sysmiss		14903	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad9a_1: Referendum merging of municipalities, acceptable decision: Narrow majority "No", citizen surveys majority "Yes", merger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine a hypothetical situation where a referendum was conducted on the merging of municipalities. It turned out that a narrow majority voted "No" to the merger. At the same time several citizen surveys held over a period of time had shown a majority in favour of merging. After careful consideration, the municipal council decides to confirm the merger.		
Literal question	Regardless of your view on the merging of municipalities; to what extent do you think the municipal council's decision in this case would be acceptable?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD9_ran=1.]		
Notes	Se for deg en tenkt situasjon hvor det ble gjennomført folkeavstemming om kommunesammenslåing. Det viste seg at et knap		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	82	1.0%
2	To a great degree	219	2.5%
3	To some degree	333	3.9%
4	To a small degree	234	2.7%
5	Not at all	139	1.6%
97	No answer	29	0.3%
98	Not asked	7576	88.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad9a_2: Referendum merging of municipalities, acceptable decision: Narrow majority "Yes", citizen surveys majority "Yes", not merger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine a hypothetical situation where a referendum was conducted on the merging of municipalities. It turned out that a narrow majority voted "yes" to the merger. At the same time several citizen surveys held over a period of time had shown a majority in favour of merging. After careful consideration, the municipal council decides to remain as a separate municipality.		
Literal question	Regardless of your view on the merging of municipalities; to what extent do you think the municipal council's decision in this case would be acceptable?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD9_ran=2.]		
Notes	Se for deg en tenkt situasjon hvor det ble gjennomført folkeavstemming om kommunesammenslåing. Det viste seg at et knap		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	38	0.4%
2	To a great degree	134	1.6%
3	To some degree	284	3.3%

r8pad9a_2: Referendum merging of municipalities, acceptable decision: Narrow majority "Yes", citizen surveys majority "Yes", not merger

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	To a small degree	396	4.6%
5	Not at all	197	2.3%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	7540	87.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad2_order: [The order of different thematic characteristics was presented for the respondent.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.] [1 = _comment, 2 = _ethics, 3 = _status, 4 = _readership, 5 = _established, 6 = _entertainment, 7 = _advertisement, 8 = _party]

r8pad2_comment_1: [Comment section. Characteristic 1 of news source 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has no comments section	667	31.7%
2	Yes, and journalists participate in the comments section	692	32.8%
3	Yes, but journalists do not participate in the comments section	748	35.5%
Sysmiss		14904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad2_comment_2: [Comment section. Characteristic 1 of news source 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has no comments section	683	32.4%
2	Yes, and journalists participate in the comments section	679	32.2%
3	Yes, but journalists do not participate in the comments section	745	35.4%
Sysmiss		14904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad2_ethics_1: [Number of ethics violations. Characteristic 2 of news source 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No violations	676	32.1%
2	Few violations	707	33.6%
3	Many violations	724	34.4%
Sysmiss		14904	

# r8pad2_ethics_1: [Number of ethics violations. Characteristic 2 of news source 1.]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_ethics_2: [Number of ethics violations. Characteristic 2 of news source 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No violations	708	33.6%
2	Few violations	680	32.3%
3	Many violations	719	34.1%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_status_1: [Publication platform. Characteristic 3 of news source 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Website/blog	717	34.0%
2	Printed and online newspaper	715	33.9%
3	Online newspaper (no print edition)	675	32.0%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_status_2: [Publication platform. Characteristic 3 of news source 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Website/blog	690	32.7%
2	Printed and online newspaper	745	35.4%
3	Online newspaper (no print edition)	672	31.9%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_readership_1: [Readership. Characteristic 4 of news source 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Few readers	1084	51.4%
2	Many readers	1023	48.6%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_readership_2: [Readership. Characteristic 4 of news source 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

# r8pad2_readership_2: [Readership. Characteristic 4 of news source 2.]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=3.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Few readers	1054	50.0%
2	Many readers	1053	50.0%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_established_1: [Publication established. Characteristic 5 of news source 1.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=3.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	About 100 years ago	703	33.4%
2	About 50 years ago	707	33.6%
3	About five years ago	697	33.1%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_established_2: [Publication established. Characteristic 5 of news source 2.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=3.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	About 100 years ago	697	33.1%
2	About 50 years ago	680	32.3%
3	About five years ago	730	34.6%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_entertainment_1: [Share of entertainment news. Characteristic 6 of news source 1.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=3.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has no entertainment news	671	31.8%
2	A small proportion of entertainment news	716	34.0%
3	Mainly entertainment news	720	34.2%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2_entertainment_2: [Share of entertainment news. Characteristic 6 of news source 2.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=3.]	

r8pad2_entertainment_2: [Share of entertainment news. Characteristic 6 of news source 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has no entertainment news	690	32.7%
2	A small proportion of entertainment news	733	34.8%
3	Mainly entertainment news	684	32.5%
Sysmiss		14904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad2_advertisement_1: [Advertisement. Characteristic 7 of news source 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, but does not use advertising that resembles news	721	34.2%
2	Yes, and use advertising that resembles news	705	33.5%
3	No	681	32.3%
Sysmiss		14904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad2_advertisement_2: [Advertisement. Characteristic 7 of news source 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, but does not use advertising that resembles news	736	34.9%
2	Yes, and use advertising that resembles news	668	31.7%
3	No	703	33.4%
Sysmiss		14904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad2_party_1: [The editor/owner supports political party. Characteristic 8 of news source 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	217	10.3%
2	Red	199	9.4%
3	Socialist Left Party	189	9.0%
4	Labour Party	203	9.6%
5	Centre Party	218	10.3%
6	Green Party	223	10.6%
7	Liberal Party	209	9.9%
8	Christian Democratic Party	207	9.8%
9	Conservative Party	193	9.2%
10	Progress Party	249	11.8%
Sysmiss		14904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8pad2_party_2: [The editor/owner supports political party. Characteristic 8 of news source 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=14904 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	195	9.3%
2	Red	194	9.2%
3	Socialist Left Party	189	9.0%
4	Labour Party	190	9.0%
5	Centre Party	217	10.3%
6	Green Party	238	11.3%
7	Liberal Party	232	11.0%
8	Christian Democratic Party	229	10.9%
9	Conservative Party	222	10.5%
10	Progress Party	201	9.5%
Sysmiss		14904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad2: Which source is the most reliable? [Conjoint experiment]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We are interested in examining what makes people trust different sources of news. Below, we have created two imaginary sources.</p> <p>Please read the descriptions of both sources carefully and answer the question below.</p> <p>[r8pad2_comment_1] [r8pad2_comment_2] [r8pad2_ethics_1] [r8pad2_ethics_2] [r8pad2_status_1] [r8pad2_status_2] [r8pad2_readership_1] [r8pad2_readership_2] [r8pad2_established_1] [r8pad2_established_2] [r8pad2_entertainment_1] [r8pad2_entertainment_2] [r8pad2_advertisement_1] [r8pad2_advertisement_2] [r8pad2_party_1] [r8pad2_party_2]</p>		
Literal question	Let's say you didn't have more information than the above. If you had a choice, which of these two do you think would be the most reliable source to report the news in a complete, accurate and fair manner?		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3. Conjoint experiment. Respondent is exposed to a description of two news sources. The description contains 8 randomly chosen characteristics from predefined lists. The characteristics are orderer in a randomly fashion. The charateristics are collected from 8PAD2_[characteristic]_[1/2]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>		
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hva som gjør at folk stoler på ulike kilder til nyheter. Under har vi laget to tenkte		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Source 1	1008	11.7%
2	Source 2	947	11.0%
97	No answer	159	1.8%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_ran: [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Chooses randomly if the respondent should be asked version 1 (about the person), version 2 (about the cases) or 3 (both).]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		

r8pad3_ran: [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Chooses randomly if the respondent should be asked version 1 (about the person), version 2 (about the cases) or 3 (both).]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4204 /-] [Invalid=12807 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Chooses randomly if the respondent should be asked version 1 (about the person), version 2 (about the cases) or 3 (both).]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8PAD3a	1393	33.1%
2	Respondent is asked R8PAD3b	1383	32.9%
3	Respondent is asked R8PAD3c	1428	34.0%
Sysmiss		12807	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad3_order: [The order of different thematic characteristics was presented for the respondent.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4142 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.]
 [1 = _gender, 2 = _language, 3 = _knowledge, 4 = _popularity, 5 = _religion, 6 = _relationship, 7 = pers_party, 8 = _subject, 9 = angle, 10 = case_party]
 [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]

r8pad3_pers_gender_1: [Gender. Characteristic 1 about hypothetical person 1.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.]
 [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1419	50.3%
2	Female	1402	49.7%
Sysmiss		14190	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad3_pers_gender_2: [Gender. Characteristic 1 about hypothetical person 2.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.]
 [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1387	49.2%
2	Female	1434	50.8%
Sysmiss		14190	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad3_pers_language_1: [Language skills. Characteristic 2 about hypothetical person 1.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]

# r8pad3_pers_language_1: [Language skills. Characteristic 2 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Does not write good Norwegian	1467	52.0%
2	Writes good Norwegian	1354	48.0%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_language_2: [Language skills. Characteristic 2 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Does not write good Norwegian	1425	50.5%
2	Writes good Norwegian	1396	49.5%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_knowledge_1: [Knowledge. Characteristic 3 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Little knowledge	1451	51.4%
2	A lot of knowledge	1370	48.6%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_knowledge_2: [Knowledge. Characteristic 3 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Little knowledge	1432	50.8%
2	A lot of knowledge	1389	49.2%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_popularity_1: [Online popularity. Characteristic 4 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	

# r8pad3_pers_popularity_1: [Online popularity. Characteristic 4 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Few share contributions further	1393	49.4%
2	Many share contributions further	1428	50.6%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_popularity_2: [Online popularity. Characteristic 4 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Few share contributions further	1360	48.2%
2	Many share contributions further	1461	51.8%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_religion_1: [Religion. Characteristic 5 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	941	33.4%
2	Christianity	957	33.9%
3	Islam	923	32.7%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_religion_2: [Religion. Characteristic 5 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	931	33.0%
2	Christianity	935	33.1%
3	Islam	955	33.9%
Sysmiss		14190	

# r8pad3_pers_religion_2: [Religion. Characteristic 5 about hypothetical person 2.]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_relationship_1: [Relationship. Characteristic 6 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	You are close friends	970	34.4%
2	You've only said hello, but have mutual friends	930	33.0%
3	You've only said hello (through work or school)	921	32.6%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_relationship_2: [Relationship. Characteristic 6 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	You are close friends	931	33.0%
2	You've only said hello, but have mutual friends	965	34.2%
3	You've only said hello (through work or school)	925	32.8%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_pers_party_1: [Party affiliation. Characteristic 7 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Red	316	11.2%
2	Socialist Left Party	333	11.8%
3	Labour Party	307	10.9%
4	Centre Party	315	11.2%
5	Green Party	308	10.9%
6	Liberal Party	343	12.2%
7	Christian Democratic Party	323	11.4%
8	Conservative Party	294	10.4%
9	Progress Party	282	10.0%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8pad3_pers_party_2: [Party affiliation. Characteristic 7 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=14190 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt	294	10.4%
2	Sosialistisk Venstreparti	306	10.8%
3	Arbeiderpartiet	340	12.1%
4	Senterpartiet	323	11.4%
5	Miljøpartiet De Grønne	308	10.9%
6	Venstre	316	11.2%
7	Kristelig Folkeparti	297	10.5%
8	Høyre	308	10.9%
9	Fremskrittspartiet	329	11.7%
Sysmiss		14190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_case_subject_1: [Subject discussed. Characteristic 8 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2749 /-] [Invalid=14262 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EU and european politics	504	18.3%
2	Immigration	583	21.2%
3	Gay rights	579	21.1%
4	Economics and tax issues	541	19.7%
5	Criminal justice and the death penalty	542	19.7%
Sysmiss		14262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_case_subject_2: [Subject discussed. Characteristic 8 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2749 /-] [Invalid=14262 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EU and european politics	543	19.8%
2	Immigration	560	20.4%
3	Gay rights	534	19.4%
4	Economics and tax issues	561	20.4%
5	Criminal justice and the death penalty	551	20.0%
Sysmiss		14262	

# r8pad3_case_subject_2: [Subject discussed. Characteristic 8 about hypothetical person 2.]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_case_angle_1: [Journalistic angle. Characteristic 9 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2749 /-] [Invalid=14262 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	You are mostly in agreement with the viewpoint	922	33.5%
2	You are equally in agreement and disagreement with the viewpoint	938	34.1%
3	You are mostly in disagreement with the viewpoint	889	32.3%
Sysmiss		14262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_case_angle_2: [Journalistic angle. Characteristic 9 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2749 /-] [Invalid=14262 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	You are mostly in agreement with the viewpoint	914	33.2%
2	You are equally in agreement and disagreement with the viewpoint	923	33.6%
3	You are mostly in disagreement with the viewpoint	912	33.2%
Sysmiss		14262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_case_party_1: [Party mentioned. Characteristic 10 about hypothetical person 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2749 /-] [Invalid=14262 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Red has been mentioned most	305	11.1%
2	The Socialist Left Party has been mentioned most	272	9.9%
3	The Labour Party has been mentioned most	317	11.5%
4	The Centre Party has been mentioned most	291	10.6%
5	The Green Party has been mentioned most	324	11.8%
6	The Liberal Party has been mentioned most	292	10.6%
7	The Christian Democratic Party has been mentioned most	301	10.9%
8	The Conservative Party has been mentioned most	315	11.5%
9	The Progress Party has been mentioned most	332	12.1%
Sysmiss		14262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8pad3_case_party_2: [Party mentioned. Characteristic 10 about hypothetical person 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2749 /-] [Invalid=14262 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Red has been mentioned most	280	10.2%
2	The Socialist Left Party has been mentioned most	326	11.9%
3	The Labour Party has been mentioned most	303	11.0%
4	The Centre Party has been mentioned most	310	11.3%
5	The Green Party has been mentioned most	286	10.4%
6	The Liberal Party has been mentioned most	316	11.5%
7	The Christian Democratic Party has been mentioned most	292	10.6%
8	The Conservative Party has been mentioned most	321	11.7%
9	The Progress Party has been mentioned most	315	11.5%
Sysmiss		14262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3_qttext: [Randomly determines the formulation of the question from a group of two]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4204 /-] [Invalid=12807 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3.] [Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.] [Complete question text: 1 = Let's say you were forced to read through the next ten news stories that either of these two share via social media. If you didn't have more information than the above, which person would you choose to get news from?" 2 = "Let's say you were forced to have to share the next ten news items distributed by one of these two, in a way that everyone else you are in contact with via social media can see that you are sharing these items. If you didn't have more information than the above, which person would you choose to recommend news from?"]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[...] forced to read through the next ten news stories that either of these two share [...]	2138	50.9%
2	[...] forced to have to share the next ten news items distributed by one of these two [...]	2066	49.1%
Sysmiss		12807	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r8pad3a: Experiment on the use of social media: 7 characteristics about the persons who share a news item.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We want to study people's use of social media. It does not matter if you use social media or not.</p> <p>Below, we have described two imaginary people. Imagine that they are two people your own age that you have contact with via social media. Please read the descriptions of both people carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[r8pad3_pers_gender_1] [r8pad3_pers_gender_2] [r8pad3_pers_language_1] [r8pad3_pers_language_2] [r8pad3_pers_knowledge_1] [r8pad3_pers_knowledge_2] [r8pad3_pers_popularity_1] [r8pad3_pers_popularity_2] [r8pad3_pers_religion_1] [r8pad3_pers_religion_2] [r8pad3_pers_relationship_1] [r8pad3_pers_relationship_2]</p>
Literal question	[r8pad3_qtext]
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=2 or v=3 and R8PAD3_ran=1.Respondent is exposed to 7 characteristics about the persons.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p> <p>[Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]</p>
Notes	Vi ønsker å studere folks bruk av sosiale medier. Det spiller ingen rolle om du bruker sosiale medier eller ikke. Unde

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	662	7.7%
2	Person 2	631	7.3%
97	No answer	100	1.2%
98	Not asked	7219	83.8%
Systemmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad3b: Experiment on the use of social media: 3 characteristics about the news item that is shared.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We want to study people's use of social media. It does not matter if you use social media or not.</p> <p>Below, we have described two imaginary people. Imagine that they are two people your own age that you have contact with via social media. Please read the descriptions of both people carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[r8pad3_case_subject_1] [r8pad3_case_subject_2] [r8pad3_case_angle_1] [r8pad3_case_angle_2] [r8pad3_case_party_1] [r8pad3_case_party_2]</p>
Literal question	[r8pad3_qtext]
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=2 or v=3 and R8PAD3_ran=2.Respondent is exposed to 3 characteristics about the case.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p> <p>[Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]</p>
Notes	Vi ønsker å studere folks bruk av sosiale medier. Det spiller ingen rolle om du bruker sosiale medier eller ikke. Unde

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	658	7.6%
2	Person 2	613	7.1%
97	No answer	112	1.3%
98	Not asked	7229	83.9%

# r8pad3b: Experiment on the use of social media: 3 characteristics about the news item that is shared.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad3c: Experiment on the use of social media: 10 characteristics about the person and news item that is shared.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We want to study people's use of social media. It does not matter if you use social media or not.</p> <p>Below, we have described two imaginary people. Imagine that they are two people your own age that you have contact with via social media. Please read the descriptions of both people carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[r8pad3_pers_gender_1] [r8pad3_pers_gender_2] [r8pad3_pers_language_1] [r8pad3_pers_language_2] [r8pad3_pers_knowledge_1] [r8pad3_pers_knowledge_2] [r8pad3_pers_popularity_1] [r8pad3_pers_popularity_2] [r8pad3_pers_religion_1] [r8pad3_pers_religion_2] [r8pad3_pers_relationship_1] [r8pad3_pers_relationship_2] [r8pad3_pers_party_1] [r8pad3_pers_party_2] [r8pad3_case_subject_1] [r8pad3_case_subject_2] [r8pad3_case_angle_1] [r8pad3_case_angle_2] [r8pad3_case_party_1] [r8pad3_case_party_2]</p>		
Literal question	[r8pad3_qtext]		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=2 or v=3 and R8PAD3_ran=3.Respondent is exposed to 10 characteristics about the persons and the case.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p> <p>[Initially, in addition to the other categories, a category that dealt with how often the hypothetical person "discusses or writes about politics" should have been included. This was unintentionally omitted experiment due to a technical error.]</p>		
Notes	Vi ønsker å studere folks bruk av sosiale medier. Det spiller ingen rolle om du bruker sosiale medier eller ikke. Unde		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	662	7.7%
2	Person 2	634	7.4%
97	No answer	132	1.5%
98	Not asked	7184	83.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad6_treatment: [Background variable. Chooses a text that contains/lacks information about election turnout, size of majority and result. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for more information.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-144] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4179 /-] [Invalid=12832 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Chooses a text that contains/lacks information about election turnout, size of majority and result. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for more information.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.		
6	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.		
7	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.		

r8pad6_treatment: [Background variable. Chooses a text that contains/lacks information about election turnout, size of majority and result. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for more information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.		
9	9		
10	10		
11	11		
12	12		
13	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.		
14	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.		
15	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.		
16	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.		
17	17		
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.		
22	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.		
23	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.		
24	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.		
25	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
26	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
27	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
28	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
29	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste		
30	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste		
31	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste		
32	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste		
33	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
34	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
35	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
36	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
37	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste		
38	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste		
39	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste		
40	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste		
41	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
42	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
43	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
44	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
45	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste		

r8pad6_treatment: [Background variable. Chooses a text that contains/lacks information about election turnout, size of majority and result. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for more information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste		
47	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste		
48	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste		
49	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
50	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
51	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
52	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
53	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
54	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
55	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
56	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
57	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
58	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
59	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
60	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
61	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
62	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
63	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
64	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
65	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
66	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
67	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
68	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
69	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
70	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
71	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
72	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.		
73	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
74	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
75	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
76	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
77	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
78	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
79	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
80	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
81	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
82	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
83	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
84	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		

r8pad6_treatment: [Background variable. Chooses a text that contains/lacks information about election turnout, size of majority and result. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for more information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
86	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
87	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
88	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
89	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
90	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
91	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
92	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
93	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
94	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
95	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
96	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
97	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
98	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
99	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
100	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
101	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
102	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
103	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
104	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
105	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
106	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
107	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
108	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
109	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
110	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
111	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
112	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
113	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
114	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
115	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
116	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
117	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
118	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
119	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
120	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.		
121	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
122	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
123	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		

r8pad6_treatment: [Background variable. Chooses a text that contains/lacks information about election turnout, size of majority and result. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for more information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
124	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
125	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
126	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
127	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
128	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.		
129	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
130	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
131	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
132	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
133	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
134	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
135	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
136	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.		
137	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
138	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
139	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
140	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
141	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
142	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
143	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		
144	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.		




Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_ran: [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses if R8PAD6_1, R8PAD6_2 or R8PAD6_3 should be asked to the respondent.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4179 /-] [Invalid=12832 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses if R8PAD6_1, R8PAD6_2 or R8PAD6_3 should be asked to the respondent.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8PAD6_1	1371	32.8%
2	Respondent is asked R8PAD6_2	1417	33.9%
3	Respondent is asked R8PAD6_3	1391	33.3%
Sysmiss		12832	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8pad6_ran2: [Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses the order of the questions in the experiment.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4179 /-] [Invalid=12832 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2 or v=3. Randomly chooses the order of the questions in the experiment.]		
Notes	Tilfeldig om respondenten blir stilt R8PAD6_xA_1 og R8PAD6_xA_2, eller R8PAD6_xB_1 og R8PAD6_xB_2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Question order 1	2060	 49.3%
2	Question order 2	2119	 50.7%
Sysmiss		12832	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad6_pre_1: Choice to take in refugees next year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that there was a new debate on taking in more refugees during the next year. The choice was to either not take in more than we currently have today (0 refugees) or to take in more refugees (10,000 refugees).		
Literal question	In such a case, what do you think that the choice should be?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3 and R8PAD6_ran=1.]		
Notes	Se for deg at det kom en ny debatt om å ta inn flere flyktninger i løpet av det neste året. Det sto mellom å ikke ta		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 new refugees	600	 7.0%
2	10 000 new refugees	723	 8.4%
97	No answer	48	 0.6%
98	Not asked	7241	 84.1%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad6_1a_1: Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement? Explanation [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation.</p> <p>Imagine that there was a new debate on taking in more refugees during the next year and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted that we should take in more refugees (10,000 refugees). The no side voted that we should not take in more than we already have (0 refugees). [R8PAD6_treatment]</p> <p>In such a case, should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?</p>		
Literal question	Before you indicate your choice on the next page (Yes or No), can you briefly explain why you made your choice?		
Post-question	We are looking for all types of answers. A couple of sentences would be great, or just a few words if it is easier for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=1 and R8PAD6_ran2=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for		
# r8pad6_1a_2: Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

r8pad6_1a_2: Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation. Imagine that there was a new debate on taking in more refugees during the next year and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted that we should take in more refugees (10,000 refugees). The no side voted that we should not take in more than we already have (0 refugees). [R8PAD6_treatment]
Literal question	In such a case, should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=1 and R8PAD6_ran2=1.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	414	4.8%
2	No	232	2.7%
97	No answer	29	0.3%
98	Not asked	7937	92.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_1b_1: Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation. Imagine that there was a new debate on taking in more refugees during the next year and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted that we should take in more refugees (10,000 refugees). The no side voted that we should not take in more than we already have (0 refugees). [R8PAD6_treatment]
Literal question	In such a case, should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=1 and R8PAD6_ran2=2.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	440	5.1%
2	No	232	2.7%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	7916	91.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_1b_2: Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement? Explanation [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation.

r8pad6_1b_2: Mandate government needs: Taking in more refugees, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement? Explanation [anonymized]

	Imagine that there was a new debate on taking in more refugees during the next year and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted that we should take in more refugees (10,000 refugees). The no side voted that we should not take in more than we already have (0 refugees). [R8PAD6_treatment]
Literal question	Can you briefly explain why you made the decision you did?
Post-question	We are looking for all types of answers. A couple of sentences would be great, or just a few words if it is easier for you.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=1 and R8PAD6_ran2=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

r8pad6_pre_2: Choice to change the county structure in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that there was a new debate about the county structure in Norway and that the choice was to either change the counties or to keep the counties as they are today.
Literal question	In such a case, what do you think you should choose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3 and R8PAD6_ran=2.]
Notes	Se for deg at det kom en ny debatt om fylkestrukturen i Norge og at det sto mellom å gjøre om på fylkene eller å fort

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep the counties as they are today	733	8.5%
2	Change the counties	635	7.4%
97	No answer	49	0.6%
98	Not asked	7195	83.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_2a_1: Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation. Imagine that there was a new debate about the county structure in Norway and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted to change the counties. The no side voted to continue to keep the counties as they are today.
Literal question	[R8PAD6_treatment] Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=2 and R8PAD6_ran2=1.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	458	5.3%
2	No	217	2.5%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7918	91.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_2a_2: Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation.</p> <p>Imagine that there was a new debate about the county structure in Norway and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted to change the counties. The no side voted to continue to keep the counties as they are today.</p> <p>[R8PAD6_treatment] Let's say that the government chooses to follow the result of the referendum.</p>
Literal question	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=2 and R8PAD6_ran2=1.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	144	1.7%
2	Acceptable	321	3.7%
3	Somewhat acceptable	136	1.6%
4	Slightly acceptable	59	0.7%
5	Not acceptable at all	19	0.2%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	7918	91.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_2b_1: Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation.</p> <p>Imagine that there was a new debate about the county structure in Norway and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted to change the counties. The no side voted to continue to keep the counties as they are today.</p> <p>[R8PAD6_treatment] Let's say that the government chooses to follow the result of the referendum.</p>
Literal question	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=2 and R8PAD6_ran2=2.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	156	1.8%
2	Acceptable	337	3.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	111	1.3%
4	Slightly acceptable	79	0.9%
5	Not acceptable at all	22	0.3%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	7889	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_2b_2: Mandate government needs: Change the county structure, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation. Imagine that there was a new debate about the county structure in Norway and that a consultative referendum was held. The yes side voted to change the counties. The no side voted to continue to keep the counties as they are today.
Literal question	[R8PAD6_treatment] Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=2 and R8PAD6_ran2=2.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	500	5.8%
2	No	187	2.2%
97	No answer	36	0.4%
98	Not asked	7889	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_pre_3: State obliged to offer new public services, choice between private or public sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the state was obliged to offer a number of new public services. This led to a debate about who should provide these services. The choice was between letting the private sector take over these services or letting the public sector take over those services.
Literal question	In such a case, what do you think you should choose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3 and R8PAD6_ran=3.]
Notes	Se for deg at staten var nødt til å tilby en rekke nye offentlige tjenester. Det førte til en debatt om hvem som skull

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The private sector should take over these services	398	4.6%
2	The public sector should take over these services	933	10.8%
97	No answer	60	0.7%
98	Not asked	7221	83.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_3a_1: Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation. Imagine that the state was obliged to offer a number of new public health services. This led to a debate about who should provide these services and an advisory referendum was held regarding whether they should come from the private sector. The yes-side voted to let the private sector take over these services. The no-side voted to allow the public sector to take over these services. [R8PAD6_treatment]

r8pad6_3a_1: Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?

Literal question	What is the most right thing to do in this situation: Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=3 and R8PAD6_ran2=1.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	461	5.4%
2	No	200	2.3%
97	No answer	30	0.3%
98	Not asked	7921	92.0%
Systemmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_3b_1: Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation.</p> <p>Imagine that the state was obliged to offer a number of new public health services. This led to a debate about who should provide these services and an advisory referendum was held regarding whether they should come from the private sector. The yes-side voted to let the private sector take over these services. The no-side voted to allow the public sector to take over these services.</p> <p>[R8PAD6_treatment]</p> <p>Let's say that the government chooses to follow the outcome of the referendum.</p>
Literal question	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=3 and R8PAD6_ran2=1.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	125	1.5%
2	Acceptable	345	4.0%
3	Somewhat acceptable	116	1.3%
4	Slightly acceptable	60	0.7%
5	Not acceptable at all	15	0.2%
97	No answer	30	0.3%
98	Not asked	7921	92.0%
Systemmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_3b_2: Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation.</p> <p>Imagine that the state was obliged to offer a number of new public health services. This led to a debate about who should provide these services and an advisory referendum was held regarding whether they should come from the private sector. The</p>

r8pad6_3b_2: Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, acceptable that the government follows the result of the referendum?

	yes-side voted to let the private sector take over these services. The no-side voted to allow the public sector to take over these services. [R8PAD6_treatment] Let's say that the government chooses to follow the outcome of the referendum.
Literal question	Generally speaking, to what extent do you think it would be acceptable?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=3 and R8PAD6_ran2=2.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	111	1.3%
2	Acceptable	313	3.6%
3	Somewhat acceptable	156	1.8%
4	Slightly acceptable	79	0.9%
5	Not acceptable at all	22	0.3%
97	No answer	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	7912	91.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad6_3a_2: Mandate government needs: Public/private sector take over new services, should government follow result of referendum despite Parliament disagreement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation. Imagine that the state was obliged to offer a number of new public health services. This led to a debate about who should provide these services and an advisory referendum was held regarding whether they should come from the private sector. The yes-side voted to let the private sector take over these services. The no-side voted to allow the public sector to take over these services. [R8PAD6_treatment]
Literal question	What is the most right thing to do in this situation: Should the government follow the result of the referendum even though the majority in Parliament disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3, R8PAD6_ran=3 and R8PAD6_ran2=2.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	437	5.1%
2	No	219	2.5%
97	No answer	44	0.5%
98	Not asked	7912	91.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8konsp_1: How likely is statement: Many important things happen in the world, which the public is never informed about

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. We would like you to tell us how likely these statements are.

r8konsp_1: How likely is statement: Many important things happen in the world, which the public is never informed about

	I think that
Literal question	... many very important things happen in the world, which the public is never informed about.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]
Notes	...det skjer mange svært viktige ting i verden som vanlige borgere ikke blir informert om. (Under blir det presentert no

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0% - Certainly not	8	0.1%
2	10% - Extremely unlikely	21	0.2%
3	20% - Very unlikely	61	0.7%
4	30% - Unlikely	84	1.0%
5	40% - Somewhat unlikely	106	1.2%
6	50% - Neither unlikely or likely	144	1.7%
7	60% - Somewhat likely	465	5.4%
8	70% - Likely	332	3.9%
9	80% - Very likely	312	3.6%
10	90% - Extremely likely	153	1.8%
11	100% - Certain	359	4.2%
97	No answer	88	1.0%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8konsp_2: How likely is statement: Politicians do not tell us the true motives for their decisions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. We would like you to tell us how likely these statements are. I think that
Literal question	... politicians usually do not tell us the true motives for their decisions.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]
Notes	...politikere vanligvis ikke forteller oss de virkelige motivene bak beslutningene sine. (Under blir det presentert noen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0% - Certainly not	8	0.1%
2	10% - Extremely unlikely	14	0.2%
3	20% - Very unlikely	57	0.7%
4	30% - Unlikely	103	1.2%
5	40% - Somewhat unlikely	197	2.3%
6	50% - Neither unlikely or likely	292	3.4%
7	60% - Somewhat likely	483	5.6%
8	70% - Likely	318	3.7%
9	80% - Very likely	286	3.3%
10	90% - Extremely likely	141	1.6%
11	100% - Certain	138	1.6%
97	No answer	96	1.1%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%

# r8konsp_2: How likely is statement: Politicians do not tell us the true motives for their decisions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8konsp_3: How likely is statement: Government agencies closely monitor all citizens			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. We would like you to tell us how likely these statements are. I think that		
Literal question	... government agencies closely monitor all citizens.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	...statlige myndigheter overvåker alle borgerne. (Under blir det presentert noen påstander. Vi vil gjerne at du fortell		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0% - Certainly not	157	1.8%
2	10% - Extremely unlikely	209	2.4%
3	20% - Very unlikely	277	3.2%
4	30% - Unlikely	308	3.6%
5	40% - Somewhat unlikely	262	3.0%
6	50% - Neither unlikely or likely	290	3.4%
7	60% - Somewhat likely	225	2.6%
8	70% - Likely	122	1.4%
9	80% - Very likely	96	1.1%
10	90% - Extremely likely	37	0.4%
11	100% - Certain	45	0.5%
97	No answer	105	1.2%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8konsp_4: How likely is statement: Events which seem to lack a connection often result of secret activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. We would like you to tell us how likely these statements are. I think that		
Literal question	... events which superficially seem to lack a connection are often the result of secret activities.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	...hendelser som overfladisk sett ikke ser ut til å ha noen forbindelse er ofte et resultat av hemmelige aktiviteter. (U		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0% - Certainly not	85	1.0%
2	10% - Extremely unlikely	165	1.9%
3	20% - Very unlikely	281	3.3%
4	30% - Unlikely	223	2.6%
5	40% - Somewhat unlikely	217	2.5%
6	50% - Neither unlikely or likely	477	5.5%
7	60% - Somewhat likely	268	3.1%

# r8konsp_4: How likely is statement: Events which seem to lack a connection often result of secret activities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	70% - Likely	144	1.7%
9	80% - Very likely	95	1.1%
10	90% - Extremely likely	41	0.5%
11	100% - Certain	29	0.3%
97	No answer	108	1.3%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8konsp_5: How likely is statement: There are secret organizations that influence political decisions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. We would like you to tell us how likely these statements are. I think that		
Literal question	... there are secret organizations that greatly influence political decisions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	...det finnes hemmelige organisasjoner som har meget sterk innflytelse på politiske beslutninger. (Under blir det presen		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0% - Certainly not	86	1.0%
2	10% - Extremely unlikely	180	2.1%
3	20% - Very unlikely	204	2.4%
4	30% - Unlikely	208	2.4%
5	40% - Somewhat unlikely	179	2.1%
6	50% - Neither unlikely or likely	359	4.2%
7	60% - Somewhat likely	357	4.1%
8	70% - Likely	184	2.1%
9	80% - Very likely	146	1.7%
10	90% - Extremely likely	51	0.6%
11	100% - Certain	81	0.9%
97	No answer	98	1.1%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8konsp6_1: Statement: Muslims participate in organized, religiously based lies to hide plan for societal takeover			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please indicate your agreement with the following statements		
Literal question	Muslims participate in organized, religiously based lies to hide a plan for societal takeover.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Notes	Muslimer deltar i organisert, religiøst begrunnet løgn for å skjule en plan om samfunnsøvertagelse (I hvor stor grad e		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Strongly agree	162	1.9%
2	2	107	1.2%

r8konsp6_1: Statement: Muslims participate in organized, religiously based lies to hide plan for societal takeover

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	160	1.9%
4	4	224	2.6%
5	5	241	2.8%
6	6	419	4.9%
7	7 - Strongly disagree	700	8.1%
97	No answer	120	1.4%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8konsp6_2: Statement: American politics controlled by Israel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate your agreement with the following statements
Literal question	American politics is controlled by Israel.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]
Notes	Amerikansk politikk er styrt av Israel (I hvor stor grad er du enig eller uenig med følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Strongly agree	68	0.8%
2	2	79	0.9%
3	3	177	2.1%
4	4	280	3.3%
5	5	268	3.1%
6	6	485	5.6%
7	7 - Strongly disagree	649	7.5%
97	No answer	127	1.5%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8konsp6_3: Statement: Princess Diana was killed by the British intelligence service

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate your agreement with the following statements
Literal question	Princess Diana was killed by the British intelligence service.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]
Notes	Prinsesse Diana ble myrdet av britisk etterretningstjeneste (I hvor stor grad er du enig eller uenig med følgende utsagn)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Strongly agree	84	1.0%
2	2	45	0.5%
3	3	58	0.7%
4	4	286	3.3%
5	5	133	1.5%
6	6	421	4.9%

r8konsp6_3: Statement: Princess Diana was killed by the British intelligence service

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	7 - Strongly disagree	956	11.1%
97	No answer	150	1.7%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8konsp_stemt: Who have you voted for at the last national elections?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	Who have you voted for at the last national elections?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	90	1.0%
2	The Conservative Party	507	5.9%
3	The Progress Party	198	2.3%
4	The Liberal Party	131	1.5%
5	The Socialist Left Party	120	1.4%
6	The Centre Party	68	0.8%
7	The Green Party	78	0.9%
8	The Labour Party	542	6.3%
9	The Red Party	41	0.5%
10	Other:	22	0.3%
11	Would not vote	101	1.2%
12	Not entitled to vote	130	1.5%
97	No answer	105	1.2%
98	Not asked	6479	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8konsp_stemt_10_other: Who have you voted for at the last national elections? Other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Who have you voted for at the last national elections?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r8pad4_ran: [Randomiserer hvis v=3. Velger tilfeldig mellom R8PAD4_1A, R8PAD4_1B, R8PAD4_2A og R8PAD4_2B.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2067 /-] [Invalid=14944 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Randomly chooses if R8PAD4_1A, R8PAD4_1B, R8PAD4_2A or R8PAD4_2B should be asked to the respondent.]
Notes	Tilfeldig om respondenten blir stilt R8PAD4_1A, R8PAD4_1B, R8PAD4_2A og R8PAD4_2B.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8PAD4_1A	529	25.6%

r8pad4_ran: [Randomiserer hvis v=3. Velger tilfeldig mellom R8PAD4_1A, R8PAD4_1B, R8PAD4_2A og R8PAD4_2B.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Respondent is asked R8PAD4_1B	520	25.2%
3	Respondent is asked R8PAD4_2A	522	25.3%
4	Respondent is asked R8PAD4_2B	496	24.0%
Sysmiss		14944	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad4_1a: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, five alternatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In our efforts to improve the survey, here is a question similar to one that has already been asked. Do not try to remember how you answered before but treat the question as if it was completely new.
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD4_ran=1.]
Notes	I vårt arbeid med å forbedre undersøkelsen kommer her et spørsmål som ligner på et som er stilt tidligere. Ikke pr

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	49	0.6%
2	Satisfied	297	3.4%
3	Somewhat satisfied	140	1.6%
4	Dissatisfied	25	0.3%
5	Very dissatisfied	9	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	8083	93.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad4_1b: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, five alternatives, "Do not know" added

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	In our efforts to improve the survey, here is a question similar to one that has already been asked. Do not try to remember how you answered before but treat the question as if it was completely new.
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD4_ran=2.]
Notes	I vårt arbeid med å forbedre undersøkelsen kommer her et spørsmål som ligner på et som er stilt tidligere. Ikke pr

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	40	0.5%
2	Satisfied	286	3.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	139	1.6%
4	Dissatisfied	32	0.4%
5	Very dissatisfied	11	0.1%
6	Do not know / no opinion	1	0.0%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	8092	94.0%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8pad4_2a: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, four alternatives, "Somewhat satisfied" removed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	In our efforts to improve the survey, here is a question similar to one that has already been asked. Do not try to remember how you answered before but treat the question as if it was completely new.		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD4_ran=3.]		
Notes	I vårt arbeid med å forbedre undersøkelsen kommer her et spørsmål som ligner på et som er stilt tidligere. Ikke pr		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	67	0.8%
2	Satisfied	365	4.2%
3	Dissatisfied	79	0.9%
4	Very dissatisfied	4	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8090	93.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad4_2b: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway, five alternatives, "Do not know" added + "Somewhat satisfied" removed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	In our efforts to improve the survey, here is a question similar to one that has already been asked. Do not try to remember how you answered before but treat the question as if it was completely new.		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD4_ran=4.]		
Notes	I vårt arbeid med å forbedre undersøkelsen kommer her et spørsmål som ligner på et som er stilt tidligere. Ikke pr		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	39	0.5%
2	Satisfied	360	4.2%
3	Dissatisfied	73	0.8%
4	Very dissatisfied	12	0.1%
5	Do not know / no opinion	4	0.0%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8116	94.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8baerg_background1: Tilfeldig om Russland eller Danmark brukes i spørsmålsteksten.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=14945 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8Baerg1-4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Russia	1058	51.2%
2	Denmark	1008	48.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	

# r8baerg_background1: Tilfeldig om Russland eller Danmark brukes i spørsmålsteksten.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		14945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8baerg_background1_1: Navn på turist i spørsmålsteksten. Følger randomiseringen i R8Baerg_background1.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=14945 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8Baerg1-4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fedorov	1058	51.2%
2	Nielsen	1008	48.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8baerg_background1_2: Tilfeldig valg av prosentandel. Følger randomiseringen i R8Baerg_background1.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=14945 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8Baerg1-4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	17 %	1058	51.2%
2	26 %	1008	48.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8baerg_background1_3: Valg av valuta. Følger randomiseringen i R8Baerg_background1.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=14945 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8Baerg1-4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Russian rubel	1058	51.2%
2	Danish kronen	1008	48.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8baerg_background2: Tilfeldig hvilken sum som brukes i spørsmålsteksten.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=14945 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8Baerg1-4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	500	506	24.5%
2	1000	525	25.4%

# r8baerg_background2: Tilfeldig hvilken sum som brukes i spørsmålsteksten.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	1500	508	24.6%
4	3000	527	25.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		14945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8baerg1: Support/oppose policies that would lead to reduction in exchange rates that would encourage tourists such as Fedorov/Nielsen to visit Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>In 2015, Norway received 3.6 million foreign visitors. Foreign visitors, or tourists, alone brought NOK 20 billion into the Norwegian economy in 2015.</p> <p>Volatility in exchange rates in 2007–2015 may have led to the result that flows of tourists from important tourist countries like [R8Baerg_background1] have decreased by [R8Baerg_background1_2] between 2007-2015. This is likely in part due to changes to the [R8Baerg_background1_3] exchange rate.</p> <p>Consider a scenario in which the exchange rate might change in such a way that would lead to tourists to more frequently visit Norway. As a hypothetical example, consider the case of a tourist [R8Baerg_background1_1], who has the following personal characteristics: Is male, 35 years old, and has University education.</p> <p>Before the exchange rate volatility, the average amount of money he planned on spending while in Norway daily was NOK [R8Baerg_background2].</p>		
Literal question	If you were consulted on your opinion, would you support or oppose economic policies that would lead to a reduction in the exchange rate that would encourage more tourists such as [R8Baerg_background1_1] to visit Norway more frequently?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Notes	I 2015 kom det 3,6 millioner utenlandske besøkende til Norge. Utenlandske besøkende, eller turister, tilførte 20 milli		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support	23	0.3%
2	Support	235	2.7%
3	Neutral	972	11.3%
4	Oppose	476	5.5%
5	Strongly oppose	124	1.4%
6	Do not know	200	2.3%
97	No answer	84	1.0%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8baerg2: Support/oppose policies that would lead to reduction in exchange rates to encourage tourists from Russia/Denmark to visit Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Tourists from [R8Baerg_background1] belong to the top 11 countries visiting Norway.		
Literal question	If you were consulted on your opinion, would you support or oppose economic policies that would lead to a reduction in the exchange rate, so as to encourage more tourists from [R8Baerg_background1] to visit Norway ?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Notes	Turister fra ^f('R8Baerg_background1')^ hører til de elleve landene som besøker Norge mest. Om du ble spurt, ville du s		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support	11	0.1%

r8baerg2: Support/oppose policies that would lead to reduction in exchange rates to encourage tourists from Russia/Denmark to visit Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Support	191	2.2%
3	Neutral	889	10.3%
4	Oppose	593	6.9%
5	Strongly oppose	160	1.9%
6	Do not know	172	2.0%
97	No answer	98	1.1%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8baerg3: Rank diplomatic relationship between Norway and Russia/Denmark

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	On a scale of 0 to 10, with 0 being the most negative and 10 being the most positive, how would you rank the diplomatic relationship between Norway and [R8Baerg_background1] at the moment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]
Notes	På en skala fra 0 til 10, der 0 er mest negativ og 10 er mest positiv, hvordan vil du rangere det diplomatiske forholdet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	20	0.2%
1	1	40	0.5%
2	2	120	1.4%
3	3	266	3.1%
4	4	221	2.6%
5	5	322	3.7%
6	6	139	1.6%
7	7	168	2.0%
8	8	243	2.8%
9	9	250	2.9%
10	10	213	2.5%
97	No answer	112	1.3%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8baerg4: Change on loan in foreign currency if the NOK depreciates

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Suppose that a friend has taken a loan in foreign currency .
Literal question	If the exchange rate of the NOK depreciates against the foreign currency, how does this change the amount of the NOK he or she needs to pay back?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]
Notes	Se for deg at en venn har tatt opp et lån i utenlandsk valuta. Valutakursen endres deretter, slik at den norske kronen s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Increases the amount	1536	17.8%

# r8baerg4: Change on loan in foreign currency if the NOK depreciates			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Amount stays the same	90	1.0%
2	Decreases the amount	173	2.0%
3	I don't know	206	2.4%
97	No answer	109	1.3%
98	Not asked	6498	75.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5_ran: [Background variable. Randomly chooses if R8PAD5a-c should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2053 /-] [Invalid=14958 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Randomly chooses if R8PAD5a-c should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8PAD5a	664	32.3%
2	Respondent is asked R8PAD5b	665	32.4%
3	Respondent is asked R8PAD5c	724	35.3%
Sysmiss		14958	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5_rantering: [Background variable. Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8PAD5.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2053 /-] [Invalid=14958 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores information that are merged into the question text of R8PAD5.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	337	16.4%
2	2	338	16.5%
3	3	340	16.6%
4	4	356	17.3%
5	5	317	15.4%
6	6	365	17.8%
Sysmiss		14958	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5a_1: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTermining]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=1.]		

# r8pad5a_1: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)			
	[See appendix for visual example]		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 1 (Tjener 100 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasjo		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	157	1.8%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	68	0.8%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	114	1.3%
4	Very socially acceptable	146	1.7%
97	No answer	179	2.1%
98	Not asked	7948	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5a_2: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=1.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 2 (Tjener 200 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situas		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	162	1.9%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	68	0.8%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	122	1.4%
4	Very socially acceptable	139	1.6%
97	No answer	173	2.0%
98	Not asked	7948	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5a_3: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns".</p>		

r8pad5a_3: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)

	The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.
Literal question	Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=1.] [See appendix for visual example]
Notes	Rapportere tallet 3 (Tjener 300 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	179	2.1%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	91	1.1%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	107	1.2%
4	Very socially acceptable	107	1.2%
97	No answer	180	2.1%
98	Not asked	7948	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8pad5a_4: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=1.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 4 (Tjener 400 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	213	2.5%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	81	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	92	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	104	1.2%
97	No answer	174	2.0%
98	Not asked	7948	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5a_5: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=1.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 5 (Tjener 500 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	253	2.9%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	75	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	72	0.8%
4	Very socially acceptable	89	1.0%

r8pad5a_5: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	175	2.0%
98	Not asked	7948	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad5a_6: Socially acceptable, reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerming]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>
Literal question	Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=1.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>
Notes	Rapportere tallet 6 (Tjener 600 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	296	3.4%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	59	0.7%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	43	0.5%
4	Very socially acceptable	93	1.1%
97	No answer	173	2.0%
98	Not asked	7948	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad5b_1: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerming]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report honestly".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>
Literal question	Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=2.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>

# r8pad5b_1: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)			
Notes		Rapportere tallet 1 (Tjener 100 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasjo	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	206	2.4%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	73	0.8%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	102	1.2%
4	Very socially acceptable	149	1.7%
97	No answer	135	1.6%
98	Not asked	7947	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5b_2: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report honestly".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>	
Literal question		Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)	
Interviewer's instructions		<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=2.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>	
Notes		Rapportere tallet 2 (Tjener 200 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situas	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	198	2.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	83	1.0%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	100	1.2%
4	Very socially acceptable	144	1.7%
97	No answer	140	1.6%
98	Not asked	7947	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5b_3: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report honestly".</p>	

r8pad5b_3: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)

	The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.
Literal question	Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=2.] [See appendix for visual example]
Notes	Rapportere tallet 3 (Tjener 300 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	200	2.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	84	1.0%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	96	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	148	1.7%
97	No answer	137	1.6%
98	Not asked	7947	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad5b_4: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report honestly".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>
Literal question	Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=2.] [See appendix for visual example]
Notes	Rapportere tallet 4 (Tjener 400 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	230	2.7%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	75	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	79	0.9%
4	Very socially acceptable	135	1.6%
97	No answer	146	1.7%
98	Not asked	7947	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad5b_5: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

r8pad5b_5: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)

Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report honestly".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>
Literal question	Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=2.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>
Notes	Rapportere tallet 5 (Tjener 500 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	280	3.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	76	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	55	0.6%
4	Very socially acceptable	108	1.3%
97	No answer	146	1.7%
98	Not asked	7947	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad5b_6: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report honestly".</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>
Literal question	Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=2.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>
Notes	Rapportere tallet 6 (Tjener 600 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	316	3.7%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	53	0.6%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	40	0.5%
4	Very socially acceptable	114	1.3%
97	No answer	142	1.6%

# r8pad5b_6: Socially acceptable, honestly reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	7947	92.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5c_1: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report untruthfully.</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 1 (Earns NOK 100)		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=3.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 1 (Tjener 100 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasjo		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	195	2.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	81	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	111	1.3%
4	Very socially acceptable	195	2.3%
97	No answer	142	1.6%
98	Not asked	7888	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5c_2: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report untruthfully.</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=3.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 2 (Tjener 200 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situas		

r8pad5c_2: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 2 (Earns NOK 200)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	182	2.1%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	107	1.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	123	1.4%
4	Very socially acceptable	171	2.0%
97	No answer	141	1.6%
98	Not asked	7888	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad5c_3: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report untruthfully.</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>
Literal question	Report number 3 (Earns NOK 300)
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=3.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>
Notes	Rapportere tallet 3 (Tjener 300 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	189	2.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	108	1.3%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	140	1.6%
4	Very socially acceptable	147	1.7%
97	No answer	140	1.6%
98	Not asked	7888	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pad5c_4: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report untruthfully.</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>

# r8pad5c_4: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)			
Literal question	Report number 4 (Earns NOK 400)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=3.] [See appendix for visual example]		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 4 (Tjener 400 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	191	2.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	120	1.4%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	132	1.5%
4	Very socially acceptable	139	1.6%
97	No answer	142	1.6%
98	Not asked	7888	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5c_5: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p> <p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report untruthfully.</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 5 (Earns NOK 500)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=3.] [See appendix for visual example]		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 5 (Tjener 500 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	248	2.9%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	106	1.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	93	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	136	1.6%
97	No answer	141	1.6%
98	Not asked	7888	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pad5c_6: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You should now evaluate the choices a "person A" is faced with in a hypothetical situation. Four participants in the Citizen Panel are randomly selected and will receive NOK 500 if they have evaluated one of the choices by person A similarly to the majority of the participants in this experiment.</p> <p>The hypothetical situation is as follows:</p>		

# r8pad5c_6: Socially acceptable, untruthfully reporting dice: Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)			
	<p>"Person A throws a dice and gets the number [R8PAD5_ranTerning]. Person A will then report the number the dice shows. The number reported is multiplied by NOK 100 and determines how much person A earns. Person A is requested to report untruthfully.</p> <p>The table below shows the numbers person A can choose to report. Please indicate how socially acceptable you think each of the six choices for person A are.</p>		
Literal question	Report number 6 (Earns NOK 600)		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Asked if v=3 and R8PAD5_ran=3.]</p> <p>[See appendix for visual example]</p>		
Notes	Rapportere tallet 6 (Tjener 600 kroner) (Du skal nå evaluere valgene en «person A» stilles overfor i en tenkt situasj		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	299	3.5%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	104	1.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	61	0.7%
4	Very socially acceptable	126	1.5%
97	No answer	134	1.6%
98	Not asked	7888	91.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pid_1: Feels affinity for: The Labour Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you?</p> <p>Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to:</p> <p>Several answers are possible</p>		
Literal question	The Labour Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Arbeiderpartiet (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for deg?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1385	16.1%
1	The Labour Party	752	8.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pid_2: Feels affinity for: The Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you?</p> <p>Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to:</p> <p>Several answers are possible</p>		
Literal question	The Conservative Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		

r8pid_2: Feels affinity for: The Conservative Party

Notes Høyre (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for deg? Ja, jeg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1550	18.0%
1	The Conservative Party	587	6.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pid_3: Feels affinity for: The Progress Party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Pre-question Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you?

Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to:

Several answers are possible

Literal question The Progress Party

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.]

Notes Fremskrittspartiet (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1852	21.5%
1	The Progress Party	285	3.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pid_4: Feels affinity for: The Centre Party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Pre-question Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you?

Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to:

Several answers are possible

Literal question The Centre Party

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.]

Notes Senterpartiet (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for deg? J

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1845	21.4%
1	The Centre Party	292	3.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8pid_5: Feels affinity for: The Christian Democrats			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible		
Literal question	The Christian Democrats		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Kristelig Folkeparti (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1980	23.0%
1	The Christian Democrats	157	1.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pid_6: Feels affinity for: The Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible		
Literal question	The Liberal Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Venstre (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for deg? Ja, jeg		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1916	22.2%
1	The Liberal Party	221	2.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pid_7: Feels affinity for: The Socialist Left Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible		
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Sosialistisk Venstreparti (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette		

r8pid_7: Feels affinity for: The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1856	21.6%
1	The Socialist Left Party	281	3.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pid_8: Feels affinity for: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible
Literal question	The Green Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]
Notes	Miljøpartiet De Grønne (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1921	22.3%
1	The Green Party	216	2.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pid_9: Feels affinity for: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]
Notes	Rødt (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for deg? Ja, jeg f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2004	23.3%
1	The Red Party	133	1.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8pid_10: Feels affinity for: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r8pid_10: Feels affinity for: Other			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Other:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Annet: (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for deg? Ja, jeg		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2106	24.5%
1	Other:	31	0.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8pid_10_other: Feels affinity for: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible		
Literal question	Other:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet: (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de politiske partiene enn de andre. Gjelder dette for deg? Ja, jeg		
# r8pid_11: Feels affinity for: No political party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Some feel more affinity for individual political parties than the others. Does this apply to you? Yes, I feel a sense of belonging to: Several answers are possible		
Literal question	No, I do not feel a sense of belonging to any of the political parties		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Nei, jeg føler ikke tilhørighet til noen av de politiske partiene (Noen føler mer tilhørighet til enkelte av de polit		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1631	18.9%
1	No, I do not feel a sense of belonging to any of the political parties	506	5.9%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8mi1_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI1_1 or R8MI1_2 should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2137 /-] [Invalid=14874 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI1_1 or R8MI1_2 should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Asked R8M1_1.	1048	49.0%
2	Asked R8M1_2.	1089	51.0%
Sysmiss		14874	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi1_1: How many of four suggestions do you support?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You are asked now to read the following suggestions. Afterwards, we would like to know how many of the suggestions you support. We do not want you to disclose which proposals you support, just how many.</p> <p>Spend more money on care for the elderly Sending Norwegian troops to Syria to help topple President Bashar al-Assad Make it easier for foreigners to come to Norway Allow Turkey to become a member of the EU</p>		
Literal question	How many of these proposals do you support?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI1_ran=1.The list of suggestions is presented in a randomized order.]		
Notes	Du bes nå om å lese forslagene nedenfor. Etterpå vil vi gjerne vite hvor mange av forslagene du støtter. Vi ønsker		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	52	0.6%
2	1	574	6.7%
3	2	332	3.9%
4	3	70	0.8%
5	4	12	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	7564	87.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi1_2: How many of five suggestions do you support?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You are asked now to read the following suggestions. Afterwards, we would like to know how many of the suggestions you support. We do not want you to disclose which proposals you support, just how many.</p> <p>Spend more money on care for the elderly Sending Norwegian troops to Syria to help topple President Bashar al-Assad Make it easier for foreigners to come to Norway Allow Turkey to become a member of the EU Prohibit the use of headscarves that covers the face</p>		
Literal question	How many of these proposals do you support?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI1_ran=2.The list of suggestions is presented in a randomized order.]		
Notes	Du bes nå om å lese forslagene nedenfor. Etterpå vil vi gjerne vite hvor mange av forslagene du støtter. Vi ønsker		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	35	0.4%

# r8mi1_2: How many of five suggestions do you support?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	1	240	2.8%
3	2	554	6.4%
4	3	210	2.4%
5	4	29	0.3%
6	5	8	0.1%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	7523	87.4%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8dvby1: How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Consider your local area.
Literal question	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very safe	764	8.9%
2	Safe	905	10.5%
3	Somewhat safe	96	1.1%
4	Neither safe nor unsafe	33	0.4%
5	Somewhat unsafe	38	0.4%
6	Unsafe	12	0.1%
7	Very unsafe	7	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6750	78.4%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8mi2_ran: [Randomiserer hvis v=4. Velger tilfeldig mellom R8MI2_a, R8MI2_b, R8MI2_c og R8MI2_d.]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2131 /-] [Invalid=14880 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI2_a, R8MI2_b, R8MI2_c or R8MI2_d should be asked to the respondent.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Asked R8MI2_a	549	25.8%
2	Asked R8MI2_b	530	24.9%
3	Asked R8MI2_c	537	25.2%
4	Asked R8MI2_d	515	24.2%
Sysmiss		14880	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8mi2_a: Agree/disagree: Best for region that only people with the same ethnic/religious backgrounds participate in committee	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

r8mi2_a: Agree/disagree: Best for region that only people with the same ethnic/religious backgrounds participate in committee

Pre-question	Imagine that you have been selected to participate in a regional committee that will find solutions to challenges related to the development of your region.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that only people who share the same ethnic and religious backgrounds participate in the committee?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI2_ran=1.]
Notes	Se for deg at du har blitt valgt ut til å delta i et regionalt utvalg som skal finne løsninger på utfordringer knyttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	25	0.3%
2	Agree	29	0.3%
3	Agree somewhat	47	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	76	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	65	0.8%
6	Disagree	159	1.8%
7	Strongly disagree	144	1.7%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8063	93.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi2_b: Agree/disagree: Best for region that only people with a long experience of living in the region participate in committee

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that you have been selected to participate in a regional committee that will find solutions to challenges related to the development of your region.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that only people with a long experience of living in the region participate in the committee?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI2_ran=2.]
Notes	Se for deg at du har blitt valgt ut til å delta i et regionalt utvalg som skal finne løsninger på utfordringer knyttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	25	0.3%
2	Agree	68	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	122	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	77	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	84	1.0%
6	Disagree	114	1.3%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.4%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	8082	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi2_c: Agree/disagree: Best for region that people with different ethnic/religious backgrounds participate in committee

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

r8mi2_c: Agree/disagree: Best for region that people with different ethnic/religious backgrounds participate in committee

Pre-question	Imagine that you have been selected to participate in a regional committee that will find solutions to challenges related to the development of your region.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that people with different ethnic and religious backgrounds must be in complete agreement about the proposals to the committee?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI2_ran=3.]
Notes	Se for deg at du har blitt valgt ut til å delta i et regionalt utvalg som skal finne løsninger på utfordringer knyttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	106	1.2%
2	Agree	198	2.3%
3	Agree somewhat	109	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	56	0.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	29	0.3%
6	Disagree	21	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	14	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8075	93.8%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi2_d: Agree/disagree: Best for region that people with different ethnic/religious backgrounds must be in complete agreement about proposals to committee

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that you have been selected to participate in a regional committee that will find solutions to challenges related to the development of your region.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is best for the region that people with different ethnic and religious backgrounds must be in complete agreement about the proposals to the committee?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI2_ran=4.]
Notes	Se for deg at du har blitt valgt ut til å delta i et regionalt utvalg som skal finne løsninger på utfordringer knyttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.3%
2	Agree	120	1.4%
3	Agree somewhat	129	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	91	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	68	0.8%
6	Disagree	57	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	20	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8097	94.0%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dv5: Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?

# r8dv5: Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.]		
Notes	Hvor stor fordel eller ulempe vil du si at det er for Norge at innvandrere kommer for å bosette seg her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	63	0.7%
2	Great advantage	279	3.2%
3	Slightly advantageous	711	8.3%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	281	3.3%
5	A slight disadvantage	348	4.0%
6	A great disadvantage	118	1.4%
7	Very great disadvantage	57	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	6751	78.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv6: How important is immigration policy to you?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	224	2.6%
2	Important	715	8.3%
3	Somewhat important	659	7.7%
4	Slightly important	200	2.3%
5	Not important at all	41	0.5%
97	No answer	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	6758	78.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv7: Party with the best immigration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	328	3.8%
2	The Progress Party	433	5.0%
3	The Conservative Party	275	3.2%
4	The Socialist Left Party	150	1.7%
5	The Centre Party	50	0.6%
6	The Christian Democrats	88	1.0%
7	The Liberal party	60	0.7%
8	The Red Party	30	0.3%
9	The Green Party	14	0.2%

# r8dv7: Party with the best immigration policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Other, please specify	27	0.3%
11	None	335	3.9%
97	No answer	20	0.2%
98	Not asked	6802	79.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv7_10_other: Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8mi3_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI3_a-R8MI3_l should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2123 /-] [Invalid=14888 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI3_a-R8MI3_l should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Asked R8MI3_a	168	7.9%
2	Asked R8MI3_b	182	8.6%
3	Asked R8MI3_c	167	7.9%
4	Asked R8MI3_d	172	8.1%
5	Asked R8MI3_e	176	8.3%
6	Asked R8MI3_f	199	9.4%
7	Asked R8MI3_g	187	8.8%
8	Asked R8MI3_h	155	7.3%
9	Asked R8MI3_i	171	8.1%
10	Asked R8MI3_j	174	8.2%
11	Asked R8MI3_k	191	9.0%
12	Asked R8MI3_l	181	8.5%
Sysmiss		14888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_a: Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters offensive to Christians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that are offensive to Christians?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	122	1.4%
2	No	25	0.3%
3	Don't know	20	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8444	98.0%

# r8mi3_a: Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters offensive to Christians			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_b: Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters offensive to Jews			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that are offensive to Jews?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	60	0.7%
2	No	86	1.0%
3	Don't know	36	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8430	97.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_c: Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters offensive to Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that are offensive to Muslims?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	118	1.4%
2	No	27	0.3%
3	Don't know	20	0.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8445	98.1%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_d: Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Christians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Christians?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	56	0.7%
2	No	76	0.9%
3	Don't know	38	0.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	8440	98.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8mi3_e: Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Jews			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Jews?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=5.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	16	0.2%
2	No	147	1.7%
3	Don't know	13	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8436	98.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_f: Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers be allowed to publish letters that express hatred against Muslims?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=6.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	42	0.5%
2	No	119	1.4%
3	Don't know	38	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8413	97.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_g: Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters offensive to Christians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters that are offensive to Christians?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=7.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	93	1.1%
2	No	41	0.5%
3	Don't know	52	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8425	97.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_h: Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters offensive to Jews			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters that are offensive to Jews?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=8.]		

r8mi3_h: Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters offensive to Jews

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	33	0.4%
2	No	86	1.0%
3	Don't know	35	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8457	98.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi3_i: Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters offensive to Muslims

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters that are offensive to Muslims?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=9.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	78	0.9%
2	No	56	0.7%
3	Don't know	36	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	8441	98.0%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8mi3_j: Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Christians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Christians?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=10.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	47	0.5%
2	No	88	1.0%
3	Don't know	39	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8438	98.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_k: Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Jews			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Jews?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=11.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11	0.1%
2	No	157	1.8%
3	Don't know	23	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8421	97.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_l: Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Should Norwegian newspapers publish letters expressing hatred towards Muslims?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_ran=12.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	27	0.3%
2	No	130	1.5%
3	Don't know	21	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8431	97.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi3_1: Do most people in Norway feel the same way as you about such contributions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that most people in Norway feel the same way as you about such contributions, or do you think that most people have an opposite view?		

# r8mi3_1: Do most people in Norway feel the same way as you about such contributions?			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI3_a-R8MI3_l=1 or R8MI3_a-R8MI3_l=2.]		
Notes	Tror du de fleste i Norge mener det samme som deg om slike innlegg, eller tror du at de fleste har motsatt syn?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most people feel the same way as me	1373	15.9%
2	Most people have an opposite view	276	3.2%
97	No answer	92	1.1%
98	Not asked	6871	79.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv29: Statement: Begging should be prohibited in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	387	4.5%
2	Agree	393	4.6%
3	Agree somewhat	371	4.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	233	2.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	183	2.1%
6	Disagree	206	2.4%
7	Strongly disagree	80	0.9%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6752	78.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Flyktninger bør ha samme rett til sosialhjelp som nordmenn, selv om de i		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	112	1.3%
2	Agree	382	4.4%
3	Agree somewhat	397	4.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	158	1.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	338	3.9%
6	Disagree	244	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	196	2.3%
97	No answer	17	0.2%

# r8dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6768	78.6%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi4_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI4_a-R8MI4_f should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2123 /-] [Invalid=14888 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI4_a-R8MI4_f should be asked to the respondent.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Asked R8MI4_a	318	15.0%
2	Asked R8MI4_b	367	17.3%
3	Asked R8MI4_c	375	17.7%
4	Asked R8MI4_d	321	15.1%
5	Asked R8MI4_e	344	16.2%
6	Asked R8MI4_f	398	18.7%
Sysmiss		14888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi4_a: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim extremists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim extremists when they say that they wish to be part of our society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI4_ran=1.]		
Notes	Hvor stor tillit eller mistillit har du til muslimske ekstremister når de sier at de ønsker å bli en del av vårt samf		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	3	0.0%
2	Trust	1	0.0%
3	Somewhat trust	14	0.2%
4	Neither trust nor mistrust	22	0.3%
5	Somewhat mistrust	57	0.7%
6	Mistrust	109	1.3%
7	Strongly mistrust	109	1.3%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	8294	96.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi4_b: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim religious leaders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim religious leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI4_ran=2.]		
Notes	Hvor stor tillit eller mistillit har du til muslimske religiøse ledere når de sier at de ønsker å bli en del av vårt		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	4	0.0%

r8mi4_b: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim religious leaders

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Trust	33	0.4%
3	Somewhat trust	78	0.9%
4	Neither trust nor mistrust	61	0.7%
5	Somewhat mistrust	79	0.9%
6	Mistrust	62	0.7%
7	Strongly mistrust	46	0.5%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8245	95.7%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi4_c: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI4_ran=3.]
Notes	Hvor stor tillit eller mistillit har du til muslimske ledere når de sier at de ønsker å bli en del av vårt samfunn?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	4	0.0%
2	Trust	50	0.6%
3	Somewhat trust	86	1.0%
4	Neither trust nor mistrust	54	0.6%
5	Somewhat mistrust	77	0.9%
6	Mistrust	51	0.6%
7	Strongly mistrust	48	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8237	95.6%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi4_d: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim local politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim local politicians when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI4_ran=4.]
Notes	Hvor stor tillit eller mistillit har du til muslimske lokalpolitikere når de sier at de ønsker å bli en del av vårt s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	11	0.1%
2	Trust	108	1.3%
3	Somewhat trust	80	0.9%
4	Neither trust nor mistrust	45	0.5%
5	Somewhat mistrust	40	0.5%
6	Mistrust	23	0.3%
7	Strongly mistrust	12	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%

# r8mi4_d: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslim local politicians			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8291	96.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi4_e: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, female Muslim leaders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust female Muslim leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI4_ran=5.]		
Notes	Hvor stor tillit eller mistillit har du til kvinnelige muslimske ledere når de sier at de ønsker å bli en del av vårt		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	26	0.3%
2	Trust	97	1.1%
3	Somewhat trust	78	0.9%
4	Neither trust nor mistrust	62	0.7%
5	Somewhat mistrust	45	0.5%
6	Mistrust	19	0.2%
7	Strongly mistrust	11	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	8268	96.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi4_f: Trust/mistrust: Wish to be part of our society, Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of our society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI4_ran=6.]		
Notes	Hvor stor tillit eller mistillit har du til muslimer når de sier at de ønsker å bli en del av vårt samfunn?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	18	0.2%
2	Trust	99	1.1%
3	Somewhat trust	107	1.2%
4	Neither trust nor mistrust	50	0.6%
5	Somewhat mistrust	56	0.7%
6	Mistrust	35	0.4%
7	Strongly mistrust	28	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8214	95.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi5_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI5_a-f should be asked to the respondent.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2123 /-] [Invalid=14888 /-]		

# r8mi5_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI5_a-f should be asked to the respondent.]			
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly chooses if R8MI5_a-f should be asked to the respondent.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent is asked R8MI5_a	356	16.8%
2	Respondent is asked R8MI5_b	354	16.7%
3	Respondent is asked R8MI5_c	339	16.0%
4	Respondent is asked R8MI5_d	388	18.3%
5	Respondent is asked R8MI5_e	348	16.4%
6	Respondent is asked R8MI5_f	338	15.9%
Sysmiss		14888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi5_a: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders demands: More awareness about the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Pre-question		Some Muslim leaders are demanding more awareness about the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society.	
Literal question		To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=4 and R8MI5_ran=1.]	
Notes		Noen muslimske ledere krever mer oppmerksomhet om utfordringene ved å bli fullverdige medlemmer av det norske samfunnet.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	11	0.1%
2	Agree	66	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	70	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	95	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	40	0.5%
6	Disagree	40	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.2%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	8256	95.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi5_b: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders demands: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Pre-question		Some Muslim leaders are demanding more awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly in Norwegian society.	
Literal question		To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=4 and R8MI5_ran=2.]	
Notes		Noen muslimske ledere krever mer oppmerksomhet om hvordan de på ulike måter behandles urettferdig i det norske samfunne	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	9	0.1%
2	Agree	27	0.3%

r8mi5_b: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders demands: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree somewhat	59	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	86	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	55	0.6%
6	Disagree	64	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	49	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8258	95.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi5_c: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders insists: More awareness about the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some Muslim leaders are insisting on more awareness about the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI5_ran=3.]
Notes	Noen muslimske ledere insisterer på mer oppmerksomhet om utfordringene ved å bli fullverdige medlemmer av det norske sa

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	18	0.2%
2	Agree	70	0.8%
3	Agree somewhat	72	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	92	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	29	0.3%
6	Disagree	36	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	8273	96.1%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi5_d: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders insists: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some Muslim leaders are insisting on more awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly in Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI5_ran=4.]
Notes	Noen muslimske ledere insisterer på mer oppmerksomhet om hvordan de på ulike måter behandles urettferdig i det norske

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	9	0.1%
2	Agree	40	0.5%
3	Agree somewhat	64	0.7%

r8mi5_d: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders insists: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	95	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	48	0.6%
6	Disagree	75	0.9%
7	Strongly disagree	52	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	8224	95.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi5_e: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders asking for: More awareness about the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some Muslim leaders are asking for more awareness of the challenges of becoming full members of Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI5_ran=5.]
Notes	Noen muslimske ledere ber om mer oppmerksomhet om utfordringene ved å bli fullverdige medlemmer av det norske samfunnet.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	27	0.3%
2	Agree	74	0.9%
3	Agree somewhat	75	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	74	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	24	0.3%
6	Disagree	35	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	31	0.4%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	8264	96.0%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi5_f: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders asking for: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some Muslim leaders are asking for more awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly in Norwegian society.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with them about giving this more attention?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI5_ran=6.]
Notes	Noen muslimske ledere ber om mer oppmerksomhet om hvordan de på ulike måter behandles urettferdig i det norske samfunne

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	8	0.1%
2	Agree	38	0.4%
3	Agree somewhat	67	0.8%

r8mi5_f: Agree/disagree, Muslim leaders asking for: More awareness about how in various ways they are treated unfairly Norwegian society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	82	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	50	0.6%
6	Disagree	60	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.0%
98	Not asked	8274	96.1%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dvby9: Are there refugees living in your community?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Many refugees have in recent time been living in several different local communities.
Literal question	As far as you know, are there refugees living in your community?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]
Notes	Mange flyktninger har den siste tiden blitt bosatt i flere ulike nærmiljøer. Så langt du vet, er det bosatt flyktning

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1280	14.9%
2	No	392	4.6%
3	Don't know	444	5.2%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dvby9aja: Feelings about refugees having been living in local community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	How do you feel about refugees having been living in your local community?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8DVBY9=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It's okay	906	10.5%
2	It's not okay	156	1.8%
3	It does not matter	196	2.3%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	7332	85.1%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dvby9anei: Feelings about refugees coming to live in local community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	How do you feel about refugees coming to live in your community?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8DVBY9=2 or R8DVBY9=3.]

# r8dvby9anei: Feelings about refugees coming to live in local community			
Notes		Hvordan stiller du deg til at flyktninger kan komme til å bli bosatt i ditt nærmiljø?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It's okay	465	5.4%
2	It's not okay	111	1.3%
3	It does not matter	239	2.8%
97	No answer	21	0.2%
98	Not asked	7776	90.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dvby10_1: Feelings about refugees as a group: Admiration			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Pre-question		There are now some questions about what you personally feel about refugees. To what extent do you have the following feelings about refugees as a group?	
Literal question		Admiration	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=4.]	
Notes		Beundring (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om flyktninger. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelse	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	364	4.2%
2	Slightly	498	5.8%
3	Somewhat	796	9.2%
4	To a great extent	295	3.4%
5	To a very great extent	58	0.7%
97	No answer	128	1.5%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dvby10_2: Feelings about refugees as a group: Sympathy			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Pre-question		There are now some questions about what you personally feel about refugees. To what extent do you have the following feelings about refugees as a group?	
Literal question		Sympathy	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=4.]	
Notes		Medfølelse (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om flyktninger. I hvilken grad har du følgende følel	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	69	0.8%
2	Slightly	152	1.8%
3	Somewhat	663	7.7%
4	To a great extent	859	10.0%
5	To a very great extent	337	3.9%
97	No answer	59	0.7%

r8dvby10_2: Feelings about refugees as a group: Sympathy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dvby10_3: Feelings about refugees as a group: Anxiety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about refugees. To what extent do you have the following feelings about refugees as a group?
Literal question	Anxiety
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]
Notes	Angst (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om flyktninger. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelser om

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	948	11.0%
2	Slightly	710	8.2%
3	Somewhat	278	3.2%
4	To a great extent	63	0.7%
5	To a very great extent	23	0.3%
97	No answer	117	1.4%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dvby10_4: Feelings about refugees as a group: Fear

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about refugees. To what extent do you have the following feelings about refugees as a group?
Literal question	Fear
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]
Notes	Frykt (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om flyktninger. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelser om

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	713	8.3%
2	Slightly	822	9.5%
3	Somewhat	382	4.4%
4	To a great extent	87	1.0%
5	To a very great extent	33	0.4%
97	No answer	102	1.2%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dv_vbs_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. The respondents are prepared to situations. This variable randomizes the order of the scenarios.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

r8dv_vbs_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. The respondents are prepared to situations. This variable randomizes the order of the scenarios.]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=14893 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if v=4. The respondents are prepared to scenarios (R8DV_VBS_situasjon1 og R8DV_VBS_situasjon2). This variable randomizes the order of the scenarios.]

Notes Randomiserer rekkefølgen på VBS_1 og VBS_2. Hvis denne variabelen = 1 stilles VB1 først. Hvis denne variabelen = 2 sti

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Situation 1 is asked first	1051	49.6%
2	Situation 2 is asked second	1067	50.4%
Sysmiss		14893	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dv_vbs_name_sit1: [Background variable. Persons name in situation 1.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=14893 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Åse	522	24.6%
2	Fatima	1596	75.4%
Sysmiss		14893	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dv_vbs_rantext_sit1: [Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=14893 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment. Only chooses a text if r8dv_vbs_name_sit1 equals Fatima.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	is a Norwegian citizen with an immigrant background	501	23.7%
2	is a foreign citizen with legal residence in Norway	553	26.1%
3	is in Norway without legal residence	542	25.6%
4	[NONE]	522	24.6%
Sysmiss		14893	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dv_vbs_alternativ1_sit1: [Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the first situation of R8DV_VBS experiment.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=14893 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the first situation of R8DV_VBS experiment.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	the values of the Norwegian welfare state	727	34.3%
2	international human rights	673	31.8%
3	[NONE]	718	33.9%
Sysmiss		14893	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8dv_vbs_name_sit2: [Background variable. Persons name in situation 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=14893 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kari	521	24.6%
2	Zita	1597	75.4%
Sysmiss		14893	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv_vbs_rantext_sit2: [Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=14893 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text of R8DV_VBS experiment. Only chooses text if r8dv_vbs_name_sit2 equals Zita.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	is a Norwegian citizen with an immigrant background	498	23.5%
2	is a foreign citizen with legal residence in Norway	551	26.0%
3	is in Norway without legal residence	548	25.9%
4	[NONE]	521	24.6%
Sysmiss		14893	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv_vbs_alternativ1_sit2: [Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the second situation of R8DV_VBS experiment.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=14893 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the second situation of R8DV_VBS experiment.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	the values of the Norwegian welfare state	694	32.8%
2	international human rights	724	34.2%
3	[NONE]	700	33.1%
Sysmiss		14893	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R8DV_VBS_situation1_q1: Agree/disagree: What Åse/Fatima is experiencing violates human rights/values of the Norwegian welfare state			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Åse has a very low income. She must often skip meals and eat smaller portions than is healthy. Sometimes a whole day or longer goes by without her eating anything.</p> <p>/</p> <p>[Fatima is a Norwegian citizen with an immigrant background/Fatima is a foreign citizen with legal residence in Norway/Fatima is in Norway without legal residence].</p> <p>She has very low income. She must often skip meals and eat smaller portions than is healthy. Sometimes a whole day or longer goes by without her eating anything.]]</p> <p>[</p>		

R8DV_VBS_situation1_q1: Agree/disagree: What Åse/Fatima is experiencing violates human rights/values of the Norwegian welfare state

	NONE / Some believe that what [Åse/Fatima] is experiencing violates [international human rights/the values of the Norwegian welfare state]. Others disagree.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that what [Åse/Fatima] is experiencing violates [international human rights/the values of the Norwegian welfare state]?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 og r8dv_vbs_alternativ1_sit1 != 3. Experiment. the respondents are exposed to different scenarios. All respondents receive questions connected to both scenarios, men the order is randomized. It also randomizes how many questions the respondents are asked about the scenarios. Contact Elisabeth Ivarsflaten for further information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	431	5.0%
2	Agree	484	5.6%
3	Agree somewhat	195	2.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	132	1.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	53	0.6%
6	Disagree	69	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.3%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	7212	83.7%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

R8DV_VBS_situation1_q2: Agree/disagree: Should Åse/Fatima receive additional benefits from the state?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some believe that [Åse/Fatima] should receive additional benefits from the state in order that they can be better off, even if there will then be less state funds for other purposes. Others disagree.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that [Åse/Fatima] should receive additional benefits from the state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. Experiment. the respondents are exposed to different scenarios. All respondents receive questions connected to both scenarios, men the order is randomized. It also randomizes how many questions the respondents are asked about the scenarios. Contact Elisabeth Ivarsflaten for further information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	300	3.5%
2	Agree	570	6.6%
3	Agree somewhat	527	6.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	272	3.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	155	1.8%
6	Disagree	176	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	94	1.1%
97	No answer	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	6494	75.4%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

R8DV_VBS_situation2_q1: Agree/disagree: Kari/Zita is having a hard time at work, does it violate human rights/values of the Norwegian welfare state

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	[Kari is having a hard time at work. Sometimes she works 10 hours continuously without a break. She has a low hourly wage and is often not paid for overtime. Sometimes there is no salary at all.

R8DV_VBS_situation2_q1: Agree/disagree: Kari/Zita is having a hard time at work, does it violate human rights/values of the Norwegian welfare state

	<p>/</p> <p>[Zita is a Norwegian citizen with an immigrant background/Zita is a foreign citizen with legal residency in Norway/Zita is in Norway without legal residency]. She is having a hard time at work. Sometimes she works 10 hours continuously without a break. She has a low hourly wage and is often not paid for overtime. Sometimes there is no salary at all.]]</p> <p>[NONE /Some believe that what [Kari/Zita] is experiencing violates [international human rights/the values of the Norwegian welfare state]. Others disagree.</p>
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that what [Kari/Zita] is experiencing violates [international human rights/the values of the Norwegian welfare state.]]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 og r8dv_vbs_alternativ1_sit2 != 3. Experiment. the respondents are exposed to different scenarios. All respondents receive questions connected to both scenarios, men the order is randomized. It also randomizes how many questions the respondents are asked about the scenarios. Contact Elisabeth Ivarsflaten for further information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	649	7.5%
2	Agree	451	5.2%
3	Agree somewhat	128	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	87	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	34	0.4%
6	Disagree	38	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	22	0.3%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	7194	83.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

R8DV_VBS_situation2_q2: Strictest possible sanctions or a warning against Kari's/Zita's employer?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Some believe that the state should react with the strictest possible sanctions against [Kari's/Zita's] employer, while others believe a warning is sufficient.
Literal question	What is your opinion?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. Experiment. the respondents are exposed to different scenarios. All respondents receive questions connected to both scenarios, men the order is randomized. It also randomizes how many questions the respondents are asked about the scenarios. Contact Elisabeth Ivarsflaten for further information.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The state should react with the strictest possible sanctions against the employer	1648	19.1%
2	A warning is sufficient	436	5.1%
97	No answer	34	0.4%
98	Not asked	6494	75.4%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi6_ran: [Randomly chooses if R8MI6_a or R8MI6_b are asked to the respondent.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2115 /-] [Invalid=14896 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses if R8MI6_a or R8MI6_b are asked to the respondent.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Asked R8MI6_a	1078	51.0%

# r8mi6_ran: [Randomly chooses if R8MI6_a or R8MI6_b are asked to the respondent.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Asked R8MI6_b	1037	49.0%
Sysmiss		14896	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi6_a: Statement: Must insist that Norwegian nationals from Muslim countries let go of their values/traditions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	If Norway is to remain a peaceful, affluent society, we must insist that Norwegian nationals who have come here from Muslim countries let go of their values and traditions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI6_ran=1.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Hvis Norge skal forbli et fredfylt velstandssamfunn må vi insistere på		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	90	1.0%
2	Agree	116	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	292	3.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	136	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	169	2.0%
6	Disagree	197	2.3%
7	Strongly disagree	61	0.7%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	7534	87.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi6_b: Statement: Have to respect the values/traditions of Norwegian nationals from Muslim countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	If Norway is to remain a peaceful, affluent society we have to respect the values and traditions of Norwegian citizens who have come here from Muslim countries.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R8MI6_ran=2.]		
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Hvis Norge skal forbli et fredfylt velstandssamfunn må vi respektere ver		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	97	1.1%
2	Agree	245	2.8%
3	Agree somewhat	285	3.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	105	1.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	139	1.6%
6	Disagree	96	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	60	0.7%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7575	88.0%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8mi7_1: Statement: Muslims a lot to offer Norwegian culture			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Muslims have a lot to offer Norwegian culture.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Muslimer har mye å tilby norsk kultur. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	125	1.5%
2	Agree	430	5.0%
3	Agree somewhat	564	6.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	360	4.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	191	2.2%
6	Disagree	254	2.9%
7	Strongly disagree	165	1.9%
97	No answer	50	0.6%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi7_2: Statement: Muslim men in Norway dominate women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Muslim men in Norway dominate women.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Muslimske menn i Norge dominerer kvinner. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	445	5.2%
2	Agree	661	7.7%
3	Agree somewhat	513	6.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	344	4.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	54	0.6%
6	Disagree	42	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	32	0.4%
97	No answer	48	0.6%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi7_3: Statement: Western European and Muslim ways of life incompatible			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Western European and Muslim ways of life are incompatible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		

r8mi7_3: Statement: Western European and Muslim ways of life incompatible

Notes Vesteuropiske og muslimske måter å leve på er uforenlige. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	193	2.2%
2	Agree	259	3.0%
3	Agree somewhat	416	4.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	353	4.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	342	4.0%
6	Disagree	429	5.0%
7	Strongly disagree	97	1.1%
97	No answer	50	0.6%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi7_4: Statement: Most Muslims in Norway respect other cultures

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Pre-question To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

Literal question Most Muslims in Norway respect other cultures.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.]

Notes De fleste muslimer i Norge respekterer andre kulturer. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	113	1.3%
2	Agree	563	6.5%
3	Agree somewhat	412	4.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	377	4.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	281	3.3%
6	Disagree	199	2.3%
7	Strongly disagree	145	1.7%
97	No answer	49	0.6%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8mi7_5: Statement: Muslims in Norway raise children in an authoritarian way

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Pre-question To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

Literal question Muslims in Norway raise their children in an authoritarian way.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.]

Notes Muslimer i Norge oppdrar sine barn på en autoritær måte. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	148	1.7%
2	Agree	416	4.8%
3	Agree somewhat	539	6.3%

# r8mi7_5: Statement: Muslims in Norway raise children in an authoritarian way			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	781	9.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	109	1.3%
6	Disagree	63	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	29	0.3%
97	No answer	54	0.6%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi8_1: How fitting is description of you: Important for me to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Det er viktig for meg personlig å være fordomsfri overfor muslimer (Hvor godt eller dårlig vil du si følgende beskriv		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	281	3.3%
2	Good	667	7.7%
3	Somewhat good	432	5.0%
4	Neither good nor bad	453	5.3%
5	Somewhat bad	126	1.5%
6	Bad	73	0.8%
7	Very bad	32	0.4%
97	No answer	75	0.9%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi8_2: How fitting is description of you: Feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Jeg føler skyld dersom jeg tenker negativt om muslimer (Hvor godt eller dårlig vil du si følgende beskrivelser passer		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	90	1.0%
2	Good	240	2.8%
3	Somewhat good	325	3.8%
4	Neither good nor bad	652	7.6%
5	Somewhat bad	259	3.0%
6	Bad	317	3.7%
7	Very bad	174	2.0%

# r8mi8_2: How fitting is description of you: Feel guilty if I think negatively about Muslims			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	82	1.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi8_3: How fitting is description of you: Try to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims due to own convictions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to Muslims due to my own convictions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Jeg prøver å være fordomsfri overfor muslimer på grunn av min egen overbevisning (Hvor godt eller dårlig vil du si f		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	186	2.2%
2	Good	593	6.9%
3	Somewhat good	430	5.0%
4	Neither good nor bad	550	6.4%
5	Somewhat bad	112	1.3%
6	Bad	106	1.2%
7	Very bad	70	0.8%
97	No answer	92	1.1%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8mi8_4: How fitting is description of you: Do not want to appear racist, not even to myself			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Notes	Jeg vil ikke fremstå som rasistisk, ikke engang overfor meg selv (Hvor godt eller dårlig vil du si følgende beskrivels		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	422	4.9%
2	Good	637	7.4%
3	Somewhat good	327	3.8%
4	Neither good nor bad	432	5.0%
5	Somewhat bad	102	1.2%
6	Bad	78	0.9%
7	Very bad	58	0.7%
97	No answer	83	1.0%
98	Not asked	6473	75.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8dvby3: Extent of fear for new terrorist attack in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and u!=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	120	1.4%
2	Slightly	643	7.5%
3	Somewhat	715	8.3%
4	To a great extent	246	2.9%
5	To a very great extent	121	1.4%
97	No answer	11	0.1%
98	Not asked	6756	78.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_panel_1: Contribution refugee situation: Donation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/equipment)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Donasjon (f.eks. pengegaver/klær/utstyr) (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere ti		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		600	7.0%
1	Donation (e.g. money/clothing/equipment)	280	3.3%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7722	89.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_panel_2: Contribution refugee situation: Physical contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Fysisk (f.eks. delt ut mat/klær/utstyr direkte til flyktningene) (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flykt		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		851	9.9%
1	Physical contribution (e.g. given out food/clothing/equipment directly to refugees)	29	0.3%

# r8frflykt_panel_2: Contribution refugee situation: Physical contribution			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7722	89.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_panel_3: Contribution refugee situation: Administrative contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Administrativt (f.eks. koordinert handling/informasjonsarbeid) (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktning)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		859	10.0%
1	Administrative contribution (e.g. coordinated action/information work)	21	0.2%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7722	89.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_panel_4: Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other form of contribution; specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Annen form for innsats, spesifiser: (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere til Norg)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		829	9.6%
1	Other form of contribution; specify:	51	0.6%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7722	89.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_panel_4_other: Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation?		

# r8frflykt_panel_4_other: Contribution refugee situation: Other form of contribution [anonymized]			
	More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other form of contribution, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annen form for innsats, spesifiser: (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere til Norg)		
# r8frflykt_panel_5: Contribution refugee situation: No, none of these			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Many refugees and asylum seekers have come to Norway in the course of the last years. During the last 12 months, have you made any of the following contributions in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	No, none of these		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Nei, ingen av disse (I løpet av de siste årene har det kommet mange flyktninger og asylsøkere til Norge. Har du i løp		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		336	3.9%
1	No, none of these	544	6.3%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	7722	89.7%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_1: What kind of contribution: Gave money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gave money		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		101	1.2%
1	Gave money	229	2.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_2: What kind of contribution: Gave clothing/equipment/food			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gave clothing/equipment/food		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		

# r8frflykt_1_panel_2: What kind of contribution: Gave clothing/equipment/food			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		181	2.1%
1	Gave clothing/equipment/food	149	1.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_3: What kind of contribution: Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Delt ut klær/utstyr/mat direkte til flyktninger (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		316	3.7%
1	Gave out clothing/equipment/food directly to refugees	14	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_4: What kind of contribution: Acted as a refugee guide			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Acted as a refugee guide		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		326	3.8%
1	Acted as a refugee guide	4	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_5: What kind of contribution: Acted as visiting friend/social contact			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Acted as visiting friend/social contact		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		

# r8frflykt_1_panel_5: What kind of contribution: Acted as visiting friend/social contact			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		307	3.6%
1	Acted as visiting friend/social contact	23	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_6: What kind of contribution: Helped with homework/lessons			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with homework/lessons		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		320	3.7%
1	Helped with homework/lessons	10	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_7: What kind of contribution: Organised gatherings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Organised gatherings		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		314	3.6%
1	Organised gatherings	16	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_8: What kind of contribution: Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Bidratt med norskoppl�ring/annen undervisning (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)		

r8frflykt_1_panel_8: What kind of contribution: Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		302	3.5%
1	Helped with teaching Norwegian/other teaching	28	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Systemmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt_1_panel_9: What kind of contribution: Helped with organisation and activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	Bidratt med organisering og aktiviteter (f.eks. på asylmottak) (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		314	3.6%
1	Helped with organisation and activities (e.g. at asylum seekers' centre)	16	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Systemmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt_1_panel_10: What kind of contribution: Housed refugees at home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Housed refugees at home
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		322	3.7%
1	Housed refugees at home	8	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Systemmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt_1_panel_11: What kind of contribution: Administered/coordinated volunteers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Administered/coordinated volunteers
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]

# r8frflykt_1_panel_11: What kind of contribution: Administered/coordinated volunteers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		321	3.7%
1	Administered/coordinated volunteers	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_12: What kind of contribution: Information work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Information work		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		319	3.7%
1	Information work	11	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_13: What kind of contribution: Editing/moderating websites			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Redigering/moderering av nettsider (inkl. sosiale medier) (Hva slags innsats dreide dette seg om?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		328	3.8%
1	Editing/moderating websites (inc. social media)	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_1_panel_14: What kind of contribution: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		

# r8rflykt_1_panel_14: What kind of contribution: Other			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		314	3.6%
1	Other, specify:	16	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_1_panel_14_other: What kind of contribution: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of contribution was this? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8rflykt_2_panel_1: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Traditional voluntary organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	En tradisjonell frivillig organisasjon (f.eks. Røde Kors, Norsk Folkehjelp) (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig inn		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		129	1.5%
1	A traditional voluntary organisation (e.g. Red Cross, Norwegian People's Aid)	201	2.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_2_panel_2: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Newly-formed initiative/organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Et nylig opprettet initiativ/organisasjon (f.eks. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Dråpen i havet) (I regi av hvem har du utf		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		306	3.6%

# r8rflykt_2_panel_2: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Newly-formed initiative/organisation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A newly-formed initiative/organisation (e.g. Refugees Welcome to Norway, Drop in the Ocean)	24	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_2_panel_3: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Public body			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	En offentlig virksomhet (kommune, etat, e.l.) (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktnin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		289	3.4%
1	A public body (municipality, agency etc.)	41	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_2_panel_4: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Private body			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	A private body		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	En privat virksomhet (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktnings situasjonen?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		285	3.3%
1	A private body	45	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_2_panel_5: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Asylum seekers' centre			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		

# r8frflykt_2_panel_5: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Asylum seekers' centre			
Literal question	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Et asylmottak (privat eller offentlig) (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktningsituas		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		319	3.7%
1	An asylum seekers' centre (public or private)	11	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_2_panel_6: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: On own initiative/together with friends and family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Organised work on own initiative/together with friends and family		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Organiserte arbeidet på egenhånd/sammen med kjente (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med f		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		308	3.6%
1	Organised work on own initiative/together with friends and family	22	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_2_panel_7: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktningsituasjonen?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		303	3.5%
1	Other, specify:	27	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8frflykt_2_panel_7_other: Voluntary work for whom, refugee situation: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	For whom did you perform voluntary work in connection with the refugee situation? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (I regi av hvem har du utført frivillig innsats i forbindelse med flyktnings situasjonen?)		
# r8frflykt_3_panel_1: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by organisation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by an organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert av en organisasjon (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		229	2.7%
1	Was invited by an organisation	101	1.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3_panel_2: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert via en Facebookgruppe, spesifiser hvilken gruppe: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		312	3.6%
1	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:	18	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Systemmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3_panel_2_other: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# r8rflykt_3_panel_2_other: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via Facebook group [anonymized]			
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via a Facebook group; specify which group:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Ble invitert via en Facebookgruppe, spesifiser hvilken gruppe: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for		
# r8rflykt_3_panel_3: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via the municipality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via the municipality in which I live		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert via kommunen der jeg bor (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		314	3.6%
1	Was invited via the municipality in which I live	16	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_3_panel_4: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via asylum seeker's centre			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert via asylmottak (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		323	3.8%
1	Was invited via an asylum seekers' centre	7	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_3_panel_5: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via private company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		

# r8frflykt_3_panel_5: How did you become a volunteer: Invited via private company			
Literal question	Was invited via a private company		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert via en privat bedrift (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		327	3.8%
1	Was invited via a private company	3	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3_panel_6: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by own workplace/place of study			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert av min egen arbeidsplass/studiested (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		308	3.6%
1	Was invited by my own workplace/place of study	22	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3_panel_7: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by close friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by close friends		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert av nære venner (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		307	3.6%
1	Was invited by close friends	23	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3_panel_8: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r8frflykt_3_panel_8: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by acquaintances			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by acquaintances		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert av bekjente (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		297	3.4%
1	Was invited by acquaintances	33	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3_panel_9: How did you become a volunteer: Invited by others			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Was invited by other persons		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Ble invitert av andre personer (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		321	3.7%
1	Was invited by other persons	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8frflykt_3_panel_10: How did you become a volunteer: Own initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Took the initiative myself		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Tok selv initiativet (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		215	2.5%
1	Took the initiative myself	115	1.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%

# r8rflykt_3_panel_10: How did you become a volunteer: Own initiative			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_3_panel_11: How did you become a volunteer: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		303	3.5%
1	Other, specify:	27	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8rflykt_3_panel_11_other: How did you become a volunteer: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	How did it come about that you did voluntary work for refugees? More than one answer possible		
Literal question	Other, specify:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet, spesifiser: (Hvordan hadde det seg at du engasjerte deg frivillig for flyktninger?)		
# r8rflykt_4_panel_1: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.		
Literal question	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Annonsering eller omtale i aviser, nettaviser, TV eller radio (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivil		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		195	2.3%
1	Advertising or coverage in newspapers, online newspapers, TV or radio	135	1.6%
97	No answer	0	

r8rflykt_4_panel_1: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Coverage in media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8rflykt_4_panel_2: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: By E-mail

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	By e-mail
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	På e-post (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		304	3.5%
1	By e-mail	26	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8rflykt_4_panel_3: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On Facebook

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	On Facebook
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	På Facebook (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		269	3.1%
1	On Facebook	61	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8rflykt_4_panel_4: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: On other social media (e.g. Twitter)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.

**# r8rflykt_4_panel_4: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer:
On other social media (e.g. Twitter)**

Literal question	On other social media (e.g. Twitter)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	På andre sosiale medier (f.eks. Twitter) (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningsit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		320	3.7%
1	On other social media (e.g. Twitter)	10	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# r8rflykt_4_panel_5: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer:
By SMS**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	By SMS text
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	På SMS (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningsituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		306	3.6%
1	By SMS text	24	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# r8rflykt_4_panel_6: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer:
By telephone**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	By telephone
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	I telefonsamtale (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningsituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		311	3.6%
1	By telephone	19	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# r8frflykt_4_panel_7: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer:
By face-to-face contact**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	By face-to-face contact
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	Gjennom ansikt til ansikt kontakt (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktnings situasjonen)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		231	2.7%
1	By face-to-face contact	99	1.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt_4_panel_8: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	Other places; specify:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	Andre steder, spesifiser: (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		297	3.4%
1	Other places; specify:	33	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	8282	96.2%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8frflykt_4_panel_8_other: How did you find out about the possibility to contribute to the refugee situation as a volunteer: Other places [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How did you find out about the possibility of making a contribution to the refugee situation as a volunteer? More than one answer possible.
Literal question	Other places; specify:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8FRflykt_panel_5!=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Andre steder, spesifiser: (Hvordan fikk du vite om muligheten til å bidra som frivillig til flyktningssituasjonen?)

r8km4_b_panel: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statement below. We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with this?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8KM4_b_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	Se på utsagnet nedenfor. Vi bør ikke tillate olje- og gassutvinning i området ved Lofoten og Vesterålen. I hvor stor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	987	11.5%
2	Agree	625	7.3%
3	Agree somewhat	478	5.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	395	4.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	405	4.7%
6	Disagree	313	3.6%
7	Strongly disagree	161	1.9%

# r8km4_b_panel: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	58	0.7%
98	Not asked	5190	60.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km5_panel_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8KM5_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Teknologiske nyvinninger kommer til å løse klimaproblemene. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. I hvor stor grad er du enig ell		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.4%
2	Agree	116	1.3%
3	Agree somewhat	361	4.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	146	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	123	1.4%
6	Disagree	91	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	20	0.2%
97	No answer	26	0.3%
98	Not asked	7696	89.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8km5_panel_2: Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?		
Literal question	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R8KM5_filter=1. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Innbyggere i rike land som Norge bør redusere forbruket sitt som en del av løsningen på klimautfordringen. (Se på uts		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	167	1.9%
2	Agree	274	3.2%
3	Agree somewhat	264	3.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	78	0.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	46	0.5%
6	Disagree	48	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.3%
97	No answer	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	7696	89.4%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8dvby1_panel: How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Consider your local area.		
Literal question	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very safe	397	4.6%
2	Safe	576	6.7%
3	Somewhat safe	72	0.8%
4	Neither safe nor unsafe	19	0.2%
5	Somewhat unsafe	25	0.3%
6	Unsafe	2	0.0%
7	Very unsafe	0	
97	No answer	38	0.4%
98	Not asked	7483	86.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv5_panel: Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Notes	Hvor stor fordel eller ulempe vil du si at det er for Norge at innvandrere kommer for å bosette seg her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	28	0.3%
2	Great advantage	192	2.2%
3	Slightly advantageuos	405	4.7%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	168	2.0%
5	A slight disadvantage	183	2.1%
6	Great disadvantage	68	0.8%
7	Very great disadvantage	38	0.4%
97	No answer	47	0.5%
98	Not asked	7483	86.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv6_panel: How important is immigration policy to you?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	132	1.5%
2	Important	418	4.9%

# r8dv6_panel: How important is immigration policy to you?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat important	425	4.9%
4	Slightly important	101	1.2%
5	Not important at all	15	0.2%
97	No answer	38	0.4%
98	Not asked	7483	86.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv7_panel: Party with the best immigration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	192	2.2%
2	The Progress Party	280	3.3%
3	The Conservative Party	154	1.8%
4	The Socialist Left Party	84	1.0%
5	The Centre Party	33	0.4%
6	The Christian Democrats	62	0.7%
7	The Liberal Party	53	0.6%
8	The Red Party	26	0.3%
9	The Green Party	11	0.1%
10	Other, please specify	11	0.1%
11	None	164	1.9%
97	No answer	59	0.7%
98	Not asked	7483	86.9%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8dv7_panel_10_other: Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8dv29_panel: Statement: Begging should be prohibited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	227	2.6%

r8dv29_panel: Statement: Begging should be prohibited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	255	3.0%
3	Agree somewhat	194	2.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	136	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	104	1.2%
6	Disagree	130	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	52	0.6%
97	No answer	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	7483	86.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dvh_2_panel: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Flyktninger bør ha samme rett til sosialhjelp som nordmenn, selv om de i

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	57	0.7%
2	Agree	249	2.9%
3	Agree somewhat	199	2.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	99	1.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	201	2.3%
6	Disagree	175	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	97	1.1%
97	No answer	52	0.6%
98	Not asked	7483	86.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8dvby3_panel: Extent of fear for new terrorist attack in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4. Asked to respondents that have responded on same question in previous wave(s).]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	65	0.8%
2	Slightly	456	5.3%
3	Somewhat	457	5.3%
4	To a great extent	94	1.1%
5	To a very great extent	20	0.2%
97	No answer	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	7483	86.9%
Sysmiss		8399	

# r8dvby3_panel: Extent of fear for new terrorist attack in Norway			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k33: What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.		
Post-question	Select one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Hvilken av beskrivelsene under passer best på det du har gjort de siste 7 dagene? Merk av ett alternativ.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	2254	26.2%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	376	4.4%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	103	1.2%
4	Unemployed, wanting a job, but not actively seeking work	34	0.4%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	154	1.8%
6	Retired	764	8.9%
7	Civilian or military service	11	0.1%
8	At home, looking after children or others	63	0.7%
9	Other:	268	3.1%
97	No answer	150	1.7%
98	Not asked	4435	51.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k33_9_other: What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.		
Post-question	Select one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
Notes	Annet: (Hvilken av beskrivelsene under passer best på det du har gjort de siste 7 dagene? Merk av ett alternativ.)		
# r8k34_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Public central administration		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Offentlig sentralforvaltning/myndighet (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2027	23.5%
1	Public central administration	227	2.6%
97	No answer	0	

# r8k34_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_2: What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Kindergarten/school/teaching		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Barnehage/skole/undervisning (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1922	22.3%
1	Kindergarten/school/teaching	332	3.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_3: What industry do you work in: Health services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Health services		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1993	23.1%
1	Health services	261	3.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Social services/child protection		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		

# r8k34_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2191	25.4%
1	Social services/child protection	63	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Nursing and care services		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Pleie- og omsorgstjenester (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2113	24.5%
1	Nursing and care services	141	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_6: What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Defence/police/judicial system/security		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Forsvar/politi/rettsvesen/vakthold (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2188	25.4%
1	Defence/police/judicial system/security	66	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		


# r8k34_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing			
Literal question	Agriculture/forestry/fishing		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2190	25.4%
1	Agriculture/forestry/fishing	64	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Industry/technology		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2053	23.8%
1	Industry/technology	201	2.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_9: What industry do you work in: Building/construction			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Building/construction		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2073	24.1%
1	Building/construction	181	2.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		

# r8k34_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop			
Literal question	Retailing/shop		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2098	24.4%
1	Retailing/shop	156	1.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_11: What industry do you work in: Transport/communications			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Transport/communications		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2144	24.9%
1	Transport/communications	110	1.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_12: What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Culture/sport/organisations		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Kultur/idrett/organisasjoner (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2175	25.3%
1	Culture/sport/organisations	79	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising/PR/information			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		

# r8k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising/PR/information			
	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Media/advertising/PR/information		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Media/reklame/PR/informasjon (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2192	25.5%
1	Media/advertising/PR/information	62	0.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_14: What industry do you work in: Research/analysis			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Research/analysis		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2159	25.1%
1	Research/analysis	95	1.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_15: What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Travel/hotels		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2220	25.8%
1	Travel/hotels	34	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r8k34_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Restaurants/catering		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2216	25.7%
1	Restaurants/catering	38	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_17: What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Telecommunications/IT		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2108	24.5%
1	Telecommunications/IT	146	1.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_18: What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Banking/insurance/finance		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2173	25.2%
1	Banking/insurance/finance	81	0.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# r8k34_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Commercial services		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Notes	Forretningsmessig service-/tjenesteyting (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2105	24.4%
1	Commercial services	149	1.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_20: What industry do you work in: Oil/gas			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Oil/gas		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2124	24.7%
1	Oil/gas	130	1.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k34_21: What industry do you work in: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Other		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2103	24.4%
1	Annet	151	1.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6358	73.8%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r8k34_21_other: What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What industry do you (your company) work in? It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Literal question	Other [anonymized]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and R8K33=1. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8k25: Gross annual income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your current income?		
Literal question	Gross annual income is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.] [Question on income is also asked respondents in previous waves. See documentation for r8B2_1 and r8B2_2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<150 000	557	 6.5%
2	150 001 - 300 000	602	 7.0%
3	300 001 - 400 000	621	 7.2%
4	400 001 - 500 000	779	 9.0%
5	500 001 - 600 000	559	 6.5%
6	600 001 - 700 000	309	 3.6%
7	700 001 - 1 000 000	353	 4.1%
8	>1 000 000	154	 1.8%
97	No answer	243	 2.8%
98	Not asked	4435	 51.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k26: Highest completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.] [This question is missing the following category by mistake: Certificate for additional further education (technical college)]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	38	 0.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	34	 0.4%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	407	 4.7%
4	College certificate	52	 0.6%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, oneyear post admission	565	 6.6%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	602	 7.0%

# r8k26: Highest completed education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	63	0.7%
8	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	414	4.8%
9	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	733	8.5%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	278	3.2%
11	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	300	3.5%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	400	4.6%
13	Research level (Ph.D.)	66	0.8%
14	None of these	63	0.7%
97	No answer	162	1.9%
98	Not asked	4435	51.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k27: Marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Marital status.		
Literal question	Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never been married	622	7.2%
2	Married	1983	23.0%
3	Legally registrered partner	7	0.1%
4	Cohabitant	786	9.1%
5	Divorced/separated	271	3.1%
6	Former cohabitant	134	1.6%
7	Former legally registrered partner	1	0.0%
8	Widow/widower	115	1.3%
9	Other:	98	1.1%
97	No answer	160	1.9%
98	Not asked	4435	51.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k27_9_other: Marital status, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Marital status.		
Literal question	Marital status, other		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		

# r8k28: Citizenship			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Pre-question	Citizenship.		
Literal question	Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norwegian citizen	3706	43.0%
2	Citizen of a different country. Please indicate which country:	318	3.7%
97	No answer	153	1.8%
98	Not asked	4435	51.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k28_2_other: Citizenship, different country than Norway [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Citizenship.		
Literal question	Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r8hp1: Your health			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	In general, would you say your health is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	810	9.4%
2	Very good	1538	17.9%
3	Good	1147	13.3%
4	Somewhat good	394	4.6%
5	Poor	135	1.6%
97	No answer	153	1.8%
98	Not asked	4435	51.5%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8k42: Number of children under the age of 16			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Demographical background questions asked only to newly recruited respondents.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	2957	34.3%
2	1	430	5.0%

r8k42: Number of children under the age of 16

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	2	428	5.0%
4	3	140	1.6%
5	4	11	0.1%
6	5	9	0.1%
7	6 or more	6	0.1%
97	No answer	196	2.3%
98	Not asked	4435	51.5%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8qreview1: Satisfied with: The survey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in knowing more about how participants perceive our surveys.
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you with the survey?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som deltaker oppfatter undersøkelsene våre. Alt i alt, hvor tilfreds er d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1010	11.7%
2	Satisfied	5220	60.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1653	19.2%
4	Dissatisfied	263	3.1%
5	Very dissatisfied	57	0.7%
97	No answer	409	4.7%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8P1: Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	4282	49.7%
2	Female	4330	50.3%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8P2: Region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	2448	28.4%
2	Østlandet	2036	23.6%
3	Sørlandet	438	5.1%
4	Vestlandet	2234	25.9%
5	Trøndelag	769	8.9%

# r8P2: Region			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Nord-Norge	687	8.0%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8P3: County	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	1064	12.4%
2	Oslo	1384	16.1%
3	Østfold	445	5.2%
4	Vestfold	369	4.3%
5	Hedmark	257	3.0%
6	Oppland	268	3.1%
7	Buskerud	439	5.1%
8	Telemark	258	3.0%
9	Vest-Agder	278	3.2%
10	Aust-Agder	160	1.9%
11	Rogaland	769	8.9%
12	Hordaland	954	11.1%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	158	1.8%
14	Møre og Romsdal	353	4.1%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	580	6.7%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	189	2.2%
17	Nordland	342	4.0%
18	Troms	251	2.9%
19	Finmark	94	1.1%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8P5_1: Year of birth	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	288	3.3%
2	1940-1949	1391	16.2%
3	1950-1959	1835	21.3%
4	1960-1969	1744	20.3%
5	1970-1979	1358	15.8%
6	1980-1989	1129	13.1%
7	1990 or later	867	10.1%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r8P5_2: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	3514	40.8%
2	1960-1989	4231	49.1%
3	1990 or later	867	10.1%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B1: Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.		
Post-question	Mark one alternative.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of w01_k20, w03_r3k20, r4k20, r5k20, r6k33, r7k33, r8k33. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	4918	57.1%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	603	7.0%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	183	2.1%
4	Unemployed. Want a job, but not actively seeking work	56	0.7%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	306	3.6%
6	Retired	1760	20.4%
7	Civilian or military service	18	0.2%
8	At home, looking after children or others	109	1.3%
9	Other	497	5.8%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	151	1.8%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B2_1: Gross annual income [From wave 1. Open text]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your current income?		
Literal question	Gross annual income is:		
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[This variable is a copy of w01_k25_1. The variable has not been merged with r8B2_2 due to lack of harmony between variables. w01_k25_1 is the only variable, prior to r8k25 in wave 8, where it is specifically asked about gross annual income. The variables that form the basis of r8B2_2 ask for "current income" without specifying gross / net. Another difference is that w01_k25_1 does not have answer categories but open answers. The variables that form r8B2_2 all have predefined intervals.</p> <p>In most cases, the respondent will have reported income in either r8B2_1, r8B2_2 or R8K25, depending on when they were recruited to the panel.]</p>		
# r8B2_2: Current income [From wave 3, 4 and 5. Categorical]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What is your current income?		

# r8B2_2: Current income [From wave 3, 4 and 5. Categorical]			
Interviewer's instructions		[This variable is a compilation of w03_r3k25, r4k25 and r5k25. The variable has not been merged with r8B2_1 or r8k25 due to lack of harmony between variables. In R8B2_1 and r8B2_1, it is specifically asked about gross annual income. The variables that form the basis of r8B2_2 ask for "current income" without specifying gross / net. Another difference is that w01_k25_1 does not have answer categories but open answers. The variables that form r8B2_2 all have predefined intervals.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	< 150 000	265	3.1%
2	150 001 - 300 000	380	4.4%
3	300 001 - 400 000	464	5.4%
4	400 001 - 500 000	492	5.7%
5	500 001 - 600 000	379	4.4%
6	600 001 - 700 000	193	2.2%
7	700 001 - 1 000 000	212	2.5%
8	>1 000 000	98	1.1%
97	No answer	72	0.8%
98	Not asked	6056	70.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B3_1: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Literal question		What is your highest level of completed education	
Interviewer's instructions		[This variable is a recode of r8B3_2.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	883	10.3%
2	Upper secondary education	2534	29.4%
3	University/university college	4742	55.1%
97	No answer	453	5.3%
Sysmiss		8399	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B3_2: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]	
Literal question		What is your highest level of completed education	
Interviewer's instructions		[This variable is a compilation of w01_k26, w03_r3k26, r4k26, r5k26, r6k26 and R8K26. Newest value is always applied.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	57	0.7%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	56	0.7%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	770	8.9%
4	College certificate	87	1.0%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	1109	12.9%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	1154	13.4%

r8B3_2: Highest level of completed education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	110	1.3%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	161	1.9%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	834	9.7%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1617	18.8%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	577	6.7%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	631	7.3%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	929	10.8%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	154	1.8%
15	None of these	186	2.2%
97	No answer	180	2.1%
Sysmiss		8399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B4: Health condition

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8462 /-] [Invalid=8549 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, would you say that your health is:
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of w02_hp1, w03_r3hp1 og R8Hp1. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1545	18.3%
2	Very good	3187	37.7%
3	Good	2373	28.0%
4	Quite good	796	9.4%
5	Poor	254	3.0%
97	No answer	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	277	3.3%
Sysmiss		8549	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B5: Living with children under 16 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8297 /-] [Invalid=8714 /-]
Literal question	Do you have any children under the age of 16 who live at home with you, and if so, how many?
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r4k42, r5k42 and R8K42. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	6092	73.4%
2	1	848	10.2%
3	2	856	10.3%
4	3	246	3.0%
5	4	23	0.3%
6	5	10	0.1%

r8B5: Living with children under 16 years

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	6 or more	8	0.1%
97	No answer	18	0.2%
98	Not asked	196	2.4%
Sysmiss		8714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B6: Marital status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8604 /-] [Invalid=8407 /-]
Literal question	Marital status. Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of w01_k27, w03_r3k27, r4k27, r5k27 og R8K27. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never been married	1144	13.3%
2	Married	4475	52.0%
3	Legally registered partner	16	0.2%
4	Cohabitant	1540	17.9%
5	Divorced/separated	617	7.2%
6	Former cohabitant	285	3.3%
7	Former legally registered partner	2	0.0%
8	Widow/widower	221	2.6%
9	Other:	129	1.5%
97	No answer	12	0.1%
98	Not asked	163	1.9%
Sysmiss		8407	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B7: Citizenship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4427 /-] [Invalid=12584 /-]
Literal question	Citizenship. Are you:
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of w01_k28, w03_r3k28, r4k28, r5k28 and R8K28. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Norwegian citizen	4189	94.6%
2	A citizen of another county. Please select which:	222	5.0%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	3	0.1%
Sysmiss		12584	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

# r8B8_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5172	60.1%
1	Public central administration	484	5.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_2: What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	4921	57.1%
1	Kindergarten/school/teaching	735	8.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_3: What industry do you work in: Health services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5010	58.2%
1	Health services	646	7.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		

r8B8_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5513	64.0%
1	Social services/child protection	143	1.7%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5371	62.4%
1	Nursing and care services	285	3.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_6: What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5502	63.9%
1	Defence/police/judicial system/security	154	1.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

# r8B8_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5477	63.6%
1	Agriculture/forestry/fishing	179	2.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5243	60.9%
1	Industry/technology	413	4.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_9: What industry do you work in: Building/construction			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5239	60.8%
1	Building/construction	417	4.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		

# r8B8_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5346	62.1%
1	Retailing/shop	310	3.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_11: What industry do you work in: Transport/communications			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5400	62.7%
1	Transport/communications	256	3.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_12: What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5461	63.4%
1	Culture/sport/organisations	195	2.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r8B8_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]		

r8B8_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5512	64.0%
1	Media/advertising	144	1.7%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_14: What industry do you work in: Research/analysis

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5424	63.0%
1	Research/analysis	232	2.7%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_15: What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5579	64.8%
1	Travel/hotels	77	0.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

r8B8_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5586	64.9%
1	Restaurants/catering	70	0.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_17: What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5370	62.4%
1	Telecommunications/IT	286	3.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_18: What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5459	63.4%
1	Banking/insurance/finance	197	2.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

r8B8_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5331	61.9%
1	Commercial services	325	3.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_20: What industry do you work in: Oil/gas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	5369	62.4%
1	Oil/gas	287	3.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8B8_21: What industry do you work in: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8611 /-] [Invalid=8400 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of r6k34_1 - r6k34_21, r7k34_1-r7k34_21 and R8K34_1-r8k34_21. Newest value is always applied.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	4705	54.6%
1	Other	951	11.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	2950	34.3%
Sysmiss		8400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r8Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

r8Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P1 and B3_1 . See documentation report

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8612 /-] [Invalid=8399 /-]

interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# interview_end: [Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6724 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondentn completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# rekruttert: [The wave the respondent was recruited.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	1954	28.3%
3	Wave 3	2237	32.4%
8	Wave 8	2706	39.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# browsertype: [Browertype used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browertype used by respondent]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		3038	44.0%
Firefox		495	7.2%
IE		27	0.4%
InternetExplorer		891	12.9%
Mozilla		37	0.5%
Opera		1	0.0%
Safari		2399	34.8%
Unknown		9	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browser version used by respondent]		
# mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	4708	68.3%
1	Mobile	2189	31.7%
Sysmiss		10114	

# mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# opplosing: [Screen resolution of the applied device]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Screen resolution of the applied device]		
# enhetstype: [Determines respondents device type.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	4817	69.8%
2	Touch	2061	29.9%
3	Generic	19	0.3%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# advancedwifeaturesenabled: [Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
False		24	0.3%
True		6873	99.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert!=8.Divides respondents recruited prior to wave 8 into two thematically separate groups. Besides the core questions, the respondents only answer questions connected to their assigned group.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4191 /-] [Invalid=12820 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if rekruttert!=8.Divides respondents recruited prior to wave 8 into two thematically separate groups. Besides the core questions, the respondents only answer questions connected to their assigned group.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Part 2 - Respondents recruited in wave 1/3	2099	50.1%
2	Part 3 - Respondents recruited in wave 1/3	2092	49.9%
Sysmiss		12820	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k1: Interest in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how interested are you in politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	846	12.3%

# r9k1: Interest in politics			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Interested	3259	47.3%
3	Somewhat interested	2125	30.8%
4	Not very interested	567	8.2%
5	Not interested at all	83	1.2%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k8_1: Placement on scale, political standing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those who are politically on the far right.		
Literal question	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	124	1.8%
2	1	301	4.4%
3	2	514	7.5%
4	3	1033	15.0%
5	4	923	13.4%
6	5	1105	16.0%
7	6	756	11.0%
8	7	920	13.3%
9	8	679	9.8%
10	9	218	3.2%
11	10 Right	141	2.0%
97	No answer	183	2.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9tilfreds3: Satisfied with: The government in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	267	3.9%
2	Satisfied	2047	29.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	2228	32.3%
4	Dissatisfied	1623	23.5%
5	Very dissatisfied	485	7.0%
97	No answer	247	3.6%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r9tilfreds4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	990	14.4%
2	Satisfied	3442	49.9%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1326	19.2%
4	Dissatisfied	424	6.1%
5	Very dissatisfied	85	1.2%
97	No answer	630	9.1%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k204: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	308	4.5%
2	The Conservative Party	1576	22.9%
3	The Progress Party	612	8.9%
4	The Liberal Party	264	3.8%
5	The Socialist Left Party	366	5.3%
6	The Centre Party	733	10.6%
7	The Green Party	299	4.3%
8	The Labour Party	1914	27.8%
9	The Red Party	206	3.0%
10	Other:	177	2.6%
11	Would not vote	223	3.2%
12	Not entitled to vote	167	2.4%
97	No answer	52	0.8%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k204_10_other: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
# r9k9_1: [1] Most important political issues [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?		

r9k9_1: [1] Most important political issues [anonymized]

Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

# r9k9_2: [2] Most important political issues [anonymized]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

# r9k9_3: [3] Most important political issues [anonymized]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

# r9k6_1: Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Christian Democratic Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	686	9.9%
2	Really dislike	1087	15.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	1712	24.8%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1563	22.7%
5	Like somewhat	1235	17.9%
6	Really like	377	5.5%
7	Intensely like	81	1.2%
97	No answer	156	2.3%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r9k6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Conservative Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	244	3.5%
2	Really dislike	839	12.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1340	19.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	874	12.7%
5	Like somewhat	1613	23.4%
6	Really like	1502	21.8%

# r9k6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Intensely like	333	4.8%
97	No answer	152	2.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k6_3: Like/dislike: The Progress Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Literal question	The Progress Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1841	26.7%
2	Really dislike	1378	20.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	972	14.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	639	9.3%
5	Like somewhat	1055	15.3%
6	Really like	650	9.4%
7	Intensely like	207	3.0%
97	No answer	155	2.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k6_4: Like/dislike: The Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Literal question	The Liberal Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	766	11.1%
2	Really dislike	1044	15.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	1492	21.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1597	23.2%
5	Like somewhat	1379	20.0%
6	Really like	391	5.7%
7	Intensely like	59	0.9%
97	No answer	169	2.5%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k6_5: Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		

# r9k6_5: Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party			
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1057	15.3%
2	Really dislike	1187	17.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1285	18.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1278	18.5%
5	Like somewhat	1198	17.4%
6	Really like	592	8.6%
7	Intensely like	135	2.0%
97	No answer	165	2.4%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k6_6: Like/dislike: The Centre Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Literal question	The Centre Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	389	5.6%
2	Really dislike	717	10.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	1281	18.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1773	25.7%
5	Like somewhat	1638	23.7%
6	Really like	768	11.1%
7	Intensely like	168	2.4%
97	No answer	163	2.4%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Literal question	The Green Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1414	20.5%
2	Really dislike	1111	16.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	1136	16.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1177	17.1%
5	Like somewhat	1247	18.1%
6	Really like	538	7.8%

r9k6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Intensely like	115	 1.7%
97	No answer	159	 2.3%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k6_8: Like/dislike: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Labour Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	306	 4.4%
2	Really dislike	548	 7.9%
3	Dislike somewhat	1101	 16.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	952	 13.8%
5	Like somewhat	1970	 28.6%
6	Really like	1581	 22.9%
7	Intensely like	294	 4.3%
97	No answer	145	 2.1%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k6_9: Like/dislike: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1592	 23.1%
2	Really dislike	1228	 17.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	1067	 15.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1456	 21.1%
5	Like somewhat	887	 12.9%
6	Really like	376	 5.5%
7	Intensely like	110	 1.6%
97	No answer	181	 2.6%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k10: Perception of the economic situation in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?

# r9k10: Perception of the economic situation in Norway			
Post-question	Do you think it is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1220	17.7%
2	Good	3773	54.7%
3	Quite good	1143	16.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	301	4.4%
5	Quite bad	240	3.5%
6	Bad	49	0.7%
7	Very bad	16	0.2%
97	No answer	155	2.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9sentral: Agree/disagree: Government authorities show little consideration for rural Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?		
Literal question	Government authorities show little consideration for non-urban/rural Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	975	14.1%
2	Agree	1649	23.9%
3	Agree somewhat	1732	25.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	961	13.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	496	7.2%
6	Disagree	418	6.1%
7	Strongly disagree	133	1.9%
97	No answer	533	7.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k29: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statement below.		
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1591	23.1%
2	Agree	1480	21.5%
3	Agree somewhat	1284	18.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	739	10.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	563	8.2%
6	Disagree	699	10.1%

r9k29: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	313	4.5%
97	No answer	228	3.3%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9komref: Support/oppose municipal reform

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	As you are perhaps aware, the Government has suggested consolidating a number of municipalities in Norway.
Literal question	How much do you support or oppose this municipal reform?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran!=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support a lot	559	8.1%
2	Support	932	13.5%
3	Support somewhat	1103	16.0%
4	Neither support nor oppose	717	10.4%
5	Oppose somewhat	657	9.5%
6	Oppose	429	6.2%
7	Strongly oppose	310	4.5%
97	No answer	53	0.8%
98	Not asked	2137	31.0%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9intpol: Interest in news pertaining to politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in news pertaining to politics?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1159	16.8%
2	Interested	3428	49.7%
3	Somewhat interested	1783	25.9%
4	Not very interested	430	6.2%
5	Not interested at all	71	1.0%
97	No answer	26	0.4%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9intliv: Interest in news pertaining to sports/lifestyle/entertainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in news pertaining to sports/lifestyle/entertainment?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

# r9intliv: Interest in news pertaining to sports/lifestyle/entertainment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	545	7.9%
2	Interested	2507	36.3%
3	Somewhat interested	2142	31.1%
4	Not very interested	1123	16.3%
5	Not interested at all	243	3.5%
97	No answer	337	4.9%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9knyhetred: How important are the established media as source of news			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	How important are the established media (such as TV, newspapers, radio and Internet newspapers) for you as sources of news?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	3128	45.4%
2	Important	2932	42.5%
3	Somewhat important	577	8.4%
4	Slightly important	148	2.1%
5	Not important at all	37	0.5%
97	No answer	75	1.1%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9knyhetliv: How important are social media as source of news			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	How important are social media (such as Facebook, Twitter and Snapchat) for you as sources of news?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	361	5.2%
2	Important	1035	15.0%
3	Somewhat important	1584	23.0%
4	Slightly important	2097	30.4%
5	Not important at all	1606	23.3%
97	No answer	214	3.1%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017a_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random political unit [Norway / EU] for question eips2017a.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2706 /-] [Invalid=14305 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random political unit [Norway / EU] for question eips2017a.]		

# eips2017a_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random political unit [Norway / EU] for question eips2017a.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norway	1347	49.8%
2	EU	1359	50.2%
Sysmiss		14305	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017a: How democratic do you think [Norway/EU] is overall?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	How democratic do you think [Norway/EU] is overall?		
Post-question	Please choose your answer below where 0 is not at all democratic and 10 is completely democratic.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - Not democratic at all	71	1.0%
2	1	71	1.0%
3	2	148	2.1%
4	3	211	3.1%
5	4	192	2.8%
6	5	378	5.5%
7	6	265	3.8%
8	7	442	6.4%
9	8	479	6.9%
10	9	298	4.3%
11	10 - Completely democratic	115	1.7%
97	No answer	36	0.5%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017b: Justification to how democratic you think [Norway/EU] is overall [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	How democratic do you think [Norway/EU] is overall? Please choose your alternative below where 0 is not at all democratic and 10 is completely democratic. Your answer: [print out of eips2017a, 0-10]		
Literal question	Could you justify your answer to the previous question?		
Post-question	Your response will be studied carefully, so please take the time to fill in your views:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and eips2017a is answered.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# eips2017c_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random geographic distance [blank / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world] for the question eips2017c_1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2688 /-] [Invalid=14323 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random geographic distance [blank / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world] for the question eips2017a.]		

eips2017c_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Selects random geographic distance [blank / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world] for the question eips2017c_1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[blank]	534	19.9%
2	for you personally	547	20.3%
3	for Norway	544	20.2%
4	for Europe	530	19.7%
5	for the world	533	19.8%
Sysmiss		14323	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017c_1: To what extent do you see climate change as a threat [blank / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world]?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you see climate change as a threat [blank / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world]?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No threat at all	103	1.5%
2	1	61	0.9%
3	2	139	2.0%
4	3	191	2.8%
5	4	180	2.6%
6	5	343	5.0%
7	6	353	5.1%
8	7	532	7.7%
9	8	412	6.0%
10	9	191	2.8%
11	10 Extreme threat	169	2.5%
97	No answer	32	0.5%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017d: Do you oppose/support Norwegian membership in the EU?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	Now thinking about the European Union, how much do you oppose or support Norwegian membership in the EU?
Post-question	Please choose your answer below where 0 is completely oppose and 10 is completely support.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 I completely oppose Norwegian EU membership	747	10.8%
2	1	189	2.7%
3	2	282	4.1%
4	3	272	3.9%
5	4	168	2.4%
6	5	363	5.3%

# eips2017d: Do you oppose/support Norwegian membership in the EU?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	6	141	2.0%
8	7	146	2.1%
9	8	163	2.4%
10	9	54	0.8%
11	10 I completely support Norwegian EU membership	135	2.0%
97	Ikke svart	46	0.7%
98	Ikke spurt	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017e_turnout: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses election turnout.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2682 /-] [Invalid=14329 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses election turnout.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Blank]	532	19.8%
2	35% of the citizens participated	532	19.8%
3	47% of the citizens participated	570	21.3%
4	53% of the citizens participated	567	21.1%
5	85% of the citizens participated	481	17.9%
Sysmiss		14329	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017e_majoritysize: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses majority size.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2682 /-] [Invalid=14329 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses majority size.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Blank]	658	24.5%
2	The winning side received 51% of the votes	656	24.5%
3	The winning side received 55% of the votes	707	26.4%
4	The winning side received 70% of the votes	661	24.6%
Sysmiss		14329	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017e_winner: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses winner.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2682 /-] [Invalid=14329 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses winner.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Blank]	881	32.8%
2	The pro-EU side won the majority	918	34.2%
3	The anti-EU side won the majority	883	32.9%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		14329	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017e_dv: Should the government follow outcome of referendum even though the majority of the national parliament disagreed?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We are interested in how people see the role of referendums in political decisions. Imagine a debate surfaced concerning the membership of Norway in the EU, and that an advisory referendum was held on the issue. [Printout of the value of eips2017e_turnout, eips2017e_majoritysize, eips2017e_winner]		
Literal question	What is right in such a situation, should the government follow the outcome of the referendum even though the majority of the national parliament disagreed with the referendum outcome?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Conjoint experiment. Respondent is exposed to an vignette which vary along three dimensions (see background variables).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No, the government should not follow the outcome of the referendum	627	9.1%
1	Yes, the government should follow the outcome of the referendum	1968	28.5%
97	No answer	111	1.6%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017f_entity: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Selects random political unit [Norway / EU] for question eips2017f_dv.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2676 /-] [Invalid=14335 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Selects random political unit [Norway / EU] for question eips2017f_dv.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norway	1329	49.7%
2	EU	1347	50.3%
Sysmiss		14335	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017f_dv: How much does the political system in [Norway / EU] allow people like you to have an influence on politics?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	How much would you say that the political system in [Norway / EU] allows people like you to have an influence on politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Not at all	450	6.5%
2	1	221	3.2%
3	2	294	4.3%
4	3	373	5.4%
5	4	260	3.8%

eips2017f_dv: How much does the political system in [Norway / EU] allow people like you to have an influence on politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	5	404	5.9%
7	6	239	3.5%
8	7	245	3.6%
9	8	102	1.5%
10	9	28	0.4%
11	10 Completely	25	0.4%
97	No answer	65	0.9%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Conjoint Experiment. Randomly selects either eips2017g_1 or eips2017g_2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Conjoint Experiment. Randomly selects either eips2017g_1 or eips2017g_2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Choose eips2017g_1	1353	50.7%
2	Choose eips2017g_2	1318	49.3%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_1_gender: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Male	1316	49.3%
1	Female	1355	50.7%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_2_gender: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Male	1370	51.3%
1	Female	1301	48.7%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_1_age: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	28	943	35.3%
2	45	826	30.9%
3	71	902	33.8%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_2_age: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	28	883	33.1%
2	45	906	33.9%
3	71	882	33.0%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_1_edu: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	High school	1318	49.3%
1	University level	1353	50.7%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_2_edu: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	High school	1298	48.6%
1	University level	1373	51.4%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_1_religion: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses religious background for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses religious background for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No religion	508	19.0%
2	Christian	531	19.9%
3	Non-practicing Christian	531	19.9%
4	Muslim	524	19.6%
5	Non-practicing Muslim	577	21.6%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_2_religion: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses religious background for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses religious background for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No religion	525	19.7%
2	Christian	545	20.4%
3	Non-practicing Christian	525	19.7%
4	Muslim	538	20.1%
5	Non-practicing Muslim	538	20.1%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_1_class: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working class	890	33.3%
2	Middle class	907	34.0%
3	Upper class	874	32.7%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_2_class: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=14340 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 2.]

eips2017g_2_class: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working class	912	34.1%
2	Middle class	841	31.5%
3	Upper class	918	34.4%
Sysmiss		14340	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_1: Political candidate that will agree most with your own political views

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	Below we describe two hypothetical political candidates. Please read the descriptions of each person carefully. [Printout of the value of eips2017g_1_gender, eips2017g_2_gender, eips2017g_1_age, eips2017g_2_age, eips2017g_1_edu, eips2017g_2_edu, eips2017g_1_religion, eips2017g_2_religion, eips2017g_1_class, eips2017g_2_class]
Literal question	Based on your own judgment, please indicate which political candidate you think will agree most with your own political views.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and eips2017g_ran=1. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to two political candidates. The characteristics of the candidates is chosen at random. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Candidate 1	648	9.4%
2	Candidate 2	647	9.4%
97	No answer	58	0.8%
98	Not asked	5544	80.4%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017g_2: Relying on given information, which candidate would you prefer as political representative at the national level?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	Below we describe two hypothetical political candidates. Please read the descriptions of each person carefully. [Printout of the value of eips2017g_1_gender, eips2017g_2_gender, eips2017g_1_age, eips2017g_2_age, eips2017g_1_edu, eips2017g_2_edu, eips2017g_1_religion, eips2017g_2_religion, eips2017g_1_class, eips2017g_2_class]
Literal question	Relying solely on this information, which political candidate would you prefer as your political representative at the national level?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and eips2017g_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to two political candidates. The characteristics of the candidates is chosen at random. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Candidate 1	641	9.3%
2	Candidate 2	611	8.9%
97	No answer	66	1.0%
98	Not asked	5579	80.9%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects either eips2017h_1 or eips2017h_2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

# eips2017h_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects either eips2017h_1 or eips2017h_2.]			
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects either eips2017h_1 or eips2017h_2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Choose eips2017h_1.	1300	48.7%
2	Choose eips2017h_2.	1369	51.3%
Sysmiss		14342	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017h_1_gender: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Male	1347	50.5%
1	Female	1322	49.5%
Sysmiss		14342	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017h_2_gender: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses gender for candidate 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Male	1393	52.2%
1	Female	1276	47.8%
Sysmiss		14342	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017h_1_edu: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High school	1280	48.0%
2	University level	1389	52.0%
Sysmiss		14342	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2017h_2_edu: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 2.]		

eips2017h_2_edu: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses completed level of education for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High school	1378	51.6%
2	University level	1291	48.4%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_age: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	30's	1305	48.9%
2	50's	1364	51.1%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_age: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses age for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	30's	1350	50.6%
2	50's	1319	49.4%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_class: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working class	888	33.3%
2	Middle class	882	33.0%
3	Upper class	899	33.7%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_class: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses family background for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working class	900	33.7%
2	Middle class	918	34.4%
3	Upper class	851	31.9%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_occupation: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses occupation for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses occupation for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician	558	20.9%
2	Business leader	526	19.7%
3	TV Celebrity	571	21.4%
4	Industry worker	506	19.0%
5	Farmer	508	19.0%

eips2017h_1_occupation: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses occupation for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_occupation: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses occupation for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses occupation for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician	554	20.8%
2	Business leader	504	18.9%
3	TV Celebrity	522	19.6%
4	Industry worker	530	19.9%
5	Farmer	559	20.9%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_polex: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political experience for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political experience for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	942	35.3%
2	Limited	883	33.1%
3	Extensive	844	31.6%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_polex: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political experience for candidate 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political experience for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	875	32.8%
2	Limited	897	33.6%
3	Extensive	897	33.6%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_workplace: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses workplace for candidate 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

eips2017h_1_workplace: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses workplace for candidate 1.]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses workplace for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Brussel	862	32.3%
2	Oslo	882	33.0%
3	In your home region	925	34.7%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_workplace: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses workplace for candidate 2.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses workplace for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Brussel	930	34.8%
2	Oslo	890	33.3%
3	In your home region	849	31.8%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_media: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a news story for candidate 1.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a news story for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Endorsed candidate	909	34.1%
2	Critized candidate	878	32.9%
3	Ridiculed candidate	882	33.0%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_media: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a news story for candidate 2.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a news story for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Endorsed candidate	891	33.4%
2	Critized candidate	903	33.8%
3	Ridiculed candidate	875	32.8%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_ideology: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political ideology for candidate 1.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

eips2017h_1_ideology: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political ideology for candidate 1.]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political ideology for candidate 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	1335	50.0%
2	On the right	1334	50.0%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_ideology: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political ideology for candidate 2.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the political ideology for candidate 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	1341	50.2%
2	On the right	1328	49.8%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_immigration: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 1's attitude towards immigration.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 1's attitude towards immigration.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bad for the country	1331	49.9%
2	Good for the country	1338	50.1%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_immigration: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 2's attitude towards immigration.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 2's attitude towards immigration.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bad for the country	1320	49.5%
2	Good for the country	1349	50.5%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1_eumembership: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 1's attitude towards membership in EU.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

eips2017h_1_eumembership: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 1's attitude towards membership in EU.]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 1's attitude towards membership in EU.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In favor of referendum on EU membership	1346	50.4%
2	Against referendum on EU membership	1323	49.6%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2_eumembership: [Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 2's attitude towards membership in EU.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2669 /-] [Invalid=14342 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses candidate 2's attitude towards membership in EU.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In favor of referendum on EU membership	1332	49.9%
2	Against referendum on EU membership	1337	50.1%
Sysmiss		14342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1a: Description of two candidates: Who would you choose, national elections tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	Below we describe two hypothetical political candidates. Please read the descriptions of each person carefully. [Printout of the value of eips2017h_1_gender, eips2017h_2_gender, eips2017h_1_edu, eips2017h_2_edu, eips2017h_1_age, eips2017h_2_age, eips2017h_1_class, eips2017h_2_class, eips2017h_1_occupation, eips2017h_2_occupation, eips2017h_1_polex, eips2017h_2_polex, eips2017h_1_workplace, eips2017h_2_workplace, eips2017h_1_media, eips2017h_2_media, eips2017h_1_ideology, eips2017h_2_ideology, eips2017h_1_immigration, eips2017h_2_immigration, eips2017h_1_eumembership, eips2017h_2_eumembership]
Literal question	If there were national elections tomorrow and you had to vote for one of these two candidates, which candidate would you choose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and eips2017h_ran=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Candidate 1	633	9.2%
2	Candidate 2	616	8.9%
97	No answer	51	0.7%
98	Not asked	5597	81.2%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_1b: Candidate who is most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	Which candidate is in your view most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and eips2017h_ran=1.]

eips2017h_1b: Candidate who is most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Candidate 1	617	8.9%
2	Candidate 2	611	8.9%
97	No answer	72	1.0%
98	Not asked	5597	81.2%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2a: Description of two candidates: Candidate who is most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	Below we describe two hypothetical political candidates. Please read the descriptions of each person carefully. [Printout of the value of eips2017h_1_gender, eips2017h_2_gender, eips2017h_1_edu, eips2017h_2_edu, eips2017h_1_age, eips2017h_2_age, eips2017h_1_class, eips2017h_2_class, eips2017h_1_occupation, eips2017h_2_occupation, eips2017h_1_polex, eips2017h_2_polex, eips2017h_1_workplace, eips2017h_2_workplace, eips2017h_1_media, eips2017h_2_media, eips2017h_1_ideology, eips2017h_2_ideology, eips2017h_1_immigration, eips2017h_2_immigration, eips2017h_1_eumembership, eips2017h_2_eumembership]
Literal question	Which candidate is in your view most likely to represent the concerns of ordinary people in your country?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and eips2017h_ran=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Candidate 1	663	9.6%
2	Candidate 2	640	9.3%
97	No answer	66	1.0%
98	Not asked	5528	80.2%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017h_2b: Who would you choose, national elections tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	If there were national elections tomorrow and you had to vote for one of these two candidates, which candidate would you choose?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and eips2017h_ran=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Candidate 1	634	9.2%
2	Candidate 2	648	9.4%
97	No answer	87	1.3%
98	Not asked	5528	80.2%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017i_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] to question EIPS2017I.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2661 /-] [Invalid=14350 /-]

eips2017i_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] to question EIPS2017L.]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] to question eips2017i.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Progress party	394	14.8%
2	The Liberal party	380	14.3%
3	Christian church	407	15.3%
4	Muslim community	357	13.4%
5	An anti-Islamic group	363	13.6%
6	A neo-Nazi group	370	13.9%
7	A Muslim fundamentalist group	390	14.7%
Sysmiss		14350	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eips2017i: Agree/disagree: [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] has asked to rent local community house, should they be allowed?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]

Pre-question Imagine that [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] has asked to rent a local community house in order to hold a meeting for its members and sympathizers.

Literal question To what extent do you agree that the [The Progress party/ The Liberal party/ Christian church / Muslim community / An anti-Islamic group / A neo-Nazi group /A Muslim fundamentalist group] should be allowed to rent the local community house for this purpose?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert=8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Do not agree at all	503	7.3%
2	1	99	1.4%
3	2	110	1.6%
4	3	98	1.4%
5	4	83	1.2%
6	5	297	4.3%
7	6	84	1.2%
8	7	144	2.1%
9	8	197	2.9%
10	9	114	1.7%
11	10 Completely agree	899	13.0%
97	No answer	78	1.1%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9helse1_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects r9helse1a or r9helse1b.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2657 /-] [Invalid=14354 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects r9helse1a or r9helse1b.]

# r9helse1_ran: [Randomizes if rekruttert=8. Randomly selects r9helse1a or r9helse1b.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Choose r9helse1a.	1336	50.3%
2	Choose r9helse1ab.	1321	49.7%
Sysmiss		14354	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9helse1a: Thoughts when you hear/read the word antibiotics [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the word antibiotics?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and r9helse1_ran=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9helse1b: Thoughts when you hear/read the term "antibiotics-resistant bacteria" [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the term "antibiotics-resistant bacteria"?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and r9helse1_ran=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9helse3: Agree/disagree: Should spend fewer resources on treatment of illnesses due to smoking/alcohol/narcotics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Many people become ill because of a lifestyle that includes smoking, high alcohol consumption, abuse of medications or narcotic substances.		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree that the authorities should spend fewer resources on treatment of illnesses that are due to these types of lifestyle (for example, that the patient should pay a higher co-pay fee or get a less expensive treatment than other patients get)?		
Post-question	Afterwards, we will ask you to justify your answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	584	8.5%
2	Disagree	2028	29.4%
97	No answer	94	1.4%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9helse3_1: Explanation why you agree on spending fewer resources			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Can you explain why you answered that you agree that the authorities should spend fewer resources to treat these kinds of lifestyle-related illnesses?		

# r9helse3_1: Explanation why you agree on spending fewer resources			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and r9helse3=1.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r9helse3_2: Explanation why you disagree on spending fewer resources			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Can you explain why you answered that you disagree that the authorities should spend fewer resources to treat these kinds of lifestyle-related illnesses?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and r9helse3=2.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r9helse2_1: Perception, Norwegian public health system: Confident that you will be given good treatment if you become acutely and seriously ill			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We want to ask you a few questions related to your perception of how the Norwegian public health service functions.		
Literal question	How confident do you feel that you will be given good treatment in the public healthcare system if you should become acutely and seriously ill?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	846	12.3%
2	Confident	1234	17.9%
3	Somewhat confident	341	4.9%
4	Neither confident nor unconfident	59	0.9%
5	Somewhat unconfident	88	1.3%
6	Unconfident	45	0.7%
7	Very unconfident	20	0.3%
97	No answer	73	1.1%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9helse2_2: Perception, Norwegian public health system: Confident that you will be given good treatment if you become seriously ill, not acutely			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We want to ask you a few questions related to your perception of how the Norwegian public health service functions.		
Literal question	How confident do you feel that you will be given good treatment in the public healthcare system if you should become seriously sick (but not acutely)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	546	7.9%
2	Confident	1231	17.8%
3	Somewhat confident	548	7.9%
4	Neither confident nor unconfident	92	1.3%

r9helse2_2: Perception, Norwegian public health system: Confident that you will be given good treatment if you become seriously ill, not acutely

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat unconfident	132	1.9%
6	Unconfident	59	0.9%
7	Very unconfident	23	0.3%
97	No answer	75	1.1%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9helse2_3: Perception, Norwegian public health system: Confident that you will be given good treatment if you experience a troublesome condition, neither acutely or seriously ill

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	We want to ask you a few questions related to your perception of how the Norwegian public health service functions.
Literal question	How confident do you feel that you will be given good treatment in the public healthcare system if you should experience a troublesome condition (but not acute or serious illness)?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	281	4.1%
2	Confident	871	12.6%
3	Somewhat confident	761	11.0%
4	Neither confident nor unconfident	285	4.1%
5	Somewhat unconfident	243	3.5%
6	Unconfident	115	1.7%
7	Very unconfident	74	1.1%
97	No answer	76	1.1%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9helse4: Aware of advice given by health authorities pertaining to lifestyle/health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	Are you aware of advice given by health authorities pertaining to lifestyle and health, such as advice on diet/nutrition or a recommendation to take flu shots?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2366	34.3%
2	No	231	3.3%
97	No answer	109	1.6%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9helse4_1: To what extent do you trust such advice from the health authorities?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]

# r9helse4_1: To what extent do you trust such advice from the health authorities?			
Literal question	To what extent do you trust such advice from the health authorities?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and r9helse4=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	338	4.9%
2	To a great extent	1186	17.2%
3	Somewhat	702	10.2%
4	To a small extent	90	1.3%
5	Not at all	31	0.4%
97	No answer	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	4531	65.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9helse4_2: To what extent do you follow the advice from the health authorities?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you follow the advice from the health authorities?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8 and r9helse4=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	151	2.2%
2	To a great extent	896	13.0%
3	Somewhat	1060	15.4%
4	To a small extent	137	2.0%
5	Not at all	19	0.3%
97	No answer	103	1.5%
98	Not asked	4531	65.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9helse5: To what extent do you follow the advice given by your family doctor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you follow the advice given by your family doctor, such as advice on diet/nutrition or a recommendation to take flu shots?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert=8.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	376	5.5%
2	To a great extent	1205	17.5%
3	Somewhat	788	11.4%
4	To a small extent	141	2.0%
5	Not at all	84	1.2%
97	No answer	112	1.6%
98	Not asked	4191	60.8%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r9pad1: How important is it to accept decisions about important social issues adopted by politicians/authorities	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you some general questions about how you perceive being a citizen in a democratic society.
Literal question	What is your opinion – how important is it to accept the decisions about important social issues after they have been adopted by politicians and the authorities?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	245	3.6%
2	Important	1158	16.8%
3	Somewhat important	566	8.2%
4	Slightly important	82	1.2%
5	Not important at all	31	0.4%
97	No answer	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	4798	69.6%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r9pad2: To what extent do people in Norway accept decisions about important social issues adopted by politicians/authorities	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think people in Norway are willing to accept the decisions about important social issues after they have been adopted by politicians and the authorities?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	111	1.6%
2	To a great extent	1021	14.8%
3	Somewhat	786	11.4%
4	To a small extent	100	1.4%
5	Not at all	8	0.1%
97	No answer	73	1.1%
98	Not asked	4798	69.6%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r9pad3: Do you accept decisions about important social issues adopted by politicians/authorities?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	What about you personally – do you live up to this standard (i.e., accept the decisions about important social issues after they have been adopted by politicians and the authorities)?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	161	2.3%
2	To a great extent	1146	16.6%
3	Somewhat	650	9.4%
4	To a small extent	61	0.9%

# r9pad3: Do you accept decisions about important social issues adopted by politicians/authorities?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all	22	0.3%
97	No answer	59	0.9%
98	Not asked	4798	69.6%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9disc_setting: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the setting of a discussion between the respondent and a hypothetical person.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the setting of a discussion between the respondent and a hypothetical person.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	[blank]	547	26.1%
1	in a publicly accessible comment field signed with one's full name online	550	26.2%
2	in a social gathering	462	22.0%
3	on a train trip	537	25.6%
Sysmiss		14915	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9disc_aktor: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and the hypothetical person.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and the hypothetical person.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	a person	675	32.2%
1	a total stranger	696	33.2%
2	someone you know well	725	34.6%
Sysmiss		14915	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9disc_kjonn: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of the hypothetical person.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of the hypothetical person.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	he	1051	50.1%
1	she	1045	49.9%
Sysmiss		14915	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9disc_parti: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the party preference of the hypothetical person.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		

r9disc_parti: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the party preference of the hypothetical person.]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the party preference of the hypothetical person.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	[Blank]	241	11.5%
1	vote for the Red Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	210	10.0%
2	vote for the Socialist Left Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	217	10.4%
3	vote for the Labour Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	209	10.0%
4	vote for the Centre Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	172	8.2%
5	vote for the Green Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	229	10.9%
6	vote for the Liberal Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	182	8.7%
7	vote for the Christian Democratic Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	193	9.2%
8	vote for the Conservative Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	210	10.0%
9	vote for the Progress Party in the parliamentary election in September. Subsequently, the person begins to	233	11.1%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_uenighet: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of disagreement between the disussants.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of disagreement between the disussants.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	disagree extremely with the person	722	34.4%
1	disagree with the person	681	32.5%
2	disagree somewhat with the person	693	33.1%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_saker: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the topic of the discussion.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the topic of the discussion.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	immigration policy	501	23.9%
1	climate policy	531	25.3%
2	taxation policy	520	24.8%
3	privatization of public services	544	26.0%

r9disc_saker: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the topic of the discussion.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_a: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I concede to the person's opinion	520	24.8%
1	I say that I agree with the person	531	25.3%
2	I try to be accommodating	523	25.0%
3	I adapt to the other person's opinion	522	24.9%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_b: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I try to meet the other person halfway	513	24.5%
1	I put emphasis on reaching agreement	560	26.7%
2	I insist that both of us compromise a little	494	23.6%
3	I strive to reach a fifty-fifty compromise	529	25.2%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_c: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I stress my opinion very strongly	511	24.4%
1	I look for counter-arguments that refute the person's opinion	536	25.6%
2	I fight for my opinion	512	24.4%
3	I do everything I can to win the discussion with the person	537	25.6%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_d: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I avoid confrontation linked to our differences	501	23.9%
1	I avoid differences of opinion to the greatest extent possible	545	26.0%
2	I try to make the differences seem less serious	550	26.2%
3	I try to avoid confronting the person	500	23.9%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_aa: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I concede to the person's opinion	558	26.6%
1	I say that I now agree with the person	525	25.0%
2	I try to be accommodating	504	24.0%
3	I adapt to the other person's opinion	509	24.3%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_bb: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I try to meet the other person halfway	535	25.5%
1	I put emphasis on reaching agreement	492	23.5%
2	I insist that both of us compromise a little	547	26.1%
3	I strive to reach a fifty-fifty compromise	522	24.9%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_cc: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I stress my opinion very strongly	530	25.3%
1	I look for counter-arguments that refute the person's opinion	517	24.7%
2	I stand up for my opinion	505	24.1%
3	I do everything I can to win the discussion with the person	544	26.0%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_strategi_dd: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses 1/4 strategies that the respondent can select.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I avoid confrontation linked to our differences	536	25.6%
1	I avoid differences of opinion to the greatest extent possible	501	23.9%
2	I now try to make the differences seem less serious	535	25.5%
3	I try to avoid confronting the person	524	25.0%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_pers_strategi: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the strategy of the hypothetical person.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=14915 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the strategy of the hypothetical person.]

r9disc_pers_strategi: [Asked if x_ran=1. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the strategy of the hypothetical person.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	to give in and concede to your opinion	127	6.1%
1	to agree with you	128	6.1%
2	to try to be accommodating	139	6.6%
3	to adapt to your opinion	157	7.5%
4	to try to meet halfway	130	6.2%
5	to place emphasis on coming to agreement	127	6.1%
6	to insist that both of you compromise a little	125	6.0%
7	to strive to reach a fifty-fifty compromise	120	5.7%
8	to insist that one's own opinion is correct	129	6.2%
9	to try to find counter-arguments that refute your opinion	129	6.2%
10	to stand up for one's opinion	145	6.9%
11	to do everything possible to win the discussion with you	135	6.4%
12	to avoid confrontation linked to our differences	130	6.2%
13	to avoid differences of opinion to the greatest extent possible	131	6.2%
14	to try to make the differences seem less serious	127	6.1%
15	to try to avoid confronting you	117	5.6%
Sysmiss		14915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_1: Discussion with hypothetical person, which reaction suits you the best

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in knowing more about how people discuss issues with others. Imagine that you will be having a discussion later today with [printout of the value of R9DISC_aktor] [printout of the value of R9DISC_setting]. In the course of the discussion, it turns out that [printout of the value of r9disc_kjonn] wants [printout of the value of r9disc_parti] to discuss [printout of the value of r9disc_saker] in an objective manner and that you are [printout of the value of r9disc_uenighet]. We have described four different ways of reacting below.
Literal question	It may be that none of these reactions suit you, but if you had to choose one, which reaction would you consider best?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to an vignette. The vignette varies along multiple dimensions. Please see background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_a]	211	3.1%
2	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_b]	597	8.7%
3	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_c]	784	11.4%
4	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_d]	477	6.9%
97	No answer	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	4798	69.6%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9disc_2: The same hypothetical person is leaning towards a given strategy, which reaction would you choose

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that you now notice the same person is leaning towards [printout of the value of r9disc_pers_strategi].
Literal question	If you now had to choose to react in one of the four ways listed, which one would you choose?

# r9disc_2: The same hypothetical person is leaning towards a given strategy, which reaction would you choose			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to an vignette. The vignette varies along multiple dimensions. Please see background variables.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_aa]	198	2.9%
2	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_bb]	716	10.4%
3	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_cc]	531	7.7%
4	[Printout of the value of r9disc_strategi_dd]	569	8.2%
97	No answer	85	1.2%
98	Not asked	4798	69.6%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9disc_2_comment: Comments relating to reaction [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9tvdeb1: How important are TV debates for which political party you vote for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	During the election campaign for the parliamentary election, the party leaders for most of the Norwegian political parties will participate in debates broadcasted live on TV.		
Literal question	How important are these TV debates for you in making up your mind about which political party you will vote for?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	67	1.0%
2	Important	272	3.9%
3	Somewhat important	629	9.1%
4	Slightly important	705	10.2%
5	Not important at all	364	5.3%
6	I have never seen a TV debate	49	0.7%
97	No answer	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	4798	69.6%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9tvdeb2: Associations to televised election campaign debates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What associations come to mind when you think about televised election campaign debates before the election?		
Post-question	We are interested in your answers, irrespective of whether or not you have watched a TV debate before. Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]		

# r9tvdeb2: Associations to televised election campaign debates			
[Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]			
# r9tvdeb3_1: Statement, party leader debates: Important part of election campaign			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Below we have listed some statements about party leader debates on TV. Please put an X next to the descriptions with which you agree or that you think apply to you.		
Literal question	The party leader debates are an important part of the election campaign		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The party leader debates are an important part of the election campaign	611	11.3%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4798	88.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9tvdeb3_2: Statement, party leader debates: Best described as politicians only fighting one another			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Below we have listed some statements about party leader debates on TV. Please put an X next to the descriptions with which you agree or that you think apply to you.		
Literal question	The party leader debates can best be described as politicians only fighting with one another		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The party leader debates can best be described as politicians only fighting with one another	1208	20.1%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4798	79.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9tvdeb3_3: Statement, party leader debates: Too strongly controlled by the journalists			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Below we have listed some statements about party leader debates on TV. Please put an X next to the descriptions with which you agree or that you think apply to you.		
Literal question	Party leader debates are too strongly controlled by the journalists		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party leader debates are too strongly controlled by the journalists	393	7.6%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4798	92.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9tvdeb3_4: Statement, party leader debates: Difficult to keep up with politicians' opinions			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		

# r9tvdeb3_4: Statement, party leader debates: Difficult to keep up with politicians' opinions			
Pre-question	Below we have listed some statements about party leader debates on TV. Please put an X next to the descriptions with which you agree or that you think apply to you.		
Literal question	It is difficult to keep up with politicians' opinions		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is difficult to keep up with politicians' opinions	434	8.3%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4798	91.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9tvdeb3_5: Statement, party leader debates: Not controlled enough by the journalists			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Below we have listed some statements about party leader debates on TV. Please put an X next to the descriptions with which you agree or that you think apply to you.		
Literal question	Party leader debates are not controlled enough by the journalists		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party leader debates are not controlled enough by the journalists	143	2.9%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4798	96.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9medtrust1: What do you think it means to trust the media?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	People often talk about "trusting the media".		
Literal question	In your own words, explain what you think it means to trust the media.		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r9medtrust2_ran: [Randomizes if x_ran=2.Randomly selects r9medtrust2a, r9medtrust2b, r9medtrust2c eller r9medtrust2d.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2086 /-] [Invalid=14925 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if x_ran=2.Randomly selects r9medtrust2a, r9medtrust2b, r9medtrust2c eller r9medtrust2d.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Choose r9medtrust2a.	544	26.1%
2	Choose r9medtrust2b.	529	25.4%
3	Choose r9medtrust2c.	494	23.7%
4	Choose r9medtrust2d.	519	24.9%
Sysmiss		14925	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r9medtrust2a: Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: VG.no			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	People write regularly in the Norwegian news media, in blogs and on politicians' Facebook pages about issues associated with immigration.		
Literal question	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from VG.no in articles pertaining to immigration?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9medtrust2_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I have never heard of VG.no	28	0.4%
2	I have no confidence at all	23	0.3%
3	I have little confidence	130	1.9%
4	I have a moderate degree of confidence	285	4.1%
5	I have high confidence	68	1.0%
6	I have very high confidence	3	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6353	92.1%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9medtrust2b: Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: Document.no			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	People write regularly in the Norwegian news media, in blogs and on politicians' Facebook pages about issues associated with immigration.		
Literal question	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from Document.no in articles pertaining to immigration?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9medtrust2_ran=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I have never heard of Document.no	285	4.1%
2	I have no confidence at all	67	1.0%
3	I have little confidence	82	1.2%
4	I have a moderate degree of confidence	72	1.0%
5	I have high confidence	11	0.2%
6	I have very high confidence	3	0.0%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6368	92.3%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9medtrust2c: Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: Sylvi Listhaug's Facebook page			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	People write regularly in the Norwegian news media, in blogs and on politicians' Facebook pages about issues associated with immigration.		
Literal question	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from Sylvi Listhaug's Facebook page pertaining to immigration?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9medtrust2_ran=3.]		

r9medtrust2c: Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: Sylvi Listhaug's Facebook page

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I have never heard of Sylvi Listhaug's Facebook page	132	1.9%
2	I have no confidence at all	129	1.9%
3	I have little confidence	97	1.4%
4	I have a moderate degree of confidence	77	1.1%
5	I have high confidence	39	0.6%
6	I have very high confidence	14	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6403	92.8%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9medtrust2d: Confidence in trustworthy information in articles pertaining to immigration from: Nr.no

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	People write regularly in the Norwegian news media, in blogs and on politicians' Facebook pages about issues associated with immigration.
Literal question	How confident are you that you get trustworthy information from Nr.no in articles pertaining to immigration?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9medtrust2_ran=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I have never heard of Nr.no	10	0.1%
2	I have no confidence at all	11	0.2%
3	I have little confidence	52	0.8%
4	I have a moderate degree of confidence	195	2.8%
5	I have high confidence	221	3.2%
6	I have very high confidence	25	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6378	92.5%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9fake1: Agree/disagree: Political discussions cannot speak about facts, everyone fights for the truth as they know it

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	Much attention has been given to so-called "fake news" during the past year. To what extent do you agree or disagree that...
Literal question	In political discussions, one cannot speak about facts. There are differences of opinion and everyone fights for the truth as they know it.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	104	1.5%
2	Agree	440	6.4%
3	Agree somewhat	549	8.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	234	3.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	169	2.5%
6	Disagree	355	5.1%

# r9fake1: Agree/disagree: Political discussions cannot speak about facts, everyone fights for the truth as they know it			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	179	2.6%
97	No answer	62	0.9%
98	Not asked	4805	69.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9fake2: Agree/disagree: Most Norwegian newspapers/TV channels have never been neutral			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Much attention has been given to so-called “fake news” during the past year. To what extent do you agree or disagree that...		
Literal question	Most Norwegian newspapers and TV channels are not now and have never been neutral.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	149	2.2%
2	Agree	407	5.9%
3	Agree somewhat	725	10.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	306	4.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	264	3.8%
6	Disagree	190	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.2%
97	No answer	35	0.5%
98	Not asked	4805	69.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9fake2_comment: Comments relating to neutrality in Norwegian media [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9fake3: More trustworthy: Social or traditional media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Much attention has been given to so-called “fake news” during the past year.		
Literal question	Would you say that you get more trustworthy information from social media (for example Facebook and Twitter) or from the traditional media (for example NRK, TV2 and VG)?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Social media provide me with very much more trustworthy information than do the traditional media	24	0.3%
2	Social media provide me with more trustworthy information than do the traditional media	77	1.1%
3	Both are equally trustworthy	287	4.2%

r9fake3: More trustworthy: Social or traditional media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Traditional media provide me with more trustworthy information than do the social media	1062	15.4%
5	Traditional media provide me with very much more trustworthy information than do the social media	578	8.4%
97	No answer	64	0.9%
98	Not asked	4805	69.7%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktnin		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefinid list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
362	Sv-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
366	Venstre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefinid list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refsos		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
638	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
639	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
671	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
673	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
675	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
681	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettighe		
685	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
687	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
690	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
692	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles- vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktning		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefinid list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefinid list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
362	Sv-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
366	Venstre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
638	Høyre-profil blir latterliggjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
639	Frp-profil blir latterliggjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
671	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
673	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
675	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
681	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettighe		
685	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
687	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
692	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefinid list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles- vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktning		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefinid list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefinid list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
362	Sv-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
366	Venstre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
638	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
639	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
671	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
673	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
675	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
681	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettighe		
685	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
687	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
690	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
692	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles- vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktnin		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
362	Sv-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
366	Venstre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refs		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
638	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
639	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
671	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefined list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
673	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
675	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
681	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettighe		
685	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
687	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
690	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
692	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		

r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headlines that the respondent can select. The headlines are chosen from a predefine list. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgtekilder_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	421	20.3%
1	TV2	426	20.5%
2	Aftenposten	382	18.4%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	432	20.8%
4	Klassekampen	414	20.0%
Sysmiss		14936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgtekilder_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	425	20.5%
1	TV2	395	19.0%
2	Aftenposten	457	22.0%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	384	18.5%

r9selexp3_valgtekilder_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Klassekampen	414	20.0%
9	Sysmiss	14936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgtekilder_2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	420	20.2%
1	TV2	411	19.8%
2	Aftenposten	446	21.5%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	381	18.4%
4	Klassekampen	417	20.1%
9	Sysmiss	14936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_valgtekilder_3: [Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=14936 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. One of four randomly chosen headline sources. Please contact Erik Knudsen and Mikael Johannesson (UiB) for questions.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	396	19.1%
1	TV2	394	19.0%
2	Aftenposten	425	20.5%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	422	20.3%
4	Klassekampen	438	21.1%
9	Sysmiss	14936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp3_1: Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	Our aim is to study people's routines pertaining to the media. Below are some fictional articles we have made up to resemble articles one might find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headings carefully and pretend that the articles are authentic.
Literal question	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to four randomly chosen headlines from the news.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Printout of the value of r9selexp3_valgtekilder_0 og r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_0]	1036	17.7%
97	No answer	21	0.4%

# r9selexp3_1: Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4805	82.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp3_2: Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Our aim is to study people's routines pertaining to the media. Below are some fictional articles we have made up to resemble articles one might find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headings carefully and pretend that the articles are authentic.		
Literal question	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to four randomly chosen headlines from the news.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Printout of the value of r9selexp3_valgtekilder_1 og r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_1]	995	17.1%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	4805	82.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp3_3: Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Our aim is to study people's routines pertaining to the media. Below are some fictional articles we have made up to resemble articles one might find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headings carefully and pretend that the articles are authentic.		
Literal question	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to four randomly chosen headlines from the news.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Printout of the value of r9selexp3_valgtekilder_2 og r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_2]	994	17.1%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	4805	82.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp3_4: Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Our aim is to study people's routines pertaining to the media. Below are some fictional articles we have made up to resemble articles one might find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headings carefully and pretend that the articles are authentic.		
Literal question	It is possible that you would not read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but let's say that you had to read two of these articles. Which articles would you prefer to spend your time reading?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. The respondents are exposed to four randomly chosen headlines from the news.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[Printout of the value of r9selexp3_valgtekilder_3 og r9selexp3_valgteoverskrifter_3]	991	17.0%
97	No answer	21	0.4%

r9selexp3_4: Which two online articles would you spend your time reading?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4805	82.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-756] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]
-----------------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles- vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktning		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
362	Sv-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
366	Venstre-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refsers - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
638	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
639	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
671	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
673	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
675	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
681	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
685	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
687	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
690	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
692	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-756] [Missing=*]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles- vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktning		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
362	Sv-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
366	Venstre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
638	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
639	Frp-profil blir latterliggjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
671	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
673	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
675	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
681	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettighe		
685	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
687	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
690	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
692	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		

r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_plassing_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the placement of the news item 1 on the front page.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the placement of the news item 1 on the front page.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very prominently visible on the front page	507	24.5%
1	Somewhat prominent on the front page	509	24.6%
2	Not very prominent on the front page	498	24.1%
3	The news item is not published on the front page	556	26.9%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_plassering_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the placement of the news item 2 on the front page.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the placement of the news item 2 on the front page.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very prominently visible on the front page	520	25.1%
1	Somewhat prominent on the front page	504	24.3%
2	Not very prominent on the front page	520	25.1%
3	The news item is not published on the front page	526	25.4%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_lesere_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of popularity of news item 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of popularity of news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	On the list of the most read articles this week	1022	49.4%
1	Not on the list of the most read articles this week	1048	50.6%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_lesere_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of popularity of news item 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of popularity of news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	On the list of the most read articles this week	1011	48.8%
1	Not on the list of the most read articles this week	1059	51.2%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_anbefal_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the social media recommendations of news item 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the social media recommendations of news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very many recommendations	694	33.5%
1	Some recommendations	703	34.0%
2	No recommendations at all	673	32.5%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_anbefal_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the social media recommendations of news item 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the social media recommendations of news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very many recommendations	696	33.6%
1	Some recommendations	673	32.5%
2	No recommendations at all	701	33.9%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_kilde_sak1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of news item 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of news item 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	417	20.1%
1	TV2	374	18.1%
2	Aftenposten	405	19.6%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	415	20.0%
4	Klassekampen	459	22.2%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1_kilde_sak2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of news item 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=14941 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of news item 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	388	18.7%
1	TV2	441	21.3%
2	Aftenposten	400	19.3%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	429	20.7%
4	Klassekampen	412	19.9%
Sysmiss		14941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp1: Two hypothetical news items, which one would you read?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Below we have provided information about the two different news items we made up. Please read the descriptions of both news items carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[Printout of the value of r9selexp1_overskrift_sak1, r9selexp1_overskrift_sak2, r9selexp1_plassing_sak1, r9selexp1_plassing_sak2, r9selexp1_lesere_sak1, r9selexp1_lesere_sak2, r9selexp1_anbefal_sak1, r9selexp1_anbefal_sak2, r9selexp1_kilde_sak1, r9selexp1_kilde_sak2]</p>

# r9selexp1: Two hypothetical news items, which one would you read?			
Literal question	It is possible that you would read any of these articles on an ordinary day, but if you had to choose one of the two articles to read based on the information in the table above, which article would you choose?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Conjoint experiment. Respondent is exposed to a description of two news items. The description contains 5 randomly chosen characteristics from predefined lists. The characteristics are orderer in a randomly fashion.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	News item 1	1063	15.4%
2	News item 2	932	13.5%
97	No answer	97	1.4%
98	Not asked	4805	69.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp2_ran: [Randomizes if x_ran=2. Randomly selects r9selexp2_1 or r9selexp2_2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if x_ran=2. Randomly selects r9selexp2_1 or r9selexp2_2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Choose r9selexp2_1	1028	49.8%
2	Choose r9selexp2_2	1036	50.2%
Sysmiss		14947	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp2_kjonn_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of person 1.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of person 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Male	1070	51.8%
1	Female	994	48.2%
Sysmiss		14947	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp2_kjonn_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of person 2.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the gender of person 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Male	1053	51.0%
1	Female	1011	49.0%
Sysmiss		14947	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r9selexp2_sprak_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the norwegian language abilities of person 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the norwegian language abilities of person 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not write Norwegian well	1048	50.8%
1	Writes Norwegian well	1016	49.2%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_sprak_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the norwegian language abilities of person 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the norwegian language abilities of person 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not write Norwegian well	1064	51.6%
1	Writes Norwegian well	1000	48.4%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_kunnskap_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of knowledge on a particular subject of person 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of knowledge on a particular subject of person 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Little knowledge	1019	49.4%
1	A lot of knowledge	1045	50.6%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_kunnskap_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of knowledge on a particular subject of person 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of knowledge on a particular subject of person 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Little knowledge	1039	50.3%
1	A lot of knowledge	1025	49.7%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_popularitet_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the popularity of the news item shared by person 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the popularity of the news item shared by person 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Few people share posts	1009	48.9%
1	Many people share posts	1055	51.1%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_popularitet_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the popularity of the news item shared by person 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the popularity of the news item shared by person 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Few people share posts	998	48.4%
1	Many people share posts	1066	51.6%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_vennskap_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and person 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and person 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	You are close friends	712	34.5%
1	You are only acquaintances, but you have mutual friends	664	32.2%
2	You are only acquaintances (through work or school)	688	33.3%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_vennskap_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and person 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the relationship between the respondent and person 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	You are close friends	694	33.6%
1	You are only acquaintances, but you have mutual friends	711	34.4%
2	You are only acquaintances (through work or school)	659	31.9%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_enighet_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of agreement political matters between the respondent and person 1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of agreement political matters between the respondent and person 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	For the most part, you agree on political items	668	32.4%
1	For the most part, you disagree on political items	658	31.9%
2	To about the same extent, you agree and disagree on political items	738	35.8%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_enighet_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of agreement political matters between the respondent and person 2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the level of agreement political matters between the respondent and person 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	For the most part, you agree on political items	685	33.2%
1	For the most part, you disagree on political items	708	34.3%
2	To about the same extent, you agree and disagree on political items	671	32.5%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_diskuterer_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how frequent person 1 discusses or writes about politics.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how frequent person 1 discusses or writes about politics.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Often	676	32.8%
1	Sometimes	722	35.0%
2	Rarely or never	666	32.3%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_diskuterer_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how frequent person 1 discusses or writes about politics.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how frequent person 1 discusses or writes about politics.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Often	682	33.0%
1	Sometimes	666	32.3%
2	Rarely or never	716	34.7%

r9selexp2_diskuterer_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how frequent person 1 discusses or writes about politics.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_omtale_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how person 1 describes the news item.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how person 1 describes the news item.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I could not have agreed more with this article!	524	25.4%
1	I could not have disagreed more with this article!	531	25.7%
2	This has to be the worst article I have ever read!	523	25.3%
3	This has to be the best article I have ever read!	486	23.5%
Systemmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_omtale_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how person 2 describes the news item.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses how person 2 describes the news item.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I could not have agreed more with this article!	512	24.8%
1	I could not have disagreed more with this article!	548	26.6%
2	This has to be the worst article I have ever read!	534	25.9%
3	This has to be the best article I have ever read!	470	22.8%
Systemmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-756] [Missing=*]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles- vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktning		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
362	Sv-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
366	Venstre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
638	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
639	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
671	Mdg-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
673	Krf-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
675	Frp-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
681	Venstre-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politikere på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettighe		
685	Rødt-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
687	Ap-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
690	Venstre-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
692	Høyre-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politikere får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politikere får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-756] [Missing=*]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rødt-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
2	Sv-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
3	Ap-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
4	Sp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
5	Mdg-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
6	Venstre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
7	Krf-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
8	Høyre-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
9	Frp-politiker foreslår å øke toppskatten		
10	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
11	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
12	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
13	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
14	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
15	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
16	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
17	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
18	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
19	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
21	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
22	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
23	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
24	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
25	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
26	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
27	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å øke toppskatten		
28	Rødt-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
29	Sv-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
30	Ap-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
31	Sp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
32	Mdg-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
33	Venstre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
34	Krf-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
35	Høyre-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
36	Frp-politiker foreslår å senke toppskatten		
37	Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
38	Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
39	Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
40	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
41	Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
42	Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
43	Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
44	Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
45	Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
46	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
47	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
48	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
49	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
50	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
51	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatt		
52	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
53	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
54	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag om å senke toppskatten		
55	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
56	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
57	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
58	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
59	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
60	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
61	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
62	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
63	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
65	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
66	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
67	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
68	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
69	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
70	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
71	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
72	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
73	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
74	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
75	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
76	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
77	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
78	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
79	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
80	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
81	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteøkning for eldre		
82	Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
83	Refser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
84	Roser Rødt-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
85	Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
86	Refser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
87	Roser Sv-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
88	Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
89	Refser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
90	Roser Ap-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
91	Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
92	Refser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
93	Roser Sp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
94	Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
95	Refser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
96	Roser Mdg-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
97	Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
98	Refser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
99	Roser Venstre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
100	Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
101	Refser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
102	Roser Krf-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
103	Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
104	Refser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
105	Roser Høyre-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
106	Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
107	Refser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
108	Roser Frp-topp med nytt forslag om skatteutt for eldre		
109	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
110	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
111	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
112	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
113	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
114	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
115	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
116	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
117	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
118	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
119	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
120	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
121	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
122	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
123	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
124	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
125	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
126	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
127	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
128	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
129	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
130	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
131	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
132	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
133	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
134	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
135	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
136	Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
137	Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
138	Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
139	Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
140	Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
141	Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
142	Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
143	Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
144	Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
145	Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
146	Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
147	Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
148	Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
149	Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
150	Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
151	Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
152	Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
153	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
154	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
155	Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
156	Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
157	Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
158	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
159	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160	Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
161	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
162	Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»		
163	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
164	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
165	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
166	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
167	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
168	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
169	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
170	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
171	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
172	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
173	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
174	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
175	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
176	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
177	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
178	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
179	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
180	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
181	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
182	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
183	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
184	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
185	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
186	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
187	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles- vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
188	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
189	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil hindre at vi tar imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
190	Lokal Rødt-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
191	Lokal Sv-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
192	Lokal Ap-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
193	Lokal Sp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
194	Lokal Mdg-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
195	Lokal Venstre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktnin		
196	Lokal Krf-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
197	Lokal Høyre-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninge		
198	Lokal Frp-politiker - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
199	Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
200	Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
201	Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
202	Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
203	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
204	Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
205	Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
206	Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
207	Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
208	Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
209	Lokal Sv-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
210	Lokal Ap-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
211	Lokal Sp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
212	Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
213	Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
214	Lokal Krf-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
215	Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
216	Lokal Frp-politiker hylles - vil ta imot fem tusen nye flyktninger		
217	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		
218	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		
219	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		
220	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		
221	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		
222	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		
223	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
224	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		
225	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		
226	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		
227	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		
228	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		
229	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		
230	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		
231	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		
232	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		
233	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		
234	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		
235	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
236	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
237	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
238	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
239	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
240	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
241	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
242	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
243	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
244	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
245	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
246	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
247	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
248	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
249	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
250	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
251	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
252	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk kritikk		
253	Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
254	Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
255	Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
256	Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
257	Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
258	Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
259	Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
260	Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
261	Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		
262	Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
263	Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
264	Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
265	Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
266	Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
267	Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
268	Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
269	Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
270	Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		
271	Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
272	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
273	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
274	Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
275	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
276	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
277	Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
278	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
279	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
280	Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
281	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
282	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
283	Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
284	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
285	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
286	Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
287	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
288	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
289	Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
290	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
291	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
292	Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
293	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
294	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
295	Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
296	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
297	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil innføre eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
298	Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
299	Stormer mot Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
300	Jubler for Rødt-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
301	Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
302	Stormer mot Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
303	Jubler for Sv-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
304	Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
305	Stormer mot Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
306	Jubler for Ap-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
307	Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
308	Stormer mot Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
309	Jubler for Sp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
310	Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
311	Stormer mot Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
312	Jubler for Mdg-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
313	Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
314	Stormer mot Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
315	Jubler for Venstre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
316	Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
317	Stormer mot Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
318	Jubler for Krf-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
319	Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
320	Stormer mot Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
321	Jubler for Høyre-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
322	Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
323	Stormer mot Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
324	Jubler for Frp-topp som vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten for samtlige byer i Norge		
325	Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
326	Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
327	Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
328	Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
329	Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
330	Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
331	Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
332	Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
333	Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
334	Rødt-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
335	Sv-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
336	Ap-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
337	Sp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
338	Mdg-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
339	Venstre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
340	Krf-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
341	Høyre-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
342	Frp-representant refses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
343	Rødt-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
344	Sv-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
345	Ap-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
346	Sp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
347	Mdg-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
348	Venstre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
349	Krf-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
350	Høyre-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
351	Frp-representant roses - vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
352	Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
353	Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
354	Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
355	Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
356	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
357	Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler kunne ta ut profitt		
358	Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
359	Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
360	Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
361	Rødt-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
362	Sv-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
363	Ap-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
364	Sp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
365	Mdg-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
366	Venstre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
367	Krf-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
368	Høyre-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
369	Frp-representant refses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
370	Rødt-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
371	Sv-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
372	Ap-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
373	Sp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
374	Mdg-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
375	Venstre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
376	Krf-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
377	Høyre-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
378	Frp-representant roses - vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt		
379	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
380	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
381	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
382	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
383	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
384	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
385	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
386	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
387	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
388	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
389	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
390	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
391	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
392	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
393	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
394	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
395	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
396	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
397	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
398	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
399	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
400	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
401	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
402	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
403	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
404	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
405	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privatskoler i Norge		
406	Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
407	Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
408	Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
409	Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
410	Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
411	Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
412	Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
413	Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
414	Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
415	Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
416	Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
417	Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
418	Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
419	Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
420	Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
421	Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
422	Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
423	Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
424	Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
425	Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
426	Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
427	Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
428	Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
429	Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
430	Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
431	Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
432	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge		
433	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
434	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker forklarer nærmere		
435	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
436	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker forklarer nærmere		
437	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
438	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker forklarer nærmere		
439	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
440	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
441	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker forklarer nærmere		
442	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker forklarer nærmere		
443	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
444	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
445	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
446	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker forklarer nærmere		
447	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
448	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker forklarer nærmere		
449	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
450	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker forklarer nærmere		
451	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
452	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker refses		
453	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
454	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker refses		
455	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
456	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker refses		
457	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
458	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker refses		
459	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig MdG-politiker refses		
460	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker refses		
461	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
462	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker refses		
463	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
464	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker refses		
465	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		
466	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker refses		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
467	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
468	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker refses		
469	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
470	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-politiker roses		
471	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
472	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-politiker roses		
473	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
474	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-politiker roses		
475	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
476	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-politiker roses		
477	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
478	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-politiker roses		
479	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
480	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre-politiker roses		
481	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
482	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-politiker roses		
483	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
484	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-politiker roses		
485	Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
486	Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-politiker roses		
487	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
488	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
489	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
490	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
491	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
492	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
493	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
494	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
495	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
496	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
497	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
498	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
499	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
500	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
501	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
502	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
503	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
504	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
505	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
506	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
507	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
508	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
509	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
510	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
511	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
512	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
513	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatisering av NSB		
514	Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
515	Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
516	Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
517	Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
518	Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
519	Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
520	Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
521	Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
522	Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
523	Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
524	Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
525	Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
526	Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
527	Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
528	Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
529	Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
530	Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
531	Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
532	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
533	Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
534	Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
535	Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
536	Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
537	Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
538	Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
539	Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
540	Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		
541	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
542	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
543	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
544	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
545	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
546	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
547	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
548	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
549	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		
550	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
551	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
552	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
553	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
554	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
555	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
556	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
557	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
558	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		
559	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
560	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
561	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
562	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
563	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
564	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
565	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
566	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
567	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
568	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
569	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
570	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
571	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
572	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
573	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
574	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
575	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
576	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv motstand		
577	Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
578	Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
579	Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
580	Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
581	Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
582	Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
583	Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
584	Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
585	Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
586	Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
587	Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
588	Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
589	Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
590	Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
591	Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
592	Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
593	Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
594	Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv støtte		
595	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
596	Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
597	Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
598	Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
599	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
600	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
601	Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
602	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
603	Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
604	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
605	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
606	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
607	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
608	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
609	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
610	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
611	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
612	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
613	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
614	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
615	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
616	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
617	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
618	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
619	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
620	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
621	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil åpne for oljeboring i Nord		
622	Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
623	Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
624	Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
625	Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
626	Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
627	Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
628	Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
629	Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
630	Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
631	Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
632	Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
633	Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
634	Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
635	Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
636	Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
637	Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
638	Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
639	Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
640	Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
641	Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
642	Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
643	Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
644	Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
645	Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
646	Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
647	Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		
648	Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding - vil stenge for oljeboring i Nord		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
649	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
650	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
651	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
652	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
653	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
654	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
655	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
656	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
657	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
658	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
659	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
660	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
661	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
662	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
663	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
664	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
665	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
666	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
667	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
668	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
669	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
670	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
671	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
672	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
673	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
674	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
675	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
676	Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigh		
677	Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
678	Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
679	Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
680	Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
681	Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
682	Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
683	Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
684	Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettighe		
685	Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
686	Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
687	Ap-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
688	Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
689	Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
690	Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
691	Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
692	Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
693	Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
694	Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
695	Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
696	Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
697	Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
698	Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
699	Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
700	Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
701	Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
702	Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»		
703	Rødt-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
704	Sv-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
705	Ap-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
706	Sp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
707	Mdg-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
708	Venstre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
709	Krf-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
710	Høyre-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
711	Frp-politiker med homofientlig lovforslag		
712	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
713	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
714	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
715	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
716	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
717	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
718	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
719	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
720	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofientlig lovforslag		
721	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
722	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
723	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
724	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
725	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
726	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
727	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
728	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
729	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofientlig lovforslag		
730	Rødt-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
731	Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
732	Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
733	Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
734	Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
735	Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
736	Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
737	Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
738	Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		
739	Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
740	Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
741	Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
742	Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
743	Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
744	Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		

r9selexp2_overskrift_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses a headline for the news item person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
745	Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
746	Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
747	Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		
748	Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
749	Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
750	Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
751	Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
752	Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
753	Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
754	Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
755	Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		
756	Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_kilde_person1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of the news item that person 1 shared.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of the news item that person 1 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	441	21.4%
1	TV2	382	18.5%
2	Aftenposten	422	20.4%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	404	19.6%
4	Klassekampen	415	20.1%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_kilde_person2: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of the news item that person 2 shared.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=14947 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the source of the news item that person 2 shared.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NRK	428	20.7%
1	TV2	433	21.0%
2	Aftenposten	366	17.7%
3	Dagens Næringsliv	396	19.2%
4	Klassekampen	441	21.4%
Sysmiss		14947	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_sporsmal_0: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the question text order.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	[Indicates which version of the question that was asked first. The version containing the value '0' is asked first. Must be seen in conjunction with r9selexp2_sporsmal_1]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the question text exposed to the respondent.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	First: Let's say that you had to read one of the two shared news items. If you didn't have more information than the above, which person would you choose to get news from?	1050	50.9%
1	Second: Let's say you were forced to recommend one of the two news items shared by these two, in a way that everyone else you are in contact with via social media can see that you are sharing these posts. If you didn't have more information than the [...]	1014	49.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_sporsmal_1: [Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the question text order]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	[Indicates which version of the question that was asked first. The version containing the value '0' is asked first. Must be seen in conjunction with r9selexp2_sporsmal_0]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly chooses the question text exposed to the respondent.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	First: Let's say you were forced to recommend one of the two news items shared by these two, in a way that everyone else you are in contact with via social media can see that you are sharing these posts. If you didn't have more information than the [...]	1014	49.1%
1	Second: Let's say that you had to read one of the two shared news items. If you didn't have more information than the above, which person would you choose to get news from?	1050	50.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9selexp2_1a: Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Excluded r9selexp2_omtale.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We have described below two news items and the persons who have shared them. Not everyone uses social media, but think of the two people we have described as persons of your own age with whom you have contact through the social media. Please read the description carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[Printout of the value of the following variables, in randomized order: r9selexp2_kjonn_person1, r9selexp2_kjonn_person2, r9selexp2_sprak_person1, r9selexp2_sprak_person2, r9selexp2_kunnskap_person1, r9selexp2_kunnskap_person2, r9selexp2_popularitet_person1, r9selexp2_popularitet_person2, r9selexp2_vennskap_person1, r9selexp2_vennskap_person2, r9selexp2_enighet_person1, r9selexp2_enighet_person2, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person1, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person2, r9selexp2_overskrift_person1, r9selexp2_overskrift_person2, r9selexp2_kilde_person1, r9selexp2_kilde_person2]</p>
Literal question	[First question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9selexp2_ran=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person and case 1	528	7.7%
2	Person and case 2	426	6.2%

# r9selexp2_1a: Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Excluded r9selexp2_omtale.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	74	1.1%
98	Not asked	5869	85.1%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp2_1b: Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Excluded r9selexp2_omtale.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We have described below two news items and the persons who have shared them. Not everyone uses social media, but think of the two people we have described as persons of your own age with whom you have contact through the social media. Please read the description carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[Printout of the value of the following variables, in randomized order: r9selexp2_kjonn_person1, r9selexp2_kjonn_person2, r9selexp2_sprak_person1, r9selexp2_sprak_person2, r9selexp2_kunnskap_person1 , r9selexp2_kunnskap_person2, r9selexp2_popularitet_person1, r9selexp2_popularitet_person2, r9selexp2_vennskap_person1, r9selexp2_vennskap_person2, r9selexp2_enighet_person1, r9selexp2_enighet_person2, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person1, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person2, r9selexp2_overskrift_person1, r9selexp2_overskrift_person2, r9selexp2_kilde_person1, r9selexp2_kilde_person2]</p>		
Literal question	[Second question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9selexp2_ran=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person and case 1	509	7.4%
2	Person and case 2	430	6.2%
97	No answer	89	1.3%
98	Not asked	5869	85.1%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9selexp2_2a: Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Included r9selexp2_omtale.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>We have described below two news items and the persons who have shared them. Not everyone uses social media, but think of the two people we have described as persons of your own age with whom you have contact through the social media. Please read the description carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[Printout of the value of the following variables, in randomized order: r9selexp2_kjonn_person1, r9selexp2_kjonn_person2, r9selexp2_sprak_person1, r9selexp2_sprak_person2, r9selexp2_kunnskap_person1 , r9selexp2_kunnskap_person2, r9selexp2_popularitet_person1, r9selexp2_popularitet_person2, r9selexp2_vennskap_person1, r9selexp2_vennskap_person2, r9selexp2_enighet_person1, r9selexp2_enighet_person2, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person1, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person2, r9selexp2_omtale_person1, r9selexp2_omtale_person2, r9selexp2_overskrift_person1, r9selexp2_overskrift_person2, r9selexp2_kilde_person1, r9selexp2_kilde_person2]</p>		
Literal question	[First question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9selexp2_ran=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person and case 1	496	7.2%
2	Person and case 2	458	6.6%
97	No answer	82	1.2%
98	Not asked	5861	85.0%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r9selexp2_2b: Description of two news items and the people who shared them. Included r9selexp2_omtale.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Pre-question	<p>We have described below two news items and the persons who have shared them. Not everyone uses social media, but think of the two people we have described as persons of your own age with whom you have contact through the social media. Please read the description carefully and answer the questions below.</p> <p>[Printout of the value of the following variables, in randomized order: r9selexp2_kjonn_person1, r9selexp2_kjonn_person2, r9selexp2_sprak_person1, r9selexp2_sprak_person2, r9selexp2_kunnskap_person1 , r9selexp2_kunnskap_person2, r9selexp2_popularitet_person1, r9selexp2_popularitet_person2, r9selexp2_vennskap_person1, r9selexp2_vennskap_person2, r9selexp2_enighet_person1, r9selexp2_enighet_person2, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person1, r9selexp2_diskuterer_person2, r9selexp2_omtale_person1, r9selexp2_omtale_person2, r9selexp2_overskrift_person1, r9selexp2_overskrift_person2, r9selexp2_kilde_person1, r9selexp2_kilde_person2]</p>
Literal question	[Second question. R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_0 or R9SELEXP2_sporsmal_1. See instructions of R9SELEXP2_sporsmal]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if x_ran=2 and r9selexp2_ran=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person and case 1	479	6.9%
2	Person and case 2	461	6.7%
97	No answer	96	1.4%
98	Not asked	5861	85.0%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k26: Highest completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	41	0.6%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	52	0.8%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	511	7.4%
4	College certificate	59	0.9%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, oneyear post admission	843	12.2%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	810	11.7%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	75	1.1%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	221	3.2%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	716	10.4%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1354	19.6%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	461	6.7%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	552	8.0%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	800	11.6%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	148	2.1%

# r9k26: Highest completed education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	None of these	85	1.2%
97	No answer	169	2.5%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k33: What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.		
Post-question	Select one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	2393	34.7%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	185	2.7%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	62	0.9%
4	Unemployed, wanting a job, but not actively seeking work	22	0.3%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	158	2.3%
6	Retired	1074	15.6%
7	Military service	5	0.1%
8	At home, looking after children or others	28	0.4%
9	Other:	173	2.5%
97	No answer	91	1.3%
98	Not asked	2706	39.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k33_9_other: What applies best to what you have been doing for the last 7 days, Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.		
Post-question	Merk av ett alternativ.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8. Background variable.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9k34_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Public central administration	253	5.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	94.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r9k34_2: What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kindergarten/school/teaching	342	7.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	92.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_3: What industry do you work in: Health services			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Health services	320	6.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	93.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Social services/child protection	57	1.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	98.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nursing and care services	118	2.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	97.4%

r9k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_6: What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]

Literal question What industry do you (your company) work in?

Post-question It is possible to mark more than one answer.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Defence/police/judicial system/security	52	1.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	98.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]

Literal question What industry do you (your company) work in?

Post-question It is possible to mark more than one answer.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture/forestry/fishing	61	1.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]

Literal question What industry do you (your company) work in?

Post-question It is possible to mark more than one answer.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Industry/technology	167	3.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	96.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_9: What industry do you work in: Building/construction

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]

Literal question What industry do you (your company) work in?

Post-question It is possible to mark more than one answer.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Building/construction	204	4.3%

r9k34_9: What industry do you work in: Building/construction

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Retailing/shop	139	3.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	97.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_11: What industry do you work in: Transport/communications

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Transport/communications	126	2.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	97.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_12: What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Culture/sport/organisations	75	1.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	98.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising/PR/information

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.

# r9k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising/PR/information			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Media/advertising/PR/information	67	1.5%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	98.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_14: What industry do you work in: Research/analysis			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Research/analysis	106	2.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	97.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_15: What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Travel/hotels	32	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	99.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Restaurants/catering	18	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	99.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_17: What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		

# r9k34_17: What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT			
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telecommunications/IT	121	2.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	97.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_18: What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banking/insurance/finance	88	1.9%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	98.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commercial services	130	2.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	97.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_20: What industry do you work in: Oil/gas			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oil/gas	120	2.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	97.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r9k34_21: What industry do you work in: Other			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other	180	3.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	96.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k34_21_other: What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1. Background variable.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9k21_filter: [Defines respondents that were recruited in wave 8 and answered 'paid work' on R8K33.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variabel for r9k21.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not answer "paid work " on R8K33	5405	78.4%
1	Answered "paid work " on R8K33	1492	21.6%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r9k21: Which type of business do you work in?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R9K33=1 or R9_r8k33filter=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State, county or municipal administration	484	7.0%
2	Public sector (e.g. school and health services)	1116	16.2%
3	Publicly owned enterprise	228	3.3%
4	Private business	1714	24.9%
5	Self-employed	193	2.8%
6	Other:	118	1.7%
97	No answer	32	0.5%
98	Not asked	3012	43.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k21_6_other: Which type of business do you work in? Other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R9K33=1 or R9_r8k33filter=1. Background variable.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9k24a: Is your workplace in/closely associated with the oil/gas industry?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	Is your workplace in the oil and gas industry, or closely associated with it?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and r9k33=1 and r9k34_20!=1. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I work for the oil and gas industry	5	0.1%
2	Yes, my work is closely associated with the oil and gas industry	53	0.8%
3	No, but previously I have worked in, or closely associated with, the oil/gas industry	109	1.6%
4	No	2101	30.5%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4624	67.0%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k24_1_1: When did your first job in the oil/gas industry begin? [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-] [Mean=170.446 /-] [StdDev=363.9 /-]		
Literal question	When did your first job in the oil or gas industry begin (or your first job closely affiliated with the oil and gas industry)?		
Post-question	Indicate the year to the best of your memory.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and R9k24a is answered and R9k24a!=4 or R9K34_20=1. Background variable.]		

# r9k24_1_1: When did your first job in the oil/gas industry begin? [anonymized]			
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9k24_1_comment: Comments relating to your first job in the oil/gas industry [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8 and R9k24a is answered and R9k24a!=4 or R9K34_20=1. Background variable.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9k25: Gross annual income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your current income?		
Literal question	Gross annual income is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<150 000	245	3.6%
2	150 001 - 300 000	535	7.8%
3	300 001 - 400 000	672	9.7%
4	400 001 - 500 000	830	12.0%
5	500 001 - 600 000	656	9.5%
6	600 001 - 700 000	415	6.0%
7	700 001 - 1 000 000	440	6.4%
8	>1 000 000	228	3.3%
97	No answer	170	2.5%
98	Not asked	2706	39.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9hp1: Your health			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Literal question	In general, would you say your health is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	596	8.6%
2	Very good	1524	22.1%
3	Good	1362	19.7%
4	Somewhat good	458	6.6%
5	Poor	139	2.0%
97	No answer	112	1.6%
98	Not asked	2706	39.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r9k27: Marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	Marital status.		
Literal question	Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8. Background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never been married	442	6.4%
2	Married	2367	34.3%
3	Legally registered partner	7	0.1%
4	Cohabitant	696	10.1%
5	Divorced/separated	321	4.7%
6	Former cohabitant	105	1.5%
7	Former legally registered partner	2	0.0%
8	Widow/widower	121	1.8%
9	Other:	43	0.6%
97	No answer	87	1.3%
98	Not asked	2706	39.2%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r9k27_9_other: Marital status, other [anonymized]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Marital status.		
Literal question	Please click whichever alternative is most applicable.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert!=8. Background variable.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r9qreview1: Satisfied with the survey			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Pre-question	We are interested in knowing more about how participants perceive our surveys.		
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you with the survey?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	889	12.9%
2	Satisfied	4528	65.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1124	16.3%
4	Slightly satisfied	153	2.2%
5	Not satisfied at all	29	0.4%
97	Not answered	174	2.5%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# avslutt: Tilbakemelding til undersøkelsen [anonymisert]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Tusen takk for din deltakelse i Medborgerpanelet! Svarene dine blir analysert av norske og internasjonale forskere, og er derfor et nyttig bidrag til samfunnsforskningen. Vinneren av reisegavekortet på 25 000 blir kontaktet så snart undersøkelsen har stengt.		
Literal question	Har du noe du ønsker å gi tilbakemelding på i forbindelse med undersøkelsen?		
Post-question	I så fall kan du skrive det her:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# tid_brukt: [Respondents time usage.]			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6724 /-] [Invalid=10287 /-] [Mean=444.281 /-] [StdDev=2225.365 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents time usage.]		
# R9P1: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3477	50.4%
2	Female	3420	49.6%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R9P2: Region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	1943	28.2%
2	Østlandet	1655	24.0%
3	Sørlandet	335	4.9%
4	Vestlandet	1816	26.3%
5	Trøndelag	615	8.9%
6	Nord-Norge	533	7.7%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R9P3: County			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	868	12.6%
2	Oslo	1075	15.6%
3	Østfold	360	5.2%
4	Vestfold	313	4.5%
5	Hedmark	209	3.0%
6	Oppland	205	3.0%
7	Buskerud	360	5.2%

# R9P3: County			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Telemark	208	3.0%
9	Vest-Agder	205	3.0%
10	Aust-Agder	130	1.9%
11	Rogaland	645	9.4%
12	Hordaland	767	11.1%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	123	1.8%
14	Møre og Romsdal	281	4.1%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	476	6.9%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	139	2.0%
17	Nordland	265	3.8%
18	Troms	200	2.9%
19	Finmark	68	1.0%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R9P4_1: Highest level of completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a recode of R8P4_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	627	9.1%
2	Upper secondary education	2001	29.0%
3	University/university college	4090	59.3%
97	No answer	179	2.6%
Sysmiss		10114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# R9P4_2: Highest level of completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[This variable is a compilation of w01_k26, w03_r3k26, r4k26, r5k26, r6k26, r8k26 and r9k26. Newest value is always applied.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	43	0.6%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	53	0.8%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	531	7.7%
4	College certificate	64	0.9%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	870	12.6%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	833	12.1%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	75	1.1%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	223	3.2%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	729	10.6%

R9P4_2: Highest level of completed education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1376	20.0%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	467	6.8%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	559	8.1%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	808	11.7%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	151	2.2%
15	None of these	96	1.4%
97	No answer	19	0.3%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

R9P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	244	3.5%
2	1940-1949	1227	17.8%
3	1950-1959	1570	22.8%
4	1960-1969	1423	20.6%
5	1970-1979	1051	15.2%
6	1980-1989	820	11.9%
7	1990 or later	562	8.1%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

R9P5_2: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	3041	44.1%
2	1960-1989	3294	47.8%
3	1990 or later	562	8.1%
Sysmiss		10114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r9Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

r9Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P1 and B3_1 . See documentation report

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]
---------------------------	------------------------------------

r10interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r10interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# r10interview_end: [Date and time of when the respondentn completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# r10u: [Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4260 /-] [Invalid=12751 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	U1	1082	25.4%
2	U2	1068	25.1%
3	U3	1033	24.2%
4	U4	1077	25.3%
Sysmiss		12751	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10v: [Thematical sub-group from wave 8]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Thematical sub-group from wave 8]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	v1	1789	26.4%
2	v2	1697	25.1%
3	v3	1589	23.5%
4	v4	1690	25.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10x: [Thematical sub-group from wave 9]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3484 /-] [Invalid=13527 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Thematical sub-group from wave 9]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	x1	1738	49.9%
2	x2	1746	50.1%
Sysmiss		13527	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10rekruttert: [The wave the respondent was recruited.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	1958	28.9%
2	Wave 3	2302	34.0%

# r10rekruttert: [The wave the respondent was recruited.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Wave 8	2505	37.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km4_filter: [Filter variable for r10km4. Asked to all respondents where r8km4_ran = 2. In addition, the question is asked to all respondents recruited in wave 1 and a randomly drawn sample of respondents recruited in wave 3 as long as 'v' does not equal 2 or 'u' does not equal 4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Filter variable for r10km4. Asked to all respondents where r8km4_ran = 2. In addition, the question is asked to all respondents recruited in wave 1 and a randomly drawn sample of respondents recruited in wave 3 as long as 'v' does not equal 2 or 'u' does not equal 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Historical conditions not fulfilled	3980	58.8%
1	Historical conditions fulfilled. Question asked after r10km63	367	5.4%
2	Historical conditions fulfilled. Question asked after one of the following: r10kmbil_3, r10pad19, r10meme_vbs or r10pad21	2418	35.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10panelrest: [Defines sub-group: panelrest. This is a group comprised of respondents whom did not satisfy any of the criteria in any of the other "panel"-sub-group. The group is then split in half and assigned different questions]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Defines sub-group: panelrest. This is a group comprised of respondents whom did not satisfy any of the criteria in any of the other "panel"-sub-group. The group is then split in half and assigned different questions]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not get R10KM60 or R10Skandale	3717	54.9%
1	Gets R10KM60	1541	22.8%
2	Gets R10Skandale	1507	22.3%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10panelmeme: [Defines sub-group: panelmeme. Comprised of respondents where u=4 but v!=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Defines sub-group: panelmeme. Comprised of respondents where u=4 but v!=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Historical conditions not fulfilled	5931	87.7%
1	Historical conditions fulfilled	834	12.3%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10panelkm: [Defines sub-group: panel km. This is mainly respondents where u=2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Defines sub-group: panel km. This is mainly respondents where u=2]		

# r10panelkm: [Defines sub-group: panel km. This is mainly respondents where u=2]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Historical conditions not fulfilled	5697	84.2%
1	Historical conditions fulfilled	1068	15.8%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10panelpad: [Defines sub-group: panelpad. These are respondents who have responded to R9PAD1-3 but where u!=4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Defines sub-group: panelpad. These are respondents who have responded to R9PAD1-3 but where u!=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Historical conditions not fulfilled	5475	80.9%
1	Historical conditions fulfilled	1290	19.1%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10browsertype: [Browertype used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browertype used by respondent]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		3051	45.1%
Firefox		412	6.1%
IE		15	0.2%
InternetExplorer		885	13.1%
Mozilla		28	0.4%
Opera		1	0.0%
Safari		2369	35.0%
Unknown		4	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browser version used by respondent]		
# r10mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	other	4560	67.4%
1	mobil	2205	32.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10opplosning: [Screen resolution of the applied device]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# r10opplosning: [Screen resolution of the applied device]			
Interviewer's instructions	[Screen resolution of the applied device]		
# r10enhetstype: [Determines respondents device type.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	4655	68.8%
2	Touch	2094	31.0%
3	Generic	16	0.2%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10advancedwifeaturesenabled: [Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	True	6746	99.7%
2	False	19	0.3%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10getrenderingmode: [Detects the browsers rendering mode]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6749 /-] [Invalid=10262 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Detects the browsers rendering mode]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	desktop	4655	69.0%
2	touch	2094	31.0%
Sysmiss		10262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k1: Interest in politics.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how interested are you in politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	908	13.4%
2	Interested	3336	49.3%
3	Somewhat interested	1987	29.4%
4	Not very interested	450	6.7%
5	Not interested at all	72	1.1%
97	No answer	12	0.2%

# r10k1: Interest in politics.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k3: Voted for this party in 2017 parliamentary election.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	294	4.3%
2	The Conservative Party	1552	22.9%
3	The Progress Party	709	10.5%
4	The Liberal Party	353	5.2%
5	The Socialist Left Party	568	8.4%
6	The Centre Party	585	8.6%
7	The Green Party	302	4.5%
8	The Labour Party	1523	22.5%
9	The Red Party	241	3.6%
10	Did not vote	181	2.7%
11	Not entitled to vote	277	4.1%
12	Other:	139	2.1%
97	No answer	41	0.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k3_12_other: Other [R10K3][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r10k21: Would change vote given the result of the election.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Now that you know the results of this year's parliamentary elections, would you have changed your vote if you had known them in advance?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	481	7.1%
2	No	6173	91.2%
97	No answer	111	1.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k204: Would vote this party if an election was held tomorrow.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		

r10k204: Would vote this party if an election was held tomorrow.

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v!=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	196	2.9%
2	The Conservative Party	1260	18.6%
3	The Progress Party	542	8.0%
4	The Liberal Party	237	3.5%
5	The Socialist Left Party	546	8.1%
6	The Centre Party	491	7.3%
7	The Green Party	230	3.4%
8	The Labour Party	1097	16.2%
9	The Red Party	222	3.3%
10	Would not vote	77	1.1%
11	Not entitled to vote	128	1.9%
12	Other:	104	1.5%
97	No answer	46	0.7%
98	Not asked	1589	23.5%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10k204_12_other: Other: [R10K204][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r10k8_1: Personal placement on political axis left-right.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those who are politically on the far right.
Literal question	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	115	1.7%
2	1	206	3.0%
3	2	583	8.6%
4	3	991	14.6%
5	4	865	12.8%
6	5	1038	15.3%
7	6	804	11.9%
8	7	964	14.2%
9	8	767	11.3%
10	9	213	3.1%

r10k8_1: Personal placement on political axis left-right.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	10 Right	146	2.2%
97	No answer	73	1.1%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10tilfreds3: How satisfied with: The Norwegian government.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	356	5.3%
2	Satisfied	2148	31.8%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1977	29.2%
4	Dissatisfied	1522	22.5%
5	Very dissatisfied	504	7.5%
97	No answer	258	3.8%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10tilfreds4: How satisfied with: The way democracy works in Norway.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	877	13.0%
2	Satisfied	3249	48.0%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1308	19.3%
4	Dissatisfied	441	6.5%
5	Very dissatisfied	90	1.3%
97	No answer	800	11.8%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10k9_1: Most important political issue 1. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r10k9_2: Most important political issue 2. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?

# r10k9_2: Most important political issue 2. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# r10k9_3: Most important political issue 3. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?		
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r10k10: Perception of current economic situation in Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?		
Literal question	Do you think it is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1341	19.8%
2	Good	3998	59.1%
3	Quite good	964	14.2%
4	Neither good nor bad	301	4.4%
5	Quite bad	109	1.6%
6	Bad	23	0.3%
7	Very bad	12	0.2%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k6_1: Like/dislike: Christian Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Literal question	The Christian Democratic Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	702	10.4%
2	Really dislike	1100	16.3%
3	Dislike somewhat	1748	25.8%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1404	20.8%
5	Like somewhat	1230	18.2%
6	Really like	392	5.8%
7	Intensely like	80	1.2%
97	No answer	109	1.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k6_2: Like/dislike: Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		

# r10k6_2: Like/dislike: Conservative Party			
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties		
Literal question	The Conservative Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	230	3.4%
2	Really dislike	854	12.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	1236	18.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	748	11.1%
5	Like somewhat	1525	22.5%
6	Really like	1624	24.0%
7	Intensely like	430	6.4%
97	No answer	118	1.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k6_3: Like/dislike: Progress Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties		
Literal question	The Progress Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1962	29.0%
2	Really dislike	1259	18.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	837	12.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	536	7.9%
5	Like somewhat	1076	15.9%
6	Really like	721	10.7%
7	Intensely like	260	3.8%
97	No answer	114	1.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k6_4: Like/dislike: Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties		
Literal question	The Liberal Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	583	8.6%
2	Really dislike	978	14.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	1576	23.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1450	21.4%
5	Like somewhat	1487	22.0%

# r10k6_4: Like/dislike: Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Really like	473	7.0%
7	Intensely like	90	1.3%
97	No answer	128	1.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k6_5: Like/dislike: Socialist Left Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties		
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	932	13.8%
2	Really dislike	1116	16.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	1169	17.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1056	15.6%
5	Like somewhat	1249	18.5%
6	Really like	876	12.9%
7	Intensely like	256	3.8%
97	No answer	111	1.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k6_6: Like/dislike: Centre Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties		
Literal question	The Centre Party		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	359	5.3%
2	Really dislike	732	10.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	1224	18.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1675	24.8%
5	Like somewhat	1731	25.6%
6	Really like	723	10.7%
7	Intensely like	193	2.9%
97	No answer	128	1.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k6_7: Like/dislike: Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		

r10k6_7: Like/dislike: Green Party

Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties
Literal question	The Green Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1594	23.6%
2	Really dislike	1092	16.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	1051	15.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	957	14.1%
5	Like somewhat	1231	18.2%
6	Really like	594	8.8%
7	Intensely like	147	2.2%
97	No answer	99	1.5%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10k6_8: Like/dislike: Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties
Literal question	The Labour Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	300	4.4%
2	Really dislike	633	9.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	1242	18.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	997	14.7%
5	Like somewhat	1852	27.4%
6	Really like	1375	20.3%
7	Intensely like	260	3.8%
97	No answer	106	1.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10k6_9: Like/dislike: Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1525	22.5%
2	Really dislike	1191	17.6%
3	Dislike somewhat	966	14.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1181	17.5%
5	Like somewhat	1081	16.0%

# r10k6_9: Like/dislike: Red Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Really like	517	7.6%
7	Intensely like	177	2.6%
97	No answer	127	1.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k53: Confidence in Norwegian politicians.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking, what degree of confidence do you have in Norwegian politicians?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very high degree	161	2.4%
2	A high degree	2365	35.0%
3	Some degree	3226	47.7%
4	A low degree	711	10.5%
5	None at all	116	1.7%
97	No answer	186	2.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10k54: Confidence in Norwegian news media.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking, what degree of confidence do you have in the Norwegian news media?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very high degree	204	3.0%
2	A high degree	2192	32.4%
3	Some degree	2761	40.8%
4	A low degree	638	9.4%
5	None at all	153	2.3%
97	No answer	817	12.1%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg1: How important: 2017 parliamentary elections, who won.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, how important were this year's parliamentary elections? Did you care much about which party won, or did you think that it didn't matter?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I cared a lot about which parties won or lost	1369	20.2%
2	It didn't really matter	310	4.6%

# r10valg1: How important: 2017 parliamentary elections, who won.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	18	0.3%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg2: Easy/difficult to see differences in parties' policies before the election.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How easy or difficult do you think it was to see the differences between the parties' policies before this year's parliamentary elections?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	94	1.4%
2	Fairly easy	657	9.7%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	526	7.8%
4	Fairly difficult	373	5.5%
5	Very difficult	33	0.5%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmini13: How much influence does the political in system in Norway give people like you.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How much would you say the political system in Norway allows people like you to have a say in what the government does?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	13	0.2%
2	To a great extent	211	3.1%
3	To some extent	776	11.5%
4	To a small extent	482	7.1%
5	Not at all	74	1.1%
97	No answer	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg3_1: Describes the election as: Interesting and exciting.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe this year's election campaign?		
Literal question	Interesting and exciting		
Post-question	Please insert an X against those descriptions that best agree with your opinion. You can select several options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		

# r10valg3_1: Describes the election as: Interesting and exciting.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1367	20.2%
1	Interesting and exciting	222	3.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Systemmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg3_2: Describes the election as: Too much bickering.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe this year's election campaign?		
Literal question	Too much bickering		
Post-question	Please insert an X against those descriptions that best agree with your opinion. You can select several options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		893	13.2%
1	Too much bickering	696	10.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Systemmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg3_3: Describes the election as: Too many conflicts and aggressive.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	HvoHow would you describe this year's election campaign?		
Literal question	Too many conflicts and aggressive.		
Post-question	Please insert an X against those descriptions that best agree with your opinion. You can select several options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1142	16.9%
1	Too many conflicts and aggressive	447	6.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Systemmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg3_4: Describes the election as: Too focused on the party leaders.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe this year's election campaign?		
Literal question	Too focused on the party leaders		
Post-question	Please insert an X against those descriptions that best agree with your opinion. You can select several options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		

# r10valg3_4: Describes the election as: Too focused on the party leaders.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		731	10.8%
1	Too focused on the party leaders	858	12.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg3_5: Describes the election as: Factual and informative.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe this year's election campaign?		
Literal question	Factual and informative		
Post-question	Please insert an X against those descriptions that best agree with your opinion. You can select several options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1534	22.7%
1	Factual and informative	55	0.8%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg3_6: Describes the election as: Incomprehensible election debate in newspapers, radio, TV.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe this year's election campaign?		
Literal question	Incomprehensible election debate in the newspapers and on the radio and TV		
Post-question	Please insert an X against those descriptions that best agree with your opinion. You can select several options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1296	19.2%
1	Incomprehensible election debate in the newspapers and on the radio and TV	293	4.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10valg3_7: Describes the election as: Clear differences between the parties.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe this year's election campaign?		
Literal question	Clear differences between the parties		
Post-question	Please insert an X against those descriptions that best agree with your opinion. You can select several options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		

# r10valg3_7: Describes the election as: Clear differences between the parties.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1460	21.6%
1	Clear differences between the parties	129	1.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr1: Number of minutes spent daily on news.			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Mean=67.085 /-] [StdDev=51.222 /-]		
Literal question	Approximately how many minutes do you spend daily on the news in various media?		
Post-question	Please enter whole numbers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer		
98	Not asked		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr2: How often last 12 months: Discussed politics on social media.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Over the course of the last 12 months, how often have you discussed politics on social media?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1016	15.0%
2	Seldom	380	5.6%
3	Sometimes	208	3.1%
4	Often	96	1.4%
5	Not relevant/don't use social media	79	1.2%
97	No answer	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr3_1: How often last 12 months: Visited website of politician/party with same opinion as you.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following during the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Visited the website of a politician or a political party that has the same political opinions as you		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	927	13.7%
2	Seldom	401	5.9%
3	Sometimes	369	5.5%
4	Often	51	0.8%

# r10fr3_1: How often last 12 months: Visited website of politician/party with same opinion as you.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	41	0.6%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr3_2: How often last 12 months: Visited website of politician/party with different opinion to you.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following during the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Visited the website of a politician or a political party that has different political opinions to you		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1109	16.4%
2	Seldom	409	6.0%
3	Sometimes	201	3.0%
4	Often	24	0.4%
97	No answer	46	0.7%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr3_3: How often last 12 months: Searched online for information confirming your arguments/views.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following during the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Searched online for political information that would confirm your arguments or views		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	656	9.7%
2	Seldom	465	6.9%
3	Sometimes	544	8.0%
4	Often	82	1.2%
97	No answer	42	0.6%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr3_4: How often last 12 months: Searched online for information differing from your arguments/views.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following during the last 12 months?		
Literal question	Searched online for political information that differs from your own arguments or views		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	784	11.6%

r10fr3_4: How often last 12 months: Searched online for information differing from your arguments/views.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Seldom	508	7.5%
3	Sometimes	393	5.8%
4	Often	59	0.9%
97	No answer	45	0.7%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr4_1: Discussed politics on social media last 12 months with: People with different opinions.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Over the course of the last 12 months, how often have you discussed politics on social media with:
Literal question	People with different political opinions to you and who generally disagree with you
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10FR2!=5 and R10FR2!=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	215	3.2%
2	Seldom	218	3.2%
3	Sometimes	183	2.7%
4	Often	39	0.6%
97	No answer	39	0.6%
98	Not asked	6071	89.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr4_2: Discussed politics on social media last 12 months with: People with different ethnic background.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Over the course of the last 12 months, how often have you discussed politics on social media with:
Literal question	People with a different ethnic background to yours
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10FR2!=5 and R10FR2!=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	323	4.8%
2	Seldom	181	2.7%
3	Sometimes	120	1.8%
4	Often	26	0.4%
97	No answer	44	0.7%
98	Not asked	6071	89.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr4_3: Discussed politics on social media last 12 months with: People from different social class.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Over the course of the last 12 months, how often have you discussed politics on social media with:
Literal question	People from a different social class to you

# r10fr4_3: Discussed politics on social media last 12 months with: People from different social class.			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10FR2!=5 and R10FR2!=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	232	3.4%
2	Seldom	207	3.1%
3	Sometimes	182	2.7%
4	Often	29	0.4%
97	No answer	44	0.7%
98	Not asked	6071	89.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr_ranFeel: [Randomizes if v=1. The randomly chosen order in which the different sliders (and their respective "feelings") are presented to the respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=1. The randomly chosen order in which the different sliders (and their respective "feelings") are presented to the respondent] [1 = r10fr[5/6/7]_entus] [2 = r10fr[5/6/7]_stolt] [3 = r10fr[5/6/7]_bekym] [4 = r10fr[5/6/7]_redd] [5 = r10fr[5/6/7]_sint] [6 = r10fr[5/6/7]_bitter] [7 = r10fr[5/6/7]_erg]		
# r10fr5_entus: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Enthusiastic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you feel when you think about Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Enthusiastic		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very enthusiastic	226	3.3%
2	2	372	5.5%
3	3	301	4.4%
4	4	616	9.1%
5	5	90	1.3%
6	6	112	1.7%
7	Not enthusiastic at all	63	0.9%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr5_stolt: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Proud			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you feel when you think about Norway?		

# r10fr5_stolt: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Proud			
	Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Proud		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very proud	501	7.4%
2	2	468	6.9%
3	3	243	3.6%
4	4	371	5.5%
5	5	71	1.0%
6	6	77	1.1%
7	Not proud at all	49	0.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr5_bekym: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Worried			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you feel when you think about Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Worried		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very worried	77	1.1%
2	2	150	2.2%
3	3	212	3.1%
4	4	372	5.5%
5	5	165	2.4%
6	6	425	6.3%
7	Not worried at all	379	5.6%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr5_redd: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Scared			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you feel when you think about Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Scared		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very scared	18	0.3%
2	2	42	0.6%

r10fr5_redd: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Scared

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	99	1.5%
4	4	382	5.6%
5	5	115	1.7%
6	6	332	4.9%
7	Not scared at all	792	11.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr5_sint: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Angry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	What do you feel when you think about Norway? Would you say that you feel:
Literal question	Angry
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very angry	24	0.4%
2	2	45	0.7%
3	3	88	1.3%
4	4	379	5.6%
5	5	114	1.7%
6	6	292	4.3%
7	Not angry at all	838	12.4%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr5_bitter: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Bitter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	What do you feel when you think about Norway? Would you say that you feel:
Literal question	Bitter
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very bitter	15	0.2%
2	2	28	0.4%
3	3	51	0.8%
4	4	389	5.8%
5	5	70	1.0%
6	6	201	3.0%
7	Not bitter at all	1026	15.2%

# r10fr5_bitter: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Bitter			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr5_erg: Feeling when thinking about Norway: Annoyed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you feel when you think about Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Annoyed		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very annoyed	42	0.6%
2	2	87	1.3%
3	3	128	1.9%
4	4	415	6.1%
5	5	129	1.9%
6	6	321	4.7%
7	Not annoyed at all	658	9.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr6_entus: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Enthusiastic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the economic conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Enthusiastic		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very enthusiastic	138	2.0%
2	2	230	3.4%
3	3	252	3.7%
4	4	721	10.7%
5	5	156	2.3%
6	6	153	2.3%
7	Not enthusiastic at all	130	1.9%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10fr6_stolt: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Proud			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the economic conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Proud		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very proud	225	3.3%
2	2	337	5.0%
3	3	251	3.7%
4	4	603	8.9%
5	5	128	1.9%
6	6	132	2.0%
7	Not proud at all	104	1.5%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr6_bekym: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Worried			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the economic conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Worried		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very worried	69	1.0%
2	2	163	2.4%
3	3	218	3.2%
4	4	403	6.0%
5	5	216	3.2%
6	6	371	5.5%
7	Not worried at all	340	5.0%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr6_redd: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Scared			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the economic conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Scared		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		

# r10fr6_redd: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Scared			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very scared	16	0.2%
2	2	69	1.0%
3	3	116	1.7%
4	4	464	6.9%
5	5	156	2.3%
6	6	341	5.0%
7	Not scared at all	618	9.1%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr6_sint: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Angry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the economic conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Angry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very angry	30	0.4%
2	2	49	0.7%
3	3	119	1.8%
4	4	462	6.8%
5	5	116	1.7%
6	6	270	4.0%
7	Not angry at all	734	10.8%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr6_bitter: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Bitter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the economic conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Bitter		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very bitter	21	0.3%
2	2	39	0.6%
3	3	65	1.0%
4	4	478	7.1%
5	5	90	1.3%

r10fr6_bitter: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Bitter

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	6	225	3.3%
7	Not bitter at all	862	12.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr6_erg: Feeling when thinking about the economic conditions in Norway: Annoyed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the economic conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:
Literal question	Annoyed
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very annoyed	38	0.6%
2	2	78	1.2%
3	3	135	2.0%
4	4	485	7.2%
5	5	137	2.0%
6	6	298	4.4%
7	Not annoyed at all	609	9.0%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr7_entus: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Enthusiastic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the social conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:
Literal question	Enthusiastic
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very enthusiastic	73	1.1%
2	2	149	2.2%
3	3	188	2.8%
4	4	785	11.6%
5	5	189	2.8%
6	6	223	3.3%
7	Not enthusiastic at all	173	2.6%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10fr7_stolt: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Proud			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the social conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Proud		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very proud	137	2.0%
2	2	239	3.5%
3	3	216	3.2%
4	4	637	9.4%
5	5	198	2.9%
6	6	201	3.0%
7	Not proud at all	152	2.2%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr7_bekym: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Worried			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the social conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Worried		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very worried	116	1.7%
2	2	233	3.4%
3	3	330	4.9%
4	4	458	6.8%
5	5	173	2.6%
6	6	279	4.1%
7	Not worried at all	191	2.8%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10fr7_redd: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Scared			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the social conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Scared		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very scared	37	0.5%
2	2	98	1.4%
3	3	150	2.2%
4	4	550	8.1%
5	5	167	2.5%
6	6	290	4.3%
7	Not scared at all	488	7.2%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr7_sint: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Angry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the social conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Angry		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very angry	47	0.7%
2	2	114	1.7%
3	3	181	2.7%
4	4	527	7.8%
5	5	134	2.0%
6	6	270	4.0%
7	Not angry at all	507	7.5%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr7_bitter: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Bitter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the social conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:		
Literal question	Bitter		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]		

r10fr7_bitter: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Bitter

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very bitter	24	0.4%
2	2	47	0.7%
3	3	83	1.2%
4	4	552	8.2%
5	5	111	1.6%
6	6	241	3.6%
7	Not bitter at all	722	10.7%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr7_erg: Feeling when thinking about the social conditions in Norway: Annoyed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of feelings do you have when you think about the social conditions here in Norway? Would you say that you feel:
Literal question	Annoyed
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Seven vertical/horizontal sliders are presented to the respondent. The order of the sliders are randomized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very annoyed	61	0.9%
2	2	148	2.2%
3	3	180	2.7%
4	4	571	8.4%
5	5	146	2.2%
6	6	228	3.4%
7	Not annoyed at all	446	6.6%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr8: Gender equality, personal placement on scale.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	Where would you place yourself on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means that you think that gender equality should be continued and 10 means that you think that gender equality has been taken far enough?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Gender equality should be continued	589	8.7%
2	1	133	2.0%
3	2	199	2.9%
4	3	161	2.4%
5	4	75	1.1%
6	5	178	2.6%

# r10fr8: Gender equality, personal placement on scale.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	6	67	1.0%
8	7	113	1.7%
9	8	100	1.5%
10	9	53	0.8%
11	10 Gender equality has been taken far enough	91	1.3%
97	No answer	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr9: Most important quality for children: Independence or respect for adults.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	There are a number of qualities that people believe children should have. We have listed pairs of desired qualities below. For each pair of qualities, we would like you to tell us which of these qualities you think it is most important for children to have		
Literal question	Which quality do you think it is most important for children to have: independence or respect for adults?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Independence	659	9.7%
2	Respect for adults	1031	15.2%
97	No answer	99	1.5%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr10: Most important quality for children: Obedience or independence.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Which quality do you think it is most important for children to have: obedience or independence?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Obedience	331	4.9%
2	Independence	1394	20.6%
97	No answer	64	0.9%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10fr11_ran: [Randomizes if v=1. Background variable for r10fr11. Randomly selects [muslim/islamic/anti-immigration/right-wing/christian conservative]]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1766 /-] [Invalid=15245 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=1. Background variable for r10fr11. Randomly selects [muslim/islamic/anti-immigration/right-wing/christian conservative]]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	muslim	336	19.0%

r10fr11_ran: [Randomizes if v=1. Background variable for r10fr11. Randomly selects [muslim/islamic/anti-immigration/right-wing/christian conservative]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Islamic	369	20.9%
3	anti-immigration	330	18.7%
4	right wing	362	20.5%
5	christian conservative	369	20.9%
Sysmiss		15245	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr11: What should authorities do if a [Muslim/Islamic/anti-immigration/right wing/Christian conservative] party wants to run for parliament.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a Muslim/Islamic/anti-immigration/right wing/Christian conservative party wants to stand for parliament.
Literal question	What do you think the authorities should do?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1. Experiment. See background variable.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow the party to stand without stipulating any conditions	103	1.5%
2	Allow the party to stand as long as it complies with Norwegian law	1183	17.5%
3	Not allow the party to stand	345	5.1%
4	Don't know	116	1.7%
97	No answer	42	0.6%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10fr12: Access to immigration to Norway, personal placement on scale 0-10.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	In this question we would like to know where you would place yourself on a scale of 0 to 10
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 We should make it easier for immigrants to enter Norway	69	1.0%
2	1	37	0.5%
3	2	91	1.3%
4	3	165	2.4%
5	4	150	2.2%
6	5	339	5.0%
7	6	146	2.2%
8	7	198	2.9%
9	8	197	2.9%
10	9	85	1.3%
11	10 We should have greater restrictions on immigrant numbers	282	4.2%
97	No answer	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

r10fr12: Access to immigration to Norway, personal placement on scale 0-10.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_1_1: Owns car type: Petrol.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?
Literal question	Petrol
Post-question	Several answers are possible
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1033	15.3%
1	Petrol	731	10.8%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_1_2: Owns car type: Diesel.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?
Literal question	Diesel
Post-question	Several answers are possible
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		835	12.3%
1	Diesel	929	13.7%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_1_3: Owns car type: Electric car.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?
Literal question	Electric car
Post-question	Several answers are possible
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1622	24.0%
1	Electric car	142	2.1%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10kmbil_1_4: Owns car type: Hydrogen.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?		
Literal question	Hydrogen		
Post-question	Several answers are possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1761	26.0%
1	Hydrogen	3	0.0%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_1_5: Owns car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (non-rechargeable).			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?		
Literal question	Hybrid: petrol-electric (non-rechargeable)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1663	24.6%
1	Hybrid: petrol-electric (non-rechargeable)	101	1.5%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_1_6: Owns car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (non-rechargeable).			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?		
Literal question	Hybrid: diesel-electric (non-rechargeable)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1763	26.1%
1	Hybrid: diesel-electric (non-rechargeable)	1	0.0%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10kmbil_1_7: Owns car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (rechargeable).			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?		
Literal question	Hybrid: petrol-electric (rechargeable)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1696	25.1%
1	Hybrid: petrol-electric (rechargeable)	68	1.0%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_1_8: Owns car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (rechargeable).			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?		
Literal question	Hybrid: diesel-electric (rechargeable)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1758	26.0%
1	Hybrid: diesel-electric (rechargeable)	6	0.1%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_1_9: Owns car type: Other.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?		
Literal question	Other		
Post-question	Several answers are possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1760	26.0%
1	Other	4	0.1%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10kmbil_1_10: Owns car type: Don't have a car.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own a car? If so, what type of car(s)?		
Literal question	Don't have a car		
Post-question	Several answers are possible		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1567	23.2%
1	Don't have a car	197	2.9%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_bio: Have used/use biofuel on your car.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Har du eller din husstand egen bil? I så fall, hvilken type/hvilke typer?		
Literal question	Please insert an X here if you have used/use biofuel (biodiesel/bioethanol) for your car.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1745	25.8%
1	Please insert an X here if you have used/use biofuel (biodiesel/bioethanol) for your car	19	0.3%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	4976	73.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_2_electric: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Electric.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	This question applies to your car, which is: electric.		
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	62	0.9%
2	Easy	45	0.7%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	24	0.4%
4	Difficult	10	0.1%
5	Very difficult	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10kmbil_2_petrol: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Petrol.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	This question applies to your car, which runs on: petrol.		
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_1=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	565	8.4%
2	Easy	130	1.9%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	24	0.4%
4	Difficult	2	0.0%
5	Very difficult	1	0.0%
97	No answer	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6034	89.2%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_2_diesel: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Diesel.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	This question applies to your type of car, which runs on: diesel.		
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_2=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	710	10.5%
2	Easy	165	2.4%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	16	0.2%
4	Difficult	4	0.1%
5	Very difficult	0	
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5836	86.3%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_2_hydrogen: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hydrogen.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	This question applies to your type of car, which runs on: hydrogen.		
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_4=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	0	
2	Easy	1	0.0%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	1	0.0%
4	Difficult	0	
5	Very difficult	0	

r10kmbil_2_hydrogen: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hydrogen.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6762	100.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_2_hybrid1: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (non-rechargeable).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	his question applies to your car, which is a hybrid: petrol/electric (non-rechargeable).
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_5=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	71	1.0%
2	Easy	25	0.4%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	1	0.0%
4	Difficult	0	
5	Very difficult	0	
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6664	98.5%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_2_hybrid2: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (non-rechargeable).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	This question applies to your car, which is a hybrid: diesel/electric (non-rechargeable).
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_6=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	0	
2	Easy	1	0.0%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	0	
4	Difficult	0	
5	Very difficult	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6764	100.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_2_hybrid3: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (rechargeable).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	This question applies to your car, which is a hybrid: petrol/electric (rechargeable).
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_7=1]

r10kmbil_2_hybrid3: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Petrol-electric (rechargeable).

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	42	0.6%
2	Easy	14	0.2%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	4	0.1%
4	Difficult	5	0.1%
5	Very difficult	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6697	99.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_2_hybrid4: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Hybrid: Diesel-electric (rechargeable).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	This question applies to your car, which is a hybrid: diesel/electric (rechargeable).
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_8=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	2	0.0%
2	Easy	1	0.0%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	1	0.0%
4	Difficult	2	0.0%
5	Very difficult	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6759	99.9%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10kmbil_2_bio: How easy/difficult to get fuel/energy for car type: Biofuel.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	This question applies to your car, which runs on biofuel:
Literal question	Do you feel that it is easy or difficult to get hold of fuel/energy for your car during your daily life?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_10!=1 and R10KMbil_bio is answered]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	2	0.0%
2	Easy	5	0.1%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	4	0.1%
4	Difficult	1	0.0%
5	Very difficult	4	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6746	99.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10kmbil_3_1: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Cheap fuel.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Cheap fuel		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		34	0.5%
1	Cheap fuel	108	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_3_2: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Good for the environment.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Good for the environment		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		27	0.4%
1	Good for the environment	115	1.7%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_3_3: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Free ferry trips.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Free ferry trips		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		131	1.9%
1	Free ferry trips	11	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10kmbil_3_4: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Free tolls.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Free tolls		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		56	0.8%
1	Free tolls	86	1.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_3_5: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Allowed to drive in public transport lane.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Allowed to drive in the public transport lane		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		103	1.5%
1	Allowed to drive in the public transport lane	39	0.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_3_6: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Less noisy.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Less noisy		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		108	1.6%
1	Less noisy	34	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10kmbil_3_7: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Quick acceleration.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Quick acceleration		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		125	1.8%
1	Quick acceleration	17	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_3_8: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Attractive look.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Attractive look		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		133	2.0%
1	Attractive look	9	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil_3_9: Most important reasons for buying electric car: Free parking.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	Free parking		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		106	1.6%
1	Free parking	36	0.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10kmbil_3_10: Most important reasons for buying electric car: A friend just got an electric car.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please select your most important reasons for purchasing an electric car.		
Literal question	A friend has just got an electric car		
Post-question	You can check as many boxes as you like.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=1 and R10KMbil_1_3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		140	2.1%
1	A friend has just got an electric car	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6623	97.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil: Think of when hearing/reading "electric car".			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Literal question	What is the first thing you think of when you hear or read the word "electric car"?		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r10kmbil2: Positive/negative opinion on electric cars.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How positive or negative is your opinion about electric cars, in general?		
Post-question	Please answer on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is "very negative" and 7 is "very positive".		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Very negative	101	1.5%
2	2	136	2.0%
3	3	273	4.0%
4	4	596	8.8%
5	5	837	12.4%
6	6	730	10.8%
7	7 Very positive	587	8.7%
97	No answer	26	0.4%
98	Not asked	3479	51.4%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmbil2: Participated in campaigns to collect plastic from countryside last 12 months.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		

# r10kmplast: Participated in campaigns to collect plastic from countryside last 12 months.			
Pre-question	This question applies to plastic in the ocean, and organised campaigns designed to collect plastic from the countryside, e.g. on beaches, along the coast, in rivers and in lakes.		
Literal question	Have you taken part in any campaigns designed to collect plastic from the countryside during the last 12 months?		
Post-question	Please select the most suitable option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I have participated in one or more organised campaigns designed to collect the plastic from the countryside	63	0.9%
2	Yes, I have collected plastic on my own (not as part of an organised campaign).	385	5.7%
3	I have wanted to collect plastic, but so far I have not done so.	361	5.3%
4	No.	874	12.9%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10kmplast_comment: Comments [R10KMPLAST]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r10km21_1: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Hope.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?		
Literal question	Hope		
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	60	0.9%
2	Not much	267	3.9%
3	A bit	878	13.0%
4	Strongly	391	5.8%
5	Very strongly	27	0.4%
97	No answer	74	1.1%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km21_2: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Anxiety.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?		
Literal question	Anxiety		

# r10km21_2: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Anxiety.			
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	121	1.8%
2	Not much	341	5.0%
3	A bit	779	11.5%
4	Strongly	333	4.9%
5	Very strongly	62	0.9%
97	No answer	61	0.9%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km21_3: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Sadness.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?		
Literal question	Sadness		
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	140	2.1%
2	Not much	324	4.8%
3	A bit	674	10.0%
4	Strongly	394	5.8%
5	Very strongly	103	1.5%
97	No answer	62	0.9%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km21_4: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Anger.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?		
Literal question	Anger		
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	201	3.0%
2	Not much	469	6.9%
3	A bit	637	9.4%
4	Strongly	266	3.9%
5	Very strongly	59	0.9%
97	No answer	65	1.0%

# r10km21_4: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Anger.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km21_5: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Guilt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?		
Literal question	Guilt		
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	214	3.2%
2	Not much	545	8.1%
3	A bit	737	10.9%
4	Strongly	118	1.7%
5	Very strongly	16	0.2%
97	No answer	67	1.0%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km21_6: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Interest.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?		
Literal question	Interest		
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	27	0.4%
2	Not much	78	1.2%
3	A bit	685	10.1%
4	Strongly	694	10.3%
5	Very strongly	158	2.3%
97	No answer	55	0.8%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10km21_7: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Compassion.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?
Literal question	Compassion
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	96	1.4%
2	Not much	223	3.3%
3	A bit	738	10.9%
4	Strongly	477	7.1%
5	Very strongly	88	1.3%
97	No answer	75	1.1%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10km21_8: Feels this how strongly regarding climate change: Fed up with it.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	With regard to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you experience the following emotions?
Literal question	Fed up with it
Post-question	Please rate each emotion on the given scale that ranges from "not at all" to "very strongly" (5).
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	592	8.8%
2	Not much	464	6.9%
3	A bit	409	6.0%
4	Strongly	126	1.9%
5	Very strongly	49	0.7%
97	No answer	57	0.8%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10km64: How positive/negative will climate change be for Norway.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Climate change will affect each country in different ways.
Literal question	How positive or negative do you think climate change will be for Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only positive	13	0.2%
2	More positive than negative	223	3.3%
3	Neither negative nor positive	400	5.9%

# r10km64: How positive/negative will climate change be for Norway.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	More negative than positive	888	13.1%
5	Only negative	122	1.8%
97	No answer	51	0.8%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10klimaproblem_ran: [Randomizes if v=2.Randomly determines whether or not respondents should have an additional option to choose from in the questions r10km30 and r10km22 (r10km30_problem and r10km22_problem). The checkbox reads "I do not look at climate change as a problem". Approximately 50 % gets the extra option both times, the other half does not get this option at any point]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1686 /-] [Invalid=15325 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=2.Randomly determines whether or not respondents should have an additional option to choose from in the questions r10km30 and r10km22 (r10km30_problem and r10km22_problem). The checkbox reads "I do not look at climate change as a problem". Approximately 50 % gets the extra option both times, the other half does not get this option at any point]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NOT given additional option	867	51.4%
1	Given additional option	819	48.6%
Sysmiss		15325	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km30: To what degree moral duty for individuals to contribute towards preventing climate change.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	To what degree do you regard it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute towards preventing climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.The respondent may have been given r10km30_problem. See klimaproblem_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	417	6.2%
2	To a great extent	674	10.0%
3	Somewhat	418	6.2%
4	To a small extent	64	0.9%
5	Not at all	21	0.3%
97	No answer	103	1.5%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km30_problem: Climate change is not a problem.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	I do not consider climate change to be a problem		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and klimaProblem_ran=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I do not consider climate change to be a problem	44	0.7%
97	No answer	775	11.5%

# r10km30_problem: Climate change is not a problem.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5946	87.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km2: How concerned about climate change.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	221	3.3%
2	Concerned	605	8.9%
3	Somewhat concerned	555	8.2%
4	Not particularly concerned	230	3.4%
5	Not at all concerned	67	1.0%
97	No answer	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km26: How serious a threat is climate change for you personally.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How serious a threat is climate change for you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	44	0.7%
2	Serious	241	3.6%
3	Fairly serious	473	7.0%
4	Not very serious	563	8.3%
5	Not a threat	156	2.3%
97	No answer	220	3.3%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km25: How serious a threat is climate change overall.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Overall, how serious a threat is climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	469	6.9%
2	Serious	639	9.4%
3	Fairly serious	363	5.4%

# r10km25: How serious a threat is climate change overall.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not very serious	110	1.6%
5	Not a threat	35	0.5%
97	No answer	81	1.2%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km22: To what extent is preventing harmful climate change possible.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Let's now assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it.		
Literal question	To what extent do you think it is possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change?		
Post-question	Please select the statement that most closely reflects your views:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.The respondent may have been given r10km22_problem. See klimaproblem_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is not possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	141	2.1%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	904	13.4%
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	602	8.9%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	5	0.1%
97	No answer	45	0.7%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km22_problem: Climate change is not a problem.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	I do not consider climate change to be a problem		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and klimaProblem_ran=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I do not consider climate change to be a problem	37	0.5%
97	No answer	782	11.6%
98	Not asked	5946	87.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km63: Statement closest to personal view on climate change.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	As regards the causes of climate change, which of the following statements most closely reflects your views:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Climate change is only caused by natural processes	24	0.4%

# r10km63: Statement closest to personal view on climate change.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Climate change is primarily caused by natural processes	129	1.9%
3	Climate change is partly caused by natural processes and partly by humans	810	12.0%
4	Climate change is primarily caused by humans	662	9.8%
5	Climate change is only caused by humans	36	0.5%
97	No answer	36	0.5%
98	Not asked	5068	74.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km4: Agree/disagree: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in Lofoten and Vesterålen.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Please look at the statement below.		
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas.		
Post-question	To what extent do you agree/disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and R10KM4_filter=1 or R10KM4_filter=2. This question is asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=2 and R10KM4_filter=1 respondents are asked after r10km63. Where v=2 and R10KM4_filter=2 respondents are asked after one of the following: r10kmbil_3, r10pad19, r10meme_vbs or r10pad21]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	839	12.4%
2	Agree	446	6.6%
3	Agree somewhat	371	5.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	322	4.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	302	4.5%
6	Disagree	286	4.2%
7	Strongly disagree	166	2.5%
97	No answer	53	0.8%
98	Not asked	3980	58.8%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km42: Should/should not be possible to buy diesel- and petrol cars after 2025.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	The National Transport Plan for 2018-29 proposes that all new private vehicles should either be electric or run on hydrogen with effect from 2025.		
Literal question	Which of the following statements most closely reflects your views:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10km42, r10km42_lastcomment]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=2 respondents are asked after r10km4 if R10KM4_filter=1. Where panelKM=1 respondents are asked at the end of the survey OR prior to r10pad1 if panelPAD=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In 2025 all new private vehicles that are sold should either be electric or run on hydrogen	1134	16.8%
2	In 2025, people should still have the opportunity to purchase new private cars which run on diesel or petrol.	1283	19.0%
97	No answer	101	1.5%

r10km42: Should/should not be possible to buy diesel- and petrol cars after 2025.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4247	62.8%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10km42_lastcomment: Comments climate and the environment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Pre-question	That was the last question about the climate and the environment this time round.
Literal question	If you have any additional comments about the questions relating to the climate and the environment, please write them here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 and u!=2 and panelREST!=1. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10km42, r10km42_lastcomment]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=2 respondents are asked after r10km4 if R10KM4_filter=1. Where panelKM=1 respondents are asked at the end of the survey OR prior to r10pad1 if panelPAD=1] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

r10pad10_ran: [Randomizes if v=3.Randomly selects R10PAD10A, R10PAD10B or R10PAD10C]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1584 /-] [Invalid=15427 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3.Randomly selects R10PAD10A, R10PAD10B or R10PAD10C]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chooses R10PAD10A	534	33.7%
2	Chooses R10PAD10B	518	32.7%
3	Chooses R10PAD10C	532	33.6%
Sysmiss		15427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad10a_1: Like/dislike Progress Party: Proposed increase in minimum state pensions.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	The Progress Party has proposed increasing pensions for recipients of the minimum state pension.
Literal question	What do you think about the Progress Party?
Post-question	Please place the party on this scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike the party and 10 means that you like the party very much.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD10_ran=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Strongly dislike	101	1.5%
2	1	31	0.5%
3	2	59	0.9%
4	3	51	0.8%
5	4	38	0.6%
6	5	45	0.7%
7	6	38	0.6%
8	7	58	0.9%
9	8	46	0.7%
10	9	23	0.3%
11	10 Like very much	36	0.5%

r10pad10a_1: Like/dislike Progress Party: Proposed increase in minimum state pensions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Don't know	6	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6231	92.1%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad10b_1: Like/dislike Progress Party: Voted to lower taxes for the wealthiest.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	The Progress Party has voted on several occasions to reduce taxation for the wealthiest people in the country.
Literal question	What do you think about the Progress Party?
Post-question	Please place the party on this scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike the party and 10 means that you like the party very much.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD10_ran=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Strongly dislike	127	1.9%
2	1	46	0.7%
3	2	60	0.9%
4	3	57	0.8%
5	4	39	0.6%
6	5	45	0.7%
7	6	42	0.6%
8	7	29	0.4%
9	8	30	0.4%
10	9	11	0.2%
11	10 Like very much	14	0.2%
12	Don't know	11	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6247	92.3%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad10c_1: Like/dislike Progress Party: No treatment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	What do you think about the Progress Party?
Post-question	Please place the party on this scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike the party and 10 means that you like the party very much.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD10_ran=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Strongly dislike	109	1.6%
2	1	52	0.8%
3	2	61	0.9%
4	3	51	0.8%
5	4	31	0.5%

r10pad10c_1: Like/dislike Progress Party: No treatment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	5	37	0.5%
7	6	43	0.6%
8	7	45	0.7%
9	8	44	0.7%
10	9	30	0.4%
11	10 Like very much	20	0.3%
12	Don't know	7	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6233	92.1%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad11_1: Progress Party, placement left-right scale regarding economic policy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing." Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are on the far left politically, while 10 represents those who are on the far right.
Literal question	Where would you place the Progress Party on this scale when it comes to economic policy?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Most left-wing	3	0.0%
2	1	7	0.1%
3	2	7	0.1%
4	3	27	0.4%
5	4	17	0.3%
6	5	52	0.8%
7	6	77	1.1%
8	7	187	2.8%
9	8	312	4.6%
10	9	265	3.9%
11	10 Most right-wing	486	7.2%
12	Don't know	78	1.2%
97	No answer	71	1.0%
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad12: Would vote this party if an election was held tomorrow.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	82	1.2%
2	The Conservative Party	354	5.2%

# r10pad12: Would vote this party if an election was held tomorrow.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	The Progress Party	172	2.5%
4	The Liberal Party	91	1.3%
5	The Socialist Left Party	147	2.2%
6	The Centre Party	155	2.3%
7	The Green Party	73	1.1%
8	The Labour Party	321	4.7%
9	The Red Party	54	0.8%
10	Would not vote	26	0.4%
11	Not entitled to vote	48	0.7%
12	Other:	42	0.6%
97	No answer	24	0.4%
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad12_12_other: Other: [R10PAD12] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r10pad13: Social class, personal placement.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	We sometimes talk about whether or not there are different social groups or classes.		
Literal question	If you were to place yourself in such a social class, which one would it be?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lower class	21	0.3%
2	Working class	217	3.2%
3	Lower middle class	163	2.4%
4	Middle class	824	12.2%
5	Upper middle class	298	4.4%
6	Upper class	11	0.2%
7	Don't know	35	0.5%
97	No answer	20	0.3%
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad14_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Randomly selects R10PAD14A, R10PAD14B, R10PAD14C or R10PAD14D]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1579 /-] [Invalid=15432 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Randomly selects R10PAD14A, R10PAD14B, R10PAD14C or R10PAD14D]		

r10pad14_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Randomly selects R10PAD14A, R10PAD14B, R10PAD14C or R10PAD14D]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chooses R10PAD14A	381	24.1%
2	Chooses R10PAD14B	382	24.2%
3	Chooses R10PAD14C	412	26.1%
4	Chooses R10PAD14D	404	25.6%
Sysmiss		15432	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14a_1: Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.2%
2	Agree	26	0.4%
3	Slightly agree	80	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	61	0.9%
5	Slightly disagree	53	0.8%
6	Disagree	115	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	30	0.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6384	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14a_2: Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	25	0.4%
2	Agree	113	1.7%
3	Slightly agree	115	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	41	0.6%
5	Slightly disagree	39	0.6%
6	Disagree	32	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	11	0.2%

r10pad14a_2: Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6384	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14a_3: Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	0.2%
2	Agree	35	0.5%
3	Slightly agree	75	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	48	0.7%
5	Slightly disagree	61	0.9%
6	Disagree	104	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	39	0.6%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6384	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14a_4: Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	85	1.3%
2	Agree	155	2.3%
3	Slightly agree	64	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	33	0.5%
5	Slightly disagree	26	0.4%
6	Disagree	9	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	4	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6384	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

# r10pad14a_4: Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians.			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad14b_1: Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (16 % immigrants in 2016)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>According to Statistics Norway, 16% of the total population of Norway consisted of immigrants in January 2016. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents).</p> <p>Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?</p>		
Literal question	Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	0.2%
2	Agree	29	0.4%
3	Slightly agree	83	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	74	1.1%
5	Slightly disagree	53	0.8%
6	Disagree	99	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6383	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad14b_2: Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway. (16 % immigrants in 2016)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>According to Statistics Norway, 16% of the total population of Norway consisted of immigrants in January 2016. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents).</p> <p>Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?</p>		
Literal question	Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	19	0.3%
2	Agree	109	1.6%
3	Slightly agree	127	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	64	0.9%
5	Slightly disagree	19	0.3%
6	Disagree	30	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	11	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6383	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r10pad14b_3: Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (16 % immigrants in 2016)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	According to Statistics Norway, 16% of the total population of Norway consisted of immigrants in January 2016. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	11	0.2%
2	Agree	20	0.3%
3	Slightly agree	81	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	59	0.9%
5	Slightly disagree	48	0.7%
6	Disagree	119	1.8%
7	Strongly disagree	41	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6383	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14b_4: Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians. (16 % immigrants in 2016)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	According to Statistics Norway, 16% of the total population of Norway consisted of immigrants in January 2016. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	100	1.5%
2	Agree	157	2.3%
3	Slightly agree	55	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.6%
5	Slightly disagree	14	0.2%
6	Disagree	6	0.1%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6383	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14c_1: Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (26 % immigrants in 2060)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r10pad14c_1: Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (26 % immigrants in 2060)			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Pre-question		According to Statistics Norway, 26% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?	
Literal question		Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	22	0.3%
2	Agree	35	0.5%
3	Slightly agree	98	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	59	0.9%
5	Slightly disagree	59	0.9%
6	Disagree	94	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	40	0.6%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6353	93.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad14c_2: Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway. (26 % immigrants in 2060)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Pre-question		According to Statistics Norway, 26% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?	
Literal question		Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	0.4%
2	Agree	119	1.8%
3	Slightly agree	131	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	63	0.9%
5	Slightly disagree	36	0.5%
6	Disagree	24	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	11	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6353	93.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad14c_3: Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (26 % immigrants in 2060)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Pre-question		According to Statistics Norway, 26% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?	

# r10pad14c_3: Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (26 % immigrants in 2060)			
Literal question	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.3%
2	Agree	28	0.4%
3	Slightly agree	86	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54	0.8%
5	Slightly disagree	60	0.9%
6	Disagree	112	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	47	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6353	93.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad14c_4: Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians. (26 % immigrants in 2060)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	According to Statistics Norway, 26% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?		
Literal question	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	114	1.7%
2	Agree	165	2.4%
3	Slightly agree	62	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	33	0.5%
5	Slightly disagree	21	0.3%
6	Disagree	12	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6353	93.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad14d_1: Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (41 % immigrants in 2060)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	According to Statistics Norway, 41% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?		
Literal question	Most immigrants abuse social welfare schemes		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=4]		

r10pad14d_1: Agree/disagree: Immigrants abuse social welfare schemes. (41 % immigrants in 2060)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	0.3%
2	Agree	35	0.5%
3	Slightly agree	105	1.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	51	0.8%
5	Slightly disagree	55	0.8%
6	Disagree	98	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	33	0.5%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6361	94.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14d_2: Agree/disagree: Immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway. (41 % immigrants in 2060)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	According to Statistics Norway, 41% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Most immigrants enrich cultural life in Norway
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	20	0.3%
2	Agree	99	1.5%
3	Slightly agree	146	2.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	66	1.0%
5	Slightly disagree	29	0.4%
6	Disagree	25	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6361	94.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14d_3: Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (41 % immigrants in 2060)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	According to Statistics Norway, 41% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Most immigrants are a source of insecurity in society
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	19	0.3%
2	Agree	29	0.4%

r10pad14d_3: Agree/disagree: Immigrants source of insecurity in society. (41 % immigrants in 2060)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Slightly agree	97	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54	0.8%
5	Slightly disagree	61	0.9%
6	Disagree	99	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	39	0.6%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6361	94.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad14d_4: Agree/disagree: Immigrants should have same opportunities to work as Norwegians. (41 % immigrants in 2060)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	According to Statistics Norway, 41% of the total population of Norway could consist of immigrants in 2060. We now have some statements about immigrants (people who immigrated of their own accord or who were born to immigrant parents). Can you indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	All immigrants in Norway should have the same opportunities to work as Norwegians.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD14_ran=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	107	1.6%
2	Agree	162	2.4%
3	Slightly agree	58	0.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	41	0.6%
5	Slightly disagree	11	0.2%
6	Disagree	11	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6361	94.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad15: What percentage of the total population do you think are immigrants in 2030.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	What percentage of the total population do you think will consist of immigrants in 2030?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Under 10%	121	1.8%
2	10-20%	624	9.2%
3	20-30%	588	8.7%
4	30-40%	146	2.2%
5	40-50%	40	0.6%
6	Over 50%	19	0.3%
97	No answer	51	0.8%

r10pad15: What percentage of the total population do you think are immigrants in 2030.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5176	76.5%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad16_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Randomly selects R10PAD16A, R10PAD16B, R10PAD16C, R10PAD16D or R10PAD16E]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1578 /-] [Invalid=15433 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Randomly selects R10PAD16A, R10PAD16B, R10PAD16C, R10PAD16D or R10PAD16E]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chooses R10PAD16A	311	19.7%
2	Chooses R10PAD16B	342	21.7%
3	Chooses R10PAD16C	308	19.5%
4	Chooses R10PAD16D	301	19.1%
5	Chooses R10PAD16E	316	20.0%
Sysmiss		15433	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad16a: Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Pension schemes.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	If you wanted to express your opinion about the regulations on pension schemes, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?
Post-question	Please choose one of the following possibilities
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD16_ran=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Organize/sign petitions regularly	26	0.4%
2	Voting in a national election	125	1.8%
3	Voting in a local or regional election	39	0.6%
4	Organize/participate in demonstrations regularly	4	0.1%
5	Contact your national representative	19	0.3%
6	Contact your local or regional representative	6	0.1%
7	Contact relevant (non-political) actors (e.g. interest groups, a company, consumer protection group, a government institution, a court etc.)	21	0.3%
8	Become a member of a political party, or become more active in your party	38	0.6%
9	I prefer not to take any action	25	0.4%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	6454	95.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad16b: Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Property taxation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]

# r10pad16b: Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Property taxation.			
Literal question	If you wanted to express your opinion about the regulations on property taxation, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?		
Post-question	Please choose one of the following possibilities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD16_ran=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Organize/sign petitions regularly	20	0.3%
2	Voting in a national election	73	1.1%
3	Voting in a local or regional election	142	2.1%
4	Organize/participate in demonstrations regularly	1	0.0%
5	Contact your national representative	7	0.1%
6	Contact your local or regional representative	11	0.2%
7	Contact relevant (non-political) actors (e.g. interest groups, a company, consumer protection group, a government institution, a court etc.)	15	0.2%
8	Become a member of a political party, or become more active in your party	28	0.4%
9	I prefer not to take any action	37	0.5%
97	No answer	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	6423	94.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad16c: Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Phone and internet services.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	If you wanted to express your opinion about the services regarding phone and internet, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?		
Post-question	Please choose one of the following possibilities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD16_ran=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Organize/sign petitions regularly	23	0.3%
2	Voting in a national election	71	1.0%
3	Voting in a local or regional election	38	0.6%
4	Organize/participate in demonstrations regularly	4	0.1%
5	Contact your national representative	9	0.1%
6	Contact your local or regional representative	9	0.1%
7	Contact relevant (non-political) actors (e.g. interest groups, a company, consumer protection group, a government institution, a court etc.)	62	0.9%
8	Become a member of a political party, or become more active in your party	29	0.4%
9	I prefer not to take any action	49	0.7%
97	No answer	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6457	95.4%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad16d: Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Organization of schooling.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		

# r10pad16d: Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: Organization of schooling.			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	If you wanted to express your opinion about the organization of schooling, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?		
Post-question	Please choose one of the following possibilities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD16_ran=4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Organize/sign petitions regularly	15	0.2%
2	Voting in a national election	72	1.1%
3	Voting in a local or regional election	77	1.1%
4	Organize/participate in demonstrations regularly	5	0.1%
5	Contact your national representative	18	0.3%
6	Contact your local or regional representative	29	0.4%
7	Contact relevant (non-political) actors (e.g. interest groups, a company, consumer protection group, a government institution, a court etc.)	19	0.3%
8	Become a member of a political party, or become more active in your party	48	0.7%
9	I prefer not to take any action	18	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6464	95.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad16e: Prefer to express opinion how in order to influence: A political issue.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	If you wanted to express your opinion about a political issue, and would want to influence the outcome on this issue, how would you prefer to do that?		
Post-question	Please choose one of the following possibilities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD16_ran=5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Organize/sign petitions regularly	18	0.3%
2	Voting in a national election	96	1.4%
3	Voting in a local or regional election	55	0.8%
4	Organize/participate in demonstrations regularly	9	0.1%
5	Contact your national representative	21	0.3%
6	Contact your local or regional representative	20	0.3%
7	Contact relevant (non-political) actors (e.g. interest groups, a company, consumer protection group, a government institution, a court etc.)	33	0.5%
8	Become a member of a political party, or become more active in your party	40	0.6%
9	I prefer not to take any action	17	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6449	95.3%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10pad17: Who is responsible for decisions about this issue [R10PAD16A/B/C/D/E]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, who is mostly responsible for decisions taken on this issue?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3 and R10PAD16_ran!=5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	National government	701	10.4%
2	Regional government	95	1.4%
3	Local government	298	4.4%
4	A related government institution	80	1.2%
5	A private company	21	0.3%
97	No answer	67	1.0%
98	Not asked	5503	81.3%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10pad18: Should Norway join the EU.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	Do you think that Norway should join the EU?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	757	11.2%
2	No	2441	36.1%
97	No answer	88	1.3%
98	Not asked	3479	51.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10pad19_valgdeltagelse: [Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Randomly selects an election turnout percentage represented by an integer between 1 and 100]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3254 /-] [Invalid=13757 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Randomly selects an election turnout percentage represented by an integer between 1 and 100]

r10pad19_jaandel: [Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Randomly selects a percentage result for the "Yes" vote represented by an integer between 1 and 100]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3254 /-] [Invalid=13757 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Randomly selects a percentage result for the "Yes" vote represented by an integer between 1 and 100]

r10pad19_neiandel: [Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Calculates the percentage result for the "No" vote (r10pad19_jaandel - 100)]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3254 /-] [Invalid=13757 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. Background variable for r10pad19. Calculates the percentage result for the "No" vote (100 - r10pad19_jaandel)]

r10pad19: Should Norway join the EU given referendum result: Participation (0-100), Yes-vote (0-100), No-vote (participation - yes-vote)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government will need in order to make important decisions on behalf of the nation. Imagine a hypothetical situation where a new debate arose about Norwegian EU membership and a consultative referendum was held about the matter. [R10PAD19_valgdeltagelse] percentage of voters voted. [R10PAD19_jaandel] percentage of those who voted voted «Yes», and [R10PAD19_neiandel] percentage voted «No».
Literal question	In such an event, do you think that Norway should join the EU?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=2 or v=3. Experiment. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	883	13.1%
2	No	2319	34.3%
97	No answer	84	1.2%
98	Not asked	3479	51.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_vbs_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. The respondents are prepared to situations. This variable randomizes the order of the situations.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=15438 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. The respondents are prepared to situations. This variable randomizes the order of the situations.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Situation 1 is asked first	778	49.5%
2	Situation 2 is asked first	795	50.5%
Sysmiss		15438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_vbs_sit1_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=15438 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text.]

r10meme_vbs_sit1_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	[NONE]	403	25.6%
1	Noor is a Norwegian citizen with an immigrant background. She	410	26.1%
2	Noor is a foreign citizen with legal residency in Norway. She	370	23.5%
3	Noor is illegally resident in Norway. She	390	24.8%
Systemmiss		15438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_vbs_sit1_question1: [Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the first situation]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=15438 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the first situation]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	[NO QUESTION ASKED]	534	33.9%
1	What she is experiencing is a violation of human rights.	499	31.7%
2	What she is experiencing is a violation of Norwegian values.	540	34.3%
Systemmiss		15438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_vbs_sit1_1: Agree/disagree with statement. [Asked if v=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	[r10meme_vbs_sit1_ran]. <On several occasions Nora/She/She/She> has experienced that Jan, one of her male colleagues, has been groping her at work. She is upset about this and has reported it to his immediate superior. However, the situation has not changed. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	[r10meme_vbs_sit1_question1]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	602	8.9%
2	Agree	282	4.2%
3	Agree somewhat	47	0.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	40	0.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	14	0.2%
6	Disagree	20	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	13	0.2%
97	No answer	21	0.3%
98	Not asked	5726	84.6%
Systemmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_vbs_sit1_2: Agree/disagree: She should receive compensation from the authorities[Asked if v=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]

# r10meme_vbs_sit1_2: Agree/disagree: She should receive compensation from the authorities[Asked if v=3.]			
Pre-question	[r10meme_vbs_sit1_ran]. <On several occasions Nora/She/She/She> has experienced that Jan, one of her male colleagues, has been groping her at work. She is upset about this and has reported it to his immediate superior. However, the situation has not changed. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Hun bør motta kompensasjon fra det offentlige.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	100	1.5%
2	Agree	140	2.1%
3	Agree somewhat	138	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	315	4.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	139	2.1%
6	Disagree	388	5.7%
7	Strongly disagree	270	4.0%
97	No answer	83	1.2%
98	Not asked	5192	76.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme_vbs_sit1_comment: Comment to R10MEME_VBS_1 [Asked if v=3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r10meme_vbs_sit2_ran: [Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=15438 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen text that are merged into the question text]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	[NONE]	392	24.9%
1	Sumaya is a Norwegian citizen with an immigrant background.	410	26.1%
2	Sumaya is a foreign citizen with legal residency in Norway.	364	23.1%
3	Sumaya is illegally resident in Norway.	407	25.9%
Sysmiss		15438	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme_vbs_sit2_question1: [Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the second situation]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=15438 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the second situation]		

r10meme_vbs_sit2_question1: [Randomizes if v=3. Background variable. Stores randomly chosen question that is asked to the respondent in the second situation]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	[NO QUESTION ASKED]	520	33.1%
1	What she is experiencing is a violation of human rights.	534	33.9%
2	What she is experiencing is a violation of Norwegian values.	519	33.0%
Sysmiss		15438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_vbs_sit2_1: Agree/disagree with statement. [Asked if v=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	[r10meme_vbs_sit2_ran]. <Synne/She/She/She> has a form of diabetes that can only be treated by expensive experimental drugs, but she is unable to obtain these from the public health service and she has neither private health insurance nor can she afford to pay for this treatment. A lack of treatment could result in blindness and other serious complications. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement
Literal question	[r10meme_vbs_sit2_question1]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	154	2.3%
2	Agree	224	3.3%
3	Agree somewhat	178	2.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	203	3.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	81	1.2%
6	Disagree	117	1.7%
7	Strongly disagree	57	0.8%
97	No answer	39	0.6%
98	Not asked	5712	84.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_vbs_sit2_2: Agree/disagree: public health should offer treatment [Asked if v=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	[r10meme_vbs_sit2_ran]. <Synne/She/She/She> has a form of diabetes that can only be treated by expensive experimental drugs, but she is unable to obtain these from the public health service and she has neither private health insurance nor can she afford to pay for this treatment. A lack of treatment could result in blindness and other serious complications. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement
Literal question	The public health service should offer the treatment.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	334	4.9%
2	Agree	472	7.0%
3	Agree somewhat	288	4.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	198	2.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	103	1.5%
6	Disagree	102	1.5%

# r10meme_vbs_sit2_2: Agree/disagree: public health should offer treatment [Asked if v=3.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	54	0.8%
97	No answer	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	5192	76.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme_vbs_sit2_comment: Comment to R10MEME_VBS_2 [Asked if v=3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r10dvby2: Safety in local area last couple of years.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	In the last couple of years would you say your local area has become safer, more unsafe or has there been no change to safety?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Safer	126	1.9%
2	More unsafe	513	7.6%
3	No change to safety	1852	27.4%
97	No answer	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme_eksp_rep_ran: [Randomizes if v=4.Randomly selects r10meme_eksp_rep_a, r10meme_eksp_rep_b, r10meme_eksp_rep_c, r10meme_eksp_rep_d] [Randomiser hvis v=4.Velger tilfeldig r10meme_eksp_rep_a, r10meme_eksp_rep_b, r10meme_eksp_rep_c, r10meme_eksp_rep_d]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1687 /-] [Invalid=15324 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4.Randomly selects r10meme_eksp_rep_a, r10meme_eksp_rep_b, r10meme_eksp_rep_c, r10meme_eksp_rep_d]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chooses r10meme_eksp_rep_a	426	25.3%
2	Chooses r10meme_eksp_rep_b	410	24.3%
3	Chooses r10meme_eksp_rep_c	414	24.5%
4	Chooses r10meme_eksp_rep_d	437	25.9%
Sysmiss		15324	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme_eksp_rep_a: How likely to vote for a party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: Started as rural organisation.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

r10meme_eksp_rep_a: How likely to vote for a party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: Started as rural organisation.

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine a political party in Norway. The party started out as an organisation that fought for residents outside Oslo. They believe that immigration poses a serious threat to Norwegian culture.
Literal question	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme_eksp_rep_ran=1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	20	0.3%
2	Likely	32	0.5%
3	Somewhat likely	69	1.0%
4	Not very likely	146	2.2%
5	Not at all likely	155	2.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6339	93.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_eksp_rep_b: How likely to vote for party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: Previously part of right wing alliance.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine a political party in Norway. The party was previously part of a right-wing alliance. They believe that immigration poses a serious threat to Norwegian culture.
Literal question	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme_eksp_rep_ran=2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	3	0.0%
2	Likely	24	0.4%
3	Somewhat likely	47	0.7%
4	Not very likely	119	1.8%
5	Not at all likely	215	3.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6355	93.9%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_eksp_rep_c: How likely to vote for party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: This is party's cause.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine a political party in Norway. They believe that immigration poses a serious threat to Norwegian culture. This is the party's cause.
Literal question	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme_eksp_rep_ran=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	26	0.4%

r10meme_eksp_rep_c: How likely to vote for party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: This is party's cause.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Likely	35	0.5%
3	Somewhat likely	64	0.9%
4	Not very likely	132	2.0%
5	Not at all likely	155	2.3%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6351	93.9%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_eksp_rep_d: How likely to vote for party believing immigration poses threat to Norwegian culture: Party's cause is fight against EU.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine a political party in Norway. They believe that immigration poses a serious threat to Norwegian culture. The party's cause is the fight against the EU.
Literal question	How likely is it that you would ever vote for such a party?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme_eksp_rep_ran=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	28	0.4%
2	Likely	36	0.5%
3	Somewhat likely	70	1.0%
4	Not very likely	158	2.3%
5	Not at all likely	142	2.1%
97	No answer	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6328	93.5%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_eksp_rep2: Friends and family think voting for this party is alright [R10MEME_EKSP_REP_A/B/C/D].

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	Do you think most of your closest friends and family think that it is alright to vote for such a party?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	497	7.3%
2	No	1159	17.1%
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme_eksp_rep3: Does the party remind you of a party in Norway [R10MEME_EKSP_REP_A/B/C/D].

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	Do you think that this party reminded you of a political party in Norway?

# r10meme_eksp_rep3: Does the party remind you of a party in Norway [R10MEME_EKSP_REP_A/B/C/D].			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	906	13.4%
2	No	690	10.2%
97	No answer	94	1.4%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme_eksp_rep3_b: Which party in Norway does it remind you of [R10MEME_EKSP_REP_A/B/C/D].			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	If so, which party?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme_eksp_rep3=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	8	0.1%
2	The Conservative Party	9	0.1%
3	The Progress Party	771	11.4%
4	The Liberal Party	5	0.1%
5	The Socialist Left Party	5	0.1%
6	The Centre Party	37	0.5%
7	The Green Party	1	0.0%
8	The Labour Party	6	0.1%
9	The Red Party	8	0.1%
10	Other:	36	0.5%
97	No answer	20	0.3%
98	Not asked	5859	86.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme_eksp_rep3_b_10_other: Other: [R10MEME_EKSP_REP3_B] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Literal question	If so, which party?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r10meme9: Easier/more difficult to grant refugees/asylum seekers residence in Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you stand on granting refugees and asylum seekers residence in Norway today?		
Literal question	Should it be ...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much easier than today	29	0.4%
2	Easier than today	213	3.1%

r10meme9: Easier/more difficult to grant refugees/asylum seekers residence in Norway.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Slightly easier than today	356	5.3%
4	Same as today	554	8.2%
5	Slightly more difficult than today	266	3.9%
6	More difficult than today	146	2.2%
7	Much more difficult than today	103	1.5%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dvby9: Refugees living in local community.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	In recent times, many refugees have been settled in various different local communities
Literal question	As far as you know, are there refugees living in your local community?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1012	15.0%
2	No	285	4.2%
3	Don't know	368	5.4%
97	No answer	25	0.4%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dvby6: Contact with asylum seekers.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know how much contact you have had with asylum seekers.
Literal question	Would you say that you have had...
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	434	6.4%
2	Very little contact	519	7.7%
3	A little contact	401	5.9%
4	Some contact	182	2.7%
5	Quite a bit of contact	69	1.0%
6	A lot of contact	45	0.7%
7	Quite a lot of contact	23	0.3%
97	No answer	17	0.3%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dvby7: Negative or positive contact with asylum seekers.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r10dvby7: Negative or positive contact with asylum seekers.			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Literal question		In general, to what degree have you found the contact you have had to be negative or positive?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=4 and r10dvby6!=1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exclusively negative	14	0.2%
2	Mainly negative	34	0.5%
3	Slightly more negative than positive	54	0.8%
4	A mixture of negative and positive	256	3.8%
5	Slightly more positive than negative	193	2.9%
6	Mainly positive	467	6.9%
7	Exclusively positive	186	2.7%
97	No answer	52	0.8%
98	Not asked	5509	81.4%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme10: Number of friends, family members with asylum seeker/refugee friends.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Literal question		Among the people who you know well (e.g. family members, friends, neighbours), how many of them, to your knowledge, have one or more friends who are asylum seekers/refugees?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of them	731	10.8%
2	One	221	3.3%
3	Two	240	3.5%
4	Three	164	2.4%
5	Four	84	1.2%
6	Five	37	0.5%
7	Six or more	140	2.1%
97	No answer	73	1.1%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme11: Number of friends, family members with bad experiences with asylum seekers/refugees.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Literal question		Among the people who you know well (e.g. family members, friends, neighbours), how many of them, to your knowledge, have had a disagreement, argument or unpleasant experience with one or more asylum seekers/refugees?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if v=4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of them	1221	18.0%
2	One	152	2.2%
3	Two	86	1.3%
4	Three	54	0.8%

# r10meme11: Number of friends, family members with bad experiences with asylum seekers/refugees.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Four	18	0.3%
6	Five	6	0.1%
7	Six or more	51	0.8%
97	No answer	102	1.5%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10dvby11: Has imagined what it feels like to come to Norway as asylum seeker/refugee.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	To what degree have you thought about and imagined what it feels like to come to Norway as an asylum seeker/refugee?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very high degree	149	2.2%
2	A high degree	466	6.9%
3	Some degree	755	11.2%
4	A low degree	223	3.3%
5	None at all	60	0.9%
97	No answer	37	0.5%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10mi9_ran: [Randomizes if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_1. Randomly selects [wear hijab/wear a visible cross necklace/be allowed to wear hijab/be allowed to wear a visible cross necklace/be free to wear hijab/be free to wear visible cross necklace]]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1673 /-] [Invalid=15338 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_1. Randomly selects [wear hijab/wear a visible cross necklace/be allowed to wear hijab/be allowed to wear a visible cross necklace/be free to wear hijab/be free to wear visible cross necklace]]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	wear a hijab	312	18.6%
2	wear a visible cross	289	17.3%
3	be allowed to wear a hijab	271	16.2%
4	be allowed to wear a visible cross	263	15.7%
5	be free to wear a hijab	263	15.7%
6	be free to wear a visible cross	275	16.4%
Sysmiss		15338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10mi9_1: Agree/disagree: Volunteers at polling stations should [wear a (hijab/visible cross)/be allowed to wear a (hijab/visible cross)/be free to wear a (hijab/visible cross)] if they usually do.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		

r10mi9_1: Agree/disagree: Volunteers at polling stations should [wear a (hijab/visible cross)/be allowed to wear a (hijab/visible cross)/be free to wear a (hijab/visible cross)] if they usually do.

Literal question To what extent do you agree or disagree that volunteers who are present at polling stations during parliamentary elections should [wear a hijab/wear a visible cross/be allowed to wear a hijab/be allowed to wear a visible cross/be free to wear a hijab/be free to wear a visible cross] if that is something they usually do?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.Experiment. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	426	6.3%
2	Agree	432	6.4%
3	Agree somewhat	119	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	316	4.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	63	0.9%
6	Disagree	134	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	174	2.6%
97	No answer	26	0.4%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10mi9_2_responsible: [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_2. Randomly selects [should take greater responsibility/have a responsibility/should take less responsibility]]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1669 /-] [Invalid=15342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_2. Randomly selects [should take greater responsibility/have a responsibility/should take less responsibility]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	should take greater responsibility	559	33.5%
2	have a responsibility	561	33.6%
3	should take less responsibility	549	32.9%
Sysmiss		15342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10mi9_2_practice: [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_2. Randomly selects [practice/preserve]]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1669 /-] [Invalid=15342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_2. Randomly selects [practice/preserve]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	practice	879	52.7%
2	preserve	790	47.3%
Sysmiss		15342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10mi9_2: Agree/disagree: Norwegian authorities [should take greater/have a/should take less] responsibility for muslims' ability to [practice/preserve] their religion.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]

Pre-question To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

Literal question The Norwegian authorities <should take greater responsibility/have a responsibility/should take less responsibility> to ensure that the Muslim minority population can <practice/preserve> their religion.

r10mi9_2: Agree/disagree: Norwegian authorities [should take greater/have a/should take less] responsibility for muslims' ability to [practice/preserve] their religion.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.Experiment. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	147	2.2%
2	Agree	263	3.9%
3	Agree somewhat	259	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	343	5.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	196	2.9%
6	Disagree	217	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	205	3.0%
97	No answer	60	0.9%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10mi9_3_preach: [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_3. Randomly selects [explain/proclaim]]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1669 /-] [Invalid=15342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_3. Randomly selects [explain/proclaim]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	explain	825	49.4%
2	proclaim	844	50.6%
Sysmiss		15342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10mi9_3_values: [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_3. Randomly selects [Islamic values/conservative ideas about women's position in Islam]]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1669 /-] [Invalid=15342 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.Background variable for r10mi9_3. Randomly selects [Islamic values/conservative ideas about women's position in Islam]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Islamic values	832	49.9%
2	conservative notions about the position of women in Islam	837	50.1%
Sysmiss		15342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10mi9_3: Agree/disagree. Norwegian muslims should be allowed to hold events to [explain/proclaim] [Islamic values/conservative notions about the position of women in Islam].

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]

Pre-question Some Norwegian Muslims have asked for permission to hold a public event to <explain/proclaim> < Islamic values/ conservative notions about the position of women in Islam >.

Literal question To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be allowed to hold such an event?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.Experiment. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	154	2.3%

r10mi9_3: Agree/disagree. Norwegian muslims should be allowed to hold events to [explain/proclaim] [Islamic values/conservative notions about the position of women in Islam].

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	354	5.2%
3	Agree somewhat	252	3.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	253	3.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	152	2.2%
6	Disagree	194	2.9%
7	Strongly disagree	286	4.2%
97	No answer	45	0.7%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme20_1: Agree/disagree: Christian values should be more visible in Norwegian public sphere.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	Christian values should have a more visible role in the Norwegian public sphere than is currently the case.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	202	3.0%
2	Agree	249	3.7%
3	Slightly agree	257	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	362	5.4%
5	Slightly disagree	129	1.9%
6	Disagree	252	3.7%
7	Strongly disagree	194	2.9%
97	No answer	45	0.7%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme20_2: Agree/disagree: Religious authorities should have less influence over people's lives than now.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	Religious authorities should have less influence over people's lives than they have today.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	502	7.4%
2	Agree	477	7.1%
3	Slightly agree	269	4.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	300	4.4%
5	Slightly disagree	53	0.8%
6	Disagree	37	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	18	0.3%

# r10meme20_2: Agree/disagree: Religious authorities should have less influence over people's lives than now.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme20_3: Agree/disagree: Religion is ultimately just superstition.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		
Literal question	Religion is ultimately just superstition		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	317	4.7%
2	Agree	217	3.2%
3	Slightly agree	169	2.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	340	5.0%
5	Slightly disagree	143	2.1%
6	Disagree	246	3.6%
7	Strongly disagree	212	3.1%
97	No answer	46	0.7%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10dv29: Agree/disagree: Begging should be banned in Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	Begging should be banned in Norway.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	599	8.9%
2	Agree	541	8.0%
3	Agree somewhat	477	7.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	271	4.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	206	3.0%
6	Disagree	239	3.5%
7	Strongly disagree	134	2.0%
97	No answer	57	0.8%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10dv31: Agree/disagree: Diversity of religion and beliefs is good for a country.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:		
Literal question	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	279	4.1%
2	Agree	668	9.9%
3	Agree somewhat	546	8.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	351	5.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	217	3.2%
6	Disagree	224	3.3%
7	Strongly disagree	148	2.2%
97	No answer	91	1.3%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10dvby3: Fear of a new terrorist attack in Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	126	1.9%
2	Slightly	745	11.0%
3	Somewhat	1058	15.6%
4	To a great extent	407	6.0%
5	To a very great extent	136	2.0%
97	No answer	52	0.8%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10dv5: How great advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants settle here.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	80	1.2%

r10dv5: How great advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants settle here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Great advantage	425	6.3%
3	Slightly advantageous	909	13.4%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	405	6.0%
5	A slight disadvantage	408	6.0%
6	A great disadvantage	148	2.2%
7	Very great disadvantage	89	1.3%
97	No answer	60	0.9%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dv6: How important is immigration policy to you.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	292	4.3%
2	Important	927	13.7%
3	Somewhat important	960	14.2%
4	Slightly important	264	3.9%
5	Not important at all	33	0.5%
97	No answer	48	0.7%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dv7: Which party has the best immigration policy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	369	5.5%
2	The Progress Party	610	9.0%
3	The Conservative Party	394	5.8%
4	The Socialist Left Party	299	4.4%
5	The Centre Party	85	1.3%
6	The Christian Democrats	151	2.2%
7	The Liberal Party	101	1.5%
8	The Red Party	57	0.8%
9	The Green Party	15	0.2%

r10dv7: Which party has the best immigration policy.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Other, please specify	26	0.4%
11	None	314	4.6%
97	No answer	103	1.5%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dv7_10_other: Other: [R10DV7] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Literal question	In your opinion, which political party has the best immigration policy?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r10mi4_ran: [Randomizes if v=4.Randomly selects R10MI4_a, R10MI4_b, R10MI4_c or R10MI4_d]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1664 /-] [Invalid=15347 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4.Randomly selects R10MI4_a, R10MI4_b, R10MI4_c or R10MI4_d]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chooses R10MI4_a	457	27.5%
2	Chooses R10MI4_b	392	23.6%
3	Chooses R10MI4_c	382	23.0%
4	Chooses R10MI4_d	433	26.0%
Sysmiss		15347	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10mi4_a: Trust in muslim extremists when saying they wish to be part of our society.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim extremists when they say that they wish to be part of our society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R10MI4_ran=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	0	
2	Trust	6	0.1%
3	Somewhat trust	23	0.3%
4	Neither trust nor distrust	41	0.6%
5	Somewhat mistrust	64	0.9%
6	Mistrust	144	2.1%
7	Strongly mistrust	175	2.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6308	93.2%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10mi4_b: Trust in muslim religious leaders when saying they wish to be part of our society.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim religious leaders when they say that they wish to be part of our society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R10MI4_ran=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	5	0.1%
2	Trust	28	0.4%
3	Somewhat trust	86	1.3%
4	Neither trust nor distrust	62	0.9%
5	Somewhat mistrust	90	1.3%
6	Mistrust	74	1.1%
7	Strongly mistrust	46	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6373	94.2%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10mi4_c: Trust in muslim local politicians when saying they wish to be part of our society.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslim local politicians when they say that they wish to be part of our society?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R10MI4_ran=3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	9	0.1%
2	Trust	101	1.5%
3	Somewhat trust	93	1.4%
4	Neither trust nor distrust	71	1.0%

r10mi4_c: Trust in muslim local politicians when saying they wish to be part of our society.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat mistrust	52	0.8%
6	Mistrust	29	0.4%
7	Strongly mistrust	27	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	6383	94.4%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10mi4_d: Trust in muslims when saying they wish to be part of our society.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	How much do you trust or mistrust Muslims when they say that they wish to be part of our society?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and R10MI4_ran=4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly trust	13	0.2%
2	Trust	116	1.7%
3	Somewhat trust	118	1.7%
4	Neither trust nor distrust	74	1.1%
5	Somewhat mistrust	53	0.8%
6	Mistrust	31	0.5%
7	Strongly mistrust	27	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6332	93.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dv35_1: Fitting description: Important to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	274	4.1%
2	Good	631	9.3%
3	Somewhat good	344	5.1%
4	Neither good nor bad	285	4.2%
5	Somewhat bad	52	0.8%
6	Bad	41	0.6%
7	Very bad	13	0.2%
97	No answer	50	0.7%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r10dv35_2: Fitting description: I do not want to appear racist, even to myself.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	399	5.9%
2	Good	601	8.9%
3	Somewhat good	235	3.5%
4	Neither good nor bad	282	4.2%
5	Somewhat bad	55	0.8%
6	Bad	41	0.6%
7	Very bad	16	0.2%
97	No answer	61	0.9%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10dv35_3: Fitting description: I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	86	1.3%
2	Good	304	4.5%
3	Somewhat good	272	4.0%
4	Neither good nor bad	475	7.0%
5	Somewhat bad	179	2.6%
6	Bad	197	2.9%
7	Very bad	113	1.7%
97	No answer	64	0.9%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10dv35_4: Fitting description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrant due to my convictions.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	209	3.1%

r10dv35_4: Fitting description: I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrant due to my convictions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Good	577	8.5%
3	Somewhat good	317	4.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	373	5.5%
5	Somewhat bad	62	0.9%
6	Bad	57	0.8%
7	Very bad	30	0.4%
97	No answer	65	1.0%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10dv39: How often do you read, talk, think about something related to 22nd july attacks.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	It's now been more than six years since the attacks of 22 July 2011.
Literal question	When it comes to you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4. This description is valid for the following variables: [r10dv29, r10dv31, r10dv5, r10dv6, r10dv7, r10dv39, r10dvby2, r10dvby3, r10dv7_10_other]: These questions are asked in two different locations in the survey. Where v=4 they are asked after r10meme20 and where panelMEME=1 they are asked at the end of the survey]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	13	0.2%
2	Weekly	146	2.2%
3	A couple of times a month	551	8.1%
4	Less often, but it happens	1533	22.7%
5	Never	219	3.2%
97	No answer	62	0.9%
98	Not asked	4241	62.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme15eks_by1a_ran: [Randomizes if v=4. Randomly selects r10meme15eks_By1a, r10meme15eks_By1b or r10meme15eks_By1c]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1664 /-] [Invalid=15347 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if v=4. Randomly selects r10meme15eks_By1a, r10meme15eks_By1b or r10meme15eks_By1c]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chooses r10meme15eks_By1a	569	34.2%
2	Chooses r10meme15eks_By1b	563	33.8%
3	Chooses r10meme15eks_By1c	532	32.0%
Sysmiss		15347	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme15eks_by1a: Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]

# r10meme15eks_by1a: Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today.			
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should give asylum seekers who live in reception centres more or less money to support themselves than is currently the case?		
Post-question	I think the amount should be:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme15eks_By1a_ran=1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much higher	5	0.1%
2	Higher	33	0.5%
3	Slightly higher	86	1.3%
4	Same as today	306	4.5%
5	Slightly lower	50	0.7%
6	Lower	23	0.3%
7	Much lower	28	0.4%
97	No answer	38	0.6%
98	Not asked	6196	91.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme15eks_by1b: Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today. (buy and make own food, 2404 nok w/o income/savings)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Asylum seekers who live in reception centres where they buy and store their own food received up to NOK 2,404 per month from the Norwegian authorities if they do not have their own income or savings.		
Literal question	Do you think the Norwegian authorities should give asylum seekers who live in reception centres more or less money to support themselves than is currently the case?		
Post-question	I think the amount should be:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme15eks_By1a_ran=2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much higher	6	0.1%
2	Higher	61	0.9%
3	Slightly higher	153	2.3%
4	Same as today	278	4.1%
5	Slightly lower	23	0.3%
6	Lower	9	0.1%
7	Much lower	21	0.3%
97	No answer	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	6202	91.7%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10meme15eks_by1c: Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today. (buy and make own food, 2404 nok w/o income/savings, organisations say it's too little)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Asylum seekers who live in reception centres where they buy and store their own food received up to NOK 2,404 per month from the Norwegian authorities if they do not have their own income or savings. Organisations working for refugee rights report that this is not enough for many of them to buy food, clothing and essential medicines.		

r10meme15eks_by1c: Asylum seekers in reception centres should get more/less money than today. (buy and make own food, 2404 nok w/o income/savings, organisations say it's too little)

Literal question Do you think the Norwegian authorities should give asylum seekers who live in reception centres more or less money to support themselves than is currently the case?

Post-question I think the amount should be:

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4 and r10meme15eks_By1a_ran=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much higher	18	0.3%
2	Higher	90	1.3%
3	Slightly higher	175	2.6%
4	Same as today	210	3.1%
5	Slightly lower	13	0.2%
6	Lower	10	0.1%
7	Much lower	12	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6233	92.1%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme15eks_by2_keep: Prize money: Keep myself.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]

Pre-question As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you now have an opportunity to support the work of a voluntary organisation which is designed to improve the conditions of people who struggle in Norway. You could do this by donating all or part of the NOK 25,000 prize that you could win for this round.

We would like remind you that any information that you give to the Norwegian Citizen Panel is subject to confidentiality. If you win, we will respect your choice and we will treat it in the strictest confidence.

Literal question What would you like to do if you win the prize for this round:

Post-question Keep the prize for myself

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if v=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		660	9.8%
1	Keep the prize for myself	1003	14.8%
97	No answer	27	0.4%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10meme15eks_by2_give_1: Prize money: Donate to Red Cross' work with refugees and asylum seekers in Norway.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=413 /-] [Invalid=16598 /-] [Mean=10069.262 /-] [StdDev=8212.651 /-]

Pre-question As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you now have an opportunity to support the work of a voluntary organisation which is designed to improve the conditions of people who struggle in Norway. You could do this by donating all or part of the NOK 25,000 prize that you could win for this round.

We would like remind you that any information that you give to the Norwegian Citizen Panel is subject to confidentiality. If you win, we will respect your choice and we will treat it in the strictest confidence.
I would like to donate ...

Literal question What would you like to do if you win the prize for this round:

Post-question I would like to donate ...

# r10meme15eks_by2_give_1: Prize money: Donate to Red Cross' work with refugees and asylum seekers in Norway.			
	to the Red Cross for its work on refugees and asylum seekers in Norway		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme15eks_by2_keep is not answered]		
# r10meme15eks_by2_give_2: Prize Money: Donate to Red Cross' work in Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=481 /-] [Invalid=16530 /-] [Mean=11077.391 /-] [StdDev=8584.115 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>As a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel you now have an opportunity to support the work of a voluntary organisation which is designed to improve the conditions of people who struggle in Norway. You could do this by donating all or part of the NOK 25,000 prize that you could win for this round.</p> <p>We would like remind you that any information that you give to the Norwegian Citizen Panel is subject to confidentiality. If you win, we will respect your choice and we will treat it in the strictest confidence.</p> <p>I would like to donate ...</p>		
Literal question	What would you like to do if you win the prize for this round:		
Post-question	<p>I would like to donate ...</p> <p>to the Red Cross for its work in Norway in general</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4 and r10meme15eks_by2_keep is not answered]		
# r10pad20: Confidence that bureaucrats make decisions in line with regulations.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Every day decisions which affect individuals are made by bureaucrats on behalf of the authorities. These could be decisions about the provision of financial support under a welfare scheme, how much tax one should pay, or other issues.		
Literal question	How much confidence do you have about whether or not the people who make such decisions do so in line with the regulations that they are supposed to comply with?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	71	1.0%
2	High confidence	624	9.2%
3	Some confidence	727	10.7%
4	Low confidence	199	2.9%
5	No confidence at all	34	0.5%
97	No answer	35	0.5%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad21: Confidence that automatic decision-making processes make decisions in line with regulations.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Given that the authorities are switching over to automatic processing of cases which have to date been processed by bureaucrats,		
Literal question	how much confidence do you have about whether or not automatic decision-making processes will make decisions in line with the regulations that they are supposed to comply with?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if v=4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	49	0.7%
2	High confidence	354	5.2%

# r10pad21: Confidence that automatic decision-making processes make decisions in line with regulations.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Some confidence	663	9.8%
4	Low confidence	466	6.9%
5	No confidence at all	86	1.3%
97	No answer	72	1.1%
98	Not asked	5075	75.0%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km60_ran: [Randomizes if panelREST=1. Background variable for r10km60. Randomly selects the orientation of the answer scale [1-4/4-1]]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1507 /-] [Invalid=15504 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if panelREST=1. Background variable for r10km60. Randomly selects the orientation of the answer scale [1-4/4-1]]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Scale orientation 1-4: Everything has gone too far - It is not that bad	759	50.4%
2	Scale orientation 4-1: It is not that bad - Everything has gone too far	748	49.6%
Sysmiss		15504	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10km60: Closest to own opinion: Views about pollution and environmental problems.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	Here are four views about pollution and environmental problems.		
Literal question	Which one is closest to your own opinion?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelREST=1.Orientation of answer scale randomized. See background variable.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything has gone too far. It is too late to do anything. We are heading for a disaster.	35	0.5%
2	The situation is serious. Immediate and drastic measures are required in order to solve these problems.	666	9.8%
3	With patience and perseverance we will, in the long term, succeed in reversing the environmental degradation trend.	615	9.1%
4	It is not that bad. It is easy to exaggerate the seriousness of the situation.	174	2.6%
97	No answer	51	0.8%
98	Not asked	5224	77.2%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10skandale_gender: [Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly selects a gender [He/She]]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1493 /-] [Invalid=15518 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelREST=2.Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly selects a gender [He/she]]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	He	725	48.6%

r10skandale_gender: [Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly selects a gender [He/She]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	She	768	51.4%
Sysmiss		15518	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10skandale_party: [Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly selects a political party [Progress party/Labour Party/Liberal party]]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1493 /-] [Invalid=15518 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly selects a political party [Progress party/Labour Party/Liberal party]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progress Party	513	34.4%
2	Labour Party	464	31.1%
3	Liberal Party	516	34.6%
Sysmiss		15518	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10skandale_treatment: [Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly determines whether or not the respondents should receive the "treatment" ["The said politician becomes involved in a scandal. It appears that [he/she] has been paying both cleaning services and various crafts services illicitly over a long period of time"] or the "control" [blank]]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1493 /-] [Invalid=15518 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelREST=2. Background variable for r10skandale. Randomly determines whether or not the respondents should receive the "treatment" ["The said politician becomes involved in a scandal. It appears that [he/she] has been paying both cleaning services and various crafts services illicitly over a long period of time"] or the "control" [blank]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The aforementioned politician becomes involved in a scandal. It emerges that [r10skandale_gender] has been paying both cleaning services and various crafts services illicitly over a long period of time	732	49.0%
2	BLANK	761	51.0%
Sysmiss		15518	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10skandale: Confidence in politician, scandal.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine a politician. [He/she] is 36 years old, and has been a full-time politician for his/her entire adult life. [He/she] is a permanent Member of Parliament for the [Progress Party/Labour Party/Liberal Party], sits on the Finance Committee and holds a number of important positions of trust in the party. [The aforementioned politician becomes involved in a scandal. It emerges that [he/she] has been paying for both cleaning services and services provided by craftsmen without declaring such for tax purposes over a long period of time. /BLANK]
Literal question	How high would you say your confidence is in this politician?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelREST=2. Experiment. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	16	0.2%

r10skandale: Confidence in politician, scandal.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	High confidence	232	3.4%
3	Some confidence	419	6.2%
4	Low confidence	543	8.0%
5	No confidence at all	274	4.1%
97	No answer	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	5258	77.7%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10km5_1: Agree/disagree: Technological innovation will solve climate challenges.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Technological innovations will solve our climate problems.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelKM=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	39	0.6%
2	Agree	154	2.3%
3	Slightly agree	427	6.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	158	2.3%
5	Slightly disagree	119	1.8%
6	Disagree	101	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.3%
97	No answer	47	0.7%
98	Not asked	5697	84.2%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10km5_2: Agree/disagree: Inhabitants of rich countries should reduce consumption to help solve climate challenges.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	Consider the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	The inhabitants of rich countries such as Norway should reduce their consumption in order to help solve our climate challenges.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelKM=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	178	2.6%
2	Agree	326	4.8%
3	Slightly agree	305	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	108	1.6%
5	Slightly disagree	54	0.8%
6	Disagree	43	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.3%
97	No answer	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	5697	84.2%

# r10km5_2: Agree/disagree: Inhabitants of rich countries should reduce consumption to help solve climate challenges.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1: Opinion on begging ban in your municipality.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	We now have a few questions about the ban on begging. Some municipalities are talking about imposing a ban on begging within their municipal boundaries.		
Literal question	What is your opinion about a begging ban in your municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I generally support imposing a ban on begging in my municipality	840	12.4%
2	I am generally against imposing a ban on begging in my municipality	417	6.2%
97	No answer	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	5475	80.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad2: How important is a begging ban for you.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	How important is the question about imposing a ban on begging for you personally?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	88	1.3%
2	Important	236	3.5%
3	Fairly important	418	6.2%
4	Not very important	444	6.6%
5	Not at all important	65	1.0%
97	No answer	39	0.6%
98	Not asked	5475	80.9%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad3_mobil: [Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Detects whether or not the respondent is using a mobile device]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1279 /-] [Invalid=15732 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Detects whether or not the respondent is using a mobile device]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	other	933	72.9%
1	mobile	346	27.1%
Sysmiss		15732	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10pad3a_ran: [Randomizes if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Respondents who chose option 2 in r10pad1 gets one of the 5 r10pad3B variants, randomly selected. Respondents who got r10pad1 but skipped the question gets randomly assigned one of the 10 r10pad3A/r10pad3B variants]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=853 /-] [Invalid=16158 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Respondents who chose option 1 in r10pad1 gets one of the 5 r10pad3A variants, randomly selected. Respondents who got r10pad1 but skipped the question gets randomly assigned one of the 10 r10pad3A/r10pad3B variants]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondenten får R10PAD3A1	160	18.8%
2	Respondenten får R10PAD3A2	181	21.2%
3	Respondenten får R10PAD3A3	191	22.4%
4	Respondenten får R10PAD3A4	169	19.8%
5	Respondenten får R10PAD3A5	152	17.8%
Sysmiss		16158	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad3b_ran: [Randomizes if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Respondents who chose option 2 in r10pad1 gets one of the 5 r10pad3B variants, randomly selected. Respondents who got r10pad1 but skipped the question gets randomly assigned one of the 10 r10pad3A/r10pad3B variants]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=16585 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Respondents who chose option 2 in r10pad1 gets one of the 5 r10pad3B variants, randomly selected. Respondents who got r10pad1 but skipped the question gets randomly assigned one of the 10 r10pad3A/r10pad3B variants]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondenten får R10PAD3B1	81	19.0%
2	Respondenten får R10PAD3B2	89	20.9%
3	Respondenten får R10PAD3B3	90	21.1%
4	Respondenten får R10PAD3B4	74	17.4%
5	Respondenten får R10PAD3B5	92	21.6%
Sysmiss		16585	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad3ended: [Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video ended"]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=789 /-] [Invalid=16222 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video ended"]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		688	87.2%
2		81	10.3%
3		19	2.4%
4		1	0.1%
Sysmiss		16222	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad3error: [Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video error"]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		

r10pad3error: [Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video error"]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19 /-] [Invalid=16992 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video error"]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		17	89.5%
2		2	10.5%
Sysmiss		16992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad3paused: [Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video paused"]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=693 /-] [Invalid=16318 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video paused"]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		526	75.9%
2		92	13.3%
3		36	5.2%
4		21	3.0%
5		7	1.0%
6		5	0.7%
7		3	0.4%
10		1	0.1%
11		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
Sysmiss		16318	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad3played: [Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video played"]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=833 /-] [Invalid=16178 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Counter for the event "video played"]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		603	72.4%
2		126	15.1%
3		51	6.1%
4		26	3.1%
5		11	1.3%
6		6	0.7%
7		3	0.4%
8		3	0.4%
11		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
20		2	0.2%
Sysmiss		16178	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad3_timestep: [Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Calculated time spent in the video node]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1274 /-] [Invalid=15737 /-] [StdDev=35767.617 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1. Background variable for r10pad3 (video). Calculated time spent in the video node]

r10pad4: What was the recording like?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Literal question	First, what was the video recording like?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I had both sound and images	1007	14.9%
2	I had sound, but no images	8	0.1%
3	I had images, but no sound	132	2.0%
4	I had neither sound nor images	92	1.4%
5	Something else prevented me from playing the video	24	0.4%
97	No answer	27	0.4%
98	Not asked	5475	80.9%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad4_comment: Comments about the recording. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Literal question	Any comments about the recording:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r10pad5: How fair was the way the decision was made.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]
Pre-question	The politicians have now made a decision about the begging issue.
Literal question	What do you think about the way the decision was made?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]




Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very fair	206	3.0%
2	Fair	714	10.6%
3	Quite fair	165	2.4%
4	Not very fair	40	0.6%
5	Not at all fair	17	0.3%
97	No answer	32	0.5%
98	Not asked	5591	82.6%
Sysmiss		10246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r10pad6: How willing are you to accept the outcome of the decision.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r10pad6: How willing are you to accept the outcome of the decision.			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	When you think about the actual outcome of the decision, how willing are you to accept the decision?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	169	2.5%
2	Willing	583	8.6%
3	Fairly willing	154	2.3%
4	Not very willing	94	1.4%
5	Not at all willing	26	0.4%
97	No answer	148	2.2%
98	Not asked	5591	82.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad7: Confidence in the politicians making the decision.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	Based on what you saw in the video, how much confidence do you have in the politicians who made the decision?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	52	0.8%
2	High confidence	436	6.4%
3	Some confidence	474	7.0%
4	Low confidence	122	1.8%
5	No confidence at all	19	0.3%
97	No answer	71	1.0%
98	Not asked	5591	82.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad8: Outcome in video in line with own view on municipal begging ban.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Pre-question	This video showed a hypothetical scenario.		
Literal question	When you think of the outcome of the film, was it in line with your opinion about imposing a ban on begging in the municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	332	4.9%
2	No	672	9.9%
3	Don't remember	41	0.6%
4	Don't know	61	0.9%
97	No answer	68	1.0%
98	Not asked	5591	82.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10pad9: Picture included at the end of video.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Literal question	And in the video version you saw, was this image included at the end?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, this image was included in the video	454	 6.7%
2	No, this image was not included in the video	635	 9.4%
97	No answer	85	 1.3%
98	Not asked	5591	 82.6%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1_9_backward_1: Return button used: R10PAD9 -> R10PAD8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=136 /-] [Invalid=16875 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD9 -> R10PAD8]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD9 -> R10PAD8	136	 100.0%
Sysmiss		16875	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1_9_backward_2: Return button used: R10PAD8 -> R10PAD7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=16841 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD8 -> R10PAD7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD8 -> R10PAD7	170	 100.0%
Sysmiss		16841	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1_9_backward_3: Return button used: R10PAD7 -> R10PAD6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=196 /-] [Invalid=16815 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD7 -> R10PAD6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD7 -> R10PAD6	196	 100.0%
Sysmiss		16815	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1_9_backward_4: Return button used: R10PAD6 -> R10PAD5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=129 /-] [Invalid=16882 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD6 -> R10PAD5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD6 -> R10PAD5	129	 100.0%
Sysmiss		16882	

# r10pad1_9_backward_4: Return button used: R10PAD6 -> R10PAD5			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1_9_backward_5: Return button used: R10PAD5 -> R10PAD4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=144 /-] [Invalid=16867 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD5 -> R10PAD4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD5 -> R10PAD4	144	100.0%
Systemmiss		16867	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1_9_backward_6: Return button used: R10PAD4 -> R10PAD3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164 /-] [Invalid=16847 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD4 -> R10PAD3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD4 -> R10PAD3	164	100.0%
Systemmiss		16847	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10pad1_9_backward_7: Return button used: R10PAD3 -> R10PAD2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95 /-] [Invalid=16916 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD3 -> R10PAD2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD3 -> R10PAD2	95	100.0%
Systemmiss		16916	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10pad1_9_backward_8: Return button used: R10PAD2 -> R10PAD1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79 /-] [Invalid=16932 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if panelPAD=1.Detects if the respondent used the back button from R10PAD2 -> R10PAD1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, return button used from R10PAD2 -> R10PAD1	79	100.0%
Systemmiss		16932	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10qreview1: Satisfaction with survey.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6535 /-] [Invalid=10476 /-]		
Pre-question	We are interested in knowing more about how participants perceive our surveys.		
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you with the survey?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	954	14.6%
2	Satisfied	4274	65.4%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1153	17.6%
4	Dissatisfied	125	1.9%
5	Very dissatisfied	29	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
Systemmiss		10476	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10avslutt: End comment to the survey. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Pre-question	Tusen takk for din deltakelse i Norsk medborgerpanel! Svarene dine blir analysert av norske og internasjonale forskere, og er derfor et nyttig bidrag til samfunnsforskningen. Vi minner igjen om at svarene er anonyme og data blir behandlet konfidensielt. Vinneren av reisegavekortet på 25 000 blir kontaktet kort etter at undersøkelsen er stengt.		
Literal question	Har du noe du ønsker å gi tilbakemelding på i forbindelse med undersøkelsen? I så fall kan du skrive det her:		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r10tid_brukt: [Respondents time usage.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=10441 /-]		
# r10P1: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3404	50.3%
2	Female	3361	49.7%
Systemmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10P2: Region			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	1910	28.2%
2	Østlandet	1614	23.9%
3	Sørlandet	327	4.8%
4	Vestlandet	1791	26.5%
5	Trøndelag	601	8.9%
6	Nord-Norge	522	7.7%
	Sysmiss	10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10P3: Country			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	855	12.6%
2	Oslo	1055	15.6%
3	Østfold	350	5.2%
4	Vestfold	324	4.8%
5	Hedmark	204	3.0%
6	Oppland	205	3.0%
7	Buskerud	336	5.0%
8	Telemark	195	2.9%
9	Vest-Agder	200	3.0%
10	Aust-Agder	127	1.9%
11	Rogaland	618	9.1%
12	Hordaland	771	11.4%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	124	1.8%
14	Møre og Romsdal	278	4.1%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	465	6.9%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	136	2.0%
17	Nordland	262	3.9%
18	Troms	195	2.9%
19	Finmark	65	1.0%
	Sysmiss	10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10P4_1: Highest level of completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	603	8.9%
2	Upper secondary education	1936	28.6%
3	University/university college	4002	59.2%
97	No answer	224	3.3%

# r10P4_1: Highest level of completed education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10P4_2: Highest level of completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	37	0.5%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	52	0.8%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	514	7.6%
4	College certificate	59	0.9%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	850	12.6%
6	Completed upper secondary education, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	820	12.1%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	66	1.0%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	200	3.0%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	701	10.4%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	1368	20.2%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	466	6.9%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	540	8.0%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	788	11.6%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	139	2.1%
15	None of these	112	1.7%
97	No answer	53	0.8%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10P5_1: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	228	3.4%
2	1940-1949	1210	17.9%
3	1950-1959	1611	23.8%
4	1960-1969	1424	21.0%
5	1970-1979	1022	15.1%
6	1980-1989	763	11.3%
7	1990 or later	507	7.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r10P5_2: Year of birth			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6765 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	3049	45.1%
2	1960-1989	3209	47.4%
3	1990 or later	507	7.5%
Sysmiss		10246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r10Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
# r10Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P1 and P4_1 . See documentation report			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
# r11interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Date and time of when the respondentent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	
# r11interview_end: [Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Date and time of when the respondentn completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	
# r11u: [Thematical sub-group from wave 1-7]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4065 /-] [Invalid=12946 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		In wave 11 this background variable is mainly used to decide who gets MEME-questions. If u=4 the respondents gets r11meme20 and r11meme21.?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	U1	1043	25.7%
2	U2	1013	24.9%
3	U3	993	24.4%
4	U4	1016	25.0%
Sysmiss		12946	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11v: [Thematical sub-group from wave 8]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6323 /-] [Invalid=10688 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		If v=4 the respondent gets r11meme20 and r11meme21.?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	v1	1658	26.2%
2	v2	1610	25.5%
3	v3	1506	23.8%
4	v4	1549	24.5%
Sysmiss		10688	

r11v: [Thematical sub-group from wave 8]

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11rekruttert: [The wave the respondent was recruited.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [The wave the respondent was recruited.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	1913	22.8%
2	Wave 3	2152	25.7%
3	Wave 8	2258	26.9%
4	Wave 11	2059	24.6%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11browserstype: [Browser type used by respondent]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Browser type used by respondent]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		3938	47.0%
Firefox		527	6.3%
IE		14	0.2%
InternetExplorer		940	11.2%
Mozilla		22	0.3%
Opera		1	0.0%
Safari		2934	35.0%
Unknown		6	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Browser version used by respondent]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		126	1.5%
10		334	4.0%
10.1		62	0.7%
11		3060	36.5%
11.1		1	0.0%
24		10	0.1%
28		3	0.0%
30		1	0.0%
34		4	0.0%
38		7	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		2	0.0%
42		7	0.1%






r11browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43		1	0.0%
44		2	0.0%
45		4	0.0%
46		10	0.1%
47		3	0.0%
48		17	0.2%
49		42	0.5%
5		3	0.0%
5.1		4	0.0%
50		3	0.0%
51		44	0.5%
52		94	1.1%
53		3	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		8	0.1%
56		287	3.4%
57		23	0.3%
58		1111	13.3%
58.1		1	0.0%
59		126	1.5%
6		3	0.0%
6.1		1	0.0%
6.2		1	0.0%
60		14	0.2%
61		20	0.2%
62		17	0.2%
63		76	0.9%
64		1922	22.9%
65		597	7.1%
66		4	0.0%
7		11	0.1%
8		20	0.2%
9		240	2.9%
9.1		50	0.6%
9.8		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	other	5893	70.3%
1	mobile	2489	29.7%
Sysmiss		8629	

# r11mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11opplosning: [Screen resolution of the applied device]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Screen resolution of the applied device]		
# r11enhetstype: [Determines respondents device type.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC/Mac/Tablet	6024	 71.9%
2	Touch (iPhone/iPod Touch/Android phones)	2332	 27.8%
3	Generic	26	 0.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11advancedwifeaturesenabled: [Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
SANN		8355	 99.7%
USANN		27	 0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pk1: Interest in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how interested are you in politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1116	 13.3%
2	Interested	4015	 47.9%
3	Somewhat interested	2533	 30.2%
4	Not very interested	615	 7.3%
5	Not interested at all	88	 1.0%
97	Not answered	15	 0.2%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11km_livsstil_ran: [Experiment. Chooses r11km_livsstil_1 or r11km_livsstil_2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4065 /-] [Invalid=12946 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if rekruttert = 1 or rekruttert = 2.]		

# r11km_livsstil_ran: [Experiment. Chooses r11km_livsstil_1 or r11km_livsstil_2]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets r11km_livsstil_1	2019	49.7%
2	Gets r11km_livsstil_2	2046	50.3%
Sysmiss		12946	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11km_livsstil_1: Open text: Individuals must contribute to limiting climate change by changing way of life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	To prevent or limit harmful climate change, it is argued from time to time that we as individuals must contribute by changing our way of life.		
Literal question	We would like you to give us your opinion on this. All replies would be welcome, preferably a couple of sentences, or just a few words if you would prefer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11km_livsstil_ran = 1.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r11km_livsstil_2: Agree/disagree: Individuals should help limit climate change by changing way of life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	To prevent or limit harmful climate change, it is argued from time to time that we as individuals must contribute by changing our way of life.		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with this?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11km_livsstil_ran = 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1804	21.5%
2	Disagree	221	2.6%
97	Not answered	21	0.3%
98	Not asked	6336	75.6%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11km_livsstil_2_txt: Open text: [r11km_livsstil_2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	We would like to ask you to state the reason for the answer you just gave. All replies would be welcome, preferably a couple of sentences, or just a few words if you would prefer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11km_livsstil_ran = 2.] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r11pk10: Perception of today's economic situation in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway? Do you think it is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		

r11pk10: Perception of today's economic situation in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	2154	25.7%
2	Good	4766	56.9%
3	Quite good	969	11.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	299	3.6%
5	Quite bad	133	1.6%
6	Bad	38	0.5%
7	Very bad	16	0.2%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pktilfreds3: How satisfied with: the Norwegian government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the present government in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	595	7.1%
2	Satisfied	2817	33.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	2574	30.7%
4	Dissatisfied	1736	20.7%
5	Very dissatisfied	639	7.6%
97	Not answered	21	0.3%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pktilfreds4: How satisfied with: the way democracy works in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way in which democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1262	15.1%
2	Satisfied	4514	53.9%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1886	22.5%
4	Dissatisfied	564	6.7%
5	Very dissatisfied	102	1.2%
97	Not answered	54	0.6%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk9_1: Open text: Most important political issue 1 [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally?

# r11pk9_1: Open text: Most important political issue 1 [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11pk9_2: Open text: Most important political issue 2 [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally? Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11pk9_3: Open text: Most important political issue 3 [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or areas for you personally? Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11pk8_1: Personal placement on political axis left-right			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	In politics people often talk about the "left wing" and the "right wing". Below is a scale where 0 represents those who are politically on the far left, and 10 represents those who are politically on the far right.		
Literal question	Where would you place yourself on such a scale?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert = 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 Left	30	0.4%
2	1	71	0.8%
3	2	184	2.2%
4	3	292	3.5%
5	4	234	2.8%
6	5	379	4.5%
7	6	211	2.5%
8	7	271	3.2%
9	8	232	2.8%
10	9	80	1.0%
11	10 Right	46	0.5%
97	Not answered	29	0.3%
98	Not asked	6323	75.4%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r11bk3: Voted this party in parliamentary elections of 2017

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections in 2017?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert = 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	64	0.8%
2	The Conservative Party	469	5.6%
3	The Progress Party	193	2.3%
4	The Liberal Party	108	1.3%
5	The Soialist Left Party	120	1.4%
6	The Centre Party	174	2.1%
7	The Green Party	99	1.2%
8	The Labour Party	440	5.2%
9	The Red Party	66	0.8%
10	Did not vote	103	1.2%
11	Not entitled to vote	156	1.9%
12	Other:	48	0.6%
97	Not answered	19	0.2%
98	Not asked	6323	75.4%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11bk3_12_other: Other: [r11bk3] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party did you vote for at the parliamentary elections in 2017?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert = 4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r11pk204: Would vote this party if parliamentary elections held tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	313	3.7%
2	The Conservative Party	2134	25.5%
3	The Progress Party	753	9.0%
4	The Liberal Party	390	4.7%
5	The Soialist Left Party	792	9.4%
6	The Centre Party	846	10.1%
7	The Green Party	349	4.2%
8	The Labour Party	1607	19.2%
9	The Red Party	407	4.9%
10	Did not vote	252	3.0%

# r11pk204: Would vote this party if parliamentary elections held tomorrow			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Not entitled to vote	210	2.5%
12	Other:	264	3.1%
97	Not answered	65	0.8%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pk204_12_other: Other: [r11pk204] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11pk6_1: Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Post-question	Select one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	872	10.4%
2	Really dislike	1384	16.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	2044	24.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1975	23.6%
5	Like somewhat	1426	17.0%
6	Really like	417	5.0%
7	Intensely like	99	1.2%
97	Not answered	165	2.0%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pk6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Post-question	Select one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	341	4.1%
2	Really dislike	1026	12.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1473	17.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	963	11.5%
5	Like somewhat	1949	23.3%
6	Really like	1910	22.8%
7	Intensely like	580	6.9%

r11pk6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	140	1.7%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk6_3: Like/dislike: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Post-question	Select one option.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	2608	31.1%
2	Really dislike	1448	17.3%
3	Dislike somewhat	1082	12.9%
4	Neither dislike nor like	732	8.7%
5	Like somewhat	1289	15.4%
6	Really like	788	9.4%
7	Intensely like	306	3.7%
97	Not answered	129	1.5%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk6_4: Like/dislike: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Post-question	Select one option.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	748	8.9%
2	Really dislike	1232	14.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1839	21.9%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2023	24.1%
5	Like somewhat	1729	20.6%
6	Really like	539	6.4%
7	Intensely like	95	1.1%
97	Not answered	177	2.1%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk6_5: Like/dislike: The Social Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Post-question	Select one option.

r11pk6_5: Like/dislike: The Social Left Party

Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1104	13.2%
2	Really dislike	1343	16.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	1401	16.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1456	17.4%
5	Like somewhat	1537	18.3%
6	Really like	1040	12.4%
7	Intensely like	326	3.9%
97	Not answered	175	2.1%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk6_6: Like/dislike: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Post-question	Select one option.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	446	5.3%
2	Really dislike	855	10.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1492	17.8%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2178	26.0%
5	Like somewhat	2059	24.6%
6	Really like	919	11.0%
7	Intensely like	264	3.1%
97	Not answered	169	2.0%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Post-question	Select one option.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1697	20.2%
2	Really dislike	1213	14.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	1365	16.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1365	16.3%
5	Like somewhat	1653	19.7%
6	Really like	736	8.8%
7	Intensely like	185	2.2%

r11pk6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	168	2.0%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk6_8: Like/dislike: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Post-question	Select one option.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	487	5.8%
2	Really dislike	857	10.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1477	17.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1298	15.5%
5	Like somewhat	2294	27.4%
6	Really like	1481	17.7%
7	Intensely like	352	4.2%
97	Not answered	136	1.6%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk6_9: Like/dislike: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.
Post-question	Select one option.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1802	21.5%
2	Really dislike	1355	16.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1179	14.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1617	19.3%
5	Like somewhat	1321	15.8%
6	Really like	650	7.8%
7	Intensely like	249	3.0%
97	Not answered	209	2.5%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pktlfreds1: How satisfied with: Life in general

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	All in all, how satisfied are you with life?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

r11pktilfreds1: How satisfied with: Life in general







Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	2860	34.1%
2	Satisfied	4522	53.9%
3	Somewhat satisfied	794	9.5%
4	Dissatisfied	139	1.7%
5	Very dissatisfied	35	0.4%
97	Not answered	32	0.4%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r11pk15_1: Other people's trustworthiness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Cannot be careful enough	86	1.0%
1	1	67	0.8%
2	2	146	1.7%
3	3	295	3.5%
4	4	348	4.2%
5	5	775	9.2%
6	6	865	10.3%
7	7	1928	23.0%
8	8	2102	25.1%
9	9	973	11.6%
10	10 – Most people can be trusted	772	9.2%
97	Not answered	25	0.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_1: Resembles me: Respect for parents and elders, obedience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	[He/She] believes that he should always show respect for [his/her] parents and for older people. It's important to [him/her] to be obedient.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktiv is randomized. All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	215	2.6%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	629	7.5%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	1413	16.9%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	3053	36.4%
5	5 - Resembles me	2134	25.5%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	813	9.7%
97	Not answered	125	1.5%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_2: Resembles me: Religion important, fulfills religious requirements			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	Religious faith is important to [him/her]. [He/She] endeavours to do what [his/her] religion requires.		

# r11bktivi_2: Resembles me: Religion important, fulfills religious requirements			
Interviewer's instructions		[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktiv is randomized. All respondents asked.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	4034	48.1%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	1716	20.5%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	1149	13.7%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	816	9.7%
5	5 - Resembles me	379	4.5%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	182	2.2%
97	Not answered	106	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_3: Resembles me: Important to help people, wants to make others do well			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]	
Pre-question		Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.	
Literal question		It's very important to [him/her] to help the people around [him/her]. [He/She] wants to do something to make sure they are doing well.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktiv is randomized. All respondents asked.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	27	0.3%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	102	1.2%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	509	6.1%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	2996	35.7%
5	5 - Resembles me	3089	36.9%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	1550	18.5%
97	Not answered	109	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_4: Resembles me: Treat people equally, equal opportunities			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]	
Pre-question		Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.	
Literal question		[He/She] thinks it's important that all people in the world be treated equally. [He/She] thinks everyone should have equal opportunities in life.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktiv is randomized. All respondents asked.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	69	0.8%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	214	2.6%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	547	6.5%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	2333	27.8%
5	5 - Resembles me	3042	36.3%

# r11bktivi_4: Resembles me: Treat people equally, equal opportunities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	6 - Very much resembles me	2067	24.7%
97	Not answered	110	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_5: Resembles me: Interest important, likes to be curious and understand things			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	[He/She] thinks it's important to be interested in things. [He/She] likes to be curious and tries to understand all sorts of issues.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktiv is randomized. All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	45	0.5%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	170	2.0%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	719	8.6%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	2922	34.9%
5	5 - Resembles me	2872	34.3%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	1547	18.5%
97	Not answered	107	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_6: Resembles me: Likes risks, looks for new experiences			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	[He/She] likes to take risks. [He/She]'s always looking for new experiences.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktiv is randomized. All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	765	9.1%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	1745	20.8%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	2788	33.3%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	2118	25.3%
5	5 - Resembles me	677	8.1%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	182	2.2%
97	Not answered	107	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_7: Resembles me: Seeks out fun, important to do things that brings pleasure			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		

# r11bktivi_7: Resembles me: Seeks out fun, important to do things that brings pleasure			
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	[He/She] seeks out any opportunity to have fun. It is important for [him/her] to do things that give [him/her] pleasure.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktivi is randomized. All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	303	 3.6%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	954	 11.4%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	2330	 27.8%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	3190	 38.1%
5	5 - Resembles me	1153	 13.8%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	339	 4.0%
97	Not answered	113	 1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_8: Resembles me: Important to be successful, likes to impress			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	It's important to [him/her] to be successful. [He/She] likes to impress other people.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktivi is randomized. All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	877	 10.5%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	1732	 20.7%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	2629	 31.4%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	2100	 25.1%
5	5 - Resembles me	715	 8.5%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	216	 2.6%
97	Not answered	113	 1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_9: Resembles me: Likes to lead, wants others to do as they say			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	It is important for [him/her] to take the lead and tell others what to do. [He/She] wants people to do as he says.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktivi is randomized. All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	924	 11.0%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	1722	 20.5%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	2661	 31.7%

# r11bktivi_9: Resembles me: Likes to lead, wants others to do as they say			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	2249	26.8%
5	5 - Resembles me	595	7.1%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	120	1.4%
97	Not answered	111	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bktivi_10: Resembles me: Tidiness and cleanliness important, doesn't like mess			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Here we briefly describe some people. Read each description, and think about how much this person resembles you. For each person described, check the form for how much this person resembles or does not resemble you.		
Literal question	It's important to [him/her] that things are tidy and clean. [He/She] really doesn't like things to be messy.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Question with text substitution. Respondents are presented with personal characteristics where the gender pronoun in the text corresponds to the respondent's own gender. The order of r11bktiv is randomized. All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Does not resemble me at all	127	1.5%
2	2 - Does not resemble me	452	5.4%
3	3 - Slightly resembles me	1170	14.0%
4	4 - Somewhat resembles me	2841	33.9%
5	5 - Resembles me	2401	28.6%
6	6 - Very much resembles me	1289	15.4%
97	Not answered	102	1.2%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pk53: Confidence in Norwegian politicians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in Norwegian politicians?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	97	1.2%
2	To a great extent	2228	26.6%
3	To some extent	4532	54.1%
4	To a small extent	1268	15.1%
5	Not at all	189	2.3%
97	Not answered	68	0.8%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pk54: Confidence in Norwegian news media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking, what degree of confidence do you have in the Norwegian news media?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		

r11pk54: Confidence in Norwegian news media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	246	2.9%
2	To a great extent	2976	35.5%
3	To some extent	3947	47.1%
4	To a small extent	902	10.8%
5	Not at all	204	2.4%
97	Not answered	107	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk12: Confidence in Norwegian parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	What degree of confidence do you have in the Norwegian Parliament (Stortinget)?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	312	3.7%
2	To a great extent	3329	39.7%
3	To some extent	3702	44.2%
4	To a small extent	788	9.4%
5	Not at all	157	1.9%
97	Not answered	94	1.1%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk55: The number of municipalities should be reduced further by merging









Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition:
Literal question	From the year 2020, Norway will have 356 municipalities instead of 422 as of today. The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	909	10.8%
2	Agree	1421	17.0%
3	Agree somewhat	1519	18.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1546	18.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	1012	12.1%
6	Disagree	1114	13.3%
7	Strongly disagree	779	9.3%
97	Not answered	82	1.0%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pk60: The government should work towards recuding income differences

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]

# r11pk60: The government should work towards recuding income differences			
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition:		
Literal question	The Government should work more to significantly reduce the income differences in society.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2207	 26.3%
2	Agree	2660	 31.7%
3	Agree somewhat	1913	 22.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	692	 8.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	489	 5.8%
6	Disagree	250	 3.0%
7	Strongly disagree	73	 0.9%
97	Not answered	98	 1.2%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkby3: Fears new terrorist attack in Norway			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	554	 6.6%
2	Slightly	3245	 38.7%
3	Somewhat	3237	 38.6%
4	To a great extent	1004	 12.0%
5	To a very great extent	260	 3.1%
97	Not answered	82	 1.0%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pk52: Government should protect citizens from terrorism even at expense of privacy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition:		
Literal question	The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of privacy.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	710	 8.5%
2	Agree	1749	 20.9%
3	Agree somewhat	2314	 27.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1040	 12.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	1085	 12.9%
6	Disagree	935	 11.2%
7	Strongly disagree	466	 5.6%
97	Not answered	83	 1.0%

# r11pk52: Government should protect citizens from terrorism even at expense of privacy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkkm2_ran: [Chooses variation of question r11pkkm2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8320 /-] [Invalid=8691 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes all respondents]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets r11pkkm2_1	2100	25.2%
2	Gets r11pkkm2_2	2098	25.2%
3	Gets r11pkkm2_3	2052	24.7%
4	Gets r11pkkm2_4	2070	24.9%
Sysmiss		8691	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkkm2_1: How concerned about climate change: Variant 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. Same question text in r11pkkm2_1, r11pkkm2_2, r11pkkm2_3, and r11pkkm2_4, but different answer options. Asked if r11pkkm2_ran = 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all concerned	100	1.2%
2	Not particularly concerned	394	4.7%
3	Somewhat concerned	652	7.8%
4	Concerned	663	7.9%
5	Very concerned	286	3.4%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6282	74.9%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkkm2_2: How concerned about climate change: Variant 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. Same question text in r11pkkm2_1, r11pkkm2_2, r11pkkm2_3, and r11pkkm2_4, but different answer options. Asked if r11pkkm2_ran = 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all concerned	98	1.2%
2	Not very concerned	444	5.3%
3	Slightly concerned	860	10.3%
4	Very concerned	609	7.3%
5	Extremely concerned	85	1.0%
97	Not answered	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6284	75.0%

# r11pkkm2_2: How concerned about climate change: Variant 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkkm2_3: How concerned about climate change: Variant 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. Same question text in r11pkkm2_1, r11pkkm2_2, r11pkkm2_3, and r11pkkm2_4, but different answer options. Asked if r11pkkm2_ran = 3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all concerned	92	1.1%
2	Not particularly concerned	358	4.3%
3	Slightly concerned	897	10.7%
4	Very concerned	631	7.5%
5	Extremely concerned	69	0.8%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6330	75.5%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkkm2_4: How concerned about climate change: Variant 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. Same question text in r11pkkm2_1, r11pkkm2_2, r11pkkm2_3, and r11pkkm2_4, but different answer options. Asked if r11pkkm2_ran = 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all concerned	95	1.1%
2	Not particularly concerned	321	3.8%
3	Slightly concerned	825	9.8%
4	Rather concerned	585	7.0%
5	Very concerned	241	2.9%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6312	75.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkkm42: Should/should not be possible to buy diesel- and petrol cars after 2025			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	The National Transport Plan for 2018-29 proposes that all new private vehicles should either be electric or run on hydrogen with effect from 2025.		
Literal question	Which of the following statements most closely reflects your views:		
Post-question	Link: Look at "Nasjonal Transportplan 2018-2029", p. 16, available here (external link)		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		

r11pkkm42: Should/should not be possible to buy diesel- and petrol cars after 2025

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In 2025 all new private vehicles sold should either be electric or run on hydrogen	3536	42.2%
2	In 2025, people should still have the opportunity to purchase new private cars which run on diesel or petrol	4667	55.7%
97	Not answered	179	2.1%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pkkm4: Should/should not allow oil and gas extraction in Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statement below.
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas. To what extent do you agree/disagree with this?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2580	30.8%
2	Agree	1425	17.0%
3	Agree somewhat	1002	12.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1267	15.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	784	9.4%
6	Disagree	744	8.9%
7	Strongly disagree	475	5.7%
97	Not answered	105	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pkmeme9: Access to asylum in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion on refugees who come to Norway and seek asylum and their opportunity to be granted residence here? Compared to how it is today, do you mean it should be:
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much easier	230	2.7%
2	Easier	856	10.2%
3	Somewhat easier	1624	19.4%
4	Same as today	2807	33.5%
5	Slightly more difficult	1214	14.5%
6	More difficult	827	9.9%
7	Much more difficult	706	8.4%
97	Not answered	118	1.4%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pkdv5: How good or bad that immigrants come to live in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r11pkdv5: How good or bad that immigrants come to live in Norway			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	221	2.6%
2	Great advantage	1111	13.3%
3	Slightly advantageous	2867	34.2%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	1532	18.3%
5	A slight disadvantage	1635	19.5%
6	A great disadvantage	580	6.9%
7	Very great disadvantage	324	3.9%
97	Not answered	112	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkdv55: Muslim religious schools should be possible			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Today, it is possible for parents to send their children to Christian schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Christian faith.		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that it should be possible for parents to send their children to Muslim schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Islamic faith?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	214	2.6%
2	Agree	751	9.0%
3	Agree somewhat	993	11.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1414	16.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	1100	13.1%
6	Disagree	1696	20.2%
7	Strongly disagree	2099	25.0%
97	Not answered	115	1.4%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11pkby2: Safety in local environment past few years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	Think about your local environment and how it has evolved over the past couple of years.		
Literal question	How much safer or more unsafe has it become?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much safer	93	1.1%
2	Somewhat safer	447	5.3%
3	No change in safety	5846	69.7%
4	Somewhat more unsafe	1634	19.5%

# r11pkby2: Safety in local environment past few years			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Much more unsafe	256	3.1%
97	Not answered	106	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11guns: Norwegian police should be armed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Norwegian police should be armed?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert =1 eller 2, and if v or u = 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	303	3.6%
2	Agree	451	5.4%
3	Agree somewhat	569	6.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	177	2.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	240	2.9%
6	Disagree	312	3.7%
7	Strongly disagree	222	2.6%
97	Not answered	27	0.3%
98	Not asked	6081	72.5%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11meme20_ran: [Text substitution R11MEME20: mother of infant/father of an infant]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2316 /-] [Invalid=14695 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u =4 eller v = 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets "mother of infant"	1135	49.0%
2	Gets "father of infant"	1181	51.0%
Sysmiss		14695	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11meme20: Possible to be [mother/father] of infant and get ahead in working life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion?		
Literal question	In Norway today it is practically possible to be a good [mother of infant/father of an infant] and at the same time get ahead in working life.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u =4 eller v = 4. Question wording depends on the randomized value of r11meme20_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	237	2.8%
2	Agree	987	11.8%
3	Agree somewhat	543	6.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	221	2.6%

r11meme20: Possible to be [mother/father] of infant and get ahead in working life

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Disagree somewhat	210	2.5%
6	Disagree	89	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	22	0.3%
97	Not answered	28	0.3%
98	Not asked	6045	72.1%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11meme21: It is women's responsibility to avoid unwanted sexual attention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that it is women's own responsibility to avoid unwanted sexual attention?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u =4 eller v = 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.5%
2	Agree	90	1.1%
3	Agree somewhat	396	4.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	258	3.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	320	3.8%
6	Disagree	657	7.8%
7	Strongly disagree	543	6.5%
97	Not answered	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	6045	72.1%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11pkklasse: Social class, self-placement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
Pre-question	We sometimes talk about whether or not there are different social groups or classes.
Literal question	If you were to place yourself in such a social class, which one would it be?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lower class	77	0.9%
2	Working class	1144	13.6%
3	Lower middle class	806	9.6%
4	Middle class	4405	52.6%
5	Upper middle class	1525	18.2%
6	Upper class	47	0.6%
7	Don't know	269	3.2%
97	Not answered	109	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11bk33: Employment situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r11bk33: Employment situation			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.		
Post-question	Select one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	4721	56.3%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	466	5.6%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	114	1.4%
4	Unemployed, wanting a job, but not actively seeking work	43	0.5%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	320	3.8%
6	Retired	2066	24.6%
7	Military service	12	0.1%
8	At home, looking after children or others	89	1.1%
9	Other:	448	5.3%
97	Not answered	103	1.2%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk33_9_other: Other: [r11bk33] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.		
Post-question	Select one option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk21: Works in what type of business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11bk33 = 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State, county or municipal administration	534	6.4%
2	Public sector (e.g. school and health services)	1425	17.0%
3	Publicly owned enterprise	295	3.5%
4	Private business	2111	25.2%
5	Self employed	241	2.9%
6	Other:	112	1.3%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	3661	43.7%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk21_6_other: Other: [r11bk21] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# r11bk21_6_other: Other: [r11bk21] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Literal question	Which type of business do you work in?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11bk33 = 1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk26: Highest completed education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	33	0.4%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	587	7.0%
3	Secondary education-general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School)	968	11.5%
4	Secondary education-vocational line of study/education programme (VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam)	1019	12.2%
5	Diploma from supplementary programme for general university and college admissions certification (tertiary vocational education, vocational technical college)	449	5.4%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	765	9.1%
7	College-3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, etc.)	1709	20.4%
8	University-3-4 year education (Bachelor, cand.mag.)	617	7.4%
9	College-5-6 year education (Master, major)	529	6.3%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors (longer professional education (MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economy)	1188	14.2%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	167	2.0%
12	None of the above (please enter):	229	2.7%
97	Not answered	122	1.5%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk26_12_other: Other: [r11bk26] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk27: Marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		

# r11bk27: Marital status			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Marital status.		
Post-question	Click on the one that is most relevant		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmarried/not cohabiting	1280	15.3%
2	Cohabitant	1509	18.0%
3	Married/legally registered partner	4566	54.5%
4	Divorced/separated/formally registered partner	623	7.4%
5	Widow/widower	238	2.8%
6	Other:	68	0.8%
97	Not answered	98	1.2%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk27_6_other: Other: [r11bk27] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Marital status.		
Post-question	Click on the one that is most relevant		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk25: Gross annual income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	What is your current income?		
Post-question	Gross annual income is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	< 150 000	626	7.5%
2	150 001 - 300 000	1086	13.0%
3	300 001 - 400 000	1269	15.1%
4	400 001 - 500 000	1538	18.3%
5	500 001 - 600 000	1392	16.6%
6	600 001 - 700 000	866	10.3%
7	700 001 - 1 000 000	916	10.9%
8	> 1 000 000	435	5.2%
97	Not answered	254	3.0%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk35: Type of living area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		

# r11bk35: Type of living area			
Literal question	Which description best fits the area you live in?		
Post-question	We are thinking about Norwegian conditions here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A city	1918	22.9%
2	A suburb or the outskirts of a city	1739	20.7%
3	A small or medium sized town	2305	27.5%
4	A village	1408	16.8%
5	A sparsely inhabited area	908	10.8%
97	Not answered	104	1.2%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bkhelse: General health			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	In general, would you say your health is:		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1179	14.1%
2	Very good	3039	36.3%
3	Good	2725	32.5%
4	Somewhat good	1015	12.1%
5	Poor	313	3.7%
97	Not answered	111	1.3%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk28: Citizenship			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Citizenship. Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert = 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norwegian citizen	1841	22.0%
2	Citizen of a different country. Please indicate which country:	181	2.2%
97	Not answered	37	0.4%
98	Not asked	6323	75.4%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk28_2_other: Other: [r11bk28] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Citizenship. Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert = 4.]		

# r11bk28_2_other: Other: [r11bk28] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
		[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
# r11bk29: Immigration background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Have you or your parents (mother, father, or both parents) immigrated to Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if rekruttert = 3 or rekruttert = 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	3786	45.2%
2	I myself have immigrated to Norway	314	3.7%
3	Both my parents have immigrated to Norway, but I was born in Norway	31	0.4%
4	My mother has immigrated to Norway, but myself and my father have not immigrated	72	0.9%
5	My father has immigrated to Norway, but myself and my mother have not immigrated	55	0.7%
97	Not answered	59	0.7%
98	Not asked	4065	48.5%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk30: Open text: Country of origin [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which country did you live in before you immigrated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11bk29 = 2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk30_1_1: Open text: Country of origin: both parents, mother [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which country did your parents (mother, father, or both) live in before they immigrated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11bk29 = 3.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		

# r11bk30_1_2: Open text: Country of origin: both parents, father [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Which country did your parents (mother, father, or both) live in before they immigrated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11bk29 = 3.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk30_2: Open text: Country of origin: mother [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	In which country did your mother live before she immigrated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11bk29 = 4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk30_3: Open text: Country of origin: father [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	In which country did your father live before he immigrated?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r11bk29 = 5.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r11bk42: How many children under 16 living at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have children under the age of 16 living at home with you, and in that case how many?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	6387	76.2%
2	1	824	9.8%
3	2	800	9.5%
4	3	219	2.6%
5	4	26	0.3%
6	5	6	0.1%
7	6 or more	5	0.1%
97	Not answered	115	1.4%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk40: Religion or religious denomination			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Do you belong to a religion or a religious denomination?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Church of Norway	5296	63.2%
2	The Catholic Church	129	1.5%

# r11bk40: Religion or religious denomination			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	The Orthodox Church (Greek, Russian, others)	45	0.5%
4	Other Protestant religious communities (e.g. free churches, Anglican churches, Pentecostal church and others)	263	3.1%
5	Other Christian denominations (e.g. Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons)	15	0.2%
6	Mosaic Religious Community (Jewish)	4	0.0%
7	Islamic (Muslim)	76	0.9%
8	Eastern religions (e.g. Buddhism, Hinduism, Sikh, Shintoism, Taoism, Confucianism)	30	0.4%
9	Other non-Christian religions	34	0.4%
10	Do not belong to any religion or religious denominations	2374	28.3%
97	Not answered	116	1.4%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11bk41: Attends religious meetings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Literal question	Aside from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, how often would you say you currently attend religious meetings?		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	13	0.2%
2	More than once a week	124	1.5%
3	Once a week	226	2.7%
4	At least once a month	391	4.7%
5	Only on special religious holidays	1465	17.5%
6	Less frequently	1851	22.1%
7	Never	4198	50.1%
97	Not answered	114	1.4%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11P1: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	4181	49.9%
2	Female	4201	50.1%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11P2: Region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	2398	28.6%
2	Eastern Norway	1980	23.6%

r11P2: Region

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Southern Norway	406	4.8%
4	Western Norway	2209	26.4%
5	Trøndelag	735	8.8%
6	Northern Norway	654	7.8%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11P3: County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	1075	12.8%
2	Oslo	1323	15.8%
3	Østfold	427	5.1%
4	Vestfold	391	4.7%
5	Hedmark	242	2.9%
6	Oppland	237	2.8%
7	Buskerud	438	5.2%
8	Telemark	245	2.9%
9	Vest-Agder	252	3.0%
10	Aust-Agder	154	1.8%
11	Rogaland	736	8.8%
12	Hordaland	980	11.7%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	147	1.8%
14	Møre og Romsdal	346	4.1%
15	Trøndelag	735	8.8%
16	Nordland	320	3.8%
17	Troms	252	3.0%
18	Finmark	82	1.0%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11P4_1: Highest completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	634	7.6%
2	Upper secondary education	2465	29.4%
3	University/University college	5004	59.7%
97	Not answered	279	3.3%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11P4_2: Highest completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

r11P4_2: Highest completed education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	35	0.4%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	599	7.1%
3	Secondary education-general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School)	984	11.7%
4	Secondary education-vocational line of study/education programme (VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam)	1029	12.3%
5	Diploma from supplementary programme for general university and college admissions certification (tertiary vocational education, vocational technical college)	452	5.4%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	770	9.2%
7	College-3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, etc.)	1719	20.5%
8	University-3-4 year education (Bachelor, cand.mag.)	619	7.4%
9	College-5-6 year education (Master, major)	531	6.3%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors (longer professional education (MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economic	1194	14.2%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	171	2.0%
12	None of the above (please enter):	233	2.8%
97	Not answered	46	0.5%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	258	3.1%
2	1940-1949	1394	16.6%
3	1950-1959	1927	23.0%
4	1960-1969	1746	20.8%
5	1970-1979	1292	15.4%
6	1980-1989	1011	12.1%
7	1990 or later	754	9.0%
Sysmiss		8629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r11P5_2: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8382 /-] [Invalid=8629 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	3579	42.7%

# r11P5_2: Year of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	1960-1989	4049	48.3%
3	1990 or later	754	9.0%
Sysmiss		8629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r11_Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
# r11_Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
# r12group: [Subgroup in wave 12. Randomized.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Subgroup in wave 12. Randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	g1	1441	20.0%
2	g2	1386	19.3%
3	g3	1416	19.7%
4	g4	1457	20.3%
5	g5	1493	20.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# r12interview_end: [Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6878 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# r12rekruttert: [The wave the respondent was recruited.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	1761	24.5%
2	Wave 3	1954	27.2%
3	Wave 8	2040	28.4%
4	Wave 11	1438	20.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12browsertype: [Browertype used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# r12browsertype: [Browertype used by respondent]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browertype used by respondent]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		3326	46.2%
Firefox		403	5.6%
IE		10	0.1%
InternetExplorer		736	10.2%
Mozilla		17	0.2%
Opera		1	0.0%
Safari		2693	37.4%
Unknown		7	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6897 /-] [Invalid=10114 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browser version used by respondent]		
# r12mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	other	4554	63.3%
1	mobile	2639	36.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12opplosning: [Screen resolution of the applied device]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Screen resolution of the applied device]		
# r12enhetstype: [Determines respondents device type.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	4663	64.8%
2	Touch	2509	34.9%
3	Generic	21	0.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12advancedwifeaturesenabled: [Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# r12advancedwifeaturesenabled: [Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
SANN		7171	99.7%
USANN		22	0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12getrenderingmode: [Detects the browsers rendering mode]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Detects the browsers rendering mode]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
desktop		4663	64.8%
generic		21	0.3%
touch		2509	34.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom1_filter: [Filter variable for r12polkom1. If r12group = 4 and rekruttert = 4, the respondents are randomized into two groups. If = 1 they get r12polkom1, otherwise r12polkom15.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=294 /-] [Invalid=16717 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Filter variable for r12polkom1. If r12group = 4 and rekruttert = 4, the respondents are randomized into two groups. If = 1 they get r12polkom1, otherwise r12polkom15.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Gets R12POLKOM15	142	48.3%
1	Gets R12POLKOM1	152	51.7%
Sysmiss		16717	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom1: Open: What does it mean to "trust the media". [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Pre-question		People often talk about "trusting the media".	
Literal question		In your own words, explain what you think it means to trust the media.	
Post-question		Please write down the first thing you think of. All replies would be welcome, preferably a couple of sentences, or just a few words if you would prefer.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 1 and rekruttert = 4 or r12group = 5 and rekruttert = 4 or r12polkom_filter = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
# r12polkom15_ran: [Randomize if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1. Randomly selects r12polkom15a, b, c, d, e or f]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2692 /-] [Invalid=14319 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomize if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1. Randomly selects r12polkom15a, b, c, d, e or f]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R12POLKOM15A	453	16.8%

# r12polkom15_ran: [Randomize if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1. Randomly selects r12polkom15a, b, c, d, e or f]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	R12POLKOM15B	461	17.1%
3	R12POLKOM15C	430	16.0%
4	R12POLKOM15D	452	16.8%
5	R12POLKOM15E	452	16.8%
6	R12POLKOM15F	444	16.5%
Sysmiss		14319	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12polkom15a: Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Large textbox. Variation 1 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
Post-question	Please write down the first thing you think of. All types of responses are welcome.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1 and r12polkom15_ran = 1] [Large textbox. Variation 1 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
# r12polkom15b: Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Large textbox. Variation 2 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
Post-question	Please write down the first thing you think of. All replies would be welcome, preferably a couple of sentences, or just a few words if you would prefer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1 and r12polkom15_ran = 2] [Large textbox. Variation 2 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
# r12polkom15c: Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Large textbox. Variation 3 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
Post-question	We ask you to spend a little while thinking about this question. Then we would like to ask you to write a few sentences about what you think is important when it comes to environmental problems. All types of responses are welcome.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1 and r12polkom15_ran = 3] [Large textbox. Variation 3 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
# r12polkom15d: Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Small textbox. Variation 1 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?
Post-question	Please write down the first thing you think of. All types of responses are welcome.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1 and r12polkom15_ran = 4] [Small textbox. Variation 1 of introduction text]

# r12polkom15d: Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Small textbox. Variation 1 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12polkom15e: Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Small textbox. Variation 2 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?		
Post-question	Please write down the first thing you think of. All replies would be welcome, preferably a couple of sentences, or just a few words if you would prefer.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1 and r12polkom15_ran = 5] [Small textbox. Variation 2 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12polkom15f: Think when heard or read "environmental problems" [Small textbox. Variation 3 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think of when you hear or read the term "environmental problems"?		
Post-question	We ask you to spend a little while thinking about this question. Then we would like to ask you to write a few sentences about what you think is important when it comes to environmental problems. All types of responses are welcome.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 or 4 and r12polkom1_filter is not equal to 1 and r12polkom15_ran = 6] [Small textbox. Variation 3 of introduction text] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12helse1: How is your health now?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	How is your health now?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	428	6.0%
2	Good	740	10.3%
3	Not that good	247	3.4%
4	Poor	20	0.3%
97	Not answered	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse2: How satisfied with life now?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with life now?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	460	6.4%
2	Satisfied	778	10.8%

# r12helse2: How satisfied with life now?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not entirely satisfied	173	2.4%
4	Not satisfied	23	0.3%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse3_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects either r12helse3_1 or r12helse3_2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1441 /-] [Invalid=15570 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects either r12helse3_1 or r12helse3_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	r12helse3_1	725	50.3%
2	r12helse3_2	716	49.7%
Sysmiss		15570	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse3_1: All norwegian citizens have equal access to government health services.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Under Norwegian law, the goal of the public health service is for everyone to have "equal access to good quality services". To what extent do you agree with the following statements?		
Literal question	All Norwegian citizens do in fact have equal access to health services from the government		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12helse3_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	119	1.7%
2	Agree	297	4.1%
3	Agree somewhat	137	1.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	26	0.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	93	1.3%
6	Disagree	38	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	11	0.2%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6468	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse3_2: All norwegian citizens have same opportunity to get health care safeguarded by public health service			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Under Norwegian law, the goal of the public health service is for everyone to have "equal access to good quality services". To what extent do you agree with the following statements?		
Literal question	All Norwegian citizens have the same opportunity to have their health care needs safeguarded by the public health service		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12helse3_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	135	1.9%

r12helse3_2: All norwegian citizens have same opportunity to get health care safeguarded by public health service

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	301	4.2%
3	Agree somewhat	143	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	20	0.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	68	0.9%
6	Disagree	27	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	19	0.3%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6477	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12helse3_3: The government offers good-quality health services.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Under Norwegian law, the goal of the public health service is for everyone to have "equal access to good quality services". To what extent do you agree with the following statements?
Literal question	The government offers good-quality health services
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom r12group = 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	227	3.2%
2	Agree	767	10.7%
3	Agree somewhat	278	3.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	74	1.0%
6	Disagree	23	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.1%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12helse4_1: Problems paying for health or dental care: Consultation/treatment GP.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?
Literal question	Yes, consultation/treatment at GP
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1395	19.4%
1	Yes, consultation/treatment at GP	46	0.6%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12helse4_2: Problems paying for health or dental care: Consultation/treatment dentist.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?		
Literal question	Yes, consultation/treatment at dentist		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1304	18.1%
1	Yes, consultation/treatment at dentist	137	1.9%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse4_3: Problems paying for health or dental care: Medicines recommended/prescribed by healthcare professional.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?		
Literal question	Yes, medicines recommended or prescribed by healthcare professional you have been in contact with		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1405	19.5%
1	Yes, medicines recommended or prescribed by healthcare professional you have been in contact with	36	0.5%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse4_4: Problems paying for health or dental care: Referred physiotherapist, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?		
Literal question	Yes, treatment (physiotherapist/physiotherapy, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist, etc.) you have been referred to		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1393	19.4%
1	Yes, treatment (physiotherapist/physiotherapy, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist, etc.) you have been referred to	48	0.7%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%

# r12helse4_4: Problems paying for health or dental care: Referred physiotherapist, choripractor, psychologist, psychiatrist.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse4_5: Problems paying for health or dental care: Non-referred physiotherapist, choripractor, psychologist, psychiatrist.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?		
Literal question	Yes, treatment (at physiotherapist/physiotherapy, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist, etc.) you have not been referred to		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1407	19.6%
1	Yes, treatment (at physiotherapist/physiotherapy, chiropractor, psychologist, psychiatrist, etc.) you have not been referred to	34	0.5%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse4_6: Problems paying for health or dental care: Non-prescription medication as desired without contact with healthcare professionals.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?		
Literal question	Yes, non-prescription medications as desired, without having been in contact with healthcare professionals		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1427	19.8%
1	Yes, non-prescription medications as desired, without having been in contact with healthcare professionals	14	0.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12helse4_7: Problems paying for health or dental care: Acupuncture, homeopathy, osteopathy or other alternative therapies.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?		
Literal question	Yes, acupuncture, homeopathy, osteopathy or other forms of alternative therapies		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		

r12helse4_7: Problems paying for health or dental care: Acupuncture, homeopathy, osteopathy or other alternative therapies.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1426	19.8%
1	Yes, acupuncture, homeopathy, osteopathy or other forms of alternative therapies	15	0.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12helse4_8: Problems paying for health or dental care: Other.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?
Literal question	Yes, other (fill out)
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1424	19.8%
1	Yes, other (fill out)	17	0.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12helse4_8_other: Other[r12helse4_8] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity].

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?
Literal question	Yes, other (fill out)
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# r12helse4_9: Problems paying for health or dental care: No.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you had problems paying for health or dental care during the past year?		
Literal question	No		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		203	2.8%
1	No	1238	17.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft1: Open: Heard about what types of cancer. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What types of cancer have you heard of? If you would like more information about cancer, go to: https://kreftforeningen.no/om-kreft/		
Post-question	Please write down all the ones you can think of.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12kreft2_1: Information about cancer from: Newspapers and magazines.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Newspapers and magazines		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		849	11.8%
1	Newspapers and magazines	592	8.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_2: Information about cancer from: Books and movies.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Books and movies		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		

# r12kreft2_2: Information about cancer from: Books and movies.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1372	19.1%
1	Books and movies	69	1.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Systemiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_3: Information about cancer from: Friends, family or acquaintances who have had cancer.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Friends, family or acquaintances who have had cancer		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		721	10.0%
1	Friends, family or acquaintances who have had cancer	720	10.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Systemiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_4: Information about cancer from: Health professionals.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Health professionals		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1129	15.7%
1	Health professionals	312	4.3%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Systemiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_5: Information about cancer from: Information brochures.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Information brochures		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		

# r12kreft2_5: Information about cancer from: Information brochures.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1235	17.2%
1	Information brochures	206	2.9%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_6: Information about cancer from: Work and education.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Work and education		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1195	16.6%
1	Work and education	246	3.4%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_7: Information about cancer from: TV and radio.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	TV and radio		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		853	11.9%
1	TV and radio	588	8.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_8: Information about cancer from: Social media and blogs.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Social media and blogs		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		

# r12kreft2_8: Information about cancer from: Social media and blogs.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1274	17.7%
1	Sosiale medier og blogg	167	2.3%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_9: Information about cancer from: Searching the internet.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Searching the internet		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1022	14.2%
1	Searching the internet	419	5.8%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_10: Information about cancer from: Friends, family or acquaintances.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Friends, family or acquaintances		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		993	13.8%
1	Friends, family or acquaintances	448	6.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12kreft2_11: Information about cancer from: Other.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?		
Literal question	Other (fill out)		
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		

r12kref2_11: Information about cancer from: Other.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1401	19.5%
1	Other (fill out)	40	0.6%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12kref2_11_other: Other[r12kref2_11] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?
Literal question	Other (fill out)
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r12kref2_12: Information about cancer from: No knowledge about cancer.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Where do you get your information about cancer?
Literal question	I don't know anything about cancer
Post-question	Please tick your three main sources.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1424	19.8%
1	I don't know anything about cancer	17	0.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12kref2_click: [Registers if the respondent clicked the link to the Norwegian Cancer Society ("Kreftforeningen") website (only desktop users are registered, not mobile users), if r12group = 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8 /-] [Invalid=17003 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	If you would like more information about cancer, go to: https://kreftforeningen.no/om-kreft/
Interviewer's instructions	[Registers if the respondent clicked the link to the Norwegian Cancer Society ("Kreftforeningen") website (only desktop users are registered, not mobile users), if r12group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clicked the link		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom5_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12polkom[5/6/7]a, b or c.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1440 /-] [Invalid=15571 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12polkom[5/6/7]a, b or c.]

# r12polkom5_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12polkom[5/6/7]a, b or c.]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R12POLKOM5A	502	34.9%
2	R12POLKOM5B	474	32.9%
3	R12POLKOM5C	464	32.2%
Sysmiss		15571	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom5a: Immigration-positive post: How likely to find in media you normally use.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	Immigration enriches our society The recent influx of immigrants to Norway makes our democratic society more unprejudiced and tolerant. If we give immigrants the right opportunities and help them integrate into Norwegian society, they will enrich our culture and our values. How likely or unlikely would it be for you to come across such a post in the media you normally use?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	69	1.0%
2	Likely	184	2.6%
3	Somewhat likely	139	1.9%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	55	0.8%
5	Unlikely	33	0.5%
6	Somewhat unlikely	9	0.1%
7	Highly unlikely	8	0.1%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6691	93.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom6a: Immigration-positive post: How likely to read.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	How likely or unlikely would it be for you to read such a post if you had come across it in the media you normally use?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	91	1.3%
2	Likely	180	2.5%
3	Somewhat likely	129	1.8%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	46	0.6%

# r12polkom6a: Immigration-positive post: How likely to read.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Unlikely	30	0.4%
6	Somewhat unlikely	10	0.1%
7	Highly unlikely	13	0.2%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6691	93.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom7a: Immigration-positive post: Thinks immigration to Norway is advantage or disadvantage.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	To what degree would you say that the post claims that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	80	1.1%
2	Great advantage	194	2.7%
3	Slightly advantageous	140	1.9%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	50	0.7%
5	A slight disadvantage	22	0.3%
6	A great disadvantage	7	0.1%
7	Very great disadvantage	5	0.1%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6691	93.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom5b: Immigration-negative post: How likely to find in media you normally use.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	Immigration threatens our values The recent influx of immigrants to Norway threatens our culture and values. Our national identity is threatened because foreigners are not willing to integrate. We should therefore be able to decide who gets to settle here based on how closely aligned they are with our national culture. How likely or unlikely would it be for you to come across such a post in the media you normally use?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	80	1.1%
2	Likely	108	1.5%

# r12polkom5b: Immigration-negative post: How likely to find in media you normally use.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat likely	111	1.5%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	58	0.8%
5	Unlikely	54	0.8%
6	Somewhat unlikely	24	0.3%
7	Highly unlikely	35	0.5%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6719	93.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom6b: Immigration-negative post: How likely to read.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	How likely or unlikely would it be for you to read such a post if you had come across it in the media you normally use?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	59	0.8%
2	Likely	118	1.6%
3	Somewhat likely	111	1.5%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	56	0.8%
5	Unlikely	62	0.9%
6	Somewhat unlikely	32	0.4%
7	Highly unlikely	32	0.4%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6719	93.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom7b: Immigration-negative post: Thinks immigration to Norway is advantage or disadvantage.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	To what degree would you say that the post claims that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very great advantage	1	0.0%
2	A great advantage	14	0.2%
3	An advantage to some extent	23	0.3%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	49	0.7%
5	A disadvantage to some extent	83	1.2%

# r12polkom7b: Immigration-negative post: Thinks immigration to Norway is advantage or disadvantage.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	A great disadvantage	168	2.3%
7	A very great disadvantage	131	1.8%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6719	93.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom5c: Immigration-neutral post: How likely to find in media you normally use.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	Does immigration enrich or threaten our society? The recent influx of immigrants to Norway can threaten our national identity, our culture and our values. However, with the right tools we can facilitate the integration of immigrants into our society and avoid discriminating against people based on where they come from. How likely or unlikely would it be for you to come across such a post in the media you normally use?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	105	1.5%
2	Likely	166	2.3%
3	Somewhat likely	101	1.4%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	45	0.6%
5	Unlikely	25	0.3%
6	Somewhat unlikely	6	0.1%
7	Highly unlikely	9	0.1%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6729	93.5%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom6c: Immigration-neutral post: How likely to read.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.		
Literal question	How likely or unlikely would it be for you to read such a post if you had come across it in the media you normally use?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	57	0.8%
2	Likely	161	2.2%
3	Somewhat likely	126	1.8%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	54	0.8%

r12polkom6c: Immigration-neutral post: How likely to read.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Unlikely	33	0.5%
6	Somewhat unlikely	16	0.2%
7	Highly unlikely	10	0.1%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6729	93.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom7c: Immigration-neutral post: Thinks immigration to Norway is advantage or disadvantage.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Below you can read the introduction to a hypothetical op-ed post in a Norwegian online newspaper. Please read the post carefully and answer the questions below. Scroll down the screen to see all three questions.
Literal question	To what degree would you say that the post claims that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom5_ran = 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very great advantage	9	0.1%
2	A great advantage	31	0.4%
3	An advantage to some extent	105	1.5%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	104	1.4%
5	A disadvantage to some extent	136	1.9%
6	A great disadvantage	54	0.8%
7	A very great disadvantage	15	0.2%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	6729	93.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom8: How likely to vote in advisory referendum on restricting immigration to Norway.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that an advisory referendum on restricting immigration to Norway will be held next Monday.
Literal question	How likely is it that you would vote in such a referendum?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	642	8.9%
2	Likely	340	4.7%
3	Somewhat likely	158	2.2%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	115	1.6%
5	Unlikely	68	0.9%
6	Somewhat unlikely	23	0.3%
7	Highly unlikely	76	1.1%
97	Not answered	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%

# r12polkom8: How likely to vote in advisory referendum on restricting immigration to Norway.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom9: How would you vote in referendum on restricting immigration to Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	How would you vote if an advisory referendum on restricting immigration to Norway is held next Monday?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, restrict immigration to Norway	746	10.4%
2	No, I don't support the proposal	623	8.7%
97	Not answered	72	1.0%
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom10: How likely to vote in parliamentary election.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	If there was a parliamentary election next Monday, how likely is it that you would vote?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	1119	15.6%
2	Likely	184	2.6%
3	Somewhat likely	37	0.5%
4	Neither likely nor unlikely	23	0.3%
5	Unlikely	16	0.2%
6	Somewhat unlikely	6	0.1%
7	Highly unlikely	33	0.5%
97	Not answered	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad16_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad16a or r12pad16b]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1428 /-] [Invalid=15583 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad16a or r12pad16b]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets R12PAD16A	716	50.1%
2	Gets R12PAD16B	712	49.9%
Sysmiss		15583	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad16a: Change voting system, easier, harder, or as difficult as today.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		

# r12pad16a: Change voting system, easier, harder, or as difficult as today.			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>The Norwegian electoral system encompasses fundamental rules about both the allocation of the seats in the Storting among the political parties and the counties. These rules are laid down in the Constitution, which is difficult to amend.</p> <p>Constitutional amendments must be submitted to the Storting over the course of the first three periods after an election before they can be adopted following a new parliamentary election and then with a 2/3 majority.</p>		
Literal question	Do you think it should be easier, more difficult, or should it be just as difficult as today to change the parliamentary voting system?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad16_ran = 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Easier	144	2.0%
2	Same as today	543	7.5%
3	More difficult	20	0.3%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6477	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad17_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad17a or r12pad17b]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1428 /-] [Invalid=15583 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad17a or r12pad17b]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets R12PAD17A	690	48.3%
2	Gets R12PAD17B	738	51.7%
Sysmiss		15583	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad17a: Change voting system according to population: Strong cities, weak districts.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>In the Norwegian electoral system, the rural areas are safeguarded through higher representation in the Storting compared with the populous areas of the country. The electoral system currently allocates the Storting representatives by county based on the population of the county and the county's geographical size.</p> <p>Norway is now debating whether to allocate the number of Storting representatives that will be elected from the different counties solely according to population.</p> <p>Such a change could lead to the more populous parts of the country becoming more strongly represented in the Storting while the rural areas will receive less representation compared with today's electoral system.</p>		
Literal question	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal to allocate the number of Storting representatives that will be elected from the different counties solely according to population?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad17_ran = 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly for	26	0.4%
2	Strongly for	55	0.8%
3	Somewhat for	105	1.5%
4	Neither for nor against	95	1.3%
5	Somewhat against	146	2.0%
6	Strongly against	145	2.0%

r12pad17a: Change voting system according to population: Strong cities, weak districts.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Very strongly against	109	1.5%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6503	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad17b: Change voting system according to population.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	In the Norwegian electoral system, the rural areas are safeguarded through higher representation in the Storting compared with the populous areas of the country. The electoral system currently allocates the Storting representatives by county based on the population of the county and the county's geographical size. Norway is now debating whether to allocate the number of Storting representatives that will be elected from the different counties solely according to population.
Literal question	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal to allocate the number of Storting representatives that will be elected from the different counties solely according to population?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad17_ran = 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly for	31	0.4%
2	Strongly for	64	0.9%
3	Somewhat for	124	1.7%
4	Neither for nor against	162	2.3%
5	Somewhat against	131	1.8%
6	Strongly against	126	1.8%
7	Very strongly against	91	1.3%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6455	89.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad18_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad18a, b, c or d]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1423 /-] [Invalid=15588 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad18a, b, c or d]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets R12PAD18A	491	34.5%
2	Gets R12PAD18B	480	33.7%
3	Gets R12PAD18C	452	31.8%
Sysmiss		15588	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad18a: Change voting system proportionality: Smaller parties stronger.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	A basic principle in the Norwegian electoral system is that the voters' votes must count equally regardless of which party the voters voted for in the election.

# r12pad18a: Change voting system proportionality: Smaller parties stronger.			
	Some believe that Norway should change its parliamentary voting system to achieve, compared with the current system, greater correspondence between a party's share of Storting representatives and the number of votes cast for the party in elections. This could mean that the smaller parties will become more strongly represented in the Storting compared with today.		
Literal question	How strongly for or opposed are you to such a proposal to change the parliamentary voting system?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad18_ran = 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly support	17	0.2%
2	Support	53	0.7%
3	Support somewhat	94	1.3%
4	Neither support nor oppose	132	1.8%
5	Oppose somewhat	93	1.3%
6	Strongly oppose	64	0.9%
7	Very strongly oppose	33	0.5%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6702	93.2%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad18b: Change voting system proportionality: Establishing governments capable of governing harder.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	A basic principle in the Norwegian electoral system is that the voters' votes must count equally regardless of which party the voters voted for in the election. Some believe that Norway should change its parliamentary voting system to achieve, compared with the current system, greater correspondence between a party's share of Storting representatives and the number of votes cast for the party in elections. This could mean that the major parties will receive fewer representatives in the Storting compared with today, and make it more difficult to establish governments capable of governing.		
Literal question	How strongly for or opposed are you to such a proposal to change the parliamentary voting system?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad18_ran = 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly support	14	0.2%
2	Support	42	0.6%
3	Support somewhat	62	0.9%
4	Neither support nor oppose	125	1.7%
5	Oppose somewhat	120	1.7%
6	Strongly oppose	79	1.1%
7	Very strongly oppose	31	0.4%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6713	93.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad18c: Change voting system proportionality.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	A basic principle in the Norwegian electoral system is that the voters' votes must count equally regardless of which party the voters voted for in the election.		

r12pad18c: Change voting system proportionality.

Some believe that Norway should change its parliamentary voting system to achieve, compared with the current system, greater correspondence between a party's share of Storting representatives and the number of votes cast for the party in elections.

Literal question How strongly for or opposed are you to such a proposal to change the parliamentary voting system?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad18_ran = 3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly support	34	0.5%
2	Support	81	1.1%
3	Support somewhat	87	1.2%
4	Neither support nor oppose	113	1.6%
5	Oppose somewhat	57	0.8%
6	Strongly oppose	48	0.7%
7	Very strongly oppose	20	0.3%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	6741	93.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad16b: Change voting system, easier, harder or as difficult as today.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

Pre-question The Norwegian electoral system encompasses fundamental rules about both the allocation of the seats in the Storting among the political parties and the counties. These rules are laid down in the Constitution, which is difficult to amend.

Constitutional amendments must be submitted to the Storting over the course of the first three periods after an election before they can be adopted following a new parliamentary election and then with a 2/3 majority.

Literal question Do you think it should be easier, more difficult, or should it be just as difficult as today to change the parliamentary voting system?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad18_ran = 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Easier	91	1.3%
2	Same as today	576	8.0%
3	More difficult	29	0.4%
97	Not answered	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	6481	90.1%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad19_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad19a, b, c or d]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1422 /-] [Invalid=15589 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad19a, b, c or d]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets R12PAD19A	343	24.1%
2	Gets R12PAD19B	369	25.9%
3	Gets R12PAD19C	342	24.1%
4	Gets R12PAD19D	368	25.9%

# r12pad19_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 1. Randomly selects r12pad19a, b, c or d]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15589	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad19a: Introduce preferential voting: Strengthen voters' influence.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Norway is now debating whether to give voters greater influence over which candidates on the parties' electoral lists are elected to the Storting. This would mean the introduction of preferential voting in parliamentary elections. Such a change will strengthen the voters' influence over who is elected to the Storting.		
Literal question	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad19_ran = 1.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly for	27	0.4%
2	Strongly for	62	0.9%
3	Somewhat for	134	1.9%
4	Neither for nor against	49	0.7%
5	Somewhat against	36	0.5%
6	Strongly against	17	0.2%
7	Very strongly against	10	0.1%
97	Not answered	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	6850	95.2%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad19b: Introduce preferential voting: Weaken parties' influence.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Norway is now debating whether to give voters greater influence over which candidates on the parties' electoral lists are elected to the Storting. This would mean the introduction of preferential voting in parliamentary elections. Such a change will weaken the parties' influence over who is elected to the Storting.		
Literal question	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad19_ran = 2.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly for	20	0.3%
2	Strongly for	43	0.6%
3	Somewhat for	102	1.4%
4	Neither for nor against	86	1.2%
5	Somewhat against	69	1.0%
6	Strongly against	37	0.5%
7	Very strongly against	8	0.1%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	6824	94.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad19c: Introduce preferential voting: List changes do not happen now.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# r12pad19c: Introduce preferential voting: List changes do not happen now.			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Norway is now debating whether to give voters greater influence over which candidates on the parties' electoral lists are elected to the Storting. This would mean the introduction of preferential voting in parliamentary elections. Today, voters can change the ballot for parliamentary elections, but over half of a party's voters must make the same change in order to override the parties' electoral lists, which has never happened.		
Literal question	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad19_ran = 3.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly for	22	0.3%
2	Strongly for	38	0.5%
3	Somewhat for	111	1.5%
4	Neither for nor against	99	1.4%
5	Somewhat against	41	0.6%
6	Strongly against	23	0.3%
7	Very strongly against	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6851	95.2%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad19d: Introduce preferential voting.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Norway is now debating whether to give voters greater influence over which candidates on the parties' electoral lists are elected to the Storting. This would mean the introduction of preferential voting in parliamentary elections.		
Literal question	How strongly for or against are you to such a proposal for preferential voting?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12pad19_ran = 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly for	18	0.3%
2	Strongly for	46	0.6%
3	Somewhat for	116	1.6%
4	Neither for nor against	92	1.3%
5	Somewhat against	57	0.8%
6	Strongly against	26	0.4%
7	Very strongly against	13	0.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6825	94.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom3: Regularly read items posted in alternative media.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Websites like Resett, Document.no, Human Rights Service and Breitbart have attracted considerable attention over the past year.		
Literal question	Do you regularly read items posted on such websites?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1]		

r12polkom3: Regularly read items posted in alternative media.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	106	1.5%
2	No	1308	18.2%
97	Not answered	27	0.4%
98	Not asked	5752	80.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4a_1: Why read alternative media: Editorial news media doesn't cover issues I am interested in.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?
Literal question	The editorial news media do not cover the issues I am interested in
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		62	0.9%
1	The editorial news media do not cover the issues I am interested in	44	0.6%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7087	98.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4a_2: Why read alternative media: Worried about immigration.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?
Literal question	I am worried about the consequences of immigration and would like more information about this
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		56	0.8%
1	I am worried about the consequences of immigration and would like more information about this	50	0.7%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7087	98.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4a_3: Why read alternative media: Immigration is a threat to security and Norwegian culture.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?
Literal question	I think immigration is a threat to national security and Norwegian culture and want more information about this
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1]

r12polkom4a_3: Why read alternative media: Immigration is a threat to security and Norwegian culture.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		67	0.9%
1	I think immigration is a threat to national security and Norwegian culture and want more information about this	39	0.5%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7087	98.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4a_4: Why read alternative media: Trust them more than editorial news media.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?
Literal question	I have more trust in websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart than editorial news media
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		72	1.0%
1	I have more trust in websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart than editorial news media	34	0.5%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7087	98.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4a_5: Why read alternative media: Editorial news media do not represent my views.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?
Literal question	The editorial news media do not represent my views
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		80	1.1%
1	The editorial news media do not represent my views	26	0.4%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7087	98.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12polkom4a_6: Why read alternative media: Editorial news media hides truth about immigration.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?		
Literal question	The editorial news media try to hide the truth about the consequences of immigration		
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		57	0.8%
1	The editorial news media try to hide the truth about the consequences of immigration	49	0.7%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7087	98.5%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom4a_7: Why read alternative media: Other.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		76	1.1%
1	Other (please specify)	30	0.4%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7087	98.5%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom4a_7_other: Other [r12polkom4a_7]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you regularly read items posted on such websites?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12polkom4b_1: Why not read alternative media: News needs satisfied through editorial news media.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?		
Literal question	I satisfy my need for news through the editorial news media		
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2]		

r12polkom4b_1: Why not read alternative media: News needs satisfied through editorial news media.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		667	9.3%
1	I satisfy my need for news through the editorial news media	640	8.9%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5885	81.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4b_2: Why not read alternative media: Generally disagree with the political views presented.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?
Literal question	I generally disagree with the political views presented on websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1028	14.3%
1	I generally disagree with the political views presented on websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart	279	3.9%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5885	81.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4b_3: Why not read alternative media: Don't trust what is written.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?
Literal question	I don't trust that what is written on websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart is true
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		921	12.8%
1	I don't trust that what is written on websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart is true	386	5.4%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5885	81.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4b_4: Why not read alternative media: They are xenophobic in coverage of immigrants.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?

r12polkom4b_4: Why not read alternative media: They are xenophobic in coverage of immigrants.

Literal question Websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart are xenophobic in their coverage of immigrants

Post-question Tick all that are relevant.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1077	15.0%
1	Websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart are xenophobic in their coverage of immigrants	230	3.2%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5885	81.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4b_5: Why not read alternative media: They don't comply with Code of Ethics of the Norwegian Press.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

Pre-question Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?

Literal question Websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart do not comply with the press ethics embodied in the Code of Ethics of the Norwegian Press.

Post-question Tick all that are relevant.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1100	15.3%
1	Websites such as Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart do not comply with the press ethics embodied in the Code of Ethics of the Norwegian Press	207	2.9%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5885	81.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom4b_6: Why not read alternative media: Have not heard of them.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

Pre-question Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?

Literal question I have not heard of Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart

Post-question Tick all that are relevant.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		861	12.0%
1	I have not heard of Document.no, Resett, Human Rights Service (HRS) and Breitbart	446	6.2%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5885	81.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12polkom4b_7: Why not read alternative media: Other.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1267	17.6%
1	Other (please specify)	40	0.6%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	5885	81.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom4b_7_other: Other [r12polkom4b_7]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Why don't you regularly read items posted on such websites?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Tick all that are relevant.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 1 and r12polkom3 = 2] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12pad0: How well are women represented in Norwegian politics.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, how well are women represented in Norwegian politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Underrepresented	48	0.7%
2	Somewhat underrepresented	371	5.2%
3	Well represented	867	12.1%
4	Somewhat overrepresented	79	1.1%
5	Overrepresented	17	0.2%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad1: The police reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>The police reform took effect on 1 January 2016. The aim of the reform is to ensure the presence of competent and effective local law enforcement where the population lives, while developing good professional environments equipped to meet today's and tomorrow's crime challenges. A consequence of the reform is the merger of units, so that we currently have fewer police districts and sheriff's offices.</p> <p>To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:</p>		

# r12pad1: The police reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.			
Literal question	The policy reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.4%
2	Agree	106	1.5%
3	Agree somewhat	141	2.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	299	4.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	318	4.4%
6	Disagree	349	4.9%
7	Strongly disagree	136	1.9%
97	Not answered	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad2: Agree/disagree: All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:		
Literal question	All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	164	2.3%
2	Agree	234	3.3%
3	Agree somewhat	275	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	124	1.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	189	2.6%
6	Disagree	230	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	166	2.3%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad3: Agree/disagree: Important that municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:		
Literal question	It is important that the municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	169	2.3%
2	Agree	589	8.2%
3	Agree somewhat	334	4.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	152	2.1%

r12pad3: Agree/disagree: Important that municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Disagree somewhat	92	1.3%
6	Disagree	32	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	Not answered	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad4: Agree/disagree: Important that municipalities can develop locally adapted service offers.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
Literal question	It is important that the municipalities have freedom to develop a service offer that is locally adapted.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	217	3.0%
2	Agree	634	8.8%
3	Agree somewhat	367	5.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54	0.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	56	0.8%
6	Disagree	37	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.1%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad5: Agree/disagree: The government should work to reduce wealth differences to a greater extent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
Literal question	The government should work to reduce the wealth differences in society to a greater extent.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	320	4.4%
2	Agree	348	4.8%
3	Agree somewhat	277	3.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	162	2.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	122	1.7%
6	Disagree	102	1.4%
7	Strongly disagree	45	0.6%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12pad6_treatment1: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1384 /-] [Invalid=15627 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality received higher education, and	706	51.0%
2	the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality did not receive higher education, and	678	49.0%
Sysmiss		15627	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad6_treatment2: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1384 /-] [Invalid=15627 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	the majority of the citizens received higher education.	662	47.8%
2	the majority of the citizens did not receive higher education.	722	52.2%
Sysmiss		15627	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad6_depvar: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1384 /-] [Invalid=15627 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad6]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	represent most citizens	677	48.9%
2	take decisions which will benefit most citizens	707	51.1%
Sysmiss		15627	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad6: Confident that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens /take decisions which will benefit most citizens].			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that [the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality received higher education, and/the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality did not receive higher education, and] [the majority of the citizens received higher education./the majority of the citizens did not receive higher education.]		
Literal question	Based on only this information, how confident are you that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens /take decisions which will benefit most citizens] in this municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 The wording of the question depends on the randomized values in r12pad6_treatment1, r12pad6_treatment2 and r12pad6_depvar]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	37	0.5%
2	Confident	405	5.6%
3	Moderately confident	592	8.2%
4	Not very confident	303	4.2%
5	Not confident at all	34	0.5%

r12pad6: Confident that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens /take decisions which will benefit most citizens].

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad7_treatment1: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad7]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1382 /-] [Invalid=15629 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selectstext element for r12pad7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough.	371	26.8%
2	Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent.	335	24.2%
3	Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented.	328	23.7%
4	[No treatment]	348	25.2%
Sysmiss		15629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad7_depvar: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad7]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1382 /-] [Invalid=15629 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12pad7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support	355	25.7%
2	in municipal councils	312	22.6%
3	in boards of listed companies and public businesses	365	26.4%
4	[No treatment]	350	25.3%
Sysmiss		15629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad7: Support or oppose gender quotas in [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils /in boards of listed companies and public businesses/[No treatment]].

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	In and around Norway, the use of quotas to ensure a gender balance in important boards or institutions is being discussed. [Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough/Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent/Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented/[No treatment]]
Literal question	How strongly do you support or oppose gender quotas [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils /in boards of listed companies and public businesses/[No treatment]]?

r12pad7: Support or oppose gender quotas in [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils /in boards of listed companies and public businesses/[No treatment]].

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r12group = 2. The wording of the question depends on the randomized values in r12pad7_treatment1 and r12pad7_depvar]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support	74	1.0%
2	Support	232	3.2%
3	Support somewhat	454	6.3%
4	Neither support nor oppose	261	3.6%
5	Oppose somewhat	216	3.0%
6	Oppose	89	1.2%
7	Strongly oppose	44	0.6%
97	Not answered	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad8_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects direction of response scale for r12pad8]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1380 /-] [Invalid=15631 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects direction of response scale for r12pad8]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Scale direction: Not important at all - Very important	668	48.4%
2	Scale direction: Very important - Not important at all	712	51.6%
Sysmiss		15631	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad8: How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

Literal question How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?

Post-question With this we mean that they help citizens to understand complex issues and in what way these issues affect citizens

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r12group = 2 The direction of the response scale depends on the randomized value in r12pad8_ran]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	6	0.1%
2	Slightly important	21	0.3%
3	Somewhat important	116	1.6%
4	Important	645	9.0%
5	Very important	586	8.1%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad9_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects direction of response scale for r12pad9]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1378 /-] [Invalid=15633 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects direction of response scale for r12pad9]

r12pad9_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects direction of response scale for r12pad9]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Scale direction: Not important at all - Very important	680	49.3%
2	Scale direction: Very important - Not important at all	698	50.7%
Sysmiss		15633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad9: How important that political representatives understand complex issues.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, how important is it that political representatives are able to understand complex issues?
Post-question	By this, we mean that they have knowledge of and understand the complexity of special matters and how they affect different groups in different ways.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2. The direction of the response scale depends on the randomized value in r12pad9_ran]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	0	
2	Slightly important	4	0.1%
3	Somewhat important	42	0.6%
4	Important	433	6.0%
5	Very important	895	12.4%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad10: Government followed own platform or people's will: Reducing income inequality.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, has the Government followed its platform or the people's will (for the most part) in the issue of reducing income inequality in society?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only public opinion	1	0.0%
2	Mostly public opinion	37	0.5%
3	Both public opinion and the political platform, equally	177	2.5%
4	Mostly the political platform	613	8.5%
5	Only the political platform	122	1.7%
6	The government has not followed either of these	270	3.8%
7	The government has followed both, and they are the same	46	0.6%
97	Not answered	120	1.7%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12pad11: Government followed own platform or people's will: Granting refugees residence in Norway.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

# r12pad11: Government followed own platform or people's will: Granting refugees residence in Norway.			
Literal question	In your opinion, has the Government followed its platform or the people's will (for the most part) in the issue of granting refugees residence in Norway?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only public opinion	0	
2	Mostly public opinion	59	0.8%
3	Both public opinion and the political platform, equally	221	3.1%
4	Mostly the political platform	657	9.1%
5	Only the political platform	187	2.6%
6	The government has not followed either of these	107	1.5%
7	The government has followed both, and they are the same	61	0.8%
97	Not answered	94	1.3%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad12: Government followed own platform or people's will: Phasing out personal cars running on fossil fuels.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, has the Government followed its platform or the people's will (for the most part) in the issue about whether all new private vehicles must be electric, hydrogen-powered, or similar from 2025?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only public opinion	4	0.1%
2	Mostly public opinion	53	0.7%
3	Both public opinion and the political platform, equally	232	3.2%
4	Mostly the political platform	578	8.0%
5	Only the political platform	215	3.0%
6	The government has not followed either of these	149	2.1%
7	The government has followed both, and they are the same	40	0.6%
97	Not answered	115	1.6%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad13: Voters vote for political plans for the future or previous actions.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	In the national parliamentary elections, do you believe that voters mostly vote on the basis of the political plans for the future or rather on the basis of previous actions?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Only on the basis of the parties' previous actions	56	0.8%
2	2	97	1.3%
3	3	226	3.1%
4	4 Just as much on the basis of the parties' future political plans and previous actions	676	9.4%

# r12pad13: Voters vote for political plans for the future or previous actions.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5	189	2.6%
6	6	53	0.7%
7	7 Only in the basis of the parties' future political plans	52	0.7%
97	Not answered	37	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom14_parti: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12polkom14]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1362 /-] [Invalid=15649 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12polkom14]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Red Party	166	12.2%
2	The Socialist Left Party	148	10.9%
3	The Labour Party	154	11.3%
4	The Centre Party	127	9.3%
5	The Green Party	157	11.5%
6	The Liberal Party	162	11.9%
7	The Christian Democrats	135	9.9%
8	The Conservative Party	156	11.5%
9	The Progress Party	157	11.5%
Sysmiss		15649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom14_frekvns: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12polkom14]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1362 /-] [Invalid=15649 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Randomly selects text element for r12polkom14]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	and often	677	49.7%
2	but rarely	685	50.3%
Sysmiss		15649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom14: Satisfied with child-in-law. Child-in-law Votes [party], [and often/but rarely] talks about politics.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied or dissatisfied would you be if you had a daughter or son who married a person who votes [the Red Party/the Socialist Left Party/the Labour Party/the Centre Party/the Green Party/the Liberal Party/the Christian Democrats/the Conservative Party/the Progress Party] [and often/ but rarely] talk about politics?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2. The wording of the question depends on the randomized values in r12polkom14_parti and r12polkom14_frekvns]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	91	1.3%
2	Satisfied	253	3.5%

r12polkom14: Satisfied with child-in-law. Child-in-law Votes [party], [and often/but rarely] talks about politics.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat satisfied	57	0.8%
4	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	664	9.2%
5	Somewhat dissatisfied	151	2.1%
6	Dissatisfied	61	0.8%
7	Very dissatisfied	73	1.0%
97	Not answered	36	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_1_stilling: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects academic position for person 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects academic position for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professor	447	32.9%
2	PhD Research Fellow	467	34.3%
3	Independent researcher without a PhD	446	32.8%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_2_stilling: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects academic position for person 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects academic position for person 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professor	438	32.2%
2	PhD Research Fellow	460	33.8%
3	Independent researcher without a PhD	462	34.0%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_1_kom: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Frequently appears as an expert in the media	460	33.8%
2	Rarely appears as an expert in the media	463	34.0%
3	Never appears as an expert in the media	437	32.1%
Sysmiss		15651	

r12polkom2_1_kom: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 1]

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_2_kom: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Frequently appears as an expert in the media	473	34.8%
2	Rarely appears as an expert in the media	459	33.8%
3	Never appears as an expert in the media	428	31.5%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_1_gender: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	674	49.6%
2	Female	686	50.4%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_2_gender: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	659	48.5%
2	Female	701	51.5%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_1_parti: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not known	122	9.0%
2	Politically neutral	126	9.3%
3	Red Party	119	8.8%

r12polkom2_1_parti: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Socialist Left Party	123	9.0%
5	Labour Party	120	8.8%
6	Centre Party	132	9.7%
7	Green Party	142	10.4%
8	Liberal Party	130	9.6%
9	Christian Democratic Party	107	7.9%
10	Conservative Party	139	10.2%
11	Progress Party	100	7.4%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_2_parti: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not known	128	9.4%
2	Politically neutral	117	8.6%
3	Red Party	122	9.0%
4	Socialist Left Party	116	8.5%
5	Labour Party	119	8.8%
6	Centre Party	123	9.0%
7	Green Party	133	9.8%
8	Liberal Party	126	9.3%
9	Christian Democratic Party	124	9.1%
10	Conservative Party	122	9.0%
11	Progress Party	130	9.6%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_1_publ: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	... in an internationally leading scientific journal	456	33.5%
2	... In a scientific Norwegian journal	447	32.9%
3	... as a Norwegian report	457	33.6%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_2_publ: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	... in an internationally leading scientific journal	458	33.7%
2	... In a scientific Norwegian journal	435	32.0%
3	... as a Norwegian report	467	34.3%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_1_funnt: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	... an advantage for Norway	483	35.5%
2	...neither an advantage nor a disadvantage for Norway	441	32.4%
3	... a disadvantage for Norway	436	32.1%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_2_funnt: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	... an advantage for Norway	461	33.9%
2	...neither an advantage nor a disadvantage for Norway	449	33.0%
3	... a disadvantage for Norway	450	33.1%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_1_metode: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	... statistics	672	49.4%
2	... qualitative interviews	688	50.6%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_2_metode: [Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=15651 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 2. Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	... statistics	664	48.8%
2	... qualitative interviews	696	51.2%
Sysmiss		15651	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom2_order: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Specifies the order of the various properties shown in the table that is displayed to the respondent]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	<p>[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Specifies the order of the various properties shown in the table that is displayed to the respondent.</p> <p>1 = Position and employment 2 = Visibility in the media 3 = Gender 4 = Political standpoint 5 = The person's latest scientific article has been published... 6 = The person's latest scientific article finds that immigration is... 7 = The person's latest scientific article uses...]</p>

# r12polkom2: Which of two persons give most credible information on immigration.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	We refer below to two hypothetical persons researching the consequences of immigration for Norway. Please read the descriptions of both persons carefully and answer the questions below.
Literal question	Let's say you didn't have any information other than the above. If you had to make a choice, which of these two do you think would give you the most credible information about the consequences of immigration for Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2. Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to two people with varying characteristics. Characteristics of the persons are chosen randomly. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	666	9.3%
2	Person 2	638	8.9%
97	Not answered	82	1.1%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12polkom16: Confidence in Norwegian research.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the research that Norwegian researchers produce?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	80	1.1%
2	High confidence	728	10.1%
3	Some confidence	469	6.5%
4	Little confidence	68	0.9%
5	No confidence at all	7	0.1%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12polkom17: How interested in research.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how interested are you in research?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	192	2.7%
2	Interested	629	8.7%
3	Somewhat interested	435	6.0%
4	Not very interested	80	1.1%
5	Not interested	9	0.1%
97	Not answered	41	0.6%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

r12polkom17: How interested in research.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom11_1: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Shared with Facebook contacts.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	On 9 March 2018, a fundraiser was set up for Doctors Without Borders on Facebook: «Soldiers of Are og Odin - fundraiser for Leger Uten Grenser». The fundraiser was started in response to all the flowers sent to then Minister of Justice Sylvi Listhaug after she wrote on Facebook that the Labour Party places the rights of terrorists above national security. The fundraiser brought in over NOK 17 million in five days and attracted considerable media coverage (see, for example, here (external link)). Did you contribute in any way to this campaign?
Literal question	Yes, I shared the campaign with my Facebook contacts
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1319	18.3%
1	Yes, I shared the campaign with my Facebook contacts	33	0.5%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom11_2: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Donated through Facebook fundraiser.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	On 9 March 2018, a fundraiser was set up for Doctors Without Borders on Facebook: «Soldiers of Are og Odin - fundraiser for Leger Uten Grenser». The fundraiser was started in response to all the flowers sent to then Minister of Justice Sylvi Listhaug after she wrote on Facebook that the Labour Party places the rights of terrorists above national security. The fundraiser brought in over NOK 17 million in five days and attracted considerable media coverage (see, for example, here (external link)). Did you contribute in any way to this campaign?
Literal question	Yes, I donated money through the fundraiser on Facebook
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1289	17.9%
1	Yes, I donated money through the fundraiser on Facebook	63	0.9%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom11_3: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Donated through Vipps.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	On 9 March 2018, a fundraiser was set up for Doctors Without Borders on Facebook: «Soldiers of Are og Odin - fundraiser for Leger Uten Grenser».

# r12polkom11_3: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Donated through Vipps.			
	<p>The fundraiser was started in response to all the flowers sent to then Minister of Justice Sylvi Listhaug after she wrote on Facebook that the Labour Party places the rights of terrorists above national security. The fundraiser brought in over NOK 17 million in five days and attracted considerable media coverage (see, for example, here (external link)).</p> <p>Did you contribute in any way to this campaign?</p>		
Literal question	Yes, I donated money through Vipps		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1320	18.4%
1	Yes, I donated money through Vipps	32	0.4%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom11_4: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Donated through bank transfer.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>On 9 March 2018, a fundraiser was set up for Doctors Without Borders on Facebook: «Soldiers of Are og Odin - fundraiser for Leger Uten Grenser».</p> <p>The fundraiser was started in response to all the flowers sent to then Minister of Justice Sylvi Listhaug after she wrote on Facebook that the Labour Party places the rights of terrorists above national security. The fundraiser brought in over NOK 17 million in five days and attracted considerable media coverage (see, for example, here (external link)).</p> <p>Did you contribute in any way to this campaign?</p>		
Literal question	Yes, I donated money through an ordinary bank transfer		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1328	18.5%
1	Yes, I donated money through an ordinary bank transfer	24	0.3%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom11_5: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Did not contribute, knew about fundraiser.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>On 9 March 2018, a fundraiser was set up for Doctors Without Borders on Facebook: «Soldiers of Are og Odin - fundraiser for Leger Uten Grenser».</p> <p>The fundraiser was started in response to all the flowers sent to then Minister of Justice Sylvi Listhaug after she wrote on Facebook that the Labour Party places the rights of terrorists above national security. The fundraiser brought in over NOK 17 million in five days and attracted considerable media coverage (see, for example, here (external link)).</p> <p>Did you contribute in any way to this campaign?</p>		
Literal question	No, I didn't contribute, but I knew about the campaign		

# r12polkom11_5: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Did not contribute, knew about fundraiser.			
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		375	5.2%
1	No, I didn't contribute, but I knew about the campaign	977	13.6%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom11_6: Contributed to Doctors Without Borders: Did not contribute, did not know about fundraiser.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>On 9 March 2018, a fundraiser was set up for Doctors Without Borders on Facebook: «Soldiers of Are og Odin - fundraiser for Leger Uten Grenser».</p> <p>The fundraiser was started in response to all the flowers sent to then Minister of Justice Sylvi Listhaug after she wrote on Facebook that the Labour Party places the rights of terrorists above national security.</p> <p>The fundraiser brought in over NOK 17 million in five days and attracted considerable media coverage (see, for example, here (external link)).</p> <p>Did you contribute in any way to this campaign?</p>		
Literal question	No, I didn't contribute because I had not heard about this campaign		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1108	15.4%
1	No, I didn't contribute because I had not heard about this campaign	244	3.4%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5807	80.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12a_1: Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Observed involvement of others on Facebook.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you become aware of the fundraiser?		
Literal question	I observed the involvement of others in the fundraiser on Facebook		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		56	0.8%
1	I observed the involvement of others in the fundraiser on Facebook	69	1.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r12polkom12a_2: Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Invited directly from people in my Facebook network.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you become aware of the fundraiser?		
Literal question	I received a direct invitation from people in my Facebook network		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		97	1.3%
1	I received a direct invitation from people in my Facebook network	28	0.4%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12a_3: Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: TV, radio, newspapers.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you become aware of the fundraiser?		
Literal question	I became aware of the fundraiser through media coverage from TV, radio, newspapers or online newspapers		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		80	1.1%
1	I became aware of the fundraiser through media coverage from TV, radio, newspapers or online newspapers	45	0.6%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12a_4: Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Media coverage shared on Facebook.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you become aware of the fundraiser?		
Literal question	I became aware of the fundraiser through media coverage shared on Facebook		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		105	1.5%
1	I became aware of the fundraiser through media coverage shared on Facebook	20	0.3%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	

# r12polkom12a_4: Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Media coverage shared on Facebook.			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12a_5: Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other social media.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you become aware of the fundraiser?		
Literal question	I became aware of the fundraiser through other social media (e.g. Twitter, Instagram, Snapchat)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		123	1.7%
1	I became aware of the fundraiser through other social media (e.g. Twitter, Instagram, Snapchat)	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12a_6: Aware of Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you become aware of the fundraiser?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		122	1.7%
1	Other (please specify)	3	0.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12a_6_other: Other[r12polkom12a_6]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	How did you become aware of the fundraiser?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12polkom12b_1: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support other similar organisations and objectives.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?		

r12polkom12b_1: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support other similar organisations and objectives.

Literal question	I support other similar organisations and objectives
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		717	10.0%
1	I support other similar organisations and objectives	260	3.6%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
Systemmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom12b_2: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Supported Listhaug in the case in question.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?
Literal question	I supported Listhaug in the case in question
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		814	11.3%
1	I supported Listhaug in the case in question	163	2.3%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
Systemmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom12b_3: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Don't support Doctors Without Borders.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?
Literal question	I don't support Doctors Without Borders
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		941	13.1%
1	I don't support Doctors Without Borders	36	0.5%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
Systemmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12polkom12b_4: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Don't support humanitarian organisations.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?		
Literal question	I don't support humanitarian organisations		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		953	13.2%
1	I don't support humanitarian organisations	24	0.3%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12b_5: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Don't support fundraisers through Facebook.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?		
Literal question	I don't support fundraisers through Facebook		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		516	7.2%
1	I don't support fundraisers through Facebook	461	6.4%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12b_6: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: I'm not on facebook.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?		
Literal question	I'm not on Facebook		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		806	11.2%
1	I'm not on Facebook	171	2.4%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r12polkom12b_7: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Can't afford it.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?		
Literal question	I can't afford it		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		924	12.8%
1	I can't afford it	53	0.7%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
System		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12b_8: Did not contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		853	11.9%
1	Other (please specify)	124	1.7%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6216	86.4%
System		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12polkom12b_8_other: Other [r12polkom12b_8]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	What was the reason you didn't contribute?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_5 = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12polkom13_1: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support Doctors Without Borders.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	What motivated you to contribute?		
Literal question	I wanted to support Doctors Without Borders		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]		

r12polkom13_1: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support Doctors Without Borders.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		38	0.5%
1	I wanted to support Doctors Without Borders	87	1.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom13_2: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Invitation came from a Facebook friend.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	What motivated you to contribute?
Literal question	That the invitation to contribute came from a Facebook friend
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		123	1.7%
1	That the invitation to contribute came from a Facebook friend	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom13_3: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Show support for campaign to my Facebook network.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	What motivated you to contribute?
Literal question	I wanted to show my support for the campaign to my Facebook network
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		114	1.6%
1	I wanted to show my support for the campaign to my Facebook network	11	0.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom13_4: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support fundraiser's political objective.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	What motivated you to contribute?

r12polkom13_4: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support fundraiser's political objective.

Literal question	I wanted to support the political objective of the fundraiser
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		56	0.8%
1	I wanted to support the political objective of the fundraiser	69	1.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Systemmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom13_5: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Support fundraiser's humanitarian objective.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	What motivated you to contribute?
Literal question	I wanted to support the humanitarian objective of the fundraiser
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		75	1.0%
1	I wanted to support the humanitarian objective of the fundraiser	50	0.7%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Systemmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom13_6: Motivation to contribute to Doctors Without Borders fundraiser: Other.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	What motivated you to contribute?
Literal question	Other (please specify)
Post-question	Several answers are possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		111	1.5%
1	Other (please specify)	14	0.2%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7068	98.3%
Systemmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12polkom13_6_other: Other [r12polkom13_6]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# r12polkom13_6_other: Other [r12polkom13_6]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Pre-question	What motivated you to contribute?		
Literal question	Other (please specify)		
Post-question	Several answers are possible.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 2 and r12polkom11_1 = 1 or r12polkom11_2 = 1 or r12polkom11_3 = 1 or r12polkom11_4 = 1] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12km4: Thoughts when you hear or read "aquaculture".			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think of when you hear or read the word aquaculture?		
Post-question	Please write down the first thing you think of. We are looking for all types of answers.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]		
# r12km5: General impression of aquaculture.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking, what is your impression of aquaculture?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exclusively negative	40	0.6%
2	Mostly negative	90	1.3%
3	More negative than positive	261	3.6%
4	Equally negative/positive	308	4.3%
5	More positive than positive	402	5.6%
6	Mostly positive	291	4.0%
7	Exclusively positive	17	0.2%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5777	80.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km1_ran1: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects variant of r12km1 (a/b)]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects variant of r12km1 (a/b)]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets r12km1_a	1460	50.8%
2	Gets r12km1_b	1413	49.2%
Sysmiss		14138	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km1_ran2_1: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 1 for r12km1_[a/b]_1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]		

r12km1_ran2_1: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 1 for r12km1_[a/b]_1]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 1 for r12km1_[a/b]_1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Landscape photo "Grassland"	493	17.2%
2	Landscape photo "Heathland"	473	16.5%
3	Landscape photo "Old mixed"	461	16.0%
4	Landscape photo "Old planted"	488	17.0%
5	Landscape photo "Young mixed"	510	17.8%
6	Landscape photo "Young planted"	448	15.6%
Sysmiss		14138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km1_ran2_2: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 2 for r12km1_[a/b]_1]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 2 for r12km1_[a/b]_1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Landscape photo "Grassland"	473	16.5%
2	Landscape photo "Heathland"	492	17.1%
3	Landscape photo "Old mixed"	484	16.8%
4	Landscape photo "Old planted"	489	17.0%
5	Landscape photo "Young mixed"	488	17.0%
6	Landscape photo "Young planted"	447	15.6%
Sysmiss		14138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km1_ran2_3: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 3 for r12km1_[a/b]_2]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 3 for r12km1_[a/b]_2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Landscape photo "Grassland"	469	16.3%
2	Landscape photo "Heathland"	468	16.3%
3	Landscape photo "Old mixed"	509	17.7%
4	Landscape photo "Old planted"	491	17.1%
5	Landscape photo "Young mixed"	425	14.8%
6	Landscape photo "Young planted"	511	17.8%
Sysmiss		14138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km1_ran2_4: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a [1/2/3] or r12km1_b [1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 4 for r12km1_[a/b]_2]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

r12km1_ran2_4: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 4 for r12km1_[a/b]_2]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 4 for r12km1_[a/b]_2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Landscape photo "Grassland"	465	16.2%
2	Landscape photo "Heathland"	510	17.8%
3	Landscape photo "Old mixed"	457	15.9%
4	Landscape photo "Old planted"	475	16.5%
5	Landscape photo "Young mixed"	475	16.5%
6	Landscape photo "Young planted"	491	17.1%
Sysmiss		14138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km1_ran2_5: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 5 for r12km1_[a/b]_3]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 5 for r12km1_[a/b]_3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Landscape photo "Grassland"	482	16.8%
2	Landscape photo "Heathland"	437	15.2%
3	Landscape photo "Old mixed"	480	16.7%
4	Landscape photo "Old planted"	470	16.4%
5	Landscape photo "Young mixed"	510	17.8%
6	Landscape photo "Young planted"	494	17.2%
Sysmiss		14138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km1_ran2_6: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 6 for r12km1_[a/b]_3]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]


Interviewer's instructions [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Background variable for r12km1_a_[1/2/3] or r12km1_b_[1/2/3]. Randomly selects picture 6 for r12km1_[a/b]_3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Landscape photo "Grassland"	491	17.1%
2	Landscape photo "Heathland"	493	17.2%
3	Landscape photo "Old mixed"	482	16.8%
4	Landscape photo "Old planted"	460	16.0%
5	Landscape photo "Young mixed"	465	16.2%
6	Landscape photo "Young planted"	482	16.8%
Sysmiss		14138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km1_a_1: Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

# r12km1_a_1: Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 1. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tick for landscape A	568	 7.9%
2	Equally/don't know	312	 4.3%
3	Tick for landscape B	577	 8.0%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5733	 79.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km1_a_1_txt: You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 1. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12km1_a_2: Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 1. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tick for landscape A	563	 7.8%
2	Equally/don't know	268	 3.7%
3	Tick for landscape B	619	 8.6%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	5733	 79.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km1_a_2_txt: You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 1. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		






# r12km1_a_3: Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you like best?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 1. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tick for landscape A	552	7.7%
2	Equally/don't know	296	4.1%
3	Tick for landscape B	608	8.5%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5733	79.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km1_a_3_txt: You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 1. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12km1_b_1: Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 2. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tick for landscape A	447	6.2%
2	Equally/don't know	574	8.0%
3	Tick for landscape B	386	5.4%
97	Not answered	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	5780	80.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km1_b_1_txt: You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 2. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		

# r12km1_b_2: Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 2. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tick for landscape A	417	5.8%
2	Equally/don't know	589	8.2%
3	Tick for landscape B	400	5.6%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	5780	80.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r12km1_b_2_txt: You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 2. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12km1_b_3: Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the two landscapes in the photos below do you think is most worthy of preservation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 2. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tick for landscape A	371	5.2%
2	Equally/don't know	642	8.9%
3	Tick for landscape B	391	5.4%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5780	80.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km1_b_3_txt: You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish: [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	You can give the reasons for your choice here if you wish:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km1_ran1 = 2. Experiment with pictures of landscapes. Out of 6 possible pictures, 3 random pairs are drawn. See background variables. Please contact Endre Tvinnereim (entv@norceresearch.no) for more information.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12km2_1: Best use of forest area: Plant new similar forest.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following scenario near your home: A powerful storm has ravaged an entire spruce forest, which was previously planted for harvesting timber. In cooperation with the landowner, the authorities will now assess what to do with the area. What do you think is the best thing to do? Imagine that the areas where the spruce forest stood are equally suited to all the following options for use.		
Literal question	Plant a new forest of the same type (spruce)		
Post-question	Please select one or two of the options below:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1739	24.2%
1	Plant a new forest of the same type (spruce)	1134	15.8%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	4320	60.1%

# r12km2_1: Best use of forest area: Plant new similar forest.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km2_2: Best use of forest area: Leave the area alone so that a new mixed forest can grow naturally.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following scenario near your home: A powerful storm has ravaged an entire spruce forest, which was previously planted for harvesting timber. In cooperation with the landowner, the authorities will now assess what to do with the area. What do you think is the best thing to do? Imagine that the areas where the spruce forest stood are equally suited to all the following options for use.		
Literal question	Leave the area alone so that a new mixed forest can grow naturally		
Post-question	Please select one or two of the options below:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1726	24.0%
1	Leave the area alone so that a new mixed forest can grow naturally	1147	15.9%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	4320	60.1%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km2_3: Best use of forest area: Farming.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following scenario near your home: A powerful storm has ravaged an entire spruce forest, which was previously planted for harvesting timber. In cooperation with the landowner, the authorities will now assess what to do with the area. What do you think is the best thing to do? Imagine that the areas where the spruce forest stood are equally suited to all the following options for use.		
Literal question	Use the site for farming		
Post-question	Please select one or two of the options below:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2379	33.1%
1	Use the site for farming	494	6.9%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	4320	60.1%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km2_4: Best use of forest area: Grazing.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following scenario near your home: A powerful storm has ravaged an entire spruce forest, which was previously planted for harvesting timber. In cooperation with the landowner, the authorities will now assess what to do with the area. What do you think is the best thing to do? Imagine that the areas where the spruce forest stood are equally suited to all the following options for use.		

# r12km2_4: Best use of forest area: Grazing.			
Literal question	Use the area for grazing (e.g. sheep, cattle)		
Post-question	Please select one or two of the options below:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2074	28.8%
1	Use the area for grazing (e.g. sheep, cattle)	799	11.1%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	4320	60.1%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km2_5: Best use of forest area: Cabin development.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine the following scenario near your home: A powerful storm has ravaged an entire spruce forest, which was previously planted for harvesting timber. In cooperation with the landowner, the authorities will now assess what to do with the area. What do you think is the best thing to do? Imagine that the areas where the spruce forest stood are equally suited to all the following options for use.		
Literal question	Use the area for a cabin development		
Post-question	Please select one or two of the options below:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2818	39.2%
1	Use the area for a cabin development	55	0.8%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	4320	60.1%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km2_txt: Comment [r12km2]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12km3_ranlabel1: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects either r12km3_2 or r12km3_3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects either r12km3_2 or r12km3_3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selects r12km3_2	1473	51.3%
2	Selects r12km3_2	1400	48.7%
Sysmiss		14138	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r12km3_ranlabel2: [Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects either r12km3_4 or r12km3_5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=14138 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 3 or 4. Randomly selects either r12km3_4 or r12km3_5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selects r12km3_3	1488	 51.8%
2	Selects r12km3_4	1385	 48.2%
Sysmiss		14138	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km3_1: Nature and environment measures: Plant spruce where there are no spruce forests already			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Shown below are some of the measures the Norwegian authorities are considering in connection with nature and the environment. Please give us your opinion on each of the points.		
Literal question	Plant spruce where there are no spruce forests already		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	496	 6.9%
2	No opinion	625	 8.7%
3	Do not support	1382	 19.2%
4	Am unaware of this	338	 4.7%
97	Not answered	32	 0.4%
98	Not asked	4320	 60.1%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km3_2: Nature and environment measures: Protect marshes and wetlands against land reclamation (converting marshland into farmland)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Shown below are some of the measures the Norwegian authorities are considering in connection with nature and the environment. Please give us your opinion on each of the points.		
Literal question	Protect marshes and wetlands against land reclamation (converting marshland into farmland)		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km3_ranlabel1 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	838	 11.7%
2	No opinion	242	 3.4%
3	Do not support	265	 3.7%
4	Am unaware of this	117	 1.6%
97	Not answered	11	 0.2%
98	Not asked	5720	 79.5%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km3_3: Nature and environment measures: Prohibit land reclamation of marshes and wetlands (converting marshland to farmland)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

r12km3_3: Nature and environment measures: Prohibit land reclamation of marshes and wetlands (converting marshland to farmland)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Shown below are some of the measures the Norwegian authorities are considering in connection with nature and the environment. Please give us your opinion on each of the points.
Literal question	Prohibit land reclamation of marshes and wetlands (converting marshland to farmland)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km3_ranlabel1 = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	535	7.4%
2	No opinion	290	4.0%
3	Do not support	415	5.8%
4	Am unaware of this	142	2.0%
97	Not answered	18	0.3%
98	Not asked	5793	80.5%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km3_4: Nature and environment measures: Protect old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway against logging

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Shown below are some of the measures the Norwegian authorities are considering in connection with nature and the environment. Please give us your opinion on each of the points.
Literal question	Protect old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway against logging
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km3_ranlabel2 = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	1110	15.4%
2	No opinion	143	2.0%
3	Do not support	185	2.6%
4	Am unaware of this	40	0.6%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	5705	79.3%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12km3_5: Nature and environment measures: Prohibit logging of old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Shown below are some of the measures the Norwegian authorities are considering in connection with nature and the environment. Please give us your opinion on each of the points.
Literal question	Prohibit logging of old-growth forest (virgin forest) in Norway
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 or 4 and r12km3_ranlabel2 = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	877	12.2%
2	No opinion	175	2.4%
3	Do not support	259	3.6%
4	Am unaware of this	59	0.8%
97	Not answered	15	0.2%

# r12km6: Which statement best describes views on climate change.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I believe that the climate is not changing.	10	0.1%
2	I don't know whether the climate is changing or not.	68	0.9%
3	I believe that the climate is changing, but that it has little to do with human action	319	4.4%
4	I believe that the climate is changing, and that it to a large extent is due to human action	1007	14.0%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5777	80.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12km7: To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Let's now assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it.		
Literal question	To what extent do you think it is possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change?		
Post-question	Please select the statement that most closely reflects your views:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is not possible to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	54	0.8%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	738	10.3%
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to do something to prevent harmful climate change.	525	7.3%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	8	0.1%
5	I do not consider climate change to be a problem	73	1.0%
97	Not answered	18	0.3%
98	Not asked	5777	80.3%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 3. Randomly selects r12dv13a or r12dv13b]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1410 /-] [Invalid=15601 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 3. Randomly selects r12dv13a or r12dv13b]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chooses R12DV13A	689	48.9%
2	Chooses R12DV13B	721	51.1%
Sysmiss		15601	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13a_1: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Anxiety.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Anxiety		

# r12dv13a_1: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Anxiety.			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	14	0.2%
2	To a great degree	45	0.6%
3	To some degree	228	3.2%
4	To a small degree	281	3.9%
5	Not at all	93	1.3%
97	Not answered	28	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13a_2: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Fear.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]	
Pre-question		Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?	
Literal question		Fear	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	10	0.1%
2	To a great degree	28	0.4%
3	To some degree	161	2.2%
4	To a small degree	328	4.6%
5	Not at all	133	1.8%
97	Not answered	29	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13a_3: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Anger.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]	
Pre-question		Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?	
Literal question		Anger	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	44	0.6%
2	To a great degree	180	2.5%
3	To some degree	320	4.4%
4	To a small degree	102	1.4%
5	Not at all	14	0.2%
97	Not answered	29	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	

# r12dv13a_3: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Anger.			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13a_4: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Envy.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	9	0.1%
2	To a great degree	30	0.4%
3	To some degree	142	2.0%
4	To a small degree	291	4.0%
5	Not at all	186	2.6%
97	Not answered	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13a_5: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Compassion.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Compassion		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	5	0.1%
2	To a great degree	38	0.5%
3	To some degree	275	3.8%
4	To a small degree	272	3.8%
5	Not at all	70	1.0%
97	Not answered	29	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13a_6: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Feel sorry for them.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Feel sorry for them		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	3	0.0%

r12dv13a_6: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Feel sorry for them.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	To a great degree	19	0.3%
3	To some degree	178	2.5%
4	To a small degree	320	4.4%
5	Not at all	138	1.9%
97	Not answered	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv13a_7: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Pride.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?
Literal question	Pride
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	3	0.0%
2	To a great degree	62	0.9%
3	To some degree	292	4.1%
4	To a small degree	248	3.4%
5	Not at all	55	0.8%
97	Not answered	29	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv13a_8: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Admiration.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?
Literal question	Admiration
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	4	0.1%
2	To a great degree	54	0.8%
3	To some degree	288	4.0%
4	To a small degree	249	3.5%
5	Not at all	63	0.9%
97	Not answered	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12dv13a_9: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Disgust.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Disgust		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	21	0.3%
2	To a great degree	93	1.3%
3	To some degree	210	2.9%
4	To a small degree	227	3.2%
5	Not at all	110	1.5%
97	Not answered	28	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
System		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13a_10: People feel this about Norwegian politicians: Contempt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do people have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	22	0.3%
2	To a great degree	100	1.4%
3	To some degree	230	3.2%
4	To a small degree	212	2.9%
5	Not at all	98	1.4%
97	Not answered	27	0.4%
98	Not asked	6504	90.4%
System		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13b_1: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Anxiety.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Anxiety		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	19	0.3%
2	To a great degree	58	0.8%
3	To some degree	211	2.9%

# r12dv13b_1: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Anxiety.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	To a small degree	231	3.2%
5	Not at all	191	2.7%
97	Not answered	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13b_2: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Fear.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	12	0.2%
2	To a great degree	36	0.5%
3	To some degree	144	2.0%
4	To a small degree	268	3.7%
5	Not at all	250	3.5%
97	Not answered	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13b_3: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Anger.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	33	0.5%
2	To a great degree	96	1.3%
3	To some degree	280	3.9%
4	To a small degree	197	2.7%
5	Not at all	103	1.4%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13b_4: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Envy.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		

# r12dv13b_4: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Envy.			
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	2	0.0%
2	To a great degree	12	0.2%
3	To some degree	33	0.5%
4	To a small degree	142	2.0%
5	Not at all	517	7.2%
97	Not answered	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13b_5: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Compassion.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Compassion		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	5	0.1%
2	To a great degree	57	0.8%
3	To some degree	302	4.2%
4	To a small degree	213	3.0%
5	Not at all	132	1.8%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13b_6: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Feel sorry for them.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Feel sorry for them		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	6	0.1%
2	To a great degree	24	0.3%
3	To some degree	171	2.4%
4	To a small degree	261	3.6%
5	Not at all	246	3.4%

r12dv13b_6: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Feel sorry for them.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv13b_7: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Pride.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?
Literal question	Pride
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	5	0.1%
2	To a great degree	68	0.9%
3	To some degree	263	3.7%
4	To a small degree	244	3.4%
5	Not at all	127	1.8%
97	Not answered	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv13b_8: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Admiration.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?
Literal question	Admiration
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	8	0.1%
2	To a great degree	50	0.7%
3	To some degree	261	3.6%
4	To a small degree	254	3.5%
5	Not at all	136	1.9%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv13b_9: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Disgust.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?

# r12dv13b_9: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Disgust.			
Literal question	Disgust		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	9	0.1%
2	To a great degree	41	0.6%
3	To some degree	145	2.0%
4	To a small degree	230	3.2%
5	Not at all	284	3.9%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv13b_10: Personal feeling about Norwegian politicians: Contempt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about Norwegian politicians. To what extent do you have the following feelings about Norwegian politicians as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3 and r12dv13_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	18	0.3%
2	To a great degree	35	0.5%
3	To some degree	138	1.9%
4	To a small degree	213	3.0%
5	Not at all	300	4.2%
97	Not answered	17	0.2%
98	Not asked	6472	90.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12meme2: How likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the Progress Party?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	How likely is it that you could ever imagine voting for the Progress Party?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	261	3.6%
2	Likely	235	3.3%
3	Somewhat likely	367	5.1%
4	Not very likely	650	9.0%
5	Not at all likely	1422	19.8%
97	Not answered	15	0.2%
98	Not asked	4243	59.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r12meme3: Reason [r12meme2].	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Can you give your reasons as to why?
Post-question	You can be brief or write at length. We are looking for all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5 and r12meme2 = 1 2 3 4 5] [Text data for this particular question has been anonymized. The data can be made available to researchers through application to the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD)]

# r12meme4: How important is immigration policy to you personally?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	458	6.4%
2	Important	1262	17.5%
3	Somewhat important	999	13.9%
4	Slightly important	196	2.7%
5	Not important at all	26	0.4%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	4243	59.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12meme1_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects text element for r12meme1]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1493 /-] [Invalid=15518 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects text element for r12meme1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norway	782	52.4%
2	the local community	711	47.6%
Sysmiss		15518	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12meme1: How great advantage/disadvantage for [Norway/the local community] that immigrants come to live here.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for [Norway/the local community] that immigrants come to live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 The wording of the question depends on the randomized value in r12meme1_ran]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	37	0.5%
2	Great advantage	211	2.9%
3	Slightly advantageous	496	6.9%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	373	5.2%
5	A slight disadvantage	253	3.5%

r12memel: How great advantage/disadvantage for [Norway/the local community] that immigrants come to live here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	A great disadvantage	71	1.0%
7	Very great disadvantage	45	0.6%
97		7	0.1%
98		5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv15_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects text element for r12dv15]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1493 /-] [Invalid=15518 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects text element for r12dv15]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	put more emphasis on	503	33.7%
2	put less emphasis on	499	33.4%
3	reflect	491	32.9%
Sysmiss		15518	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv15: Agree/disagree: Primary- and lower school books should [put more emphasis on/put less emphasis on/reflect] Norway's cultural diversity.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Primary and lower secondary school textbooks should be written so that they [put more emphasis on/put less emphasis on/reflect] the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5. The wording of the question depends on the randomized value in r12dv15_ran]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	111	1.5%
2	Agree	312	4.3%
3	Agree somewhat	324	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	248	3.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	176	2.4%
6	Disagree	228	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	89	1.2%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme6: How good or poor are preconditions for integrating refugees in Norway.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	As you see it, how good or poor are the preconditions in Norwegian society for integrating refugees?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	74	1.0%

r12meme6: How good or poor are preconditions for integrating refugees in Norway.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Good	467	6.5%
3	Somewhat good	381	5.3%
4	Neither good nor bad	183	2.5%
5	Somewhat poordårlige	215	3.0%
6	Poor	122	1.7%
7	Very poor	38	0.5%
97	Not answered	13	0.2%
98	Not asked	5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme7_1: Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: You personally.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you believe the responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with:
Literal question	You personally
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	100	1.4%
2	To a great degree	347	4.8%
3	To some degree	647	9.0%
4	To a small degree	210	2.9%
5	Not at all	103	1.4%
97	Not answered	86	1.2%
98	Not asked	5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme7_2: Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: Local community and voluntary organisations.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you believe the responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with:
Literal question	The local community and voluntary organisations
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	166	2.3%
2	To a great degree	587	8.2%
3	To some degree	533	7.4%
4	To a small degree	92	1.3%
5	Not at all	40	0.6%
97	Not answered	75	1.0%
98	Not asked	5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme7_3: Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: Public institutions.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you believe the responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with:
Literal question	Public institutions
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	510	7.1%
2	To a great degree	679	9.4%
3	To some degree	219	3.0%
4	To a small degree	25	0.3%
5	Not at all	14	0.2%
97	Not answered	46	0.6%
98	Not asked	5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme7_4: Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: Immigrants themselves.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you believe the responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with:
Literal question	Immigrants themselves
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	642	8.9%
2	To a great degree	644	9.0%
3	To some degree	140	1.9%
4	To a small degree	10	0.1%

# r12meme7_4: Responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with: Immigrants themselves.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all	12	0.2%
97	Not answered	45	0.6%
98	Not asked	5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12meme7_txt: Other[r12meme7]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you believe the responsibility for integrating immigrants should lie with:		
Literal question	Other. Fill in:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12vbs14_ran1: [Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects name for r12vbs14_1 and r12vbs14_2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1452 /-] [Invalid=15559 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects name for r12vbs14_1 and r12vbs14_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The situation is about Kari	717	49.4%
2	The situation is about Zita	735	50.6%
Sysmiss		15559	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12vbs14_ran2: [Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects description of Zita for r12vbs14_1 and r12vbs14_2. Not relevant if r12vbs14_ran1 = 1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=735 /-] [Invalid=16276 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects description of Zita for r12vbs14_1 and r12vbs14_2. Not relevant if r12vbs14_ran1 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zita is a Norwegian citizen of immigrant background	262	35.6%
2	Zita is a foreign citizen with legal residence in Norway	216	29.4%
3	Zita is in Norway without a legal stay	257	35.0%
Sysmiss		16276	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12vbs14_ran3: [Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects 12vbs14_1 or question wording for r12vbs14_2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1452 /-] [Invalid=15559 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects 12vbs14_1 or question wording for r12vbs14_2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The respondent only gets r12vbs14_2	471	32.4%
2	What she experiences is a violation of human rights	508	35.0%

r12vbs14_ran3: [Randomizes if r12group = 4. Background variable for VBS experiment. Randomly selects 12vbs14_1 or question wording for r12vbs14_2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	What she experiences is a violation of Norwegian values	473	32.6%
Sysmiss		15559	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12vbs14_1: What she is experiencing is a violation of [human rights / Norwegian values]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	[Kari has a very low income. She often has to skip meals and eat smaller portions than is healthy. Sometimes a whole day or longer goes by without her eating anything.] / [[Zita is a Norwegian citizen with an immigrant background / Zita is a foreign citizen with legal residence in Norway / Zita is in Norway without legal residence]. She has a very low income. She often has to skip meals and eat smaller portions than is healthy. Sometimes a whole day or longer goes by without her eating anything.]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: What she is experiencing is a violation of [human rights / Norwegian values].
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 and r12vbs14_ran3 = 2 or r12vbs14_ran3 = 3. VBS experiment. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	275	3.8%
2	Agree	309	4.3%
3	Agree somewhat	162	2.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	115	1.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	39	0.5%
6	Disagree	52	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	21	0.3%
97	Not answered	8	0.1%
98	Not asked	6212	86.4%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12vbs14_2: To what extent do you agree or disagree that [Kari/Zita] should receive additional benefits from the state?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Some believe that [Kari/Zita] should receive additional benefits from the state in order to improve her situation, even if this means there will be fewer state funds for other purposes. Others disagree.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that [Kari/Zita] should receive additional benefits from the state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 . VBS experiment. See background variables.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	272	3.8%
2	Agree	439	6.1%
3	Agree somewhat	345	4.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	161	2.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	95	1.3%
6	Disagree	78	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	51	0.7%
97	Not answered	11	0.2%

r12vbs14_2: To what extent do you agree or disagree that [Kari/Zita] should receive additional benefits from the state?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5741	79.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme5_1: How fitting for you personally: Important for me to be unprejudiced toward immigrants.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	629	8.7%
2	Good	1184	16.5%
3	Somewhat good	476	6.6%
4	Neither good nor bad	402	5.6%
5	Somewhat bad	89	1.2%
6	Bad	61	0.8%
7	Very bad	43	0.6%
97	Not answered	66	0.9%
98	Not asked	4243	59.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme5_2: How fitting for you personally: Don't want to appear racist, even to myself.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	789	11.0%
2	Good	1019	14.2%
3	Somewhat good	353	4.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	453	6.3%
5	Somewhat bad	113	1.6%
6	Bad	80	1.1%
7	Very bad	63	0.9%
97	Not answered	80	1.1%
98	Not asked	4243	59.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12meme5_3: How fitting for you personally: Feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

# r12meme5_3: How fitting for you personally: Feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.			
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	179	2.5%
2	Good	514	7.1%
3	Somewhat good	506	7.0%
4	Neither good nor bad	887	12.3%
5	Somewhat bad	232	3.2%
6	Bad	327	4.5%
7	Very bad	223	3.1%
97	Not answered	82	1.1%
98	Not asked	4243	59.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12meme5_4: How fitting for you personally: Try to be unprejudiced toward immigrants due to own convictions.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	570	7.9%
2	Good	1180	16.4%
3	Somewhat good	496	6.9%
4	Neither good nor bad	444	6.2%
5	Somewhat bad	84	1.2%
6	Bad	58	0.8%
7	Very bad	46	0.6%
97	Not answered	72	1.0%
98	Not asked	4243	59.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12meme8: How often think, read, or talk about the refugee crisis.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	In 2015, more than 31 000 people sought asylum in Norway.		
Literal question	When it comes to you personally, how often would you say you think about, read or talk about something that deals with this refugee crisis?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	88	1.2%
2	Weekly	306	4.3%

# r12meme8: How often think, read, or talk about the refugee crisis.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	A few times a month	395	5.5%
4	Less often, but it happens	591	8.2%
5	Never	91	1.3%
97	Not answered	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	5700	79.2%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12meme9_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 4 or 5. Randomly selects text element for r12meme9]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2924 /-] [Invalid=14087 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 4 or 5. Randomly selects text element for r12meme9]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	your local community	1434	49.0%
2	Norway	1490	51.0%
Sysmiss		14087	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12meme9: Has something changed in [your local community/Norway] because of refugee crisis.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	As you see it, has something changed in [your local community/Norway] as a result of the 2015 refugee crisis?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5. The wording of the question depends on the randomized value in r12meme9_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1392	19.4%
2	No	1457	20.3%
97	Not answered	101	1.4%
98	Not asked	4243	59.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12meme9_txt: Reason [r12meme9] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Please give the reasons for your response in the text box below.		
Post-question	You can be brief or write at length. We are looking for all types of answers		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 4 or 5] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12dv12_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects either r12dv12a or r12dv12b]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1472 /-] [Invalid=15539 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects either r12dv12a or r12dv12b]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gets R12DV12A	746	50.7%
2	Gets R12DV12B	726	49.3%

# r12dv12_ran: [Randomizes if r12group = 5. Randomly selects either r12dv12a or r12dv12b]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		15539	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12a_1: People generally feel this about immigrants: Anxiety.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Anxiety		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	47	0.7%
2	To a great degree	193	2.7%
3	To some degree	377	5.2%
4	To a small degree	76	1.1%
5	Not at all	24	0.3%
97	Not answered	29	0.4%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Systemmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12a_2: People generally feel this about immigrants: Fear.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	39	0.5%
2	To a great degree	158	2.2%
3	To some degree	344	4.8%
4	To a small degree	145	2.0%
5	Not at all	30	0.4%
97	Not answered	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Systemmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12a_3: People generally feel this about immigrants: Anger.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]		

r12dv12a_3: People generally feel this about immigrants: Anger.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	24	0.3%
2	To a great degree	114	1.6%
3	To some degree	292	4.1%
4	To a small degree	234	3.3%
5	Not at all	46	0.6%
97	Not answered	36	0.5%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv12a_4: People generally feel this about immigrants: Envy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?
Literal question	Envy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	10	0.1%
2	To a great degree	44	0.6%
3	To some degree	165	2.3%
4	To a small degree	294	4.1%
5	Not at all	197	2.7%
97	Not answered	36	0.5%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12dv12a_5: People generally feel this about immigrants: Compasion.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?
Literal question	Compasion
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	23	0.3%
2	To a great degree	231	3.2%
3	To some degree	365	5.1%
4	To a small degree	78	1.1%
5	Not at all	18	0.3%
97	Not answered	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12dv12a_6: People generally feel this about immigrants: Feel sorry for them.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?
Literal question	Feel sorry for them
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	22	0.3%
2	To a great degree	164	2.3%
3	To some degree	394	5.5%
4	To a small degree	112	1.6%
5	Not at all	23	0.3%
97	Not answered	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Systemss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12dv12a_7: People generally feel this about immigrants: Pride.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?
Literal question	Pride
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	1	0.0%
2	To a great degree	24	0.3%
3	To some degree	197	2.7%
4	To a small degree	358	5.0%
5	Not at all	113	1.6%
97	Not answered	53	0.7%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Systemss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12dv12a_8: People generally feel this about immigrants: Admiration.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?
Literal question	Admiration
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	0	
2	To a great degree	26	0.4%
3	To some degree	200	2.8%

# r12dv12a_8: People generally feel this about immigrants: Admiration.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	To a small degree	364	5.1%
5	Not at all	121	1.7%
97	Not answered	35	0.5%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12a_9: People generally feel this about immigrants: Disgust.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Disgust		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	6	0.1%
2	To a great degree	62	0.9%
3	To some degree	229	3.2%
4	To a small degree	286	4.0%
5	Not at all	127	1.8%
97	Not answered	36	0.5%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12a_10: People generally feel this about immigrants: Contempt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about immigrants. To what extent do people have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	8	0.1%
2	To a great degree	49	0.7%
3	To some degree	233	3.2%
4	To a small degree	275	3.8%
5	Not at all	148	2.1%
97	Not answered	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	6447	89.6%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_1: Personally feel this about immigrants: Anxiety.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		

# r12dv12b_1: Personally feel this about immigrants: Anxiety.			
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Anxiety		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	16	0.2%
2	To a great degree	34	0.5%
3	To some degree	188	2.6%
4	To a small degree	322	4.5%
5	Not at all	144	2.0%
97	Not answered	22	0.3%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_2: Personally feel this about immigrants: Fear.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	13	0.2%
2	To a great degree	30	0.4%
3	To some degree	120	1.7%
4	To a small degree	329	4.6%
5	Not at all	211	2.9%
97	Not answered	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_3: Personally feel this about immigrants: Anger.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	9	0.1%
2	To a great degree	21	0.3%
3	To some degree	99	1.4%
4	To a small degree	257	3.6%
5	Not at all	315	4.4%

# r12dv12b_3: Personally feel this about immigrants: Anger.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	25	0.3%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_4: Personally feel this about immigrants: Envy.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	1	0.0%
2	To a great degree	5	0.1%
3	To some degree	18	0.3%
4	To a small degree	146	2.0%
5	Not at all	531	7.4%
97	Not answered	25	0.3%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_5: Personally feel this about immigrants: Compassion.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Compassion		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	59	0.8%
2	To a great degree	265	3.7%
3	To some degree	294	4.1%
4	To a small degree	63	0.9%
5	Not at all	25	0.3%
97	Not answered	20	0.3%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_6: Personally feel this about immigrants: Feel sorry for them.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		

# r12dv12b_6: Personally feel this about immigrants: Feel sorry for them.			
Literal question	Feel sorry for them		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	26	0.4%
2	To a great degree	152	2.1%
3	To some degree	359	5.0%
4	To a small degree	120	1.7%
5	Not at all	45	0.6%
97	Not answered	24	0.3%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_7: Personally feel this about immigrants: Pride.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Pride		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	4	0.1%
2	To a great degree	50	0.7%
3	To some degree	212	2.9%
4	To a small degree	253	3.5%
5	Not at all	164	2.3%
97	Not answered	43	0.6%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_8: Personally feel this about immigrants: Admiration.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Admiration		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	8	0.1%
2	To a great degree	96	1.3%
3	To some degree	262	3.6%
4	To a small degree	205	2.8%
5	Not at all	125	1.7%
97	Not answered	30	0.4%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%

# r12dv12b_8: Personally feel this about immigrants: Admiration.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_9: Personally feel this about immigrants: Disgust.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Disgust		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	4	0.1%
2	To a great degree	9	0.1%
3	To some degree	40	0.6%
4	To a small degree	148	2.1%
5	Not at all	499	6.9%
97	Not answered	26	0.4%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12dv12b_10: Personally feel this about immigrants: Contempt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about immigrants. To what extent do you have the following feelings about immigrants as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 5 and r12dv12_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great degree	3	0.0%
2	To a great degree	9	0.1%
3	To some degree	36	0.5%
4	To a small degree	132	1.8%
5	Not at all	514	7.1%
97	Not answered	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	6467	89.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12arbeid: Main activity (occupational status) last seven days.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the descriptions below best fits what you have been doing over the past 7 days?		
Post-question	Tick one of the options.		
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]		

r12arbeid: Main activity (occupational status) last seven days.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In full-time paid work (or temporarily absent)	3520	48.9%
2	In part-time paid work (or temporarily absent) working 30 hours or more per week	362	5.0%
3	In part-time paid work (or temporarily absent) working less than 30 hours per week	292	4.1%
4	In education (not paid by an employer)	279	3.9%
5	Unemployed and actively seeking a job	70	1.0%
6	Unemployed and not actively seeking a job	44	0.6%
7	Permanently sick or disabled	269	3.7%
8	Retired	1850	25.7%
9	In military service	5	0.1%
10	Working at home, minding children or providing care to other persons	55	0.8%
11	Other:	319	4.4%
97	Not answered	128	1.8%
98	Not asked	0	
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12arbeid_11_other: Other [r12arbeid].[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which of the descriptions below best fits what you have been doing over the past 7 days?
Post-question	Tick one of the options.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r12tidlarbeid: Have you previously had income-producing work?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	Have you previously had income-producing work?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if (r12arbeid = 5 6 7 8 9 10 11) or (r12arbeid = 4 and r12P5_1 =< 6) .]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2617	36.4%
2	No	44	0.6%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4528	63.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12hovedyrke: Type of employment in main occupation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	In your main occupation [are/were/did] you
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if (r12arbeid = 1 2 3) or (r12tidlarbeid = 1) . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.]

# r12hovedyrke: Type of employment in main occupation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed	6125	85.2%
2	Self-employed	542	7.5%
3	[Working/Work] in a family-owned business	105	1.5%
97	Not answered	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	402	5.6%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12ansatte: Number of employees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	How many employees [do/did] you have?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke = 2 . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	259	3.6%
2	Fewer than 10 employees	212	2.9%
3	More than 10 employees	71	1.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6651	92.5%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12leder: Manage/managed how many employees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	[Do/Did] you have responsibility for managing other employees' work in your main job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke = 1 or r12hovedyrke = 3 . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4050	56.3%
2	Yes, I [manage/managed] 10 or more employees	1032	14.3%
3	Yes, I [manage/managed] fewer than 10 employees	1125	15.6%
97	Not answered	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	963	13.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12tittel: Title of main occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What [is/was] the name or title of your main occupation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if (r12arbeid = 1 2 3) or r12tidlarbeid = 1 . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12arbeidopp: Type of work in main occupation.[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# r12arbeidopp: Type of work in main occupation.[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What kind of work [do/did] you mostly do in your main occupation?		
Post-question	Please describe this so that we get a clear picture of what the work [entails/entailed].		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if (r12arbeid = 1 2 3) or r12tidlarbeid = 1. Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12arbeid_par: Spouse/partner: Currently engaged in income-producing work.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Does your spouse/partner currently have any income-producing work?		
Post-question	Tick the most suitable option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12tidlarbeid = 2 .]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9	0.1%
2	No	13	0.2%
3	Do not have a spouse/partner	18	0.3%
4	Am a widow/widower	3	0.0%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	7149	99.4%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12opp_par: Spouse/partner: Main tasks or source of livelihood.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	What are your spouse's/partner's main tasks or source of livelihood?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_par = 2 .]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Student, pupil	3	0.0%
2	At home	0	
3	Pensioner	8	0.1%
4	Unemployed	2	0.0%
5	Early pensioner, disabled	0	
6	Conscript	0	
7	Other	0	
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7180	99.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12tidlarb_par: Spouse/partner: Had income-producing work prior to receiving pension/social security.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Did your spouse/partner have income-producing work up to the time he/she started receiving a pension/social security or similar?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if (r12opp_par = 2 3 4 5) or r12arbeid_par = 4 .]		

r12tidlarb_par: Spouse/partner: Had income-producing work prior to receiving pension/social security.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9	0.1%
2	No	4	0.1%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7180	99.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12hovedyrke_par: Spouse/partner: Type of employment in main occupation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	In their main occupation, [is/was/did] your partner/spouse
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_par = 1 or r12tidlarb_par = 1 . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	employed	15	0.2%
2	self-employed	1	0.0%
3	[work/working] in a family-owned business	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	7175	99.7%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r12ansatte_par: Spouse/partner: Number of employees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	How many employees [does/did] your partner/spouse have?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke_par = 2 . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	1	0.0%
2	Fewer than 10 employees	0	
3	More than 10 employees	0	
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7192	100.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12leder_par: Spouse/partner: Manage/managed how many employees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	[Do/Did] your partner/spouse have responsibility for managing other employees' work in their main job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke_par = 1 or r12hovedyrke_par = 3 . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	11	0.2%
2	Yes, he/she [has/had] 10 or more employees	5	0.1%
3	Yes, he/she [has/had] fewer than 10 employees	0	
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7177	99.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12tittel_par: Spouse/partner: Title of occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What [is/was] the name or title of the main occupation of your partner/spouse?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_par = 1 or r12tidlarb_par = 1. Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12arbeidopp_par: Spouse/partner: Type of work in main occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What kind of work [does/did] your partner/spouse mostly do in their main occupation?		
Post-question	Please describe this so that we get a clear picture of what the work [entails/entailed].		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_par = 1 or r12tidlarb_par = 1. Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12arbeid_for: When you were fourteen years old, who was the main breadwinner in your household?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		

# r12arbeid_for: When you were fourteen years old, who was the main breadwinner in your household?			
Literal question	When you were fourteen years old, who was the main breadwinner in your household?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12tidlarb_par = 2 or r12arbeid_par = 3 or (r12opp_par = 1 6 7).]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	My father	5	0.1%
2	My mother	4	0.1%
3	Both parents	12	0.2%
4	Someone else	0	
5	No one in the household worked	1	0.0%
6	Don't know	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	7168	99.7%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12hovedyrke_far: Father: Type of employment in main occupation.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	In your father's main occupation, was/did he....		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_for = 1 or r12arbeid_for = 3 .]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	employed	13	0.2%
2	self-employed	3	0.0%
3	work in a family-owned business	0	
4	Don't know	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7176	99.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12ansatte_far: Father: Number of employees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Approximately how many employees did your father have?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke_far = 2 .]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	1	0.0%
2	Fewer than 10 employees	1	0.0%
3	More than 10 employees	0	
4	Don't know	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7190	100.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12leder_far: Father: Managed how many employees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

# r12leder_far: Father: Managed how many employees.			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Did your father have responsibility for managing other employees' work in their main job?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke_far = 1 or r12hovedyrke_far = 3 .]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	9	0.1%
2	Yes, he managed 10 or more employees	1	0.0%
3	Yes, he managed fewer than 10 employees	1	0.0%
4	Don't know	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	7180	99.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12tittel_far: Father: Title of occupation [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What was the name or title of your father's main occupation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_for = 1 or r12arbeid_for = 3]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12arbeidopp_far: Father: Type of work in main occupation. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What kind of work did your father mainly do in his main occupation?		
Post-question	Please describe this so that we get a clear picture of what the work entailed.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_for = 1 or r12arbeid_for = 3]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12hovedyrke_mor: Mother: Type of employment in main occupation.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	In your mother's main occupation, was/did she....		
Interviewer's instructions	[Spurt dersom r12arbeid_for = 2 eller r12arbeid_for = 3 .]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	employed	11	0.2%
2	self-employed	2	0.0%
3	work in a family-owned business	0	
4	Don't know	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	7177	99.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12ansatte_mor: Mother: Number of employees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]		
Literal question	Approximately how many employees did your mother have?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke_mor = 2 .]		

r12ansatte_mor: Mother: Number of employees.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	1	0.0%
2	Fewer than 10 employees	0	
3	More than 10 employees	0	
4	Don't know	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7191	100.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12leder_mor: Mother: Managed how many employees.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	Did your mother have responsibility for managing other employees' work in their main job?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12hovedyrke_mor = 1 or r12hovedyrke_mor = 3 .]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	7	0.1%
2	Yes, she managed 10 or more employees	1	0.0%
3	Yes, she managed fewer than 10 employees	1	0.0%
4	Don't know	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	7182	99.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12tittel_mor: Mother: Title of occupation. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What was the name or title of your mother's main occupation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_for = 2 or r12arbeid_for = 3]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r12arbeidopp_mor: Mother: Type of work in main occupation. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What kind of work did your mother mostly do in her main occupation?
Post-question	Please describe this so that we get a clear picture of what the work entailed.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeid_for = 2 or r12arbeid_for = 3]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r12arbeidolje_1: Workplace in the oil or gas industry, or closely related to it.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]
Literal question	[Is/was] your workplace in the oil or gas industry, or closely related to it?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if (r12arbeid = 1 2 3) or r12tidlarbeid = 1 . Question wording and response options vary in past / present form depending on the respondent's previously stated answers.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I [work/worked] in the oil or gas industry	289	4.0%

# r12arbeidolje_1: Workplace in the oil or gas industry, or closely related to it.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yes, my work [is/was] closely related to the oil or gas industry	273	3.8%
3	No, but I previously worked in, or was closely affiliated with, the oil/gas industry	190	2.6%
4	No	6017	83.7%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	415	5.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12arbeidolje_2_1: The year the first job in the oil or gas industry, or closely related to it, started.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-] [Mean=293.185 /-] [StdDev=576.013 /-]		
Literal question	When did your first job in the oil or gas industry begin (or your first job closely affiliated with the oil and gas industry)?		
Post-question	Indicate the year to the best of your memory.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeidolje_1 = 1 2 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered		
98	Not asked		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12arbeidolje_2_txt: Comment [r12arbeidolje][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have additional comments, please write them here:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12arbeidolje_1 = 1 2 3]. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r12pad14_backused: [r12pad14: Back button used]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=17010 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[For r12pad14 and r12pad15, the back button is disabled for the respondent. However, in some cases it may still be possible to override this limitation by using the navigation buttons in the browser. This register if the respondent navigated backwards in the questionnaire from r12pad14.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Back button used	1	100.0%
Sysmiss		17010	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad14_entered: [Registers if the respondent has entered r12pad14]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1403 /-] [Invalid=15608 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Registers if the respondent has entered r12pad14]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Experiment started	1403	100.0%
Sysmiss		15608	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r12pad14_1: Decision 1: Invest how much in the joint kitty.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1284 /-] [Invalid=15727 /-] [Mean=642.94 /-] [StdDev=314.074 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>You and another person in this round of the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn and paired. Each person will receive a thousand kroner that can be invested in the pair's joint kitty. For every hundred kroner invested, an additional fifty kroner will be added to the pair's joint kitty. Each person's final payout is the amount the person keeps for himself/herself and does not invest, plus half the amount in the pair's joint kitty. You and the other person will receive half of the joint kitty regardless of how much each of you chooses to invest.</p> <p>We ask you now to make two independent decisions. In the first decision you will not know what the other person is investing, in the next decision you will choose what you want to invest, given the investments of the other person. Which of the two decisions that will apply to the final payout will be randomly drawn. Of all the persons in the Norwegian Citizen Panel who take part in this financial experiment, we will randomly draw ten people who will receive the payout from one of his/her two decisions.</p>		
Literal question	<p>Decision 1: Please specify how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty.</p> <p>I would like to invest...</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]		
# r12pad15_entered: [Registers if the respondent has entered r12pad15]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1395 /-] [Invalid=15616 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Registers if the respondent has entered r12pad15]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has entered r12pad15	1395	100.0%
Sysmiss		15616	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad15_backused: [r12pad15: Back button used]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=138 /-] [Invalid=16873 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[For r12pad14 and r12pad15, the back button is disabled for the respondent. However, in some cases it may still be possible to override this limitation by using the navigation buttons in the browser. This registers if the respondent navigated backwards in the questionnaire from r12pad15.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Back button used	138	100.0%
Sysmiss		16873	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12pad15_1: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 0 kroner, I choose to invest...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1047 /-] [Invalid=15964 /-] [Mean=285.86 /-] [StdDev=364.36 /-]		
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:		
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 0 kroner, I choose to invest		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]		
# r12pad15_2: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 100 kroner, I choose to invest...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1027 /-] [Invalid=15984 /-] [Mean=320.346 /-] [StdDev=323.777 /-]		
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:		
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 100 kroner, I choose to invest		

# r12pad15_2: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 100 kroner, I choose to invest...	
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]
# r12pad15_3: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 200 kroner, I choose to invest...	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1020 /-] [Invalid=15991 /-] [Mean=379.281 /-] [StdDev=291.736 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 200 kroner, I choose to invest
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]
# r12pad15_4: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 300 kroner, I choose to invest...	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1017 /-] [Invalid=15994 /-] [Mean=436.521 /-] [StdDev=266.944 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 300 kroner, I choose to invest
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]
# r12pad15_5: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 400 kroner, I choose to invest...	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1014 /-] [Invalid=15997 /-] [Mean=499.749 /-] [StdDev=250.495 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 400 kroner, I choose to invest
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]
# r12pad15_6: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 500 kroner, I choose to invest...	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1097 /-] [Invalid=15914 /-] [Mean=552.973 /-] [StdDev=233.27 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 500 kroner, I choose to invest
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]
# r12pad15_7: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 600 kroner, I choose to invest...	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1014 /-] [Invalid=15997 /-] [Mean=610.732 /-] [StdDev=241.313 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 600 kroner, I choose to invest
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]
# r12pad15_8: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 700 kroner, I choose to invest...	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1014 /-] [Invalid=15997 /-] [Mean=661.339 /-] [StdDev=252.985 /-]
Pre-question	Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:
Literal question	Given that the other person invests 700 kroner, I choose to invest

# r12pad15_8: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 700 kroner, I choose to invest...			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]	
# r12pad15_9: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 800 kroner, I choose to invest...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1016 /-] [Invalid=15995 /-] [Mean=713.907 /-] [StdDev=268.362 /-]	
Pre-question		Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:	
Literal question		Given that the other person invests 800 kroner, I choose to invest	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]	
# r12pad15_10: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 900 kroner, I choose to invest...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1007 /-] [Invalid=16004 /-] [Mean=761.127 /-] [StdDev=291.967 /-]	
Pre-question		Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:	
Literal question		Given that the other person invests 900 kroner, I choose to invest	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]	
# r12pad15_11: Decision 2: Given that the other person invests 1000 kroner, I choose to invest...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1072 /-] [Invalid=15939 /-] [Mean=811.815 /-] [StdDev=319.584 /-]	
Pre-question		Please indicate below how much of your thousand kroner you would like to invest in the joint kitty, given each amount the other person may choose to invest:	
Literal question		Given that the other person invests 1000 kroner, I choose to invest	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r12group = 3. Economic experiment. Back button disabled.]	
# r12P1: Gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3534	49.1%
2	Female	3659	50.9%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12P2: Region			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	2043	28.4%
2	Eastern Norway	1680	23.4%
3	Southern Norway	357	5.0%
4	Western Norway	1953	27.2%
5	Trøndelag	618	8.6%
6	Northern Norway	542	7.5%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r12P3: County			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	918	12.8%
2	Oslo	1125	15.6%
3	Østfold	350	4.9%
4	Vestfold	326	4.5%
5	Hedmark	212	2.9%
6	Oppland	216	3.0%
7	Buskerud	374	5.2%
8	Telemark	202	2.8%
9	Vest-Agder	227	3.2%
10	Aust-Agder	130	1.8%
11	Rogaland	657	9.1%
12	Hordaland	866	12.0%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	128	1.8%
14	Møre og Romsdal	302	4.2%
15	Trøndelag	618	8.6%
16	Nordland	269	3.7%
17	Troms	199	2.8%
18	Finmark	74	1.0%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12P4_1: Highest completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	524	7.3%
2	Upper secondary education	2055	28.6%
3	University/University college	4344	60.4%
97	Not answered	270	3.8%
Sysmiss		9818	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r12P4_2: Highest completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	25	0.3%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	499	6.9%
3	Secondary education-general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School)	827	11.5%

r12P4_2: Highest completed education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Secondary education-vocational line of study/education programme (VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam)	881	12.2%
5	Diploma from supplementary programme for general university and college admissions certification (tertiary vocational education, vocational technical college)	347	4.8%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	669	9.3%
7	College-3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, etc.)	1507	21.0%
8	University-3-4 year education (Bachelor, cand.mag.)	516	7.2%
9	College-5-6 year education (Master, major)	479	6.7%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors (longer professional education (MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economic	1014	14.1%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	159	2.2%
12	None of the above (please enter):	199	2.8%
97	Not answered	71	1.0%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	214	3.0%
2	1940-1949	1280	17.8%
3	1950-1959	1739	24.2%
4	1960-1969	1528	21.2%
5	1970-1979	1075	14.9%
6	1980-1989	797	11.1%
7	1990 or later	560	7.8%
Sysmiss		9818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12P5_2: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7193 /-] [Invalid=9818 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	3233	44.9%
2	1960-1989	3400	47.3%
3	1990 or later	560	7.8%
Sysmiss		9818	








Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r12Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r12Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
# r13interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# r13interview_end: [Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6816 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Date and time of when the respondentn completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]		
# r13rekruttert: [The wave the respondent was recruited.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[The wave the respondent was recruited.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave1	1808	25.1%
3	Wave3	2000	27.7%
8	Wave8	2061	28.6%
11	Wave11	1340	18.6%
Systemmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13browsertype: [Browertype used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browertype used by respondent]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		3421	47.5%
Firefox		404	5.6%
IE		5	0.1%
InternetExplorer		683	9.5%
Mozilla		9	0.1%
Opera		1	0.0%
Safari		2682	37.2%
Unknown		4	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Browser version used by respondent]		
# r13mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		

# r13mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]			
Interviewer's instructions		[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	annet	4546	63.1%
1	mobil	2663	36.9%
Systemmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13opplosning: [Screen resolution of the applied device]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Screen resolution of the applied device]	

# r13enhetstype: [Determines respondents device type.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	4659	 64.6%
2	Touch	2535	 35.2%
3	Generisk	15	 0.2%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13advancedwifeaturesenabled: [Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
False		18	 0.2%
True		7191	 99.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13getrenderingmode: [Detects the browsers rendering mode]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Detects the browsers rendering mode]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
desktop		4659	 64.6%
generic		15	 0.2%
touch		2535	 35.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13group: [Subgroup in wave 13. Randomized.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Subgroup in wave 12. Randomized.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	g1	1454	 20.2%
2	g2	1482	 20.6%
3	g3	1496	 20.8%
4	g4	1407	 19.5%
5	g5	1370	 19.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pk6_1: Like/Dislike: The Christian Democratic Party.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		

r13pk6_1: Like/Dislike: The Christian Democratic Party.

Pre-question We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Christian Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	848	11.8%
2	Really dislike	1184	16.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	1740	24.1%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1496	20.8%
5	Like somewhat	1372	19.0%
6	Really like	388	5.4%
7	Intensely like	114	1.6%
97	Not answered	67	0.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pk6_2: Like/Dislike: The Conservative Party.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	258	3.6%
2	Really dislike	925	12.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	1309	18.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	877	12.2%
5	Like somewhat	1719	23.8%
6	Really like	1596	22.1%
7	Intensely like	469	6.5%
97	Not answered	56	0.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pk6_3: Like/Dislike: The Progress Party.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Progress Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	2136	29.6%
2	Really dislike	1440	20.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	967	13.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	592	8.2%
5	Like somewhat	1122	15.6%
6	Really like	680	9.4%
7	Intensely like	209	2.9%
97	Not answered	63	0.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

r13pk6_3: Like/Dislike: The Progress Party.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pk6_4: Like/Dislike: The Liberal Party.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	466	6.5%
2	Really dislike	939	13.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	1588	22.0%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1910	26.5%
5	Like somewhat	1702	23.6%
6	Really like	455	6.3%
7	Intensely like	71	1.0%
97	Not answered	78	1.1%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pk6_5: Like/Dislike: The Socialist Left Party.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Socialist Left Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	898	12.5%
2	Really dislike	1193	16.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	1238	17.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1230	17.1%
5	Like somewhat	1414	19.6%
6	Really like	898	12.5%
7	Intensely like	264	3.7%
97	Not answered	74	1.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pk6_6: Like/Dislike: The Centre Party.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.

Literal question The Centre Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	321	4.5%
2	Really dislike	678	9.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	1341	18.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	2011	27.9%

# r13pk6_6: Like/Dislike: The Centre Party.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Like somewhat	1827	25.3%
6	Really like	762	10.6%
7	Intensely like	184	2.6%
97	Not answered	85	1.2%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pk6_7: Like/Dislike: The Green Party.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Literal question	The Green Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1207	16.7%
2	Really dislike	1140	15.8%
3	Dislike somewhat	1261	17.5%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1183	16.4%
5	Like somewhat	1569	21.8%
6	Really like	617	8.6%
7	Intensely like	160	2.2%
97	Not answered	72	1.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pk6_8: Like/Dislike: The Labour Party.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		
Literal question	The Labour Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	294	4.1%
2	Really dislike	666	9.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	1221	16.9%
4	Neither dislike nor like	998	13.8%
5	Like somewhat	2173	30.1%
6	Really like	1481	20.5%
7	Intensely like	310	4.3%
97	Not answered	66	0.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pk6_9: Like/Dislike: The Red Party.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like you to rate how much you like or dislike the various Norwegian political parties.		

r13pk6_9: Like/Dislike: The Red Party.

Literal question	The Red Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1475	20.5%
2	Really dislike	1207	16.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1063	14.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1316	18.3%
5	Like somewhat	1243	17.2%
6	Really like	582	8.1%
7	Intensely like	236	3.3%
97	Not answered	87	1.2%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme1_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13meme1_1-3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1370 /-] [Invalid=15641 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme1_1-3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norway	685	50.0%
2	your local community	685	50.0%
Sysmiss		15641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme1_1: Most important political issue: 1. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are, in your opinion, the main political issues or areas for [Norway/your local community]?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r13meme1_2: Most important political issue: 2. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are, in your opinion, the main political issues or areas for [Norway/your local community]?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r13meme1_3: Most important political issue: 3. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are, in your opinion, the main political issues or areas for [Norway/your local community]?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 the second most important and 3 is the third most important.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# r13km1: What do you think when you hear or read "climate change" [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read "climate change"		
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r8km1 is answered] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# eips2018c_ran: [Collects values from eips2017c_ran]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1760 /-] [Invalid=15251 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Collects values from eips2017c_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[BLANK]	355	20.2%
2	for you personally	357	20.3%
3	for Norway	358	20.3%
4	for Europe	338	19.2%
5	for the world	352	20.0%
Sysmiss		15251	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# eips2018c: To what degree do you see climate change as a threat [BLANK/for you personally/for Norway/for Europe/for the World].			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent do you see climate change as a threat [BLANK / for you personally / for Norway / for Europe / for the world]?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if value on eips2017c_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 No threat at all	63	0.9%
2	1	32	0.4%
3	2	81	1.1%
4	3	135	1.9%
5	4	110	1.5%
6	5	244	3.4%
7	6	217	3.0%
8	7	313	4.3%
9	8	296	4.1%
10	9	126	1.7%
11	10 Extreme threat	140	1.9%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5449	75.6%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13km_moral_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13km_moral if r13group = 4 or 5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2777 /-] [Invalid=14234 /-]		

# r13km_moral_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13km_moral if r13group = 4 or 5]			
Interviewer's instructions		[Randomly selects text element for r13km_moral if r13group = 4 or 5]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	B: The responsibility for emission cuts should be allocated as fairly as possible between the countries, even though it may lead to significant costs for Norway	698	25.1%
2	B: The countries that have up until now emitted the most greenhouse gases should make the biggest cuts, even though it may lead to significant costs for Norway	538	19.4%
3	B: The wealthy countries should make the biggest cuts, even though it may lead to significant costs for Norway	492	17.7%
4	B: All countries should reduce their emissions by the same percentage, even though it may lead to significant costs for Norway	555	20.0%
5	B: All people should be entitled to emit the same amount of Co2 greenhouse gases, with the size of a country's population determining the amount of greenhouse gases the country can emit, even though it may lead to significant costs for Norway	494	17.8%
Sysmiss		14234	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13km_moral: Agree with which position on allocation of emission cuts: Norwegian economic interests vs [R13KM_MORAL_RAN].			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		The following describes two opposing positions in the question of how responsibility for emission cuts should be allocated between countries in international climate agreements.	
Literal question		Which of these positions do you most agree with?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 4 or 5]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree very much more with A	123	1.7%
2	Agree much more with A	165	2.3%
3	Agree somewhat more with A	285	4.0%
4	Agree somewhat more with B	624	8.7%
5	Agree much more with B	772	10.7%
6	Agree very much more with B	537	7.4%
7	Agree with neither A nor B	240	3.3%
97	Not answered	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	4432	61.5%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmmoral_open: Elaboration on allocation of climate cuts between countries [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question		We would like to ask you to elaborate on your opinion on how the responsibility for emission cuts should be allocated between countries.	
Post-question		We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 4 or 5][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	

# r13kmplast: Participated in campaigns to collect plastic from nature last 12 months.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	This question applies to plastic in the ocean, and organised campaigns designed to collect plastic from the countryside, e.g. on beaches, along the coast, in rivers and in lakes.		
Literal question	Have you taken part in any campaigns designed to collect plastic from the countryside during the last 12 months?		
Post-question	Please select the most suitable option.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, I have participated in one or more organised campaigns designed to collect the plastic from the countryside	87	1.2%
2	I have collected plastic on my own (not as part of an organised campaign).	517	7.2%
3	I have wanted to collect plastic, but so far I have not done so.	277	3.8%
4	No.	570	7.9%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmplast_text: Comments to [R13KMPLAST] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	If you have any comments, please write them here.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r13km30: To what degree moral duty for individuals to contribute to prevent climate change.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	To what degree do you regard it as a moral duty for individuals to contribute towards preventing climate change?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	429	6.0%
2	To a great extent	588	8.2%
3	Somewhat	369	5.1%
4	To a small extent	45	0.6%
5	Not at all	19	0.3%
97	Not answered	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13km64: How positive or negative will climate change be for Norway.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Climate change will affect each country in different ways.		
Literal question	How positive or negative do you think climate change will be for Norway?		

# r13km64: How positive or negative will climate change be for Norway.			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only positive	16	0.2%
2	More positive than negative	151	2.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	284	3.9%
4	More negative than positive	872	12.1%
5	Only negative	119	1.7%
97	Not answered	12	0.2%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13km21_1: Feel this about climate change: Hope.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?	
Literal question		Hope	
Post-question		Please rate each feeling on the given scale that goes from “not at all” to “very strong”.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	80	1.1%
2	Slightly	302	4.2%
3	Somewhat	760	10.5%
4	Strongly	243	3.4%
5	Very strongly	35	0.5%
97	Not answered	34	0.5%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13km21_2: Feel this about climate change: Sadness.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?	
Literal question		Sadness	
Post-question		Please rate each feeling on the given scale that goes from “not at all” to “very strong”.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	107	1.5%
2	Slightly	270	3.7%
3	Somewhat	593	8.2%
4	Strongly	347	4.8%
5	Very strongly	99	1.4%
97	Not answered	38	0.5%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%

r13km21_2: Feel this about climate change: Sadness.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13km21_3: Feel this about climate change: Fear.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Fear
Post-question	Please rate each feeling on the given scale that goes from “not at all” to “very strong”.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	152	2.1%
2	Slightly	375	5.2%
3	Somewhat	613	8.5%
4	Strongly	223	3.1%
5	Very strongly	55	0.8%
97	Not answered	36	0.5%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13km21_4: Feel this about climate change: Anger.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Anger
Post-question	Please rate each feeling on the given scale that goes from “not at all” to “very strong”.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	207	2.9%
2	Slightly	398	5.5%
3	Somewhat	537	7.4%
4	Strongly	212	2.9%
5	Very strongly	62	0.9%
97	Not answered	38	0.5%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13km21_5: Feel this about climate change: Guilt.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?
Literal question	Guilt
Post-question	Please rate each feeling on the given scale that goes from “not at all” to “very strong”.

r13km21_5: Feel this about climate change: Guilt.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	214	3.0%
2	Slightly	497	6.9%
3	Somewhat	583	8.1%
4	Strongly	111	1.5%
5	Very strongly	18	0.2%
97	Not answered	31	0.4%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13km21_6: Feel this about climate change: Tired of it.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question When it comes to climate change and everything you associate with it, how strongly do you feel the following feelings?

Literal question Tired of it

Post-question Please rate each feeling on the given scale that goes from “not at all” to “very strong”.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	458	6.4%
2	Slightly	383	5.3%
3	Somewhat	426	5.9%
4	Strongly	89	1.2%
5	Very strongly	58	0.8%
97	Not answered	40	0.6%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13kmkopris_ran: [Randomly selects direction of response scale for r13kmkopris if r13group = 1]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1448 /-] [Invalid=15563 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly selects direction of response scale for r13kmkopris if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative - Very positive	708	48.9%
2	Very positive - Very negative	740	51.1%
Sysmiss		15563	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13kmkopris: Positive or negative about rush hour increases in toll ring cost.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question Several Norwegian cities have introduced a toll scheme which would cost more to cross the toll ring during rush hour and cost less outside of rush hour.

Literal question Do you feel positive or negative about such a scheme?

# r13kmpokpris: Positive or negative about rush hour increases in toll ring cost.			
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	137	1.9%
2	Positive	268	3.7%
3	Somewhat positive	238	3.3%
4	Neither negative nor positive	147	2.0%
5	Somewhat negative	203	2.8%
6	Negative	185	2.6%
7	Very negative	266	3.7%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13km_kopris_open: Comment on [R13KMKOPRIS] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question		You can write a comment here if you want:	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 1][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	
# r13km_klima_tiltak: Agree/disagree: Unsure about what I can do to reduce climate change.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Literal question		I'm unsure what I can do in my daily life to reduce climate change.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	28	0.4%
2	Agree	124	1.7%
3	Agree somewhat	266	3.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	228	3.2%
5	Disagree somewhat	332	4.6%
6	Disagree	376	5.2%
7	Strongly disagree	91	1.3%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13km_politisk_tiltak: Agree/disagree: I am unsure about what policy measure are good for reducing climate change.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Literal question		I am unsure what policy measures are good for reducing climate change.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	59	0.8%

r13km_politisk_tiltak: Agree/disagree: I am unsure about what policy measure are good for reducing climate change.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	205	2.8%
3	Agree somewhat	384	5.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	214	3.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	259	3.6%
6	Disagree	250	3.5%
7	Strongly disagree	69	1.0%
97	Not answered	14	0.2%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13km_politisk_tiltak_open: Comment on [R13KM_POLITISK_TILTAK] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you have additional comments regarding policy measures, please write them here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r13polkom10: How confident that fact-checking services present facts.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	In Norway, we have various fact-checking services (such as Faktisk.no) that fact-check Norwegian public debate. The aim of such fact-checking services is to contribute to a fact-based public debate and prevent the spread of fictitious messages and news.
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have that such services present the facts?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	67	0.9%
2	High confidence	485	6.7%
3	Some confidence	637	8.8%
4	Little confidence	154	2.1%
5	No confidence at all	32	0.4%
97	Not answered	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	5802	80.5%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom11_parti: [Randomly selects political party for r13polkom11 if r13group = 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1388 /-] [Invalid=15623 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects political party for r13polkom11 if r13group = 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Red Party	133	9.6%
2	The Socialist Left Party	154	11.1%
3	The Labour Party	155	11.2%

# r13polkom11_parti: [Randomly selects political party for r13polkom11 if r13group = 4]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	The Centre Party	150	10.8%
5	The Green Party	170	12.2%
6	The Liberal Party	137	9.9%
7	The Christian Democrats	170	12.2%
8	The Conservative Party	155	11.2%
9	The Progress Party	164	11.8%
Sysmiss		15623	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom11_konklusjon: [Randomly selects conclusion for r13polkom11 if r13group = 4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1388 /-] [Invalid=15623 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects conclusion for r13polkom11 if r13group = 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completely true.	314	22.6%
2	completely wrong.	362	26.1%
3	completely wrong. Afterwards, key politicians from the same party agree with the conclusion of the fact-checking service.	346	24.9%
4	completely wrong. Afterwards, key politicians from the same party disagree with the conclusion of the fact-checking service.	366	26.4%
Sysmiss		15623	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom11: Confidence in fact-checking service given that statement from [party] was deemed [wrong/true.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Imagine that you read a fact-check from a Norwegian fact-checking service that concludes that a statement by a politician from [The Red Party/The Socialist Left Party/The Labour Party/The Centre Party/The Green Party/The Liberal Party/The Christian Democrats/The Conservative Party/The Progress Party] is [completely true/completely wrong/completely wrong. Afterwards, key politicians from the same party agree with the conclusion of the fact-checking service/completely wrong. Afterwards, key politicians from the same party disagree with the conclusion of the fact-checking service].		
Literal question	Based on the above information, how much confidence would you have had in the conclusion of the fact-checking service on this matter?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high confidence	83	1.2%
2	High confidence	464	6.4%
3	Some confidence	574	8.0%
4	Little confidence	194	2.7%
5	No confidence at all	42	0.6%
97	Not answered	50	0.7%
98	Not asked	5802	80.5%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmt_ran: [Randomly selects direction of response scale for r13kmtfamiliar, r13kmtresearch and r13kmtuse]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# r13kmkt_ran: [Randomly selects direction of response scale for r13kmktfamiliar, r13kmktresearch and r13kmktuse]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1495 /-] [Invalid=15516 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects direction of response scale for r13kmktfamiliar, r13kmktresearch and r13kmktuse]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	727	48.6%
2	2	768	51.4%
Sysmiss		15516	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmktfamiliar_scale: [Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktfamiliar, based on r13kmkt_ran]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1495 /-] [Invalid=15516 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktfamiliar, based on r13kmkt_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No knowledge -> Good knowledge	727	48.6%
2	Good knowledge -> No knowledge	768	51.4%
Sysmiss		15516	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmktfamiliar: How much knowledge about climate manipulation (solar geoengineering).			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>The greenhouse gas carbon dioxide (CO2) traps heat in the atmosphere. When there is more carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, the climate can change, which will lead to it becoming warmer, with more droughts and heat waves, a rise in sea levels and storms becoming more powerful.</p> <p>One method for reducing the extent of climate change may possibly be so-called "climate manipulation" (solar geoengineering), for example by shading the sun.</p>		
Literal question	How much knowledge would you say that you have about this method?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good knowledge	18	0.2%
2	Some knowledge	168	2.3%
3	Little knowledge	489	6.8%
4	No knowledge	811	11.2%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	5713	79.2%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmktresearch_scale: [Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktresearch, based on r13kmkt_ran]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1487 /-] [Invalid=15524 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktresearch, based on r13kmkt_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against such research ->Strongly in favour of such research	725	48.8%
2	Strongly in favour of such research ->Strongly against such research	762	51.2%

# r13kmktresearch_scale: [Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktresearch, based on r13kmkt_ran]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		15524	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmktresearch: Should be research program for climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Some experts have proposed a new approach to limiting climate change by shading the sun. This method will involve spreading particles such as sulphur aerosols in the atmosphere to reflect some of the sunlight back into space. By reducing the amount of sunlight coming to earth, it is expected that this method can cool down the planet and reduce several other climate changes.		
Literal question	Do you think there should be an international research program to explore the benefits and risks associated with shading the sun using particles in the atmosphere?		
Post-question	Please choose the option that comes closest to your view of such research:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favour of such research	322	4.5%
2	Somewhat in favour of such research	690	9.6%
3	Somewhat against such research	272	3.8%
4	Strongly against such research	183	2.5%
97	Not answered	29	0.4%
98	Not asked	5713	79.2%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmktuse_scale: [Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktuse, based on r13kmkt_ran]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1484 /-] [Invalid=15527 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Indicates direction of response scale for r13kmktuse, based on r13kmkt_ran]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree -> Strongly agree	724	48.8%
2	Strongly agree-> Strongly disagree	760	51.2%
Sysmiss		15527	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13kmktuse: Agree/disagree: Climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere should be used to limit global warming.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "Shading the sun by using particles in the atmosphere should be used to limit global warming"		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	36	0.5%
2	Agree somewhat	526	7.3%
3	Disagree somewhat	537	7.4%
4	Strongly disagree	326	4.5%

r13kmtuse: Agree/disagree: Climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere should be used to limit global warming.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	71	1.0%
98	Not asked	5713	79.2%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r13kmtresearchsecond_1: What percentage of Norwegian population would support research into climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=15613 /-] [Mean=36.858 /-] [StdDev=21.564 /-]		
Literal question	What proportion of the Norwegian population do you think will support an international research programme of the type we have just mentioned? (I.e. an international research program to explore the benefits and risks associated with shading the sun using particles in the atmosphere?)		
Post-question	Please enter a number from 0 (none) to 100 (all).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3]		
# r13kmtusesecond_1: What proportion of Norwegian population would support using climate manipulation with particles in the atmosphere.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1378 /-] [Invalid=15633 /-] [Mean=29.603 /-] [StdDev=19.924 /-]		
Literal question	What proportion of the Norwegian population do you believe will agree with this assertion: "Shading the sun by using particles in the atmosphere should be used to limit global warming"?		
Post-question	Please enter a number from 0 (none) to 100 (all).		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3]		
# r13kmtresearchopen: Justification of response : [R13KMTRESEARCH][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	You replied that you were [r13kmtresearch] an international research program to explore the benefits and risks associated with shading the sun using particles in the atmosphere.		
Literal question	Can you write some words or sentences in the box below to justify your response?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3 and if response on r13kmtresearch][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r13polkom2_ran: [Randomly selects r13polkom2 or r13polkom5. Randomizes if 13group = 3]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1470 /-] [Invalid=15541 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects r13polkom2 or r13polkom5. Randomizes if 13group = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent does not get R13POLKOM2	774	52.7%
2	Respondent gets R13POLKOM2	696	47.3%
Sysmiss		15541	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom2: Open: Difference between real and fake news. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Fake news has been discussed a lot lately.		
Literal question	We are interested in what you think is the difference between real and fake news?		
Post-question	We want all types of answers; a couple of sentences would be good, or just a few words if that is better for you.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3 and r13polkom2_ran = 2][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r13polkom4_1_stilling: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects a person 1's position.. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		

# r13polkom4_1_stilling: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects a person 1's position.. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects a person 1's position.. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professor	974	34.2%
2	PhD Research Fellow	939	33.0%
3	Independent researcher without a PhD	932	32.8%
Sysmiss		14166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom4_2_stilling: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects a person 2's position.. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects a person 2's position.. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professor	936	32.9%
2	PhD Research Fellow	988	34.7%
3	Independent researcher without a PhD	921	32.4%
Sysmiss		14166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom4_1_kom: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	frequently appears as an expert in the media	932	32.8%
2	rarely appears as an expert in the media	967	34.0%
3	never appears as an expert in the media	946	33.3%
Sysmiss		14166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom4_2_kom: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects visibility in the media for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	frequently appears as an expert in the media	972	34.2%
2	rarely appears as an expert in the media	915	32.2%
3	never appears as an expert in the media	958	33.7%
Sysmiss		14166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r13polkom4_1_gender: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1416	49.8%
2	Female	1429	50.2%
Sysmiss		14166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom4_2_gender: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects gender for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1439	50.6%
2	Female	1406	49.4%
Sysmiss		14166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom4_1_parti: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not known	227	8.0%
2	Politically neutral	276	9.7%
3	The Red Party	243	8.5%
4	The Socialist Left Party	256	9.0%
5	The Labour Party	279	9.8%
6	The Centre Party	263	9.2%
7	The Green Party	259	9.1%
8	The Liberal Party	272	9.6%
9	The Christian Democrats	273	9.6%
10	The Conservative Party	266	9.3%
11	The Progress Party	231	8.1%
Sysmiss		14166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom4_2_parti: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]		

r13polkom4_2_parti: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects political standpoint for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not known	276	9.7%
2	Politically neutral	265	9.3%
3	The Red Party	250	8.8%
4	The Socialist Left Party	252	8.9%
5	The Labour Party	239	8.4%
6	The Centre Party	273	9.6%
7	The Green Party	267	9.4%
8	The Liberal Party	232	8.2%
9	The Christian Democrats	264	9.3%
10	The Conservative Party	270	9.5%
11	The Progress Party	257	9.0%
Sysmiss		14166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom4_1_publ: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	in an internationally leading scientific journal	933	32.8%
2	in a scientific Norwegian journal	945	33.2%
3	as a Norwegian report	967	34.0%
Sysmiss		14166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom4_2_publ: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects publication for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	in an internationally leading scientific journal	972	34.2%
2	in a scientific Norwegian journal	950	33.4%
3	as a Norwegian report	923	32.4%
Sysmiss		14166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom4_1_funns: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

r13polkom4_1_funn: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	the number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers	1484	52.2%
2	the number of municipalities should not be reduced further by more mergers	1361	47.8%
Sysmiss		14166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom4_2_funn: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects findings for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	the number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers	1461	51.4%
2	the number of municipalities should not be reduced further by more mergers	1384	48.6%
Sysmiss		14166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom4_1_metode: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 1. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	depth interviews	982	34.5%
2	experiments	924	32.5%
3	a survey	939	33.0%
Sysmiss		14166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom4_2_metode: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=14166 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects method for person 2. Randomizes if r13group = 3 or 4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	depth interviews	975	34.3%
2	experiments	952	33.5%
3	a survey	918	32.3%
Sysmiss		14166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom4_order: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Specifies the order of the various properties shown in the table that is displayed to the respondent]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

r13polkom4_order: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Specifies the order of the various properties shown in the table that is displayed to the respondent]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2845 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Specifies the order of the various properties shown in the table that is displayed to the respondent. 1 = Position and employment 2 = Visibility in the media 3 = Gender 4 = Political standpoint 5 = The person's latest scientific article has been published... 6 = The person's latest scientific article finds that immigration is... 7 = The person's latest scientific article uses...]

r13polkom4: Which person gives the most credible information about the consequences of municipality mergers?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Below we present two hypothetical persons who research the consequences of municipal mergers in Norway. Please read the descriptions of both persons carefully and answer the question below. [Prints out the values of r13polkom4_1_stilling, r13polkom4_2_stilling, r13polkom4_1_kom, r13polkom4_2_kom, r13polkom4_1_gender, r13polkom4_2_gender, r13polkom4_1_parti, r13polkom4_2_parti, r13polkom4_1_publ, r13polkom4_2_publ, r13polkom4_1_funn, r13polkom4_2_funn, r13polkom4_1_metode, r13polkom4_2_metode] Let's say you didn't have any information other than the above.
Literal question	If you had to make a choice, which of these two do you think would give you the most credible information about the consequences of municipality mergers in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to two people with varying characteristics. Characteristics of the persons are chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 3 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	1346	18.7%
2	Person 2	1351	18.7%
97	Not answered	206	2.9%
98	Not asked	4306	59.7%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad1: For/against: Ban on begging in your municipality.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Here are two issues that have been debated in local politics in Norway. We would like to hear your opinion on the issue.
Literal question	Firstly, what is your opinion on a ban on begging in your municipality?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I am in favour of a ban on begging in the municipality	1705	23.7%
2	I am against a ban on begging in the municipality	1103	15.3%
97	Not answered	81	1.1%
98	Not asked	4320	59.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad2: How important is the issue of begging bans to you?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

# r13pad2: How important is the issue of begging bans to you?			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How important is the issue of begging bans to you?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	179	2.5%
2	Important	530	7.4%
3	Fairly important	914	12.7%
4	Not very important	1013	14.1%
5	Not at all important	197	2.7%
97	Not answered	56	0.8%
98	Not asked	4320	59.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad3: For/against: Increase in tolls for diesel cars in your municipality.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	The second issue is about diesel cars.		
Literal question	What is your opinion on an increase in the tolls for diesel cars in your municipality?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I am in favour of an increase in the tolls for diesel cars	705	9.8%
2	I am against an increase in the tolls for diesel cars	2101	29.1%
97	Not answered	83	1.2%
98	Not asked	4320	59.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad4: How important is the issue of increased tolls for diesel cars to you?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How important is the issue of increased tolls for diesel cars to you?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	487	6.8%
2	Important	776	10.8%
3	Fairly important	754	10.5%
4	Not very important	610	8.5%
5	Not at all important	201	2.8%
97	Not answered	61	0.8%
98	Not asked	4320	59.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r13pad6_ran: [Randomly chooses r13pad6a, r13pad7a, and r13pad8a, or r13pad6b, r13pad7b, and r13pad8b. . Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 /-] [Invalid=14168 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly chooses r13pad6a, r13pad7a og r13pad8a, or r13pad6b, r13pad7b or r13pad8b. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent gets R13PAD6A, R13PAD7A, and R13PAD8A	1483	52.2%
2	Respondent gets R13PAD6B, R13PAD7B, and R13PAD8B	1360	47.8%
Sysmiss		14168	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad5_sak: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects issue of a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 /-] [Invalid=14168 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects issue of a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	in the future, begging on the streets will be banned or permitted in the municipality. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strong in favour of a ban (the "yes" side), while other residents are strongly against a ban (the "no" side). Some	1431	50.3%
2	in the future, diesel cars will pay increased tolls. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strongly in favour of such an increase (the "Yes-side"), while others are strongly against an increase (the "no" side). Some parties propose such an	1412	49.7%
Sysmiss		14168	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad5_utfall: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the outcome of the issue of a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 /-] [Invalid=14168 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the outcome of the issue of a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Yes side won the vote	1421	50.0%
2	The No side won the vote	1422	50.0%
Sysmiss		14168	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad5_vinnermargin: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the winning margin in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 /-] [Invalid=14168 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the winning margin in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	.	974	34.3%
2	with a slight majority.	921	32.4%

r13pad5_vinnermargin: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the winning margin in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	With a large majority.	948	33.3%
Sysmiss		14168	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad5_vinner: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the reaction of the winner in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 /-] [Invalid=14168 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the reaction of the winner in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[BLANK]	1391	48.9%
2	Following the decision, a politician on the winning side says that it was a good decision and that common sense prevailed.	1452	51.1%
Sysmiss		14168	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad5_avsender: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects statement made by losing side in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 /-] [Invalid=14168 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects statement made by losing side in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	[BLANK]	368	12.9%
2	The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong.	428	15.1%
3	The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views.	425	14.9%
4	The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.	408	14.4%
5	The local newspaper – which was against the decision – writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong.	402	14.1%
6	The local newspaper – which was against the decision – writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views.	397	14.0%
7	The local newspaper – which was against the decision – writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.	415	14.6%
Sysmiss		14168	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad6a: Text options: How fair was the way the decision was made.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

r13pad6a: Text options: How fair was the way the decision was made.

Pre-question	<p>Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the three questions that follow.</p> <p>Imagine that your municipality must decide on [in the future, begging on the streets will be banned or permitted in the municipality. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strong in favour of a ban (the "yes" side), while other residents are strongly against a ban (the "no" side). Some parties propose a ban on begging./in the future, diesel cars will pay increased tolls. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strongly in favour of such an increase (the "Yes-side"), while others are strongly against an increase (the "no" side). Some parties propose such an increase in tolls for diesel cars.]. The decision will be taken by the municipal council and follow the usual procedures. The proposal will initially be debated by the municipal council where all the members will have the opportunity to express their opinions and arguments regarding the issue. The debate will be public, and journalists will be in attendance to report on the debate.</p> <p>In the end, the politicians will vote on the issue.</p> <p>[The Yes side won the vote/The No side won the vote] [with a slight majority/With a large majority] [blank/Following the decision, a politician on the winning side says that it was a good decision and that common sense prevailed] [blank/The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong. / The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong/The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.]</p>
Literal question	What do you think about the way the decision was made?
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 2 or 4 and r13pad6_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very fair	251	3.5%
2	Fair	864	12.0%
3	Quite fair	204	2.8%
4	Not very fair	85	1.2%
5	Not at all fair	46	0.6%
97	Not answered	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	5726	79.4%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad6b: Scale options: How fair was the way the decision was made.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the three questions that follow.</p> <p>Imagine that your municipality must decide on [in the future, begging on the streets will be banned or permitted in the municipality. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strong in favour of a ban (the "yes" side), while other residents are strongly against a ban (the "no" side). Some parties propose a ban on begging./in the future, diesel cars will pay increased tolls. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strongly in favour of such an increase (the "Yes-side"), while others are strongly against an increase (the "no" side). Some parties propose such an increase in tolls for diesel cars.]. The decision will be taken by the municipal council and follow the usual procedures. The proposal will initially be debated by the municipal council where all the members will have the opportunity to express their opinions and arguments regarding the issue. The debate will be public, and journalists will be in attendance to report on the debate.</p> <p>In the end, the politicians will vote on the issue.</p>

# r13pad6b: Scale options: How fair was the way the decision was made.			
	[The Yes side won the vote/The No side won the vote] [with a slight majority/With a large majority] [blank/Following the decision, a politician on the winning side says that it was a good decision and that common sense prevailed] [blank/The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong. / The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong/The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.]		
Literal question	What do you think about the way the decision was made?		
Post-question	Please answer on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is most fair, and 5 is not fair.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 2 or 4 and r13pad6_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Most fair	584	8.1%
2	2	413	5.7%
3	3	223	3.1%
4	4	62	0.9%
5	5 Not fair	55	0.8%
97	Not answered	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	5849	81.1%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad7a: Text options: How reasonable was the decision.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the three questions that follow.</p> <p>Imagine that your municipality must decide on [in the future, begging on the streets will be banned or permitted in the municipality. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strong in favour of a ban (the "yes" side), while other residents are strongly against a ban (the "no" side). Some parties propose a ban on begging./in the future, diesel cars will pay increased tolls. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strongly in favour of such an increase (the "Yes-side"), while others are strongly against an increase (the "no" side). Some parties propose such an increase in tolls for diesel cars.]. The decision will be taken by the municipal council and follow the usual procedures. The proposal will initially be debated by the municipal council where all the members will have the opportunity to express their opinions and arguments regarding the issue. The debate will be public, and journalists will be in attendance to report on the debate.</p> <p>In the end, the politicians will vote on the issue. [The Yes side won the vote/The No side won the vote] [with a slight majority/With a large majority] [blank/Following the decision, a politician on the winning side says that it was a good decision and that common sense prevailed] [blank/The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong. /The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong/The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.]</p>		

# r13pad7a: Text options: How reasonable was the decision.			
Literal question	How reasonable do you think the decision was?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 2 or 4 and r13pad6_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reasonable	182	2.5%
2	Reasonable	719	10.0%
3	Somewhat reasonable	247	3.4%
4	Not very reasonable	207	2.9%
5	Not reasonable at all	90	1.2%
97	Not answered	38	0.5%
98	Not asked	5726	79.4%
Systemiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad7b: Scale options: How reasonable was the decision.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the three questions that follow.</p> <p>Imagine that your municipality must decide on [in the future, begging on the streets will be banned or permitted in the municipality. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strong in favour of a ban (the "yes" side), while other residents are strongly against a ban (the "no" side). Some parties propose a ban on begging./in the future, diesel cars will pay increased tolls. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strongly in favour of such an increase (the "Yes-side"), while others are strongly against an increase (the "no" side). Some parties propose such an increase in tolls for diesel cars.]. The decision will be taken by the municipal council and follow the usual procedures. The proposal will initially be debated by the municipal council where all the members will have the opportunity to express their opinions and arguments regarding the issue. The debate will be public, and journalists will be in attendance to report on the debate.</p> <p>In the end, the politicians will vote on the issue. [The Yes side won the vote/The No side won the vote] [with a slight majority/With a large majority] [blank/Following the decision, a politician on the winning side says that it was a good decision and that common sense prevailed] [blank/The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong. /The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong/The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.]</p>		
Literal question	How reasonable do you think the decision was?		
Post-question	Please answer on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is most reasonable, and 5 is not reasonable.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 2 or 4 and r13pad6_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Most reasonable	430	6.0%
2	2	363	5.0%
3	3	313	4.3%
4	4	123	1.7%
5	5 Not reasonable	98	1.4%
97	Not answered	33	0.5%

r13pad7b: Scale options: How reasonable was the decision.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5849	81.1%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad8a: Text options: How willing to accept the decision.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question

Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the three questions that follow.

Imagine that your municipality must decide on [in the future, begging on the streets will be banned or permitted in the municipality. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strong in favour of a ban (the "yes" side), while other residents are strongly against a ban (the "no" side). Some parties propose a ban on begging./in the future, diesel cars will pay increased tolls. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strongly in favour of such an increase (the "Yes-side"), while others are strongly against an increase (the "no" side). Some parties propose such an increase in tolls for diesel cars.]. The decision will be taken by the municipal council and follow the usual procedures. The proposal will initially be debated by the municipal council where all the members will have the opportunity to express their opinions and arguments regarding the issue. The debate will be public, and journalists will be in attendance to report on the debate.

In the end, the politicians will vote on the issue. [The Yes side won the vote/The No side won the vote] [with a slight majority/With a large majority] [blank/Following the decision, a politician on the winning side says that it was a good decision and that common sense prevailed] [blank/The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong. /The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong/The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.]

Literal question

When you think about the actual outcome of the decision, how willing are you to accept the decision?

Interviewer's instructions

[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 2 or 4 and r13pad6_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very willing	294	4.1%
2	Willing	740	10.3%
3	Fairly willing	232	3.2%
4	Not very willing	138	1.9%
5	Not at all willing	47	0.7%
97	Not answered	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	5726	79.4%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad8b: Scale options: How willing to accept the decision.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question

Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the three questions that follow.

Imagine that your municipality must decide on [in the future, begging on the streets will be banned or permitted in the municipality. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strong in favour of a ban (the "yes" side), while other residents are strongly against a ban (the "no" side). Some parties propose a ban on begging./in the future, diesel cars will pay

# r13pad8b: Scale options: How willing to accept the decision.			
		<p>increased tolls. This is a controversial decision. Some residents are strongly in favour of such an increase (the "Yes-side"), while others are strongly against an increase (the "no" side). Some parties propose such an increase in tolls for diesel cars.]. The decision will be taken by the municipal council and follow the usual procedures. The proposal will initially be debated by the municipal council where all the members will have the opportunity to express their opinions and arguments regarding the issue. The debate will be public, and journalists will be in attendance to report on the debate.</p> <p>In the end, the politicians will vote on the issue. [The Yes side won the vote/The No side won the vote] [with a slight majority/With a large majority] [blank/Following the decision, a politician on the winning side says that it was a good decision and that common sense prevailed] [blank/The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong. /The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The leader of one of the parties that was against the decision says that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong/The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that it was a fair fight where both sides had the opportunity to argue in favour of their views./The local newspaper - which was against the decision - writes in an editorial that they are disappointed and that the decision was wrong, but that is what living in a democracy is all about. Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose.]</p>	
Literal question		When you think about the actual outcome of the decision, how willing are you to accept the decision?	
Post-question		Please answer on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is most willing, and 5 is not willing.	
Interviewer's instructions		[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 2 or 4 and r13pad6_ran = 2]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 Most willing	571	7.9%
2	2	397	5.5%
3	3	216	3.0%
4	4	77	1.1%
5	5 Not willing	72	1.0%
97	Not answered	27	0.4%
98	Not asked	5849	81.1%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom6_parti: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects party preference for the person who posts in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1446 /-] [Invalid=15565 /-]	
Interviewer's instructions		[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects party preference for the person who posts in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Red Party	155	10.7%
2	The Socialist Left Party	166	11.5%
3	The Labour Party	157	10.9%
4	The Centre Party	151	10.4%
5	The Green Party	181	12.5%
6	The Liberal Party	165	11.4%
7	The Christian Democrats	150	10.4%
8	The Conservative Party	149	10.3%
9	The Progress Party	172	11.9%
Sysmiss		15565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

r13polkom6_kilde: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the source of the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1446 /-] [Invalid=15565 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the source of the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NRK.no	369	25.5%
2	Aftenposten.no	349	24.1%
3	VG.no	359	24.8%
4	TV2.no	369	25.5%
Sysmiss		15565	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom6_omtale: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the persons view on the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1446 /-] [Invalid=15565 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the persons view on the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	.	511	35.3%
2	and have written a negative comment about the debate article.	455	31.5%
3	and have written a positive comment about the debate article.	480	33.2%
Sysmiss		15565	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom6_overskrift: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the headline of the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1446 /-] [Invalid=15565 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the headline of the article that is shared in social media. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	“Immigration enriches our Norwegian values and culture”	466	32.2%
2	“Immigration threatens our Norwegian values and culture”	507	35.1%
3	“Immigration can both threaten and enrich our Norwegian values and culture”	473	32.7%
Sysmiss		15565	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom6: How likely to come across a similar situation on social media.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Imagine that you come across a post on social media that has been shared by one of your contacts who you know votes for [The Red Party/The Socialist Left Party/The Labour Party/The Centre Party/The Green Party/The Liberal Party/The Christian Democrats/The Conservative Party/The Progress Party], is of the same gender and is about the same age as you.</p> <p>Imagine that this person has shared a debate article from [NRK.no / Aftenposten.no / VG.no / TV2.no] on social media [./and have written a negative comment about the debate article. /and have written a positive comment about the debate article.]</p>

# r13polkom6: How likely to come across a similar situation on social media.			
	The headline of the debate article that the person has shared is ["Immigration enriches our Norwegian values and culture" / "Immigration threatens our Norwegian values and culture" / "Immigration can both threaten and enrich our Norwegian values and culture"].		
Literal question	How likely is it that you would have come across a similar situation on social media?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to hypothetical post in social media. Characteristics of the post is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	111	1.5%
2	Likely	249	3.5%
3	Somewhat likely	332	4.6%
4	Not very likely	401	5.6%
5	Not at all likely	120	1.7%
6	I am not on social media	221	3.1%
97	Not answered	20	0.3%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom7: How likely to click on and read article had you come across it.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Imagine that you come across a post on social media that has been shared by one of your contacts who you know votes for [The Red Party/The Socialist Left Party/The Labour Party/The Centre Party/The Green Party/The Liberal Party/The Christian Democrats/The Conservative Party/The Progress Party], is of the same gender and is about the same age as you.</p> <p>Imagine that this person has shared a debate article from [NRK.no / Aftenposten.no / VG.no / TV2.no] on social media [./and have written a negative comment about the debate article. /and have written a positive comment about the debate article.]</p> <p>The headline of the debate article that the person has shared is ["Immigration enriches our Norwegian values and culture" / "Immigration threatens our Norwegian values and culture" / "Immigration can both threaten and enrich our Norwegian values and culture"].</p>		
Literal question	How likely is it that you would have clicked on and read this debate article if you had come across this post on social media?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to hypothetical post in social media. Characteristics of the post is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	125	1.7%
2	Likely	301	4.2%
3	Somewhat likely	339	4.7%
4	Not very likely	338	4.7%
5	Not at all likely	129	1.8%
6	I am not on social media	199	2.8%
97	Not answered	23	0.3%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom8: How likely to want to see more posts from that person again on social media.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		

# r13polkom8: How likely to want to see more posts from that person again on social media.			
Pre-question		<p>Imagine that you come across a post on social media that has been shared by one of your contacts who you know votes for [The Red Party/The Socialist Left Party/The Labour Party/The Centre Party/The Green Party/The Liberal Party/The Christian Democrats/The Conservative Party/The Progress Party], is of the same gender and is about the same age as you.</p> <p>Imagine that this person has shared a debate article from [NRK.no / Aftenposten.no / VG.no / TV2.no] on social media [./and have written a negative comment about the debate article. /and have written a positive comment about the debate article.]</p> <p>The headline of the debate article that the person has shared is ["Immigration enriches our Norwegian values and culture" /"Immigration threatens our Norwegian values and culture" /"Immigration can both threaten and enrich our Norwegian values and culture"].</p>	
Literal question		How likely is it that you had wanted to see more posts from that person again on social media?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to hypothetical post in social media. Characteristics of the post is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly likely	34	0.5%
2	Likely	165	2.3%
3	Somewhat likely	338	4.7%
4	Not very likely	493	6.8%
5	Not at all likely	194	2.7%
6	I am not on social media	202	2.8%
97	Not answered	28	0.4%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom9: Based on headline, the article argues that immigration is advantage or disadvantage to Norway.			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>Imagine that you come across a post on social media that has been shared by one of your contacts who you know votes for [The Red Party/The Socialist Left Party/The Labour Party/The Centre Party/The Green Party/The Liberal Party/The Christian Democrats/The Conservative Party/The Progress Party], is of the same gender and is about the same age as you.</p> <p>Imagine that this person has shared a debate article from [NRK.no / Aftenposten.no / VG.no / TV2.no] on social media [./and have written a negative comment about the debate article. /and have written a positive comment about the debate article.]</p> <p>The headline of the debate article that the person has shared is ["Immigration enriches our Norwegian values and culture" /"Immigration threatens our Norwegian values and culture" /"Immigration can both threaten and enrich our Norwegian values and culture"].</p>	
Literal question		Based on the headline of the debate article, to what extent would you say that the debate article argues that it is an advantage or disadvantage that immigrants come to Norway to settle here?	
Interviewer's instructions		[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to hypothetical post in social media. Characteristics of the post is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	41	0.6%
2	Great advantage	178	2.5%
3	Slightly advantageous	302	4.2%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	344	4.8%
5	A slight disadvantage	217	3.0%
6	A great disadvantage	221	3.1%
7	Very great disadvantage	101	1.4%
97	Not answered	50	0.7%

# r13polkom9: Based on headline, the article argues that immigration is advantage or disadvantage to Norway.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom12_kjonn_antagonist: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the gender of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the gender of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	male	1461	50.4%
2	female	1438	49.6%
Sysmiss		14112	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom12_stilling_antagonist: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the position of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the position of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	manager	1455	50.2%
2	temporary employee	1444	49.8%
Sysmiss		14112	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom12_alder_antagonist: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the age of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the age of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20s	1495	51.6%
2	50s	1404	48.4%
Sysmiss		14112	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom12_budskap: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the message of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the message of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]		

r13polkom12_budskap: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the message of the antagonist in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	gives a hug to	747	25.8%
2	sends flirty text messages to	698	24.1%
3	sends text messages of a sexual nature to	724	25.0%
4	slaps the bottom of	730	25.2%
Systemmiss		14112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom12_kjonn_offer: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the gender of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the gender of the offended person in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	male	1456	50.2%
2	female	1443	49.8%
Systemmiss		14112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom12_stilling_offer: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the position of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the position of the offended person in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	manager	1449	50.0%
2	temporary employee	1450	50.0%
Sysmiss		14112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom12_alder_offer: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the age of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the age of the offended person in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20s	1454	50.2%
2	50s	1445	49.8%
Sysmiss		14112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom12_folelse: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the emotion of the offended person in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the emotion of the offended person in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Experience it as degrading	984	33.9%
2	not find it pleasant	946	32.6%
3	find it pleasant	969	33.4%
Sysmiss		14112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom12_arbeidssituasjon: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the work environment of the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the work environment of the hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	together at a university	959	33.1%
2	together in a company	945	32.6%
3	together in a political party	995	34.3%
Sysmiss		14112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom12_sted: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the location of the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2899 /-] [Invalid=14112 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the location of the hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	work	926	31.9%
2	private party	998	34.4%
3	work party	975	33.6%
Sysmiss		14112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom12: Perceives the situation as sexual harassment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the question below. A [male/female] [manager/temporary employee] aged [20s/50s] [gives a hug to/sends flirty text messages to/sends text messages of a sexual nature to/slaps the bottom of] a [male/female] [manager/temporary employee] aged [20s/50s] that [Experience it as degrading/ not find it pleasant/ find it pleasant]. They work [together at a university/together in a company/ together in a political party]. The incident occurred at [work/private party/work party].
Literal question	As you perceive it, does this situation describe sexual harassment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1119	15.5%
2	No	1749	24.3%
97	Not answered	68	0.9%
98	Not asked	4273	59.3%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom13: Agree/disagree: situation is problematic.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Below, we have described a hypothetical situation. Please read through the situation carefully and then answer the question below. A [male/female] [manager/temporary employee] aged [20s/50s] [gives a hug to/sends flirty text messages to/sends text messages of a sexual nature to/slaps the bottom of] a [male/female] [manager/temporary employee] aged [20s/50s] that [Experience it as degrading/ not find it pleasant/ find it pleasant]. They work [together at a university/together in a company/ together in a political party]. The incident occurred at [work/private party/work party].
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that this describes a problematic situation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 1 or 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	442	6.1%
2	Agree	721	10.0%
3	Agree somewhat	471	6.5%

r13polkom13: Agree/disagree: situation is problematic.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	320	4.4%
5	Disagree somewhat	211	2.9%
6	Disagree	442	6.1%
7	Strongly disagree	274	3.8%
97	Not answered	55	0.8%
98	Not asked	4273	59.3%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad11_ran: [Randomly selects r13pad11a or r13pad11b. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1438 /-] [Invalid=15573 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects r13pad11a or r13pad11b. Randomizes if r13group = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent gets R13PAD11A	742	51.6%
2	Respondent gets R13PAD11B	696	48.4%
Sysmiss		15573	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad11a: Improvement or deterioration: Automatization of public services.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	A growing trend is that public agencies entrust decisions to computers instead of giving the task to human beings. The computers make the decisions in accordance with a predetermined sequence (a so-called algorithm) that is determined by humans, but once it has been set, a computer makes its own decisions. We are interested in investigating what Norwegians think about this technological development.
Literal question	Based on what you know, do you think the shift from human to automated decisions will in general lead to an improvement or deterioration in public services?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1 and r13pad11_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong improvement	20	0.3%
2	Strong improvement	62	0.9%
3	Some improvement	180	2.5%
4	Neither an improvement or deterioration	148	2.1%
5	Some deterioration	204	2.8%
6	Strong deterioration	93	1.3%
7	Very strong deterioration	30	0.4%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6467	89.7%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad11b: Improvement or deterioration, with examples: Automatization of public services.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

# r13pad11b: Improvement or deterioration, with examples: Automatization of public services.			
Pre-question	<p>A growing trend is that public agencies entrust decisions to computers instead of giving the task to human beings. The computers make the decisions in accordance with a predetermined sequence (a so-called algorithm) that is determined by humans, but once it has been set, a computer makes its own decisions.</p> <p>One specific example from Norway is the Directorate of Immigration (UDI), which in certain cases lets the robot Ada decide whether to grant individuals residence in Norway. A couple of examples from the USA are algorithms that produce recommendations regarding where police should patrol in order to increase the chance of catching criminals, as well as which concerns child welfare services should take seriously and which they can let pass.</p> <p>We are interested in investigating what Norwegians think about this technological development.</p>		
Literal question	Based on what you know, do you think the shift from human to automated decisions will in general lead to an improvement or deterioration in public services?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1 and r13pad11_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong improvement	12	0.2%
2	Strong improvement	45	0.6%
3	Some improvement	175	2.4%
4	Neither an improvement or deterioration	137	1.9%
5	Some deterioration	156	2.2%
6	Strong deterioration	118	1.6%
7	Very strong deterioration	47	0.7%
97	Not answered	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6513	90.3%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad12: Reason [R13PAD11][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Can you give the reasons for your answer?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r13pad13_1: Automatization of public services leads to what in: Verifiability.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you think a transition from human to automated decision making in the public sector will lead to when it comes to the following points?		
Literal question	Verifiability: Opportunity for those affected by a decision to be given an explanation for it		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much greater	53	0.7%
2	Greater	156	2.2%
3	Somewhat greater	217	3.0%
4	Neither greater or less	274	3.8%
5	Somewhat less	213	3.0%
6	Less	315	4.4%
7	Very much less	172	2.4%
97	Not answered	54	0.7%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

# r13pad13_1: Automatization of public services leads to what in: Verifiability.			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad13_2: Automatization of public services leads to what in: Impartiality.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you think a transition from human to automated decision making in the public sector will lead to when it comes to the following points?		
Literal question	Impartiality: Opportunity for citizens to be treated equally by the authorities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much greater	137	1.9%
2	Greater	360	5.0%
3	Somewhat greater	429	6.0%
4	Neither greater or less	279	3.9%
5	Somewhat less	77	1.1%
6	Less	76	1.1%
7	Very much less	39	0.5%
97	Not answered	57	0.8%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad13_3: Automatization of public services leads to what in: Legitimacy.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you think a transition from human to automated decision making in the public sector will lead to when it comes to the following points?		
Literal question	Legitimacy: The probability that citizens comply voluntarily with the decisions of the authorities		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much greater	23	0.3%
2	Greater	79	1.1%
3	Somewhat greater	188	2.6%
4	Neither greater or less	582	8.1%
5	Somewhat less	255	3.5%
6	Less	196	2.7%
7	Very much less	72	1.0%
97	Not answered	59	0.8%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad14: How much knowledge about automated decisions in public sector.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How much knowledge would you say that you have about automated decisions in the public sector?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 1]		

# r13pad14: How much knowledge about automated decisions in public sector.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good knowledge	17	0.2%
2	Good knowledge	94	1.3%
3	Some knowledge	375	5.2%
4	Little knowledge	640	8.9%
5	No knowledge at all	295	4.1%
97	Not answered	33	0.5%
98	Not asked	5755	79.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom5: Reason for why one person is more credible than the other [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Please give reasons for why you think one person is more credible than the other.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 3, r13polkom2 = 1 and response on r13polkom4][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r13polkom5_back: [Logs movement backward in the questionnaire, from r13polkom5 to r13polkom 4.. Tracks if r13group = 3, r13polkom2 = 1 and response on r13polkom4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=201 /-] [Invalid=16810 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Logs movement backward in the questionnaire, from r13polkom5 to r13polkom 4.. Tracks if r13group = 3, r13polkom2 = 1 and response on r13polkom4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has used return button to go back to R13POLKOM4	201	100.0%
Sysmiss		16810	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme3_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13meme3. Randomizes if r13group = 5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1342 /-] [Invalid=15669 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme3. Randomizes if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	your local community	442	32.9%
2	your municipality	459	34.2%
3	Norway	441	32.9%
Sysmiss		15669	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme3: How is the integration of refugees in [your local community/municipality/Norway] going.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How do you think that the integration of refugees who are residing in [your local community/your municipality/Norway] is going?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	32	0.4%

# r13meme3: How is the integration of refugees in [your local community/municipality/Norway] going.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Well	438	6.1%
3	Quite well	423	5.9%
4	Neither well nor badly	150	2.1%
5	Quite badly	163	2.3%
6	Badly	93	1.3%
7	Very badly	36	0.5%
97	Not answered	35	0.5%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme4_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13meme4. Randomizes if r13group = 5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1340 /-] [Invalid=15671 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme4. Randomizes if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	national	662	49.4%
2	local	678	50.6%
Sysmiss		15671	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme4: How good/bad did [local/national] authorities handle arrival of refugees to Norway in 2015.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How well or poorly do you think [national/local] authorities handled the arrival of refugees in Norway in 2015?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	25	0.3%
2	Well	196	2.7%
3	Quite well	336	4.7%
4	Neither well nor badly	239	3.3%
5	Quite badly	293	4.1%
6	Badly	164	2.3%
7	Very badly	69	1.0%
97	Not answered	48	0.7%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme5_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13meme5. Randomizes if r13group = 5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1339 /-] [Invalid=15672 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme5. Randomizes if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	in your municipality	645	48.2%

# r13meme5_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13meme5. Randomizes if r13group = 5]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	in Norway	694	51.8%
Sysmiss		15672	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme5: How much say did representatives in [your municipality/Norway] have on amount of refugees coming to [municipality/Norway].			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How much say do you think elected representatives [in your municipality/in Norway] have had on the number of refugees who have come [to the municipality/to Norway]?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	143	2.0%
2	Quite a bit	459	6.4%
3	Some	446	6.2%
4	Not much	238	3.3%
5	None at all	41	0.6%
97	Not answered	43	0.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme6_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13meme6. Randomizes if r13group = 5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1338 /-] [Invalid=15673 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme6. Randomizes if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norwegian authorities / municipalities	638	47.7%
2	UN / Parliament	700	52.3%
Sysmiss		15673	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme6: Representatives in [your municipality/Norway] should accept how many refugees.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	The [Norwegian authorities/UN] request each year that [municipalities/Norway] accept refugees. [The Municipal Council/Parliament] do not have to comply with the request, but can decide themselves how many refugees [the municipality/Norway] will take.		
Literal question	What do you think that the elected representatives [in your municipality/Norway] should do?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than we are asked	180	2.5%
2	As many as we are asked	777	10.8%
3	Fewer than we are asked	310	4.3%
4	None at all	62	0.9%
97	Not answered	41	0.6%

# r13meme6: Representatives in [your municipality/Norway] should accept how many refugees.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme10_ran: [Randomly selects text element for r13meme10a, r13meme10b and r13meme10c.. Randomizes if r13group = 5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1337 /-] [Invalid=15674 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects text element for r13meme10a, r13meme10b and r13meme10c.. Randomizes if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norway	450	33.7%
2	your municipality	447	33.4%
3	your local community	440	32.9%
Sysmiss		15674	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme10a: How much are issues of refugees and immigration discussed in [Norway/your municipality/your local community] these days.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How much would you say that issues relating to refugees and immigration are discussed in [Norway/your municipality/your local community] these days?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very little	239	3.3%
2	A little	459	6.4%
3	Somewhat	411	5.7%
4	Quite a bit	171	2.4%
5	Very much	47	0.7%
97	Not answered	43	0.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme10b_1: Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: Calm-heated.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Calm	201	2.8%
2		237	3.3%
3		225	3.1%
4		286	4.0%

r13meme10b_1: Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: Calm-heated.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		193	2.7%
6		99	1.4%
7	Heated	27	0.4%
97	Not answered	102	1.4%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme10b_2: Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: Objective-non-objective.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Objective	127	1.8%
2		214	3.0%
3		267	3.7%
4		300	4.2%
5		231	3.2%
6		100	1.4%
7	Non-objective	28	0.4%
97	Not answered	103	1.4%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme10b_3: Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: A source of conflict-Solution oriented.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Solution oriented	81	1.1%
2		155	2.2%
3		259	3.6%
4		362	5.0%
5		237	3.3%
6		118	1.6%
7	A source of conflict	44	0.6%
97	Not answered	114	1.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%

r13meme10b_3: Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: A source of conflict-Solution oriented.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme10b_4: Discussions on refugees and immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] are: Interesting-Boring.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	How would you characterise the discussions about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/ your local community]?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interesting	26	0.4%
2		91	1.3%
3		200	2.8%
4		557	7.7%
5		204	2.8%
6		99	1.4%
7	Boring	65	0.9%
97	Not answered	128	1.8%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme10c_1: Get information about refugees/immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] 1:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you get information about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/your local community]?
Post-question	Please reply in the text boxes below. Examples of answers can be family, friends, TV, social media, local newspapers, research etc.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r13meme10c_2: Get information about refugees/immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] 2:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you get information about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/your local community]?
Post-question	Please reply in the text boxes below. Examples of answers can be family, friends, TV, social media, local newspapers, research etc.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r13meme10c_3: Get information about refugees/immigration in [Norway/municipality/local community] 3:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you get information about refugees and immigration in [Norway/your municipality/your local community]?
Post-question	Please reply in the text boxes below. Examples of answers can be family, friends, TV, social media, local newspapers, research etc.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

# r13meme2: Refugees live in your local community.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Do refugees reside in your local community?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	889	12.3%
2	No	212	2.9%
3	Do not know	227	3.1%
97	Not answered	42	0.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme9_organisasjon: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects an organisation in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4259 /-] [Invalid=12752 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects an organisation in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	An organisation	915	21.5%
2	An organisation associated with a connection to a foreign country.	786	18.5%
3	A political party that is in government.	855	20.1%
4	A political party that has registered to participate in elections.	868	20.4%
5	A political party that actively participates in elections.	835	19.6%
Sysmiss		12752	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme9_event: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the event in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4259 /-] [Invalid=12752 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the event in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	speech	1410	33.1%
2	stand	1412	33.2%
3	demonstration	1437	33.7%
Sysmiss		12752	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme9_sted: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the location in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4259 /-] [Invalid=12752 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the location in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]		

r13meme9_sted: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the location in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	a local event	1424	33.4%
2	an upper secondary school	1392	32.7%
3	a known public space	1443	33.9%
Sysmiss		12752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme9_budskap: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the message in a hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4259 /-] [Invalid=12752 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the message in a hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Norway needs a more rigorous asylum policy.	1471	34.5%
2	Islam poses a serious threat to democratic values and the rights of women and homosexuals.	1346	31.6%
3	Norway must preserve its white cultural heritage	1442	33.9%
Sysmiss		12752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme9_arv: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the heritage of the organisation in the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4259 /-] [Invalid=12752 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects the heritage of the organisation in the hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	They were founded as a neo-Nazi organisation	1393	32.7%
2	They were founded as a revolt against asylum policy.	1432	33.6%
3	They were founded through revolt at district level in several parts of the country.	1434	33.7%
Sysmiss		12752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme9_benekter: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects if the organisation denies right wing extremism in the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4259 /-] [Invalid=12752 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects if the organisation denies right wing extremism in the hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	They currently distance themselves from anything to do with right-wing extremism	2054	48.2%
2	.	2205	51.8%
Sysmiss		12752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme9_motbevis: [Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects characteristics of some members in organisation in the hypothetical situation. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4259 /-] [Invalid=12752 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable for conjoint experiment. Randomly selects characteristics of some members in organisation in the hypothetical situation.. Randomizes if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	- It turns out that [the organisation/the party] has a key member who has been convicted of making racist statements, but who has now been forced to leave [the organisation/the party].	1483	34.8%
2	- It turns out that [the organisation/the party] has a key member who has been convicted of making racist statements.	1365	32.0%
3	- It turns out that [the organisation/the party] has some members who deny the Holocaust.	1411	33.1%
Sysmiss		12752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme9a: Organisation should be given permission to hold event.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine [An organisation. / An organisation associated with a connection to a foreign country. / A political party that is in government. / A political party that has registered to participate in elections. /A political party that actively participates in elections.][They were founded as a neo-Nazi organisation. / They were founded as a revolt against asylum policy./ They were founded through revolt at district level in several parts of the country.] [They currently distance themselves from anything to do with right-wing extremism./blank][It turns out that [the organization/the party] has a key member who has been convicted of making racist statements, but who has now been forced to leave [the organization/the party]. /It turns out that [the organization/the party] has a key member who has been convicted of making racist statements, but who has now been forced to leave [the organization/the party]./ It turns out that [the organization/the party] has some members who deny the Holocaust.] [The organization/The party] has now asked if they can have a [speech/stand/demonstration] at [a local event/ an upper secondary school/a known public place] in order to communicate their message that [Norway needs a more rigorous asylum policy/Islam poses a serious threat to democratic values and the rights of women and homosexuals/Norway must preserve its white cultural heritage].
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that they should be given permission to do so?
Interviewer's instructions	[Conjoint experiment. Respondents are exposed to a hypothetical situation. Characteristics of the situation is chosen randomly. See background variables.. Asked if r13group = 2, 3 or 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	405	5.6%
2	Agree	879	12.2%
3	Agree somewhat	688	9.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	380	5.3%
5	Disagree somewhat	408	5.7%
6	Disagree	741	10.3%
7	Strongly disagree	720	10.0%
97	Not answered	164	2.3%
98	Not asked	2824	39.2%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme9b: Organisation poses a threat to democracy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine [An organisation. / An organisation associated with a connection to a foreign country. / A political party that is in government. / A political party that has registered to participate in elections. /A political party that actively participates in

# r13meme9b: Organisation poses a threat to democracy.	
	elections.][They were founded as a neo-Nazi organisation./ They were founded as a revolt against asylum policy./ They were founded through revolt at district level in several parts of the country.] [They currently distance themselves from anything to do with right-wing extremism./blank][It turns out that [the organization/the party] has a key member who has been convicted of making racist statements, but who has now been forced to leave [the organization/the party]. /It turns out that [the organization/the party] has a key member who has been convicted of making racist statements, but who has now been forced to leave [the organization/the party]./ It turns out that [the organization/the party] has some members who deny the Holocaust.] [The organization/The party] has now asked if they can have a [speech/stand/demonstration] at [a local event/ an upper secondary school/a known public place] in order to communicate their message that [Norway needs a more rigorous asylum policy/Islam poses a serious threat to democratic values and the rights of women and homosexuals/Norway must preserve its white cultural heritage].
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the organisation described above poses a threat to democracy?
Interviewer's instructions	[Del av conjoint-eksperiment. En tenkt situasjon presenteres for respondentene. Den tenkte situasjonen har ulike egenskaper basert på bakgrunnsvariablene r13meme9_event - r13meme9_motbevis. Spurt dersom r13group = 2, 3 eller 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	454	6.3%
2	Agree	728	10.1%
3	Agree somewhat	812	11.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	715	9.9%
5	Disagree somewhat	481	6.7%
6	Disagree	743	10.3%
7	Strongly disagree	282	3.9%
97	Not answered	170	2.4%
98	Not asked	2824	39.2%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r13meme8a_1: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Contempt.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about elderly people To what extent do people have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?
Literal question	Contempt
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	702	9.7%
2	To a small degree	410	5.7%
3	To some degree	127	1.8%
4	To a great degree	16	0.2%
5	To a very great degree	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	114	1.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r13meme8a_2: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Admiration.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about elderly people To what extent do people have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?
Literal question	Admiration

# r13meme8a_2: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Admiration.			
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	58	0.8%
2	To a small degree	310	4.3%
3	To some degree	602	8.4%
4	To a great degree	250	3.5%
5	To a very great degree	43	0.6%
97	Not answered	107	1.5%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8a_3: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Compassion.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about elderly people To what extent do people have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Compassion		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	37	0.5%
2	To a small degree	162	2.2%
3	To some degree	605	8.4%
4	To a great degree	387	5.4%
5	To a very great degree	81	1.1%
97	Not answered	98	1.4%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8a_4: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Envy.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about elderly people To what extent do people have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	514	7.1%
2	To a small degree	488	6.8%
3	To some degree	233	3.2%
4	To a great degree	21	0.3%
5	To a very great degree	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	112	1.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

r13meme8a_4: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Envy.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme8a_5: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Anger.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about elderly people To what extent do people have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?
Literal question	Anger
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	696	9.7%
2	To a small degree	422	5.9%
3	To some degree	117	1.6%
4	To a great degree	18	0.2%
5	To a very great degree	3	0.0%
97	Not answered	114	1.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme8a_6: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Fear.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about elderly people To what extent do people have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?
Literal question	Fear
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	810	11.2%
2	To a small degree	343	4.8%
3	To some degree	83	1.2%
4	To a great degree	12	0.2%
5	To a very great degree	5	0.1%
97	Not answered	117	1.6%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r13meme8a_7: People feel the following about the elderly as a group: Feel sorry for them.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about elderly people To what extent do people have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Feel sorry for them		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	88	1.2%
2	To a small degree	276	3.8%
3	To some degree	645	8.9%
4	To a great degree	200	2.8%
5	To a very great degree	50	0.7%
97	Not answered	111	1.5%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
System		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8b_1: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Contempt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about elderly people. To what extent do you have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	1133	15.7%
2	To a small degree	101	1.4%
3	To some degree	26	0.4%
4	To a great degree	8	0.1%
5	To a very great degree	6	0.1%
97	Not answered	96	1.3%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
System		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8b_2: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Admiration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about elderly people. To what extent do you have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Admiration		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	59	0.8%
2	To a small degree	121	1.7%
3	To some degree	499	6.9%

# r13meme8b_2: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Admiration			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	To a great degree	461	6.4%
5	To a very great degree	149	2.1%
97	Not answered	81	1.1%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8b_3: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Compassion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about elderly people. To what extent do you have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Compassion		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	52	0.7%
2	To a small degree	118	1.6%
3	To some degree	466	6.5%
4	To a great degree	473	6.6%
5	To a very great degree	171	2.4%
97	Not answered	90	1.2%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8b_4: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Envy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about elderly people. To what extent do you have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	987	13.7%
2	To a small degree	219	3.0%
3	To some degree	52	0.7%
4	To a great degree	15	0.2%
5	To a very great degree	7	0.1%
97	Not answered	90	1.2%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8b_5: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		

# r13meme8b_5: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Anger			
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about elderly people. To what extent do you have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	1068	14.8%
2	To a small degree	147	2.0%
3	To some degree	51	0.7%
4	To a great degree	9	0.1%
5	To a very great degree	6	0.1%
97	Not answered	89	1.2%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8b_6: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Fear			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about elderly people. To what extent do you have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	1112	15.4%
2	To a small degree	119	1.7%
3	To some degree	35	0.5%
4	To a great degree	11	0.2%
5	To a very great degree	3	0.0%
97	Not answered	90	1.2%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme8b_7: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Feel sorry for them			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about elderly people. To what extent do you have the following feelings about the elderly as a group?		
Literal question	Feel sorry for them		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	159	2.2%
2	To a small degree	330	4.6%
3	To some degree	580	8.0%
4	To a great degree	157	2.2%
5	To a very great degree	57	0.8%

# r13meme8b_7: You feel the following about the elderly as a group: Feel sorry for them			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	87	1.2%
98	Not asked	5839	81.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7a_1: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Contempt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	174	2.4%
2	To a small degree	681	9.4%
3	To some degree	1010	14.0%
4	To a great degree	148	2.1%
5	To a very great degree	20	0.3%
97	Not answered	172	2.4%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7a_2: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Admiration		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	407	5.6%
2	To a small degree	1025	14.2%
3	To some degree	555	7.7%
4	To a great degree	40	0.6%
5	To a very great degree	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	176	2.4%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7a_3: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Compassion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		

# r13meme7a_3: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Compassion			
Literal question	Compassion		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	47	0.7%
2	To a small degree	256	3.6%
3	To some degree	1181	16.4%
4	To a great degree	526	7.3%
5	To a very great degree	41	0.6%
97	Not answered	154	2.1%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7a_4: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Envy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Envy		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	1027	14.2%
2	To a small degree	596	8.3%
3	To some degree	338	4.7%
4	To a great degree	61	0.8%
5	To a very great degree	7	0.1%
97	Not answered	176	2.4%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7a_5: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	211	2.9%
2	To a small degree	632	8.8%
3	To some degree	951	13.2%
4	To a great degree	209	2.9%
5	To a very great degree	29	0.4%
97	Not answered	173	2.4%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%

# r13meme7a_5: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Anger			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7a_6: People feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Fear			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what people generally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do people have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	91	1.3%
2	To a small degree	326	4.5%
3	To some degree	1055	14.6%
4	To a great degree	464	6.4%
5	To a very great degree	100	1.4%
97	Not answered	169	2.3%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7b_1: You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Contempt.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Contempt.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	1255	17.4%
2	To a small degree	562	7.8%
3	To some degree	217	3.0%
4	To a great degree	32	0.4%
5	To a very great degree	11	0.2%
97	Not answered	128	1.8%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7b_2: You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Admiration		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		

r13meme7b_2: You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	407	5.6%
2	To a small degree	624	8.7%
3	To some degree	797	11.1%
4	To a great degree	219	3.0%
5	To a very great degree	25	0.3%
97	Not answered	133	1.8%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme7b_3: You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Compassion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Compassion
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	68	0.9%
2	To a small degree	176	2.4%
3	To some degree	803	11.1%
4	To a great degree	837	11.6%
5	To a very great degree	210	2.9%
97	Not answered	111	1.5%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme7b_4: You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Envy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Envy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	1786	24.8%
2	To a small degree	230	3.2%
3	To some degree	42	0.6%
4	To a great degree	15	0.2%
5	To a very great degree	2	0.0%
97	Not answered	130	1.8%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r13meme7b_5: You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Anger		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	1372	19.0%
2	To a small degree	478	6.6%
3	To some degree	171	2.4%
4	To a great degree	38	0.5%
5	To a very great degree	16	0.2%
97	Not answered	130	1.8%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Systemss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme7b_6: You feel the following about asylum seekers as a group: Fear			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Next up are a few questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?		
Literal question	Fear		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 3 and no response on r12dv12/r6dv12ba and r6dv12bB]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	717	9.9%
2	To a small degree	807	11.2%
3	To some degree	417	5.8%
4	To a great degree	101	1.4%
5	To a very great degree	36	0.5%
97	Not answered	127	1.8%
98	Not asked	5004	69.4%
Systemss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13meme11_1: How good or poor description of you: Important to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:		
Literal question	It is important for me personally to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if no response on r13meme5 and if the respondent was asked r13meme8 or r13meme7]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	571	7.9%
2	Good	941	13.1%
3	Somewhat good	440	6.1%

r13meme11_1: How good or poor description of you: Important to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither good nor bad	395	5.5%
5	Somewhat bad	89	1.2%
6	Bad	54	0.7%
7	Very bad	35	0.5%
97	Not answered	48	0.7%
98	Not asked	4636	64.3%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme11_2: How good or poor description of you: Do not want to appear racist, even to myself.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:
Literal question	I do not want to appear to be racist, not even to myself.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if no response on r13meme5 and if the respondent was asked r13meme8 or r13meme7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	744	10.3%
2	Good	849	11.8%
3	Somewhat good	309	4.3%
4	Neither good nor bad	394	5.5%
5	Somewhat bad	108	1.5%
6	Bad	66	0.9%
7	Very bad	47	0.7%
97	Not answered	56	0.8%
98	Not asked	4636	64.3%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme11_3: How good or poor description of you: Feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:
Literal question	I feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if no response on r13meme5 and if the respondent was asked r13meme8 or r13meme7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	181	2.5%
2	Good	442	6.1%
3	Somewhat good	421	5.8%
4	Neither good nor bad	727	10.1%
5	Somewhat bad	237	3.3%
6	Bad	317	4.4%
7	Very bad	185	2.6%
97	Not answered	63	0.9%
98	Not asked	4636	64.3%
Sysmiss		9802	

r13meme11_3: How good or poor description of you: Feel guilty if I think negatively about immigrants.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13meme11_4: How good or poor description of you: Try to be unprejudiced about immigrants due to my own convictions.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question How well or poorly would you say that the following descriptions apply to you:

Literal question I try to be unprejudiced in regard to immigrants due to my own convictions.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if no response on r13meme5 and if the respondent was asked r13meme8 or r13meme7]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	519	7.2%
2	Good	985	13.7%
3	Somewhat good	433	6.0%
4	Neither good nor bad	398	5.5%
5	Somewhat bad	86	1.2%
6	Bad	58	0.8%
7	Very bad	36	0.5%
97	Not answered	58	0.8%
98	Not asked	4636	64.3%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr13mat_ran: [If value on r7ran24 it is used. If not, a random value is selected.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6980 /-] [Invalid=10031 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [If value on r7ran24 it is used. If not, a random value is selected.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1173	16.8%
2	2	1197	17.1%
3	3	1124	16.1%
4	4	1192	17.1%
5	5	1167	16.7%
6	6	1127	16.1%
Sysmiss		10031	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr13mat_ran_1: [If value on r7ran24_1 it is used. If not, a random value is selected if ocr13mat_ran = 1]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1173 /-] [Invalid=15838 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [If value on r7ran24_1 it is used. If not, a random value is selected if ocr13mat_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	389	33.2%
2	2	394	33.6%
3	3	390	33.2%
Sysmiss		15838	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ocr13matc1: Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.</p> <p>Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran_1 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	59	0.8%
2	1	239	3.3%
3	2	85	1.2%
4	3	4	0.1%
97	Not answered	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6820	94.6%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matc1a: Norway should let people from Muslim countries come and live here.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran_1 = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	allow to come and live here	249	3.5%
2	do not allow to come and live here	121	1.7%
97	Not answered	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	6820	94.6%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matc2: Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	<p>Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.</p> <p>Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment</p>		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	183	2.5%
2	1	733	10.2%
3	2	266	3.7%
4	3	8	0.1%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%

# ocr13matc2: Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	6012	83.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matc2a: Norway should let people from Easter European countries come and live here.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	allow to come and live here	746	10.3%
2	do not allow to come and live here	400	5.5%
97	Not answered	51	0.7%
98	Not asked	6012	83.4%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matc3: Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran_1 = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	67	0.9%
2	1	242	3.4%
3	2	77	1.1%
4	3	6	0.1%
97	Not answered	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6815	94.5%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matc3a: Norway should let immigrants from Muslim countries come and live here.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran_1 = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	allow to come and live here	261	3.6%
2	do not allow to come and live here	114	1.6%
97	Not answered	19	0.3%
98	Not asked	6815	94.5%

# ocr13matc3a: Norway should let immigrants from Muslim countries come and live here.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9802			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matc4: Agree with how many of three statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran_1 = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	75	1.0%
2	1	229	3.2%
3	2	82	1.1%
4	3	3	0.0%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6819	94.6%
9802			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matc4a: Norway should let refugees from muslim countries come and live here.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran_1 = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	allow to come and live here	262	3.6%
2	do not allow to come and live here	112	1.6%
97	Not answered	16	0.2%
98	Not asked	6819	94.6%
9802			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ocr13matt1: Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, people from Muslim countries.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran = 3]		

ocr13matt1: Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, people from Muslim countries.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	100	1.4%
2	1	377	5.2%
3	2	530	7.4%
4	3	97	1.3%
5	4	10	0.1%
97	Not answered	10	0.1%
98	Not asked	6085	84.4%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr13matt2: Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, people from Eastern Europe.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran = 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	108	1.5%
2	1	498	6.9%
3	2	505	7.0%
4	3	63	0.9%
5	4	12	0.2%
97	Not answered	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	6017	83.5%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr13matt3: Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, immigrants from Muslim countries.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	108	1.5%
2	1	429	6.0%

ocr13matt3: Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, immigrants from Muslim countries.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	2	527	7.3%
4	3	92	1.3%
5	4	6	0.1%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6042	83.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr13matt4: Agree with how many of four statements: Assistance to poor, petrol/diesel tax, pollution, refugees from Muslim countries.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many. Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if OCR13Mat_ran = 6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	72	1.0%
2	1	364	5.0%
3	2	578	8.0%
4	3	92	1.3%
5	4	12	0.2%
97	Not answered	9	0.1%
98	Not asked	6082	84.4%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom1: Open: Which values are important for Norwegian society and identity [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In politics and in the news there has been a lot of writing and discussion about Norwegian values. We are interested in your opinions on what Norwegian values are.
Literal question	What values do you think are most important for Norwegian society and identity?
Post-question	Type key words.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 4][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r13polkom14_ran: [Randomly selects between r13polkom14a-c, and then r13polkom14a-c. Randomizes if r13group = 5]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1321 /-] [Invalid=15690 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects between r13polkom14a-c, and then r13polkom14a-c. Randomizes if r13group = 5]

r13polkom14_ran: [Randomly selects between r13polkom14a-c, and then r13polkom14a-c. Randomizes if r13group = 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	satisfied	442	33.5%
2	pleased	440	33.3%
3	enthusiastic	439	33.2%
Sysmiss		15690	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom14a: The way democracy works in Norway: How satisfied.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	All things considered, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom14_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	69	1.0%
2	Satisfied	261	3.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	75	1.0%
4	Dissatisfied	30	0.4%
5	Very dissatisfied	7	0.1%
97	Not answered	0	
98	Not asked	6767	93.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom14b: The way democracy works in Norway: How pleased.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	All things considered, how pleased are you with the way democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom14_ran = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very pleased	62	0.9%
2	Pleased	227	3.1%
3	Somewhat pleased	109	1.5%
4	Not very pleased	35	0.5%
5	Not pleased at all	6	0.1%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6769	93.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom14c: The way democracy works in Norway: How enthusiastic.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	All in all, how enthusiastic are you about the way democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom14_ran = 3]

r13polkom14c: The way democracy works in Norway: How enthusiastic.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very enthusiastic	30	0.4%
2	Enthusiastic	204	2.8%
3	Somewhat enthusiastic	149	2.1%
4	Not very enthusiastic	38	0.5%
5	Not at all enthusiastic	17	0.2%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6770	93.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom15a: Your current personal financial situation: How satisfied.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with your current personal financial situation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom14_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	91	1.3%
2	Satisfied	228	3.2%
3	Somewhat satisfied	88	1.2%
4	Dissatisfied	28	0.4%
5	Very dissatisfied	6	0.1%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6767	93.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom15b: Your current personal financial situation: How pleased.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Literal question	How pleased are you with your current personal financial situation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom14_ran = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very pleased	73	1.0%
2	Pleased	242	3.4%
3	Somewhat pleased	87	1.2%
4	Not very pleased	20	0.3%
5	Not pleased at all	16	0.2%
97	Not answered	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	6769	93.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom15c: Your current personal financial situation: How enthusiastic.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

# r13polkom15c: Your current personal financial situation: How enthusiastic.			
Literal question	How enthusiastic are you about your current personal financial situation?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom14_ran = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very enthusiastic	30	0.4%
2	Enthusiastic	148	2.1%
3	Somewhat enthusiastic	186	2.6%
4	Not very enthusiastic	58	0.8%
5	Not at all enthusiastic	16	0.2%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6770	93.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom16_ran: [Randomly selects between r13polkom16a-c. Randomizes if r13group = 5]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1321 /-] [Invalid=15690 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects between r13polkom16a-c. Randomizes if r13group = 5]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	fortunate	469	35.5%
2	happy	432	32.7%
3	privileged	420	31.8%
Sysmiss		15690	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom16a: How fortunate would you say you are.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	All in all, how fortunate would you say you are?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom16_ran = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unfortunate	5	0.1%
2	Unfortunate	3	0.0%
3	Somewhat unfortunate	20	0.3%
4	Neither fortunate nor unfortunate	38	0.5%
5	Somewhat fortunate	73	1.0%
6	Fortunate	196	2.7%
7	Very fortunate	133	1.8%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6740	93.5%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13polkom16b: How happy would you say you are.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Literal question	All in all, how happy would you say you are?		

r13polkom16b: How happy would you say you are.

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom16_ran = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	6	0.1%
2	Unhappy	6	0.1%
3	Somewhat unhappy	14	0.2%
4	Neither happy nor unhappy	43	0.6%
5	Somewhat happy	101	1.4%
6	Happy	201	2.8%
7	Very happy	58	0.8%
97	Not answered	3	0.0%
98	Not asked	6777	94.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13polkom16c: How privileged would you say you are.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Literal question All in all, how privileged would you say you are?

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 5 and r13polkom16_ran = 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unprivileged	10	0.1%
2	Unprivileged	8	0.1%
3	Somewhat unprivileged	17	0.2%
4	Neither privileged or unprivileged	39	0.5%
5	Somewhat privileged	88	1.2%
6	Privileged	165	2.3%
7	Very privileged	92	1.3%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	6789	94.2%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r13pad10_ran: [Randomly selects between r13pad10a-d. Randomizes if r13group = 1]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1422 /-] [Invalid=15589 /-]		
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects between r13pad10a-d. Randomizes if r13group = 1]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent gets R13PAD10A	357	25.1%
2	Respondent gets R13PAD10B	369	25.9%
3	Respondent gets R13PAD10C	340	23.9%
4	Respondent gets R13PAD10D	356	25.0%
Sysmiss		15589	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad10a_1: Give amount of NOK if you are decision maker.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=349 /-] [Invalid=16662 /-] [Mean=1036.963 /-] [StdDev=401.642 /-]		
Pre-question	Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One participant will be drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other person who is drawn out will be the recipient. If you are randomly selected to be a decision maker, you will receive NOK 2,000 KR and must choose how much you will give to the recipient. What you give, the recipient will receive. You keep the rest.		
Literal question	What do you choose? I choose to give NOK:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13pad10_ran = 1]		
# r13pad10b: Give money or refrain if you are decision maker.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One participant will be drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other person who is drawn out will be the recipient. If you are randomly selected to be a decision maker, you will receive NOK 2,000 and must choose if you will give to the recipient, and if so how much you will give. What you give, the recipient will receive. You keep the rest. You can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case, you keep your NOK 2,000 while the recipient gets nothing.		
Literal question	What do you choose? I choose to:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13pad10_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Refrain from making a choice.	80	1.1%
2	Give NOK:	284	3.9%
97	Not answered	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	6840	94.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad10b_2_other: Give amount of NOK if you are decision maker, can refrain [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One participant will be drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other person who is drawn out will be the recipient.		

# r13pad10b_2_other: Give amount of NOK if you are decision maker, can refrain [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
	If you are randomly selected to be a decision maker, you will receive NOK 2,000 and must choose if you will give to the recipient, and if so how much you will give. What you give, the recipient will receive. You keep the rest. You can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case, you keep your NOK 2,000 while the recipient gets nothing.		
Literal question	What do you choose? I choose to: Give NOK...		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13pad10_ran = 2][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]		
# r13pad10c_1: Take amount of NOK if you are decision maker.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=322 /-] [Invalid=16689 /-] [Mean=750.307 /-] [StdDev=500.185 /-]		
Pre-question	Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One participant will be drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other person who is drawn out will be the recipient. If you are randomly selected to be a decision maker, you must choose how much you will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received. What you do not take is kept by the recipient. What you take, you get.		
Literal question	What do you choose?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13pad10_ran = 3]		
# r13pad10d: Take money or refrain if you are decision maker.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One participant will be drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other person who is drawn out will be the recipient. If you are randomly selected to be a decision maker , you must choose if you will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much you will take. What you do not take is kept by the recipient. What you take, you get. You can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case you get nothing, while the receiver keeps their NOK 2,000.		
Literal question	What do you choose? I choose to:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 5 and r13pad10_ran = 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Refrain from making a choice.	166	2.3%
2	Give NOK:	183	2.5%
97	Not answered	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	6853	95.1%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad10d_2_other: Take amount of NOK if you are decision maker, can refrain. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One participant will be drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other person who is drawn out will be the recipient. If you are randomly selected to be a decision maker , you must choose if you will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much you will take. What you do not take is kept by the recipient. What you take, you get. You can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case you get nothing, while the receiver keeps their NOK 2,000.		
Literal question	What do you choose? I choose to:		

r13pad10d_2_other: Take amount of NOK if you are decision maker, can refrain. [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Take NOK ...

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 5 and r13pad10_ran = 4][Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r13pad9_ran: [Randomly selects between r13pad9a-d. Randomizes if r13group = 2]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1452 /-] [Invalid=15559 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Randomly selects between r13pad9a-d. Randomizes if r13group = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent gets R13PAD9A	360	24.8%
2	Respondent gets R13PAD9B	366	25.2%
3	Respondent gets R13PAD9C	374	25.8%
4	Respondent gets R13PAD9D	352	24.2%
Sysmiss		15559	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9a_1: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 0

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question

Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose how much he or she will give to the recipient. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.

The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).

The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.

The decision maker chooses to give to the recipient...

Literal question 0 NOK

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	231	3.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	37	0.5%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	11	0.2%
4	Very socially acceptable	12	0.2%
97	Not answered	69	1.0%
98	Not asked	6849	95.0%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9a_2: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 500

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question

Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose how much he or she will give to the recipient. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.

# r13pad9a_2: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 500			
		<p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to give to the recipient...</p>	
Literal question		500 NOK	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	75	1.0%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	125	1.7%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	74	1.0%
4	Very socially acceptable	17	0.2%
97	Not answered	69	1.0%
98	Not asked	6849	95.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9a_3: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1000			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose how much he or she will give to the recipient. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to give to the recipient...</p>	
Literal question		1000 NOK	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	16	0.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	15	0.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	82	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	204	2.8%
97	Not answered	43	0.6%
98	Not asked	6849	95.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9a_4: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1500			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	

# r13pad9a_4: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1500			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose how much he or she will give to the recipient. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to give to the recipient...</p>	
Literal question		1500 NOK	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	30	0.4%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	44	0.6%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	115	1.6%
4	Very socially acceptable	100	1.4%
97	Not answered	71	1.0%
98	Not asked	6849	95.0%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9a_5: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 2000			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose how much he or she will give to the recipient. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to give to the recipient...</p>	
Literal question		2000 NOK	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 1]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	55	0.8%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	45	0.6%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	65	0.9%
4	Very socially acceptable	138	1.9%
97	Not answered	57	0.8%
98	Not asked	6849	95.0%

r13pad9a_5: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 2000

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9b_1: How socially acceptable to refrain from giving.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose if he or she will give to the beneficiary and if so how much. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker keeps their NOK 2,000, while the recipient gets nothing.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to...</p>
Literal question	Refrain from taking a choice
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	107	1.5%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	76	1.1%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	57	0.8%
4	Very socially acceptable	23	0.3%
97	Not answered	103	1.4%
98	Not asked	6843	94.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9b_2: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 0, can refrain.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose if he or she will give to the beneficiary and if so how much. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker keeps their NOK 2,000, while the recipient gets nothing.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to...</p>
Literal question	Give to the recipient: NOK 0
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 2]

# r13pad9b_2: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 0, can refrain.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	214	3.0%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	55	0.8%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	23	0.3%
4	Very socially acceptable	21	0.3%
97	Not answered	53	0.7%
98	Not asked	6843	94.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9b_3: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 500, can refrain.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose if he or she will give to the beneficiary and if so how much. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker keeps their NOK 2,000, while the recipient gets nothing.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to...</p>		
Literal question	Give to the recipient: NOK 500		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	60	0.8%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	155	2.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	72	1.0%
4	Very socially acceptable	27	0.4%
97	Not answered	52	0.7%
98	Not asked	6843	94.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9b_4: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1000, can refrain.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose if he or she will give to the beneficiary and if so how much. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker keeps their NOK 2,000, while the recipient gets nothing.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If</p>		

# r13pad9b_4: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1000, can refrain.			
	your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.		
	The decision maker chooses to...		
Literal question	Give to the recipient: NOK 1,000		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	11	0.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	26	0.4%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	81	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	216	3.0%
97	Not answered	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	6843	94.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9b_5: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 1500, can refrain.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose if he or she will give to the beneficiary and if so how much. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker keeps their NOK 2,000, while the recipient gets nothing.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to...</p>		
Literal question	Give to the recipient: NOK 1,500		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 2]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	22	0.3%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	64	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	120	1.7%
4	Very socially acceptable	105	1.5%
97	Not answered	55	0.8%
98	Not asked	6843	94.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9b_6: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 2000, can refrain.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker gets NOK 2,000 and must choose if he or she will give to the beneficiary and if so how much. What the decision maker gives, the recipient will receive.</p>		

r13pad9b_6: How socially acceptable to give NOK: 2000, can refrain.

The decision maker can choose between giving the recipient nothing (NOK 0), NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker keeps their NOK 2,000, while the recipient gets nothing.

The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.

The decision maker chooses to...

Literal question Give to the recipient: NOK 2,000

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	46	0.6%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	64	0.9%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	82	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	119	1.7%
97	Not answered	55	0.8%
98	Not asked	6843	94.9%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9c_1: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 0

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose how much he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.

The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).

The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.

The decision maker chooses to take from the recipient...

Literal question NOK 0

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	41	0.6%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	19	0.3%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	40	0.6%
4	Very socially acceptable	237	3.3%
97	Not answered	37	0.5%
98	Not asked	6835	94.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9c_2: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 500

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

# r13pad9c_2: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 500			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose how much he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to take from the recipient...</p>	
Literal question		NOK 500	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	60	0.8%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	48	0.7%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	138	1.9%
4	Very socially acceptable	84	1.2%
97	Not answered	44	0.6%
98	Not asked	6835	94.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9c_3: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1000			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose how much he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to take from the recipient...</p>	
Literal question		NOK 1,000	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 3]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	86	1.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	58	0.8%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	95	1.3%
4	Very socially acceptable	103	1.4%
97	Not answered	32	0.4%
98	Not asked	6835	94.8%

# r13pad9c_3: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1000			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9c_4: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1500			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose how much he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to take from the recipient...</p>		
Literal question	NOK 1,500		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	206	2.9%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	90	1.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	19	0.3%
4	Very socially acceptable	8	0.1%
97	Not answered	51	0.7%
98	Not asked	6835	94.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9c_5: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 2000			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose how much he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000).</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think that each of the five choices is? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the five choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to take from the recipient...</p>		
Literal question	NOK 2,000		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 3]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	287	4.0%

# r13pad9c_5: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 2000			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	18	0.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	8	0.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	11	0.2%
97	Not answered	50	0.7%
98	Not asked	6835	94.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9d_1: How socially acceptable to refrain from taking.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose if he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker gets nothing, while the recipient keeps their NOK 2,000.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to...</p>		
Literal question	Refrain from taking a choice		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 4]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	38	0.5%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	43	0.6%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	66	0.9%
4	Very socially acceptable	106	1.5%
97	Not answered	99	1.4%
98	Not asked	6857	95.1%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13pad9d_2: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 0, can refrain.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]		
Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose if he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker gets nothing, while the recipient keeps their NOK 2,000.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p>		

r13pad9d_2: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 0, can refrain.

	The decision maker chooses to...
Literal question	NOK 0
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	38	0.5%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	18	0.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	38	0.5%
4	Very socially acceptable	195	2.7%
97	Not answered	63	0.9%
98	Not asked	6857	95.1%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9d_3: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 500, can refrain.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose if he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker gets nothing, while the recipient keeps their NOK 2,000.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to...</p>
---------------------	---

Literal question	NOK 500
-------------------------	---------

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 4]
-----------------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	59	0.8%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	39	0.5%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	114	1.6%
4	Very socially acceptable	56	0.8%
97	Not answered	84	1.2%
98	Not asked	6857	95.1%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9d_4: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1000, can refrain.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question	<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose if he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p>
---------------------	---

r13pad9d_4: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1000, can refrain.

The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker gets nothing, while the recipient keeps their NOK 2,000.

The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.

The decision maker chooses to...

Literal question NOK 1000

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	67	0.9%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	41	0.6%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	81	1.1%
4	Very socially acceptable	88	1.2%
97	Not answered	75	1.0%
98	Not asked	6857	95.1%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9d_5: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 1500, can refrain.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Pre-question

Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose if he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.

The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker gets nothing, while the recipient keeps their NOK 2,000.

The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.

The decision maker chooses to...

Literal question NOK 1500

Interviewer's instructions [Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	157	2.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	82	1.1%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	16	0.2%
4	Very socially acceptable	8	0.1%
97	Not answered	89	1.2%
98	Not asked	6857	95.1%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13pad9d_6: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 2000, can refrain.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

# r13pad9d_6: How socially acceptable to take NOK: 2000, can refrain.			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Pre-question		<p>Two participants in the Norwegian Citizen Panel will be drawn out to participate in an experiment. One of them is drawn randomly to be the decision-maker while the other is drawn randomly to be the recipient. The decision maker must choose if he or she will take from the NOK 2,000 the recipient has received, and if so how much. What the decision maker does not take, is kept by the recipient.</p> <p>The decision maker can choose between taking nothing (NOK 0) from the recipient, NOK 500, NOK 1,000, NOK 1,500 or everything (NOK 2,000). The decision maker can also choose to refrain from making a choice. In this case the decision maker gets nothing, while the recipient keeps their NOK 2,000.</p> <p>The table below shows the different choices the decision maker can make. How socially acceptable do you think each of the six choices are? In this context "socially acceptable" means "complying with moral or appropriate social behaviour". If your evaluation is the same as the majority of the other participants in the experiment for one of the six choices, you will be entered into a draw to receive NOK 500. Four people will be drawn out.</p> <p>The decision maker chooses to...</p>	
Literal question		NOK 2000	
Interviewer's instructions		[Asked if r13group = 2 and r13pad9_ran = 4]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very socially unacceptable	233	3.2%
2	Somewhat socially unacceptable	18	0.2%
3	Somewhat socially acceptable	12	0.2%
4	Very socially acceptable	8	0.1%
97	Not answered	81	1.1%
98	Not asked	6857	95.1%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13P1: Gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3588	49.8%
2	Female	3621	50.2%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13P2: Region			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	2040	28.3%
2	Eastern Norway	1654	22.9%
3	Southern Norway	366	5.1%
4	Western Norway	1944	27.0%
5	Trøndelag	638	8.9%
6	Northern Norway	567	7.9%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# r13P3: County			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	909	12.6%
2	Oslo	1131	15.7%
3	Østfold	347	4.8%
4	Vestfold	322	4.5%
5	Hedmark	222	3.1%
6	Oppland	208	2.9%
7	Buskerud	364	5.0%
8	Telemark	191	2.6%
9	Vest-Agder	228	3.2%
10	Aust-Agder	138	1.9%
11	Rogaland	642	8.9%
12	Hordaland	869	12.1%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	135	1.9%
14	Møre og Romsdal	298	4.1%
15	Trøndelag	638	8.9%
16	Nordland	277	3.8%
17	Troms	212	2.9%
18	Finmark	78	1.1%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13P4_1: Highest completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	526	7.3%
2	Upper secondary education	2019	28.0%
3	University/University college	4392	60.9%
97	Not answered	272	3.8%
Sysmiss		9802	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# r13P4_2: Highest completed education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	25	0.3%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	501	6.9%
3	Secondary education-general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School)	819	11.4%

r13P4_2: Highest completed education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Secondary education-vocational line of study/education programme (VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam)	854	11.8%
5	Diploma from supplementary programme for general university and college admissions certification (tertiary vocational education, vocational technical college)	346	4.8%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	692	9.6%
7	College-3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, etc.)	1491	20.7%
8	University-3-4 year education (Bachelor, cand.mag.)	533	7.4%
9	College-5-6 year education (Master, major)	485	6.7%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors (longer professional education (MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economic	1032	14.3%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	159	2.2%
12	None of the above (please enter):	185	2.6%
97	Not answered	87	1.2%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or earlier	219	3.0%
2	1940-1949	1261	17.5%
3	1950-1959	1726	23.9%
4	1960-1969	1539	21.3%
5	1970-1979	1096	15.2%
6	1980-1989	803	11.1%
7	1990 or later	565	7.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13P5_2: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	3206	44.5%
2	1960-1989	3438	47.7%
3	1990 or later	565	7.8%
Sysmiss		9802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r13Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# r13Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, and P1. See documentation report.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]
# r13Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4, and P1. See documentation report	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7209 /-] [Invalid=9802 /-]